

PART I, CHAPTER 1 (= I-1-0-0)

1. 1000+ MISTAKES IN THE QURAN, MAIN PAGE. CONTENTS - AN OVERVIEW

(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

PART I OVERVIEW OVER CONTENTS PLUS INTRODUCTION OF SOME TOPICS.

Chapter 1-1-0-0	Contents - an overview.(Links at the right hand side).
Chapter 1-2-0-0	Approximate age of the different surahs + cross references.
Chapter 1-3-0-0	Some serious questions and comments.
Chapter 1-4-0-0	To my murderer.
Chapter 1-5-0-0	Introduction.
Chapter 1-6-0-0	Preface about the Quran.
Chapter 1-7-0-0	A few words about Muhammad (more in Part XI).
Chapter 1-8-0-0	A few words about Allah.
Chapter 1-9-0-0	The making and translation of the Quran. (NB: Read this!)
Chapter 1-10-0-0	Short about Hadith (the 2. religious base or source for Muslims).
Chapter 1-11-0-0	Some essential information from and about texts in the Quran.
Chapter 1-12-0-0	- - - and a serious PS.(NB: Do read this! Serious!)
Chapter 1-13-0-0	Tries to refute or discredit "1000+ Mistakes in the Quran" - - by Muslims and by Islam.
Chapter 1-14-0-0	Sources for this book:

1. "The Holy Quran", translated by Abdullah Yusuf Ali.
2. "The Message of the Quran" by Muhammad Asad.
3. "The Meaning of the Quran" by Abdullah Yusuf Ali.
4. "Sahih Ahadiths" – Hadiths after Al-Bukhari.
5. "Sahih Ahadiths" – Hadiths after imam Muslim.
6. "The life of Muhammad" by Muhammad Ibn Ishaq.
7. Different other sources.

PART II THE MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN.

Chapter 2-1-1-0	DO ALL THE MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN PROVE 110% OR ONLY 100% THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG WITH MUHAMMAD AND HIS QURAN - AND HENCE WITH ISLAM?
Chapter 2-1-2-1	Mega Mistake 1, part 1, in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-2-2	Mega Mistake 1, part 2, in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-2-3	Mega Mistake 1, part 3, in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-2-4	The 5 Mega Mistakes in the Quran that should kill Islam - if intelligence counted.
Chapter 2-1-3-1	Mistakes and errors about Allah in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-2	Mistakes and errors about the Quran in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-3	Mistakes and errors about Muhammad in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-4	Invalid "signs" and "proofs" in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-5	Mistakes and errors about non-Muslims in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-6	Mistakes and errors about Jesus in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-7	Mistakes and errors about the Bible in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-8	Mistakes and errors about God/Yahweh in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-9	Mistakes and errors about Destiny in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-10	Mistakes and errors about the visible sky in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-11	Mistakes and errors about Earth in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-12	Mistakes and errors about man and living beings in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-13	Mistakes and errors about history in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-14	Mistakes and errors about The End in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-15	Mistakes and errors from legends and fairy tales in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-3-16	Mistakes and errors about different topics in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-4-1	Surah 1 - 5 The "Encyclopaedia" of fact mistakes and errors in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-4-2	Surah 6 - 10 The "Encyclopaedia" of fact mistakes and errors in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-4-3	Surah 11 - 20 The "Encyclopaedia" of fact mistakes and errors in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-4-4	Surah 21 - 30 The "Encyclopaedia" of fact mistakes and errors in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-4-5	Surah 31 - 40 The "Encyclopaedia" of fact mistakes and errors in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-4-6	Surah 41 - 60 The "Encyclopaedia" of fact mistakes and errors in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-4-7	Surah 61 - 80 The "Encyclopaedia" of fact mistakes and errors in the Quran.
Chapter 2-1-4-8	Surah 81 - 114 The "Encyclopaedia" of fact mistakes and errors in the Quran.

Chapter 2-1-4-9	Fact mistakes and errors in the Quran - inevitable conclusions.
Chapter 2-2-0-0	Grammatical mistakes and errors in the Quran.
Chapter 2-3-0-0	Non- Arab words in the Quran.
Chapter 2-4-0-0	Animism and antromorphism in the Quran.
Chapter 2-5-0-0	Muhammad in the Bible? - claims in the Quran.
Chapter 2-6-0-0	Is Allah just another name for God/Yahweh like claimed in the Quran?
Chapter 2-7-0-0	Is Allah in the Quran better than God/Yahweh in the Bible?
Chapter 2-8-1-0	300+ internal contradictions in the Quran (also see 7-10-3-0).
Chapter 2-8-2-0	100+ externan contradictions in the Quran.
Chapter 2-9-0-0	150+ abrogations in the Quran - - -.
Chapter 2-10-0-0	15 mistakes and errors in the Quran accepted byIslam and Muslim scholars.

Part III: MORE MISTAKES AND ERRORS PLUS UNDOCUMENTED CLAIMS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH:

Chapter 3-1-0-0	Claims about falsified Bible according to the Quran.
Chapter 3-2-0-0	Contradictions (unproven claims) to the Bible in the Quran.
Chapter 3-3-0-0	Many mistakes and no proofs from the Quran, but strong demands for proofa from everyone else.
Chapter 3-4-0-0	Mistakes about the history of Jesus in the Quran.

Part IV: SOME OF THE DEMANDS FOR JIHAD - HOLY WAR - IN THE QURAN AND ISLAM:

Chapter 4-1-0-0	Incitements for war in the Quran.
Chapter 4-2-0-0	War and Jihad - Holy War (or mostly Unholy War for booty and riches, power and spreading Islam by different compulsions) - in the Quran and in Islam.
Chapter 4-3-0-0	Terrorism.

Part V: NON-MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN AND ISLAM, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH:

Chapter 5-1-0-0	Good verses for non-Muslims in the Quran.
Chapter 5-2-0-0	Muslims are better than others - dogmatic suppression and apartheid in the Quran.
Chapter 5-3-0-0	Make no friends with non-muslims - dogmatic apartheid in the Quran.
Chapter 5-4-0-0	Incitements in the Quran to dislike and discriminate on non-Muslims - dogmatic discrimination, suppression, and apartheid.
Chapter 5-5-0-0	Slaves in the Quran and Islam (Islam was the largest slave owner and trading people in the world, perhaps except Negroes in some African Negro states).
Chapter 5-6-0-0	Non-Muslims in a Muslim world according to Muhammad and his Quran, and hence to Muslims and Islam.

Chapter 5-7-0-0	The claimed "Age of Golden Co-Existence" - non-Muslims under Muslims and under Islam.
-----------------	---

Part VI: MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM IN MUSLIM COUNTRIES ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH:

Chapter 6-1-0-0	Life of Muslim men according to Muhammad, the Quran, Islam, and Allah - and according to Muslim men.
Chapter 6-2-1-0	Life for Muslim women - women as human beings.
Chapter 6-2-2-0	Muslim women and the law (sharia).
Chapter 6-3-0-0	Life for Muslim children according to the Quran and hence to Islam.
Chapter 6-4-0-0	Muslim law - sharia - in the Quran.

Part VII: DIFFERENT TOPICS:

Chapter 7-1-0-0	Is Allah a better and more benevolent god than God/Yahweh?
Chapter 7-2-0-0	Is Allah a good god?
Chapter 7-3-0-0	From where are the stories in the Quran borrowed?
Chapter 7-4-0-0	Requests in the Quran for proofs for Allah and for Muhammad's contact with a god.
Chapter 7-5-0-0	Natural phenomena (dis)used as (invalid) "signs", (invalid) "proofs", etc. in the Quran.
Chapter 7-6-0-0	Other invalid "signs" and "proofs" in the Quran about Allah and about Muhammad's contact with a god.
Chapter 7-7-0-0	More (invalid) loose claims and statements in the Quran.
Chapter 7-8-0-0	Boasting or fast-talk in the Quran. "If Allah willed - - -".
Chapter 7-9-0-0	More fast-talk in the Quran.
Chapter 7-10-1-0	Some essentials for understanding the Quran.
Chapter 7-10-2-0	The Quran is to be understood literally according to the Quran.
Chapter 7-10-3-0	450+ cases of the unclear texts in the Quran.

Part VIII: THE NEXT LIFE:

Chapter 8-1-0-0	Paradise of Islam as described in the Quran.
Chapter 8-2-1-0	Hell - punishment in the grave (only in Hadiths).
Chapter 8-2-2-0	Hell - the real one - as described in the Quran.

Part IX: SOME SPECIAL OR VERY SPECIAL TOPICS IN ISLAM:

Chapter 9-1-0-0	Predestination in Islam as told by the Quran.
Chapter 9-2-0-0	Free will or not for man?
Chapter 9-3-0-0	Ethics and moral in Islam - no moral philosophy, only Muhammad's example.
Chapter 9-4-0-0	Al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) and Kitman (the lawful half-truth) - very

	special immorality in the Quran and Islam.
Chapter 9-5-0-0	Persevere - A WORD NON-MUSLIMS SHOULD NEVER FORGET.

Part X: INCINCERE DEBATES AND INFORMATION:

Chapter 10-1-0-0	Insincere debate and information in the Quran and from Islam.
Chapter 10-2-0-0	Insincere or dishonest debate in central Muslim literatur.
Chapter 10-3-0-0	Insincere Muslims in debates about Muhammad, the Quran, Islam, and Allah.
Chapter 10-4-0-0	Some of the wrong arguments you meet about Muhammad, the Quran, Islam, and Allah - - - and the answers.

Part XI: SHORT ABOUT MUHAMMAD - THE SELF-PROCLAIMED PROPHET OF ISLAM:

Chapter 11-1-0-0	Muhammad 570 - 610 AD - before he started preaching.
Chapter 11-2-0-0	What is TLE (Temporal Lobe Epilepsy)? - possibly Muhammad's illness according to BBC (and others).
Chapter 11-3-0-0	610 - 622 AD. Muhammad - a peaceful messenger.
Chapter 11-4-0-0	622 - 632 AD. Muhammad - an inhuman self-proclaimed prophet of war.
Chapter 11-5-0-0	Muhammad lying in the Quran.
Chapter 11-6-0-0	The paedophile (?) Muhammad.
Chapter 11-7-0-0	The womanizer and rapist Muhammad.
Chapter 11-8-0-0	The thief, robber, extortioner, enslaver, slave trader, etc. Muhammad.
Chapter 11-9-0-0	The inhuman Muhammad.
Chapter 11-10-0-0	Muhammad and his lust for power.
Chapter 11-11-0-0	The murderer and mass murderer Muhammad.
Chapter 11-12-0-0	Muhammad and his raids - mainly for wealth. Mostly named Jihad - Holy War.
Chapter 11-13-0-0	Muhammad and his wars: Jihad - Holy War (at least claimed to be so).
Chapter 11-14-0-0	Muhammad - his moral, ethics, and empathy - - - or lack of this.
Chapter 11-15-0-0	Dates and years in the life of Muhammad.

Part XII: SOME RHOUGHTS AND CONCLUTIONS:

Chapter 12-1-0-0	Short on relevant topics about the Quran, Muhammad, Muslims, Islam, and Allah.
Chapter 12-2-0-0	Resumees and thoughts about the Quran, Muhammad, Muslims, Islam, Allah - - - and Ramses II.
Chapter 12-3-0-0	Cultural development and stagnation in the Muslim area since 632 AD.
Chapter 12-4-0-0	Some final - and inevitable - conclutions about the Quran, Muhammad, Muslims, Islam, and Allah.

Part XIII: SOME RELEVANT ADDESSES:

NB: If you find any mistakes anywhere, please inform us. If it is a real mistake, it will be corrected.

1. Read first the 2 small chapters "Some Essentials for how the Quran is to be read and understood" (VII-10-1-0) and "The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated" (VII-10-2-0).

2. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked by many Muslim authorities. To inform or debate with persons in such areas, cut and paste what you want from the pages and send it under titles different from <http://www.1000mistakes.com>.

3. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is one of 9 pages which Muslim organisations warned especially against in 2008 and 2009 - it could make especially proselytes lose their belief in Islam; correct and "down-to-the-earth" information works. In this connection it is worth noting that in the "warning" <http://www.1000mistakes.com> was one of 3 which neither was accused of bringing wrong facts, nor of being a hate page.

4. Comment 141 (to verse 6/149) in "The Message of the Quran" (see point 5) explains (translated from Swedish) about Allah's claimed omniscience vs. man's claimed free will:

"With other words: The real connection between Allah's knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man's relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true". Unbelievable. Blind belief is the only correct and intelligent way of life, even in the face of the utterly impossible!!

5. And an afterthought: In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there is no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to a god. And if there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Muhammad? - and what then is Islam? - a made up, invalid religion?

6. Further: All the mistakes, contradictions, etc. in that book prove 100% that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god - no god makes such and so many mistakes, etc. If then Islam is a made up religion, what then about all the Muslims who have been prohibited from looking for a real religion (if such one exists)? And where will they in case wake up after living and practising such an inhuman war religion like Islam is according to the Quran (and to Hadiths), if there is a second life somewhere? - Hell or Paradise?

7. NB and PS: No matter how sure you are about something, if it is not proved, it is not knowledge, only belief or strong belief, and can be wrong. Only what is proved or possible to prove is knowledge.

(As <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked in many Muslim areas - which shows they are afraid of it and lack arguments (if they had real arguments for <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is wrong, blocking was unnecessary) - "cut and paste" whatever you want from it and send if you want to inform or to debate there. Remember to omit the name <http://www.1000mistakes.com>).

PS: If we are blocked centrally - f. ex. by spam (there is too much at times already from unfriendly sources) we will reopen with new address somewhere else, and announce the new address on f. ex. <http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/islam>. Also if your comments to us do not reach us, any comments posted on a thread with "1000 mistakes" in the title on that forum will be read by us - it is a big international debate page. We cannot answer on that page, though, as it is not safe enough - we have had death threats (better to kill an opponent, than to check if a religion brainwashed into you only on the word of a man who even practised lies (f. ex. "war is deceit") and advocated breaking even your oaths if that gave a better result, is true or made up - old beliefs are hard to question).

Please inform all and everybody and all relevant fora - f. ex. Internet pages for debate or information - about the address <http://www.1000mistakes.com>. It is information that is urgently needed by many, not least by Muslims. No god made a book with so many mistakes and other wrongs - and if the Quran and Islam are made up by humans or dark forces, where are the followers of this inhumanly dark and brutal war religion heading for in a possible next life?

PART I, CHAPTER 2 (= I-2-0-0)

APPROXIMATE AGE OF THE DIFFERENT SURAHS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH + CROSS REFERENCES.

(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

In the Quran there frequently are verses that "collide" or verses where one is stricter than the other. In those cases one uses the Islamic abrogation rule: The youngest verse normally is the one that counts - the older one is "exchanged for a better (?) one" - even the Quran itself

mentions this. You meet Muslims protesting that such a rule exists, because that means that Allah had problems making up his mind or was not wise enough to make the rules good enough at once and from the start - he had to try and fail. In a way quite correct – it seems that he was not - - - but no matter how they protest or explain, some verses (some hundreds) are abrogated anyhow. This they try to explain away f. ex. with that Allah did not change the rules, just made them stricter - the rules for alcohol are often used as an example. But for one thing only in some cases it is possible to explain things away that way, and for another the problem still stands: Allah had to try and fail to find the best rule – to strengthen a rule also is an abrogation. The problem for readers, are to know which are older than which, as it is mostly not said in the Quran. Abrogation also is deeply integrated in mainstream Islam and in Muslim law. The protests are just based on refusal of facts + wishful thinking.

Most verses from the Mecca period (610-622 AD) which are mild towards non-Muslims are f. ex. overridden – abrogated - by verses of lawful robbery, hate, rape, blood and killing from the Medina period (622-632 AD). When it comes to subjects like war, treatment of non-Muslims, and connections with non-Muslims, not to mention in Muslim law, it therefore is very essential for us - and for Muslims - to know which verses counts, and which not (or even less), and which overrides – abrogates - which. Because of this we have found this table. **BUT WE STRESS VERY STRONGLY THAT IT SHOULD BE USED WITH THE GREATEST CARE, PARTLY BECAUSE IT IS NOT A CANONIZED ONE, AND PARTLY BECAUSE EVEN ISLAM DOES NOT KNOW THE EXACT AGES OF MOST OF THE SURAHs.** There is sure to be at least minor mistakes. More exact lists are said to exist (f. ex. one made by Th. Noldke), but we have been unable to find any of them yet. If/when we find a better one, we will adjust this list. But it will make no great difference, as the main and great divide is between before and after the flight from Mecca in 622 AD, and that divide any list has mainly correct. Until we find one that is better, we will use this one. The result will be at least roughly correct.

The left and the middle of the table list the surahs by approximate age + the serial numbers we use for (approximate) age references. The far right shows which surah has which age and serial number – cross references. Beware that the list to the right - 2 columns - has no direct connection to the rest. It is just placed there because it was convenient.

In the chapter about war/jihad and in a few other chapters where we wanted to show the development of Islam, the surahs are arranged by the serial numbers because they very approximately range the surahs according to age. There it may be easier to find the surah you look for, by first finding the serial number. NB: As the main overview is ranged by age, it also automatically is ranged by serial numbers – see the last column in that overview. To find the serial number of a surah, see the cross reference. The serial numbers at the same time tell (approximately) which surahs that are older and younger than each others.

NB and once more: The two last columns is a totally different list, the cross reference - placed there only for the sake of convenience.

The surahs and serial numbers reckoned in chronological order by approximate age: Cross references:

Surah no.	Made year (approxim.)	Exceptions verse	Comments	Serial no.	Surah no.	Serial no.
-----------	-----------------------	------------------	----------	------------	-----------	------------

096	610	V.6-19 later	No.1 (July/Aug 610)	001	001	002
001	610-614			002	002	088
068	611-614		Likely no 3.	003	003	092
073	611-614		Likely no 4.	004	004	096
080	611-614		Early	005	005	107
111	611-614		Likely no 6.	006	006	063
081	611-615		Likely no 7.	007	007	062
087	611-615		Likely no 8.	008	008	090
092	611-615		Likely no 9.	009	009	105
089	611-615		Likely no 10.	010	010	066
093	611-615		After 89	011	011	067
094	611-615		Just after 93	012	012	068
103	611-615		Shortly after 94	013	013	109
072	611-615			014	014	072
074	611-615			015	015	069
075	611-615			016	016	078
102	611-615			017	017	065
107	611-615			018	018	077
109	611-615		Shortly after 107	019	019	035
112	611-615			020	020	036
091	611-615		Shortly after 97	021	021	070
085	611-615		Shortly after 91	022	022	047
095	612-615		Shortly after 85	023	023	073

101	612-615		After 95	024	024	098
053	612-615	V. 13-18 (later +?)	Shortly after 112	025	025	033
104	613			026	026	042
054	614?		Just after 053?	027	027	043
086	614			028	028	064
077	614		Between 104 and 50	029	029	076
050	614			030	030	044
090	614-615		Just after 50	031	031	038
36	614-615		Just before 25	032	032	074
025	614-615		Same time as 19	033	033	095
035	614-615		Between 25 and 19	034	034	058
019	614-615		Just after 19	035	035	034
020	614-615		Late 614/early 615	036	036	032
038	614-615			037	037	045
031	614-617			038	038	037
070	614-617			039	039	046
088	614-618			040	040	048
056	615			041	041	049
026	615-616			042	042	050
027	615-616		Shortly after 26	043	043	051
030	615-616			044	044	052
037	615-617			045	045	053
039	615-617		Medium Mecca	046	046	056

022	616 app	39+49=622, some 624	Medium Mecca	047	047	078
040	616-618		Group of 7 (40-46)	048	048	100
041	616-618		Just after 40	049	049	106
042	616-618		Group of 7 (40-46)	050	050	030
043	616-619		Group of 7 (40-46)	051	051	059
044	616-619		Group of 7 (40-46)	052	052	075
045	616-620		Group of 7 (40-46)	053	053	025
067	618-619			054	054	027
069	618-619		Just after 67	055	055	110
046	620		Group of 7 (40-46)	056	056	041
072	620		About time of 46	057	057	103
034	620?		Shortly before 17	058	058	099
051	620?			059	059	091
078	620-622		Late Mecca	060	060	102
079	620-622		Just after 78	061	061	093
007	621		Before 6	062	062	089
006	621	Except two or three verses		063	063	094
028	621	V.85 in 622?	Just before 17	064	064	111
017	621 or later		Aft. 28, bef.11,12,13	065	065	097
010	621		Between 17 and 11	066	066	101
011	621	V.12, 17, 114 later?		067	067	054
012	621		Shortly after 11	068	068	003

015	621		Just after 12	069	069	055
021	621-?		Late Mecca	070	070	039
071	621-622			071	071	071
014	621-622		Just after 71	072	072	057
023	621-622		Last Mecca?	073	073	004
032	621-622		Just after 23, Mecca	074	074	015
052	621-622		Just after 32?	075	075	016
029	621-624?	Many unclear	Late Mecca or early Med.	076	076	112
018	622		Just before 16	077	077	029
016	622		Mecca (same time as 72)	078	078	060
082	?		Mecca	079	079	061
100	?		After 103 sometime	080	080	005
105	?			081	081	007
106	?		V.105?106=one?	082	082	079
113	?		Early Mecca?	083	083	086
114	?		Early Mecca?	084	084	085
084	622		Just after 82	085	085	022
083	622	V.1-4 Medina	Perhaps last Mecca	086	086	028
047	622	V. 13 Mecca	1. Partly in Medina?	087	087	008
002	622-624	V.275-281 in 632	1.Full in Medina	088	088	040
062	622-625		Early Medina	089	089	010
008	624	Some v. later		090	090	031

059	624-625			091	091	021
003	625		No. 2 in Medina?	092	092	009
061	625-626		Late 625 or early 626	093	093	011
063	625-626		Late 625 or early 626	094	094	012
033	625-629	Many diff. years (627?)	Medina	095	095	023
004	626	V.58 later, (some v. before?)		096	096	001
065	626-628			097	097	014
024	627-628		Late 627 or early 628	098	098	113
058	627-628		Likely early 628	099	099	114
048	628		Late 628	100	100	080
066	629			101	101	024
060	629-630		Likely early 630	102	102	017
057	630-632			103	103	013
108	630-632?		Age unclear	104	104	026
009	631			105	105	081
049	631			106	106	082
005	632	Some v. earlier	One of latest	107	107	018
110	632		The last surah	108	108	104
013	?		(Much?) after 17	109	109	019
055	?		Just after 13	110	110	108
064	?			111	111	006
076	?			112	112	020

098	?			113	113	083
099	?			114	114	084

A reminder: Using this table one should be very careful not to make too strict conclusions from years close together, as the years often are not exact, and as there may be other mistakes - Islam sometimes have to guess the age from wage points. But for years wider apart, it is more reliable as to which is oldest and which is youngest. And mostly Islam is able to decide between the Mecca and the Medina periods.

POST SCRIMTUM FOR THE TABEL.

As for seeing tendencies in the development of Islam – and in Muhammad - this table is more than sufficient. F. ex. you will see that Muhammad - or Allah - grows stricter and more spiteful and Islam more inhuman and bloody from 622 AD, as the years rolls by and he gains more power (the fact that he was not accepted by the Jews, may also have counted after coming to Medina - the rejection itself or the lost hope for more power as a leader also for them).

Less tolerance of “infidels”, more “no friends with ‘infidels’”, more hate and suppression against “infidels”, more slaves and rape, more warlike against “infidels” - may be also stricter towards his followers. Why should Allah change that much over - for a god - no time? But a human can change like that in 23 years and even in much shorter time - power leaves its traces, and so do greed and lust for power. “Power corrupts, absolute power corrupts absolutely”. Muhammad and Islam changed fast and much after the flight to Medina in 622 AD, and Muhammad’s start as a thief/robber/extorter/rapist/murderer and later warlord.

Because it is difficult to see which verses are oldest and youngest reading the Quran in ordinary succession of the surahs, we use the numbers above, and place them according to age, the oldest first, in the chapters about “tolerance”, “Muslims better“, “No friends”, “Hate against and treatment of ‘infidels’”, and “War/holy war/jihad”. Then also you can see the development and degradation of Muhammad and his religion.

We also have been told (but yet not checked) that he was not brazen enough to really use the title “prophet” until late in life, as he really was not a prophet. (He never pretended or even claimed to have the gift of being able to make prophesies – the minimum requiremet for being a prophet. That only was an imposing and impressive title he “borrowed”. Also see chapter I/7).

NB: If you find any mistakes anywhere, please inform us. If it is a real mistake, it will be corrected.

SOME SERIOUS QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS ABOUT THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

**(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN -
THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)**

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

1. The Quran many places tells that Allah decides everything - absolutely everything (f. ex. the time of your death is decided even before you are born – when the foetus is 4 months old actually). But the Quran also tells that man has a free will. These two are not possible to combine. (Muslim thinkers have not been able to explain this through 1400 years (the same goes for the next 3 questions, whereas question no. 5 is not discussed in Islam - there may be death penalty or at least extrication for it)). F. ex. “The Message of the Quran” - a book certified by the al-Ahzar Muslim university in Cairo, one of the top Muslim universities and a book of high esteem in Muslim propaganda - says: “We can not explain it, but it has to be true, because it is said by Allah (in the Quran*)”. A total capitulation and a very impressive statement, especially when you know the Quran is full of mistaken facts and logically invalid statements, “signs” and “proofs”. See f. ex. the chapter about predestination and free will. Has man really free will? Or does Allah really decide everything? Both together are impossible in spite of what the Quran and Islam claims – as normal from Islam only unproven claims.
2. The Quran states that Allah is omniscient and knows everything also concerning the future.

But the Quran also states that man has free will. These two statements are not possible to combine as mentioned above, because if man has free will, his actions will change the future - and it will not be possible to foresee these changes if man's will really is free, this even if the will only is free to a miniscule degree. Is Allah really omniscient? – man can always change his mind once more if he has free will, and what then about what Allah thought he knew? See the chapter about predestination and free will.

3. The Quran states that Allah is omniscient and omnipotent. But it also states that Allah sends accidents and catastrophes and demands fighting and war – all this to test you. How does Islam combine these two statements? - if Allah is omniscient, he knows everything and testing is for no reason, and much of the testing totally destroys his image as a good god.
4. The Quran many places states that Allah blocks the way - or the way back - to Islam for some people, especially for “bad” persons. Allah's “blocking” then makes them not regret and repent, which at least a few would have done under “normal” circumstances. All the same he sends these persons to Hell without giving them this chance to repent. How can he then be called a good god?
5. Islam and Hadiths tell that Allah decides 5 months before you are born whether you are to end in Paradise or Hell. How can a person doomed to Hell before he is even born be blamed for the bad things he is forced to do, and how can he think of Allah as a benevolent god?
6. If the Quran is manmade - like all the mistaken facts and contradictions and f. ex. invalid “proofs” may indicate - and if there somewhere exists a real god, Islam blocks all Muslims under threat of death penalty many places, from searching for this possibly real god. Is this a good fate in case?

Then there is the question of what values a society is built on. These values can roughly be split in 3:

1. **Positive values - like honesty, caring for others, etc.**

2. **Empty values - things, acts or thoughts people believe are valuable because they are told so, but that really has no value. F. ex. to keep the beard at the same length as Muhammad's.**
3. **Negative or false values - what tradition etc. tell is price-worthy, but that in reality are bad. Like suppressing/mistreating or killing fellow human beings because they are not Muslims, or raping slave children or women - they after all are human beings who normally detests being raped.**

a.

Islam has some positive values - like be honest (except when defending or forwarding Islam, cheating women, secure your money, etc., when you use al-Taqiyya – the lawful lie – if necessary), deal fairly, take care of orphans, etc.

b.

Islam has a lot of empty values - like meaningless formalities, aping Muhammad also in meaningless details, etc.

c.

And Islam has a big lot of negative/false values - like stealing/robbing, raping, enslaving, suppressing others, murder, hate and war. And the very worst: The glorifying of blind belief and the suppression of all knowledge not related to Islam (called "foreign knowledge" - and thus negative).

NB, NB, NB:

1. Read first the 2 small chapters "Some Essentials for how the Quran is to be read and understood" (VII-10-1) and "The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated" (VII-10-2).

2. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked by many Muslim authorities. To debate with persons in such areas, cut and paste what you want from the pages and send it under titles different from <http://www.1000mistakes.com>.

3. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is one of 9 pages which Muslim organisations warned especially against in 2008 and 2009 - it could make especially procelytes lose their belief in Islam; correct and "down-to-the-earth" information works. In this connection it is worth noting that in the "warning" <http://www.1000mistakes.com> was one of 3 which neither was accused of bringing wrong facts, nor of being a hate page.

4. Comment 141 (to verse 6/149) in "The Message of the Quran" (see point 5) explains (translated from Swedish) about Allah's claimed omniscience vs. man's claimed free will:

“With other words: The real connection between Allah’s knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man’s relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true”. Unbelievable. Blind belief is the only correct and intelligent way of life, even in the face of the utterly impossible!!

5. And an afterthought: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additonal point here is that if there is no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to a god. And if there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

6. Further: All the mistakes, contradictions, etc. in that book prove 100% that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god - no god makes such and so many mistakes, etc. If then Islam is a made up religion, what then about all the Muslims who have been prohibited from looking for a real religion (if such one exists)? And where will they in case wake up after living and practising such an inhuman war religion like Islam is according to the Quran (and to Hadiths), if there is a second life somewhere? - Hell or Paradise?

7. NB and PS: No matter how sure you are about something, if it is not proved, it is not knowledge, only belief or strong belief, and can be wrong. Only what is proved or possible to prove is knowledge.

(As <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked in many Muslim areas - which shows they are afraid of it and lack arguments (if they had real arguments for <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is wrong, blocking was unnecessary) - "cut and paste" whatever you want from it and send if you want to inform or to debate there. Remember to omit the name <http://www.1000mistakes.com>).

PS: If we are blocked centrally - f. ex. by spam (there is too much at times already from unfriendly sources) we will reopen with new address somewhere else, and announce the new address om f. ex. <http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/islam>. Also if your comments to us do not reach us, any comments posted on the thread "What is it with <http://www.1000mistakes.com> ?" (or make a page containing "1000 mistakes" in the title yourself if you want) on that forum will be read by us - it is a big international debate page.

PART I, CHAPTER 4 (= I-4-0-0)

4. TO MY MURDERER. FANATIC SIDES OF THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Not to be panegyric about Muhammad is bad, according to Muslims. To ask critical questions about him is heresy and carries a death penalty. And to draw conclusions about him Muslims do not like - it counts nothing that the conclusions may be 100% true - makes it a duty for all and every Muslim to murder him. Or her. Muhammad had also women murdered when they opposed him, and he is the great idol – everything he did is right and ethically and morally correct. One can ask questions about Allah and one can disbelieve Allah, but not about the semi-saint Muhammad - he has to be an unquestioned saint even though he cannot be called a saint formally, because the Quran prohibits it. Why has he got to be without doubt the perfect and infallible saint? Simply because the historical Muhammad was a doubtful person with a doubtful morality, not the glorious picture Muslims paint - and all the same all Islam rests only, and absolutely and utterly only, on such a man's words.

Are you angry because of my words? But can you be angry because of things Islam itself tells? My words here are correct quotes and logical conclusions from reading the Quran, some strengthened Hadits and Islam's own history about Muhammad - f. ex. Muhammad Ibn Ishaq "The Life of Muhammad". Can you be angry because I believe what Islam itself tells? Did you yourself really read the Quran and the Hadits and Ibn Ishaq - read it with your brain and with all your knowledge about history, geography, archaeology, astronomy, psychology, etc., not only with your eyes and/or your imprinted old ideas – so that you saw the mistakes before you sentenced me to death?

There is no doubt that Muhammad raped at least two women. There is no doubt that he liked many wives – mainly young wives - and may be some other women (there are f. ex. half a dozen known "connections" where one does not know if there was a marriage or just lustful sex. There is no doubt that Muhammad took slaves and also accepted and took part in slave trade (was it some 2000 women and children that were taken as slaves only in Khaybar and sold or used as gifts or "gifts" from the last Jewish tribe in/outside Yathrib/Medina - in Khaybar?). There is no doubt that he for the 10 last years lived from stealing/robbing, extorting and murdering (the years in Medina). There is no doubt that he used torture. There is no doubt that he betrayed people - he even himself said that war was betrayal (and that what is not Muslim area, is war area). There is no doubt he was a murderer (he had many opponents murdered). There is no doubt he was a mass murderer (f. ex. the some 700 men in Khaybar). There is no doubt that Islam was very much changed (it is easy for anyone to see if they read the surahs chronologically) around/after 622 AD (what was wrong with his milder teachings in Mecca?). There is no doubt that Islam as thought in the Quran switched from a relatively benign one in the Mecca period, to an Islam that in Medina became a religion of hate, stealing/robbing, rape, suppression, and war. All this I have learnt from esteemed Muslim books - as I said: From among others Ibn Ishaq, Hadith and the Quran.

Can you be angry with me because I quote such thoroughly Islamic books?

Can you be angry with me because I point to that ALL Islam is built only on this man's word?

Can you be angry with me because I mention this man's merits as told in Islamic books?

Can you be angry with me because I mention these plain ISLAMIC truths?

Do you really want to murder me because I tell what the Quran and Hadith and Islamic history books really tell?

Do you claim that I am making up things, when it is so easy to check if I quote and tell the truth?

The thing is that freedom - also the freedom to tell what is true, not only what a terrorist or a dictator likes that you tell - has to be defended. And a sure way to lose that freedom, and all other freedom, is to give in to terror, be it from states or murderers. One can be careful because of danger. But one has to be able to face one's own mirror in the mornings.

There were two reasons for our decision to start this work:

1. There is a real chance that in the future Islam will become dominant, simply because of its militancy and use of different kinds of threats, pressure and terror. That will in case be a stagnant world - for more than 900 years Islam has been unable to bring forth a single new idea benefiting humanity. You can date the total stagnation to 1095 AD (ca. 1198 AD in Mahgreb/Spain) and a book by "the greatest Muslim after Muhammad" – Al-Ghazali.

It also will be a world of scarcity and hunger, because a system where the most pious, not the best qualified, have the final word on many levels, will not be able to feed so big a population - Muslims make many children. This even more so as such closed systems are very open to corruption and consequently to more inefficiency.

Another reason for scarcity, will be that in Muslim countries, one cannot teach people critical thinking – they may start thinking about and asking questions about some of the mistakes and the nonsense logic many places in the Quran. And without critical thinking little progress.

Well, maybe I am too pessimistic - in 1941 it looked like the Nazis were winning. But true information and a stronger will among the democracies to fight when they really had to, than the brainwashed Nazi religion - because it became a religion to many - believed, turned the tide. (Islamic ideology has many similarities to Nazism).

2. 2. All the mistaken facts in the Quran prove that something is wrong - it cannot be made by an omniscient god. And all the invalid “signs”, statements, contradictions, and not to mention “proofs”, etc. are even worse, because to make mistakes can happen (though not to an omniscient god), but active use of invalid statements and invalid proofs, are not honest mistakes - that is the hallmark of cheats, deceivers and swindlers - - - and knowing the real character and moral of Muhammad according to ISLAMIC history (not the later religious gloss some Muslims have painted him with), that is sinister. Especially as there have been so many self-proclaimed “prophets” quite similar to Muhammad through history, though not as successful as him, that one recognize the picture. It also tells something that Muhammad “borrowed” the title “prophet” – he never was a prophet. May be he was a messenger or an apostle to something or someone, but not a real prophet - he neither had, nor claimed to have, not pretended to have the gift of being able to make prophesies.

If Islam is a made up religion, and if there somewhere exists a real religion (which we honestly have come to doubt during our studies of the Quran), Islam blocks the road to that religion for all Muslims. It will in case be a rude awakening for them if there is a Last Day when they expect to enter the honestly primitive Paradise described in the Quran, and find - - what?

My only request before you murder me - or anybody else - is that you first read all the Quran, and read the some 22-24 surahs from Medina separately or preferably all the surahs in the Quran chronologically, and thus control if my information about mistakes and contradictions and logically unfounded statements and “signs” and claims (remember that I said logically unfounded, because it is the foundation under them all that is lacking - Allah is a great god if he made the sun - - - but there nowhere really is proved that he made it). But during your reading you shall

use your knowledge - not just what someone or the imams tell you, but real, checked knowledge - and your brain, not just your eyes or ears.

It is not possible for an intelligent, educated person used to asking questions, not to see the mistakes and the logical wrongs in the Quran. (Why do you think the Quran and some educated Muslim so strongly stress that you should believe blindly and without questions and without asking for proofs or real indications? - but you have to be very naïve to accept blind belief impressed on you by leaders who like their leading positions, or by your parents who only has been told so by others who base their words just on claims, in such serious questions as religion and eternity – especially in a religion based only on the words (words that frequently collides with and are killed by harder demands and deeds – and deeds and demands are more reliable than words) of a man with as doubtful ethic and moral and a strong lust for power like Muhammad.

A disturbing fact: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam? And in this case: What are you murdering for?

NB: If you find any mistakes anywhere, please inform us. If it is a real mistake, it will be corrected.

NB, NB, NB:

1. Read first the 2 small chapters "Some Essentials for how the Quran is to be read and understood" (VII-10-1) and "The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated" (VII-10-2).

2. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked by many Muslim authorities. To debate with persons in such areas, cut and paste what you want from the pages and send it under titles different from <http://www.1000mistakes.com>.

3. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is one of 9 pages which Muslim organisations warned especially against in 2008 and 2009 - it could make especially procelytes lose their belief in Islam; correct and "down-to-the-earth" information works. In this connection it is worth noting that in the "warning" <http://www.1000mistakes.com> was one of 3 which neither was accused of bringing wrong facts, nor of being a hate page.

4. Comment 141 (to verse 6/149) in "The Message of the Quran" (see point 5) explains (translated from Swedish) about Allah's claimed omniscience vs. man's claimed free will:

"With other words: The real connection between Allah's knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man's relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true". Unbelievable. Blind belief is the only correct and intelligent way of life, even in the face of the utterly impossible!!

5. And an afterthought: In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there is no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to a god. And if there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

6. Further: All the mistakes, contradictions, etc. in that book prove 100% that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god - no god makes such and so many mistakes, etc. If then Islam is a made up religion, what then about all the Muslims who have been prohibited from looking for a real religion (if such one exists)? And where will they in case wake up after living and practising such an inhuman war religion like Islam is according to the Quran (and to Hadiths), if there is a second life somewhere? - Hell or Paradise?

7. NB and PS: No matter how sure you are about something, if it is not proved, it is not knowledge, only belief or strong belief, and can be wrong. Only what is proved or possible to prove is knowledge.

(As <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked in many Muslim areas - which shows they are afraid of it and lack arguments (if they had real arguments for <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is wrong, blocking was unnecessary) - "cut and paste" whatever you want from it and send if you want to inform or to debate there. Remember to omit the name <http://www.1000mistakes.com>).

PS: If we are blocked centrally - f. ex. by spam (there is too much at times already from unfriendly sources) we will reopen with new address somewhere else, and announce the new address on f. ex. <http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/islam>. Also if your comments to us do not reach us, any comments posted on the thread "What is it with <http://www.1000mistakes.com> ?" (or make a page containing "1000 mistakes" in the title yourself if you want) on that forum will be read by us - it is a big international debate page.

PART I, CHAPTER 5 (= I-5-0-0)

INTRODUCTION TO THE BOOK "1000+ MISTAKES IN THE QURAN" - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND EVEN OF ALLAH

(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

There are many learned books about the Quran - but who reads learned book? This book is so simple, that everybody can read it and understand it - and each comment or explanation does not take much time to read and understand, even if you have little knowledge about such things. It is meant as a book to open and find information when you need, but also to read like another book with historical and other facts if you like. And not least: It so closely follows the Quran (Abdullah Yusuf Ali's translation to English, in Islam reckoned to be one of the three best, if not the very best, translations ever made to English - the two others are Pickthall and Shakir (though Mohsin Kahn is not too bad either)), that everybody can control that every quotation is 100% correct - and that then the conclusions are right. It actually is an encyclopaedia over points and over the mistakes in the Quran, but built over the surah and verse numbers in the Quran, instead of over the alphabet.

Once more: FOR FREE USE AND SPREADING vocally, for friends, in print, on Internet or however – only remember to refer to the name and Internet addresses whenever you use it, so that more people can find it. If there ever is a printer brave enough to print it, let the royalty go to Save the Children if he can afford it. Perhaps later when the text is not new? PUT IT MANY PLACES on Internet - Muslims will like to block it. (Though blocking will not help much – we will just post it other places on Internet). It also is fully permitted to use material from this book for further work, if you refer to at least the title ("1000+ Mistakes in the Quran") and Internet address ("<http://www.1000mistakes.com>") of this book. (But if someone publishes a work too dissimilar to the contents of this one, saying it is a revised volume from

Klog Belaest, ask him or her to tell the meaning of the code in the first chapter - it is a clear identification. That a code is necessary, tells something about how life in a possible future Muslim society may be – “1984” combined with ayatollah Khomeini, bin Laden and mullah Omar?).

<http://www.1000mistakes.com> is one of 9 Internet addresses specially warned against by name, by Muslim organisations - "keep proselyts away from <http://www.1000mistakes.com> or else the may start disbelieving. It is worth notising that the Muslim organisations do not claim it is a hate page - it is definitely not, only straight facts and information - AND THAT THEY DID NOT UTTER ONE WORD ABOUT THAT THE INFORMATION IS WRONG - only that you mah loose procelytes and others if they read <http://www.1000mistakes.com>.

This book may be extra informative to non-Christians (and non-Jews), as the Quran to a large degree builds on (twisted) stories from the Bible. Non-Christians - and also many Christians, not to mention Muslims - do not know the Bible well, and then are unable to see what is made up and what may be true (We say “may be” because also not the entire Bible is proved). Also many are not well enough versed in science, history, geography, etc. to see where the Quran is wrong - sometimes wildly wrong.

One small remark to Hindus. Some of you make “war” against Christians – a mainly religious war. But is this the right moment to do so, when there is a common antagonist that today is the only one with the declared religious and political goal to conquer the entire world and to suppress all non-Muslims? – an antagonist that in the past behaved FAR worse in India – the former big India - than any Christians - - just ask f. ex. Delhi. Also remember: If Islam takes over, Christians after all are “the People of the Book” and at least half humans. But you according to the Quran, are just Pagans – worth zero or less (that was the main reason why Islam murdered so many hundreds of thousands in what in the earlier times were the states of India, now partly Pakistan and Bangladesh + India, included skinned alive, burned alive and buried alive.)

In addition to from Biblical legends the stories in the Quran often are in accordance with religious and other fables, legends and fairy tales that were well known in Arabia at that time, but which are proven to be made up and not true. Even the Biblical stories Muhammad mostly did not know from the Bible, but from folklore (he hardly knew the Bible at all, only the religious stories and fables that sometimes really were from the Bible, but mostly were made up, and he did not know which were which - it is likely that Muhammad in all his life never even saw a Bible) and quoted what he liked – but most often falsely compared to the Bible. Then when someone told him he was wrong according to the Bible, he promptly explained that the Torah (main Jewish scriptures and first part of OT) and the Bible were falsified by the bad non-Muslims, and that his stories were the true ones. As true as the ones he took from other branches of the Arab folklore and a little from further east, gave a twist and told they were from Allah.

Finally: No human is perfect - and we far from it. If we have overlooked points that should have been included, please inform us. And if we have made mistakes - but we mean real mistakes, not something based on religious wishful thinking - we would dearly like to know, so we can correct the book. Go to our Feedback form.

It is normal for many Muslims to try to explain away any information that does not conform to the standard dogmas and stories of Islam, not to mention if information - even obvious facts - indicate or show that something is wrong with the religion or with the Quran. A very normal way to do it is simply to state - without proofs - that you are lying. Or that you are an Islam hater or a Jew lover and as such everything you say is to be dismissed as lies or at least as without any interest or value. But even if we were avid Islam haters, that is not the question - the question is: Does this person speak the truth at this point? (Actually there is a better chance to get the difficult questions and the difficult facts from the hater of something, because a lover will never mention them - especially not in religion). But actually we are no haters of Muslims - only frightened by Islam and stupefied that so many Muslims can read the Quran – and the Hadiths - and not react to the mistakes and other strange points.

As this book so closely follows the Quran, it as we say is easy for you to control that all quotations are correct. Then use your brain: Is what the writers further say, logical and correct? And the other way around: If someone tries to explain away something or simply call something a lie: Just open the book and check - and there you also have the references to where to find it in the Quran, so you can go directly to the Quran and see if the writer or orator is lying or the “opponent” is bluffing. (The Quran in English paperback just costs a few Euro or pounds or dollars - according to Muslims the translation made by Abdullah Yusuf Ali may be the best one - and it is the one used as basis for this book - but the ones translated by Pikhthall or Shakir may be as good – though there may be minor differences in the translations.)

Once more: Use your own brain when reading this book – and not to mention when reading the Quran - and when discussing with or reading pro-Islamists - it sometimes is so essential for Muslims to prove that there are no mistakes in the Quran (because then something is wrong with the religion) that they “twist” the facts a little - or not a little. And even once more: Remember to use your knowledge and brain when you read the Quran.

For many Muslims blind belief is highly attractive and praised. It is better to not doubt and not to find out if something is wrong - - - and get a surprise in the (perhaps) next life - than to check all the possible mistakes, contradictions, and invalid “signs” etc. that an omniscient god never had used - and may be do find out that something is wrong in time to search for the right religion (if such one exists) on this side of the possible Day of Doom. After the Day of Doom it is said to be too late. Besides: If they do not check if something is wrong, fanatics will not murder them.

NB, NB, NB:

1. Read first the 2 small chapters "Some Essentials for how the Quran is to be read and understood" (VII-10-1) and "The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated" (VII-10-2).

2. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked by many Muslim authorities. To debate with persons in such areas, cut and paste what you want from the pages and send it under titles different from <http://www.1000mistakes.com>.

3. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is one of 9 pages which Muslim organisations warned especially against in 2008 and 2009 - it could make especially procelytes lose their belief in Islam; correct and "down-to-the-earth" information works. In this connection it is worth noting that in the "warning" <http://www.1000mistakes.com> was one of 3 which neither was accused of bringing wrong facts, nor of being a hate page.

4. Comment 141 (to verse 6/149) in "The Message of the Quran" (see point 5) explains (translated from Swedish) about Allah's claimed omniscience vs. man's claimed free will:

"With other words: The real connection between Allah's knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man's relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true". Unbelievable. Blind belief is the only correct and intelligent way of life, even in the face of the utterly impossible!!

5. And an afterthought: In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additonal point here is that if there is no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to a god. And if there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

6. Further: All the mistakes, contradictions, etc. in that book prove 100% that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god - no god makes such and so many mistakes, etc. If then Islam is a made up religion, what then about all the Muslims who have been prohibited from looking for a real religion (if such one exists)? And where will they in case wake up after living and practising such an inhuman war religion like Islam is according to the Quran (and to Hadiths), if there is a second life somewhere? - Hell or Paradise?

7. NB and PS: No matter how sure you are about something, if it is not proved, it is not knowledge, only belief or strong belief, and can be wrong. Only what is proved or possible to prove is knowledge.

(As <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked in many Muslim areas - which shows they are afraid of it and lack arguments (if they had real arguments for <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is wrong, blocking was unnecessary) - "cut and paste" whatever you want from it and send if you want to inform or to debate there. Remember to omit the name <http://www.1000mistakes.com>).

PS: If we are blocked centrally - f. ex. by spam (there is too much at times already from unfriendly sources) we will reopen with new address somewhere else, and announce the new address om f. ex. <http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/islam>. Also if your comments to us do not reach us, any comments posted on the thread "What is it with

<http://www.1000mistakes.com> ?" (or make a page containing "1000 mistakes" in the title yourself if you want) on that forum will be read by us - it is a big international debate page.

PART I, CHAPTER 6 (= I-6-0-0)

PREFACE ABOUT THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM AND ALLAH

(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Originally we were little interested in religion, but as more and more Muslims arrived in the West, we found that we ought to read their holy book - the Quran (also written the Qur'an or the Koran) - because it is not possible to understand a people and their culture, without knowing their religion.

We read. And were shocked and frightened. We had been told - especially by Muslims - that Islam is a peaceful religion. In a way it is - but only towards other Muslims, and only as long as some Muslim leader does not want a war against "infidels" or as long as some Muslims do not declare that some other Muslims are not really Muslims. There are lots and lots of incitement to war and hate and discrimination towards non-Muslims in the Quran. Islam as given in the Quran – and especially in the 22-24 surahs from Medina – simply is a religion of hate and suppression, stealing/robbing and rape, blood and war. But we know a number of Muslims - most of them do not live according to those points in the Quran. And little by little our attention gravitated towards a mystery: The Quran tells it is sent down by the omniscient god Allah, and is perfect and without mistakes, Muhammad told the book was sent down from the god and was perfect and without mistakes, Islam tells the same, and so do Muslims.

AND ALL THE SAME THERE ARE LOTS OF MISTAKEN FACTS AND OTHER MISTAKES IN THE QURAN + A LOT OF INVALID AND WRONG LOGIC.

The Quran denies it. Muhammad denied it. Islam denies it. Muslims deny it. But all the same: Anybody with good knowledge of f. ex. geography, history, psychology, archaeology, or astronomy will find a great number of mistakes in the book.

F. ex. the Quran tells about the Muslim (!!) Alexander the Great (under the Arab "nickname" Dhu'l Quarnayn = "The Two-Horned One", which is a well known Arab name for Alexander to science) in surah 18, that he travelled west till he found the place where the sun set "in a spring of murky water" on Earth!! In addition to the other wrong points here, we know from history that Alexander never travelled west. Macedonia (his native country) and Egypt were the furthest west he ever came.

And what about the statements about 7 material heavens or firmaments that is frequently (ca. 200 times) mentioned in the book? - with the stars fixed to the lowermost of these (the heavens also have to be material to make it possible to fix the stars to one of them). And how about using stars as weapons against bad spirits? - the maker of the book obviously did not know the difference between a shooting star and a real star. Any god had known better. But Muhammad believed this - this was the astronomy of that time in Arabia (actually it is from Greek and also Persian/Indian astronomy of that time, a fact Muslims NEVER mention).

Not to mention the most well known mistake: According to the Quran, Mary - mother of Jesus - was the daughter of Imran and sister of Aaron (and consequently of Moses – 1200 years earlier). It is known from Hadith (Muslim traditions about Muhammad) that already Muhammad tried to “explain” those two mistakes, but neither he nor later Muslims have succeeded - scientists of religion (included some Muslim ones) agree on that Muhammad made a mistake here. Either when creating or when reciting it.

And to mention a last one: According to the Quran Jesus was thought the Gospel as a child/youth. But the oldest of the Gospels (there are four, not one) was written some 25 years after Jesus died (new discoveries say may be some years earlier). They simply did not exist - could not exist - when Jesus was that young. After all the Gospels are the story of the life, death and resurrection of Jesus, and could not be written until after his final disappearance. Perhaps - perhaps - one existed that was older than ca. the year 60 AD, but also this for very obvious reasons had to be written after Jesus' death, resurrection and disappearance. More about this later.

The whole religion of Islam is built on one presumption: That Mohammad always spoke the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and never forgot or made details wrong - and especially so when he told that the Quran was sent down from Allah, the omnipotent and omniscient god. But an omniscient god does not make mistakes “en masse” – he does not make mistakes at all. Neither does he use invalid “signs” nor “proofs” or invalid logic - only cheats and deceivers do that.

This became a mystery for us - the mistakes etc. were so many and so obvious. But hundreds of millions of Muslims could not all live in a fool's paradise or in an illusion!? We had to be wrong and there had to be some explanation. The mistakes were so easy to see - anybody can go to the Quran and find them. There had to be something we had overlooked.

And the mystery became even deeper as it dawned on us that a number of the mistakes were in accordance with the picture of the world and of history that learned men in the Middle East believed in at the time of Muhammad. Any god had recognized them as mistakes, but Muhammad believed it all to be correct. It was simply in accordance with what Muhammad thought was correct geography, history, astronomy, etc.

We honestly tried to find explanations. But it is difficult to get answers - “explanations” yes, explanations no. And the answers were not even polite sometimes - we “had to be stupid or Israel-lovers or Muslim-haters or we just parroted anti-Islamic propaganda” to ask such questions and not simply accept that the Quran is perfect and without mistakes, no matter what mistakes it contains. Even organisations for Islamic information were sarcastic as they answered my stupidity: We f. ex. asked for an explanation about the statements in the Quran that the heavens are supported by “pillars you do not see”. The answer was that “everybody with an IQ greater than 60, understand that that means there are no pillars”. We replied that

we know the difference between invisible pillars - the statement in the Quran - and non-existing pillars, and asked for a real explanation. We never got an answer.

The enigma of the Muslims and all the obvious mistakes in the Quran still is an enigma for us.

NB, NB, NB:

1. Read first the 2 small chapters "Some Essentials for how the Quran is to be read and understood" (VII-10-1) and "The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated" (VII-10-2).

2. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked by many Muslim authorities. To debate with persons in such areas, cut and paste what you want from the pages and send it under titles different from <http://www.1000mistakes.com>.

3. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is one of 9 pages which Muslim organisations warned especially against in 2008 and 2009 - it could make especially procelytes lose their belief in Islam; correct and "down-to-the-earth" information works. In this connection it is worth noting that in the "warning" <http://www.1000mistakes.com> was one of 3 which neither was accused of bringing wrong facts, nor of being a hate page.

4. Comment 141 (to verse 6/149) in "The Message of the Quran" (see point 5) explains (translated from Swedish) about Allah's claimed omniscience vs. man's claimed free will:

"With other words: The real connection between Allah's knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man's relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true". Unbelievable. Blind belief is the only correct and intelligent way of life, even in the face of the utterly impossible!!

5. And an afterthought: In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there is no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to a god. And if there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

6. Further: All the mistakes, contradictions, etc. in that book prove 100% that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god - no god makes such and so many mistakes, etc. If then Islam is a made up religion, what then about all the Muslims who have been prohibited from looking for a real religion (if such one exists)? And where will they in case wake up after living and practising such an inhuman war religion like Islam is according to the Quran (and to Hadiths), if there is a second life somewhere? - Hell or Paradise?

7. NB and PS: No matter how sure you are about something, if it is not proved, it is not knowledge, only belief or strong belief, and can be wrong. Only what is proved or possible to prove is knowledge.

(As <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked in many Muslim areas - which shows they are afraid of it and lack arguments (if they had real arguments for <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is wrong, blocking was unnecessary) - "cut and paste" whatever you want from it and send if you want to inform or to debate there. Remember to omit the name <http://www.1000mistakes.com>).

PS: If we are blocked centrally - f. ex. by spam (there is too much at times already from unfriendly sources) we will reopen with new address somewhere else, and announce the new address on f. ex. <http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/islam>. Also if your comments to us do not reach us, any comments posted on the thread "What is it with <http://www.1000mistakes.com> ?" (or make a page containing "1000 mistakes" in the title yourself if you want) on that forum will be read by us - it is a big international debate page.

PART I, CHAPTER 7 (= I-7-0-0)

SHORT ABOUT MUHAMMAD, THE PROPHET OF THE QURAN, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(There are much more in chapter XI).

**(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN -
THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)**

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Muslims turn raving mad when someone says something not flattering about Muhammad - remember f. ex. the Danish cartoons about him some time ago (an episode that told the rest of the world a lot more about Islam and about Muslims than Islam and Muslims really wanted us to understand). But Muslims will have to accept that most of the world really does not believe Islam is the true religion - if they did, they had become Muslims long time ago. Consequently they then of course do not believe that Muhammad always said the truth - if they did, they had turned Muslims quickly.

Also: It is not an insult to anyone - f. ex. Muhammad - to tell what is true (f. ex. that a person who let 700 helpless prisoners be murdered, and then took all their families for slaves - and personally raped a newlywed 17 year old woman after having tortured her husband to death the same day - is not an obviously good man). Neither is it an insult to ask honest questions, even if it is questions about f. ex. Muhammad - f. ex. how can the verses where it clearly is Mohammad who speaks, be sent down from Heaven and be copies of a revered "Mother book" there? - and so old a book that may be it was never written, but always existed? (The last is not said in the Quran, but is an accepted "truth" for many Muslims).

There is one other main fact about Muhammad that is never mentioned or debated – yes, most people, not to mention most/all Muslims, do not even think it over that it is incorrect – a

conveniently forgotten fact - even though it is very obvious that it is wrong: Muhammad was not a prophet, he just “borrowed” that impressive and imposing “heavy” title.

The definition of a prophet is a person who:

1. Is able to make prophesies. If not he/she of course is no prophet.
2. The prophesies must at least mostly come true. If not he/she obviously is a false prophet.
3. Prophesying must be a not too unsubstantial part of his/her mission/work in life – you do not merit the title carpenter even if you repair your garden shed a little once every blue moon.

Muhammad neither had, nor claimed or pretended to have, the gift of being able to make prophesies. There is nowhere in the Quran where he states he makes prophesies, and nowhere in the Quran where he even claims he is able to make prophesies – on the contrary actually: Whenever there is a request for anything supernatural (prophesying is something supernatural – a miracle) to prove that Allah is real or to prove Muhammad’s connection to a god, the Quran is back-peddalling heavily to “explain” why Allah did not wish to do so. The back-peddalling was sometimes so heavy, that there is no chance that an intelligent man like Muhammad did not know that the main argument – that one or a few real miracles would not make anybody believe him anyhow – was and is a lie.

There is not a little about miracles, clairvoyance, etc. connected to Muhammad in the Hadiths. But the Quran proves 100% that these are made up legends – the book’s repeated and strong back-peddalling whenever the question was raised, proves that. If miracles (and making prophesies is one class of miracles) connected to Muhammad had happened, the Quran proudly had told about it instead of the persistent “explaining” away of the question one finds in the book. Yes, if anyone – friend or foe – had ever seen or reliably heard about one single miracle, the request or challenge respectively for a miracle as a proof for Allah and for Muhammad’s connection to Allah had never been raised, not to mention raised so persistently that it found its way into the Quran because it needed to be explained away.

There are a few cases where what Muhammad said – or at least what Islam tells he said – came true. But it is impossible for a person to talk much throughout a long life, included optimistic pep-talk to his followers and warriors, unless at least a little of it comes true – and then is remembered (whereas the rest is forgotten) by strong supporters. But the main fact is that when Muhammad said something, he never even pretended it was meant as proper prophesies. And he never claimed to have that gift. Actually one of the things that strikes one when reading the Quran, is the total absence of intended prophesies and of intended foretelling of the future – except the mentioned few that by accident just happened to come true. No real prophesying at all. And as said: Not one claim from Muhammad of being able to make real prophesies.

So when f. ex. Moses said there was going to come “a prophet like me”, and the Muslims claim that is a foretelling about Muhammad, that is a joke: **How could Muhammad be “a prophet like Moses” when he in reality was no prophet at all?!**

Muhammad was no real prophet – he only “borrowed” the title. A “forgotten” fact.

Muhammad’s life can be split in three:

1. "Ordinary" life (ca.570-610 AD). He became a salesman when he grew up. Muslim traditions tell he was an honest one. There is no special reason to disbelieve this, but also there are only Muslim sources - and for Muslims/Islam it is very essential to believe he was honest and never lied or cheated or betrayed – all of which he did - because all Islam only rests on his words.

2. Self proclaimed prophet in Mecca for a rather peaceful religion with a few followers (610-622 AD).

3. A self proclaimed prophet in Yathrib/Medina (622-632 AD) who changed his religion sharply towards hate and blood and suppression in and shortly after 622 AD, when he and his followers started to make a living from stealing/robbing, enslaving and extorting. This is very easy to see if you read the surahs in chronological order. Non-Muslim experts on Islam's history agree on that his morality and his religion deteriorated very much and became much more inhuman after he came to Medina - perhaps this was a cause of his growing success, as the war and suppressing/enslaving and robbing religion attracted the Arab warrior tribes much more than his former peaceful version. It is very clear from the Quran if you read the surahs in chronological order and from the Hadith, that Muhammad liked power and liked to be the undisputed ruler - the representative of Allah, and in some ways even trying to be synonymous with Allah's power on Earth - and that he aspired for more and more power - - - and remember that "absolute power corrupts absolutely". Just look at how he glued himself to the god – his platform of power. Stealing/robbing, extortion, hate, rape, dishonesty, betrayal, suppression, enslavement, slave trading, torture, assassination, murder, mass murder and war - everything was "lawful and good" and liked by Allah, in order to forward his religion and consequently his power. Regarding Islam the dishonesty (f. ex. the 30 men from Khaybar he guaranteed safe conduct for peace talks, but instead murdered), betrayal (remember f. ex. his "war is betrayal" combined with the fact that everything outside Islam was and is "land of war") and lust for power may be most serious - how reliable is such a man when he talks about his platform for power, his religion? See also the chapter about "al-Taqiyya" – the lawful lie.

He also personally practised rape of young women and he became a grand scale womaniser, just like so many other self proclaimed prophets - - - and needed Allah's help to keep his harem peaceful and obedient some times. Either his personal moral and ethic deteriorated - or hidden sides of his personality surface.

More about Muhammad in separate chapter.

NB, NB, NB:

1. Read first the 2 small chapters "Some Essentials for how the Quran is to be read and understood" (VII-10-1) and "The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated" (VII-10-2).

2. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked by many Muslim authorities. To debate with persons in such areas, cut and paste what you want from the pages and send it under titles different from <http://www.1000mistakes.com>.

3. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is one of 9 pages which Muslim organisations warned especially against in 2008 and 2009 - it could make especially procelytes lose their belief in

Islam; correct and "down-to-the-earth" information works. In this connection it is worth noting that in the "warning" <http://www.1000mistakes.com> was one of 3 which neither was accused of bringing wrong facts, nor of being a hate page.

4. Comment 141 (to verse 6/149) in "The Message of the Quran" (see point 5) explains (translated from Swedish) about Allah's claimed omniscience vs. man's claimed free will:

"With other words: The real connection between Allah's knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man's relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true". Unbelievable. Blind belief is the only correct and intelligent way of life, even in the face of the utterly impossible!!

5. And an afterthought: In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there is no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to a god. And if there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

6. Further: All the mistakes, contradictions, etc. in that book prove 100% that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god - no god makes such and so many mistakes, etc. If then Islam is a made up religion, what then about all the Muslims who have been prohibited from looking for a real religion (if such one exists)? And where will they in case wake up after living and practising such an inhuman war religion like Islam is according to the Quran (and to Hadiths), if there is a second life somewhere? - Hell or Paradise?

7. NB and PS: No matter how sure you are about something, if it is not proved, it is not knowledge, only belief or strong belief, and can be wrong. Only what is proved or possible to prove is knowledge.

(As <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked in many Muslim areas - which shows they are afraid of it and lack arguments (if they had real arguments for <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is wrong, blocking was unnecessary) - "cut and paste" whatever you want from it and send if you want to inform or to debate there. Remember to omit the name <http://www.1000mistakes.com>).

PS: If we are blocked centrally - f. ex. by spam (there is too much at times already from unfriendly sources) we will reopen with new address somewhere else, and announce the new address om f. ex. <http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/islam>. Also if your comments to us do not reach us, any comments posted on the thread "What is it with <http://www.1000mistakes.com> ?" (or make a page containing "1000 mistakes" in the title yourself if you want) on that forum will be read by us - it is a big international debate page.

PART I, CHAPTER 8 (= I-8-0-0)

A FEW WORDS ABOUT ALLAH, THE GOD OF THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, AND ISLAM

(There are more at the end of the book).

(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

The old Arabs had lots of deities. Some 360 were represented in the Kabah in Mecca. Of these 4 were dominant in the region around Mecca and in large parts of Arabia: al-Lah, and his three daughters al-Lat, al-Uzza and (al-) Manat. The top god was al-Lah (the name means “the god“- not “God“, but “the god“).

Muhammad changed the name of this old polytheistic main god slightly from al-Lah to Allah, told that all the other gods (but not Allah) were just fantasy and made up, and that Allah and the Jewish/Christian god Yahweh was the same god - a statement that only Islam states, but most Jews and Christians oppose, and with a good reason; as there are too many fundamental differences; it can not possibly be the same god behind Islam and the two other religion, and especially not the one based on the New Testament (NT) – not unless the god has a severely split personality; schizophrenia. (“Do not kill” vs. “Do not kill without a good reason”, the search for and the value of the “lost lamb” vs. “Do not mourn the wrongdoers”, to mention just two.)

Allah is also a much harder god towards non-believers than Yahweh - at least as you meet Yahweh in the New Testament (NT) and the new covenant versus Allah in the late parts of Muhammad’s teachings in the surahs from Medina. In the Old Testament (OT) it is told about wars 2000-4000 years ago. NT hardly accepts war. The Quran, and especially the surahs from Medina, not only incites to hate and war against non-believers, but also makes such wars a duty - before, now and in all the future, until Islam is dominant and all others are suppressed, second class citizens with few rights, and paying extra tax. Nice texts for terrorists. The difference is that the Christian religion sometimes have been disused for political or military purposes in spite of the religion and the NT, whereas Islam has been used - and disused - in accordance with the religion, and especially in accordance with the last some 22 surahs from Medina (the ones really valid according to Islam’s and Muhammad’s and the Quran’s rules for abrogation (when two or more verses disagree, the youngest one normally is the correct one and the oldest one/ones is/are invalid - the ones from Medina are the youngest ones, and the ones preaching hate and rape, suppression and blood).

No, it is not the same god behind these religions - not unless Allah is at least schizophrenic.

Well, as we did not find the answers, we list the mistakes we have found, and ask any and all Muslims and non-Muslims for explanations. Please tell us where we are wrong, so we can understand why Islam is a real and true and benevolent religion. But please let it be real explanations, not fast words just to hide a mistake - we mostly will see through fast talk anyhow.

If we have made any mistakes, please tell us and they will be corrected (but on the other hand; if there are mistakes we have overlooked, we will appreciate information about that, too - but again; it has to be real mistakes, not speculations).

AND FINALLY: Allah never - NEVER - proved his existence or any connection to Muhammad. There only were words. The cheater and betrayer (f. ex. Khaybar and its peace talk delegation)

PART I, CHAPTER 9 (= I-9-0-0)

THE MAKING AND TRANSLATION OF THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

- AND SOME QUOTES

(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

If nothing else is said, all our quotations from the Quran are from “The Holy Qur’an”, translated by Abdullah Yusuf Ali, the 2000 edition from Wordsworth Classics, printed with permission from Islamic Propagation Centre, Islamic Vision, 434 Coventry Road, Birmingham, B10 OUG, UK. Mr. A. Yusuf Ali is reckoned by Muslims to be one of the top 3 translators of the Quran to English (the other two are Pikhall and Shakir), if not THE best.

The book is cheap in paperback, and anybody can read it - the language is easy, though often boring and far from high quality literature - and control if our quotations are correct. And anyone can look for the mistakes or other things if they want.

According to Muslim sources, the story goes that the Quran got its final content ca. 650 AD (not later than 656 AD under the third caliph, Uthman). But that is not 100% true – actually far from 100% true. This partly because even if everybody was ordered to burn old Qurans and only keep the new, official one, it took at least 100 - 200 years and may be more (books after all were valuable - and represented the religion like they had learnt it) before all other ones were destroyed, and the texts were known to many educated people. This resulted in

changes now and then in texts as they were copied - by hand. Besides the Arab written language at that time lacked the short vowels and also still had none of the points Arabs today use when writing (the so-called dicritical points) and the other signs like full stop, comma, etc. - the written Arab language/the alphabet was not perfected until around 900 AD. Because of that, it frequently is difficult even today to know what word really was written, as one has to guess what vowels to use and what signs are missing. In cases where more than one meaning is possible, all the different possible meanings are judged to be correct by Islam – but they are called “ways of reading” to camouflage that there really are different varieties of the text. And also in the Hadith (explanation in next chapter) it is said that according to Muhammad, the Quran was sent down in 7 versions that all were correct, even where they differed. Not to mention all the different varieties that existed in earlier times because of the unclear language. (f. ex. in 1972 a number of very old Qurans were found in Yemen. They turned out to have “small, but significant differences” compared to modern ones - and then western scientists were denied access.) So when a Muslim tells the Quran is always correct, it is pertinent to ask him which Quran (the Arabic Quran dominating today, is the one that happened to be dominant in Egypt when they printed an edition in 1924, the one after Hafs, whereas the one after Warsh is used in parts of Africa, especially North Africa).

Actually for a long time there were 14 - fourteen - canonised versions of the book (Ibn Warraq: “Why I am not a Muslim” and others): Even after Uthman’s version, there were lots of others, partly because of the unclear alphabet. Then there was made a “final” canonisation influenced by the great Muslim teacher Ibn Mohair (dead 935 AD). They stated that 7 variants (other Muslim teachers accepted 10 to 14) had to be accepted as correct. But as each existed in two versions, one ended up with 14 different, all accepted as correct, because it was fully possible to understand the original in those different ways (and actually more), as the original as mentioned was written by means of a far from perfect alphabet. (To make an example in English: If you know that the vowels are omitted, and you have two letters you think represents a word - f. ex. “h” and “s” - the word can as well be “house” as “hose” or even “his” or “has”).

These are the canonized 14 versions (they called it “ways of reading” – as said to hide that there were many variants). 1. name is the editor, 2. and 3. names are the narrators:

1+2:	Nafi from Medina	after Warsh or Qalun.
3+4:	Ibn Kathir from Mecca	after al-Bazzi or Qunbul.
5+6:	Ibn Amir from Damascus	after Hisham or Ibn Dhakwan.
7+8:	Abu Amr from Basra	after al-Duri or al-Susi.
9+10:	Asim from Kufa	after Hafs or Abu Bakr (not the caliph).
11+12:	Hamza from Kufa	after Khalaf or Khallad.
13+14:	Al-Kisai from Kufa	after al-Duri or Abul Harith.

As you understand there is a good reason for asking Muslims which Quran is the one perfect and without mistakes - and which one Allah really sent down (if he did). Only one of these really can be 100% correct - and may be none. Most likely none - too many varieties are possible. And too many mistakes etc. in the book.

Over the years 3 of these 14 came to dominate: Nafi after Warsh, Asim after Hafs and Abu Amr after al-Duri. And today there mostly are two versions that are used: Asim after Hafs - the one used when printed in Egypt in 1924 - and Nafi after Warsh (used in parts of Africa). Asim after Hafs is dominant today, but Nafi after Warsh as mentioned is used in large parts of Africa.

As the question of mistakes is very essential for Islam, we have chosen to quote all places where we have found something that clearly is wrong (numbered by numbers) or very likely wrong (numbered by letters). This means that the same mistake often will be repeated in different connections. We have chosen to mention most of them, so the reader will be able to find the places - if it is too boring to read repetitions many times, read the shortlist instead. You also will find many answers repeated many times (not in the shortlist) - simply because the Quran tells the same stories and the same tales again and again (and often in boring prose - not good literature, no matter what Muslims say).

And: During all these centuries lots of explanations for the mistakes have emerged (We will mention some of them). Some may be true, some make question marks, and a lot obviously are just fast-talk. Use your knowledge and your brain when you meet such explanations: Are they reliable explanations? Is it something that demands more research? Or is it simply fast-talk? **All too often it is fast-talk.**

PART I, CHAPTER 10 (= I-10-0-0)

SHORT ABOUT HADITHS - THE OTHER SOURCE OF RELIGIOUS INFORMATION FOR MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, AND ISLAM

**(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN -
THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)**

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

In Islam you will meet the word Hadith (plural Ahadith in Arab) frequently. This is short stories or tales about things Muhammad and some of his closest co-workers (and especially in Shi'a Islam also some other early Muslims) said and did. What Islam reckons to be the most reliable collections, were collected 200-300 years after Muhammad, but as such tales then had been used and disused at a large scale for political and other purposes, already then there were literally hundreds of thousands of them, and most of them fakes (made up f. ex. by leaders

wanting their followers to believe that this or that was according to Muhammad's ways.) The best collectors did a huge and - we think – reasonably honest work to sort the good from the bad, and today there are some thousands Hadiths said to be true. But even today they are sorted in four categories according to how likely it is that they really are true. There are mainly three criteria for evaluating how likely it is that a Hadith is true: Who told it to whom? How reliable were the persons telling and retelling the story? And did more persons tell the same story?

The weak spot of course is that if someone faked a Hadith, it was as easy to fake who had told it, too. Some may be true, some perhaps – or surely - not, even in the best collections. The story with the three faked cartoons of Mohammad - faked by mullahs in Denmark to incite more anger - tells volumes both about how easy faking is, and about what morality even the clergy sometimes represent: The goal justifies - or sanctifies - the means, and al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie – an Islamic speciality) was and is convenient to use now and then. Enormous numbers of Hadiths were faked, and there is little doubt today that also a number of the accepted Hadiths in reality are fakes (the Quran f. ex. proves that all the Hadiths about miracles connected to Muhammad, are made up - if not they had been mentioned there, and - more essential - Muhammad had not had to explain away the fact that there never was a single miracle connected to him. Also Islam admits that "Muhammad made no miracle except the Quran") - and it is difficult to know which are which (though sometimes it is easy to see that this and this are fakes).

The two collections that are reconed by Islam to be the most reliable, are made one by Al-Bukhari and one by imam Muslim.

PART I, CHAPTER 11 (= I-11-0-0)

SOME ESSENTIAL INFORMATION FROM AND ABOUT TEXTS IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

001 2/106: "None of Our (Allah's*) revelations do We abrogate or cause to be forgotten, but We substitute something better or similar - - -". "Substitute" in this connection means exactly the same as "abrogate", but "abrogate" is a loaded word among some Muslims, as it "talks" about a god that is claimed to be omniscient and all the same he has to try and fail or he is unable quite to make up his mind about what he really wants. Actually "substitute" tells exactly the same, but for some reason that word is not so "loaded". Many Muslims denies that abrogations exists and try to explain away contradictions in the Quran in other ways, but

abrogation is an integrated and absolutely necessary part of Islam, both in the religion and in f. ex. the judicial system. Also see 16/101 below.

002 3/7: “He (Allah*) it is Who has sent down to thee (Muhammad/Muslims*) the Book (the Quran*), in it are verses basic or fundamental (of established meaning); that are the foundation of the Book: others are allegorical. But those in whose hearts is perversity follow the part thereof that is allegorical, seeking discord, and seeking for its hidden meanings, but no one knows its hidden meanings except Allah.” It is very clear here that the plain and obvious meaning in the texts mainly is the correct understanding. When you remember that Muhammad’s congregation mainly was uneducated and often naïve people, it is even easier to understand that this had to be the case.

Then is the question about allegories. There are some scattered verses said to be allegories or similar, and which are explained the meanings of. As the meanings are explained, these must be understood as included in “verses basic or fundamental”.

There are no clear allegories, where the meaning is not obvious or explained. There are a number of verses where the meaning is difficult or impossible to see. But woollen speech does not mean an allegory – an allegory is a story that means something else. Woollen speech only is woollen or unclear speech - - - for “those in whose hearts is perversity”?

But in any text – even in Donald Duck – it is possible to find – or make up - hidden meanings. But this is strongly advised against in this verse (3/7): Only Allah is qualified to do that:”- - - no one knows its hidden meaning except Allah”. And who is better to know just that than Allah? – the maker of the book (?) - and the one revering the presumed Mother Book in Allah's home, a book which the Quran is claimed to be a copy of (without proofs as normal for Islam)? But all the same a standard explanation used by Islam to “explain” any mistake or contradiction that is difficult to explain, is that it must not be understood literally but allegorically. As soon as f. ex. science shows that something in the Quran is wrong, that text switches from being “basic and fundamental – of established meaning”, to becoming an allegory. This in spite of Allah and in spite of the Quran. It is one of the three most frequently used last ditch ways Islam and Muslims use to try to “explain” away things that cannot be explained. (The other ones are: “You cannot take the meaning from just one point or a few verse – you have to judge from the whole connection (or the whole Quran)”, and: “You are just a Muslim hater or Israel lover and what facts you tell consequently are invalid and of no interest”). This in spite of that they themselves happily and with glee quotes and often even twists words far out of context to favour Islam (f. ex. “There is (wrongly quoted) no compulsion in religion”) or to discredit any other religion). But 3/7 proves that to make up hidden meanings behind the words – f. ex. changing its meaning to be allegorical where an allegory is not indicated – is wrong and strongly against Allah’s wish and order – it is the work of “those in whose hearts is perversity”.

Also see 44/58 and 54/17 below.

003 4/82: “Had it (the Quran*) been from other than Allah, they would have found therein much discrepancy.” Some 1700+ mistaken facts – may be some 3000 mistakes all together - hundreds of contradictions, hundreds of cases of invalid logic, hundreds of cases of unclear language, lots of cases of invalid or even plainly wrong “signs” and “proofs” – it hardly is possible on this wide Earth to find a book containing a greater number of wrongs and wrong points - - - discrepancies. This in itself proves 100% that something is seriously wrong with

the Quran and hence with Muhammad and with Islam. And combined with this verse it makes it an indisputable fact – at least 110% true: Something is wrong.

004 5/101: “Oh ye who believe! Do not ask questions about things which, if made plain to you, may cause you trouble (in your belief in Muhammad/the Quran*)”. A Muslim had better not get knowledge that may tell him that Islam may be wrong – blind belief in a book full of mistakes, contradictions, etc. is better than to find out what is true; if there is a risk for that the truth is “wrong” compared to the Quran.

005 13/38: “For each periode is a Book (revealed)”. Because times are changing, Islam claims that Allah has sent down new books now and then – to Abraham (a nomad some 1900 years BC hardly knew how to read and write), to Moses, to the later Jews, and to Jesus (except that NT only came years after Jesus died) – and finally the Quran. Only some questions:

1. If the Quran is a copy of the Mother Book that is veneered in heaven, why is the Mother Book speaking nearly only to Muhammad, in spite of there having been 124000 or more prophets through the times according to Islam?
2. If the Quran is a copy of the Mother Book, made aeons ago – perhaps existed since eternity – why does it advice Muhammad a lot, a dozen or so other prophets a little and all the other cohorts of prophets not at all – they, too, could need advices, and Allah could not quote the Mother Book to Noah or Lot or one of the claimed many others, just telling them tales about how Muhammad’s wives were told to be good girls – or to Jesus how to be bloody in war, etc. (Besides “Allah decides everything” is impossible even theoretically to combine with “man has free will”. Utterly impossible.)
3. If the Quran is the revealed copy of a Mother Book aeons old and meant for all the world, why then is the “present” and contemporary time and place and background for the book just the years when and just the area where Muhammad was a preacher? – there are tales about Moses and Abraham and Jesus and a few others, but those are tales – Muhammad and Mecca/Medina is the “present time” and the localisation and the background culture of the book – included wrong science, legends, fairy tales and ways of thinking and behaving. No omniscient and omnipotent god for all times and all the world is bound by time and area to a few years and a few thousand square miles – not to mention to lots of wrong

knowledge connected to that uncivilized and backward time and place.

4. If a “for each periode is a book (revealed)” because times changes, why then is there not a new book long since? Things have changed more the last 300 years - yes, 100 years - than in all the former may be 200000 years combined, something an omniscient and clairvoyant god like Allah knew long time before Muhammad was even a wish in his fathers eyes, if he is correctly described in the Quran.

006 16/101: “When We (Allah*) substitute one revelation for another - - -.” As “substitute” and “abrogation” in this connection means exactly the same – to replace one or more old verses with new ones and make the old ones invalid – this is one of the 100% proofs for that substitution/abrogation is part of the Quran - - - in spite of what some Muslims claim and dearly like to believe (that abrogations do not exist), because abrogations prove that Allah is not infallible and has to try and fail – and/or he is not very strong-minded and changes his mind now and then. Another similar proof is 2/106 – see that one above.

007 44/58: “Verily, We (Allah*) have made this (Quran) easy, in thy (Muhammad’s) tongue in order that they (people*) may give heed.” Also see 3/7 above and 54/17 below. There is no doubt that Muhammad/Allah meant the Quran to be understood literally. To search for hidden meanings of a not clearly indicated allegorie is wrong. All the same Muslims and Islam use claims in east and west as a last resort to be able to “explain” that which is not explainable if one reads the Quran literally and in the way Allah clearly states that it is to be read and understood – except for by those “in whose hearts is perversity” (3/7).

008 54/17: “And We (Allah*) have indeed made the Quran easy to understand - - -.” Anyone wanting to try to “explain” away difficult points like mistakes or invalid logic or contradictions by calling them allegories etc. should read this sentence. It even is written 4 times and thus a solidly cemented and nailed truth: The Quran is to be understood literally and search for hidden meanings is only for Allah, and such search only is for the ones “in whose hearts is perversity - - -.” Explainings away that need claims about allegories, clearly is wrong - the Quran is to be understood literally id nothing else is said. (3/7). Also see 3/7 and 44/58 above.

009 54/20: Similar to 54/17.

010 54/32: Similar to 54/17.

011 54/40: Similar to 54/17.

PART I, CHAPTER 12 (= I-12-0-0)

A SERIOUS PS ABOUT ISLAM AND MUSLIMS

(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

WHEN READING THE QURAN - AND EVEN MORE WHEN YOU ARE READING COMMENTS, EXPLANATIONS OR "EXPLANATIONS" ABOUT WHAT IS TOLD IN THE BOOK, YOU SHOULD REMEMBER:

1. Muslims seldom or never use critical thinking when thinking or talking about their religion. They accept the Quran as the ultimate truth, and then try to adjust reality if "the map does not fit the terrain". This no matter how obvious the mistake is.
2. Explaining things, Muslims often make conclusions going further than logic really permits.
3. Explaining things, Muslims often - often - states things for true that are not proved.
4. Explaining things, Muslims often states that they are right without proofs, and then demand proofs from any opponent. (Efficient way to "win" a discussion). Do not accept this – demand documentation and proofs for any statement, as they very often are wrong or unproven claims only.
5. Muslims tend to not accept science if that science collide with their religion.
6. Muslims tends to use science if it can be made to look - sometimes with a twist or two - like it supports their religion. Big Bang f. ex. is used to prove that the Quran is right when it says that the heavens and the Earth was once one body - even if it is easy to show it is wrong. Or that the big flood really was the flooding of the empty basin that became the Mediterranean Sea (f. ex. "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo) - which is even more easy to prove wrong, as that happened some 4 - 5 million years ago, before Homo Sapiens (modern man) even existed, and besides took many years to happen - water only rising some meters a year - as the strait was narrow in the beginning and the basin big).
7. The Quran tells it is to be read literally. All the same some Muslims use "advanced" arguments to "explain" that points or verses they do not want to be mistakes, are figurative ones - alegories.
8. There is not one single valid proof for a god in the Quran, with the possible exception of some "proofs" taken from the Bible - and they speak about the Jewish and Christian god (most of us do not know it, but his name is Yahweh) not Allah. And there definitely is not one single valid proof for the existence of Allah - in spite of what Islam tells us. Not one single. Only claims and statements - some of them very loose - and invalid "signs" and "proofs" + invalid logic.
9. There is not one single valid proof for Muhammad's connection to a god – only logically invalid claims and statements.
10. Muhammad was not really a prophet – he just "borrowed" that imposing and impressing title. A prophet is a person with the gift of: 1. Being able to make valid prophesies. 2. The prophesies he makes at least mostly are correct - if not he is a false prophet. 3. He makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies that to make prophesies clearly is part of his mission. Muhammad neither had this gift, nor pretended he had it, nor claimed he had it. (Details in

the chapter about Muhammad.) He may have been a messenger for someone or something, but not a real prophet.

11. Muslims and Islam tell that Allah and Yahweh is the same god. But only Muslims (and a few others who take after Muslims on that point) say so. And there are so fundamental differences at too many central points, especially compared to the Christian religion, that the statement simply is not true - not unless the god is schizophrenic.
12. Islam tells that where the Quran differs from the Bible, the reason is that the Bible is falsified. Science tells that the reason is that Muhammad knew the Bible badly, and the easy way out when he said something colliding with the Bible, was to state that the Bible was falsified. Science actually long since has proved beyond any reasonable and most unreasonable doubts that Muhammad's not documented and never proved claim is wrong. An extra point here is that the stories in the Quran often are taken from apocryphal (made up) scriptures, and from Jewish and Christian and other legends and fairy tales, not from the Bible, and when then the story is different or does not exist in the Bible, Muhammad simply and boldly explains the "obvious"; that the reason why the Quran has a different story, is that the Bible is falsified - and Islam says the same today in spite of all proofs proving the opposite (they have to, because if not the religion is proved a false one). Hardly one single real scientist today believes that the Quran is sent down by an omniscient god - and no professor of history outside believing Muslims ever uses it for a source of historical facts for history before 610 AD, no matter if Muslims insist that every word and every comma is true and identical to Muhammad's words - which is not true, as the comma did not exist in Arab when the Quran was collected.
13. Besides: All the old manuscripts also show that the claim that the Bible is falsified is not true – and the best proof for this, is that Islam has never produced any example of such an old falsification. Even though there exist many thousands (13000?) relevant old manuscripts, and many more (32000?) with references to the Bible, they do not contain any of the falsifications Islam claims – not one of them. If one single proof for falsification had been found, Islam had SCREAMED about it.
14. Muslims has a tendency to dismiss you as "Muslim hater" or "Israel lower" etc. in debates if they do not like what you say or if they need a way out from facts they cannot answer. BUT IF THE FACTS YOU PRESENTS ARE TRUE, THEY ARE TRUE NO MATTER WHETHER YOU ARE THIS OR THAT - YOUR PERSON IS IRRELEVANT FOR THE FACTS. Muslims often "forget" that.
15. Another way out from a difficult question or argument is this: "You cannot make conclusions from only one or a few verses – you have to judge from the general picture from the Quran". This they claim even though they themselves condemn each and every other religion from bits and pieces of facts (or fiction). But as few non-Muslims have ever read the Quran, it is difficult for them to meet the claim. But honestly speaking: If you are unable to extract the essence of one or some verses, anybody should stay out of debated - their intellectual capacity is only up to buying bread and cheese in a shop.
16. One straight answer to the point above is that anyone who is not able to extract the significant points from a text or part of a text, does not have the brain to take part in any debate and should stay out of it, instead of using diffuse claims - like you cannot judge from only parts of the Quran - to get out of difficulties.
17. And then there are the allegories. The Quran plainly states that it mainly is to be understood literally, see chapter about this or f. ex 3/7. But anything that is difficult to explain becomes allegories and is explained away in that way – included scientific mistakes in the book. Scientific "facts" in the book are – and have been – told to be literal facts - - - until real science gets too strong proofs for that it is wrong. Then it becomes allegories, according to Islam and Muslims. Most of what is told in the book was scientific facts for all the old congregations and followers, and for their scholars in the old time. Then – instead of checking what was wrong – each and every wrong fact was successively explained away or renamed "allegory" some years after the proofs for it being wrong became too strong. Today

very much in the Quran are "allegories" (also see separate chapter). It is better to explain things away, than to face the possibility that something may be wrong. This even in the face of the terrible result Muslims may meet in a possible next life, if Islam is a made up religion – and even more so if a real god exists somewhere, a god they have been denied the possibility to search for.

PART I, CHAPTER 14 (= I-14-0-0)

ATTEMPTS TO REFUTE AND DISCREDIT <http://www.1000mistakes.com> BY MUSLIMS AND ISLAM

(PART I, CHAPTERS 1 - 14 = SOME SPECIAL ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

www.1000.mistakes.com now (autumn 2009 AD) have been on the net one year.

The first reactions we got from Muslims, were not documented statements about how wrong we were, plus a number of claims - not documented - about what they said were right. Which were not right.

This was closely followed by death warnings. It is better to kill the bringer of facts you do not like, than to think things over - - - and perhaps have to question some of your old beliefs. Beliefs built on nothing but what others have told you without proving or documenting anything. Beliefs in reality built only on the words of a man who according to Islam was a thief/robber, extortioner, womanizer, paedophile, enslaver, torturer, rapist, murderer, mass murderer, hate-monger, war-monger, a man who institutionalized al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) and Kitman (the lawful half-truth), a man who had as a slogan that "war is deceit" (Muhammad Ibn Ishaq) and who declared that one should break even ones oaths if that give better results, not to mention a man who liked power - you find all this in the Quran and in the Sahih hadiths.

Such threats tell volumes about how peaceful the war religion Islam - also claimed to be "the Religion of Peace" - is. It also tells volumes about Islam's lack of good arguments - if you have good arguments, it is not necessary to kill the opponent to win a debate.

The next step was that references to <http://www.1000mistakes.com> were blocked on many Muslim Internet pages - even on pages for debate. According to the Quran you shall not discuss subjects which can give you second thoughts - blind belief and listening to undocumented "right" claims are much better.

Then something interesting happened this spring (2009): Islamic organisations sent out under cover warnings about 9 dangerous Internet pages - but of course there was a leak and it

ended on Internet. On that list was <http://www.1000mistakes.com> - and it was one of only 3 pages they neither called "hate pages" nor claimed that the quotes or facts were wrong. They only warned strictly against the page, because it may cause especially new recruits to Islam loose their faith.

Interesting for 2 reasons: 1). That is just the group we primarily try to reach. 2). The warning shows that the page bites.

And now we have discovered a new turn of the screw: Internet pages trying to refute <http://www.1000mistakes.com> .

F. ex. one poor soul is screaming: "Where has this site been refuted? - is there a muslim brother who refuted these points or the website?".

Now one thing is to scream for help to find out what is the truth. But if you only scream for refuting, you get just the answers you deserve - and especially if you call only more or less professional explainers-away-of-painful-realities.

And there are soothing answers. Answers typical for some groups of Muslims: A lot of words, but loose words. A lot of explaining that this must be understood differently because the plain meaning is not what the Quran really means. A lot of claims for that this and this clear meaning, has a hidden meaning - is an allegory or something - that is the real meaning - - - this in spite of that Allah in the Quran states that the book has a clear and easy text with clear meanings, and that only the ones "sick of heart" look for hidden meanings because those only Allah can understand. I. e. Allah tells that the meanings the Quran clearly express, is what the Quran means.

But to call wrong information allegories, etc. all the same is one of the most used Islamic last resorts to explain away the unexplainable and the wrong - you meet it very often.

Here also is an interesting and most telling fact to be observed: Muslims in such cases do not always (to say the least of it) check their information very well - neither when "explaining" nor when listening. As long as the explanation or explaining away on the surface may look ok, it is told with a straight face that "this is the truth" - not even "it may be like this", but "it is like this" - and then accepted with few questions, but with relief, by the pious listeners who wants and wishes it to be true.

Yes, we had nearly forgotten this Muslim other speciality: To use not quite correct scientific facts and not quite correct logic.

We are not going heavily into this now, though we will use a little of it in the chapter about doubtful techniques of debate used by Muslims when debating Islam that will come in the next - and last - edition some time in 2010 AD (the complete edition).

1. Honestly he/she who calls not for the truth in such a serious question as religion, but only for refuting facts one does not like, deserves what answers he/she gets.
2. He/she who blindly believes and abstain from trying to find out what is the truth and not only what the old ones have told, and what one consequently wish for to be true, deserves to wake up in a next life - if such one exists. Especially if he or she was wrong and wakes up the wrong place.

3. And he or she who on top calls to more or less professional explainers-away-of-true-information, even more gets what answers they deserve - even to the second power.
4. And persons who believe such explainings-away without checking each and every piece of undocumented claims, even though they know how dishonest Muslims can be in such cases, and knowing that al-Taqiyya and Kitman are not only permitted, but compulsory in such cases if it is necessary to defend or forward the religion, deserves it even more - we do not even know to which power.

We give you stright information, and tell you where and what to look for. Check it if you want to search for the truth. If you only want to strengthen your wishful thinking, then call for Refuting. And we do not wish to squander time on such things - at least not now. Perhaps when this book is finished some time in 2010 AD. If you have the necessary brainpower, then check our information and facts and think yourself. If you only want to strengthen your wishful thinking - right or wrong - then call for some more or less honest "big brother" to explain the disturbing facts - but facts - away for you.

IF YOU REALLY LOOK FOR THE TRUTH, CHECK OUR INFORMATION. BUT CHECK MUSLIMS' "REFUTING" EVEN BETTER, AS FAR TOO MUCH OF IT IS BASED ON INVALID CLAIMS OR WRONG FACTS, HALF TRUTHS, OR TWISTING OF CORRECT FACTS. You do not have to believe us on this - simply check thoroughly yourself.

Well, as said we will use some of the "flowers" of the explaining away and "refuting" in our future chapter about unserious and dishonest debate of Islam and other religions from Muslims. But we add a few here to show the level of the "refuting":

1. One takes an inheritance and manages to get the parts to become = 1. And voila, there are no questions about the laws of inheritance in the Quran; "they are correct" - - - no matter the fact that Muslim lavyers make good money on all the problems these laws make. Invalid logic.
2. Muhammad foretold in the Gospel after John. This we have debated in the chapter about Muhammad in the Bible, and will not repeat it here - see that chapter. But it tells many booklets that Islam has been unable to find a better claim than this: When Jesus promised his apostles a helper - the Spirit of Truth - that would come to help them and be with them and be in them and be invisible to the world, he "must have meant Muhammad" - who was born 500 years after they were dead, was not with them, was not in them, was far from invisible to the world when ha finally was born - - and was very far from a spirit of truth (the real Muhammad was far from the semi-saint Muslims like to claim).
3. The Quran talks about 7 heavens "one on top of the other". One of the dear explainers-away stealthily transforms that to 7 universes even though the Arab word for universe is not used here. We also must remind him that the heavens are kept up there by invisible pillars, according to the Quran. And f. ex that the mooch is between the heavens - in this "translation" that means that at least one universe - not only a heaven, but a universe is between us and our Luna - our moon. Simply one more of many Muslim fantasy ad hoc "explanations" where someone without much knowledge or plenty of al-Taqiyya think they have found a GOOD IDEA and then fall flat because they forget some aspects of the picture: Her f. ex. the missing Arab word for universe, the hopeless inbesility in claming that at least one universe then has to be placed between us and the moon. Not to mention it is totally unscientific - scientists studying astronomy have many strange ideas, but not one single of them has ever aired a theory like this. This explainer-away had better produse at least a small proof for his transforming "heaven" to universe, etc.

4. Then there is the good old Big Bang theory disused once more - see our list of mistakes. To connect the Big Bang to 21/30 is only possible when talking to people knowing nothing about astronomy. Another loose claim without documentation - and in strong contradiction to science.
5. "If the Earth was nearer the sun, the temperatur woul raise sharply." Wrong. It would raise slowly - and the habitable zone atound our sun IF THE OTHER CONDITIONS WERE FAVORABLE (this is how astronomers define this expression) runs from a little inside Venus to past Mars - the margins are roomy.
6. "If the Earth did not spin, the oceans would empty all their water". Not even rubbish. The only effect would be a bit lower near equator, and a little higher near the poles. Besides: Where should it go when the oceans enptied themselves? - it is permitted to use ones brain. (The only case where the water perhaps - perhaps - would disappear, is if the Earth had a spin of one time a year, and in such a way that the same side all the time faced the sun ("locked" spin). Water would then settle as ice and snow on the far side compared to the sun. Butth whether all of it would stay there as ice, would depend on if the spin was completely locked, or if it wobbled, and on how much water a planet has - if it has much, the layer of ice will become thick, and slowly start to move, and the only place it could move, is towards lower places = towards places the ice has disappeared - and disappears - because it has melted to water.)
7. "The Earth's inclination (tilt) is 33 degrees." Wrong by far. It at present is 23.4 degrees, but varies a few degrees slowly over the years - though never 33 degrees.
8. "The seasons that are the result of Earth's inclination makes habitation possible". More rubbish - f. ex. there are huge habitations in the tropics where there are nearly no seasons in the meaning big changes of temperature (which is what the inclination causes). It is permitter to use one's brain.
9. If there was no inclination (tilt) "the poles would be in constand darkness and cold and the water would freeze there and disappear from the other places" (at least the meaning is correctly quoted, if not the words). Rubbish.
 1. There are two effects that would descide the light on the poles in that case. One is the geometry: A big lamp will cause twilight or may be very early morning light on the poles of a small ball in such cases. And a sun is a big lamp compared to a planet.
 2. Add the refraction - the bending of light in the atmosphere - and the sun would be low on the horizon ON BOTH POLES AT THE SAME TIME. Which means the poles both would have permanent daylight in case, exactly the opposite of the argument from our esteemed explainer-away. Check with yout professor of physics if you do not believe it.
 3. And as for all the water becomming ice at the poles - is there any explainer-away that knows why this will not happen? After all nature has proved time and again that this does not happen - each and every geological ice period has proved this, even the giant "Snowball Earth" 700 million years ago - as said it is permitted to use your brain and combine it with your knowlwdge. The reason is very simple, but does any of the either low intelligent or al-Taqiyya explainers-away know why the claim is wrong?

Well, this is enough for now. We are going to slaughter a little bit more some of the Islamic disuse of logic and of scientific facts and lack honesty/use of al-Taqiyya in debates in the chapter about Muslim debates, that will come together with the other last chapters some time during 2010 AD.

But just one small thing:

The Quran tells that Allah is omnipotent and omniscient. It also tells that Allah is reliable and intelligent. And Allah tells in the Quran he has sent down a book that is plain and easy to understand - with plain language. He also tell that it is to be understood literally - it is only for Allah to look for the hidden meanings (yes, and for the ones "with an illness in their heart").

Do you really believe that such a god under such circumstances have such big problems with finding the correct words to convey exactly what he means? - and that mere humans time and again and again and again have to help him and explain what he "really" means? Hundreds of times in such an after all small book?

If you really are able to believe such a thing - from naivety or wishful thinking - then your brain either is so empty or so lazy that you really deserve the answers explainers-away are able to conjure up.

But it is heresy and an insult towards any god and his brain.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 1 (= II-1-1-0)

DO ALL THE MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, ETC. PROVE 110% OR JUST 100% THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG WITH MUHAMMAD, THE QURAN, ISLAM - AND PERHAPS ALLAH?

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

One thing is sure: An omniscient god does not make mistakes. Another thing that is sure, is that an omniscient god does not contradict himself or make other contradictions. Yet another thing that is sure is that an omniscient god does not have to try and fail - he knows from the very beginning what is best. The same goes for changing his mind now and then - an omniscient god knows from the very start what he wants and likes and best solutions and what rules and laws will work best.

ot to mention that a good and benevolent god does not condemn people to Hell already before they are born and without a chance to save themselves, like the Quran tells. Neither does he preach injustice like stealing/robbing, suppressing, rape, killing etc. of somebody's fellow

humans. Also any good and benevolent god has a moral codex roughly like this: "Do against others like you want others to do against you".

All the same the Quran is unbelievably full of mistaken facts and other mistakes - see separate chapters. This is a direct contradiction to what Muhammad, the Quran, Muslims, and Islam claim (as usual without any documentation). Even worse: This mistaken claim of perfection they try to use as a proof for that the book is made by a god. What then does all the mistakes in reality prove? Of course that the Quran is not made by a god - which is the reason why Muslims and Islam cannot accept one single mistake in the book, no matter how "far out" many of their "explanations" are; if there is even one mistake, something is wrong with the religion. (And all the same: Muslim scholars admit that a few of the verses must be wrong - see separate chapter).

An extra tit-bit is that many of the mistakes and some of the other ideas, are in accordance with wrong science one believed in in the area at the time of Muhammad. No omniscient and eternal god has to follow wrong science from a primitive time and a primitive people on a small part of Earth - a small planet in an obscure corner of a medium galaxy drifting somewhere in the Universe among some billions of others. Something is definitely wrong also here.

Also the Quran is full of contradictions - see separate chapters. There are some hundreds of internal contradictions - the Quran contradicting itself. There are LOTS of contradictions to reality and facts. And there are lots of contradictions to the Bible. One may poh-poh differences compared to the Bible, as that one represents other religions, but it is more serious than many think. This because the Quran and Islam tell that the biblical prophets just were forerunners for Muhammad, and no god would tell his long successions of prophets different stories about the same things and facts. This is obvious from the Bible - read the different prophets, and you will find clear lines. And then there suddenly is Muhammad - sticking out like a tooth-ache in a sore thumb, because of all the broken lines and differences and mistakes compared to the older prophets' messages. And remember: According to Muslims the claimed lack of contradictions is a proof for that the Quran comes from the omniscient god Allah. The fact that there all the same are many contradictions, consequently proves that the book does not come from such a god.

Also an omniscient god has so much knowledge that he does not have to change his mind every now and then when he gets more information or new impulses - not to mention that he is so full of knowledge, that he does not have to try and fail and learn what is best that way. Another 100% for that something is wrong with Muhammad, the Quran, and not least with Islam.

Further the undocumented claims that Allah is good and benevolent, very clearly are wrong - just read about sharia - Islamic law - and its excesses, about jihad and its many inhuman and immoral rules, about suppression of all non-Muslim, about stealing and robbing and raping being "good and lawful" and that you should not practice "coitus interruptus" when you rape prisoners, because it is for Allah to decide if there is to be a child afterwards (do you protest? - read the Quran and you will find this there), not to mention all the apartheid, hatemongering, and warmongering. And the making of people (and jinns) for Hell, not to mention the lack of real moral, comes on top of this. The Quran is not from any good and benevolent god. As for moral, the only moral codex Muslims and Islam and the Quran have, is: "What did

Muhammad say or do in or about such cases" - and Muhammad was an overall very immoral man with an at many points sick moral.

EACH OF THESE POINTS PROVE 100% THAT SOMETHING IS SERIOUSLY WRONG
- AND PUT TOGETHER 110% PROOF MUST BE QUITE AN UNDERSTATEMENT.

And then we have not even mentioned the 4 or 5 Mega Mistakes (5 if you do not count the contradictions together with all the other mistakes, 4 if you do). See separate chapter.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 2, Section 1 (= II-1-2-1)

MEGA MISTAKE 1, PART 1, IN THE QURAN

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES, etc.)

1. Part II = Mistakes in the Quran.
2. Chapter 1 = Mistaken facts in the Quran.
3. Subchapter 2 = The 5 Mega Mistakes, some details. (The summary is in Section 4).
4. Section 1 = Some mistaken details/samples about Earth.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

There are these Sections to this subchapter - the 5 Mega Mistakes - (there should be more sections, as the Quran has many more kinds of mistakes and errors, but these samples should be enough to show what it is about):

1. Section 1: Some samples of mistaken facts about Earth (this section).
2. Section 2: Some samples of mistaken facts about the universe.
3. Section 3: Some samples of mistaken facts about man and animals - creation, life, and resurrection.
4. Section 4: The 5 Mega Mistakes that should kill Islam - if intelligence counted.

(To find "all" the mistaken facts that lead up to Mega Mistake 1, read the Subchapter 3, the 16 Sections about mistaken facts in the Quran, arranged by themes. And to find "all" the mistakes leading up to this Mega Mistake, read the complete Part II with all its Chapters, Subchapters, and Sections (except II-8-1, II-8-2, and II-9 which leads up to Mega Mistake 2.)

1. Originally the sky and the Earth – or Earths – was like smoke (surah 41/11). Well, science says the Earth and the heavens/sky never were smoke (= micro particles “floating” or dispersed in gas). The situation most closely similar to that was the first some 300.000 (380000?) years after the Big Bang some 13.7 billion years ago – before the first stars appeared. But for one thing then it only was gas (hydrogen and a little helium) and not smoke – no particles - and for another thing there was no Earth. Our Earth belongs to the 3. generation of stars and planets, and appeared some 4.6 billion years ago (4.57 according to the latest scientific information), made from bits and pieces of stone, metal, minerals, etc. etc., thrown out into the void from exploding older stars – supernovas – at least two times over. Aggregation of everything from pebbles and pieces of stone or ore or metal or anything, to big boulders, comets, asteroids, and even planetoids – mini planets – little by little built the Earth. We still can see the left over building material scattered around out there: Meteors, comets, and also the Kuiper belt and the Oort’s cloud – everything from grains of sand and pebbles to small planets with a diameter of more than 2000 kilometers. And that definitely is not smoke, too. Wrong.
2. Allah then said to the sky and the Earth: “Come ye together - - -“, (41/11). But science tells that the Earth and the sky – the universe – never came together for all the 4.57 billion years since Earth came into existence – worse; never since after the Big Bang 13.7 billion years ago. On the contrary; the universe has been expanding, and nearly everything has been speeding away from nearly everything else, ever since the Big Bang – long before the Earth was born. Wrong.
3. The heavens and the Earth then were joined together “as one unit of Creation” (21/30), before Allah clove them asunder (21/30). The Quran says nothing about when the stars etc. were split from the Earth – and science says it has got the story all wrong, to say the least of it. The Earth NEVER was joined together with the heavens or universe as one unit of

creation (the time when this was the situation, sometimes is claimed by uneducated Muslims was before the Big Bang – but before the Big Bang and for more than 9.1 billions of years after, there was no Earth to come together with).

4. The creation of the universe and the Earth and all that are on the Earth took 6 days, according to the Quran (7/54 – 25/59 – 32/4 – 50/38 – 57/4) – or perhaps a 8 days, a contradiction (41/9-12). (Muslims tries to explain away that contradiction by saying that 2 days were counted double, but that is not what the Quran says – and no matter: 6 or 8 days are both utterly wrong). No matter whether the “correct” time is 6 or 8 days, there is a huge difference between that and the 13.7 billion years the science tells about, as the creation still continues. – and even compared to the 4.57 billion years of the creation of Earth until man came along. Wrong.
5. The Quran also indirectly, but clearly tells that the Earth was create first and the universe afterwards (41/9-12). But science disagrees. The universe – what we see as heaven or firmament - existed some 9+ billion years before Earth. Wrong.
6. Geographically Hell was lowest (though it is not 100% clear, as there some places are mentioned closeness between Hell and Paradise) – Hell with its 7 gates leading to different parts of Hell, with different levels of unbearable pain and even more unbearable pain, mostly from fire and bad food and drink. At least the geography seems wrong.
7. Over Hell comes the Earth, or actually the Earths. Because the Quran tells that Allah created 7 Earths (65/12 is the only place where the exact number is mentioned, but there are many other places where Earths are mentioned in plural). The Quran tells no more about the other Earths, but Hadiths (small stories about or from Muhammad and some of his closest co-workers and nearest successors – what they said and did) – collected by men like Al-Bukhari or Imam Muslim – say they are laying underneath our Earth (if you are bad enough, you according to Al-Bukhari even can fall down through the

- Earths at the Day of Doom) and that they are more and more like Hell for the people living there, the further down you go. Islam even have names for the different Earths: 1. Ramaka, 2. Khalada, 3. Arqa, 4. Haraba, 5. Maltham, 6. Sijjin, 7. Ajiba. Wrong. (f. ex. 6/45 and many more) Also see the chapter about Hell proper, VIII/2/b.
8. Our Earth – and the others – is/are flat. (And as mentioned just above they are placed one on top of the other, according to Hadith). It is so obvious, that it hardly is mentioned directly, but all pictures that are used about the Earth, are pictures of something flat, and science is unanimous that in the Quran Earth is flat (13/3 – 15/19 – 20/53 – 43/10 – 50/7 – 51/48 – 55/10 – 71/19 – 78/6 – 91/6). Well, there is one translation (out of more than 50 to English) that says the Earth is formed like an egg – and in 3 dimensions (translated by Rashad Khalifa – even Islam does not classify him as a good translator). This frequently is quoted by Muslims wanting to prove that the Quran is correct, but there is no fundament for that “translation” in the Arab original – it is wrong (it tells about the flat nest of the ostrich on the ground, whereas Rashad Khalifa chooses to claim it means the egg). None of the good translators talk about anything but a flat Earth. And as said: All serious scientists are unanimous: In the Quran the Earth is flat. Wrong.
 9. On Earth Allah sat down mountains (the Arab verb is said to in reality mean “drop down” – like in dropping an anchor – but this be as it may) (16/15 – 21/31 – 41/10). But for one thing mountains are never set – or dropped – down; they grow up. For another thing mountains do not stabilize Earth – on the contrary it is an imbalance in the crust of the Earth. For the third thing mountains do not hinder the Earth shaking – on the contrary the making of mountains is connected to earthquakes. And even a large snowfall in the mountains (there often is snowfall in the mountains, but rain or nothing further down) or a large change in a big mountain lake – or a big dam – can cause earthquakes because of change in the weight – mountains sometimes are that unstable. (Another thing is that it may

- look like Muhammad did not primarily think about earth quakes, but about the Earth itself being unstable, so that it simply might start to wobble and capsize (and drop you off?)). No matter: Wrong.
10. On Earth there are rivers. Hadiths tell that 2 of the big ones – the Nile and the Euphrates – start in Heaven/Paradise. Wrong to say the least of it.
 11. The Earth also is filled up of plants and animals – everything created in pairs (43/12, 51/49). But there are both plants and animals that only exist in female – right up to some lizards and some fishes. And when you come to one-celled beings, few if any have 2 sexes. And one-celled “beings” by far are the most numerous both in types and in numbers. Any god had known.
 12. All is fed by rain from the clouds. They are broken into pieces to rain drops. Wrong: Drops are made by condensation of steam – every god had known.
 13. And everything is subject to the night and day that follow their orbit (f.ex. 36/40). But science tells that day and night are fixed and have no orbits – the reason for the changes only is that the Earth spins around in the light from the sun.

The Earth is described by someone knowing very little about the planet and its creation. Then who made the Quran?

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 2, Section 2 (= II-1-2-2)

MEGA MISTAKE 1, PART 2, IN THE QURAN

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

1. Part II = Mistakes in the Quran.
2. Chapter 1 = Mistaken facts in the Quran.
3. Subchapter 2 = The 5 Mega Mistakes, some details. (The summary is in Section 4).
4. Section 2 = Some mistaken details/samples about the Universe.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

There are these Sections to this subchapter - the 5 Mega Mistakes - (there should be more sections, as the Quran has many more kinds of mistakes and errors, but these samples should be enough to show what it is about):

1. Section 1: Some samples of mistaken facts about Earth (this section).
2. Section 2: Some samples of mistaken facts about the universe.
3. Section 3: Some samples of mistaken facts about man and animals - creation, life, and resurrection.
4. Section 4: The 5 Mega Mistakes that should kill Islam - if intelligence counted.

(To find "all" the mistaken facts that lead up to Mega Mistake 1, read the Subchapter 3, the 16 Sections about mistaken facts in the Quran, arranged by themes. And to find "all" the mistakes leading up to this Mega Mistake, read the complete Part II with all its Chapters, Subchapters, and Sections (except II-8-1, II-8-2, and II-9 which leads up to Mega Mistake 2.)

1. The Quran tells that the night hides the sun (91/4). But it is the Earth that conceals the sun and MAKES the night, according to science and to primary school geography. Any even baby god had known this, but Muhammad not. Besides: The night just is lack of sunshine. Lack of something cannot hide anything – and least of all lack of sunshine cannot hide the sunshine. Wrong. Who made the Quran?
2. As for the moon it gives strong cold (“excessive cold” to quote Abdullah Yusuf Ali) (76/13). It may feel like that in the cold, moonlit desert nights. But science has found that the moon radiates neither cold nor heat that is possible to feel on Earth. The cold is caused by Earth radiating its warmth to space when there are no clouds that can hinder that radiation. Any god had known.
3. Over it all there is the heaven or sky or firmament like a canopy or ceiling (40/64 and more ones). But science has found that up there is no canopy - only vacuum. What looks like a blue sky during day, simply is an illusion made by splitting and bending of sunlight. During night one gets another, but similarly looking kind of illusion because we

are unable to see in 3 dimensions at those distances. Neither illusions nor vacuum makes real ceilings or canopies of any kind. Actually vacuum is a bad and weak roof/canopy. Any good would know – Muhammad not.

4. There is no fault in the canopy/ceiling that seems to make up the heaven/sky (50/6 – 67/3). But how can vacuum have visible faults?
5. The sky/heavens all the same is not stronger than pieces can fall down (17/92 – 34/9). But how can bits of vacuum fall down? (And NB: The book does not talk about meteorites – it knows the difference).
6. The haven really is made up of 7 heavens or firmaments or tracts (other names for the sky/heaven up there – “firmament” is mostly used for the night sky) - “one above the other” (2/29 – 17/44 – 23/17 - 23/86 – 41/12 – 35/12 - 67/3 – 71/15 – 78/12). This is the same belief as in the very wrong astronomy of the old Greece and Persia, which was what one believed in at the time of Muhammad in Arabia – a fact no Muslim ever mentions (because how can a Muslim hint that an omniscient god believed in old and wrong Earthly science? - impossible even if it is true that the creator of the Quran believed in this). But there only is vacuum and shooting stars and nothing else up there – not in reasonable neighborhood of the Earth - according to science. Once more: Any god had known – Muhammad not. Then who made the Quran?
7. The heavens are kept up there by invisible pillars (“without any pillars that ye can see” according to Yusuf Ali) (13/2 – 31/10). But no human and no animal has bumped into such a pillar and no bird or plane has ever collided with one. (Some Muslims say that invisible – which is what the Quran says – means non-existing. But there is a great difference between those two meanings, and the Quran says "invisible". It also is meaningless to say that something is kept up there by non-existing pillars).
8. The stars are fastened to the lowest heaven (37/6 – 41/12). According to the old Greek and Persian astronomy, the stars, the planets, and the sun and the moon were fastened to 7

- invisible heavens. (The stars to one, the moon and the sun to one each, and the then known planets - Venus, Mars, Jupiter and Saturn - also to one each. 7 different movements needed 7 invisible - like glass or crystal - heavens, and the heavens had to be material and strong to keep themselves and the stars etc. up there.) According to the Quran, the stars are kept in their places in this way on the lowest of the 7 heavens - but Muslims never mention that this was what one (wrongly) believed in Arabia at the time of Muhammad. Had Allah no better knowledge than old Greek and Persian astronomy? – and why not astronomy from f. ex. South American or from other places if he is a universal god?
9. The "fact" that the stars were fastened to a heaven means that the heavens are material ones – if not the stars could not be fastened to one of them (37/6 – 41/12). But no rocket has ever collided against one.
 10. The sun and the moon are between the heavens (well, for the sun it is not clearly specified). Which means that at least one of the heavens must be below the moon. This also is clear from the "fact" that the stars are fastened to the lowest heaven. And as the stars are fastened to the lowest heaven, all the trillions of stars – suns - should for one thing make the lowest heaven rather crowded, and for another make Earth rather hot.
 11. The moon also produces “excessive cold” (76/13). But the moon does not produce cold. It only so happen that you see the moon best on clear nights – and on clear nights the desert radiates its heat quickly to space and the temperature drops. Any god had known, but Muhammad not.
 12. Sirius is the mighty star (53/49). But Sirius is not a very mighty star, it only happens to be relatively near us. It is a baby compared to giants like Aldebaran, Betelgeuse or the dangerous Eta Carinae – and they again are children compared to the real super giants.
 13. The stars are for decoration (37/6+7 – 41/12 - 67/3 – 67/5). Everything made for that we humans on this little planet shall have some decoration?
 14. In addition to that the stars are used for decoration, Allah uses them as shooting stars

- as a “flaming fire” - weapon – to chase away bad spirits (jinns – figures from Arab fairy tales and legends originally) wanting to spy on Heaven (21/32 – 37/6+7 – 37/10 – 67/5). Science is skeptical, though, as shooting stars are measured in milligrams and grams, whereas real stars are from 12 times the size of Jupiter (the minimum size to start and to keep the atomic reaction going) and up to some million times the size of Earth – and they are very far away and at very different distances from us (from 2-3 light-years away and up to 13.7 billion light-years or perhaps more), not fixed to a sphere below our moon.
15. The heavens contain the Paradise. Paradise is not the same for everyone – it depends on what the believer deserves. The 7 heavens make 7 levels of Heaven. The Quran is not very specific here, but the Hadiths give details – f. ex. Hadith no.3887 from Al-Bukhari.
 1. First heaven. Here Adam sits and sends the saved ones – mainly the good Muslims – to his right side to go to their Paradise, and the lost ones to his left side to go to Hell (In the old Arabia, the right side was the good side, and the left the bad side – Allah followed this old Arab custom it seems). It is not clearly specified, but it seems that also Paradise for “normal” Muslims also is situated here, and that it is split in at least 4 different gardens, one better than the other depending on how good a Muslim and how terrible a warrior you have been for Allah, for Muhammad, and for Muhammad's successors.
 2. Second heaven. From here and up the heavens are reserved for the Muslims that deserve something extra. The more you deserve, the higher heaven – closer to Allah – you end up in. The good Muslim prophet Jesus is found in this – the second – heaven. That he ended this low, may seem a bit surprising, as Islam reckons him to be a great prophet (and as said: A pious Muslim one – the Quran describes him rather different from what one

finds in the NT in the Bible - - - a fact that Islam explains with that the Bible at least partly is falsified - - - and as normal for Islam without any documentation for the claims, and contradicting what science clearly has found). The reason may be that he was a competitor to Muhammad in this life, and therefore Jesus had to be reduced a little, as it was essential to stress that Muhammad was a much greater prophet. In this heaven you also find John the Baptist (he is not a prophet in the Bible) – it shows the level Jesus belongs to, compared to Muhammad. (The names below are from Muhammad Ibn Ishaq: “The life of Muhammad”).

3. Third heaven. Here one finds among others the good Muslim prophet Joseph – the one who was sold as a slave to Egypt. (he is not a prophet in the Bible)
4. Fourth heaven. The (Jewish) prophet Idris (here his Arab name is used).
5. Fifth heaven. The prophet Aaron (brother of Moses - Aaron is not a prophet in the Bible).
6. Sixth heaven. The prophet Moses.
7. Seventh heaven. This is where Abraham – the central prophet (Muslim of course) in Islam after Muhammad – lives (also Abraham is not a Prophet in the Bible; he is one of the three patriarchs of Israel, but not a prophet - he f. ex. made not one single prophesy that is known). Also Muhammad is presumed to end in the 7. heaven – close to Allah who has his residence over the 7. heaven.
8. This is how Muhammad is said to have experienced the heavens during his claimed night trip there – a trip Islam is unsure of was a real travel or a dream. (Aishah says in Hadiths that he never left her bed that night.)
16. When the Day of Doom once comes, the moon will be buried in darkness (75/8) or the moon and the sun will come together (75/9). In that case man has plenty of time – there is

- a possibility for that some of this will happen according to science. But that in case is some 5 billion years into the future. At that time our sun will have become a so-called red giant, that may be and may be not will become big enough to engulf the Earth and hence the moon. But in that case the moon will not be engulfed in darkness, but in 3000 degrees (centigrade) of shining red and hellish flames. And the Earth and the presumed 7 heavens above Earth will be in the same situation.
17. That day also the stars will fall down on Earth and stop shining (81/2). Earth will be pretty crowded in case, as the stars are many and huge – and only an omnipotent god can stop the atomic processes that make the stars shine, and thus make them stop shining some million years later. Any god had known – Muhammad not. Who made the Quran?
 18. And Allah also rolls up the heavens/sky that day that day (21/104). If this includes the physical universe, it is a big job, as it at least has a radius of 13.7 billion light-years = 27.4 billion light-years diameter in all directions. And besides: What is really the form of the universe? – if it is globular it is difficult to roll up a globe-shaped something.
 19. Not to forget: The sun will be folded up and stop shining – Muhammad seems to believe the sun is a flat disk that can be folded up.
 20. This is what the Quran tells – a book that is presumed or at least claimed to be an exact copy of the Mother Book in Heaven. A book much revered by Allah and the angles and full of wisdom. (13/39). Does that mean that if science differs from what the Quran says, it means that science is wrong? Or - - -? (But honestly – how can a book with that many mistakes, twisted arguments and invalid logic be a revered book in the heaven/home of an omniscient god?)

Muslims and others will be quick to defend the Quran by saying that there are mistakes in the Bible, too, concerning the creation. But:

A.

Mistakes in the Bible or the Torah do not make the Quran one iota more correct.

B.

The Bible was written by humans. Humans can make mistakes, and it really does not matter very much for the religion, as long as the religious parts are correct. (There are some mistaken facts also in the Bible – mainly concerning creation, etc. – but far fewer than in the Quran). But the Quran is presumed to be made by Allah – or existed since eternity – and an omniscient god simply does not make mistakes, not to mention revering a "Mother Book" full of mistaken facts and other mistakes in his own home. Therefore mistakes in the Quran are very serious for Islam – such mistakes prove 100% and more that something is seriously wrong with the very basis of the religion. Neither Muslims nor Islam, therefore, can afford admit that the mistakes exist - not one single one and no matter how obvious they are. It is better to stubbornly believe blindly, than to find out in time that may be they are wrong – when/if they wake up in a next life and find out that they have been brain-washed by a made up religion, it is too late. Very thought provoking, **especially as Islam is the only of the big religions who themselves prove beyond any doubt – not any reasonable doubt, but any doubt - for any really thinking, educated person that something is very wrong with their prophet and their religion.**

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 2, Section 3 (= II-1-2-3)

MEGA MISTAKE 1, PART 3, IN THE QURAN

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

1. Part II = Mistakes in the Quran.
2. Chapter 1 = Mistaken facts in the Quran.
3. Subchapter 2 = The 5 Mega Mistakes, some details. (The summary is in Section 4).
4. Section 3 = Some mistaken details/samples about man and animals - creation, life, and resurrection. (This Section.)

There are these Sections to this subchapter - the 5 Mega Mistakes - (there should be more sections, as the Quran has many more kinds of mistakes and errors, but these samples should be enough to show what it is about):

1. Section 1: Some samples of mistaken facts about Earth (this section).
2. Section 2: Some samples of mistaken facts about the universe.
3. Section 3: Some samples of mistaken facts about man and animals - creation, life, and resurrection.
4. Section 4: The 5 Mega Mistakes that should kill Islam - if intelligence counted.

(To find "all" the mistaken facts that lead up to Mega Mistake 1, read the Subchapter 3, the 16 Sections about mistaken facts in the Quran, arranged by themes. And to find "all" the mistakes leading up to this Maga Mistake, read the complete Part II with all its Chapters, Subchapters, and Sections (except II-8-1, II-8-2, and II-9 which leads up to Mega Mistake 2.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

1. The Earth also is filled up with plants and animals – everything created only from one raw material each, though which one varies from one verse and surah to another; from clay or water or nothing, etc. Science tells the obvious: Living beings consists of nearly hundred atoms and thousands and millions of different kinds of molecules.
2. The Quran says nothing specific about the creation of the plants. But as the book says Allah created all living things from water - NB; not in, but from - (21/30), they probably were created from water.
3. But all the animals (not included humans) clearly - and wrongly - are told to be created from sticky clay (37/11) or from water (21/30, 24/24). You meet modern Muslims saying: "Hip, hurray: The Quran is proved by science!! The Quran could not have known that the animals were made in water once upon a time, unless Allah had told so!!" But there is a world of a difference between being made from water like the Quran says, and being made in water. Actually when it comes to the creation of animals there is a contradiction here as 37/11 says that the beings are made from sticky clay, and 21/30 and 24/45 that both says that all beings are made from water (not in water, but from water).
4. But how man was created, is complicated in the Quran; the one and first man - Adam - was made in no less than 13 ways (or 5-6-7 if you say that some of them were different "names" for the same raw material). This even though one man cannot be created in more than one way. The Quran says he was made:

a.	From clay	6/2,	7/12,	17/61,	18/76,	32/7.
b.	From sounding clay	15/26,	15/28,	15/33.		

c.	From ringing clay	55/64.			
d.	From sticky clay	37/11.			
e.	From essence of clay (whatever that is?)	23/12.			
f.	From mud	15/26,	15/28,	15/33.	
g.	From dust	3/59,	22/5,	31/11,	40/67.
h.	Form earth	20/55			
i.	From a clot of congealed blood	96/2.			
j.	From semen*	16/4	75/37,	76/2,	80/19.
k.	From nothing	19/9,	19/67.		
l.	From water	21/30,	24/45,	25/54.	
m.	From base material	70/39.			

5. * It is not said where the semen came from.
6. Only one can be correct, as Adam presumably was created once only. And science says that even this is wrong – man evolved from earlier primates, and Adam like described in the Quran never was. (Yes, we know about the Eve from archaeology that lived in East Africa some 200000 years ago, and the corresponding Adam that lived in Asia some 60000 (64000?) years ago, but that is something different).
7. And every living being was created in pairs (36/36, 43/12, 51/49). Wrong. Even if we omit plants, there are many animals that get their children asexually = do not come in pairs. There are all the one-celled animals, and they by far are most numerous, both in numbers and kinds. Then there are number of primitive animals that do not come in pairs – sponges f. ex. And there even are some less primitive animals up to and included some amphibians, lizards and fish that can propagate asexually, and a few of them only exists in one gender as far as science has been able to find out. (Modern Muslims often pooh-pooh the one-celled animals in spite of their enormous numbers - it is the easiest way to get them out of the expression "everything" in the Quran - but a god had known better. And then there are all the others.)
8. From all these animals Allah has given man 4 kinds (2 genders x 4 = 8 different animals) of cattle (39/6). But man at least has 9 kinds of cattle: In addition to sheep, goats, cows and camels, which are the ones the Quran

- mentions (another place), there at least are the yak and the water buffalo in Asia, the lama and at least one more similar to the lama in the Americas, and the reindeer in the north – and the elephant in India (and the pig). This in addition to horses, donkeys, mules, hen, ducks, geese, etc. Any god had known – Muhammad not. Or may be Allah only knew the cattle one had in Arabia?
9. And over the Earth the birds fly. Only Allah's power keeps them up there, the Quran say (16/79 – 67/19). But science tells that the reason is the aerodynamic laws – difference in air pressure over and under the wings – that hold them up there. Unknown to Muhammad, but well known to any god.
 10. Some birds also could speak - at least to king Solomon (27/16, 27/22-26). But birds do not have the brain for long discussions in coherent and not to simple language. Wrong - if Islam does not have a strong proof for it being correct.
 11. And ants could speak (27/18). But the brain of an ant is far from large and advanced enough a brain for speaking. Also they do not have organs for vocalizing. Wrong - if Islam does not have a strong proof for it being correct. Normally you find speaking animals, birds and insects only in fairy tales and legends.
 12. And man? Man once was a single nation (2/213, 10/19). Wrong. Man never was a single nation. Some 160000-200000 years ago perhaps - perhaps - a single tribe (man went through a so-called "bottleneck" around that time and likely only a "few" persons survived and became the "parents" of all later humans – that is the reason for the very small DNA-variation in man), but never a single nation.
 13. And finally: When man goes to the next life, he will be resurrected in body - not only in spirit, like in many other religions. Allah reassembles all the particles and fluids - atoms, molecules - you were made of and remakes your body. (Just hope you were not eaten by a cannibal, and your particles and juices to be found in his resurrected body - whom do they then belong to?) And you go on living a life similar to the life on Earth in

many ways, but in a simple and poor warrior's dreams of high society style and with plenty of women. Little is said about women going to Paradise – Islam is a men's and a warrior's religion mainly. And even if you eat and drink plenty, you never need to go to the toilet, according to Hadith – and the women seems to be only for your pleasure, as you do not seem to get sons (or daughters) in Paradise.

It is not possible to tell if what is said to happen in the next life is correct in the Quran or not. But not much is correct about the creation, and not all - to say the least of it - that is told about what happens in between creation and end, is correct. In short (included Earth, the Universe and living beings): According to the Quran the “everything” is like this:

On top - above the 7. heaven - is Allah.

Then come the 7 heavens above Earth – one on top of the other.

In between them are the sun (?) and the moon.

The 7 heavens contain Paradise.

The lowermost heaven, partitioned in at least 4 gardens, seems to contain the Paradise for ordinary humans.

Under the heavens, and fastened to the lowest one, are all the stars.

Under the heavens and stars are clouds – which Allah sometimes breaks to pieces (raindrops).

Under all this the birds are kept aloof only by the will of Allah.

On the Earth mountains are set down.

The reason why the mountains are set down is to stabilize Earth.

On the Earth there are rivers. According to Hadiths two of them - the Nile and the Euphrates - starts in Paradise.

On Earth there also are highways - made by Allah.

On the Earth further all kinds of beings live – created from clay or something or nothing.

All this is existing or living on our flat Earth.

Under (according to Hadith) our Earth there are more flat Earths – 7 all together.

According to Islam the life for the inhabitants of those different Earths are more hellish the lower Earth you visit.

And at the bottom (?) there is the Hell.

According to Hadith (f. ex. Al-Bukhari) a really bad person can fall through the Earths and into Hell on the Day of Doom.

Just like what your teacher in primary school told you?

The Quran is about as wrong about these points as wrong can be.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 2, Section 4 (= II-1-2-4)

THE 5 MEGA MISTAKES THAT SHOULD KILL ISLAM - - - IF INTELLIGENCE COUNTED.

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

1. Part II = Mistakes in the Quran.
2. Chapter 1 = Mistaken facts in the Quran.
3. Subchapter 2 = The 5 Mega Mistakes, some details. (The summary is in Section 4).
4. Section 4: The 5 Mega Mistakes that should kill Islam - if intelligence counted. (This Section.)

There are these Sections to this subchapter - the 5 Mega Mistakes - (there should be more sections, as the Quran has many more kinds of mistakes and errors, but these samples should be enough to show what it is about):

1. Section 1: Some samples of mistaken facts about Earth (this section).
2. Section 2: Some samples of mistaken facts about the universe.
3. Section 3: Some samples of mistaken facts about man and animals - creation, life, and resurrection.
4. Section 4: The 5 Mega Mistakes that should kill Islam - if intelligence counted.

(To find "all" the mistaken facts that lead up to Mega Mistake 1, read the Subchapter 3, the 16 Sections about mistaken facts in the Quran, arranged by themes. And to find "all" the mistakes leading up to this Mega Mistake, read the complete Part II with all its Chapters, Subchapters, and Sections (except II-8-1, II-8-2, and II-9 which leads up to Mega Mistake 2.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

MEGA MISTAKE 1: THE FIRST MEGA MISTAKE IN THE QURAN IS ALL THE INDISPUTEABLY MISTAKEN FACTS AND OTHER CLEAR MISTAKES IN THE QURAN.

Examples:

1. Chapter II-1-2-1 "The (mistaken) Earth according to the Quran".

2. Chapter II-1-2-2 "The (mistaken) Universe according to the Quran".
3. Chapter II-1-2-3 "Man, Animal; the (mistaken) Creation, Life on Earth – and Resurrection". (These 3 chapter each in reality are 3 Mega Mistakes themselves – that is why the main mistakes concerning each of those 3 subjects are collected seperately.)
4. Chapter II-1-3 "Mistaken facts in the Quran (16 subchapters.)
5. Chapter II/2 "Grammatical Mistakes in the Quran".
6. Chapter II/3 "Non-Arabic Words in the Quran".
7. Chapter II/5 "Muhammad in the Bible?"
8. Chapter II/8/A and II/8/B "Some of the Contradictions in the Quran".
9. Chapter II/10 "Mistakes in the Quran accepted by Muslim Scholars!!!"
10. Chapter VII/6 "Other (invalid) 'signs' and 'proofs' -."

(At some or all points Muslims will protest extra wildly. And not educated Muslims (and some educated ones obeying the rules for al-Taqiyya, the lowful lie) will protest even more wildly at the point with "mistakes accepted by Muslim Scholars". It is a catastrophe for Islam to admit contradictions in the Quran, as the lack of contradictions is one of the few (invalid) claimed "proofs" for that Allah sent down that book, and one of the few (but as invalid) claimed "proofs" for the very existence of Allah. The same goes for not to find Muhammad in the Bible (as that is about the only claimed "proof" they have for Muhammad's divine connection.) But the claims are so obviously wrong, the arguments so obviously twisted and the logic so obviously invalid, that there is no doubt – unless Islam's give us some real proofs). For specifications/verses see the separate chapters.

Especially all the mistaken facts, the twisted arguments, the invalid logic, the contradictions, and the logically invalid (sometimes even wrong) "signs" and "proofs" are easy to find for anybody with a little knowledge + being able to think, not only to absorb what others claim. Even one or a few mistakes in the Quran had been destroying for the claim that the Quran is made by an omniscient god and copy of a revered "Mother Book" book in Allah's personal heaven and home. But there is not one or a few mistakes, but a massive number, and far too many of them are impossible to find even hopeless "explanations" for - a few even are accepted by Muslim scholars as mistakes. No omniscient god makes this kind of and this number of mistakes - this book is not made by an omniscient or omnipotent god. And what is then Islam?

Yes, what is Islam if their only foundation – a book with massive numbers of mistakes and contradictions, a self-proclaimed prophet that in reality was not a real prophet, and a never proved (omniscient) god - is untrue? Superstition.

This first Mega Mistake alone – yes, even many of the separate parts of it alone – proves 100%, not to say 150% sure that the Quran is not made by any omniscient and omnipotent

god – yes, not by any god at all, as no god makes mistakes, not to mention this number of and this kinds of mistaken facts and contradictions. He also would not need to use twisted arguments, not to mention that he – or she – would not use invalid logic or invalid “signs” (in the Quran another word for indication or proof) and “proofs” – if not for other reasons, then because he knew he would be found out sooner or later and lose credibility.

The fact that many of the mistakes also are in accordance with old and wrong Greek and Persian science – the “facts” one believed in in Arabia at the time of Muhammad – in addition proves that the Quran is made by a person (or persons) living in that area at the time of Muhammad. No god would be bound by wrong science from Arabia, Earth, around 610 – 632 AD. (See Mega Mistake 4).

And to round off the question about who made the Quran: If there after all were some supernatural powers behind the Quran, the book and especially the surahs from Medina proves absolutely and indisputably that it was not made by good powers – those surahs are by far too immoral, too bloody, and too inhuman, and in addition there is the fact that Muhammad was of the opinion that he would break even his oaths if that made a better result. No good powers – and no good god – have such points of view. If there was a supernatural power involved, it was a dark one – f. ex. Iblis (the Muslim devil) in disguise, saying a lot of nice things, but demanding blood and immoral and inhumanities. Demands – and deeds – always are more reliable than cheap words. And Muhammad would be unable to see the difference between the arch angel Gabriel and the devil dressed up like Gabriel.

MEGA MISTAKE 2: THE SECOND MEGA MISTAKE IN THE QURAN IS ALL THE CONTRADICTIONS IN THE BOOK - especially the internal contradictions where the book contradicts itself (350+ places), but also contradictions with reality, and for a special reason also the contradictions with the Bible.

Some will say there only are 4 Mega Mistakes in the Quran, as also the contradictions are mistakes and belong under "Mega Mistake 1". But after thinking it over for a long time, we agree with the ones saying that the contradictions are so special a category of mistakes, that they make up their own Mega Mistake, especially as Islam uses the wrong claim that there are no contradictions so heavily for a (in any case invalid - clever persons can make a book without contradictions) proof for Allah and for a connection between Allah and Muhammad. No omniscient god contradicts himself like in the Quran. Then who made the Quran? Not an omniscient god at least.

When it comes to contradictions with the Bible, the reason why they count heavily is this:

Muhammad claimed he was the last in a long succession of prophets for the one and only and omniscient god. But a god do not tell different ideas about the same thing to his different prophets - he tells the same about what is to be told about this and this to each and every of them who need to know about it. This you clearly see if you read the prophets in the Bible (the only prophets in the claimed succession where some kind of documentation exists) - there are straight lines from one prophet to the next and the next and the next. Then along comes Muhammad with totally different stories, not to mention totally different fundamental ways of thinking and of ethics, moral (and no empathy - like f. ex. Jesus had). And one must here remember that science has proved that Islam's many claims about falsifications in the Bible are wrong - and the very best proof for that is the fact that if there had existed even one single

proof for falsification, Islam had screamed to holy heaven about it. Nobody had heard such a scream yet - not even after 1400 years.

All the contradictions - yes, every single one of them - prove 110% that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god.

For samples see Part II, Chapter 8, Subchapter 1 and 2 + Part II, Chapter 9 + the chapter about contradictions with the Bible which will be added in 2010 AD.

MEGA MISTAKE 3: THE THIRD MAGA MISTAKE IN THE QURAN IS MUHAMMAD - THE PLUS AND MINUS SUMS OF HIS WORDS AND DEEDS, AND THE FREQUENT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN HIS SOMETIMES (MOSTLY IN THE MECCA PERIODE) PEACEFUL PREACHING AND HIS HARD DEMANDS AND DEEDS AND HATE- AND WAR-MONGERING, ESPECIALLY IN THE MEDINA PERIODE - NOT TO MENTION HIS LYING AND BETRAYING. The real and historical Muhammad was very far from the glossy pictures Islam and Muslims like to paint - very far.

(For details see the chapters about Muhammad in Part XI). He is FAR from the saint-like semi-god many Muslims talk about. Thief/robber, extortioner, murderer (he is said to have assassinated or murdered at least 26 opponents - Ibn Ishaq names 10 of them), and mass murderer (Khaybar alone some 700), terrorist (see f. ex. all his murdering, enslaving, torture, etc.), highwayman with some 60 raids mainly for money and slaves (Ibn Ishaq names 43 raids + the wars, mostly to steal/rob, enslave and kill - a number of them led by Muhammad personally - and there were some more (see separate chapter)) from 622 AD till he grows strong enough to become a warlord somewhere around 627 and later. (Sources: Muhammad Ibn Ishaq: "The life of Muhammad", written around 750 AD at the court of the caliph in Bagdad, Hadiths and the Quran), rapist – he even stated that to rape female prisoners/slaves was "good and lawful", and personally raped at least Raihana bint Amr and Safijja bint Huwayy (in both cases just after he had murdered and/or enslaved their whole families), etc. Not to mention his lust for power - just look at how he glued himself to the god and to the religion, his main platforms of power.

But most essential in this case - how reliable the Quran is - are the facts that this morally retarded man was a convinced believer in using lies when they would give better results than the truth: He EVEN institutionalized - and used himself - "al-Taqiyya" (the lawful lie) and "Kitman" (the lawful half-truth) and he himself said (and practiced himself at least one time according to Al-Bukhari) he would break even an oath if he afterwards found that would give better results. All this mainly according to Hadiths after Al-Bukhari and Muslim, but also to the Quran.

And he was a betrayer. See f. ex. the unarmed peace delegation from Khaibar that he had guaranteed a safe return - he murdered 29 of the 30 (one got away). His slogan even was: "War is betrayal" or "War is deceit". (Ibn Ishaq and Hadiths).

Further some of the verses he claimed came from Allah, were very convenient for him personally - f. ex. the places where Allah helped him with family affairs (in a book pretending to be made in heaven aeons before Muhammad), surah 111 and other places.

Also there are a number of verses where it is clear it is Muhammad who is talking. How come in a book claimed to be created eons before Muhammad was born?

And not least: There are a number of verses in the Quran where Muhammad knew he was lying – f. ex. when he told his followers that the reason why he was unable to make miracles, was that miracles would not impress anyone and make them Muslims anyhow. (See Mega Mistake 4).

How great is the chance that such a man told the truth, only the truth, and the full truth? Hardly any. But this man's words is the one and only foundation for Islam (that is the reason why it is so dangerous to say anything negative about Muhammad, and that is why Islam are pushing and pushing for world-wide prohibition against "insulting other religions' prophets" - they cannot afford the slightest doubt about his words and deeds, because then Islam may collapse). A very unreliable witness.

This Mega Mistake and the historical facts about the real Muhammad behind it – taken from the most reliable sources Islam has: The Quran, Ibn Ishaq, and Hadiths – proves 100% that Muhammad was not a reliable person. It also proves that he was not a good man – far too inhuman, too bloody - - - and willing to lie/break his oath even - and some of the verses he told, he simply knew were untrue (f. ex. reasons why he/Allah could not make miracles). **AND WHAT THIS MAN SAID AND DID IS THE ONLY BASIS FOR ISLAM. THERE IS NOTHING – NOTHING – ELSE. NOT ONE SINGLE VALID PROOF FOR ALLAH, NOT ONE SINGLE VALID PROOF FOR THE QURAN BEING TRUE, NOT ONE SINGLE PROOF FOR MUHAMMAD'S CONNECTION TO A GOD – NOT TO MENTION TO A GOOD GOD.**

Mega Mistake 3 simply is that all Islam only - only - is built on the words that even all the Muslim sources that Islam tells are the most reliable ones, indirectly but very clearly say was a very unreliable man - but likeing power, women and riches (at least for bribes, but also for himself - in spite of what Muslims claim, Islamic sources tell Muhammad was rich when he died (he only let his religion inherit his possessions, not his family)).

NO PROOF, NO DOCUMENTATION, NO NOTHING – ONLY DEMANDS FOR AND GLORIFICATION OF BLIND BELIEF. BLIND BELIEF IN A MAN USING MANY OF THE HALLMARKS OF A CHEAT AND A DECEIVER - - - AND A MAN ADVISING HIS FOLLOWERS THAT IF A BROKEN OATH WERE BETTER FOR YOU OR FOR ISLAM, YOU SHOULD BREAK EVEN AN OATH.

THIS MAN AND HIS TALES IS THE ONLY THING ISLAM IS BUILT ON.

NO GOD BUILDS HIS HOUSE, HIS RELIGION, ON SUCH A LOOSE SAND - IN THE BIBLE A SYMBOL FOR WHAT IS UNRELIABLE AND BECAUSE OF THIS WILL CONDEMN YOU IN THE END.

MAGA MISTAKE 4: THE FOURTH MEGA MISTAKE IS THAT MANY OF THE MISTAKES IN THE QURAN ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH WHAT "SCIENCE" BELIEVED IN AND AROUND ARABIA AT THE TIME OF MUHAMMAD

(f. ex. the completely wrong astronomy mentioned above and in Part II, Chapters 1-3-10 and 1-3-11.) - a fact Muslims NEVER mention. No omniscient god is bound by wrong science in a small piece of Earth around 630 AD. But Muhammad was. No god made these mistakes.

But the mentioned fact that the mistakes are in accordance with the science of that time, makes it clear that the mistakes - and hence the book - were made by one or more humans living at the time of Muhammad. Most likely by Muhammad himself.

No god would have to use wrong “science” from a tiny part of Earth, which is not even a tiny part of the Universe, and even more so: He would not have to use the “science” man believed in in that tiny area at a certain time that happened to be the time of Muhammad. Also this fact alone proves 100% that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god – it is made by one or more humans with what knowledge humans had at the time of Muhammad in Arabia. Well, there is one alternative: That some dark forces made it and gave it to Muhammad – f. ex. the Devil disguised like Gabriel (Muhammad would not have a chance to know the difference). All the blood and inhumanity and even lies in the surahs from Medina may point in this direction, but personally we do not believe it – not even a devil would make so many mistakes, etc., if not for other reasons, then because he had to know he would be found out sooner or later and lose his power over his followers.

If there after all were some supernatural powers behind the Quran, the book and especially the surahs from Medina **proves absolutely and indisputably that it was not made by a good god or by good powers – those surahs are by far too unjust, too bloody, and too inhuman**, and in addition there is the fact that Muhammad was of the opinion that he would break even his oaths if that made a better result. No good powers – and no good god – have such points of view. If there was a supernatural power involved, it was a dark one – f. ex. Iblis (the Muslim devil) in disguise).

Conclusion: No god is limited to the mistaken "science" of a small and primitive area during a primitive time in a primitive society on a backward planet in an obscure corner of a medium galaxy drifting in the Universe among billions of others. No god would ever make his religion on basis of wrong science (even from a special time and place on Earth) and other mistakes that would be found out sooner or later. (But Muhammad would have to do with such wrong information if he or others around him made up the religion.)

MEGA MISTAKE 5. THE LAST OF THE 5 MEGA MISTAKES IS THAT MUHAMMAD CLEARLY IS LYING SOME PLACES IN THE QURAN.

F. ex. all the places in the Quran where Muhammad has to defend himself against questions for miracles proving Allah or his own connection to Allah, and tells that the reason for no miracles, is that it anyhow will make no-one believe.

As mentioned before Muhammad's standard answer was that Allah did not want to send miracles, because no-one would come to believe because of that anyhow. This answer is obviously wrong - one or two or three real miracles had made a large part of the ones far and wide around, believing Muslims in hours and days. Muhammad was an intelligent man and also he knew and understood people - he knew this argument was a lie. Muhammad also told about miracles that made pagans Muslims - f. ex. Pharaoh Ramses II's wizzards. He also knew about Jesus and the effects of his miracles. Which proves 100% to anyone with some knowledge of psychology and of human nature and ways of behaving, that Muhammad knew very well that at least some parts of what he told Allah had said, were lies.

This Mega Mistake is strengthened by Muhammad's institutionalizing of al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) and Kitman (the lawful half-truth) plus Muhammad's clear statements that deceit is permitted and even breaking of oaths is adviseable if dishonesty gives better result than honesty. For one thing one cannot rely on that such an unreliable man had told the full truth and only the truth. But worse: No omnipotent god needs lies - and no omniscient god would ever use lies, because he knew his followers would look through the lies sooner or later and loose confidence in him.

No omniscient or omnipotent god needs to rely on lies.

Each of these 5 mega mistakes separately - and often the separate parts alone - prove 100% that something is seriously wrong with the Quran, with Muhammad and with Islam. Any person with some intelligence and knowledge will be able to see the mistakes in the Quran (and in the Hadiths - f. ex. the Quran proves indirectly, but absolutely that all the claimed miracles connected to Muhammad you find in Hadith, are made up legends/fairy tales. Islam also it says that the only miracle Muhammad performed, was the Quran, and thus admits that the "miracles" found in the Hadiths are fairy tales). No intelligent person is able to believe that there is an omniscient god behind Islam, after a thorough study of the Quran - not unless he or she so strongly has to believe, or so strongly wish to believe, or is so brainwashed that facts are of no interest.

And a small extra point: In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him.

Final conclusions to the 5 Mega Mistakes:

I. The mistakes proves 100% and beyond ANY doubt that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god. No omniscient god f. ex. makes even one mistake - or use invalid or even wrong "signs" or "proofs" – not to mention make mistakes etc. by the hundreds.

II. Also all the contradictions prove 100% and beyond ANY doubt that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god. No omniscient god f. ex. makes even one contradiction - or have to abrogate himself after traying and failing, or after new information or a change of mind to something more bloody - not to mention contradictiond etc. by the hundreds.

III. The teachings (not always the words because there often is a difference between nice words and strong demands in the Quran, but the real teachings) and life of Muhammad, especially after 622 AD, proves beyond ANY doubt that he was not the saintly and honest man Muslims like to tell about. He was morally retarded – stealing/robbing, rape, slavery, murdering opponents and more essential here: A man that believed in lying (breaking even of oaths) and betrayal, when that gave better results for himself/his platform of power (Islam) than honesty, is not reliable. (many scientists says he may have been ok until he started as a highwayman in 622 AD, but that the money and the power destroyed him morally, or made black sides of his personality emerge) This point alone does not prove that he did lie about

Allah, Islam and himself, but seen together with other points – f. ex. point III below – it proves that in addition to his (Muhammad’s) believing in lying, etc., he did practice lying himself. How much more did he then lie about in the Quran in addition to what we see f. ex. in Mega Mistake 5? Perhaps he lied about his religion to gain or secure power?

IV. The use of obviously wrong arguments – arguments any intelligent person knew were lies – **prove beyond ANY doubt that Muhammad knew he was lying at least some places in the Quran** (in addition to the lies he used according to Hadiths in other connections). A man that believed in lying (breaking even of oaths) and betrayal, when that gave better results for himself/his platform of power (Islam) than honesty, is not reliable. How many more surahs and verses did he lie about? (See f. ex. Al-Taqiyya)?

V. There also is one more small (?), but interesting point: A prophet is a person with the gift of making prophesies. Muhammad did not have that gift, and did not even once pretend or claim in the Quran that he had it. (There are a few times when what he said about the future came true, and because of this were remembered – whereas what he said that did not come true, long since is forgotten, like normal. But no-one included Muhammad himself claimed that they were prophesies when they were said. And as already said: Muhammad never even claimed or pretended to have such a gift.) And as Muhammad was unable to make prophesies, **he in reality was no prophet – may be a messenger or an apostle (though for whom?) - but no prophet. He only “borrowed” an imposing and impressing title.**

VI. All the mistakes that are in accordance with the (wrong) science of Arabia (or really its neighbors’) around 630 AD, also proves beyond ANY doubt that the book is not made by an omniscient god – no omniscient god is bound to use the wrong science of a small area on Earth and at a specific period of time – even a period of time with very primitive science.

There is no way around the fact that the Quran itself proves 100% and beyond any doubt that something is seriously wrong with the Quran – and with Muhammad – and hence with Islam.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 1 (= II-1-3-1)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ABOUT ALLAH

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents of this Section:

1. Some describing "facts" (according to the Quran) about Allah.
2. Allah's behaviour according to the Quran.
3. Different topics concerning Allah.

1. Some describing "facts" (according to the Quran) about Allah.

001 6/149: "With Allah is the arguments that reaches home - - -". Which means: Allah decides everything. "The Message of the Quran" explains this in its comment 141 to this surah (translated from Swedish): **"With other words: the real connection between Allah's knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidability in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man's relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true"**. This argument is the ultimate defeat for the very meaning behind the word "the Truth". A man of the morally destroyed character like the historical real Muhammad, has told an unproven and undocumented tale - - - and that is the ultimate truth also in the face of hundreds of mistakes, contradictions, and other wrongs, and here in the face of the absolutely impossible!!

IT ALSO IS THE ULTIMATE DEFEAT FOR THE CLAIM THAT IT IS POSSIBLE TO COMBINE THE CLAIM THAT man HAS FREE WILL WITH THE CLAIM THAT ALLAH DESIDES EVERY DETAIL OF YOUR LIFE.

***002 7/28: "Allah never commands what is shameful". WRONG:

1. Allah commands/permits sex with children. For an adult to enjoy sex with a child is utterly shameful. For an adult to introduce a child to sex is inhuman and even more shameful. Muhammad even demonstrated that it was ok at least from the girl is 9 – and worse: She – Aishah - became his favourite wife the rest of her childhood.
2. Allah commands that one can take slaves in a jihad - and any skirmish or war where Muslims are involved, is declared jihad. For centuries (till ca. 1930 – 1940) all the four law schools of Islam said that if the opposite parts were non-Muslims, this was good

enough reason to declare jihad – which means that even any slave hunter could claim to be waging jihad. To force fellow humans to become slaves, to toil for free for you, to be free for you to sell or mistreat or use for a sex toy, is utterly inhuman, utterly selfish, utterly immoral – and utterly shameful. Not to mention that it is a grotesque act to commit in the name of a presumed god good.

3. To rape a child prisoner/slave/victim is grotesquely selfish, immoral, inhuman and grotesquely shameful - - - but Allah has commanded that it is ok if the child is not pregnant - and at least 9 years according to Islam.
4. To rape any woman prisoner/slave/victim – a fellow human being – is nearly as selfish and shameful and bad as raping a child. But in the Quran it is “good and lawful” if the woman is not pregnant. That it is “good and lawful” may be a reason why rape is so common by Muslim warriors/soldiers. (Another that empathy is not an integrated part of Islam - and the same with moral philosophy).
5. To murder opponents – also personal opponents – in the name of a presumably good god is something much more than shameful.
6. To incite to discrimination, hate and war, in the name of a presumably good god is even worse than this again – and a proof of a god or a “prophet” full of hypocrisy.
7. To steal/rob/plunder and extort in the name of such a god – and with his permission as “good and lawful” - is nearly a bad and as much hypocrisy as raping and killing and apartheid/suppression. But all these points have this in common:
 1. They attract selfish warriors to a robber “prophet’s” army – and to his successors’.
 2. They attract greedy warriors to a robber “prophet’s” army – and to his successors’.
 3. They attract inhuman warriors to a robber “prophet’s” army – and to his successors’.
 4. They attract primitive warriors to a robber “prophet’s” army – and to his successors’.

5. It is a cheap way for a robber
“prophet” – and for his successors – to
get an army – a cheap army.

Finally: Severe or capital punishment for a woman who has been raped, but is unable to produce 4 male eye witnesses to the very act most likely is the most inhuman, most immoral, most unjust, and most shameful law we have ever come across in any at least half civilized religion or culture, and Allah/Muhammad has introduced this.

***003 10/64: “Hereafter; no change can there be in the words of Allah. This is indeed the supreme Felicity.” The first sentence may partly explain why Muslims cannot admit the mistakes, no matter how wild “explanations” they have to use. The second one is plainly wrong - see f. ex. 10/39.

Also: This surah was dictated by Muhammad ca. 621. There were many changes in Islam after that – Islam even changed completely from rather peaceful to a religion of robbing, hate and war. There also were many mistakes that science now see are wrong, and there were many “signs” and “proofs” which the laws of logic in reality changed the moment they were pronounced. (Only that uneducated Muslims do not know). Both sentences are wrong simply. Similar claim in 6/115.

00a 13/14: “For Him (Allah*) (alone) is prayer in Truth - -“. Yes, but only if Allah exists (and is the only god). **There was a good reason why Muhammad demanded and glorified blind belief: There existed and exists no real proof and no documentation for the existence of Allah – or for that case for Muhammad’s connection to a god. Blind belief in Islam only is based on the words of a morally suspect man like Muhammad. (The real, historical Muhammad is only distantly related to the glorified saint Islam paints.)**

004 20/114: “High above all is Allah, the King, the Truth!” Allah as shown in the Quran at best represents partly truth and partly mistakes. And does he even exist?

Actually: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him.

005 22/52: “- - - Allah is full of knowledge and wisdom - - -.” Not if the Quran is representative for his knowledge and wisdom – Islam will have to produce real and reliable proofs if they insist that Allah has much knowledge and wisdom. Also see 11/1 – 27/9 – 31/27 – 33/1 – 33/40 - 34/1 – 35/2 – 39/1 – 40/2 – 49/8 – 41/12 – 41/42 – 42/3 – 42/50 – 43/9 -43/84 – 45/2 – 45/37 - 46/2 – 46/4 – 48/7 – 48/8 – 48/19 – 51/30 – 53/6 – 57/1 – 59/1 – 59/22 – 59/24 – 60/5 – 60/10 – 62/1 – 62/3 – 64/18 – 66/2 – 76/30 – 84/23.

Besides: Have you ever noticed that the one who most needs to boast – loudly and frequently – about how truthful he is, is the cheater and the swindler, and the one boasting about his knowledge is the medium to rather ok, but not top intelligent one? – the really honest and the really intelligent persons never need to boast about those things. Real honesty and real intelligence makes itself felt after some time of close connection – if there is a need for boasting about it, something is wrong.

006 30/60: “- - - the promise of Allah is true - - -”. **The promises of Allah are expressed via Muhammad and the Quran. The first was a man of highly suspect morality according to his words and deeds told in Islamic religious and historical literature (the Quran, Hadiths, and Ibn Ishaq to mention the most central ones). The second is a book dictated by that man, and containing huge numbers of mistakes, contradictions, twisted arguments, twisted logic, inhuman ethics and moral, etc., etc. Islam will have to bring real proofs to be believed – and Islam has until now been unable to prove anything fundamental - - - they instead glorify and insist on naïve blind belief.** Also see 16/38 - 32/9 - 35/5 – 40/77 – 46/17.

****007 31/30:** “That is because Allah is the (only) Realty - - -”. Is Allah really a reality? All the tales about him derives from just one man - a man even canonized Islamic history tells for a long time lived as a chief highwayman and from stealing/robbing and extortion (for kidnapped salesmen, etc.). A man initiating assassinations and murders on his opponents (f. ex. Asma bint Marwan (female poet), al-Nadr, Abu Uzza, and Ocba after the battle of Badr, Abu Afaq (said to be over 100 years old), Kab ibn al-Ashraf, Ibn Sunayana, Othman bin Moghira, Abi ‘l Huqayq, and not to forget Kinana b. al-Rabi whom he tortured to death to find riches, and afterwards he personally raped Kinana's 17 year old, newlywed wife Safijja (Muhammad was nearly 60 then). A man that initiated mass murder - once some 700 helpless male prisoners from Khaybar, and made all their children and women slaves. And at least two female slaves, Raihana bint Amr and the above mentioned Safijja bint Huayay, he raped and took for his own personal use), a rapist with permission from Allah for himself and all his men to rape (“have sexual connections with” to use more polite words) all female slaves and prisoners that were not pregnant (this tells something about Allah, too). It is not known if he raped more “war” prisoners and/or slaves and in case how many, but the casual way his men accepted his raping of these two, may indicate that it was no big surprise to them. A man that initiated war and got 20% of all spoils of war, included slaves (though not all for his personal use) - 100% if the victims gave in without fighting. And a man lusting for power - easy to see both from the Quran and from Hadith. **And a man - and a god - entirely unable to produce one iota of a real proof for the tales.** (Sources: Among others: Ibn Hisham and Ibn Ishaq - both most respected by Islam for biography about Muhammad. Ibn Ishaq’s “The Life of Muhammad” is the most respected of all in Islam - written for the caliph in Baghdad around 750 AD. Plus the Quran and Hadiths – Al-Bukhari and Muslim).

Only this man told the tales in the Quran - tales that on top of all have hundreds and hundreds of mistakes, at least hundreds of loose statements, and as many contradictions, and hundreds of invalid “signs” and “profs” - loose statements and invalid “signs”/”proofs” being the hallmarks of cheats and deceivers, and of persons without true arguments.

A good and perfect man, according to Islam. (If that is true, we hope never to meet a bad Muslim.)

But a man normal people would say was dubious and with a dubious morality. Is a dubious man with dubious morality, a man who believed in using lies when there was a reason for that, and who was unable to produce the slightest proof, but for a lot of airy and partly illogical excuses for this inability to do so, plus “signs” and “proofs” without value, is this a man that always tells just and only the undeniable and full truth?

And the only indication Islam has for the reality of Allah is the tales of this kind of a man. Also see 22/6 – 22/62 – 23/116.

008 35/14: “And none (O man!) can tell you (the Truth) like the One (Allah) - - -”. This may be true if Allah exists. But the truth as told in the Quran, at best is partly the truth - mistakes, invalid “signs” and “proofs”, contradictions, unclear language, etc. Also see 28/75 – 34/48 – 43/86.

***009 42/24: “And Allah - - - proves the Truth by His Words.” Muhammad was asked many times to prove his - or presumably Allah’s - words but he never did, and seemed never to be able to, this even more so, as f. ex. **some of his “explanations” for why he never could prove anything, an intelligent man like him knew were lies.** And the words of the Quran prove not a thing, among other reasons because there are:

- I. Far too many mistakes pretending to be facts. (Swindle?)
- II. Far too many loose statements pretending to be facts. (Swindle?)
- III. Far too many invalid “signs” pretending to be documentation. (Swindle?)
- IV. Far too many invalid “proofs” - some even wrong - pretending to be documentation. (Swindle?)
- V. Some obvious lies – f. ex. that miracles would make no-one believe. (Swindle.)
- VI. Muhammad was unable to present anything but fast-talk when asked for proofs. (Swindle?)
- VII. Lots of invalid use of logic. (Swindle?)
- VIII. Lots of contradictions – (proves of lies?)

These all are hallmarks of a crook and a cheat and a deceiver.

010 49/13: “And Allah has full knowledge and is well acquainted (with all things).” Not if he has a “Mother Book” (see f. ex. 13/39 - 43/4) like the Quran revered in his heaven, and not if he sent down a copy of a book with that much wrong contents as a basis for his religion. See 40/75 and 41/12. Also see 4/176 – 15/86 - 27/6 – 27/44 -31/34 – 32/6 – 35/28 - 48/26 – 49/1 – 49/16 – 49/18 – 57/3 -58/7 – 64/4 – 65/12 – 67/13.

Besides: Have you ever noticed that the one who most needs to boast – loudly and frequently – about how truthful he is, is the cheater and the swindler, and the one boasting about his knowledge is the medium to rather ok, but not top intelligent and educated one? – The really honest and the really intelligent and wice persons never need to boast about those things. Real honesty and real intelligence makes itself felt after some time of close connection – if there is a need for boasting, something is wrong.

011 56/80: “A Revelation (the Quran*) from the Lord of the Worlds (Allah*) - - -”. Can it really be so? See 41/12 - and 40/75. Impossible – no omniscient god would make/deliver a book with so many mistakes, contradictions, etc. – not to mention keep it in his own Heaven as a revered Mother Book (13/39 - 43/4). Can this be a revelation from a god? Or the other way around: Can something producing so many mistakes, contradictions, so much invalid logic be lord and god of even one world? Also see 2/131 – 26/109 – 26/127 – 26/145 – 26/192.

012 74/56: “He (Allah*) is the Lord of Righteousness - - -.” A Lord making laws that say that killing and raping and stealing and enslaving are “right and good”, and who says that a woman shall be strictly punished for indecency if she is raped and cannot bring 4 male witnesses to the very act, is not righteous – on the contrary: He belongs to the most inhuman, worst and most unjust beings in all the universe. **The last mentioned law – about punishing the raped woman – is among the very most unjust laws that have ever existed (may be together with the law that says that stealing/robbing/raping/killing in jihad – everything is jihad – is “good and lawful”), especially as Allah (if he exists and is omniscient) knows she is not guilty.**

2. Allah’s behaviour according to the Quran.

00b 2/65-66: “We (Allah*) said to them ‘Be ye apes, despised and rejected’. So We made it an example to their own time and to their posterity, and a lesson for those who fear Allah”. That humans are changed into apes is an extraordinary statement. An extraordinary statement needs an extraordinary proof. The Quran here offers no proof at all.

00c 2/105: “But Allah will choose for His special Mercy whom He will - - -”. Muhammad Asad here explains that this is stating that Jews and Christians refused to believe in Muhammad and his Quran, because Muhammad was from the “outside” – the Quran, Islam and Muslims repeats and repeats this unproven claim and disuses it as an “explanation”, whereas the real main reason was that there were such a number of and such fundamental differences from the Bible, that something obviously was very wrong. Besides, the Jews – the absolute majority of non-Arabs in the area – believed they had a covenant, and both the Quran and modern time Islam and Muslims are dishonest enough never to mention this fact as a main reason for why the Jews were not interested in Muhammad’s teachings: The covenant and the very different religion were the two reasons why they were not interested in Islam – not what the Quran and Islam claims and claims and claims (as normal for them absolutely without any proof or documentation) – that the reason was that Muhammad was not a Jew.

013 2/108: “But whoever changeth from Faith (Islam*) to Unbelief, hath strayed without doubt from the even way (the road to Paradise*)”. With all the mistakes and wrong logic in the Quran, not to mention all the contradictions, there is a most real doubt for that Islam can be “the even way” to Paradise – this even more so when one knows that the only source for the stories in the Quran, was the very morally degenerated man and self proclaimed prophet Muhammad (who did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies, but used the nice title all the same) – nearly all self proclaimed prophets through the time have turned out to be false prophets wanting something in this life – normally money, women and/or power - without caring too much for the means they used. Muhammad at least wanted women and power. (And if there is a next life: Where do all Muslims end if Islam is a wrong way and they discover too late that it may be a made up religion?)

00d 6/101: “- - - how can he (Yahweh*) have a son when He hat no consort?” Wrong - and the Quran has itself given one possible solution: It declares that the god may just say “Be” and it is. May be Yahweh just said “Be a son”, and Jesus was.

****But there is another, but little known fact: In the very old Jewish religion there was a female god, too. They spoke about the god and his Amat (source among others “New Scientist”). In the very masculine society of the old Hebrews, the goddess was forgotten, though, - - - but it was possible for Yahweh to have a son “the natural way”. Gods would know this, but Muhammad not. Also see 17/111 above.**

But why should gods make children the same way as humans? Similar claim in 18/5 – 112/3.

00e 7/57: “It is He who sendeth the winds - - -”. The winds are made by differences in temperatures and air pressure. Islam will have to prove that Allah is doing it - if he does.

**014 9/111: “Allah hath purchased of the Believers their persons and their goods; - - - they fight in his cause, and slay and are slain: a promise binding on him in truth, through the Law, the Gospel, and the Quran: - - -”. This is a really strong one. If there is something that is absolutely sure, it is that you do not find orders or incitement to slaying in the Gospels. This is 110% wrong.

015 13/28: “- - - for without doubt in the remembrance of Allah do hearts find satisfaction”. This only is true for (some) Muslims, and in difficult times also some others seek comfort in religion – and also because of social adaptation only for some of them. Science tells that a minor fraction of the people (may be 5 - 10%) has an internal drive for a god, and some more that resort to such thinking when life is difficult. (In 2006 or 2007 they even found which gene in our DNA that produces this drive. One theory is that religion is favoured by evolution because it makes the group closer knit and then the chances for survival bigger). These people find satisfaction in their religion - no matter which religion - if they do believe in it. And if they happen to be Muslims, they then find satisfaction in Allah. But NB: The satisfaction does not derive from the god they believe in – he/she may well be a fiction, like Allah seems to be (strongly indicated by all the mistakes in the Quran) – but from their own belief, as it is strong enough to make them feel sure it is right, and then feel secure in that security (false or not does not matter, as long as they themselves believe their belief is right). There is a possibility that this feeling of security, and hence safety and reduced nervousness, is another Darwinian reason for this inherited trait – it may in some way give an edge in the fight for survival.

The question these ideas of course produce is: Is there a god or are they all made up from our needs for something supernatural?

We should try to find out, because if it all stems from inside us, we should try to do something with at least the inhuman and immoral religions.

00f 16/81: “He (Allah*) made you garments to protect you from the heath”. This is another point where one may wonder: Did the maker of the Quran know only the Middle East? – most garments in the world are made to protect humans from the cold.

016 17/42: “If there had been (other) gods with Him (Allah*) - - - behold, they would certainly have sought out a way to the Lord of the Throne”. Wrong – it is a possibility, but very far from a certainty. F. ex. hierarchies are possible, or splitting of power. Similar claim in 21/22.

*00g 17/111: “- - - and has no partner in (His) dominion - - -”. Well, Islam says that Allah is the same god as Yahweh. If we discuss from that hypothetical statement just here and say Allah = Yehwah: In the very old Hebrew religion there was a female partner/wife of Yahweh - his Amat (source: New Scientist and at least two others). In the strictly masculine Semitic culture the Amat was forgotten over the centuries. But may be she still existed all the same at the time of Muhammad - and may be even today? Also see 25/2. And see 72/3 just below.

00h 19/35: “It is not befitting to (the majesty of) Allah that He should beget a son”. We hope it really is the god that is talking here, because if it is Muhammad; how is a human to know what is befitting for a god? - and majesties often have children - many children. F. ex. Ramses II had 67 sons and an unknown number of daughters, and Djingis Khan had so many children that science still can trace his DNA in Asia (source: New Scientist). And if this statement is true, there is the enigma of Jesus’ saying “father” and “my father” about Yahweh – both the Bible and the Quran say Jesus was honest. (Remember: Muhammad calls Yahweh Allah, as he insists it is the same god - though it is something that is possible only if Yahweh/Allah is schizophrenic as there are too many and too grave differences between the two teachings.) Invalid argument. Also see 19/92 – 37/152 – 37/180.

017 22/78: “It is He (Allah*) Who has named you Muslims, both before and in this (Revelation) - - “. Wrong. The name was never used before – there is no kind of trace of it anywhere. This is one of the cases where Islam will have to prove their claim.

018 24/64: “Be quite sure that to Allah doth belong whatever is in the heavens (plural and wrong*) and on earth.” It is impossible to be sure of that on basis of a book like the Quran with that many mistakes, twisted facts, contradictions, and that much invalid logic, etc. + some plain dishonesty.

***019 26/209: “- - - and We (Allah) never are unjust”.

- I. A man correctly telling that a woman has been indecent is lying to Allah if he cannot produce 4 witnesses - even if an omniscient Allah has to know he is speaking the truth.
- II. A woman who has been raped, is forbidden to tell who it was, unless she can produce 4 MALE witnesses WHO HAS ACTUALLY SEEN THE ACT. If she cannot produce 4 such witnesses, and all the same tells who the rapist(s) is/are, she shall have 80 whiplashes for slander. And she also is to be strictly punished for illegal sex, even though an omniscient god knows she is tellign the truth!! Probably the most unjust and amoral law we have ever seen in any not extremely primitive society or culture.
- III. It is 100% permitted for an owner to rape his female slaves or prisoners of war (may be this is why Muslims so often rape women during conflicts - f. ex. earlier in Bangladesh and earlier and now in Africa). The Quran even directly tells that it is no sin to rape also your married slaves or merried prisoners of war, as long as they are not pregnant. The price for the victims is of no interest for Islam and the Quran - and some Muslims.
- IV. It is glorious and the Muslims’ right to steal, rob, plunder, and to kill non-Muslims during

jihad - and almost any conflict is declared
jihad (holy war). It is “just and good”.

There are more if you look. Please never tell us that Allah as described in the Quran never is unjust. These 4 - and more - are horrible. Actually the most unjust we have ever seen in any law. Similar claims in 7/29 – 7/33 – 8/51 - 40/31 – 45/19-20.

020 28/59: “Nor was thy Lord (Allah*) one to destroy a population until he had sent to its Centre a messenger - - -”. The Quran speaks about lots of prophets - in the Hadith it is mentioned 124000 through the times and throughout the world. (And one impolite, but pertinent reminder: Muhammad was unable to make real prophesies – he in reality was no prophet, only “borrowed” that big title). But with the exception of Israel (and a few rulers that did so on their own accord for political reasons) there are no traces anywhere, any time from prophets for monotheistic religions - not in archaeology, not in literature, not in art, not in architecture - not even in folklore or fairy tales. Besides: MANY places were destroyed by war, famine or other catastrophes through the time without being visited by prophets for a monotheistic religion warning them first - in spite of the Quran’s saying all such things only happen in accordance with the plans of Allah.

The verse is wrong. And we are also not sure that such a vengeful and hard god is a good or benevolent god – when someone says or declares one thing, but demands or does something else, we always believe that the demands and the deeds are more reliable than cheap words. Similar claims in 17/15 - 17/16.

021 29/45: “- - - remembrance of Allah is the greatest (thing in life) without doubt.” There is much doubt about this if he has composed the Quran - the mistakes proves he in case is very far from omniscience, the valueless “signs” and “proofs” proves he is not very good at logical thinking, all the contradictions prove that he has no perfect memory, and his use of invalid excuses and his inability to send proofs of his existence, proves he is not omnipotent. And if someone else made the Quran, the doubt is even greater, as then both the Quran and Islam are without any value at all - or with negative value, as much of the religion is rather inhuman (f. ex. wars, terrorism, suppression of women and freedom to rape many of them, suppression of all non-Muslims, thoughts about slavery, and enmity towards non-Muslims).

022 30/26: “- - - all (beings*) are devotedly obedient to Him (Allah*).” Wrong. No non-Muslim is devotedly obedient to Allah. And no Muslim sinner is devotedly obedient to any god. Islam further will have to prove that also all non-human beings, included worms and slugs and microbes - are devotedly obedient to him. Yes, they will even have to prove that all believing Muslims are devotedly obedient to him.

023 30/30: “- - - no change (let there be) in the work (wrought) by Allah: that (Islam) is the standard Religion - - -”. No “standard” religion can be based on a book with so many obvious mistakes. And hopefully no “standard” religion can be based on hate, suppression and blood. (This paragraph is one of the reasons why Islam states - or pretend - that the Quran is perfect, and why Islam can admit not even the most obvious mistakes - all mistakes must be “explained” away, because there can be no change (and of course nothing wrong) in Allah’s work – the Quran).

00i 32/5: “Verily, a Day in the sight of thy Lord (Allah*) is like a thousand years of your (Mohammad’s*) reckoning”. There something wrong here as 70/4 says: “- - - unto Him

(Allah*) in a day the measure of which is (as) fifty thousand years”? Even if this should be figurative speech, a factor of 50 is much. Another contradiction in reality. Also see 22/47.

024 33/4: “- - - He (Allah*) shows the (right) Way.” Not possible if the Quran is the words of Allah – too many mistakes, etc. A book that at best is partly true is a bad map.

025 33/72: “We (Allah*) even offered the Trust to the Heavens (plural and wrong*) and (the flat planet*) Earth and the Mountains, but they refused to undertake it - - -”. At least neither the planet (or disc like Muhammad believed) Earth, nor its mountains has the brain or consciousness to accept or refuse anything. A fairy tale.

00j 36/24: “(If I took another god*) I would indeed - - - be in manifest Error.” **Not if that god exists – and especially not if Allah on top of that is a made up god (he after all was taken over from the pagan Arab gods by Muhammad who just renamed him from al-Lah to Allah, and even took over most of the pagan Arab religious rituals, too.)**

026 40/51: “We (Allah*) will, without doubt, help Our messengers and those who believe - - - .” Wrong – with all the mistakes, etc. in the Quran, there are heavy reasons for doubts.

*027 40/82: “Do they not travel through the earth and see what was the End of those before them?” In and around Arabia there were - and are - scattered ruins. Muhammad told they were all remains of people punished by Allah for sins (and for good measure they were stronger than Muhammad’s contemporary Arabs). Believe it who wants - but contact a professor of history or a psychologist if you do. Similar claims at least in 3/137 – 6/11 – 7/4 – 9/70 -16/36 -21/6 - 40/21 – 40/82.

028 43/10: “- - - and (Allah) has made for you roads - - -”. We have never heard about a road made by a god, except perhaps in fairy tales. The paths/roads in Arabia were so old that no one remembered the start of them, and then Muhammad could tell things like this. But it is obviously not true. Similar claim in 16/15.

029 43/14: “And to our Lord (Allah*), surely, must we turn back.” With at least 2000+ mistakes etc. in the Quran, this may be wrong, too - see 41/10 and 41/12. For sure it is not sure that we meet Allah - if any god at all - after this life. Also see 19/68 – 62/8.

00k 55/24: “And His (Allah’s) are the Ships sailing - - -”. We never heard about a god owning ships. It cannot be literally meant. But the Quran says it is to be read literally.

030 64/8: “Believe, therefore, in Allah and His Messenger, and in the Light (the Quran*) - - -”. As for the Light, see 40/75 and 41/12. As For believing: See all the mistakes, contradictions, invalid claims/logic, etc. – not to mention highly immoral laws that are not made by any benevolent god.

031 70/4: “- - - in a Day the measure whereof is (as) fifty thousand years - - -.” A solid contradiction to 32/5 and 22/47 that both say 1000 years.

**00l 72/3: “- - - He (Allah*) has taken neither a wife nor a son.” If Allah is not the same god as Yahweh, this may be true. But if the two are the same: Well, Jesus called him “father” in front of thousands of witnesses. And as for a wife: In the really old Hebrew religion the god

had a female - his Amat (source: New Scientist and others). But in the very male culture she was forgotten. Also see 17/111 just above.

3. Different topics concerning Allah:

00m 2/177: “- - - to believe in Allah and the last day, and the Angles, and the Book, and the Messengers - - -“. Yusuf Ali says this is like accepting the “heavenly revelations” as facts – clearly Islam’s meaning even today is like this, even though absolutely nothing is proved or documented, it all rests good and well only on blind belief in what a morally very suspect person once told.

032 6/101: “- - - how can he (Yahweh*) have a son when He hat no consort?” Wrong - and the Quran has itself given one possible solution: It declares that the god may just say “Be” and it is. May be Yahweh just said “Be a son”, and Jesus was.

**But there is another, but little known fact: In the very old Jewish religion there was a female god, too. They spoke about the god and his Amat (source among others “New Scientist”). In the very masculine society of the old Hebrews, the goddess was forgotten, though, - - - but it was possible for Yahweh to have a son “the natural way”. Gods would know this, but Muhammad not.

But why should gods make children the same way as humans? (The Quran returns to this topic rather frequently.)

033 6/114: “Say: ‘Shall I seek for judge other than Allah?’” According to Ibn Warraq “Why I am not a Muslim”, the word “Say” is not to be found in the original Arab text. Yusuf Ali has added it to hide that here once more Muhammad is speaking in a book he pretended was made by a god a long time ago, and a copy of a revered Mother Book in Allah’s own Heaven. (Ibn Warraq points to at least 8 such places in the Quran: 2/286, 6/104, 6/114, 11/2-3, 19/36, 27/91, 42/10/, 51/50-51).

034 7/42: “- - - no burden do We (Allah*) place on any soul, but that which it can bear - - -“. Can this be true? – also among Muslims self murder (or seeking death for Allah, when the real reason is a too difficult life), deserting one’s family or child, resorting to crime to be able to live on, etc. happens.

035 14/12: “No reason have we (Muslims*) why we should not put our trust in Allah”. Wrong. All the mistakes, etc. in the Quran proves 100% that it is not from a god. And all the mistaken facts that are in accordance with wrong science in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad, strongly indicate that it is made by one or more humans in Arabia at the time of Muhammad. In both cases the religion is a made up one, and Allah may not even exist. In addition one have the fact that Muhammad simply lied and did not even respect his own oaths. Similar claim in 5/84.

036 14/22: “It was Allah who gave you a promise of Truth (the Quran*) - - -.” With that many mistakes the Quran at best is partly true.

037 16/62: “- - - they (people*) attribute to Allah what they hate (daughters*)”. Wrong – if Islam pretends to be a universal religion. Some places on Earth – like in Arabia – girl babies may have been hated. But most places they only were of lower value, and far from hated.

Then some places they were valued more or less equally. There also were places where daughters were valuable – f. ex. because they meant money/valuables to their parents when they married. There even were a few places where the societies were matriarchates, and the girls the main sex. (This is one of the many points in the Quran where wrong knowledge points to some human(s) in Arabia as the maker(s) of the Quran – there are too many like that.)

038 21/18: “- - - nay, We (Allah) hurl the Truth against falsehood, and it knocks out its brain, and behold, falsehood doth perish!” Does the same happen if one hurls the Quran With all its mistakes, etc.? - the Quran at best is partly true.

00n 21/112: “- - - against the blasphemies you utter!” Is it blasphemy to doubt what is told about Allah, when there are weighty reasons for doubt? (- all the mistakes, contradictions, etc. in the Quran).

039 22/40: “Did not Allah check one set of people by means of another, there surely would have been pulled down monasteries, churches, synagogues, and mosques - - -.” Wrong – this is far from the only way an omniscient and omnipotent god could manage the world. One alternative is f. ex. to change man a little and teach him how to live in peace. **Only members of a culture and religion of war and looting and suppressing do not immediately see this.** This point just is an artificial alibi for war and conquest – and suppression and looting.

040 22/67: “- - - thou (Muslims*) art assuredly on the Right Way”. That only is true if the Quran is correct - - - and the Quran contains lots of mistakes, contradictions, twisted arguments, twisted logic, some outright lies, etc. (all of which are hallmarks for cheats, deceivers and swindlers – persons normally looking for money, women and/or power in dishonest ways. Muhammad liked women and power – and money for “gifts” to possible followers). Similar claim in 45/18. Besides: Have you ever noticed that the one who most needs to boast – loudly and frequently – about how truthful he is, is the cheater and the swindler, and the one boasting about his knowledge is the medium to rather ok, but not top intelligent and learned one? – the really honest and the really intelligent persons never need to boast about those things. Real honesty and real intelligence makes itself felt after some time of close connection – if there is a need for boasting, something is wrong.

041 22/78: “It is He (Allah*) Who has named you Muslims, both before and in this (Revelation) - - -”. Wrong. The name was never used before Muhammad – there is no kind of trace of it (or of the religion) anywhere. This is one of the cases where Islam will have to prove their claim.

042 23/85: “They (non-Muslims*) will say, ‘To Allah’”. Wrong. If they name the name of a god, they will say the name of their own god (in old Arabia that might have been the polytheistic al-Lah). Similar claims in 23/87 – 23/89.

043 24/41: “- - - it is Allah Whose praise all beings in the heavens (plural and wrong) and on earth do celebrate - - -”. This has not been documented or clearly shown anywhere or any time. Similar claim in 16/50.

00o 31/11: “Such is the Creation of Allah: now show Me (Allah*) what is there that others beside Him have created - - -.” Show us first if all the cheap words about everything Allah has created, are true – there only are lose and easy words anyone can use about his/her god(s),

free of charge. With all the mistakes and contradictions, twisted words and logic and even some obvious lies (f. ex. that miracles would not influence sceptics or proselytes) the Quran is built on, also this may be wrong.

044 45/5: “- - - the fact that Allah sends down Sustenance from the sky - - -.” As “always” the Quran makes a claim only, and proves nothing. As long as it is not proved, it is not a fact – words are too cheap. Actually this is one of the very many cases where the Quran takes a natural phenomenon – here rain – and unceremoniously say it is Allah that makes it - - - just like any priest in any religion can say about his god or gods, just as cheaply.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 2 (= II-1-3-2)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ABOUT THE QURAN

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents of this Section:

- 1. The (claimed) sending down of the Quran.**
- 2. The language in the Quran.**
- 3. Claimed practical value of the Quran.**
- 4. Religious evaluation of the contents in the Quran.**
- 5. “Infidels” in this part of the Quran.**
- 6. Different topics.**
- 7. Conclusions to this section of this chapter.**

1. The (claimed) sending down of the Quran:

It is very clear from the following verses and notes that Islam claims the Quran is sent down from a god – revealed by the god to Muhammad - and to his followers via Muhammad. Muslims also claim the book is clear and that everything is easy to understand - - - except when there are points that clearly are wrong. Then the texts suddenly are allegories and/or must be understood from a special context – or “cannot be understood correctly unless you have the full overview over the whole book”.

001 2/24: “But if ye cannot – and of surety ye (non-Muslims*) cannot (produce a surah of the same quality like in the Quran*) - - -“. The surahs are no good literature – more or less copies of Arab folklore, legends, fairy tales, and stuff Muhammad had been told from the Bible or (mainly) from apocryphal (made up) "biblical" stories. In addition the composition and presentation of the texts belong in primary school. Many a good writer could collect such stories and do a much better job (on these points f. ex. the Bible is far better written). The Arab language itself is said to be excellent – but when you know that the language was polished for some 250 years by top intelligent and top learned men, until it got its somewhat final form around 900 AD (the Arab alphabet was not completed until then), that point tells nothing about the original Qurans from around 650 – caliph Uthman’s and others’. The claim is wrong.

002 2/97: “- - - he (Gabriel*) brings down the (revelation) to thy heart by Allah’s will - - -“. No omniscient god sends down a book with that many mistakes and that much invalid logic. Besides: See 16/102 just above.

00a 5/59: “- - - the revelation (the Quran*) that hath come to us (Muhammad) - - -“. Well, one of the central questions about Islam is if there really were revelations (with that many mistaken facts, etc.) – and if there were: From whom. There are these alternatives:

1. Revelations from a god – which the Quran proves is not the case, as no god, omniscient or not, had made so many mistakes, contradictions, and so much wrong logic, etc.
2. Or revelations from an impostor – f. ex. the Devil – pretending to be Gabriel. And the inhuman and on some points highly immoral religion Muhammad founded, may indicate that this really is a possibility.
3. Or something working on Muhammad’s brain (an illness like TLE easily would explain that – see BBC “God on the Brain”, 20. March 2003) – and the inhuman and on some points highly immoral religion Muhammad founded, may indicate that also this really is a possibility.
4. Or “revelations” simply came from a human brain with or without an illness – if Muhammad had TLE (Temporal Lobe Epilepsy) like medical experts according to f. ex. BBC suspects, that easily explains his “experiences”, but even his obvious lust for power may explain the making up of a

religion - many a self proclaimed "prophet" with lust for power or money or women have done so through the times (Muhammad at least liked power and women - and riches to attract and keep followers by means of "gifts".

5. Or it is all a "scenario" made by a cold and scheming brain – and Muhammad's inhuman ruthlessness and easily recognized lust for power (see f. ex. how he glues himself to Allah) may indicate this.

A combination of some points also is possible. Also see 22/54,

A small extra point: In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.

003 6/104: "Now have (the Quran*) come to you (Muslims*), from your Lord (Allah*), proofs - -". Wrong. In all the Quran there is not on single valid proof for Allah or for Islam - or for Muhammad being a real messenger (he definitely was no prophet, as he had not – and did not even claim or pretend to have – the ability to make prophesies). Not one single proof that proves any god at all. There MAY BE are a few exceptions in the tales taken from the Bible, but they in case talk about Yahweh, and Islam in case will have to prove that Allah and Yahweh really is the same god - a statement only based on unproven claims in the Quran and in Hadith, and a statement that have never in any way been documented. All the other statements are only based on thin air and cheap words - words that any priest in any religion can use about his or her god(s). They are worth nothing as proofs.

The Quran some places talks about "proofs" and many places where it talks about "signs". **They all have that in common that they without exception are without value as proofs for Allah or for Muhammad's connection to a god. After all a proof is one or more PROVEN facts that can give only one conclusion - and the Quran and Islam NEVER proves the claims they build their "signs" and "proofs" for Allah or for Muhammad's connection to a god on. NEVER.** If f. ex. heaven and earth shall be proofs for Allah, Islam first has to prove that it really was Allah that made them - not only say so. If rain shall be a proof for Allah, Islam first has to prove that it really is Allah that makes and directs the rain, not just say so, because this any religion can say - valueless as a proof (Baal makes the rivers run downwards. Allah cannot make them run upwards. ERGO: Baal is the real god and Allah just an impostor. Etc., etc., etc. Valueless "proofs".) If life on Earth is to be a proof for Allah, Islam first have to prove that it really was Allah that created it - not just use empty statements any priest in any religion can use free of charge. Etc., etc., etc.

The Quran is very good at demanding proofs from all other religions, but it never, NEVER, offers any valid proofs about Allah or the Quran or Muhammad's connection to a god itself when it comes to disputed "truths" (it offers "proofs" and "signs", but they are not valid). And it is extra thought-provoking that the times it says that this and this is a proof, and the many,

many times it says that this and this is a sign, the statements are without exception just statements taken out of thin air or in other ways not built on proved facts - nothing that a judge would accept as proofs in a neutral, good quality court. Nothing. Any god would know the statements were without value as real proofs, and not call them - or hint that they are - proofs. **It is just cheap words and demagoguery that any priest in any religion can use. Sorry, but that is the very plain truth - and in reality even worse: Who use loose statements, invalid arguments and invalid proofs? - cheats and impostors!** It actually tells something about a god if he is trying to cheat simple and simpleminded, uneducated people - not to mention what it tells about him if he did not understand that one time humans would get enough knowledge to see through the cheating, and even more so if Allah did not understand what effect such "proofs" made up of mistakes and bluffing would have on educated, thinking persons when they discovered they were untrue.

For similar claims see 2/41 – 2/91 – 2/117 – 2/147 – 2/176 – 2/213 – 3/3 – 3/7 – 3/60 – 4/105 – 4/113 – 4/140 – 5/15 – 6/91 – 6/92 – 6/104 – 6/114 – 6/155 – 7/52 – 10/94 – 10/108 – 11/17 – 12/2 – 13/36 – 15/9 – 16/64 – 17/73 – 17/82 – 17/105 – 17/106 – 18/27 – 18/29 – 20/113 – 21/10 – 21/45 – 22/54 – 23/90 – 24/1 – 24/25 – 25/1 – 25/6 – 28/47 – 38/53 – 32/2 – 32/3 – 35/31 – 38/29 – 39/1 – 39/2 – 39/23 – 39/41 – 40/2 – 41/2 – 42/17 – 43/78 – 55/2 – 64/8 – 69/43 – 76/23.

Besides: Have you ever noticed that the one who needs to boast – loudly and frequently – about how truthful he is, is the cheater and deceiver, and the one boasting about his knowledge is the mediocre to rather ok, but not top intelligent and learned one? – the really honest and the really intelligent and knowledgeable persons never need to boast about those things. Real honesty and real intelligence makes itself felt after some time of close connection – if there is a need for boasting, something is wrong. And as it here are lots of claims about speaking the truth, we may quote the Nazi-German Minister for Propaganda, Joseph Goebbels: "If you tell a lie often enough, people starts believing it is true." (There is a lot of similarity between the ideology behind Nazism and the one behind Islam).

004 13/1: "- - - the Book: that which hath been revealed unto thee from thy Lord (Allah*) - - -". That is the question, to quote Hamlet. Did a god really produce and reveal a book with that many mistakes, contradictions, and invalid "proofs", etc.? No. And when no god revealed it, he also did not reveal it to Muhammad. An alternative is that the Devil impersonated Gabriel and in other cases told Muhammad "by inspiration" (to quote "The Meaning of the Quran" by Yusuf Ali), and that it thus was revealed by him. Another alternative is that it all stems from a sick brain – TLE (Temporal Lobe Epilepsy) + lust for power may easily explain everything. Yet another alternative is that it was not revealed, but made up – The fact that many of the mistakes that are in accordance with the wrong science of the time and area of Muhammad, and also the fact that Muhammad was not stupid enough to believe everything that is said in the Quran, may indicate that it is made up. (As for the last argument: F. ex. the claim that miracles would not make some people believe, Muhammad was too intelligent and knew too much about people to believe himself – and f. ex. Jesus was a good proof of the opposite: A lot did not believe in spite of everything, but quite a number came to believe because of what they saw and heard and witnessed. The same was the conclusion of the story that Muhammad himself told about the magicians and Moses: They came (according to Muhammad's own words) to believe after a small miracle.) For similar also see 2/231 – 3/3 – 4/136 – 5/48 – 5/59 – 5/64 – 5/67 – 6/7 – 7/2 – 7/3 – 10/2 – 13/19 – 16/89 – 18/1 – 16/102 – 25/33 – 27/6 – 33/2 – 34/6 – 35/24 – 35/31 – 39/2 – 47/2.

005 13/38: “For each period is a book revealed”. Hardly. Homo Sapiens - modern man - is may be 200000 years old (and there were humans or humanoids long before that). There is no trace of any book or of monotheism from all those years up to the next major step, that happened may be 60000+ (64000?) years ago. At that time something happened – nobody knows what – that started Homo Sapiens on his course towards Modern Man (it is likely it happened somewhere in the western part of Asia, perhaps in the southern Caspian area). Then no book up to the next major step: Agricultural Man 15000 years ago, give or take a few thousand years – probably somewhere in the Middle East. No book and no trace of monotheism anywhere in the world. The next step: Towns. No books to regulate the life or religion for Homo Urbanus (man in town) – not until long time after towns and even cities had started to pop up, and still no trace of monotheism of any kind, not to mention Allah. The first traces of real monotheism – and later a book about a monotheistic god – came with the Jews (the name is used in a wide understanding chronologically). And even then it is highly unlikely that they had books before the period in Egypt (that Abraham had a book or books, is so unlikely that Islam will have to prove it if they will insist on that – it is extremely unlikely that a nomad of that time even knew how to read.) Also the Zoroastrians had a book, but that Muhammad did not know – at least not until late in his life. After that – and before the Quran – science knows about only one or two books (depending on whether you reckon the “Bible” of the Jews + NT to be one or two books) as basis for monotheism – add one if you include the Zoroastrians. (There also was a small, young monotheistic sect in Arabia at the time of Muhammad, but to our knowledge they had no holy book.)

During most those periods and aeons there is found no traces of such other such a book or of monotheism in any kind of science: Archaeology (with a ?-mark for Akn-Aton and his sun), literature, folklore, history, art, architecture. Islam will have to produce very strong proofs for the opposite – till now they just have produced cheap statements and even cheaper words - - - and not one real proof.

Worse: When there finally came a book after Muhammad’s heart, it only covered a tiny part of the world and a short periode of time – whereas the Quran states that every people in all times have had their prophets (and a book).

Worst: Islam tells that the reason why “the Book” had to be rejuvenated at intervals, was that the world and the societies changed (in addition to the never proved or documented claim that the Bible is falsified). But the world and the cultures and the societies have changed more the last 300 years – yes, even the last 100 years – than in all the 200000 or more years before. Why do we not need a new book after all these changes? – if Allah is omniscient, he 13.7 billion or more years ago (when the universe was created) knew that at least parts of the Quran would be hopelessly inadequate (f. ex. some laws) and too dangerous (f. ex. atomic, chemical and bacteriological weapons combined with a most ruthless and inhuman war religion), not later than around 1950 AD. Ours is a period that really needs a book teaching love and peace among humans and nations – not hate and suppression and inhumanity and war (like f. ex. the Quran and the religion of Gjengis Chan and a few other war religions).

**00b 13/39: “- - - with Him (Allah*) is the Mother of the Book (the presumed original book of which the Quran is said to be a copy*)“. Mere humans like us think it is unlikely in the extreme that an omnipotent and omniscient god has a book awash with mistakes, contradictions, logiacally invalid claims, etc. as a revered Mother Book in his Heaven. There also are a lot of problems to explain, if it was made by the god a long time ago - not to mention if it is an unmade book that has existed forever, like many Muslims insists:

1. If the book is that old and existed before, why did the god have to send down imperfect books - Torah, OT, NT? And NB: Science has shown they are not falsified like Muslims claims (as normal for Islam without documentation).
2. How to explain that in some verses it is Muhammad that is speaking?
3. How to explain that the god some times has to change his message – erase it in the Mother Book and write something new? - and did he really get everything right in the book this time? Especially if he is copying the Mother Book he ought to get it right at once?
4. How could he change the messages, if it was all written a long time ago - or always existed - in a Mother Book he copied? Erasing something and writing over?
5. How come that so many verses are answers or comments to things that happened in Mecca and Medina to Muhammad and during the life of Muhammad? - Muhammad f. ex. quarrelled with his wives, and Allah sent down surahs to explain that Muhammad as always was right - and like always a little bit too late to avert problem, but relevant to his needs just then? (Remember that if man has freedom of choice, full omniscience and thus also full clairvoyance is impossible - admitted even by Islam, except that they say it must be true all the same because Allah says so in the Quran (!!))
6. How to explain that it (the Quran) could have been written aeons ago, if Allah has given the humans a certain amount of free will? - human acts will upset the texts in chaotic ways. (Predestination and human free will are 100% incompatible and 100% impossible to combine - and man always can change his mind once more, making it impossible to know what really will happen, until it happens).
7. Islam says texts had to be changed a little over time, because times changes - therefore new holy books. But the 300 last years time has changed more than from Adam till 1700 AD. Why are no prophets and no holy book necessary? (Also see 13/38). And how was the text in the Mother Book changed to fit new times.

8. If the “mother book” is aeons old, why then is nearly the all talk to Muhammad, a little to a few others, and nothing to the other 124000 (the number according to Hadiths) prophets? The first prophets - when everything was new – after all needed most information and help.
9. How to explain that most of the stories in the Quran are based on religious fairy tales? - any god had known they were untrue.
10. How to explain all the mistakes? – any god had known better.
11. How to explain all the invalid statements? – any god had known better.
12. How to explain all the invalid “signs” (treated as proofs)?
13. How to explain the invalid “proofs”? – any god had known better.
14. How to explain the directly wrong statements, “signs” and “proofs”. ?
15. How to explain all the contradictions – the claim of "no contradictions" is one of the “proofs” for Allah?

Also see 13/1.

00c 16/102: “- - - the Holy Spirit has brought the revelation - - -“. Muhammad Azad: “The Message of the Quran” tells that the Arab word “ruh al-qudus” (= the Holy Spirit) is used 3 times in the Quran (2/87, 5/110 – both connected to Jesus – and here), and that here it means the angel Gabriel. The Holy Spirit in Arab = Gabriel?! Besides: See 2/97.

006 25/33: “- - - We (Allah) reveal to thee (Muhammad or the Muslims) the truth and the best explanations (thereof).” The best explanations are never - never - built on a lot of mistaken facts. The Quran also many places states that belief in Islam is built on intelligence, intellectual capacity, and knowledge. Is it?

Sometimes it seems like it is built on sheer blind belief and suppression of the true facts. (“The Message of the Quran” even tells that it is primitive not to be able to see that the Quran is made of a god, without any proofs - and another place that it is a no good believer that search for real proofs. The sorry truth is that it is primitive and naïve to believe only because something is said or written. Words are cheap.)

A book with lots of mistakes, contradictions, twisted arguments, as twisted logic, and dictated by a man of very suspect morality, defending and enlarging his platform of power – his self-proclaimed religion – is no reliable guidance and of suspected truth. More proofs are needed to make this believable.

007 26/210-211: “No evil ones have brought down this (Revelation). It would neither suit them - - -“. May be no evil spirits have brought down the Quran. **But is definite that no omniscient god has done so – too many mistakes, etc. It also is definite that no good god or spirit did it – far too inhuman, full of hate and suppression and blood – not to**

mention the wretched ethic and moral in the book. All the same it is possible it was not sent down by bad or evil forces – it simply is possible, and even likely, that it was made by one or more men (all the wrong science and a lot more point in that direction). But what is absolutely sure, is that an Islam like the one one finds in the surahs from Medina suits evil spirits and forces very well: Inhumanity, blood, hate, war. Just ask Muslims what they think about the Mongols attacking them in the east. The religion in Mongolia under and after Djingis Khan basically was quite similar to Islam. When Islam used their war machine and inhumanity in India and other places, they according to all Muslims were heroes. Then they met Mongols that did just the same to Muslims - - and the Mongols were terrible monsters. Then the southern Mongols became Muslims and continued in the same way like before, but now against non-Muslims - - - and now they were great heroes according to Islam. Ask them if the f. ex. remember the name Timur Lenk (Tamerlane).

Islam as described in the surahs from Medina, definitely suits evil forces/spirits.

00d 30/43: “- - - the right Religion (Islam) - - -”. Is it possible that the right religion can be based on a book with that many mistakes, contradictions, invalid logic, etc. repeated or made by an Arab salesman, highwayman, murderer (he let opponents and others murder), torturer and rapist (he - at an age of nearly 60 - at least raped the newlywed, 17 year old Safijja after he had let her husband Kinana be tortured to death, and Raihana bint Amr after he had murdered the male part of her family and made the rest slaves.) Source for this information: Muhammad Ibn Ishaq: “Life of the Prophet Muhammad” - the in Islam most respected of the old (dead 768 AD) writers about Muhammad. (It was written for the second Abbasside caliph in Baghdad, Mansur, around 750 AD). Neither Arab salesmen, nor highwaymen, nor torturers, nor murderers, nor rapists have the best of reputations for being honest (even if Islam insists he was, but Islam hardly is the most reliable source on just that point). This Arab salesman, highwayman, torturer, murderer and rapist and inhuman warlord, was even unable to produce one single small proof for his story. But he (?) produced lots of loose statements and invalid “signs” and “proofs”. Similar claim in 12/40. And what about his institutionalizing al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) and Kitman (the lawful half-truth) and his telling (in Hadiths) that even oaths can be broken - is that part of the right religion?

This kind of a man is the only source Islam is built on.

Can this be the Right Religion?

00e 36/5: “It (the Quran*) is a Revelation - - -”. Well, in case from whom? – not from an omniscient and/or good god. Perhaps from himself or some other humans? (cfr. how well the religion fitted as a platform of power for him and for helping him also in his private troubles - in what pretends to be the Mother Book, revered by Allah) – or from some dark forces? (cfr. **the inhumanity, dishonesty, hate, blood, war, etc. – it fits f. ex. a devil very well – and it makes it nearly impossible for Muslims to search for a true religion if such one exists but Islam is wrong** - - - also this is nice for a devil and his wish to populate a possible hell).

00f 37/164: **Here according to most Islamic scholars angles that are talking. That at least means the Quran cannot have existed since eternity, like many Muslims like to believe: It must have been made, and made after at least some angels had been created – if not the angels could not have spoken in the book. (There also are some 8 places where Muhammad is speaking - an impossibility if the "Mother Book" in Heaven which the Quran is said to be a copy of is really old.)**

****008 42/13: “The same religion (Islam*) has He (Allah*) established for - - - Abraham, Moses, and Jesus”. Neither the Quran, nor Hadith, nor Islam has brought the slightest valid proof for this - only words and claims. And at least when it comes to Jesus, it is wrong. The teachings of Jesus and the ones of Muhammad are too different fundamentally.**

Of course Muslims say that the Bible is falsified and that scriptures have disappeared. But they have yet to prove the first and to prove that scriptures documenting all the points Islam says are wrong in other religions have disappeared and none reliable and impossible to misunderstand ones have reappeared among the 13000 with relevance + the may be 32000 with references to the Bible, that exists. “Strong claims need strong proofs.” This even more so as science by means of all the old scriptures which are found has proved that the Bible is not falsified – a fact that is extra clear for NT.

*****009 43/4: “- - - it (the Quran*) is in the Mother of Books, in Our (Allah’s*) presence, high (in dignity) - - -”. This is one of the places in the Quran where Muhammad claims the Quran is taken from (a copy of) the Mother Book in Allah’s own home/Heaven. (Also see 13/39). But no book containing hundreds of mistakes, hundreds of contradictions, hundreds of loose claims and statements, lots of invalid logic, lots of invalid “signs” and lots of invalid “proofs” easy for anybody with good and wide education too see through, etc., is copied from a revered Mother Book, high in dignity and esteem, in the perfect Heaven, the home of a perfect, omnipotent and omniscient god.**

010 46/9: “I (Muhammad) am no bringer of a newfangled doctrine - - -”. Muhammad pretended Islam was the continuation - or the uncorrupted - religion of the Jews and the Christians. That is not true - especially in the NT it is clear that the teachings fundamentally are so different, that it can not be the same god - at least if he is not mentally ill. See 29/46 and 12/111.

011 56/80: “A Revelation (the Quran*) from the Lord of the Worlds (Allah*) - - -”. Can it really be so? See 41/12 - and 40/75. Impossible – no omniscient god would make/deliver a book with so many mistakes – not to mention keep it in his own Heaven as a revered Mother Book (43/4). Can this really be a revelation from a god? Or the other way around: Can something producing so many mistakes, contradictions, so much invalid logic be lord of even one world? Also see 2/131 – 6/155 – 7/196 – 8/41 – 11/14 – 13/1 – 13/19 – 13/37 – 14/1 – 15/1 – 16/102 – 20/4 – 26/109 – 26/127 – 26/192 – 31/21 – 32/2 – 34/6 – 36/5 – 43/43 – 45/2 – 46/2 – 47/9.

*****012 61/9: “- - - the Religion of Truth that may proclaim over all religion - - -”. It is also worth to remember that normal people of today - and earlier times – would be reluctant with trusting or believing in a man with a CV like Islam tells Muhammad had: Robbery, extortion, women, lies, broken oaths (“war is betrayal”), incitement to hate, incitement to suppression of all opponents, assassination of opponents, murder of opponents, mass murder, rape, betrayal, (f. ex. 30 opponents from Khaibar invited to peace debate under promise of safe return - but 29 murdered on the slightest excuse, the last one managed to flee) incitement to war - and lust for power. We have met Muslims excusing him with that he was a hard man living in a hard time, and that he was no worse than others. May be so, but he definitely was no better either, and he pretended (?) to represent a good god. The last part of the quotation also tells volumes about Islam. Similar claim in 9/29. And as for the truth - the Quran at best is partly true.**

013 69/51: “But, verily; it is Truth of assured certainty“. Hardly - too many mistakes, etc. - - - but words are cheap. For similar claims also see 2/109 – 2/147 – 5/48 – 5/75 – 6/73 – 8/7 – 9/30 – 9/33 – 9/48 – 9/60 – 10/2 – 10/32 – 10/34 – 13/1 – 21/18 – 10/35 – 10/36 – 10/94 – 11/17 – 14/22 – 17/81 – 17/105 – 21/109 – 22/54 – 23/71 – 28/3 – 28/53 – 32/3 – 33/4 – 34/6 – 34/49 – 36/70 – 37/21 – 37/52 – 40/62 – 40/75 – 41/41 – 41/53 – 42/18 – 42/24 – 43/29 – 43/87 – 46/16 – 46/30 – 46/34 – 47/2 – 47/3 – 50/19 – 56/57 – 56/92 – 57/16 – 67/21 – 73/11 – 85/19 – 92/16 – 103/3.

Besides: Have you ever noticed that the one who most needs to boast – loudly and frequently – about how truthful he is, is the cheater and the swindler, and the one boasting about his knowledge is the medium to rather ok, but not top intelligent and educated one? – the really honest and the really knowledgeable persons never need to boast about those things. Real honesty and real intelligence and knowledge make itself felt after some time of close connection – if there is a need for boasting, something is wrong.

Remember also the Hitler Nazi Minister for Propaganda, Joseph Goebbels: “If you tell a lie often enough, people starts believing it is true.” (There are many similarities between the ideology of the Nazi and the ideology of Islam).

2. The language in the Quran:

014 4/82: “Had it (the Quran*) been from other than Allah, they would surely therein found much discrepancy.” What a proof!!! In addition to all the mistakes, contradictions, etc. there is so much discrepancy in the Quran, that Islam has a special rule how to solve such problems - the so called rule of abrogation: If there is discrepancy between two (or more) places in the Quran, the youngest one normally is the correct one - the omniscient Allah so often had to change his mind or got new information that forced him to change his words, that one needs a special rule how to behave in such cases (this is one of the reasons why it is essential for Islam to know the age of the surahs and verses, or at least which is older than which). And there is so much discrepancy between the Quran and modern knowledge that it is clear that either Islam has a lot of good explaining to do, or the Quran is not made by an omniscient god. (Islam has a lot of explanations, but much of it is invalid or highly dubious - use your brain and knowledge when you listen or read, and you will see this is true). The quoted sentence really is an indirect, but strong proof from the Quran itself that the Quran is not sent down from an omniscient god - and a reason why Muslims cannot afford to admit there is one single mistake in the book, no matter how unlikely explanations they have to use to “explain” the mistakes: If there are mistakes, there is something fundamentally wrong with the religion.

(It should be mentioned that some Muslims denies there is a rule of abrogation (an omniscient god should not need to adjust or further specify his own rules - it spoils the picture of perfection), but anyone can read and see for him/herself: Many points are adjusted, extended or given new limits - larger or smaller - in the Quran. We have never counted, but we have red numbers from ca. 100 (actually from 4 - 5 from strong believers) till more than 500 places depending on how strictly you judge – only 9/5, “the Verse of the Sword”, is said by Muslim scholars to abrogate 124 milder verses).

In addition one has all the mentioned mistakes – they are discrepancies compared to the reality. For similar claim see 39/23

015

6/151: “Come, I (Muhammad*) will rehearse what Allah hath (really) prohibited you from - - (f. e x.*) be good to your parents”. This very obviously is wrong and a bit of a contradiction compared to other places in the Quran – Muhammad very obviously meant exactly the opposite; that you were ordered to be good to your parents. An omniscient god would not make such a mistake. Who made the Quran? **PS: This is one of the verses Muslim scholars (but not always imams or laymen) admits must be wrong - - - and omniscient gods do not make mistakes.**

Also Muslim scholars as mentioned agree that here the text is wrong – it is absolutely contrary to what the Quran says about this all other places. Which give you an unbeatable proof against any Muslim boasting that the book being without any mistakes at all. A proof and a fact sanctioned by Islam! (And besides: If here is a mistake, how many more are there?) Just remember: 6/151 (6 in Scandinavian = sex, and 151 has sex in both ends ($1 + 5 = 6$, and $5 + 1 = 6$). Easy to remember.

016 16/103: “- - - this (the Quran*) is in Arabic, pure and clear”. Wrong in many ways: There are alien words, there are orthographical mistakes, there are grammatical errors and there are lots and lots of places where even today Islam does not know the exact meaning of words or verses (the last partly because the book originally was written by means of an unfinished alphabet).

017 18/2: “(He (Allah*) hath made it) Straight (and Clear) - - -”. The tales “per se” are plain and easy. But a book that full of mistaken facts and other mistakes, f. ex. linguistic ones, (and perhaps religious ones, too - why should they be exceptions?) is neither straight nor clear.

018 26/211: “- - - nor would they (non-Muslims) be able (to produce it) (something similar to the Quran*)”. **Wrong. In spite of all the glorious words Muslims use about the Quran, it is not good literature.** There are lots and lots of mistakes and contradictions. There are lots of wrong logic. There are numbers of linguistic errors. There hardly is anything original in the book - the stories are taken from the Bible and a few other old books, from made up religious tales, from folklore and from fairy tales and just changed a little. Also in thinking and in laws and morality there was little new - if any; there were a few changes compared to the old Arabia, but the ideas came from neighbouring cultures. And the same stories are told again and again - most boring. Also good writers - not the original composer - polished the Arab language in the book for some 250 years (until ca. 900 AD).

There would be no problem for a good or medium writer to write to collect stories and write something similar - or better.

Claims like that the Quran is good literature you can tell to the naïve, uneducated illiterate savages of the old (and for that case modern) times. Skip it when you are talking to an educated modern person who knows the Quran (far too few does – many had been disgusted) and knows a little about literature. The Quran may be intelligent religious tales for its time, but it is not and was not a good piece of literature. Boring, repetitive, a melee of this and that – no logical system in the tales, the tales all “borrowed” from others and well known, no new thoughts, etc. For similar claims see 17/88

*019 39/28: “(It is) a Quran in Arabic, without any crookedness - - -”. For one thing we have never been able to understand why it is a good thing that the Quran is in Arab if Allah wanted to be the god for all earth – well, even the Arabs tell it is a difficult language and difficult to

translate (though language experts say the claim is blown up – perhaps as an extra defence to avoid having to explain what they cannot explain, perhaps as an artificial back up for the demand that Muslims must read the Quran in Arabic - and say it is just a medium difficult language).

They further insist it is impossible to translate it, like indicated above (just like the Japanese used to say before they learnt other languages well). That is rubbish. What one human brain is able to think, another human brain at the same level of knowledge and intelligence is able to understand if it gets things explained.

Of course there is the fact that languages have special words, etc. that you do not find in other languages - that is the case for all languages, and nothing special for Arab, like some uneducated Muslims like to claim (and some of them even believe it, we think). Take f. ex. the Norwegian very simple word “tran”. That word exists in few other languages. F. ex. English has to say “cod liver oil” – and French something similar. In Arab one would have to say something like “oil from the liver of the North Atlantic fish that in English is called cod” – but the main thing is that even if they need an explanation that Norwegians in this case do not need, it tells 100% exactly and correctly the same and correct meaning. Or take the Inuit – they are said to have 42 different words for different kinds of snow and snow conditions – Arab hardly has more than a couple. But it would not be too difficult to explain to an Arab that this Inuit word means that the snow is wet, this that it is laying full of water, this that the snow is dry, this that it is or has been wind driven, this that it is frozen hard, this that it is sticky (so you can make snow balls f. ex.), this that it is powdery, etc.

And it is just the same with Arab: Arab f. ex. has a word for “2-years-old she-camel”. “Solch ein Wort gibt es nicht in Deutsch” (“such a word does not exist in German”) – but it is no problem to explain to a German that one is talking about a female camel that is 2 years old. As said: What one human brain can think, another human brain at the same level can understand with a little explanation.

Besides: To demand that an Afghan farmer shall read the Quran in Arab just means that you demand he shall be explained all those different words and different meanings on beforehand – because that is the only way he can understand them when he reads them later. Just the same words and the same explanations – but a lot more words, because he may not know on beforehand exactly which words that may give him extra insight.

In addition the Arab alphabet at that time was unfit for writing down exactly what was said – the alphabet at that time was very incomplete. (That was one of the reasons why there were so many varieties of the Quran in earlier times. Now there mainly are 2 of the earlier 14 “canonized” ones that are used – one (Warsh) in parts of Africa, and one (Hafs) in the rest of the world – though they call it “ways of reading” to hide that the reality is “varieties”. Those two expressions in this case are exactly identical). If Allah wanted to reach many, the natural language in that area had been Greek or perhaps Latin or Persian. Or why not Bahasa Indonesia? - one of the easiest languages in the world to learn and with as many potential Muslims as in Arabia, and with good connections to surrounding countries. In case of a western language or Persian they also could have written down the book correctly, as those languages already had perfected alphabets. Then they had not had the problem of not knowing what was really said and written. Now Muslims only can make unfounded – or wrong – statements claiming that the Quran of today is correct to the last letter and last comma, even though not all letters – nor the comma – did even exist around 650 AD in Arab.

Many Muslims even believe what they say. With a complete alphabet it could really have been correct. But the fact of the incomplete alphabet of that time, makes the claim a joke. **But without crookedness? With all the mistakes and contradictions?!! With all the invalid “signs” and “proofs”? With all the loose statements? Such “facts” normally are the hallmarks of crookedness.**

020 54/32: “But We (Allah*) have indeed made the Quran easy to understand - - -”. This in a way is very correct – the language is plain and simple mostly, and the Quran itself makes it clear that one is to understand it literally (though many Muslims claim that verses with mistakes are allegories – it is an easy way to use to flee from difficult questions).

But it is all the same at least partly wrong – partly because there are so many places it is difficult to guess which word is really meant. Separate books are needed to explain the Quran - there are many such ones. And if you read any of the good ones, you will find that even today there are points in the book Islam has not been able to understand, and even many more points they still do not know the exact meaning of - or which one of two or more meanings is the correct one. But it is easy to see that Muhammad meant it was easy and not complicated to understand - and an omniscient god had been able to compose a book that was possible to understand and impossible to misunderstand or not understand, just like Muhammad claimed and surely believed and intended. Who composed the Quran? For similar claims see 42/3 – 41/42 - 54/17 – 54/22 – 54/40.

3. The claimed practical value of the Quran:

021 6/92: “Those who believe in the Hereafter believe in this (Book) (the Quran), - - -”. Wrong. There are many who believe in a next life, but do not believe in the Quran - f. ex. Jews and Christians, but also many others.

022 6/154: “The Quran) is explaining all things in detail”. It is explaining far from all things, and definitely not in sufficient details - among other facts there are not enough laws in the Quran to run an advanced society, which is why Muslims have had to make supplements. For similar claims see 6/38 – 7/52 – 10/39 – 12/2 – 12/111 – 22/8.

023 10/82: “And Allah by His Words (the Quran) prove and establish His Truth, - - -”. Wrong. The words of the Quran proves nothing about Allah, until it first is proved that it really was made by Allah, and that Allah really has made and done what the Quran says. It is at best partly the truth only - too many mistakes, contradictions, etc. For similar claims see 2/22 – 3/70 – 5/48 – 6/57 – 7/181 – 8/6 – 10/33 – 10/82 – 11/20 – 13/17 – 23/70 – 34/53 – 47/3 – 54/55.

024 17/12: “- - - all things have We (Allah) explained in detail”. Wrong. A lot of things are not explained in detail - f. ex. Muslim laws has had to be supplemented with many more paragraphs than the ones in the Quran and in Hadith - and still Muslim law are far from perfect concerning modern life and societies, and even concerning daily life. And just? - A man telling that a woman has behaved indecently is lying to Allah according to Allah and the Quran, if he cannot produce 4 witnesses, THIS EVEN IF HE SPEAKS THE FULL TRUTH, AND THE OMNISCIENT ALLAH OF COURSE KNOWS THIS. And much worse: A raped woman is to be punished if she cannot produce 4 MEN to witness that it really was rape - normally absolutely impossible. **Those two points in the Quran are the most horribly unjust and inhuman paragraphs we have ever seen or heard about in any civilized (?)**

law. Is sharia civilized? Is Allah good or/and just? For similar claims see 15/1 -16/89 – 24/34 – 26/2 – 27/1 – 36/69 - 43/2 – 44/2.

025 31/2: “These are Verses of the Wise Book”. **A book with lots and lots of mistakes and with lots and lots of unfounded statements based on nothing except unproven, cheap words is no wise book and no book “full of wisdom”.** It may be symptomatic that the name of this surah, Luqman, is the name of a wise man in an Arab fairy tale, not a real one. For similar claims see 2/231- 10/1 – 10/37 – 17/99 – 28/2 – 31/20 - 32/2 – 35/25 - 36/2 – 43/4 – 54/5.

00g 39/41: “He, then, that receive guidance (see 39/41c*) benefits his own soul - - -“. How can it benefit your soul to steal/loot, hate, rape, murder, mass murder (many, many cases in Muslim history), enslave, etc? It benefits your pocket – and gives Muhammad and his successors many and cheap warriors – but your soul? Wrong. For similar claims see 2/2 – 2/5 – 2/120 – 10/35 – 12/111 – 16/64 - 16/89 - 18/55 – 22/54 - 27/2 – 31/3 – 41/44 – 45/11 – 46/30 – 47/32 – 68/7 – 71/13 – 87/3.

****026 96/11: “- - - if he (a man*) is on (the road of) Guidance?”** Is there guidance in a book with more than 1700 points with mistaken facts, at least 200 likely mistaken facts, more than 100 linguistic mistakes in the Arab edition according to linguists, lots of loose statements and lots of invalid “signs” and “proofs” - the hallmark of cheaters and deceivers? Not to mention 400+ contradictions, 100+ abrogations and 300+ cases of unclear language in the Quran – the claimed lack of which is Islam’s only strongly claimed (but never proved) proof for divine origin of the book!! - No; no real guidance. No evidence and no good guidance. Similar claims in 28/49 – 34/6 – 45/20 – 32/5

4. Religious evaluation of the contents in the Quran according to the Quran:

***027 6/92: (The Quran is*) “confirming (the revelations) which came before it (the Bible*)”.** Wrong. There are so many fundamental differences, that the Quran is no confirmation of the Bible. See f. ex. 2/89 and 3/3 for further explanation. Similar claims in 26/196 - 35/31 – 46/12 – 46/30. See separate chapter about the Bible further down.

****028 10/37: “This Quran is not such as can be produced by other than Allah - - -”.** Very wrong. Many a good writer can write stories as good as and better than the collection of surahs in the Quran. In spite of what Islam says, the Quran is not very good literature. The same stories are repeated again and again. They frequently are not even well told. Honestly large parts of the book are rather dull reading. And the fabled high quality Muhammad’s Arab language? - what Muslims never mention, is that it took some 250 years to perfect the language (many Muslims do not even know this and protest loudly when told) - it was not until around 900 AD that it had got something like today’s language. It also existed in much more than one text. For one thing even Muhammad (according to Hadith) said it was sent down in 7 varieties that all were true ones - even if details were different. For another thing some of the old, original texts existed in the Muslim world for a long time after the “official” one was finished around 650 AD (at some time there were at least 14 canonized varieties). For still another thing the texts may have been slightly changed through the time - at least very old Qurans found in Yemen in 1972, had “small, but significant differences” from the modern edition. The dominating Quran today (after Hafs), is the edition that was the official one in Egypt when first printed in 1924, according to what we have read. Also see Preface (list).

029 13/37: “Thus We (Allah*) revealed it (the Quran*) to be a judgement of authority in Arabic.” A book with that many mistakes and contradictions, that much invalid logic, that inhuman moral and without ethical or moral philosophy, is no basis for “judgement of authority”. If Muslims disagree, they will have to bring strong proofs to be believed. Similar claims in 2/101 – 4/170 – 6/115 – 9/48 – 11/14 – 12/1 – 16/123 – 24/46.

030 16/64: “(The Quran was sent down*) for the express purpose, that thou (Muhammad*) shouldst make clear to them things - - -”. How is it possible to make things clear by means of a book full of mistakes, contradictions, and invalid/false “proofs“?

031 21/50: “And this (the Quran*) is a blessed message which We (Allah*) have sent down”. How many ways is it possible to ask the question: Can it be true that an omniscient god has sent down a book with such a number of mistaken facts, contradictions and other wrongs - f. ex. linguistic and perhaps religious - mistakes? Not to mention: How likely is it that a book of such a miserable quality, at least concerning wrong facts, contradictions and invalid proofs, and as medium a quality as literature, can have a prominent place as the revered Mother Book in the home of an omniscient and omnipotent god? It simply is impossible. Similar claims in 21/50 – 36/17 – 38/1 – 38/7 – 42/52 – 56/81

032 40/70: “Those who reject the Book (the Quran or may be the not falsified Bible, like Islam claims – as always without any documentation*) which We (Allah*) sent - - -“. No omniscient god sent down the Quran – too many mistakes, etc. (and science has shown that the content of the modern Bibles is the same as in the first ones, and consequently is not falsified – Islam’s claims are just that; claims.)

It is a most open question who is furthest away from the truth – Muslims or (some?) non-Muslims. It is clear from all the mistaken facts, contradictions, and other wrongs, that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god – and the inhumanities in the Quran also proves it is not made by any good or benevolent power (when someone claims and states good things, but demands and does bad ones, the demands and deeds are much more reliable for judging his character, than the very cheap words). And if Islam is a made up religion, based on a made up book – what then with all the Muslims who have been denied the possibility to look for a real religion (if such one exists)? – their only hope is that also Hell is a fiction, if not they are in for a rude awakening in the possible next life. Similar claims in 2/91 – 3/137 – 7/96 – 16/739 – 29/68 – 35/3 – 77/19 – 77/24 – 77/28 – 77/34 – 77/37 – 77/40 – 77/45 – 77/47 – 77/49 – 98/6.

033 50/1: “- - - by the Glorious Quran - - -”. A book with that many mistakes, contradictions, etc., and with so many hallmarks of cheating and deceiving (loose statements, invalid “signs” and “proofs”) is not glorious. By the way the expression is an oath - swearing by the Quran. Hope the rest is true, if not it is a false swearing. Though in Islam in some cases false oaths are permitted - or can be forgiven if paid for). Similar claims in 50/1 – 85/21

5. “Infidels” in these parts of the Quran:

034 2/89: “- - - when there comes to them (Jews in Medina*) that (texts that later became the Quran*) which they should have recognized (indicating they should have recognized the texts from Muhammad in their OT/Torah*)”. Wrong – the underlying basic thinking and a lot of details are so different, that the only thing possible to recognize, is that something is very wrong. The Quran has nothing to do with the real Bible - and science has proved the reason is not that the Bible is falsified, in spite of all not documented Islamic claims.

035 2/146: “- - - but some of them (Jews, Christians*) conceals the truth (the teachings of the Quran*) - - -“. With that many mistaken facts and that much wrong logic, it at best is partly the truth. See 2/89 just above and 40/75.

036 4/174: “- - - there hath come to you (Jews, Christians*) a convincing proof (the Quran*) from your Lord (Allah*) - - -“. With that many mistakes, wrong logic, etc., etc. the Quran is not very convincing, and its “proofs”/“signs” no more convincing. See 2/99.

037 16/36: “- - - those who denied (the Truth)”. With all the mistakes in the Quran, it is impossible to believe that the book or Islam represents the full truth and only the truth. (That is one of the main reasons why Islam can accept not a single mistake in the Quran - if there are mistakes, something is wrong with the book - - - and consequently with the religion). Similare claims in 6/5 – 21/24 – 22/53.

038 27/76: “- - - this Quran doth explain to the Children of Israel most of the matters in which they disagree”. Very wrong. For one thing the Quran is so different to the Mosaic religion (and even more different from Christianity), that it clearly is not the same. For another: A book with that many mistakes, etc. can explain very little.

039 28/48: “- - - When the Truth (the Quran) has come to them (the Quraysh - the leading tribe in Mecca*) - - -“. If it was not because the word “truth” is so central and so disused in Islam, we had stopped commenting on it long time ago, but the Quran with all its errors can be only partly the truth. See all the mistakes - some small, some big blunders, some repeated many times and really cemented, but even one mistake is impossible for an omniscient god. Is Allah not omniscient? Or did someone else compose the Quran? If Allah is not omniscient, that means something is wrong with the religion. If Muhammad or another human composed it, it is a false religion.

And if it is a false religion and there somewhere exists a real, true one, to which Islam blocks the road for its believers - - - what then for all the Muslims?

040 35/42: “- - - their flight (from righteousness (= the teachings of Muhammad*)) - - -“. Any teaching based on a book containing large numbers of mistakes, contradictions, and invalid “proofs” and “signs”, and on top off all is only told by one single man of dubious morality and character (womanising, rape, robbery, extortion, murder and mass murder - and lust for power - all this and more are well documented by Islam itself, though glossed over) - such a teaching does not represent righteousness. This even more so that it strongly incites to hate, suppression, killing and war - not very righteous or good). Similar claims in 6/111 – 17/41 – 17/46 – 71/6.

041 51/9: “Through which (non-Muslims*) are deluded (away from the Truth)”. As for truth, see 40/75. Similare claims in 5/75 – 6/95 – 9/30 - 10/34 – 40/62.

042 54/18: “The Ad (people) rejected (truth (the teachings of the Quran*)) - - -.” **Those teachings at best only are partly true – too many mistakes, etc.**

6. Different topics.

043 2/24: “But if ye cannot – and of surety ye (non-Muslims*) cannot (produce a surah of the same quality like in the Quran*) - - -“. The surahs are no good literature – more or less copies

of Arab folklore, legends, fairy tales, and stuff Muhammad had been told from the Bible and (mainly) from apocryphal (made up) stories. In addition the composition and presentation of the texts belong in primary school level. Many a good writer could collect such stories and do much better (on these points f. ex. the Bible is far better written). The Arab language itself is said to be excellent – but when you know that the language was polished for some 250 years by top intelligent and top learned men, until it got its somewhat final form around 900 AD (the Arab alphabet was not completed until then), that point tells nothing about the original Qurans from around 650 AD – caliph Uthman's and others'. The claim is wrong.

044 3/9: “- - - a Day about which there is no doubt - - -”. Wrong. Once the end of the world will come. But that it will happen like told in the Quran, well, there is a good reason for doubt, as so much else is wrong in that book.

045 3/61: “- - - now after (full) knowledge hath come to thee - - -”. With so many mistakes in the Quran, it is at best partly knowledge.

00h 13/31: “If there ever was a Quran with which mountains were moved - - - (it would be this one)”. Well, hitherto the Quran itself has not moved even one grain of sand. Well, it has guided or misguided many humans, and they have done things, but the Quran itself has done nothing. Similar claim in 59/21.

00i 14/27: “- - - with the Word (the Quran*) that stands firm - - -”. Can words with that many mistakes and bent logic, etc. stand firm on other platforms than cheating, brain washing, pressure and power?

046 16/125: “- - - the Way of thy Lord (Allah*) - - -”. The Quran does not represent the way of an omniscient god – not a good one at least: Too many mistakes, etc. and too much inhumanity.

047 17/106: “We (Allah*) have revealed it (the Quran*) by stages.” Muhammad revealed the Quran little by little and often in connection with things that happened or actual situations – but mostly after things had happened or there were problems - not before so that trouble could have been avoided. An impolite observer could have asked if the explanation was that the maker of the verses did not know what was about to happen, but then used a god's almighty authority to clean up things afterwards – this even more so as when Muhammad personally was involved, the god (?) more or less always took his side.

048 18/1: “(Allah*) hath allowed therein no Crookedness.” In a book that full of mistaken facts and other mistakes, there is a lot of crookedness. Especially the use of invalid “signs” and “proofs” smell.

049 18/56: “- - - in order therewith to weaken the truth (the teachings of Muhammad/the Quran*), - - -”. To repeat the reality: With so many mistakes in the Quran, it can maximum be partly true.

050 20/2: “We (Allah*) have not sent down the Quran to thee to be (an occasion) for thy distress - - -”. The main fact is that Allah (if he exists) did not send it down at all – no omniscient god makes that many and that obvious mistakes, etc.

051 22/7: “- - - there can be no doubt about it - - -”. With all the mistakes in the Quran, there is every reason for doubt about quite a lot of things.

052 26/6: “- - - the truth of what they (unbelievers*) mocked at!” At best the Quran represents partly the truth - too many mistakes.

053 26/193: “With it (the Quran*) came the Spirit of Faith and Truth”. If truth came down with the Quran, it must have been mutilated later.

054 31/22: “- - - the most trustworthy hand-hold (the Quran and Allah*) - - -“. But a book with so many mistakes, etc. - and even some shining lies (like that miracles will not make a lot of people believe) – is not trustworthy.

055 33/8: “- - - the (custodians (those whom the Quran reckons to be prophets or other teachers of religion*)) of Truth (the teachings of Islam*) - - -”. The teachings of Islam as represented by the Quran, at best is partly true - see all the mistakes (and contradictions and invalid “proofs” and “signs“, etc.).

056 33/34: “And recite - - - Allah and His Wisdom (= the Quran*) - - -“. There is limited wisdom in a book full of mistakes, and in addition: How to pick what is wisdom – if any – among all the mistakes, twisted words and logic, and even some outright lies?

057 36/12: “- - - of all things we have taken account (in the Quran*) - - -”. Not all things by far are taken account of in the Quran. Look f. ex. at all the extra paragraphs that are necessary in Muslim laws.

058 36/69: “- - - this is no less than a Message and a Quran - - -”. At least it is far less than a true message and a true Quran which the Quran itself indicates – far too many mistakes, twisted arguments and too much invalid logic + some clear lies. Etc.

059 39/22: “In one whose heart has opened to Islam, so that he has received enlightenment (the contents of the Quran*) from Allah - - -”. With all the mistakes, invalid “signs”, etc. in the Quran, it at best partly gives enlightenment. Whereas the mistakes, etc., give the opposite of enlightenment.

060 39/55: “And follow the Best of (the courses) revealed to you (the teachings of the Quran*) from your Lord - - -“. A book overflowing of mistakes, contradictions, twisted arguments and logic, and even obvious lies (like that miracles would make no-ones believers), is not the best pilot.

061 43/44: “The (Quran) is indeed the message, for thee and for the people - - -”. Definitely not – too much is wrong. Or if it after all is a message – from whom?

062 44/4: “In that (night) (when the first surah is said to be sent down*) is made distinct every affair of wisdom”. As for wisdom in the Quran - see 40/75 and 41/12 and many others.

063 47/16: “- - - those (Muslims) who have received Knowledge (the Quran*) - - -”. The Quran at best represents bits and pieces of knowledge, and it is difficult for uneducated people to know what is true and what not. See 40/75 and 41/12 and others.

064 50/37: “Verily in this is a Message (the Quran*) - - - (that is*) (the truth) - - -.” See 40/75. There simply is no message in it, until Islam really proves that Allah really did all what it claims.

065 51/5: “(The Quran swears in 51/1 to 51/4 that) Verily what ye (Muslims*) are promised (in the Quran*) is true - - -“. With so many mistakes, etc. – and even obvious lies – in that book, also this hardly is true. It at least will need solid proofs.

066 53/28: “- - - conjecture avails nothing against Truth (the Quran*)”. **Actually that is the question concerning the Quran: How much is true and how much is conjecture - and how much is not even that?**

067 56/51: “- - - and treat (truth (the Quran*)) as Falsehood! - -”. The Quran at best is partly true. Similar claim in 56/92

7. Conclusion to this chapter – and to much more in the Quran:

00j 10/32b: “- - - apart from Truth, what remains but error?” It is very clear that much of what is said in the Quran is not true – and then “what remains but error”?

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 3 (= II-1-3-3)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ABOUT MUHAMMAD

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents of this Section:

1. **Muhammad – the man – in the Quran.**
2. **Muhammad – the messenger.**

3. Is the Quran confirming the Bible?

4. Muhammad – different topics.

1. Muhammad – the man - in the Quran + some information from other Islamic sources:

00a 3/161: “No prophet could (ever) be false to his trust.” Some of Mohammad’s highwaymen (this was in 625 AD when the Muslims lived from stealing/robbing and extortion) were dissatisfied and told Mohammad cheated when splitting the spoils. Then this verse arrived very conveniently from the veneered Mother Book in Heaven written by Allah billions of years before or existed from eternity. Islam says it proved Mohammad did not cheat. That may be correct if Allah was omniscient and made the Quran, but not if Mohammad or someone else did so.

There also is another and much more serious fact: Through the times most – not to say nearly all – self proclaimed prophets have been false prophets.

Most of the false prophets have been (and are) men, and in religion they have found a way to money, women, esteem, and power – the 4 normal reasons for impostors. Some are mentally special or ill – Muhammad is among those if he had TLE (see the chapter “What is TLE – Temporal Lobe Epilepsy”). Some really believe they are prophets, others just are cheats – if Muhammad had TLE, he may honestly have believed he had some connection to a god, **but it also is very clear from the Quran that he at least sometimes knew he was cheating**; some of the arguments he used in the book, any intelligent person knew were lies (f. ex. that miracles would not make many doubters believe), and Muhammad was an intelligent man. And some of them simply were/are cold and calculating – sometimes even psychopathic - - - and when one looks at Muhammad’s cold-blooded treatment of victims and opponents, his total disregard for the life and well-being of everybody that stood between him and power and riches (to use for bribing greedy warriors and chiefs to come to his religion and his army), and his clever psychological (every clever salesman knows much about human nature and psychology) manipulation of his uneducated, naïve early followers, it is easy to believe Muhammad belonged to these – may be combined with the effect of the possible TLE or something.

001 7/157: “- - - the unlettered Prophet (Muhammad), whom they find mentioned in their own (Scriptures)”. You often meet Muslims claiming or stating that Mohammad is foretold in the Bible. But we have never been able to find a complete list of where he is said to be mentioned – obviously because the educated Muslims mainly speaks about 2: 5. Mos. 18/15 + 18 are mentioned in OT (and one about the Holy Spirit in NT). But there it is talk about a Jew (one translation says to the Jews “one from your own people, from your fellow countrymen”, another talks about a brother - but the brother of a Jew is a Jew, not an Arab, and the same for a fellow countryman of a Jew – he is a Jew. It may talk about Jesus, but not about Muhammad. Actually the word “brother/brothers/brethren/brotherhood” is used figuratively at least 255 times in the Bible, practically always about a closed group (Practically always Jews in OT – one exception for one country-to-country speech, one for Lot and some 3 about Edomites, as far as we see. And practically always Christians and/or Jews in NT, except a few places where everybody are potential brothers in Jesus) and never specifically including Arabs. The only (some 5 - 6) times we have found Arabs mentioned in OT, the tale is either neutral, like telling they paid tribute to King Solomon, or they were enemies – never anything like brothers. The word also is used figuratively some 30 times in the Quran - NEVER indicating that Muslims could be brothers of the Jews (except if they are no good Muslims - hypocrites).

What is worse: In the part of the Book of Moses where one find the two quotes Muslims use as flagship regarding OT, Deuteronomy (= 5. Mos.), you find the word brother/brothers used no less than 13 or 14 times in chapters 18 – 24 (the debated two are in chapter 18 – 18/15 and 18/18). The only one that is not about Jews, are mentioned specially by name – Edomites – and all the other 12 – 13 cases clearly are about Jews, the context is very clear (also not one single word is mentioned about Arabs or Ishmaelites). There further is an interesting verse just after the two debated ones, 18/21. This one tells that the hallmarks for real prophets are that they make prophesies, and prophesies that come true. Muhammad never made prophesies. There were a few times when things he said were remembered because they came true or partly true – like always in any person's life – but never real prophesy. It even is very clear from the Quran that Muhammad did not even pretend or claim to have the gift of prophesying. **The definition of a prophet is a person with the gift of prophesying, and who practise it. Muhammad did not have that gift - he never even pretended or claimed to have it - and consequently was no prophet – he only had “borrowed” that impressive title. How could he be “a prophet like Moses” when he was no real prophet?** The claim is not even wishful thinking, but rubbish. (Muslims never mention this verse).

How can Muhammad be a "prophet like Moses" when Muhammad was no real prophet?!

And last, but not least as mentioned: The word brother/brothers/brethren/brotherhood also frequently is used in the Quran (more than 30 times figuratively) – and in just the same way as in the Bible: About members of a group – here either Arabs or Muslims as groups. And as far as we can see, real Arabs are never any kind of brothers to Jews also in the Quran. We have found one small exception – hypocrites of any breed may be brothers to Jews (!). Impressive in this case.

Islam always demands that points in their stories must be read and understood in the full context – especially when they run into trouble explaining some difficult points. But in this case the context completely destroys their wishful thinking and desperate need for a proof for Muhammad in the OT – desperate because the Quran declares he is foretold there, and no clear foretelling is to be found – so they drop their own rules and quote two words far out of context and then declare that the brother of a Jew is an Arab, even in a context where it is obvious that Moses talked to and about Jews, and where the context also directly tells that it could not be Muhammad he was talking about, because he talked about a future prophet, whereas not even Muhammad himself pretended to have the gift of prophesying. He was in reality no prophet - may be a messenger, but not a prophet - he only used that imposing title. Moses' foretelling of a future great prophet may have been talking about Jesus, who very clearly was a prophet both according to the Bible and to the Quran. But he could impossibly have talked about Muhammad who among other facts as said in reality was no prophet – he only “borrowed” that nice title without even pretending to have the gift the title in reality demanded.

I.

But without caring about or even mentioning such facts, Islam very straight forward and straight facedly claims that when Jews talk about brothers in 5. Mos. 18/15 + 18 they talk about Arabs and foretell Muhammad because that is the only person Moses here can have spoken about. What that chapter really is about, is that Moses tells his Jews (we use the word Jews because of convenience – we know the word first was coined centuries later) things

about their future - that the Levites shall not have any inheritance among their brothers (5. Mos. 18/1 – never mentioned by Muslims) – the rest of the Jews – and f. ex. that will raise up a prophet like (as great as) himself from among their brothers – from among the Jews.

1. Muhammad never even tried to make foretelling. There as mentioned are a few quotes where what he said, happened – but as much as he spoke, it would be unnatural if not a little came true. But as for real prophesies, he never even tried – and what real prophet is unable tell things about the future? – it was such a matter of course that a real prophet did so, that Moses not even mentioned such a case. No prophesies = no prophet. Not correct foretelling = false prophet according to Moses (5. Mos. 18/21).
2. What a prophet tells that is not correct - is not from the Lord - makes a false prophet, according to the chapter (5. Mos. 18/21) and the man that Islam itself strongly quotes. Look at all the mistakes in the Quran and weep. (Also see separate chapter about the claim that Muhammad is mentioned in the Bible).

II.

5. Mos. 18/18 - in reality it says just the same as 5. Mos. 18/15.

III.

John 1/21 - but that is talking about John the Baptist. He says he is not the prophet. **And Islam omits John 1/26-27 where John the Baptist tells that “the Prophet” was standing among the people just then** - and definitely not was expected some 600 years later. (Jesus existed, but had not started his mission yet. John the Baptist was half a year older than Jesus, and said what he said 540 years before Muhammad was born.)

IV.

From the NT the main claim is John 14/16 where Jesus tells his disciples: “And I will ask the Father (God/Yahweh*), and He will give you another Counsellor to be with you forever”. To give the disciples Muhammad had no meaning – he was born some 500 years after they were dead, and could be of no help to them. He also could not be with them forever. But that is what Muslims claim, as they do need a quotation from the NT, because the Quran tells he is foretold also to the Christians, and this is the only place where the texts can be twisted enough – because it takes a lot of twisting (see the chapter about the claim that Muhammad is foretold in the Bible. (The verse really is foretelling the Holy Spirit - it arrived some days later according to the Bible.)

Strangely enough Islam never mentions the next verse (John 14/17) that continues: “- the Spirit of truth (Muhammad neither was a spirit, nor the truth (he cheated and made lies – cfr. al-Taqiyya, and his point of view concerning even his oaths). The world cannot accept him, because it neither sees him nor knows him. But you know him, for he lives with you and will be in you”. Try to make this fit the very visible Muhammad!! Also see separate chapter about the claims that Muhammad was foretold in the Bible.

“The Message of the Quran” solves the problem very simply: It tells that a verse in the Quran explains what the NT tells about Muhammad (surah 61, verse 6). The problem is that the Bible says nothing remotely similar to surah 61/6. (An elegant explanation is that it shall have been mentioned in the non-existing Gospel Islam needed to make up to explain how the child Jesus could learn the Gospel(s) before they were written - a Gospel that Mary and others 100% sure had taken care of if it was not a fairy tale, because it would really have cemented an even more a special connection between Jesus and Yahweh/God). Actually this non-existing Gospel may have existed, but somewhat later - as a gospel is the story of Jesus' life, death and resurrection, it could not be written until after Jesus was dead - and resurrected - some 33 years old.

The relevant part of surah 61/6 says: “(Jesus said*): - - - I am giving glad Tidings of a Messenger to come after Me, whose name shall be Ahmad (= another version of the name Mohammad*)”. But nothing remotely like this is to be found in the Bible. Islam of course explains that with falsification of the Bible - that is the standard and cheap explanation whenever there is divergence between the Quran and the Bible. But a falsification would not work among all the thousands that had heard Jesus talking - and then the life and time scale (they expected Jesus back any month or year - if there was to come another prophet first, it would be likely to take at least a generation or more before Jesus would return, to give the other prophet time for his work) of the first Christians, not to mention the contents of all the letters written by persons that really knew the story, had been different. Surah 61/6 smells too much of something made up to give Mohammad credence. If a Muslim insists it is true, he has to produce heavy proofs. (And science on top of everything has shown that the Bible never was falsified).

V.

Finally there is a Greek word, “Parakletos”. This word in the Greek Gospel (the Gospels originally were written in Greek) after John is what they use as an explanation. Muslims say must be misspelled, because if you take another word, “Periklytos” that is rather similar and translate it to Aramaic, you get a word that in Arab can be interpreted as Mohammad. Very convincing (but remember that Arabs since prehistoric times have lived in cultures where theories of conspiracies have been rife - perhaps because they never have had information they could rely on, and then they have made up guesses and stories. The situation actually to a large degree is the same in modern Muslim countries - and even more so in the ones that still are not much modern. Go to most of the Muslim countries and you can immerse yourself in such stories and theories). Also see 61/6 and see the chapter “Muhammad in the Bible.” And finally: To claim that words may have been misunderstood is natural for Muslims, as the old Arab alphabet lacked the vowels, and one had to guess them. But NT was written in Greek originally, and the Greek alphabet was complete, and this source for misunderstanding did not exist.

As for his illiteracy: You find the claim that he could not read several places in the Quran – f. ex. 7/158 – and in Hadiths. Nobody knows and nobody will ever know the truth about this, but there are good arguments for disbelief of the claim.

002 11/2: “(Say) ‘Verily, I am (sent) unto you (people*) from Him (Allah*) - - -“. According to Ibn Warraq the word “(Say)” does not exist in the Arab original. That means that here it is Muhammad who speaks. There are a few places like that in the Quran (8 according to Ibn Warraq). But how is it possible that Muhammad speaks in a book (pretended to be (?)) made by Allah or existed since eternity – and sent down by Allah? (Some Muslims say the word is just forgotten – but how many more words may then have been forgotten in the Quran?)

003 12/104: “And no reward dost thou (Muhammad*) ask of them (people/Muslims*) for this (the new religion*) - - -“. No, nothing except 20% of all stolen/robbed values and slaves from raids and wars, 100% of all values taken from victims that surrendered without fighting, plenty of women and lots and lots of absolute and undisputed power/dictatorship, and lots and lots of free warriors – he only had to pay them with promises about paradise and promises about rich spoils of war stolen from humans and countries. And the “poor-tax” (normally 2,5% - 10% not of your income, but of your possessions if you were not too poor) – that he far from only spent for the poor – and the zakat – the tax (free for the ruler to say how much – and that sometimes meant really much) from non-Muslims (though neither the 20% nor the 100% was all for his personal use – much as said was spent for waging more wars and for “gifts” to make neighbouring Arabs good Muslims + some was given to the poor),

And the price was neighbouring cultures and humans and lives they destroyed – to gain more power for him and riches and slaves for his warriors. It is indisputably clear from the Quran that he at least liked women and power. Similar claims in 25/57 – 34/47 - 38/86 – 42/23.

004 19/36: “Verily Allah is my (Muhammad’s*) Lord and your (Muslims’*) Lord - - -“. This is a serious one: Here clearly it is Muhammad himself – Muhammad the man - that is speaking. How is that possible in a book made by a god before the universe was created or may be one which has existed since eternity, and a copy of a revered Mother Book sent down from Heaven by Allah? (There are a few mistakes (?) like this in the Quran – see 6/104 -6/114 – 11/2-3 – 19/36 – 27/91 – 42/10 – 51/50-51 – in 6/116 and 11/2-3 the translator has cheated and added the word “say” to the Arab text to hide the “mistake” – honesty in religion? – or “al-Taqiyya? – A. Yusuf Ali is a good translator and as far as we can judge a reasonably honest one. But he was a devoted Muslim, and he has a tendency to use “goodwill” towards Islam when writing. Our guess is that he has been thinking that this must just have been forgotten and added it because of that. But even that is not honesty when translating. Similar claim in 3/51.

***005 27/91: “For me (Muhammad*), I have been commanded to serve the Lord (Allah*) of this City (Mecca - from 615-616 AD when Muhammad still lived there*) - - -“. This is another serious one: It is Muhammad who is speaking once more - - - in a book presumed to be copy of a “mother book” in Paradise, a book that may be existed from eternity or perhaps was made by Allah. Pikhall and Dawood both camouflage this very revealing mistake (there are a few more where either angles or Muhammad speaks or may be speaking) by adding the word “say:”, but that is not in the original, according to Ibn Warraq, “Why I am not a Muslim”, p.175. Dishonest by Pikhall and by Dawood in case. But then it happens you meet dishonesty when Muslims tries to “explain” things - even in books you should believe were intellectually of high quality and moral. (Like Al-Azhar University, Cairo, certifying that the

Big Flood could be explained by the filling up of the Mediterranean Sea. They know very well that both the time and the way it happened prohibit that explanation - some 4 – 5 million years ago and “slowly” over a period of perhaps 100 years).

Anyhow a nice moment for Muhammad – he liked power. (Just look at how he glued himself to his platform of power; his god).

006 33/21: “Ye (Muslims*) have in the Messenger of Allah a beautiful pattern (of conduct) for anyone whose hope is in Allah and the final Day - - “. Wrong. Thieving/robbing, womanizing, raping, lying, betraying, extorting, suppressing, murdering, hate mongering, war mongering, mass murder, raids and wars of aggression – that is no “beautiful pattern” according to any human moral or ethical codex, except in some war religions, included Islam, and **it tells volumes about Islam that this man is their greatest hero and shining idol.**

007 33/45: “O Prophet! - - “. **But was Muhammad really a prophet? A prophet is a person that has the gift of being able to make distinct and correct prophesies – and does it.** Then there is the difference between the real and the false prophet – because there were lots of false prophets, as it was (and still is) an easy way to make a good living if you are clever. According to the Bible the distinction between a real prophet and a false one, is that the real prophet made prophesies and they came true, whereas what the false ones make of prophesies do not come true (except sometimes by coincidence). Muhammad did not even try to make prophesies or pretend he could make such ones. There are a few times where what he said happened to come true or partly true by coincidence – and were remembered by his followers just because it came true, whereas what he said that did not come true, were not remembered. It is like that with each and every human being; we say and we talk so much, that sometimes something has got to be correct now and then – In Scandinavia they even have a special expression for it: To “gaa troll i ord” – which means something like a troll makes your words come true – but it has no implication of you being clairvoyant or a prophet. Muhammad did not even try to make real prophesies – one of the two-three absolute requirements for being a prophet. (And then he did not even test the second requirement: Did his prophesies mostly/always come true?) He simply was too smart to try to show off with things he knew he was unable to do. And then of course he also lacked the other requirement: Prophesies that came true. **Muhammad simply has none of the three requirements for being a prophet:**

1. To be able to make prophesies.
2. To make prophesies that regularly come true.
And:
3. To make such prophesies so often that it is an essential part of his mission.

Muhammad simply was no prophet – he did not have that gift. He just stole or “borrowed” a distinguished title. As a prophet he only was an impostor – an eloquent leader, but unable to do what makes you a prophet: To make prophesies – and prophesies that regularly come true.

He may have been a messenger; if it is true that he had a message. But no prophet. Islam likes to tell that to be a messenger is something much more than being a prophet. But to be a prophet, you have to have special gifts, whereas to be a messenger simply means that you more or less passively bring messages from one place to another – an errand boy. But another

question in case is: A messenger boy for whom? – for himself? – for other humans? – for some dark forces? Two things are 100% sure:

1. He was not a messenger boy for any omniscient god – too many mistakes, twisted arguments and as twisted logic, etc. in the Quran.
2. He was not a messenger boy for any good god – too much stealing, hate, discrimination and inhumanity, not to mention rape, blood, extortion, suppression, murder and war. (It is said that Muhammad just was another robber baron and warlord – no worse than other robber barons and warlords living from stealing, extortion and slave trading in a hard time. That may be true. But he definitely was no better, too – and he should have been much better than all the others if he represented a good god). His behaviour and his real message from all the years in Medina prove far beyond any doubt that if he represented a god, it was so absolutely not a good one. Also cheating and lying and breaking even one's oaths, are the hallmarks of a cheat and a deceiver and a swindler – and of a dark god or worse.

The fact that all the mistaken facts in the Quran that are in accordance with wrong “science” in Arabia (mainly from old Greece and Persia) at the time of Muhammad, clearly indicates that the Quran is made by one or more humans there and then. But if there was a god involved, he was not omniscient, and the inhuman surahs from Medina most clearly show he definitely was not a good or benevolent one. - - - But may be his messages came from a devil in disguise?

008 34/50: “If I (Muhammad*) am astray, I only stray to the loss of my own soul - - -“. This is outmost and extremely wrong – if Muhammad was astray (and too much point in that direction) it is to the loss of each and every Muslim's soul. Because then Islam is a false religion. This is one more place where Muhammad knew he was lying – he was too intelligent not to see this.

***009 68/4: “And thou (Muhammad or Muslims*) (standest) on an exalted standard of character - - -“. Well: Seen in the Quran:

1. Lots of mistaken facts, and other mistakes.
2. Lots of invalid arguments - hallmarks for cheaters and deceivers. Seen also from other ISLAMIC literature:
3. A self proclaimed prophet that in reality was no prophet – he did not have the gift of prophesying. Muhammad did not even

pretend or claim to have that gift, he just “borrowed” the distinguished title. (A few things he said, came true – but they were not given as prophecies.) A messenger ok. – for someone or something or for himself – an apostle for the same, ok. But a person that does not have the gift of prophesying, is not a prophet - Muhammad just “borrowed” an imposing title. Islam also claims that "messenger" is a more distinguished title than "prophet" – but that title just means “one who just brings messages from one or more to one or more others, without really being implicated”. He does not even have to understand what things really are about. Besides: Why did Muhammad borrow the title “prophet” if the title “messenger” was more distinguished? – simply because a prophet is something more: Messages like a messenger + prophecies - - - if it is a real prophet.

4. A messenger being the chief of highwaymen from Yathrib/Medina - even in holy months.
5. A messenger also living from extortion - (money for men kidnapped from f. ex. caravans).
6. A messenger who's due was 100% of the robbed things if the victim gave in without a fight (albeit not all for personal use).
7. A messenger permitting to take “spoils of war” - and 20% for him (albeit not all for himself).
8. A messenger permitting to take slaves - and 20% for him (albeit not all for personal use).
9. A messenger who received ca. 2.5 to 10 % of what you owned each and every year (if you were not too poor) – for the poor, but also for war and for “gifts” to attract followers, wars, etc.
10. A messenger using betrayal (f. ex. promise of safe return broken 628 AD).
11. A messenger with special agreement with the god for having many women.
12. A messenger teaching hate against non-followers.
13. A messenger teaching and inciting war against non-followers.
14. A messenger personally raping female prisoners/slaves.

15. A messenger and his men - all with permission from their god to rape any female prisoner or slave that was not pregnant. It was "god and lawful".
16. A messenger that initiated assassinations of opponents.
17. A messenger that initiated murders on opponents.
18. A messenger that initiated mass murder.
19. A messenger teaching suppression of women and non-followers.
20. A messenger with lust for power (easy to see from the Quran and. f. ex. Hadith).

And not least:

21. A messenger introducing al-Taqiyya - the lawful lie - and Kitman - the lawful half-truth.

All this is from Muslim sources - what Islam itself tells about him, though in more glossy words. There is no excuse for becoming angry, because it is 100% true according to Islam itself.

Yes, we think many will call this "an exalted standard of character". But not many of those would be non-Muslims. And how many of the Muslims can say it and feel honest?

010 81/19: "Verily, this is the word of a most honourable Messenger (Muhammad*) - - -." If a man that is a thief/robber, extortioner, womanizer, child molester (Aishah through many years from she was 9 years old), rapist, betrayer, torturer, murderer, mass murderer, war monger and more, is a "most honourable Messenger" - - - well, in that case we will not like to meet a normal messenger, not to mention a dishonourable one. It may seem that Islam have a somewhat special standard for ethics and moral.

2. Muhammad – the messenger:

00b 4/136: "Believe in Allah and His Messenger (Muhammad*) - - -". There is nowhere proved that Muhammad was the messenger of a god.

011 6/104: "- - - I (Muhammad*) am not (here) to watch over your doings". This verse is directly quoted from Muhammad - it is Muhammad who is speaking completely on his own. How come – in a book from eternity and a copy of the revered Mother Book in Allah's own heaven from long before Muhammad was born?

012 6/163: "- - - I (Muhammad*) am the first of those who bow to His (Allah's*) will." How is that possible if the Quran is correct and lots of people had been Muslims before him, and bowed to Allah? (Though in reality it is highly likely he was right: That he was the first one ever). Similar claim in 6/14. Some Muslims explains that it is meant the first in a special land or something, but that is not what the Quran says.

00c 15/6: “O thou (Muhammad*) to whom the Messages is being revealed”. See 15/1a. Similar claim in 43/43.

013 33/40: “(Muhammad was*) the Seal of the Prophets - - -.” See 33/28 above and 33/45 below:

How could Muhammad be the seal of the prophets (the last prophet), when he in reality was not a prophet? – he neither had, nor pretended to have, nor claimed to have the gift of prophesying!!!

00d 34/3: “But most surely, (I – Muhammad) by my Lord (Allah*) - - -“. The expression “by my Lord” here is an oath, but then Muhammad very clearly and several times (Hadiths) said that even though it was not a good thing normally to break an oath if you had meant it when you said it (if not it was/is more or less ok.), it was no big sin to break it if you had a reason – yes, in some cases it even is the right thing to do. This – often called **al-Taqiyya or the lawful lie (it do not have to be a broken oath - it can be an ordinary lie) - is a problem even today: When can you believe what a Muslim says and when not? Actually it also is a problem for Muslims; they have no reasonably sure way to strengthen their words when they need to do so, because even an oath is not reliable** – with clear precedence from Muhammad (he f. ex. promised an unarmed peace delegation from Khaibar safe return - - - and murdered all of them (29 of 30) - one who managed to get away, adding: “War is betrayal” to quote Ibn Ishaq.)

00e 34/28: “We (Allah*) have not sent thee (Muhammad*) but as a universal (Messenger) - - -“. If he was universal, why are then everything only from Arabia? – even when correct information existed other places (f. ex the form of the Earth), in the Quran you find wrong knowledge, and the made up and wrong legends and fairy tales that circulated in Arabia? No god had done such mistakes. You will find similar comments many places in the Quran – f. ex. 16/89 and 51/50. Mostly they are from the Mecca period, and are thoroughly abrogated – killed – by harsher surahs from the Medina periode (f. ex. by 9/5). Also see 2/119.

00f 35/24: “Verily We (Allah) have sent thee (Muhammad*) - - -“. Verily Muhammad and the Quran repeats and repeats and repeats this (most often in the words “Allah and his Messenger”) - worthy of an certain German “Minister of Propaganda” between 1933 and 1945 we think it was, a very honest and reliable man named **Joseph Goebbels, whose slogan was: “Repeat a lie often enough, and people will believe it”**. Here it has been repeated zillions of times through the time, and millions of Muslims believe in it - but then no Muslim society has ever trained their subjects in critical thinking, or for thinking realism. On the contrary: Life in Muslim societies often has trained them in the sick kind of thinking that is: Believing that most acts and most information you do not like are lies that gives reason for conspiracy theories + blind belief in Islam and the mullah and the imam. One thing is all the mistakes in the Quran that tells it is not reliable and most likely is invented. More serious is that in spite of being asked again and again and again Muhammad was unable to prove anything at all - a hallmark of a lie – or more lies - is that proofs are impossible, one have to use fast-talk and evasions, both of which there are plenty of in the Quran. And when there is a question of proving anything? – there still is plenty of fast-talk in Islam.

But worst of all are all the invalid claims and statements, and the “signs”, “proofs” and fast-talk - those are the hallmarks of any smart cheater or false prophet that for natural reasons are unable to produce proofs. With all those mistakes in the claimed message, it is obvious that

also this claim needs proofs – especially since an illness like temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) easily can explain both his fits, his sights (?) and his other experiences (?) – TLE often gives religious illusions like this (source among others BBC). (Add some personal “inspiration” or cunning to solve personal and domestic problems, and add the contemporary wrong knowledge and science, and you have the Quran exactly – with all its mistakes and other weaknesses). Similar claims in 2/117 – 9/33 – 33/45 – 34/28 – 48/8 – 61/9 – 62/2 – 73/15.

00g 36/3: “Thou (Muhammad*) art indeed one of the messengers - - -.” Only 2 things are sure:

1. **This is never proved or in any other way documented – and with all the other mistakes in the Quran, this proof is strictly necessary.**
2. **If Muhammad was a messenger, then for whom? The only two things that the Quran makes very clear, are that it was not for an omniscient god (too many mistakes, etc.) and not for a good god (too much stealing/robbing, dishonesty, suppressing, rape, inhumanity, terror, blood and war, etc.).** Similar claims in 4/179 - 5/19 – 48/29.

014 41/43: “Nothing is said to thee (Muhammad*) that was not said to the messengers before thee (f. ex. Jesus and the old Jewish prophets*) - - -.” **Wrong. As science thoroughly has proved that the Bible is not falsified (mistakes perhaps, falsifications no) – and especially not NT – it is very clear that what Muhammad claimed to have been told, often is far from what the real (?) prophets and patriarchs had been told.** And this is strengthened by the fact that it very often is very clear that Muhammad took his “biblical” stories not from the Bible, but from religious legends that circulated in the area, and which Muhammad believed were from the Bible - - - and then later had only one way out to explain the errors compared to the real Bible: He was right and the Bible falsified!!!. As for the quotation above, it is not true that nothing was said to Muhammad that was not said to earlier (real) prophets – a fact that Islam even confirms sometimes – f. ex. in the statement from Muhammad that **he was the first “messenger/prophet” that got permission from the god to steal and rob and rape, which the god according to the Quran confirms is “god and lawful”.**

015 42/15: “I (Muhammad*) believe in the Book (the Quran*) that Allah has sent down - - -.” No omniscient god has sent down a book with that many mistakes, etc., not to mention revered it in his own home as “the Mother Book”.

016 42/52: “- - - and verily thou (Muhammad*) dost guide (men) to the Straight Way - - -“. It is not possible to guide anyone straight from a book that crooked.

017 46/9: “I (Muhammad) am no bringer of a newfangled doctrine - - -“. Muhammad pretended Islam was the continuation - or the uncorrupted - religion of the Jews and the Christians. **That is not true - especially in the NT it is clear that the teachings fundamentally are so different, that it cannot be the same god - at least if he is not mentally ill. See 29/46 and 12/111. And science has long since found out that the Bible is not changed since the first traces we have of texts – in stark contradiction to Islam’s and**

the Quran's repeated claims. It simply is the Quran that is different, and the likely reason is that the maker of the Quran did not know the Bible well – and in addition clearly used legends and fairy tales instead of real texts from the Bible in his book.

018 53/56: “This is a Warner (Muhammad*), of the (series of) Warners of the old”. Muhammad impressed and impressed and impressed on his followers that he was one of a long series – though the greatest of all – of prophets (even though he per definition was no prophet, as he did not have the gift of making prophesies – he just “borrowed” that prestigious title), as that gave him “weight” and prestige. And to belong to the one timeless “right” religion, also gave his teachings weight among the ones that believed it. (Science have never found any traces of a religion like Islam anywhere or any time before 610 AD – if they had, you bet Islam had told about it.) But he in case definitely did not belong to the same series as the Jewish prophets, included Jesus – the teachings were too different. AND they made prophesies - real prophesies -. Muhammad not.

019 60/1: “- - - the (Prophet (Muhammad*)) - - -.” But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true. If not he is a false prophet.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention pretended to or claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories). Also see 30/40a and 30/46a.

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

3. Is the Quran confirming the Bible?

020 37/37b: “- - - and he (Muhammad) confirms (the Messages of) the Messengers (before him (= from Jews and Christians*))”. Wrong. There are too fundamental differences between especially NT and the Quran. The Quran is not confirming the Bible in spite of Muhammad's words – the fundamental differences between the teachings simply are too big – especially compared to NT and the “new covenant” Jesus caused. See 29/46 and others. Actually this claim is to be found several places in the Quran.

Also see separate main chapter about this.

4. Muhammad in the Quran – different topics:

021 2/136: “- - - the revelations (the Quran*) given to us (Muhammad/Muslims*)”. Were they really given? – and were they really revelations? Under no circumstances did they/the Quran come from an omniscient god – not that full of mistakes, etc., and not from a benevolent god, as there is too much blood and worse.

00h 5/15: “- - - there hath come to you (Jews, Christians*) from Allah a (new) light (Muhammad*) - - -“. Well, that is one of the questions: Did a man so morally degenerated and preaching a religion based on a book with so many mistakes and so much wrong logic and so much suppression and blood, really represent a god?

00i 8/5: “Just as thy Lord (Allah*) ordered thee (Muhammad*) out of your house in truth - - -“. That is one of the main questions – was Muhammad ordered? – and in case by whom? (The surahs from Medina makes one think more about the Devil than about a good god.)

022 14/1: “- - - in order that thou (Muhammad – by means of the Quran*) mightest lead mankind out of the depths of darkness and into light - - -“. No book with that many mistakes can lead anyone into light. The same goes for any religion so suppressing, inhuman and full of hate, discrimination, blood and war, and “all power to Muhammad/the leader”.

00j 33/46: “(Muhammad be*) as a lamp spreading light.” Did Muhammad spread most light or most darkness? A rhetoric question needing no answer.

023 34/50: “If I (Muhammad*) am astray, I only stray to the loss of my own soul - - -“.

This is outmost and extremely wrong – if Muhammad was astray (and too much point in that direction) it is to the loss of each and every Muslim’s soul. Because then Islam is a false religion.

00k 35/5: “- - - (not) let the Chief Deceiver deceive you about Allah.” The Quran here talks about the Devil. But one question: Muhammad is the absolute and unquestioned chief of the Muslims. If Islam is a false religion – is Muhammad then the Chief Deceiver? The question is not ridiculous – the Quran surely is neither made by an omniscient god (too much is wrong in the Quran), nor by a good god (too much dishonesty, discrimination, inhumanity, hate, blood and war), and then the alternatives are: Made by man – rational or ill (f. ex. TLE will explain much) - or made by some dark forces – f. ex. the Devil dressed up like Gabriel.

024 39/33: “And he (most likely Muhammad, as it is written with “h”, not “H”*) who brings the Truth - - -“. The Quran at best is partly true – also see f. ex. 40/75 and 41/12. Similar claim in 37/37

025 43/29: “- - - a Messenger (Muhammad*) making things clear.” No messenger preaching what is in the Quran, makes things clear – too many mistakes, too many contradictions, and too much unclear logically, etc.

026 43/87: “If thou (Muhammad or Muslims*) ask them, Who created them, they will certainly say, Allah - - -“. Wrong – if they mentioned a god, they would mention their own (In Arabia this might mean the pagan al-Lah f. ex.). See f. ex. 43/9 - and many others.

027 46/4: “Bring me (Muhammad*) a Book (revealed) before this (as a proof*) - - -“. Wrong. A book in itself proves nothing – it is as easy to falsify a book as it is to falsify speech. F. ex. the Quran can well be a falsification – made by Muhammad or someone.

028 53/2: “Your Champion (Muhammad*) is neither astray nor being misled.” All the mistakes, etc. proves that he at least was somewhat astray. Though **all the hallmarks of a cheat, deceiver and swindler may indicate that may be he was not misled - those last 3 words may be true, as may be he was misleading.**

001 53/3: “Nor does he (Muhammad*) say (aught) of (his own) desire”. It will take strong proofs to prove that surahs like no. 66 or no. 111 are worthy of and belongs in a revered Mother Book in Paradise - one that may be has existed since eternity. And also to prove they are worthy a book revered by an omniscient and omnipotent god. And what about "the Mother Book"/the Quran solving Muhammad's domestic problems?

029 62/2: “(Muhammad was to*) instruct them (the Unlettered Arabs*) in Scripture and Wisdom - - -” To instruct them in scripture, he hardly could be an analphabetic himself, but that aside: See 40/75 and 41/12.

00m 69/44 – 46: “And if the Messenger (Muhammad*) were to invent any sayings in our name, We (Allah*) should certainly seize him by his right hand, and We should certainly then cut off the artery of his heart”. Not if you – Allah – do not exist. Or if you are far from omnipotent if you exist.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 3 (= II-1-3-4)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES IN THE QURAN ABOUT “SIGNS” AND “PROOFS” FOR ALLAH AND/OR THE QURAN AND/OR MUHAMMAD'S CONNECTION TO A GOD: – WITHOUT EXCEPTION CLEARLY AND TOTALLY INVALID AS PROOFS

(- JUST LOSE CLAIMS BUILT ON OTHER NOT PROVEN CLAIMS OR ON NOTHING).

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

00a 2/39: “- - - Signs - - -” also written “Sign”, “His Signs”, “Our (Allah’s*) Signs” or “My (Allah’s*) Signs” or other variations. In “Quran-speak” it means an indication or a proof for Allah’s existence and/or the Quran’s divine origin and/or Muhammad's connection to Allah. **In reality it proves absolutely nothing, as without exception they only are lose statements or as loose claims just hanging in empty air, all built on nothing, because it never is proved or documented that Allah really said or did or created what the Quran in each case claims he said or did or created, and then use as a “sign”.** According to all human thinking, all judicial laws, and also according to the even more strict laws of logic such “proofs” flatly and simply are invalid and without any value. **After all a valid proof is: “One or more PROVEN fact(s) that can give only one conclusion”,** and in the Quran all “signs” without exception builds on empty air or on “facts” that neither the book nor Islam proves – or are able to prove (well, there may be a few exception in the “signs” taken from the Bible, but they in case prove Yahweh, not Allah – **we know that Muslims and the Quran likes to say that those two just are different names for the same god, but that is not true unless the god is strongly ill mentally (schizophrenic), as the teachings fundamentally are too different**), (more about this other places in “1000+ Mistakes in the Quran”).

In addition there is the fact that any priest in any religion can claim exactly the same for his god(s) as Muslims claim for Allah, in absolutely all cases where the words “sign(s)” or “proofs” in the Quran are not borrowed from the Bible, as long as no real proof or no real documentation has to be brought forth – words are that cheap. “Baal makes the sun rise in the east. Allah cannot make it rise in the west. Then Baal is the real god and Allah a fake one.” Infantile “proofs” but this is the kind of level you find on the “signs” and “proofs” in the Quran (This example is taken from the Quran – Abraham is proving his god Allah, but of course then with Allah as the hero. Totally invalid as a proof).

As said the claims logically are absolutely without any value as indication/proof for a deity, not to mention for a specific god – f. ex. Allah. And it documents an interesting fact: Islam has got not one single proof neither for Allah, nor for verification of the Quran, nor for Muhammad’s connection to a deity. **IF THEY HAD HAD ONE SINGLE PROOF – EVEN A SMALL ONE – YOU BET THEY HAD TOLD ABOUT IT AND USED IT!** Islam is only built on lose words and as loose and unproven claims - - - made by a man whose words hardly would have been accepted as “bona fide” proofs in Old Bailey, London.

You find the word "sign" used many places in the Quran. F. ex.: 2/41 – 61/ - 129 – 164 – 231 – 252 – 259 – 3/4 – 11 – 19 – 21 – 41 – 58 – 97 – 101 – 103 – 108 – 109 – 112 – 113 – 118 – 164 – 190 - 4/56 – 5/10 - 44 – 75 - 86 – 114 – 6/4 – 21 – 27 – 33 – 35 – 37 – 39 – 46 – 49 – 55 – 57 – 93 – 97 – 99 – 105 -118 – 124 – 126 – 130 – 157 – 158x2 – 7/9 – 24 – 26 – 35 – 36 - 37 – 40 – 58 – 103 – 105 – 106 – 126 – 132 – 133 – 136 – 146 – 147 – 174 – 175 – 8/52 – 9/9 – 10/13 – 17 – 75 – 11/21 – 39 – 101 – 12/7 – 13/1 – 2 – 4 – 14/5 – 15/75 – 77 – 81 –

16/11 - 12 - 13 - 65 - 66 - 67 - 69 - 79 - 104 - 105 - 17/98 - 18/9 - 56 - 57 - 105 - 106 - 19/58 - 77 - 20/7 - 54 - 126 - 127 - 128 - 21/37 - 77 - 22/51 - 23/30 - 58 - 66 - 24/18 - 25/36 - 37 - 26/4 - 8 - 103 - 121 - 158 - 174 - 190 - 28/48 - 87 - 29/15 - 23 - 44 - 47 - 49 - 30/20 - 21 - 22x2 - 23 - 24x2 - 25 - 53 - 32/ 15 - 22 - 23 - 24 - 26 - 34/9 - 19 - 38 - 36/6 - 37 - 41 - 46x2 - 38/29 - 39/42 - 52 - 59 - 63 - 71 - 40/4x2 - 5 - 6 - 9 - 13 - 35 - 56 - 63 - 69 - 78 - 81 - 41/15 - 28 - 37 - 39 - 40 - 53 - 42/32 - 33 - 43/69 - 45/5 - 6 - 8 - 11 - 13 - 32 - 33 - 40 - 46/27 - 48/20 - 51/20 - 37 - 38 - 41 - 43 - 54/2 - 55/15 - 18 - 57/17 - 78/ 28 - 90/19. Also see 30/10 - 65/11 - 30/9 - 2/99a - 2/99b below.

001 2/99a: “We (Allah*) have sent down to thee (people*) manifest Signs - - -“. The Quran is overloaded with what it says are “signs” (indicated to be proofs) and “Clear Signs” or like here “manifest Signs” (indicated to be strong proofs) – and not one single of them proves anything about Allah or Muhammad, as the book NEVER proves, only claims, that Allah did this or that which it then calls a “sign” or a “clear sign” or a “proof” (there may be some exceptions for signs taken from the Bible, but those in case prove Yahweh, not Allah – and only Islam claims that Yahweh and Allah is the same god (which they cannot be, unless the god is schizophrenic – they are too different, especially when Yahweh is acting according to the New Covenant from NT, which came some 580 years before Muhammad started his preaching, but which Muslims never mention)). Especially claims for “Clear Signs” are so obviously wrong, that it is impossible not to include them in this column: “Mistaken facts” – they are no signs – and definitely no clear signs - for a god, and even if they were, they absolutely were no clear signs for Allah, because any priest in any religion can make just the same claims for his god or gods – words are that cheap - - - also for Muhammad. Also see 2/39 - 30/10 - 65/11 - 30/9 above and 2/99b just below.

002 2/99b: “We have sent down to thee (people*) manifest Signs (ayat); and none reject them but those who are perverse - - -“. Wrong. To question “signs” that are not proved coming from Allah, and thus logically invalid as signs, not to mention as proofs, is not a sign of being perverse – on the contrary; to blindly to believe in it without even asking questions is very naive.

003 3/97: “In it (Kabah in Mecca*) are Signs manifest; (for example), the Station of Abraham - - -“. For one thing Abraham never was in Mecca (see 2/127) and never built Kabah or its foundations. And if he had ever been there and built it: There is a stone there, with a mark in it. Islam calls it the Station of Abraham and is said to tell that the mark is from Abraham’s feet when he was building the Kabah. Which worker building a house has ever in all the history and far before, been standing so long on the same hard natural stone, that his feet made a mark in that stone lasting for millennia?

004 30/9: “- - - Clear (Signs) - - -“. Clear signs about Allah and Islam do not exist in the Quran. One may wonder why Muhammad used invalid claims and “signs” and even “proofs” – invalid proofs and arguments normally are the hallmarks of cheats, deceivers, and swindlers. It also indicates, shows, and proves lack of real facts and proofs. “Clear Signs” in “Quran-speak” = clear proof. Clear proofs for Allah, or for the Quran being made by a god, or for Muhammad’s connection to a god, but proofs simply do not exist. Islam is aware of it and Muslim scholars are aware of it – you find it mentioned and tried explained away in their books, but learned books not much read by the private Muslim. And when the scholars and imams and others do not tell them, the lay Muslim often honestly believes everything really is sure and safe – they simply are cheated by the withholding of inconvenient facts and by the glorifications of all the invalid “signs” and “clear signs” and even “proofs” in the Quran, not

to mention by the ones in Hadiths and by the “miracles” in the Hadiths – which hardly a single imam clearly tells his flock that are absolutely proven by the Quran to be untrue legends (Islam indirectly admits this fact by their statement that “the only miracle from Muhammad is the Quran” – which also indirectly admits that Muhammad was not a prophet (a real prophesy is a kind of a miracle – to see what has not happened yet – and Muhammad did not even claim he had that gift).

For more claims similar to 65/11 and 30/9, see f. ex.: 2/118 – 2/159 – 2/185 – 2/187 – 2/213 – 2/219 – 2/221 – 2/242 – 2/266 – 3/86 – 3/105 – 3/183 – 3/184 – 6/57- 157 – 7/73 – 7/85 – 7/101 – 8/42 – 9/70 – 10/15 – 11/17 - 11/28 -11/53 – 11/63 – 11/88 – 14/9 – 19/73 – 20/133 – 22/16 – 22/72 – 24/1 – 24/58 – 24/59 – 24/61 – 30/47 – 32/25 – 34/43 – 40/22 - 40/28 - 40/50 – 40/66 – 40/83 46/7 – 45/17 – 45/25 – 57/25 – 58/5 – 64/6. Also see 2/39 – 30/10 – 65/11 above and 2/299a - 2/299b below.

005 30/10: “- - - Signs of Allah - - -“. No omniscient and omnipotent god had used strongly suspect “signs”, etc. to prove himself, not to mention added his name for strengthening the claim. See also 2/39 just above. Similare claims in 3/41 - 7/146 – 7/176x2 – 7/177 – 9/65 – 10/71 – 10/95 – 14/5 – 22/37 – 23/105 - 26/15 – 27/52 – 27/81 – 27/82 – 27/83 – 27/84 – 28/35 – 28/36 – 28/45 – 28/59 – 29/49 – 30/21 - 31/31 – 31/32 – 40/81 – 54/42 – 57/19 – 64/10 – 74/16. Also see 2/39 just above and 65/11 – 30/9 - 2/99a - 2/99b below.

****006 65/11: “- - - the Signs of Allah (are*) containing clear explanations - - -“. Wrong. There is not one single of the “Signs” referred in the Quran, that has any value, neither as proof nor as explanation** (with the possible explanation of some taken from the Bible, but they talk about another god, Yahweh). The reasons are that they without exception just are loose statements or are building on other invalid statements, “signs“ or “proofs” - totally invalid. If a person consciously uses such invalid arguments, they are the hallmarks of a cheat and a deceiver. No god would use them. Also see 2/39 – 30/30 above and 30/9 – 2/299a – 2/299b below.

007 The Quran also a few places uses the word "proof" instead of "sign" for such claims. They are at least as invalid as the "signs" and for the same reasons.

This is the kind of proofs and sure proofs the Quran tells about, and some of the Muslims even believe in.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 5 (= II-1-3-5)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS ABOUT NON-MUSLIMS IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH:

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents of this Section:

1. **"Infidels" not believing the "truth".**
2. **Different topics.**

"Infidels" not believing the "truth":

001 2/144: "The people of the Book (= Jews, Christians and Sabeans) know very well that that (the reason for changing the kiblah = direction of praying*) is the truth from their Lord".

1. Jews and Christians definitely do not know this - and Sabeans neither did know it (Sabeans lived in Sabah, in what now is Yemen. They had become Christians via influence from Christians in East Africa. (Though Islam says the Sabeans were a sect.))
2. As the Quran contains a lot of mistakes, it is a question if also the rest are mistakes.
3. As the Quran contains a lot of mistakes, it also is a question if this is from our Lord, Yahweh. It even is a question if a god was involved in the Quran at all - a god does not make contradictions or mistakes, not to mention such a number of mistakes - or loose statements and false "signs" and "proofs" - the hallmarks of cheats and deceivers.

00a 4/136: "Any who denieth Allah, His Angels, His Messengers, and the Day of Judgement, hath gone far, far astray". Hardly. When you know how full of mistakes and wrong logic the book is that all Islam rests on, it takes some more proof to decide that it is the non-Muslims

that are “far, far astray”. May be it is the Muslims. And what if Islam is a made up religion that blocks the road to a real god - - if such one exists?

00b 10/52: “Ye (sinners*) get but the recompense of what ye earned!” Is there really real justice in the terrible and everlasting punishment in hell, and the after all not too big sins of many of the sinners? And especially if Allah decided even before they were born that they should end in Hell and later blocked them from following "the straight road" like Islam tells Allah frequently does?

002 39/22: “They (non-Muslims*) are manifestly wandering (in error)!” Islam claims that only Muslims do not “wander in error”. But as it only is (as normal for Islam) an undocumented claim – it definitely is not manifested. (Another fact is that with all the mistakes and worse in the Quran, it is a very open question who is wandering in the worst error).

003 50/5: “But they (non-Muslims*) deny the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See f. ex. 40/75 and 41/12 – the Quran at best only is partly true. Similar claims in f. ex. 7/166 - 34/43 – 38/88 – 47/7 - 68/8 – 96/13.

004 51/8: “Truly you (people/non-Muslims*) are in a doctrine discordant”. Some may be wandering in error yes, and some may be no. Among the ones in “may be yes” are the Muslims, as all the mistakes and worse in the Quran prove absolutely that something is wrong with that book and that religion. Similar claims in 11/22 - 34/8 - 39/22 -52/11 – 16/109.

005 60/1: “- - - they (non-Muslims) have rejected the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12. No, but they have rejected the Quran – the book is not “the truth” - - - with all those mistakes, contradictions and other errors it at best is partly the truth. Similar claims in f. ex. 4/167 - 6/5 - 34/45 – 36/64 -39/32 – 75/32 – 77/15 – 98/1.

006 60/2: “- - - they (non-Muslims*) desire that ye (Muslims*) should reject the Truth.” As for truth: See 40/75 and 41/12. As for the rest, may be the Quran is right – but for entirely different reasons from what that book claims. **Islam in its pure Quran-like form is a very destructive, inhuman and immoral religion, and when on top of that it is shiningly clear that the Quran is not a divine work, we do not want our descendants to end up in something like that.**

007 63/4: “How are they (non-Muslims*) deluded (away from the Truth)!” At very best away from partly truths - see 40/75 and 41/12. (But there is an impolite thought far behind in our brains: Who are really deluded when it comes to Islam? – the ones just listening to the imams without using their knowledge and their brain and asking no questions, or the other ones? - there are so many midtakes in the Quran.)

b. Different topics:

00c 2/93: “We (Jews in Medina*) hear and we disobey”. Muhammad Asad adds (com.77): “Even if they did not say those words, their later behaviour justifies this quote”. But words that are not said, are not said – would a god resort to such arguments? And how come that this quote is in the Quran – billions of years old and infallible and revered by Allah – if they did not say it?

008 2/113: “Yet they (Jews and Christians) (profess to) study the same Book”. This is only partly true. Jews study only OT. Christians build their religion on the much milder and more human NT and the new covenant – the covenant Muslims never mention - with OT mainly as historical background. This is a fact that often is forgotten or “forgotten” when one talks about the Christian religion - especially when one wants to paint the religion as black as possible.

009 5/18: “(Both) the Jews and the Christians say: ‘We are sons of Allah, and His beloved’. Say: ‘Why then doth He punish you for your sins? - - -’”. The question is not even rhetoric, but naïve - sometimes you have to punish even beloved children to teach them the difference between right and wrong, good and bad. Similar question in 5/17.

00d 5/23: “- - - but on Allah put your (Jews*) trust if you have faith”. It is highly unlikely Jews at the time of Moses told their fellow Jews to trust Allah, as the name of the god of the Jews was Yahweh (and besides the name Allah was introduced by Mohammad only some 2000 years later (as a substitute for al-Lah)).

010 9/30: “The Jews call ‘Uzayr (= the prophet Ezra*) son of God - - -’”. This is wrong, and even Muslim sources admit this. But they say Jews in Arabia said so (which may or may not be correct) - which may have cheated Mohammad, but an omniscient god had known the truth. How come that an omniscient god relied on a mistake perhaps made by a small group of Jews on the Arab peninsula? This may be mistake may have cheated the local resident Muhammad, but not an omniscient god! Then who created the Quran?

011 15/14 + 15: “- - - They would only say (when experiencing a miracle*): ‘Our eyes have been intoxicated - - -’”. Wrong. At least some had come to believe - f. ex. Pharaoh Ramses II's wizzards. These two verses are a piece of fast-talk. There is some fast-talking in the Quran - trying to explain away things and facts and ideas and not least questions that are difficult to explain or answer. See the chapter about fast talk in the Quran. And there are even more fast-talk among Muslims today, trying to explain away mistakes, abrogation, changes in Islam around 622, etc., not to mention trying to present Islam as a peaceful religion. (It is a typical war religion if you live according to the Quran.)

But the really bad thing about this sentence is that this is one of the points where Muhammad himself knew he was lying – as said at least some would come to believe in Islam if he produced miracles. He was too intelligent and knew too much about people not to know this – this even more so as he himself told about heathens becoming Muslims after they had experienced miracles (f. ex. the magicians of Pharaoh as mentioned), and he also had a good example in Jesus – some refused to believe no matter, but quite a number of others did after miracles.

***012 17/107: “Say: ‘Whether you believe it or not, it is true that those who were given knowledge beforehand (= Christians and Jews mainly*), when it (the Quran*) is recited to them, fall down on their faces in humble prostration’”. One word: Nonsense. And what is worse: The one that composed this verse knew it was a lie – which also Muhammad knew when he made or recited it. A few Jews and Christians had converted by 656 AD when the Quran is said to be written, though very few if any in 621 when this surah was made, but as a general rule: Utter nonsense. Just look at the history of conflicts between Islam, Jews and Christians, not to mention all the Jews in and near Medina that rather became fugitives or were killed, than to accept Islam – f. ex. Khaybar - and no more is necessary to say. You

sometimes meet dishonesty like this in new, emerging religions and sects. It is a way of gaining “weight” for their statements, especially when they have few facts or proofs to show for themselves. Just one small fact that disproves this fairy tale: The 700 Jews in Khaybar could have saved their lives and possessions by becoming Muslims in time. To a man they chose not to. Similar claims – though not as clear nonsense as here – in 5/83 – 17/108 – 17/109 – 26/197 – 28/52 – 28/53 – 46/10.

013 21/50: “- - - will ye (people*) then reject it (the Quran*)?” Of course we will reject it. When people with some intelligence and education are face to face with a book with lots and lots of mistakes, contradictions, twisted arguments and as twisted logic, with points where it is clear the narrator knew he was lying – and everything told from one single narrator with a most questionable ethic and morality, but with a strong liking for power - and religion his main platform for power - it is very naïve even to ask that question. No intelligent, educated, not brain washed person really has another choice than to reject it if no real proofs are produced. (And Islam has been unable to produce one single real proof for Allah or for Muhammad’s claimed connection to a god – any god – and hence for Islam being true, in 1400 years - - - why do you think Muhammad and Islam glorifies and demands blind belief?)

014 22/55: “Those who reject Faith (Islam*) will not cease to be in doubt concerning (Revelation)”. Perhaps correct - may be there will be a revelation by some god (perhaps by Yahweh) some time. But we are in no doubt at all that there are good reasons for serious doubts about the Quran’s claims, statements and descriptions - why should the claims and statements we cannot check be more reliable than the ones we can, and among which we find far too many to be wanting or wrong?

015 25/4: “But the Misbelievers say: ‘Naught is this but a lie which he has forged, and others have helped him at it.’ In truth it is they who have put forward an iniquity and a falsehood”. With this many mistakes in the Quran, it is a very open question if it is the misbelievers who have put forward a falsehood. It might even be Muhammad. It at least is not from an omniscient god.

016 28/53: “- - - indeed we (the Jews and Christians*) have been Muslims (bowing to Allah’s will) from before this”. No comments necessary – except see f. ex. 28/52, 28/48a or 28/48b.

017 5/19: “O People of the Book (mainly Jews and Christians, but also Sabeans (Christians in Sabah, now part of Yemen (Islam instead tells they were a monotheistic sect some diffuse place) - and later after a fashion and in some circles also Zoroastrians*))! Now hath come unto you, making (things) clear unto you, Our Messenger (Muhammad*) - - -”. Some may question if really Muhammad was the messenger of a god - he did not always behave like the representative of a good and forgiving god, and his message (the Quran) is full of mistakes on omniscient god had made. But what is not possible to doubt, is that a message with so many mistaken facts at best can make things partly clear (and at worst really mess up things).

00e 28/82: “Those who reject Allah will assuredly never prosper”. As for a possible next life discussion really is impossible - we know nothing, and can know nothing. Some will say they know, but they will be very wrong - what they do, is believing strongly. Knowledge is not possible without solid facts, proofs and/or documentation, and the only real fact in Islam is that one single man told stories he either refused to or was unable to document - either because the god did not want to (with illogical and/or psychologically wrong excuses) or was unable to, or because the god did not exist. There are lots of words - but words are cheap.

There are lots of statements - but statements hanging in thin air without proofs are as cheap. There are lots and lots of “signs” - but a few are downright wrong, and the rest is completely valueless as proofs for Allah, as they in reality are just unproved statements hanging in the air and only proves that words are cheap - they are claims and statements that any priest in any religion can make about his god or gods, as long as he does not have to produce real proofs - - like Muhammad steadfastly or from sheer necessity did. And there even are verses telling they prove Allah. But not one single of them proves anything about him - they are as valueless as the “signs” and for the same reasons. Especially we should mention all the natural phenomena that the Quran says are signs indicating or proving Allah - but the only thing they prove, is that Islam never has been able to produce a single real proof, for any priest in any religion can say exactly the same cheap words about natural phenomena and his god(s). Which further proves that Islam has had to rely on cheap words to influence their congregations and others. If they had had anything more, they had talked about it with capital letters.

But when it comes to prosperity in this life, it is clear that the Quran is completely wrong. And it is likely to stay that way, as Muslim countries force half their adult population not to work, and the culture is adverse to real thinking - which among other effects means that all the Muslim world has fewer new patents a year, than the single state of California - and the difference is even worse if one looks at patents of knife-edge technique or technology. This among other reasons (f. ex. too many children) will forever keep Muslim states in second-class economy, if they do not have natural resources like oil to sell - or can attack neighbouring countries and steal/rob/sneak.

00f 33/60: “- - - those (non-Muslims, hypocrites, etc.*) in whose heart is a disease - - -“. A good slogan that you meet many places in the Quran: If you are not a good Muslim, that means you are sick. But like many slogans it may be a twisted truth – or simply a lie.

018 33/61-62: “They (non-Muslims, hypocrites, etc.*) shall have a curse on them: whenever they are found, they shall be seized and slain (without mercy) (‘no compulsion in religion’ 2/256*). (Such was) the praxis (approved) of Allah among those who lived aforetime (f. ex. Jews and Christians*)”. Muhammad claimed that Allah was just another name for Yahweh – **but try to find an order telling that all non-Christians shall be murdered “without mercy” in the new covenant (in NT) that Christianity is built on (a covenant Muslims never mention).** Oh, we know very well that persons from Christian countries have done bad things, but that was in spite of their religion – and they were not really Christians deep down – and not in accordance with, or even because of the religion, like the case often is with the “religion of peace” (Muslim hypocrite-speak for “religion of war and suppression”) Islam.

Besides: Do sentences like this sound like Islam really is a "Religion of Peace"?

*019 40/4: “None can dispute about the Signs of Allah but the Unbelievers”. Wrong. There is no reason why it is not possible for Muslims to discuss them, too, except religious ideas and prohibitions. And they should do so, as none of them are valid proofs (they rest on thin air or unproved statements) of Allah. A few taken from the Bible may prove Yahweh, but absolutely none proves or even indicates Allah. They f. ex. can be used by any priest in any religion about his god(s).

020 43/9: “- - - ‘Who created the heavens (plural and wrong)?’ they (non-Muslims*) would be sure to reply, ‘They were created by (Allah*)’”. Wrong - if they believed a god had created

it, they would be sure to mention their own god, though in the old Arabia that may have been the polytheistic god al-Lah, which could cause (intended?) confusion because the names were so similar **(the same reason why Islam now tends to use the word “God” instead of “Allah” in the west, we have been told – it hides some of the real differences.)** Similar claim in 39/38.

021 43/78: “- - - but most of you (non-Muslims*) have a hatred to the Truth.” The truth - see 40/75 Similar claim in 23/70. And in addition:

1. Few hate – but many are frightened.
2. Quite a number feel distasted because of the inhuman and unjust laws, "moral", and traditions in Islam.
3. There is a difference between frightened strength and frightened weakness – a fact that sometimes is forgotten.

022 62/6: “- - - then express your (Jews, Christians) desire for Death, if ye are truthful!” An impossible demand for pious Jews and Christians: For one thing life has its values for everybody. More essential for believers among them: Life is a gift from Yahweh/God – to wish to end it is to diminish a gift from Him. Most serious: To (wish to) end your own life, is a sin so grave against this gift from Yahweh, and hence against Yahwee, that it automatically sends you to Hell.

Any god had known this – Muhammad obviously not. Then who made the Quran? (In a way worse: Muslim scholars today know this fact. But they never mention it, in spite of using this argument. Dishonesty.) Similar claim in 2/94 - 2/95.

***023 67/10: “Had we (non-Muslims*) but listened or used our intelligence - - -”. Islam often tries to tell that it is intelligence that makes Muslims believe, or that with intelligence it is obvious to see from the Quran that it is a work from a god. What at least is sure, is that anyone who uses his intelligence and has a reasonable minimum of knowledge of f. ex. history, geography, astronomy, archaeology, etc. will find a lot of mistakes in the Quran - if he not for some reason is blind or do not want to see. Also if he knows a very small minimum of logic and the rules for using logic and evaluating information, he has to see the lose statements, the contradictions, the invalid “signs” and the as invalid “proofs” - and may be he will be struck by the thought: Who uses this kind of arguments, except one who has no real arguments, and therefore has to cheat and deceive - f. ex. to gain followers and power?

024 69/50: “But truly (Revelation (of the Quran*)) is a cause of sorrow for the Unbelievers”. True, but for wrong reasons: Because of all the war and blood and terror Islam has represented through the ages - and the answer is NOT that also other religions have caused wars, etc. as that does not make a hate, rape, suppression, robbery and blood religion like Islam one single iota better – and in most other religions, it is done in spite of the real religion, not because of. And because many felt pity for souls going lost in a religion built on a book where something is seriously wrong. (May be their own religion(s) also were wrong, but all the mistaken facts, etc., in a book pretending to be from an omniscient god, proves that in Islam there really is something that is wrong - and it makes one doubt very strongly that it really is a divine revelation.) But, yes, Islam has produced a lot of sorrow - and perhaps not only for non-Muslims.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 6 (= II-1-3-6)

**MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE
HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A
SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN
ARRANGED BY THEMES**

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

**SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND
ERRORS ABOUT JESUS IN THE QURAN
- THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD,
MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH:**

**(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS,
CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY
BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT
SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)**

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents of this Section:

0. Around Jesus' birth. (NB: THIS IS AN INTRODUCTION FROM SCIENCE, NOT FROM THE QURAN.)

1. The prehistory of Jesus according to the Quran.

2. The Baby and Child Jesus according to the Quran.

3. The Prophet Jesus according to the Quran.

NB! NB! NB! This part is more or less identical here and in the separate chapter about Jesus. (The reason very simply is that so much of what the Quran writes about him, is wrong. Not wrong only compared to the Bible, but wrong compared to what we know for fact about the time and the situation). In the separate chapter about Jesus, there just is added a little for the benefit of those who do not know history and/or the Bible.

We have chosen to include this material both places – like we frequently have done when material is relevant for more than one theme - so as to make it easier for readers to find all they search on the topic they look for. But if this should ever become a printed book, it will be bad economy to print it twice – it may be better to print just the separate chapter, and then refer the reader of this shortlist of the mistaken facts, to that chapter.

NB: THE VERSES IN THIS CHAPTER ARE ARRANGED ACCORDING TO THE "NARURAL PROGRESS" OF THE STORY AND NOT ACCORDING TO SURAH AND VERSE NUMBERS.

0. Around Jesus' birth. (From science, NOT from the Quran.)

Science have long known that the birth of Jesus was not in the year 1. One did not start reconing time from the birth of Jesus until much later, and the monk who a few hundred years later tried to pinpoint the year of his birth, missed a little.

The Bible connects his birth to a counting of all people in the Roman empire. We know from history that this was initiated by the empeor Caesar Augustus and took place in the years 8 to 6 BC. Hence Jesus cannot have been born later than the year 5 BC (his parents may have stayed on for some time f. ex. because of Mary's pregnancy). Further we know from history that King Herodes - the local regent under the Romans - also called Herodes the Great, who is central in the story, died in the year 4. BC(there also is another King Herodes later - his son Herodes Antipas). It thus is very clear that Jesus was born some years BC. Science believes he was born in 6 BC or 5 BC.

Also central in the story about his birth is the "Star of Betlehem". According to the Gospel after Mattew it appeared 3 times.

In the year 7 BC there was a tripple conjunction: Jupiter and Saturn in the star constellation the Phishes in May, September and December.(3 times is possible because as seen from Earth planets sometimes seemingly stop and run backwards before they continue their course - this is an illution caused by the movement of Earth.) Actually the sun, Earth, Jupiter, Saturn and Phishes were on a line. This may have been the first appearance.

The "world" center for astronomy, astrology - closely connected in the old time - and matemathics at that time was Babylon in now Iraq. The Jewish religion and its prophesies about a future prophet and king was well known there, simply because many Jews lived there.

Now in astrology Jupiter was connected to king or even devine king. Saturn was connected both to righteousness and to Palestine, and Phishes was connected to the Jews. This told the astrologers - the magians - that big things might be brewing connected to Jews and Palestine.

Then in 6 BC also Mars entered the picture - Jupiter, Saturn and Mars were all wihtin 8 degrees from each others and still in Phishes. Mars symbolized power, and told the magians (from greek "magloi" = wice men) that the stars foretold a mighty king.

Then 17. of April 6 BC Jupiter disappears behind the crescent moon - and reappears. Also the moon was a mighty symbol as it represented the moon god - El or al-Lah (later renamed Allah by Muhammad) - f. ex. in the neighbouring Arabia. This reappearance may be the second appearance of the star. And as seen from Babylon this happened in the sky in the direction of Jerusalem. To the magians this all may have foretold a mighty king connected to the Jews - and they knew the prophesies of Moses about a future mighty Jewish prophet.

Finally Chinese sources tell about new star in 5 BC. It is not clear whether it was a comet or a nova. It was rising in the east - to the magians a sign telling that now it was about to happen. If the 3 "wice men" or "kings" - magians - now left Babylon for Jerusalem, the trip would take

something like 70 days - approximately the same time it would take a comet to seemingly cross that distance. Just in accordance with the Gospel after Matthew: "- - - the star went before them - - -." If it instead was a nova, this would have had its fixed place among the stars, but to the south as seen from Jerusalem when the magians arrived there - Betlehem lies south of Jerusalem.

(Sources: Mainly David Hughes: "The Star of Betlehem Mystery" and Mark Kidger: "The Star of Betlehem.")

1. The prehistory of Jesus according to the Quran:

There was a man who prepared Israel for Jesus – John the Baptist – half a year older than Jesus only, but as Jesus only started his preaching 30 years old, John anyhow had time to talk about one who was to come shortly. The Quran does not tell much about him, but there is a little: His father was told by an angel that he was to have a son, and that - - - (see 19/7 just below):

001 19/7: “- - - his name shall be Yahya (John*): on none by that name We (Allah*) have conferred distinction before”. But Johanan (Hebrew for John), son of Kareah, was a distinguished man in 2. Kings, 25/23. In addition our sources say that the word “distinction” is not in the Arab edition, but added by Yusuf Ali to circumvent an obvious mistake, as the name John was far from unknown in Hebrew. (Yusuf Ali’s comment 2461). Other translators – f. ex. Muhammad Azad in “The Message of the Quran” – say in his comment to the point that the exact translation is (translated from Swedish): “We (Allah*) have never before named anybody with his (John the Baptist’s*) name before”. But the name John is mentioned 27 times in OT = before John the Baptist – it was a quite common name. From relevant history also were the priest-king John Hyrcanus and the general John the Essene. There both were many Johns and men of distinction named John before John the Baptist. Simply wrong.

*002 3/35: ”Imran’s wife said”. This is one of the two most famous mistake in the Quran. The book here is talking about the mother of Mary, the future mother of Jesus (see also 3/36 in the Quran: “I have named her Mary”). But Imran was the father of Aaron, Moses and Miriam, who lived some 1200 years earlier. Muhammad did not know the Bible very well, and it is clear that he thought Mary was the sister of Aaron and Moses. In 19/28 this is directly said, when talking about Mary: “O sister of Aaron” - the most famous mistake. (It also is mentioned in 66/12) It is likely that the reason for this mistake is that in Arab Mary and Miriam are written the same way: Maryam. With his limited knowledge of the Bible he believed it was the same woman. Any god had known better. We may add that some Muslims say it is not the same Imran, but scientists agree on that Muhammad meant the same man - the Imran that according to the Quran was chosen by Allah like Adam, Noah and Abraham (see 3/33 in the Quran) - the father of Aaron, Moses - - - and Maryam/Miriam/Mary. That Muhammad really was wrong here, and thought Mary was the sister of Aaron and Moses, is documented by the fact that according to Hadith (the other Muslim source of information about their religion and about Muhammad) Muhammad was corrected, and he tried to find explanations to repair the mistake (without success). He also did not add information showing that he and Allah for some reason was right in his mistaken statement.

You will meet Muslims telling that the Quran does not mean that Mary really was the sister of Aaron (they say it was meant figuratively - one of the two most commonly used ways of explaining away things that are difficult or impossible to understand in Islam. The other is "you cannot judge or understand the meaning from just some verses, you have to see the

whole surah (or the whole Quran)", even though the Quran itself tells that the book mainly is to be understood literally, and even though they themselves often make much out of one or a few words), and that the book does not mean that she was the daughter of Imran - only a descendant of him. Islam should after so many hundreds of years have found better "explanations" - "explanations" that on top of all is said to be contradicted by the fact that already Mohammad himself tried to correct the mistake, but without success as mentioned. But there is no other explanation they can try to use. Also see 19/28 in the full overview over the mistaken fact.

It may be added that what the Quran tells about this grandmother of Jesus, is unknown to the Bible, which was written some years after Jesus died, but claimed known to Muhammad 600 years later - - and also known to the legends that "lived" in the Middle East. If it had been true, it had guaranteed not been forgotten in the Gospels, as it had made the link between Jesus and God/Yahweh stronger. Islam accuses – without the slightest documentation as normal for Islam – Christians for falsification of NT (in stark contradiction to what all science says), but the accusation is that they have made Jesus more holy, not less, which is the case if this story were true and had been omitted from the Bible (it never was there according to science).

The Quran also tells that Mary served in the temple of Jerusalem, under the tuition of Zachariah (father of John the Baptist - and a relative of Mary according to the Bible), and - -

003 3/37: "Every time he (Zakariyya*) entered (her) chamber to see her, he found her supplied with sustenance. He said: 'O Mary! Whence (comes) this to you?' She said: "From Allah: for Allah provides sustenance to whom He pleases without measure' ". This means that she by a miracle got her food from the god. This is a made up fairy tale. There is not one single chance that a miracle like this had been omitted from the NT - this even more so if Islam had been right in their statements that Christians (and Jews) had falsified the Bible (Muhammad was not well versed in the Bible, and frequently made mistakes when he referred to it or took stories from it (but he often took from made up fairy tales/legends, believing they really were from the Bible - it is likely he never saw a Bible (perhaps OT - the Jewish scriptures - but not NT). He always explained such mistakes with that he was right, and that the unholy Jews and Christians had falsified the Bible). Actually just this story is one of the many stories the Quran has not "borrowed" from the Bible at all, but from one of the made up religious legends that flourished at that time. These mistakes were the reason why the Jews did not accept him when he came to Yathrib/Medina - the Jews said his teachings were wrong and that he consequently was a false prophet. **(Muslims have a tendency(!) not to mention this fact, but to instead tell a, to Muhammad, more flattering, but dishonest - as at least their scholars know this - story: He was not accepted because the Jews were angry because Allah had called a non-Jew for a prophet. But in reality Muhammad's teachings were heresy to the Jews.)**

But if Christians had falsified the Bible, their main object would have been to strengthen Jesus' position and his connections to Yahweh - the Jewish and Christian god. There is no chance at all that they had omitted a wonder connected to his mother, telling about a direct connection between Yahweh and her - and thus to Jesus. (That she served in the Temple, which is told in the Quran, also is new to the Bible – and had never been omitted there if it was true).

It also tells something that when Muhammad differs from the Bible, his/the Quran's stories often correspond with proven untrue religious fables and legends (often apocryphal scriptures – and often Gnostic). This tells it is not the Bible that is wrong, but that the Quran may have used fairy tales as sources.

Then came the time for the pregnancy with Jesus. As in the Bible the first information came from an angel, but the story got a twist (to back up Muhammad's new religion?). She was frightened by the angel – in the shape of a man and said:

004 19/18: “- - - I (Mary, mother of Jesus) seek refuge from thee to (Allah) Most Gracious: (come not near) if thou dost fear Allah”. It is highly unlikely that a Jew should seek refuge from a then highly polytheistic god from another country. As one see from what later happened to Jesus, the monotheism and Yahweh were strong in Israel at that time. If the Quran tells the truth when it tells that Mary was working in the Temple, it is absolutely impossible - she had got into serious troubles if she addressed any other god than Yahweh (but then the Quran most likely is wrong also on this point - We have found nothing about Mary working in the Temple in the Bible or any other source, and if it had been true, most Christian sources had mentioned it, as it would mean one more connection between Jesus and Yahweh. (Actually it is taken from the apocryphal - made up - “Proto gospel’ after Jacob” - - - but Muslims tell the difference between the Quran and the Bible is because the bad non-Muslims have falsified the latter one – not because Muhammad ever so often used twisted fairy tales as basis for stories in the Quran.)) Our Muslim sources also do not mention if there exists any other reliable source for this statement in the Quran - which Islam frequently/always does not do when they have no sources, only statements built on nothing. Simply a fairy tale shined up and used as a true story. By Allah or by Muhammad, and presumably sent down from Allah and copy from the Mother Book in Heaven, a book perhaps made by Allah, but most likely - according to Islam - never made, but existed from eternity (impossible as angels speak at least one place in the book, which means they had to be created before the book was made - and also Muhammad speaks in the Quran (at least some 8 places) and what does that indicate?). A Mother Book from eternity and revered by the god in his home - - - quoting from the made up Proto Gospel after Jacob ca. 615 AD. Believe it if you want.

According to the Quran, Mary also was told her future son's name:

*005 3/45: “- - - his name will be Christ Jesus - - - “. His name was only Jesus. The word Christ was not even a name, but a title of honour, and it only emerged years after his death - originally in what is now Turkey. But Muhammad did not know the Bible well. (Christ or Christos in Greek means the same as Messiah in Hebrew – the anointed one (which indicates “king”, because new kings in the old Israel were anointed). Because of this some editions of the Bible use Christ instead of Messiah in NT, but the name - or title really - Christ in reality did not exist connected to Jesus, until well after his death). But the Gospels originally were written in Greek, and at a time when that title had emerged.

Well, Mary got pregnant and nobody noticed it throughout her whole periode of pregnancy. How big is the chance for that to happen? – it does happen (mostly if the woman is very fat), but the chances are slim to say the least of it. And when her time came, she went out and Jesus was born in the field under a palm tree – quite different from the story in the Bible. Mary was depressed and afraid, but then:

***006 19/24+25:” But (a voice) (the new-born baby Jesus*) cried from beneath the (palm-tree): ’Grieve not! For thy Lord hath provided a rivulet beneath thee; ’And shake towards thyself the trunk of the palm-tree (normally date palms are some 50 cm or more wide and strong – impossible for a human to shake*): it will let fall fresh ripe dates upon thee”. This story is “borrowed” from chapter 20 in an apocryphal “proto gospel” said to be after some Mathew. “Borrowed” by Muhammad or Allah, but presumably sent down as a copy from the Mother Book in Heaven. Believe the last if you want. There are few if any original stories in the Quran - mostly they are “borrowed” from different sources, but often changed a little to fit Muhammad’s teaching. In this special case one also finds the story in “The Childbirth of Mary and the Salvador’s Childhood” if we remember the name correctly, and it has perhaps entered the Quran via “The Arab Childhood Gospel” (source; among others Ibn Warraq. We are well aware of that Muslims uses bad words about Ibn Warraq, but he is one of our few non-Muslim sources simply because we till now never have seen Muslims able to document him wrong on any point). As said before: Muhammad took stories from such fairy tales, and then accused the Bible of being falsified when it did not tell the same made up legends and tales.

Mary came home and her family was negative, to say the least of it (19/27). The absolutely newborn Jesus – a few hours old at most – had to defend his mother:

***007 19/30a: “I (baby Jesus*) am indeed a servant of Allah, - - -”. See 3/51. And he continued:

***008 19/30b: “(Allah has*) given me revelations and made me (the baby Jesus*) a prophet - - -”. Even Islam (f. ex. the learned Ikrimah, quoted by Tabari) accepts the impossibility in that a baby is a prophet, but the explaining it away is vague and hypothetical. A very clear mistake. This even more so as there is not one single chance that this wonder had been forgotten in or omitted from NT if it had been true.

***009 19/30-33: The newly born baby Jesus is continuing talking and discussing in his cradle. Also this are “borrowed” from apocryphal (made up) Child Gospels - in this case as far as we know via “The Arab Child Gospel” - called “The first Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus Christ” – an apocryphal scripture from 2. century. There is not a single chance that a wonder like that had been omitted from the Bible, as it would have strengthened Jesus’ position quite a lot. This even more so as there are not many tales about Jesus as a child, and this story would have made that part of his life less blank. Once more a fairy tale used like a true story by Allah or Muhammad. Even a book like “The Message of the Quran” is not able to defend this as a true story, but it only offers speculations and presumptions to explain away the impossibility.

A very clearly not true story - a clear mistake.

We have never met a Muslim explaining why the Quran often took its stories from well known, but made up legends and fairy tales, and then explained the differences from the Bible by insisting that the Bible is faked. And the use of old stories clearly is the reason why the old Arabs cided Muhammad for "just telling old tales" - and they were right, as he simply copied old stories.

Not much is said about the childhood of Jesus neither in the Bible nor in the Quran. In the Bible his childhood mostly seems to have been a normal childhood with a few exceptions, but in the Quran he was early prepared for being or becoming a prophet by studying the Gospel:

****010 3/48:** “And Allah will teach him (the child Jesus*) - - - the Gospel”. One thing is that the word “Gospel” is in singular - there are 4 Gospels. It is not uncommon to use “Gospel” in singular, but it seems that Muhammad did not know there were more than one. **But the real screamer is that the Gospels did not exist at that time - could not exist, as they are the story of Jesus’ life, death and resurrection, and thus could not be written until after his death and resurrection.** The oldest one is written some 25 years after his death (or may be a little earlier, according to new science - source: New Scientist). Show us one single god that did not know that. But as said before: Muhammad did not know the Bible well. Also see 3/3.

We may add that many a Muslim will tell you that the Quran is not talking about the 4 known Gospels, but about an older one they claim has disappeared. And they may be partly right on one point - it may be that once there was another and older Gospel, though not so old that Jesus could read it, neither as a child, nor as an adult. 3 of the Gospels are so similar, that it is clear there is a connection, and one of the possible explanations is that they all took material from an older Gospel. But strangely Muslims never mention the other possible explanation: That the two youngest simply took material from the oldest of the 3. And as strangely the Imams never tell their congregation what a Gospel really is. The for Muslims damaging points are:

1. A Gospel is the history of Jesus’ life, death and resurrection, with the main point being his death and resurrection – the final proof for his connection to something supernatural. There had been lots of proofs before according to both the Bible and to the Quran – his many miracles. But his resurrection made any dispute about or denial of the involvement of something supernatural impossible. But as the main points in all Gospels are his trial, his death, and his resurrection, no Gospel could exist until after his death. And no tale not including his trial, death and resurrection is a Gospel, because then the very point that makes it a Gospel – his resurrection and thus the final proof of something supernatural being involved, and of his final victory over the dark forces – is not there. (Also see point 3 below). It is known that Muhammad did not really know the Bible, and especially not NT, and it seems like he used the word “Gospel” without really knowing what it meant. Also modern Muslims – at least the ones with little education – have vague ideas about what a Gospel is, and just states that there must have been an older one that Jesus read, which as you see is an impossibility. (Of course some then try the all-conquering argument that Allah knew and could tell - - - but then we once more are up against the fact that full

- clairvoyance for Allah combined with free will for man, also is an impossibility, a fact that even Islamic scholars admit, though most reluctantly, and with the very lame addition that “all the same it must be true, because it is told in the Quran” (!!!))
2. We know that if there ever existed an older Gospel, we automatically know that also this was written after Jesus’ death, so Jesus could not have studied it. This because a Gospel as said is the story of Jesus’ life, death and resurrection (which most Muslims do not seem to know), and thus cannot have been written until after his death and resurrection - and thus we know it in case was not written until after the year 33 AD. (Actually more likely ca. 27-28 AD. It is known that Jesus most likely was 33 when he died, but the international chronology most likely is a few years wrong – quite likely 5 – 6 years late).
 3. If there ever was such an older Gospel, that means that it was even closer in time to what happened, and thus makes the 3 mentioned Gospels even more reliable as they in case took their material from a Gospel written very shortly after Jesus died, and thus at a time when what happened was even more fresh in the minds of people and society and the writer. But still impossible for Jesus to study, as it did not - could not - exist until after his death.

(We may add that “Gospel” means “good news” or “glad news” or “glad tidings”. You meet the word used like that in some Bibles and other literature, but then it normally is written “gospel” not “Gospel”.) Similare claims in 5/46 – 5/110 – 57/27.

There is mentioned only one more miracle - and what is really told about his childhood in the Bible, is not mentioned in the Quran - (in addition to his talking and arguing when he was just hours old) from his childhood:

*011 3/49: “I have come to you, with a Sign from your Lord, in that I make for you out of clay, as it were, the figure of a bird, and breathe into it, and it becomes a bird by Allah’s leave”. Also this wonder had never been omitted from NT if it had been true - see 3/37. But actually it is taken from the made up legends in one of the “fairy tale” Child Gospels (this one came from the Thomas Child Gospel - also called “The Thomas’ Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus Christ“- an apocryphal (= made up) one from 2. century). A god had known the Child Gospels were made up – Muhammad obviously not. Besides: What does it tell the world that the Quran uses a made up story as an indirect proof for Allah? And what does it tell about the reliability of Muhammad’s many statements when there is divergence between the Bible and

the Quran that the reason is that the Bible is falsified, when it is clear that the reason is that the Quran is quoting from fairy tales?

3. The Prophet Jesus according to the Quran.

***012 61/6: “- - - (Jesus said*) I am the Messenger of Allah - - -”. If Jesus had said something like this about the known polytheistic god al-Lah from a not too distant foreign country, for one thing he had not got many followers, and for another: The clergy had at once had an excuse for having him killed – and long before they really did. This verse is composed by someone not knowing the religious and political realities in Israel around 30 AD. Similar claims in 4/157 - 5/72 – 5/117.

All the same Jesus – according to the Quran – was a servant of Allah:

013 4/172: “Christ disdaineth not to serve and worship Allah.” In 3/51 is implicated in the explanation why this is wrong - see the full list. The only possible exception is if Yahweh and Allah really is the same god. But only Islam states that, and the teachings of Yahweh (especially in NT) are so different at essential points from the teachings of Allah, that they cannot be the same god unless he is mentally ill (schizophrenic). Islam will in case have to prove what they say.

And of course he according to the Quran wanted good Muslims for disciples:

014 61/14: “- - - said Jesus - - - to the Disciples, ‘Who will be my helpers to (the work of) Allah?’” See 61/6a + 61/6b + 3/51 in the full list. Similar claim in 3/52.

And of course they – also here according to the Quran - were good Muslims:

015 5/111: “(the Disciples*) said: “We have faith, and do thou bear witness that we bow to Allah as Muslims”. Made up story - see 3/51 for explanation. Similar claims in 3/52 - 61/14.

But also the disciples wanted proofs (in addition to all the miracles Jesus did according to both the Bible and the Quran):

016 5/114: “Send us (Jesus and the Disciples*) from heaven a Table set (with viands), - - -”. A made up story - there is no chance that such a miracle that clearly shows Jesus’ connection to Yahweh, would be omitted from the Bible. Not one single chance. Even if Muhammad had been right and Christians had falsified the NT, this is the kind of stories they would have added, not omitted. Some Muslims say this may refer a little to “The Prayer of God” - “give us our daily bread” - in the Bible. Much more likely it is a contorted version of the last Easter dinner.

The Quran tells nearly nothing about Jesus as a preacher or about his teachings. The main points for Muhammad were that Jesus was a good Muslim and that even though Jesus was a great prophet – and a real prophet according to both the Bible and to the Quran – he in reality was no match to the greatest: Muhammad.

00a 5/75: “Christ, the son of Mary, was no more than a messenger; - - -”. The Bible says something else – that Jesus called Yahweh his father, and far from always only his spiritual father - and as the Bible is written relatively short time after Jesus’ death, and on this point on

the basis of thousands of witnesses who could tell what Jesus said, and protest if the narrators quoted Jesus falsely, it is likely that the Bible is more reliable here, than the Quran. The Quran is written 600 years later, and offers only unfounded statements without any proof or even indicia backing up the statements. This even more so as the only Islamic source for the statements, was a man with low quality moral, and a man who claimed to be the greatest prophet of all times, something he definitely could not be if Jesus was a relative of Yahweh.

As mentioned Jesus himself frequently called Yahweh his father - and Jesus is reliable also according to the Quran. A sticky fact Islam cannot accept (as said the Quran/Mohammad cannot accept that Jesus may be is the son of Yahweh, because then Muhammad is not the greatest of “prophets” – and the defence of Muhammad also is essential, as he in reality was a dubious and immoral character). Similar claims in 3/59 - 4/171 – 19/34.

00b 43/81: “If (Allah) Most Gracious had a son, I (Muhammad) would be the first to worship”. Some proof!! But for that: There still is Jesus calling Yahweh father. And any neutral professor of history would say that according to all normal rules, the Bible should be more reliable than the Quran as a source of correct history: Very much closer in time to Jesus, thousands of witnesses, many narrators, versus one single narrator without good sources 600 years later - and even a man of dubious character and with strong motif to reduce Jesus, to become the greatest prophet himself - and a man clearly lusting for power (just read the Quran and the Hadits - it is clear to see.). And a man that definitely had not been accepted as a reliable witness in any country with a reliable judicial system. **(The real and historic Muhammad was something quite different from the glossy semi-saint Islam and Muslims claims – a claim made necessary because all Islam only is built on this man’s words).**

017 5/116a: “Didst thou (Jesus*) say unto men, ‘worship me and my mother as gods in derogation of Allah’?” Jesus was not involved with Allah - see 3/51 in the complete list for explanation. As for a divine Jesus, that is not explicitly said in the Bible, but many places it is understood that he was (f. ex. if Yahweh really was his father in some way). But when it comes to Mary, Islam is right - saints are not a part of the teaching of the Bible (on the other hand also some Muslims have saints, notably the Shiites). **And all the same the Quran is extremely wrong here: Mary never was part of the Trinity.** See 5/116b just below.

018 5/116b: Mohammad believed the Trinity consisted of God/Yahweh, Jesus and Mary. Wrong. Both Muhammad and the Quran were wrong in the extreme when he/they thus believed Mary was part of the Trinity. It consists (?) of God/Yahweh, Jesus, and the Holy Spirit – also called the “Holy Ghost” or the “Spirit of truth”, the “Spirit of God”, or only “the Spirit”. Muhammad never understood the Holy Spirit, even though he used it a few (3) times in the Quran – and some Muslims refer to the Holy Spirit in the Quran as another name for the arch angel Gabriel (!). Also see 5/117. Similar claim in 61/6

00c 5/46: “We (Allah*) sent Jesus the son of Mary, confirming the Law (of Moses*)”. According to the Bible Jesus was not sent to change the old laws – that was not his main purpose. **All the same that was what he did – changed some and even nullified some of them, especially many of all the additions made through the times by Jewish religious thinkers and leaders. This was more or less formalized his last Easter, when the new covenant was introduced.** (This covenant is never mentioned by Islam, and most Muslims without religious education have not even heard about it. This even though it is one of the main and most central facts in the Christian religion.) Similar claim in 61/6.

When it comes to foretelling about Muhammad, which is mentioned in the Quran, it seems that just this was and is more essential to Islam and Muslims, than to Muhammad himself, because he did not return to that topic often (or may be he was careful because he suspected or knew it was not true?). For Islam and Muslims it is an essential question, however, because Islam has not one single valid proof neither for Allah nor for Muhammad's connection to a god – a real foretelling had been if not a proof, then at least a good indication. Besides the Quran tells that Muhammad is easy to find both in OT and NT, and then Islam has to find him “come Hell or high water” – if not the Quran is wrong and then something is wrong with the religion. An indication of how essential this claim is to the Muslim clergy, is that in Hadiths – f. ex. Al-Bukhari – you find “quotations” about Muhammad presumably taken from the Bible and presumably quoted from the Bible at about the time of Muhammad (and thus it is impossible even for Islam to claim that the Bible is falsified afterwards) , that are not from the Bible, but the commentators do not whisper one word about that the quotes are wrong, but just letting readers who do not know the Bible (= f. ex. 99.9% of the Muslims) believe it is a “bona fide” and correct quote). Al-Taqiyya.

*****019 61/6c: “- - - (Jesus said: I am*) giving the Glad Tiding of a Messenger to come after Me, whose name shall be Ahamad (another form of the name Muhammad*) - - -”. This is quite a funny verse, as you meet Muslims that insists it is from the Bible. But there is not anything remotely like this in the Bible, and neither in the some 13000 relevant scriptures or fragments found through the times older than 610 AD – included some 300 from the Gospels. It is only to be found in the Quran. Also you do not find a single case in OT where a prophesy about distant future which mentions a clear name. but here - o wonder! - is most conveniently the unmistakable name given.**

And it is worth remembering that it is quite common for makers of new sects or religions to connect themselves to a mother religion and bend that - or even hijack (parts of) it. The founder of the Amaddijja-Muslims is really one of the latest examples, and Mormons tell Jesus visited America during his last days on earth. Such things give roots, credence and weight to a movement.

Jesus told his disciples that the Holy Spirit (also named the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of God, the Spirit of truth, or only the Spirit – like Allah and like Muhammad it has more than one name) should come shortly - which it did. And he told he himself should return once upon a time “to judge the living and the dead“. But not a single word about any other - and not to mention not one with a foreign name the Jews would question.

We know of one place where Muhammad is mentioned: In the Barnabas Gospel - a most apocryphal book - according to one of our sources it may even be written at the caliph's court in Baghdad (not very strange if it then mentions Muhammad). You need to make up proofs only if you have no real ones. Muslims sometimes tell you this “gospel” is a real one.

But the standard explanation Muslims follow - without proofs: The Bible is falsified and the name Muhammad taken out by bad conspiracies - people in that area has a strong tendency to look for and believe in conspiracy theories (We have a private theory that the reason is that they never in their history have been used to relatively reliable information). But in that case:

1. The life of the first Christians had been entirely different - and their time scale had been entirely different if any of them had

heard about another prophet to be expected before the return of Jesus “to judge the living and the dead”. (They would know the return of Jesus would take much longer time than they now believed, to give the “prophet” time to work).

2. The contents of the NT had been different - not least the letters had been different. It simply is a fairy tale made up to strengthen Muhammad’s claim to be a prophet - like some other self-proclaimed prophets. **(Rather ironic, as he did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies – he did not even claim or pretend he had it – he was no real prophet. A messenger for someone or something perhaps, but not a real prophet).**
 1. The Muslims only backs their claim on one Greek word used in the Bible: “parakletos” which means “helper” – Jesus before he left Earth, promised to send his disciples a helper – the Holy Spirit (which arrived some days later – at Whitsun - according to the Bible (a story that is not negated in the Quran)).
 2. Islam claims “parakletos” is a misspelling for another Greek word “periklytos”, which means “the highly praised”. In Aramaic “the highly praised” means “Mawhamana” of which the second part of that word as a verb is “hamida” (= to praise) and as a noun “hamd” (law or praise). If you then continue to Arab the names Muhammad and Ahmad (another version of the name Muhammad) both derives from “hamida” or “hamd” according to Islam. Which to Islam and all Muslims is a strong proof for that “parakletos” in reality is misspelled and means “Muhammad” in the Gospel after John (f. ex. John 14/16). Not a very convincing proof to say the least of it – and in addition:
 3. The word “periklytos” that Islam claims is misspelled – the only possibility they have to get the answer they want and desperately need (they need it desperately, because the Quran

clearly tells that Muhammad is foretold also in the NT - - - and he is not there) – does not exist at all in the Bible, not to mention in the NT. It is not used one single time.

4. The word “periklytos” also is not found one single time in all the some 13000 relevant manuscripts and fragments science knows from before 610 AD. Neither in one single place or time, nor in one single of the many manuscripts.
5. Neither is it found in quotes from the Bible found in some 30000 other old manuscripts.
6. The word “periklytos” simply never was used in the old scriptures that became the Bible. The word that is used everywhere is “parakletos” – “helper” (and a helper was what the disciples needed). This goes for each and every known copy.
7. Beside: How could it be possible to falsify – as Islam claims – the same word the same way in thousands and tens or hundreds of thousands of manuscripts – and how to find each and every “periklytos” in each and every of the many different manuscripts – spread over all those countries? – and on top of all: In a time with little travel and hardly any media Islam has a tough job proving their claim – and remember: It is the ones making claims that have to prove them, not others to disprove it. This often is forgotten when Muslims throw loose claims and statements around.
8. There also are huge numbers (30000 ?) of non-religious manuscripts or fragments which refer to the Bible. Whenever this word pops up in those manuscript it without exception is written "parakletos". Islam must explain how it was possible to find and to falsify all these "papers", and not least how it was possible to erase the ink and write another word in such

- a way that it is impossible for modern science to find traces of falsifications.
9. Arabs think it is logical that parakletos and periklytos may be mixed – in the old Arab alphabet and scriptures this just meant that someone had guessed the not written vowels wrong. But not so for Greek, as Greek already and a long time before had a complete alphabet where all letters were written. This kind of misspelling therefore is not logical in Greek.
 10. Muslims try to explain that it could not be a question of the Holy Spirit, because the Holy Spirit already was present. And the Holy Spirit was present or visited Jesus. **But it was not part of the disciples – and that was what happened at Whitsun according to the Bible: They each got personal contact with the Spirit, and that is quite a change of a situation.**
 11. Muslims also say that as two different names for the Spirit is used (the Spirit of Truth and the Holy Spirit (you actually also have the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of God (1. Mos. 1/2) and only the Spirit)) it proves that John does not mean the Holy Spirit, when he uses the name “the Spirit of truth” – “the Spirit of truth” must mean the Muhammad that lies to his followers in the Quran (“miracles will make no-one believe”, f. ex.) and advised his people to use al-Taqiyya or even break their oaths if that gave a better result. In addition to all the other wrong logic here, this claim is just as logical as to claim that the 99 names of Allah means there are 99 different gods, or the 5-6 or more names of Muhammad means there were 5-6 or more of him. The spirit simply is named by different names (at least 5) – and in addition it is absolutely clear that in the whole Bible there only is one spirit with a special connection to Yahweh.
 12. There only is one conclusion – the conclusion science has made long ago

– possible to make in this: This Islamic claim – like many others – either is a lie (an al-Taqiyya?) or wishful thinking. And still “the raisin in the sausage” is not mentioned:

13. **Jesus promised his disciples a helper – a parakletos. If he had meant Muhammad, how could Muhammad be their helper when they were all dead 500 years before he was even born??** It simply is nonsense or wishful thinking.
14. Further the spirit according to the same verses in the Bible that Muslims quote, could not be seen. Muhammad was not difficult to see.
15. And another “raisin”: Also in the same verses it is said that the Spirit should be with them for ever. Muhammad definitely was not with them for ever – he was not even with them.

Wishful thinking? – or a bluff? – or a lie/al-Taqiyya? At least science long ago has proved from the old manuscripts that it is not true – the Bible never was falsified on this point either. (But Islam HAS to find him somewhere there, if not the Quran is wrong on this for Islam very essential point - and then something is seriously wrong with Islam). Also see 7/157.

(We should mention that also the apocryphal (made up) “Gospel of Barnabas” sometimes still is used as an argument, because there Muhammad is clearly mentioned (no surprise if the theory that it is made at the court in Bagdad is correct). The sorry fact, though, is that a made up gospel is a made up gospel (there are a number of them) – and it tells something about Islam’s lack of arguments that they continue to insist that may be it is not made up, and therefore is a proof for Muhammad, when science is unanimous: It is one of the false ones. The only thing the “Gospel of Barnabas” in reality proves, is that Islam has no real documentation for their claim that Muhammad is mentioned in the NT, as they have to resort to this kind of argumentation).

But the most solid proof for that the Bible is not falsified, comes from Islam itself. If they had found one single solid proof for falsification of the Bible among all the many thousands of old manuscripts that exist, THEY HAD SCREAMED TO HOLY HEAVEN ABOUT IT – and no-one has till now heard such a scream – not even after 1400 years!!!.

But one question that was very central to Muhammad was: Was Jesus the son of God?

00d 9/30b: “- - - the Christians call Christ the son of God - - - (in this) they but imitate what the Unbelievers of old (the Jews?*) used to say. Allah’s curse be on them: - - -”. We are back to the old facts: Jesus himself called God “father”. There were lots of witnesses to this. It was written down a few years later. The Quran vehemently denies it. The Quran has neither witnesses nor any other proofs. The Quran was written more than 600 years later and all the same offers only claims and statements. Muhammad had a lot to gain if Jesus was not the son

of God - if Jesus is closely related to God, Muhammad obviously is not the greatest of prophets (irony; he in reality was no real prophet as he did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies - he never even claimed or pretended to have it), and though Muslims may be right that Muhammad personally did not care all too much about money except for using it as bribes, there is no doubt that he liked power and that he spent large sums for “buying” followers (his lust for power is easy to see from the texts in the Quran and the Hadits). The end of the quote is rather sympathetic (?!). Similar statements in 2/116 – 4/171 – 10/68 – 17/111 – 18/4 – 18/5 -19/88-89 – 23/91 – 25/2. The reason for this quote may be three-fold:

1. Muhammad’s obsession with that there only was one god.
2. The fact that if Jesus in some way was the son of God/Yahweh, Muhammad very obviously was not the greatest of prophets.
3. If Jesus was the son of the god, would be difficult to make people listen to Muhammad and not to the Bible – tales about falsified Bible or not.

If Muhammad partly believed in his own religion, point 1 may have been the main one. If he did not – and very clearly he knew that parts of it were not true (f. ex. the explanations why he could not make miracles) – parts 2 and 3 were the main ones (minding his platform of power).

Because of that it was very essential that - -

**00e 43/59: “He (Jesus*) was no more than a servant - - -”. Possible. But there is still the funny fact that thousands heard him call Yahweh “father”. Whereas only one man - and a man of very questionable character and ethics - says the opposite. And that even a man who had much to gain from Jesus being not the son of God. And this even as much as 600 years later without any kind of documentation.

- - - a servant who said things like:

020 43/64: “(Jesus said): For Allah, He is my Lord and your Lord - - -”. See 43/63. We may add that starters of new religions or sects often try to “high-jack” well known persons or situations to use it in their teachings. This may look like such a case.

**021 43/63a: “(JesuS said*): therefore fear Allah - - -”. As said before: If Jesus had been a missionary for the known polytheistic god al-Lah from a not too far of country, he for one thing had got very few followers in the at that time strictly monotheistic Israel, and for another thing he had been killed by the clergy long before - especially if he all the same got a big following like he really had. This is a tale told by someone who knew the religious and political situation in Israel around year 30 AD badly.

022 43/63b: “(Jesus said*): fear Allah and obey me - - -”. This is really is Muhammad’s slogan – he wanted power, that much is easy to see from the Quran, and religion/Allah was his Platform of Power. And many places in the Quran it becomes clear that Muhammad wants everyone to believe he was a “normal” (but top) prophet (actually he was no real prophet, as he did not have the gift of making prophesies – see chapter about Muhammad), and then it was nice if Jesus used the same words like Muhammad and showed this was normal ways for

prophets to talk. But one of the really – and one of many - fundamental differences between Jesus and Muhammad (and for that case between f. ex. Buddha and Muhammad), was that Jesus was absolutely not interested in power on this Earth. Consequently this slogan that Muhammad very frequently used to secure his power, was meaningless for Jesus. (The Quran does not oppose this fact: That Jesus preached, but he did not seek power on Earth.)

Also the verse below can be taken as part of a strategy for reducing Jesus from something special to something ordinary – at least an ordinary prophet – to make it easier for Muhammad to be number one (another obvious example: During Muhammad’s claimed trip to heaven, Jesus lived in the lowest of the prophets’ heavens – heaven number 2. Whereas other known prophets from the Bible lived higher up and closer to the god, and Muhammad was to be given place in the 7. heaven, the closest one to the deity):

023 2/136: “We (Allah*) make no difference between one or another of them (prophets*) - - - “. There is one distinction at least Yahweh makes: Between real and false prophets. The criterion for being a real prophet, is that you make prophesies – and that the prophesies come true. If not he is a false prophet (5. Mos. 18/21). Muhammad made during all his life not one real prophesy. (There were a few sayings that were remembered because they happened to become true or partly true – the others were forgotten like normal in such cases – but no real prophesies. He never – no place in the Quran and hardly in any of all the Hadiths – even claimed to have the gift of making prophesies). Was he then really a prophet – or did he simply “borrow” an impressive title? He simply was not a prophet. A messenger for someone or something perhaps – or an apostle, but no prophet. But if either the Quran or the Bible or both speak the truth concerning this, Jesus clearly was. The Quran, though, reduces Jesus as much as possible, and simply skips the question of Muhammad’s right to the title – as so often the book treats things for a fact without the slightest proof or documentation.

Finally there is the death and resurrection of Jesus. If that really happened, Jesus clearly was at least one division higher up than Muhammad. So according to the Quran it did not happen.

024 5/116: Mohammad believed the Trinity consisted of God/Yahweh, Jesus and Mary. Wrong. Both Muhammad and the Quran were wrong in the extreme when he/they thus believed Mary was part of the Trinity. (It consists (?) of God/Yahweh, Jesus and the Holy Spirit – also called the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of truth, the Spirit of God, or only the Spirit). Muhammad also never understood the Holy Spirit, even though he used it a few times in the Quran – and some Muslims refer to the Holy Spirit in the Quran as another name for the arch angel Gabriel(!) as it is “known” that Gabriel brought surahs and verses, but it is also said in the Quran that the Spirit brought some. Wrong conclusion: Gabriel must be identical to the Spirit. Also see 5/117. Similar claim in 5/73

025 4/156: “- - - they uttered against Mary a grave false charge (that Jesus was crucified and dead)”. There were so many witnesses, included many that knew Jesus, and included so many that hated him and definitely had made revolt if he was not executed – the Jewish clergy and scholars like the later Muslim clergy and scholars were powerful - that this charge was definitely not false. If Islam says something else, they will have to provide good proofs, not only bring forth lofty claims and statements taken out of thin air 600 years later. Because that is all the Quran has got to offer: A few lofty statements backed by nothing - no proofs and not even any indicia indicating that all those witnesses - and the rulers and the hateful Jewish clergy - were wrong. Words are very cheap - - - and the only fact Islam can produce is that neither Muhammad nor Islam can accept that Jesus died and was resurrected - in that case he

clearly was a greater prophet and/or had closer connections to the god than Muhammad, and that is taboo for Muslims. It simply is unacceptable for them.

*026 4/157: “- but they killed him not, nor crucified him, but so it was made to appear for them - - -”. See 4/156. In addition: If no one else made sure that it was no impostor and that the killing really took place, the angry and spiteful Jewish clergy and scholars would see to that. This claim is made up by someone that could not accept that Muhammad was not the greatest prophet (even though Muhammad in reality was not really a prophet – he did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies). If Islam wants to say something else, they will have a lot of explanation and proving to do - this even more so as the Quran always demands proofs for what non-Muslims say about their religion, but it NEVER itself offers any real proofs for Islam or Allah. In spite of all the “signs” it boasts of, not one single of those “signs” - with the possible exception of some taken from the Bible - proves any god at all, and definitely not one single one proves anything about Allah or the teachings of Muhammad. Words are very cheap, and there is not one single of those “signs” that can not as well and as easy be used by priests or believers or "prophets" of all other religions: Manito did this, Thor did that, Kali made something, Osiris something else, Baal created the Earth, and al-Uzza is great. Islam always only claims that Allah did this and this and that this is a “sign” or a “proof” for Allah. **But they NEVER prove that it really was Allah that did this and this. Because of that each and every such “sign” and “proof” are intuitively and logically and even judicially invalid as an indication or a proof – and for the same reason any priest in any religion can say exactly the same valueless words about his god(s). The claims are totally invalid as indications or indicia, not to mention as proofs. There is not one single valid proof for Allah or for the teachings of Muhammad anywhere - - - or for the undocumented claim that Jesus was not crucified and died.** Similar claims f. ex in. 4/156 (above).

No matter – it is reckoned as a fact that Jesus really was a historical person. He was heard and seen by so many after his death and resurrection, that it is possible the story may be true – that he really existed after his execution.

But he was never heard or seen after his final farewell to his disciples.

And honestly: If the god took him up to himself bodily and alive, like some Muslims explains this fact away with, that is as good a proof for his connection to something supernatural as anything else. Whereas for Muhammad not one single such proof exists.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 7 (= II-1-3-7)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS ABOUT THE BIBLE IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH:

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Muhammad most likely got his inspiration for “the one god” from the neighbouring Jewish and Christian religions. The Zoroastrians that in a way was a border line case, he seems to have known little about, but there are ideas and tales in Islam that may derive from there. In addition there was a small sect in Arabia that believed in one god, but that one seems to have had little influence, except perhaps as one of the backgrounds for his thinking in the beginning.

He came to mean that the main pagan god, al-Lah, was the only real god and that all the other Arab pagan gods were fictions. Then as he had one god and the Jews and the Christians had one and only one, his god had to be the same god as theirs. Actually this was no new idea (Muhammad had few if any new ideas on any subject) – the Arabs had for ages thought that may be their al-Lah (Muhammad changed the name slightly to Allah - just the same letters but slightly different pronounsation) and the Jewish and Christian god Yahweh was the same god.

And as they (presumably) were the same god, also the holy books had to be the same. But he or whoever made the Quran, did not bother – or take the expenses – of getting hold of a copy of the Bible, and not even of the Torah and the rest of the OT, which should not be too difficult, as the Jews in the neighbourhood surely had at least one or a few in their synagogues, and to have a copy made should have been possible – though expensive as it had to be written by hand by a scribe (but he had married a rich widow).

Instead he or the ones that made the Quran – it was not made by any god with all those mistakes, contradictions, places with wrong logic, etc – relied on the rich tradition of story telling, a traditional pastime in most of the world before cheap books, film and radio came. The trouble was that most of these stories were fairy tales and legends – many of them not even based on the Bible, but on apocryphal – made up – religious scriptures, many of which were made up by fringe religious sects to fit their special ideas about the religion (if Muhammad made or had someone make the Quran, he was far from the only one who made his own “holy scriptures” at that time - and later).

The trouble was that it took a long time before he understood that there was a problem - the real Bible said things differently from the legends. Most likely he did not become fully aware of it until he after 12 years of preaching came to Medina, where there were many Jews, and he tried to recruit them for his own monotheism. By then it was too late. He either had to ditch his religion and accept another – and loose his position as a supreme leader + getting a lot of bad words (to say the least of it) for having lead his followers astray. Or he had to do something. Perhaps he himself also believed in at least parts of what he was preaching (It is out of the question that an intelligent man like him believed in everything in his tales – his excuses for no miracles are good examples of this, as they so obviously were wrong that no intelligent person with knowledge about human nature can believe all of them if he does not absolutely want to or is brain washed.). Especially if he had a brain illness like f. ex. Temporal Lobe Epilepsy – TLE – (source BBC) it is possibel he believer parts of his tales himself.

The solution he did chose – or was forced to chose as it was the only option he had – was the age old “I am right, and all the others are wrong.”

Which automatically meant that the Bible was wrong where it differed from his own tales. And as he thought the Bible was from the god, (it is not – it is made by humans, even the Book of Moses - only inspired by God/Yahweh according to its believers) that meant it must have been falsified. Or this was a good "explanation" if he did not fully believe in everything he himself told.

That is the story and the logic behind the claims that the Bible is falsified. Like normal for Islam there has never been produced any proof or documentation for the claim – it all is a claim resting on nothing. And they have to say it and they have to believe it, because if not Islam is a false religion.

Consequently the scriptures the local Jews had, originally must have been forerunners of and like the Quran until they were falsified, according to his claims.

001 2/75: “- - - seeing that a party of them (the Jews in Medina*) hear the word of Allah, and perverted it knowingly after they understood it - - -” **Wrong. Science have shown very clearly that the Bible is not falsified, perhaps some mistakes, but not falsificarions – and consequently that it has never been something like the Quran. If Islam means something else, they will have to bring proofs, not only loose claims and even looser statements. Acrually: If Islam had had even a small proof, the world had been forced to hear it every two hours or more – at least.**

We may add that Islam and Muslims here try to use the Bible to prove their words – f. ex. Jeremiah 23/36: “Ye have perverted the words of the living God.” This one is dishonesty on two levels:

1. It is for one thing quoted out of context. Jeremiah tells: “If a prophet or a priest or anyone else claims, ‘This is the oracle of the LORD (Yahweh*), I (Yahweh*) will punish that man and his household. - - - But you must not mention ‘the oracle of the LORD’ again because (if you do*) every man’s word

becomes his oracle and so you distort the words of the living God”. (NIV). There is an abyss between this meaning and the meaning in the above slightly twisted quotation from the Bible used by Muslims. **Dishonest and slightly disgusting – and quite revealing about methods and lacks of real facts and arguments.**

2. Even if it had been true – even if Jeremiah had said that the Jews had perverted – though “perverted” is a stronger word than “distorted” – that did not tell one millimetre about distorting Quranic texts, like here is indicated, only distortion of the Torah.

Knowing that this is taken from the widely distributed and highly prized “The Message of the Quran”, canonized or at least certified by one of the foremost Islamic intellectual institutions in the world, cases like this gives us a bad taste on their behalf: To resort to intellectual dishonesty of this kind is humiliating when found out. One may mention the word "al-Taqiyya" (the lawful lie - a speciality for Islam) - permitted to use sometimes and a duty to use if necessary in defending or promoting Islam. But if a religion is a true one, is it then necessary to lie to promote it?

And for what reason? Just in order to be right, instead of to try to find out what is right. This in spite of the fact that the price if they are wrong, is the loss of the soul of each and every Muslim - - - if there is a Hell in the perhaps next life.

There is much more that differs between the Bible and the Quran. See the chapter about contradictions between those two books.

002 2/89a: “- - - when there comes to them (Jews in Medina*) that (Muhammad's texts that became the Quran*) which they should have recognized (indicating they should have recognized the texts from Muhammad in their OT/Torah*)”. Wrong – the underlying basic thinking and a lot of details are so different, that the only thing possible to recognize, is that something is very wrong in such claims from Muhammad.

Jews and Christians alike – they had got books like the Quran (according to the Quran) – books that the Final Edition of the Book now confirmed (- - - according to the Quran):

003 2/89b: “- - - (a Book (the Quran)) confirming what is with them (the Torah and the Bible) - - -”, which means that the Quran confirms the Torah and other holy Jewish scriptures and the Bible. But too many fundamental principles are different - the Quran is no confirmation of neither the Torah, etc., nor of the Bible, not to mention of the New Testament (NT) on which the Christian religion is built. F. ex. “You shall not kill” vs. “You shall not kill without a good reason”, the value of and strife for “the lost lamb”, vs. “You shall not mourn the wrongdoers that ends in Hell”, “Love your enemy” vs. “Kill the enemy wherever you find him”, and “Love your enemy” vs. incitement to and orders of war and hate and discrimination of “infidels”, just to mention some of the deep differences. Not to mention “my empire is not of this world” (translated from Swedish), compared to: Fight for Allah and Muhammad till all

non-Muslims are utterly suppressed and pay extra tax. Similar claims at least in 2/41 – 2/91 – 2/97 – 2/101 – 3/3 – 4/47 – 5/48 – 10/37 – 12/111.

004 2/101: “- - - a party of the People of the Book (here Jews – the People of the Book = Jews and Christians, and “the Book” in this connection is the Bible*) threw away the Book of Allah (the Quran*), as if (it had been something) they did not know!” The Quran here tells that the Jews recognized the Quran from the OT. That is wrong – there are so fundamental differences and so many points that are different between the Quran and the Bible, that the only thing that is possible to know, is that something is utterly wrong. Similar claim in 3/78.

When the Jews – there were far more Jews than Christians in the area – disagreed, something had to be wrong with them:

005 3/70: “Why do ye (the Jews*) reject the Signs of Allah, of which ye are (yourselves) witnesses?” They rejected the “Signs” – the teaching of Muhammad (the Quran as a book did not exist yet). The word “Sign” here may refer to two statements:

1. Islam say Muhammad is foretold in the Bible, and especially refers to 5 Mos. 18/15 and 18/18. But the brother of a Jew is a Jew, not an Arab, and the Jews’ fellow countrymen also are Jews, not Arabs. Islam also never mention the next few verses – f. ex. number 18/21 about real and false prophets, and where Muhammad do not even qualify as a real prophet. See the chapter about “Muhammad in the Bible?” Wrong.
2. The other possible claim here is that when Jesus talked to his disciples about a helper that should come to them, Muslims claim that this meant Muhammad, even though they have to twist their “explanation” not a little (they need at least one “foretelling” from GT and one from NT, because it is said that Muhammad is foretold in both) - and even though Muhammad was born 500 years after the disciples were dead he should be their helper according to Islam! (Jesus was talking about The Holy Spirit that came to the disciples some days later).
3. The Quran refers to one or two learned Jews that Islam claims accepted Mohammad as a prophet. (This may or may not be true). But it is in no way correct to say that “ye” (all or most of the Jews) did so. On the contrary – may be a thousand Jews were killed and murdered and many more made slaves, because they refused to accept Islam as their religion. Wrong.

See the chapter “Muhammad in the Bible?”

Islam only has produced claims and twisted logic for this – well, claim. They will have to produce documentation or proofs if they want to be believed by others than the brainwashed and the naïve. Similar claims in 2/42 – 2/101 – 2/146.

What was wrong with them, Muhammad said, was that at least they had distorted or thrown away parts of the OT, so that it did not tell the same story as the Quran – which it surely had done when it was sent down from Allah (As mentioned no part of the Bible is “sent down”. It is all written by humans – may be inspired by god, but written by humans. What comes closest to having been “sent down” except for the 10 Commandments are the laws of Moses, which the Bible tells Yahweh told to Moses, and Moses wrote them down later.)

But the most serious and everlasting accusation ended with a more serious accusation – backed by f. ex. 3/24 and 7/162:

006 5/13: “- - - they (the Jews*) change the words (of the OT*) and forget a good part of the Message that was sent them (OT*)”. Christians are accused of the same. **But: According to our best information there exist some 13000 relevant scriptures or fragments (some 300 from the Gospels) older than 610 AD (when Muhammad started his religion). Plus there shall exist some 32000 old references to the Bible. They all show that that neither OT nor NT is falsified (= the Bible of the time of Muhammad and before is the same as the one of today and the same as the really old scriptures) – nor is anything forgotten (omitted). But Islam HAS to insist on this.** For one thing this was the excuse Muhammad used when explaining away the differences between the Bible and the Quran – and Muhammad and the Quran has to be speaking only the truth, because if not the very foundation under the religion collapses. And for another thing – if the Quran is wrong and the Bible correct, Islam is a made up religion. **But one fact remains: Islam has not found any proof for their claim, even though they have searched for it for 1400 years.** They have trumped up a number of arguments, but like so often Islam only have cheap words behind their claims – if they had found one single real proof for their claim among the 13000 scriptures or other places, you can bet large money on that the world had been informed quickly and thoroughly about it. When then science tells that the Bible is unabridged except for better translations and the small varieties normal for handwritten manuscripts spread over hundreds of years and thousands of kilometres - and there were many thousands of scriptures spread all over - and each and every single one had to be falsified in just the same ways and in all connections in all kinds of manuscripts (facts Muslims never mention or explain) – well, when all this is added up, it is up to you to decide which – if any – of the two books is most reliable. (Also see 3/24).

007 6/20: “Those to whom We (Allah*) have given the Book (Jews, Christians*) know this (that the Quran was revealed to Muhammad, etc*) as they know their own sons”. Very wrong – they had lots of reasons to suspect that something was wrong – very wrong – with both Muhammad and with his religion. F. ex. too many points that differed from the Bible/Torah and too many other mistakes.

008 6/91: “- - - ye (Jews*) conceal much (of its (the Torah’s = first part of the OT*) contents - -“. Science has ever so clearly shown that this Islamic claim is wrong - many really old documents have shown that the texts of today are like the really old ones. Islam will have to bring real proofs for the repeated claims in the Quran and elsewhere – till now they only have

produces unfunded claims and loose words, and we are back to the old fact: **If Islam had found a single hard fact showing that the Bible in any way was falsified, the world had been told quickly and in large letters. This because Islam does not have one single real proof for the existence of Allah, for Gabriel as a messenger, for Muhammad's connection to a god or anything at all – everything rests only – only – on what Muhammad told, and the historical Muhammad (in contradiction to the Islamic glossy picture) was a man that would not have been accepted in any serious court as a reliable witness.** A proof for falsification of the Bible would be an indication for that the Quran was correct at least on this one point, and thus very welcome to Islam. But in 1400 hundred years no real proof has been found – only claims and words – in spite of large quantities of old known manuscripts and fragments. Therefore a real proof for a falsified Bible had been big news in all Muslim media and for all Muslims debating religion forever after. But there is nothing.

No such proof has ever been produced by Islam.

Also see 3/24, 5/13, 5/14, 5/15. Similar claims in 2/42 – 5/14 – 5/15. And the chapter about differences between the Bible and the Quran, which will be added in 2010 AD.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 8 (= II-1-3-8)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS ABOUT GOD/YAHWEH IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

An axiom in the Quran and in Islam is that Yahweh and Allah just are two names for the same god. But:

00a 2/107: “And besides Him ye (people*) have neither patron nor helper.” Well, **Jesus told many times and in front of lots of witnesses that he could help.**

001 5/72: “They do blaspheme who say: ‘God is the son of Mary’”. No Christians say that Yahweh is the son of Mary, Jesus. (Though catholic people use the expression “Mother of God” meaning “Mother of (the holy) Jesus”, but they clearly know the difference between God/Yahweh and Jesus). Similar claim in 5/17.- 5/72.

00b 5/73: “They do blaspheme who say: Allah is one of three in a trinity”. Our sources tell that the 3 last words does not exist in the Arab edition, but is added by Yusuf Ali. Then the correct text in case ends: “Allah (god*) is one of three (gods*).” Which obviously is wrong, as Christians only believe in one god. Besides it is a most dubious praxis to make additions to a text without making the readers aware of that it is an addition – f. ex. by putting the addition in (). See 5/116 just below. Similar claims in 2/139 – 3/64 - 42/13.

002 5/116: Mohammad believed the Trinity consisted of God/Yahweh, Jesus and Mary. Wrong. Both Muhammad and the Quran were wrong in the extreme when he/they thus believed Mary was part of the Trinity. (It consists (?) of God/Yahweh, Jesus and the Holy Spirit – also called the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of Truth, the Spirit of God, or only the Spirit). Muhammad never understood the Holy Spirit, even though he used it a few (3?) times in the Quran – and some Muslims refer to the Holy Spirit in the Quran as another name for the arch angel Gabriel (!) as it is “known” that Gabriel brought surahs and verses, but it is also said in the Quran that the Spirit brought some, and then they “must” be the same (!). Invalid logic. Also see 5/117.

00c 6/163: “No partner hath He: - - -”. If the Quran here means Allah, it may be correct. If it is indicating Yahweh, many words of Jesus clearly may be understood like the book is wrong.

***003 29/46: “- - - our (Muslims*) and your (Jews and Christians*) god is one - - -”. This is not correct unless he is schizophrenic, as too many fundamental aspects are too different between the two teachings. To mention a few:

Islam:	New Testament (NT)
Do not kill without a good reason.	Do not kill.
To wage war is a religious duty.	Do not kill.
An eye for an eye.	Turn the other cheek.
You cannot carry another’s burden.	Carry your fellow man’s burden.
Religion shall run the country.	My country is not of this world.
Be killed for Allah and go to Paradise.	Become like a child to go to Paradise.
Paradise = Earth-like luxury plus women.	Paradise = Heaven for your soul.
(for women = luxury and a share of the husband).	(for women = Heaven for your soul.)
Paradise = resurrected body.	Paradise = the soul lives on.
Do not lie except for good reason.	Do not lie.

Do not mourn the unbeliever.	“The lost lamb”.
Break an oath and pay damage for it.	To break any oath is a severe sin.
Al-Taqiyya – Muslims’ lawful lie.	Do not lie.
To rob and steal may be “good and lawful”.	Do not steal.
To rob, steal and kill may be “good and lawful”.	Do against others like you want others to do against you.”*
To rape a female slave is “good and lawful”.	So immoral that it is not even mentioned.
Help others to gain merit in heaven.	Help others because they need it – and gain merit in heaven.
To give help to your nearest family - wife, children - give as much merit in Heaven as helping outsiders.	To help your nearest is a matter of course. The Bible mainly talks about helping others when it talks about giving help.

*A similar sentence exists also in Islam (in Hadiths), but it is so well hidden and forgotten and sleeping, that we never have heard it quoted even from Muslims trying to prove what a benevolent religion Islam is.

(For those who do not know: Jesus said that if someone hit you on one cheek, turn the other towards him = answer bad with good. And: Jesus said that a good shepherd would search for a lost lamb = to save a lost soul is very valuable, and there is reason to mourn the not saved ones.)

We know both religions have been misused – though with one serious difference: Christ has been misused in contradiction to his teaching, Islam very often because of and in accordance with the Quran’s often bloody teaching and lack of real moral.

But these are some of the teachings – some of the fundamentals. **And it is worth repeating here that science has proved that the Bible is not falsified – a few mistakes, but no falsifications. This in spite of the Quran’s and Islam’s never documented claims of much falsification. Islam will have to show proofs for the claims if they want to be believed – proofs they have been unable to find in 1400 years.** (We also remind you that the only other alternative is that something is seriously wrong with the Quran and hence with Islam). Only Islam says it is the same god - and they are wrong, unless the god is mentally ill. Similar claims in 2/139 -3/64 – 42/13.

00d 112/3: “He (Allah*) begetteth not - - -.” Well, if Allah should happen to be the same god as Yahweh all the same, Jesus many times called him “father” and many times said he was the son of Yahweh – and lots/most of those times it is clear it was meant in the real meaning. In the NT it is said at least 163 times that Yahweh was father of Jesus, and at least 66 times that Jesus was the son of Yahweh. It is said nothing about how the relationship started. If true, there are 3 possibilities:

1. The age-old and mostly forgotten story about a female counterpart of Yahweh – the Amat of Yahweh - in the very distant past of the Hebrew pre-history, is true. Then the “Amat” of Yahweh may be the mother of Jesus.

2. Yahweh may have created him. As it is said in both the Bible and even more in the Quran, the god only could say “be” and it was. May be the god said “be a son” and Jesus was.
3. Also Jesus may have existed since eternity.

Similar claim in 6/101.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 9 (= II-1-3-9)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS ABOUT DESTINY IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

****001 3/154:** “Even if you had remained in your homes (instead of taking part in the battle of Uhud, where many were killed), those for whom the death was decreed would certainly have gone forth to the place of their death (= they had died anyhow*)”. Here we again have the predestination. You can as well do battle, because Allah has decided long time ago (actually 5 months before you were born according to Hadith) when you are to die. If your time is up, you will die no matter, even if you are lying in your bed. That means that to do battle is not dangerous, but you can win a lot of wealth - and slaves - and if you die in battle, you are sure to go to Paradise with its luxury life and willing houries (in addition to your wives), which you are not sure of if you die at home. The only intelligent thing to do is to fight for your prophet - or his successors.

Today it is easy to prove by statistics that this is very wrong - but Muhammad did not know about statistics (and a god had not even needed statistics to know it was stupidity). Actually Islam today back-pedals very much concerning predestination - telling f. ex. that the Quran does not mean real predestination (but not explaining what it "really" is). But the book is so clear, that it is impossible to explain it away - f. ex. many places connected to statements that when your time is out, you will die anyhow, (and therefore you can as well go to war). See f. ex. 3/154b).

002 6/28: "But if they (sinners*) were returned (from Hell to Earth*), they would certainly relapse to the things they were forbidden". **This is one of the places an intelligent man with lots of knowledge about people, like Muhammad had, knew he was lying – most persons having seen and experienced a Hell like the one described in the Quran, would do practically anything not to end up there again if they got a second chance.**

003 28/84: "- - - the doers of evil are only punished (to the extent) of their deeds". Flatly wrong. There is an abyss of injustice between what sins most sinners have committed, and the punishment they get in Hell.

00a 32/10: "- - - a Creation renewed?" Muhammad believed we are to be recreated bodily at the Day of Doom. He also argues that if Allah is able to create you from semen (and egg – though the egg cell was unknown to Muhammad and to the Quran) he is able to recreate you bodily after you have become dust and juices. May be – but there is a difference between to create the natural way, and to recreate against strong entropy (a word from physics that refers to chaos) – the logic therefore is lacking.

004 33/16: "Running away will not profit you, if you are running away from death or slaughter; and even if (ye do escape) , no more than a brief (respite) will ye be allowed to enjoy". Wrong. It is very easy to prove by means of statistics, that if you get away from a battle, your chances for being alive one year later, greatly improve. Any god had known – but Muhammad did not know statistics. **(Actually the claim also is contra-intuitive and against common sense – he had to know this were lies even if he knew no statistics,** but predestination was good propaganda for getting more warriors and more ferocious warriors). Also see 3/154 just below.

00b 37/16: "When we die, and become dust and bones, shall we (then) be raised up again - - -". **The Quran and Islam teaches that at the Day of Doom every human being is resurrected bodily** – Allah gathers all the bone and dust and fluids you were made of and puts it back together in your earthly, old body, except rejuvenated if you died old (nothing is said about the age and maturity of resurrected babies and children) – to Hell if you lived a bad life and to a Earth-like, but luxurious life in a 1 to 4 star Heaven if you have been good (and even better if you have been an outstanding Muslim) – and depending on how good and how much of a warrior you have been – during your life on Earth. Believe it who wants – and remember that in reality Allah decided everything you did on Earth according to the Quran and to Islam (a fact even Islam is unable to explain how fits the claims of the free will of man, and thus the justice of sending him to Hell if Allah has decided his (bad) acts on Earth.) Similar claim in 50/3.

****005 64/7:** "The Unbelievers think that they will not be raised up (after this life*)". Wrong. Islam wants to be a religion for the entire world, and most religions it met and meets have a second life. But what was difficult for the old Arabs to accept, was that Muhammad told that

not only your soul - or something similar - was to be resurrected, but your complete and exact body, except that you are to be resurrected as a young and good-looking person - there is said nothing about people born with mental or physical handicaps, or babies/children in this connection. (There is one inconsistency, though: 2-3 places the Quran tells that your women in Paradise will be of “suitable age” - f. ex. 78/33. Why? - if everyone will be young and then of roughly the same age?) If bodily resurrection is believable or not, anyone will have to decide for himself or herself.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 10 (= II-1-3-10)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS ABOUT THE VISIBLE SKY IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents in this Section:

1. The Islamic Universe.
2. Who created it? - and from what?
3. How was it created?
4. How many heavens exist?
5. How are the heavens formed? - and their defence.
6. How is the quality of the construction?
7. What about the sun, moon, and stars?
8. How long did the creation take?

9. What will be the end of the physical heaven (and Earth)?

1. The Islamic universe.

According to the Quran the “everything” is like this:

On top of all - above the 7. heaven Allah has his residence.

Seven heavens above the Earth – one on top of the other.

The heavens are resting on the Earth by means of invisible pillars.

In between them are the sun (?) and the moon.

The sun is a flat disk (if not it cannot be folded up like it does at the Day of Doom).

The 7 heavens contain Paradise - the higher heavens are for top Muslims.

The lower heaven is for "normal good" Muslims - split in at least 4 gardens = 4 qualities.

Under heavens, and fastened to the lowest one, are all the stars.

Allah often uses stars as shooting stars to chase away bad spirits spying on Heaven.

Under the heavens and stars are clouds – which Allah sometimes breaks to pieces (rain).

Under that the birds are kept up there by the will of Allah only.

On the Earth mountains are set down - or really dropped down.

The reason why Allah has set down mountains on Earth is to stabilize it.

According to Hadiths 2 of the rivers on Earth starts in Heaven - the Nile and Euphrates.

On the Earth also all kinds of beings live – created from clay or something or nothing.

Man - Adam - is created in 13 different ways if you count exactly and strictly.

All this is existing or living on our flat Earth.

There are 7 earths - according to Hadiths the others are under "our" Earth.

According to Hadiths Islam knows the names of the 7 Earths.

The lower the Earth the more Hell-like is the situation for its inhabitants, Hadiths tell.

And at the bottom Hell (?)

All this (possibly with the exception of Heaven and Hell) was created in 6 - or 8 - days.

Just like your teacher of geography told you? And who created this nonsense?

2. Who created it? - and from what?

001 31/25: “If thou ask them who it is that created the heavens (plural and wrong once more) and the earth, they will certainly say, ‘Allah’”. Wrong. If they believe a god created this, they

certainly will name their own god(s) - though in the old Arabia this might have been the polytheistic god al-Lah. (The likeness of the pronunciation of al-Lah and Allah hides the difference when spoken - **just like when the Muslims use the word God instead of Allah speaking to non-Muslims - it on the surface camouflages some of the fundamental differences there are between Allah and God/Yahweh for people with little knowledge.**)

It is very clear according to the Quran that the creator is Allah. You find that statement – but never a proof – at least in these verses: 10/3 – 11/7 – 21/30 – 21/32 – 23/17 – 25/59 – 30/22 – 32/4 – 35/1 – 39/5 – 41/11 – 41/12 – 50/38 – 51/47 – 79/28.

002 35/1: “- - - Allah, who created (out of nothing) the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth - - -”. In a way it is nearly correct that the heaven as we see it, is made of (nearly) nothing, as an optical illusion is made from photons (but Muhammad was very wrong all the same, as he/the Quran believed it was made from something material – if not f ex. the stars could not be fastened to one of the heavens). But the Earth definitely is not made from nothing - not even if one refers to Big Bang. We may add that the 7 heavens is a construction taken from old Greek and Persian wrong astronomy – they used 7 invisible but strong heavens to try to explain the celestial movements. A fact Muslims never mention.

3. How was it created?

**003 21/30: “Do not the Unbelievers see that the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth were joined together (as one unit of Creation), before We (Allah*) clove them asunder?” Heaven is an optical illusion - a fact that is well known today, but Muhammad did not know it - and an illusion cannot be “cloven asunder” from a material thing. We also have met Muslims saying that the theory of the Big Bang proves the Quran. But the Big Bang “clove something asunder” 13.7 billion years ago, whereas our sun (Helios or Sun*) is a 3. generation star, and it and the planets included Earth are just 4.6 billion years old (the latest numbers actually are 4.57 billion years). The differences in age, and far more the fact that our sun is 3. generation, (which means that the stuff the earth - and the sun – is made from, has been through two cycles of being fluid and mixed parts of (former) suns that became super novas (exploding stars) and were spread over large parts of cosmos where it mixed with remnants of other exploded super novas, and at last coalesce to make a new sun and planets) makes the Big Bang totally irrelevant in this connection – for all the previous 9 billion years the Earth and the sun and the planets just were scattered atoms, molecules and fragments in a celestial “mixer” – not an Earth, etc. that could be identified and could “be joined together” or “cloven asunder” with the sky. At least the professors at Al-Ahzar University know this because these are very well known facts, and it is dishonesty to try to cheat people by using this “argument” in f. ex. “The Message of the Quran” - a book pretending to give, as seen from the Muslim point of view, correct information on and explanations of the Quran, certified by one of the highest authorities on the Quran in the Muslim world, the above-mentioned university.

Conclusions: According to the Quran Allah created a quantity of something like smoke, ordered the smoke to “come together”, and afterwards split that mass in 2 – the Earth (actually 7 Earths) in one part, and the heaven as we see it (but actually 7 material heavens according to the Quran - and Islam) in the other part. It should be unnecessary to mention that this has no similarity to what really happened. The Big Bang spread gas – nearly only hydrogen (H), but with traces of helium (He) in ionized and thus opaque form – and absolutely no smoke, because even micro particles did not exist yet, over vast realms. After some 300000 – 380000 years the gas had cooled enough to be able to combine to molecules (mainly H₂) that could

condense to stars. The first stars tended strongly to be super giants because the gas in space was by far more tightly packed than today and thus easier to mop up to make big stars. In the stars H₂ was transformed to different atoms up to iron by means of atomic reactions that releases the energy stars “live” from.

But in super giants these reactions runs fast because of very high temperature and pressure. Already after some 10 million years – or mor if they were smaller - they explode in super-novas. In the extreme conditions of those explosions the heavier stuff is created – and spewed out into the Universe. There it became parts of the gas clouds that over aeons condensed to new stars. The average size now was smaller, for natural reasons – the gas clouds were less dense. Because of somewhat smaller average size, the average “life” was somewhat longer. But even so a large percentage also of this 2. generation stars were big enough to go supernova sooner or later (often still pretty fast) – and then a new circle started. Gas clouds – that now contained a small percentage of other matter than H₂ and He – condensed to even new stars.

And here finally our star – Helios or Sun – fits in. Our sun is a 3. generation star – and because of that there were enough heavy material to make "rock planets" like the Earth.

But heaven and Earth never was smoke. The stars and the sun never made up one mass with a recognizable Earth (another fact that made that impossible: Earth was made 9.1 billion years and trillions of stars later than the universe). Not to mention that a recognizeable Earth and heaven/stars/etc never were one mass that was split apart leaving the Earth like we know it ready for life and living beings.

The Quran and Muhammad could hardly have been more wrong even if they really had tried to.

004 41/11a: “- - - it (the sky) had been (as) smoke - - -”. The sky according to Muhammad was something material (the stars were fastened to the lowermost heaven, f. ex.) and had to be made from something. But it is wrong. The sky as we see it, is just an optical illusion.

Some Muslims discover the Big Bang and the cloudlike state after that, and in triumph present you for this “proof” of Islam's being scientific and correct. But the Big Bang happened 13.7 billion years ago according to science. The cloudy state lasted for 300000 – 380000 years, but it was made from ionized gas (hydrogen and a little helium - they are opaque when ionized), not from smoke. And our sun and earth did not coalesce until nore than 9 billion years later - 4.57 billion years ago - and are on top of all 3. generation creations. The connection between the clouds (not smoke) after the Big Bang and Earth is highly feeble and has nothing to do with our sky. More logical but less frequently quoted, are the tales saying the sky was made from the cloud of dust and gas slowly coalescing into the sun, the planets, etc. But that material ended as the sun, the planets, etc. - an optical illusion like our sky is not made from gas or dust or clouds. It is made from light only. See 41/11c below.

005 41/11b: “Come ye (Earth and sky*) together - - -”. Earth and sky never were separated in two or more parts that then could come together. (Islam tries to explain that what is meant is gas, mainly H₂ – hydrogen. But hydrogen – or other gases - has nothing to do with smoke – any god had known. (Smoke = micro particles floating in gas – without the micro particles no smoke).

***006 41/11c: “He (Allah*) said to it (the sky) and the earth: ‘Come ye together - - -’”. The sky as we see it by day is just an optical illusion that is a result of the refraction - bending - of light in the rarefied upper atmosphere - it is blue because blue light bends the least. It simply is physical laws at work - there is no question of “coming together” (and physically impossible).

The night sky is another optical illusion - the day sky and the night sky are not even “made” in the same way. Here we see a “sky” because we are unable to see 3 dimensions at those distances. At night it is if possible even more wrong to talk about things coming together. Any god knew this. Muhammad believed in the local wrong astronomy. Who composed the Quran?

00a 51/47: “- - - it is We (Allah*) Who created the vastness of space.” Here is a point that should be controlled: According to one of our sources, the word that is used in Arab is “samaa” which is said to mean “sky”, whereas the Arab word for “universe” or “space” is said to be “al-kawn”. We have till now been unable to check this for sure, but mention it because such dishonesty tells so very much if it is true – and we find dishonesty too often in Islamic media/books (though we had originally not expected it from a man like Yusuf Ali).

4. How many heavens exist? (The 7 heavens/skies/tracts/firmaments.)

*007 2/29: “- - - He gave order and perfection to the seven firmaments - - -”. Firmament is another word for the heaven we see it, though mostly used for the night sky. The Quran many places tells about the seven heavens or firmaments or tracts (the word “heavens” or similar is used in plural in the Quran at least 199 times) - there is no doubt that according to the Quran there are 7 (material) heavens. (Islam also “knows” who inhabit the different heavens - f. ex. Jesus in the 2. heaven, Joseph in 4., Aaron in 5., Moses in 6., and Abraham in the 7. heaven, and Allah above the 7. heaven, to mention some). There also is no doubt that the Quran believes the heavens are material - if not it was not possible to build it or to fix the stars to the lowermost heaven, like the Quran states several places. No god had believed this - **but Muhammad did, as this was what one believed in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad. The seven heavens are taken from old Greek astronomy - or perhaps from Persian astronomy, which also believed in 7 heavens (1 heaven for carrying the stars, 1 for each of the 4 known planers, and one each for the sun and the moon). Any god, but not Muhammad, would have known it was very wrong.** Islam has several “explanations” concerning this very obvious mistake, but we have never seen or heard any Muslim mentioning even the possibility that Muhammad’s picture about astronomy could be explained by his believing in Greek or Persian astronomy.

008 4/131: “- - - heavens - - -”(plural, but the number not mentioned. NB: In Arab plural means at least 3, as Arab is among the languages that has a special form - dualis - when talking about two - but the word here refers to the 7 heavens Muhammad believed in). Even if the statement is repeated 2 times in the same verse like in this one, there still are no 7 material heavens (see 2/22). Any god had known, but Muhammad believed in the contemporary Greek and Persian astronomy – Muslims NEVER mention that 7 heavens was the astronomy of the area at the time of Muhammad, and neither does Islam. Everybody is free to wonder why.

009 10/6: “- - - heavens - - -” (the number not mentioned - see 4/131 above). This word is used in plural something like 190 times in the Quran. (The words “heavens”, “seven heavens”, “firmaments”, “seven tracts”, and “seven firmaments” are used altogether at least 199 times in plural - there is no doubt that the Quran believes in 7 heavens). The plural of the

word refers to what was correct astronomy in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad: That the stars, the 4 known planets, the sun and the moon were fixed to 7 invisible, but strong heavens formed like hemispheres (actually the Greeks knew the Earth was a sphere, so then the heavens had to be spheres) over the Earth. The Arabs and many others got this picture of from Greek and from Persian astronomy. Muslims today of course know it is wrong, and are “explaining” the 7 heavens in different ways - from vague thoughts about space, to telling that it means something else as - they say - in old Arabia the number 7 also could mean “many” (as if that is more correct in this case than 7), and to referring to 7 layers in the atmosphere as the 7 heavens (without explaining how the stars were fixed to the lowermost of the heavens, or explaining how resurrected material humans can walk around on layers of the atmosphere - the Paradise is in the Heavens - like Islamic scriptures tell), etc. **Strangely till now none of our group have met a single Muslim mentioning that 7 heavens were the correct astronomy at the time of Muhammad - may be they prefer not to mention that, because the logical next question then is: A god knew there were no 7 heavens, Muhammad believed there were. Then who made the Quran?**

You will find this reference to the 7 non-existing heavens at least in these verses: 2/22 – 29 - 107 - 144 – 164 – 255 x 2 – 284 – 3/5 – 29 – 83 – 3/109 - 128 – 132 – 180 – 189 – 190 – 191 – 4/126 – 131 x 2 – 132 – 170 – 171 – 5/17 – 40 – 97 – 120 – 6/1 – 3 – 12 – 14 – 73 – 75 – 79 – 101 – 7/54 – 158 – 185 – 187 – 9/36 – 116 – 10/3 – 6 – 18 – 55 – 66 – 68 – 10/1 – 3 – 11/7 - 107 – 108 – 123 – 12/101 – 105 – 13/2 – 15 - 16 – 18 – 14/2 – 10 – 19 – 24 – 32 – 48 – 15/16 – 85 – 16/3 – 49 – 52 – 73 - 77 – 17/95 – 99 – 102 – 18/14 – 26 – 51 – 19/65 – 93 – 20/4 – 6 – 21/4 – 16 – 19 – 22 – 30 – 32 – 104 – 22/18 – 56 – 64 – 23/71 – 24/6 – 35 – 41 – 42 – 25/2 – 6 – 59 – 26/24 – 27/25 – 60 – 65 – 87 – 29/44 – 52 - 61 - 30/8 – 18 – 22 – 27 – 66 – 31/10 -16 – 20 – 25 – 32/4 – 5 – 33/72 – 34/1 – 3 – 22 – 24 – 35/1 – 38 – 40 - 41 – 44 – 36/81 – 37/5 – 38/10 – 39/5 – 38 – 46 – 63 – 67 – 68 – 40/37 – 57 – 42/4 – 5 – 11 – 12 - 42 – 49 – 53 – 43/9 – 82 – 85 – 44/7 – 38 – 45/3 – 13 – 22 – 27 – 36 – 37 – 46/3 – 4 – 33 – 49/16 – 50/38 – 52/36 – 53/26 – 31 – 55/29 – 33 – 57/1 – 2 – 4 – 5 - 10 – 58/7 – 59/1 – 24 – 61/1 – 62/1 – 63/7- 64/1 – 3 - 4 – 78/19 – 37 – 85/9.

010 41/12: “He (Allah*) assigned to each heaven its duty and command”. How could he do that when there were no 7 heavens?

011 53/49: “- - - He (Allah) is the Lord of Sirius (the Mighty Star) - - -”. Sirius it not very mighty, even if it may look like that from Earth. It is a dwarf compared to stars like Betelgeuse, Aldebaran and millions more. And just a firecracker compared to f. ex. the enormously potent Eta Carinae (borderline enormous and unstable for exploding, and expected to go supernova in the astronomically near future). Not to mention compared to the real super giants.

***012 67/3: “He (Allah*) Who created the seven heavens one above another - - -”. **It is hardly possible to state the Quran’s picture of our sky more accurately and clearly than this. Neither is possible to be much more wrong**, especially when we add that according to the Quran the heavens are held up by invisible pillars, that the heavens are made from something material (if not you could not fasten the stars, etc. to them), that the stars are fixed to the lowest heaven with the sun (?) and the moon between the heavens, and that the stars also double as shooting stars to chase away spying bad spirits - and everything kept up there by invisible pilars resting on Earth. Who composed the Quran - a god or someone not omniscient? Muhammad perhaps? (And 71/15 even confirms “one above the other”).

013 78/12: “And have We (Allah) built over you the seven firmaments - - -?” For something to be built, materials have to be used. One more confirmation for that the heavens are material. Muslims sometimes explains that 7 in old Arab was a synonym for “many” (and 70 for “very many”), and that the Quran consequently does not mean 7 but many. But honestly “many” is at least as wrong as “7”. And you will find mentioned 7 heavens, firmaments or tracts in at least these verses: 2/29 - 17/44 – 23/17 – 23/86 – 41/12 – 65/12 – 67/3 -71/15 – 78/12. (Also see 10/6).

5. How are the heavens formed? - and its defence.

014 2/22: “- - - and the heavens (plural and wrong) your canopy - - -”. The heaven/sky is no canopy. The “heaven” we see at daytime, really is an illusion caused by the bending of light, and the “smooth” heaven we see at night, also is an illusion, caused by the fact that we are unable to see the third dimension up there because of the huge distances, and get the impression that the stars all are at the same distance from us. Any god had known this, but Mohammad not. Also see 67/3a, 67/3b, 67/5a, and 67/5b. Muslims tend to explain the heavens (plural and wrong) with space and stars and galaxies - but each time they then “forget” to explain how the stars are fastened to the lowermost of the 7 heavens the Quran tells exist, or how the stars are used as shooting stars. They also sometimes tell the 7 heavens = 7 layers in the atmosphere. **No comments - but think about stars like Aldebaran - a giant star - fixed to a layer in our atmosphere. A joke.** The claim about canopies you at find least in 2/22 – 21/32 – 40/64 – 52/5 - 79/28. You will even find f. ex. in 21/32 that it is well guarded – see below.

**015 13/2: “Allah is He Who raised the heavens (plural and wrong) without any pillars that ye can see: - - -”. A Muslim information organisation was ca. 2007 asked to explain this sentence. They replied not 100% politely, that anyone with an IQ of 60 or more had to understand that this meant that the pillars did not exist. The person that asked, replied that he knew the difference between non-existent and invisible - the meaning in the sentence above is “invisible” - and asked them please to give him a real explanation. They never answered.

There exist no pillars - visible or invisible. **And actually the idea is ridicules, as there exists no material heaven that needs to be kept in position - the heaven we see is just an optical illusion. Any god - even small ones - would know this, but Muhammad naturally not. Then who made the Quran?**

Besides no man or animal or bird has ever banged into such an invisible pillar – and no plane collided with one. Also see 31/10a in the full list.

016 15/17: “- - - We (Allah*) have guarded them (the Zodiacal Signs*) from every evil spirit accursed: - - -” According to the Quran, the stars – included the Zodiacal signs – are fastened to the lowermost of 7 (material – they have to be if the stars can be fastened to one of them) heavens. But jinns/bad spirits wanted to spy on the heavens, and had to be chased away by stars used as shooting stars. And then the Zodiacal signs were guarded at the same time. According to science this is utter nonsense to at least the fifth power. Any god had known – even baby ones – but Muhammad not. Then who made the Quran with all its mistaken facts, etc.?

**017 21/32: “And We (Allah*) have made the heavens (plural and wrong*) as a canopy well guarded - - -”. Muhammad was unable to see the difference between stars and shooting stars. In the Quran it is told that the shooting stars (mistaken for being ordinary stars) are “arrows”

used to chase away bad spirits or jinns (beings “borrowed” from old Arab folklore) wanting to spy on Heaven. Any child today knows the difference between a real star and a shooting star, and also what would happen on and to the Earth if shooting stars were real stars. Even a baby dwarf god had known this - but Muhammad not, as it is modern knowledge. The pertinent or impertinent question: Who then composed the Quran?

***018 37/6+7: “We (Allah*) have decked the lower heaven with beauty (in) the stars - (For beauty) and for guard against all obstinate rebellious evil spirits.” See first 37/6. Then: The Quran does not know the difference between a star and a shooting star, and tells that the stars are used for chasing away evil spirits that wants to spy or listen to what is said in Heaven. The shooting stars are used as weapons in such cases. **It should not be necessary to say that this is wrong at least to the 25th od 30th order** = the difference between the mass of a star and of a shooting star. Also see verse 8. That the lowest heaven is guarded belongs only in fairy tales. Any god had known - then who made the Quran?

***019 67/5: “- - - and We (Allah*) have made such (lamps (stars*) as) missiles to drive away the Evil Ones - - -”. Well, well. Any secondary school child able to see that the entry from the same verse 67/5a were wrong, would laugh from this: Stars fastened to the lowest heaven and then doubling as shooting stars to drive away bad spirits! Today it is clear such “informations” only belongs in fairy tales. Also see 67/3 and 67/5a. Who made the Quran?

No further comments - except that you find similar in 15/17-18 - 37/10 – 72/8

6. How is the quality of the constructions? (May be parts will fall down?)

00b 22/65: **Has Muhammad Ali made an “al-Taqiyya” (lawful lie) here? He says that Allah “withholds the sky (rain) from falling on the earth”. But according to “The Message of the Quran” the Arab text says that Allah withholds the heaven from falling down on Earth. Quite a scientific mistake in case. And also a dishonesty from A. Yusuf Ali in case.**

020 32/23: “He (Allah) Who has made everything which He has created most Good - - -”. Wrong. Far from everything is made most good. To take humans: We could do with seeing a bit more of the electromagnetic spectre, we could do with a body able to produce more vitamins, we could do with better resistance against illnesses, we could do with a body stronger for wear and tear, we could do with stronger bones and other body parts, we could do a lot better with auto regeneration of lost body parts, and lots and lots of more that could have been better and closer to what is “most Good”. Also see 67/3b in the “complete” list of mistakes.

021 34/9: “- - - We (Allah*) could cause a piece of the sky to fall upon them.” The sky as we see it is an optical illusion (Muhammad believed it was something material to which the stars were fastened). How can a piece of an optical illusion - a mirage so to say - fall down upon someone? Muslims tend to “explain” this with that the Quran talks about a shooting star or similar. But the book other places talks about such falling stars, and even though it believes it to be ordinary stars, it very clearly knows the difference between this and the sky. There is no doubt it is talking about a piece of the sky itself. Also see 17/92 – 52/44.

**022 50/6: “- - - and there are no flaws in it (the sky*) - - -”. The sky is two different optical illusions - by day one made by bending of sunlight, and by night by our inability to see the 3 dimensions at long distance:

1. As the sunlight by day hits the entire atmosphere and bends light all over, flaws are not there. (There really are no flaws, but shimmering because of temperature differences in the atmosphere is easy to see when you use a telescope – and by night often the flickering of stars). Once more a natural occurrence the Quran says is because of Allah, without any proof. Another loose statement. Yes, how can there be flaws in optical illusions?
2. At night we see deep into the vacuum of space. There are no flaws in vacuum. And physically speaking: How can there be visible flaws in vacuum?. Once more: A loose statement without real value - except for cheaters and deceivers.

Any priest in any religion can say just the same about his god(s). Words are that cheap.

7. What about sun, moon and stars?

023 2/189: “They (the new moons) are but signs to mark fixed periods of time in (the affairs of) men, - - -”. Wrong - the new moons simply is a natural phenomenon that man often uses to calculate time. But it is not made for that purpose. If Islam insists on that, they will have to prove it.

024 6/96: “He makes - - - the sun and the moon for the reckoning (of time)”. Wrong. They - and especially the sun - are natural phenomena that are essential to life on Earth (the moon may have been essential to the emergence of life, at least on dry land). It so happens that they are ok for reckoning time, but they are not made for it. (Actually they hardly are made even especially for sustaining life on Earth.)

025 31/29: “Seest thou not - - - that He (Allah*) has subjected the sun and the moon (to His Law), each running its course - - -.” Wrong. Relative to the Earth the sun is fixed and it is the Earth that is moving. (“Explanation” from some Muslims: We drop the fact that it here is talk about the relative movements between the Earth and the sun – obvious partly because it is talk about movement relative to the observer on Earth, and obvious from the fact that is talk about the movements of both the sun and the moon – and we drop the fact that the verse started with “Seest thou not - - -”, and find the obvious meaning in occurrences that man of that time simply could not see: Muhammad told about the sun’s course around the galaxy, once every 225 million years (new numbers from 2009 indicate that the stars are moving somewhat faster and hence that this number is a bit lower). Well, it could have been obvious, if they had explained why they settled for just that movement – because the galaxy is moving around in the Local Group (a small cluster of galaxies), which again is moving around in our local Super Group (cluster of a couple of thousands galaxies), which again is moving towards some enormous centre of gravity called The Great Attractor - - - which again may be on its way somewhere. (Muhammad clearly had not the faintest idea about the real connections between Earth, moon and sun – any god had known everything exactly. And Muslims are eager finding "explanations" so they do not have to see the mistakes.) Also see 33/29ab - 36/40 – 39/5 – 39/38 - 91/2

Are they just cherry picking the arguments they like and that seemingly is usable, no matter whether it really fits or not? Well, it would not be the first time.

00b 36/38: The normal translation of 36/38 (Arab: “li-mustaqarrin laha”) is (translated from Swedish): “And the sun runs to its place of rest” which is way out wrong. But as the old Arab written language far from was exact, Muhammad Ali’s transcription is a possible, if less likely one – as is “(to) the end point for the course which it follows” and - inserting other vowels among the written consonants (in old Arab only the consonants were written) and getting the expression “la mustaqrra laha” – “it runs its course without resting” (Abd Allah ibn Mas’ud/Zamakhshari). Or “The sun runs its course to a certain extent, then it stops” (Baydawi: “The Lights of Revelation” p.585). No matter which translation you choose here it is wrong.

026 36/39: “- - - till she (the Moon*) returns like the old (and withered) lower part of a date-stalk (that takes a crescent form*)”. Wrong. The moon does not become a crescent - it only looks like that, and even Mohammad could have seen that, if he had been observant: Within the circle indicated by the crescent, and that in reality is covered by the moon, you never see a star. Any god had known this. Muhammad obviously not. Who made the Quran?

Actually the moon does not mean much – according to the Quran it is mainly meant for reckoning time – and the sun, too, partly (see f. ex. 2/189 above).

***027 67/5: “And We (Allah*) have (from of old), adorned the lowest heaven with Lamps (Stars*) - - -”. The Quran’s picture of cosmos is taken from Greek and/or Persian astronomy, and as any secondary school child not blinded by religious indoctrination can see; it is much wrong. For one thing the heavens have to be made from something material to make possible fixing the stars to one of them. Besides: From Greek etc. astronomy we know that the planets, stars, sun and moon were fixed to different heavens. As the stars according to the Quran is fixed to the lowest, they have to be lower than the moon – this also is confirmed by the fact that the Quran places the moon between heavens. But what happens if you try to place say Betelgeuse or even Helios - our sun - below Luna - our moon? Answer not necessary. Except: Who made the Quran?

Further: Our rockets cannot go too high - they will collide with the material heavens!!! Muhammad said the Quran is perfect and without mistakes or flaws. The Quran says the Quran is perfect and without mistakes or flaws. Islam says the Quran is perfect and without mistakes or flaws. Muslims say the Quran is perfect and without mistakes or flaws. All of them says the Quran is perfect and without mistakes or flaws because Allah sent down the copy of a book he had made or which had existed forever - a book which is the revered “Mother Book” (f. ex. 13/39 - 43/4) in the heaven of Allah - and an omniscient god can neither make mistakes nor revere texts containing lots of mistakes, flaws and hallmarks of cheats and deceivers. Also see 67/3 and 67/5b just below.

BUT WHAT DO ALL THOSE WORDS HELP WHEN THE MISTAKES AND THE FLAWS ARE THERE ANYHOW? AND WHEN ISLAM TELLS THAT THE TOTAL LACK OF ANY MISTAKE IN THE QURAN PROVES IT IS FROM ALLAH, WHAT THEN DO MEGA BLUNDERS LIKE THIS PROVE?

028 71/16: “And made the moon a lamp in their (the heavens’) midst - - -.” The moon is not in the midst of the 7 heavens (= somewhere among the stars or actually above them, as the

stars are fastened to the lowest heaven) of Muhammad. Any even baby god had known, Muhammad not. Who made the Quran?

**029 76/13: “- - - (the moon’s) excessive cold.” The moon often is up at night. Clear nights - when you can see the moon - often are very cold in deserts like in Arabia. But the cold is not because of the moon - that is just a coincidence. It is cold because Earth radiates more of its heat to space when the sky is clear - something Muhammad could not know, but any god had known it. Who composed the Quran?

8. How long time did the creation take?

030 11/7: “He (Allah*) it is Who created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth in six days”. Once more: It took a lot of more time. And any god knows that - but Muhammad did not. (Muslims sometimes say that the Arab word for day, also may mean aeon, but as we have found this translation of the word in no quality book or heard it from any educated person, this seems to be an obvious try to explain this blunder away). Also see 41/9-12 below and the mega mistakes.

That it took 6 days, is mentioned at least in 10/3 – 11/7 – 25/59 – 32/4 – 50/38 - 57/4. But:

***031 41/9-12: “(Allah*) created the earth in two Days - - -. He set on the (earth) mountains standing firm high above it, and bestowed blessings on the earth, and measured therein all things to give them (living entities*) nourishment in due proportions, in four Days - - - (and*) So he completed them (the sky*) as seven firmaments, in two Days - - -”. This makes 8 days - very inconsistent and very wrong compared to what the Quran normally says: 6 days. (Not to mention the 13.7 billion years science has found out - or 4.57 billion years for Earth - or hundreds of millions of years till it got the first primitive life). One more contradiction. We have been told we have to be very stupid who does not understand that the two first days are included in the next four. We admit that is the only way Islam can try to “explain” this away - but that very clearly is not what the text says. (“The Message of the Quran has “doctored” the Quran a little (at least in the Swedish translation) to make the “explanation” not quite so dumb - but only “not quite so”, as Yusuf Ali’s translation is very clear).

That means that everything was made in 6 or 8 days – a small internal contradiction. But nothing compared with the contradiction reality makes: 13.7 billion years.

9. And what will be the end of the physical heaven?

032 73/18: “- - - the sky will be cleft asunder - - -.” How can vacuum be cleft asunder? (Also see 69/16 – 76/9 – 82/1 – 84/1.)

**033 75/8+9: “(The day when*) the moon is buried in darkness, And the sun and the moon are joined together - - -”. This is physically impossible the first some 5 billion years. And the day it may become possible, the Paradise as described in the Quran is not longer possible. Because - and not least: That day the moon will not be buried in darkness, but in intense light - and a Day of Doom and Paradise like described in the Quran will be impossible. Because that day both the Earth and the moon are buried inside the sun - if that happens (science is not quite sure the sun will balloon enough). But in any case the hugely swollen sun will make any place inside Jupiter too hot for a paradise on Earth or in the heaven over/around Earth - the Quran places Paradise there under a benign and everlasting sun. Also everlasting will be impossible and wrong, because science tells that in some 5 billion years the Earth either is

inside the giant swollen, red (not yellow any more) sun, and sporting ca. 3000 centigrades, or just above the then giant star and with a temperature on the Earth of some 2000+ degrees centigrade - hotter than in the flames of the Muslim Hell.

034 78/1: “And the heavens (plural and wrong*) shall be opened as if there were doors - - -”. There is nothing to open in open space.

035 81/2: “When (at the Last Day*) the stars (here it is talk about the real stars, not shooting stars*) fall (on Earth*), loosing their lustre - - -”. The stars cannot fall on earth - never according to science. Theoretically Earth can fall into the sun – and may do so in 5 billion years time – but not visa versa (it will be the Earth that moves, not the sun). And “loosing their lustre”? – it takes millions and billions of years for a star/sun to cool so much that it stops radiating light.

036 81/1+ 4 + 5: “When the sun (with its spacious light) is folded up (Muhammad seems to believe the sun is a flat disk that can be folded up) - - - the she-camels, 10 months with young, are left untended (and*) When the wild beasts are herded together (in human habitations) - - -”. In some 5 billion years the sun will become a red giant, according to science. The Earth will either be swallowed by it or circle just above its surface. If Earth survives, it will then have a surface temperature of some 2000+ C - and all camels, wild beasts and humans will be gone billions of years before, and the Earth will only be dry cinder. From then it will take many billions of years before the sun becomes dark (it will shrink to become a white dwarf and keep shining). Very wrong time factor. Any god had known.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 11 (= II-1-3-11)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS ABOUT EARTH IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents in this Section:

1. The Muslim Universe.
2. The creation of the Earth.
3. Details about the creation of the Earth and of making it liveable.

1. The Islamic universe.

According to the Quran the “everything” is like this:

On top of all - above the 7. heaven Allah has his residence.

Seven heavens above the Earth – one on top of the other.

The heavens are resting on the Earth by means of invisible pillars.

In between the heavens are the sun (?) and the moon.

The sun is a flat disk (if not it cannot be folded up like it does at the Day of Doom).

The 7 heavens contain Paradise - the higher heavens are for top Muslims.

The lower heaven is for "normal good" Muslims - split in at least 4 gardens = 4 qualities.

Under heavens, and fastened to the lowest one, are all the stars.

Allah often uses stars as shooting stars to chase away bad spirits spying on Heaven.

Under the heavens and stars are clouds – which Allah sometimes breaks to pieces (rain).

Under that the birds are kept up there by the will of Allah only.

On the Earth mountains are set down - or really dropped down.

The reason why Allah has set down mountains on Earth is to stabilize it.

According to Hadiths 2 of the rivers on Earth starts in Heaven - the Nile and Euphrates.

On the Earth also all kinds of beings live – created from clay or something or nothing.

Man - Adam - is created in 13 different ways if you count exactly and strictly.

All this is existing or living on our flat Earth.

There are 7 earths - according to Hadiths the others are under "our" Earth.

According to Hadiths Islam knows the names of the 7 earths.

The lower the earth the more Hell-like is the situation for its inhabitants, Hadiths tell.

And at the bottom Hell (?)

All this (possibly with the exception of Paradise and Hell) was created in 6 - or 8 - days.

Just like your teacher of geography told you? And who created this nonsense?

This following chapter is about the Earth:

2. The creation of the Earth:

Earth was – according to the Quran - created together with the heavens from something like smoke that Allah ordered to come together to one mass and then he split asunder. One part became our flat Earth (or really "earths" as there are 7 (65/12) – one on top of the other according to Hadiths) and the other became heaven, or actually the 7 material heavens that lies above Earth. (For more about this, see the previous chapter – about the creation of heaven).

***001 41/9-12: “(Allah*) created the earth in two Days - - -. He set on the (earth) mountains standing firm high above it - - -.” See 21/31 above.

***001 41/9-12: “(Allah*) created the earth in two Days - - -. He set on the (earth) mountains standing firm high above it, and bestowed blessings on the earth, and measured therein all things to give them (living beings*) nourishment in due proportions, in four Days - - - (and*) So he completed them (the sky*) as seven firmaments, in two Days - - -”. This makes 8 days - **very inconsistent and very wrong compared to what the Quran which all other places says: 6 days. (Not to mention the 4.57 billion years science has found out).** One more contradiction. We have been told we have to be very stupid who do not understand that the two first days are included in the next four. We admit that this is the only way Islam can try to “explain” this away - but this very clearly is not what the text says. (“The Message of the Quran” has “doctored” the Quran a little (at least in the Swedish translation) to make the “explanation” not quite so dumb - but only “not quite so”, as Yusuf Ali’s translation is very clear).

002 13/3: “And it is He (Allah) Who spread out the earth, - - -”. Similar things are said several places in the Quran - **the earth is flat and spread out. It may be round or roundish, but like a pancake, not like a sphere.** That was the geography of the Arabs at the time of Muhammad - though it hardly was the geography of any god. The shape of the Earth is not clearly expressed in the Quran – it was so obvious that it was flat, that it was no reason mentioning it. But each and every thing Earth is compared to, is to something flat – and science is not the slightest in doubt about that Muhammad’s Earth was flat. Muslim scholars tend to avoid the theme or to “explain” it in some way. (There is one translator to English that says “egg-shaped” – but it is a wrong translation (it talks about a nest of the ostrich on the flat ground, and the translator instead has “chosen” to talk about the egg in it). All the same he often is quoted by Muslims – some may honestly want to believe it, others know they are using “al-Taqiyya” – the lawful lie - that is an integrated part of Islam (but of none other of the big religions)). Also see 15/19 – 20/53 – 43/10 – 50/7 – 51/48 – 55/10 – 71/19 - 78/6 - 79/30 – 88/20 -91/6 which all compare Earth to something flat.

003 65/12: “Allah is He Who created seven Firmaments (wrong*) and of the earth a similar number”. Hadiths say "one above The other". According to Muhammad ibn ‘Abd Allah al-Kisa’i the last part means 7 layers down in the Earth according to one “explanation”. **But what the Quran really says is that there are 7 Earths – 7 flat Earths.** No matter if you believe the Quran/Hadith or one of the “explanations” for this somewhat special geology/astronomy, the names of the “Earths” from top and down are:

1. Ramaka,
2. Khalada,
3. Arqa,
4. Haraba,
5. Maltham,
6. Sijjin,
7. Ajiba.

According to f. ex Al-Bukhari they are placed one above the other – easy as the Quran tells Earth is/are flat. The lower down, the more devilish life on the respective layer – and if you are a big enough sinner, you can fall down through them all at the Day of Doom. It is not necessary to say it is all rubbish.

Also see 2/131 – 7/61 – 7/67 – 7/104 – 7/121 – 10/3 – 26/16 – 26/23 – 26/47 – 26/77 – 26/98 – 26/109 – 26/127 – 26/145 – 27/8 – 27/44 – 32/2 – 37/87 – 38/87 – 39/74 – 41/9 – 43/46 – 45/36 – 56/80 – 59/16 – 68/52 – 69/43 – 81/27 – 81/29 – 83/6 and many more. All these places mention “the worlds” in plural – f. ex. “Lord of the Worlds (Allah*)” – and reference to the 7 worlds. But beware of a stumbling stone here: The Quran also in 1 – 2 cases uses the expression “the Worlds” in another connection – Muslim scholars believe it in that or those couple of cases refers to “man and Jinn” or “man and woman”. In western languages it is not possible to see the difference, because our nouns only have singular and plural. But in Arab (and some other languages) it is different: They have singular, dualis (= 2), and plural. We are informed that in all such cases where the original Arab text has plural, it refers to the 7 non-existing Earths (well, one exists, even though it is not flat). But if the Arab text any place has the noun "Worlds" in dualis, it just that place is referring to something else - like "man and jinn".

004 21/31a: “And We (Allah*) have set on the earth mountains - - -”. The mountains are not “set on” the Earth - they have without exception “grown up”, no matter whether they are a result of tectonic or volcanic activity (the only 2 ways to make mountains). Any god knew this, but Muhammad not. Does this indicate who the real composer of the Quran is?

We may add that we are informed that the actual verb that is used in the original Arab text do not really mean “set down” but “drop down” and is the same verb that is used when one f. ex. is dropping an anchor. Mountains definitely are not dropped down. Also see 31/10 and 41/9-12.

005 21/31b: “And We (Allah) have set on the earth mountains standing firm, lest it should shake with them - - -”. Some experts on Islam and the Quran say this refers to that the disk that is the Earth (in the Quran the Earth is flat, but perhaps a round disk) might shake and become unstable, and because of this may slip away or tip around and drop everything - included humanity - off the Earth.

We refer to some Muslim scholars: Jalalan, (p. 437), Baydawi (p. 686), Tabari (p.589), and Zamakhshari (part 4, p. 381): They all tell that “if it was not for these unshakeable (!!*) mountains, the earth would slip away.” (!!!*) And Jalalan, Baydawi, and Zamakhshari all say that “- - - He (Allah*) placed unshakeable mountains (not more so then that they shake during earthquakes*) on Earth lest it tilts with people.” This obviously is what the Quran really meant, and this even more obviously was the meaning Muhammad told his followers, as it is what the learned Muslim scholars were sure was the truth.

But this “truth” is so ridiculous, that let us go on to the alternative explanation – the one that is in vogue in Islam now that they know the original “truth” was wrong: That the mountains hinder earth-quakes.

That is not correct. Well, it is so far from the truth, that it is not even wrong - it is sometimes the opposite of the truth:

1. According to f. ex. heavyweights like “New Scientist” and “Nature” mountains sometimes can CAUSE earthquakes: Variations in the amount of water (= weight) in big mountain lakes, dams, or glaciers sometimes causes minor and medium earthquakes. The same goes for heavy snow-falls in the mountains sometimes. Also when snow falls in the mountains, but no or little snow lower down (there it falls as rain that runs away) and of course nothing on the bottom of the sea, the changed weight equilibrium may cause earthquakes (there are more earthquakes in the north during winter than during summer).
2. It is well known today that mountains are made because of tectonic activity (that always causes earthquakes - though sometimes too feeble for human so feel) or volcanic activity that often causes earthquakes. Those are the only two ways by which mountains are made. That means that mountains in reality are made by earthquakes (or actually by the same mechanisms that make most earthquakes), it does not hinder such quakes. Any god had known this, but Muhammad not - this is new knowledge for humans. Then who composed the Quran?
3. Also volcanism is closely connected to earthquakes. Volcanism also in many cases is connected to tectonic activity - there thus are connections between the two mechanisms for mountain building - and for earthquakes. Tectonic activity makes it easier for lava to break through to the surface - as seen f. ex. in "the Ring of Fire" around the Pacific.

Also see 15/19 – 16/15 – 31/10 – 41/10

005 21/31c: “And We (Allah) have set on the earth mountains standing firm, lest it should shake with them - -”. The Quran indicates that the mountains are so big (compared to the Earth) that they are able to stabilize Earth. But compared to Earth mountains only are miniscule warths and hardly even that. Any god had known - Muhammad not. Who composed the Quran?

3. Details about the creation of Earth and of making it habitable:

006 78/7: “(Allah made*) the mountains as pegs - - -“. Some Muslims say: Hip, hurray – here is a proof for Muhammad and the Quran: The science talks about the “roots” of the mountains – the mountains are like pegs! How could Muhammad know?!” But mountain “roots” are not like pegs, but like bulges or distorted sheets (as mountains and mountain chains often are long and narrow – look at the Rocky Mountains – Andes chain f. ex.) or as distorted hemisphere. There exist deep pegs – or really sheets – pointing far down into the mantel (melted stone), but not in connection to mountains or mountain ranges really, though they may co-exist: They exist some places where large pieces of the Earth’s crust – tectonic plates – are forced downwards because of movements of the crust (tectonic movement). But that has nothing to do with mountains (even though mountains may be secondary results of the movement) – it is something entirely different.

007 21/31c: “- - - and We (Allah) have made therein (in the mountains) broad highways (between the mountains) - - -“. We honestly did not know Allah - or any other god - built highways. And here we could make a cheap joke (tell your congress-men (or similar) to ask Allah build your roads, instead of spending all that tax money on it). But we refrain from it.

Well, it would be possible for Muhammad to say - true or not true - that Allah showed the first travellers where to travel. But in no case Allah built the roads - or highways. Unless Islam really proves he did - but Islam never proves, they only tell or state or claim, even though they demand proofs from everyone else. Or they say it is said so in the Quran, and that proves it. But a book with that many mistakes has little value as a proof - and besides it is logically impossible to use the Quran to prove the Quran, as circular proofs are without value.

008 27/61: “(Allah*) made rivers in its (the Earth’s) midst - - -“. Wrong. The Quran believed the Earth was flat, and then there is a midst. But the Earth is a sphere, and the surface of a sphere has no midst.

009 39/5: “He (Allah*) created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth in true (proportions)”. The Earth f. ex. is flat - like a disk - in the Quran. And 7 heavens. Wrong. And just not “in true proportions”.

00a 55/17: “He (Allah*) is the Lord of the two Easts and the two Wests.” This cryptic sentence means the northmost and the southmost point of the sun during a year (the equinoxes) – in east and west. (We mention this because some Muslims try to find ways to use this sentence to “prove” that the Quran says the Earth is globular.)

011 18/90: “- - - he came to the rising of the sun - - -“. It is not physically possible to come to the place where the sun rises from the Earth as the Quran indicates, because it does not rise from the Earth - and if it had, both Alexander (this part of surah 18 is about Alexander the Great) and the Earth had been rather fried. Also see 18/86a, b, and c.

012 16/48b: “- - - Allah’s creations, (even) among (inanimate) things - how their very shadows turn round, from right to left - - -“. Wrong: This is not a general law – it only is true on the northern hemisphere. On the southern it is from left to right – and Islam pretends to be a universal religion. Even a mentally retarded god had known this – but Muhammad of course not. Who made the Quran?

013 30/48: “- - - then does He (Allah) spread them (the clouds*) in the sky as he wills, and break them into fragments, until thou seest raindrops issue from the midst thereof - - -”. It is not possible to get it more wrong than this. What happens is not that the clouds break apart to raindrops, but straight opposite: That droplets come together to form drops. No further comments. But ANY god had known better. Also see 16/65 – 25/49 – 30/24 – 36/33 – 50/11.

014 16/65a: “And Allah sends down rain from the skies, and gives therewith life to the earth after its death: - - -”. If rain is all it takes to make the earth flourish, it was not dead before the shower - there was lots of live seeds and may be roots.

015 16/65b: “And Allah sends down rain from the skies, and gives therewith life to the earth after its death: verily in this is a Sign for those who listen.” See 16/65a just above. It is some sign to use an invalid proof. The Quran often talks about Signs that shall document or prove Allah. The sorry thing is that each and every one of them, with the possible exception of some taken from the Bible, are without any value as proof for a god, and not one single proves anything about the existence of Allah. The two most frequent reasons are that they in reality are just statements taken from thin air, or they build on statements that are not proved. See separate chapter about this.

016 29/63: “And if indeed thou ask them (non-Muslims*) who it is that sends down rain from the sky - - - they will certainly reply, ‘Allah’”. Wrong. If they at all had mentioned a god, they had mentioned their own god – or the one of them that has to do with rain.

00b 22/65: Has Muhammad Ali made an “al-Taqiyya” (lawful lie) here? He says that Allah “withholds the sky (rain) from falling on the earth”. But according to “The Message of the Quran” the Arab text says that Allah withholds the heaven from falling down on Earth. Quite a scientific mistake in case. And also a dishonesty from Yusuf Ali in case if he changed the meaning from “the sky” to “rain”.

017 56/70: “- - - We (Allah*) could make it (all rain*) salt - - -”. Not without changing both natural and physical laws. Salt simply does not evaporate, and then as simply cannot rain down. If Islam insists it is true, they will have to prove it - words are cheap. (There is one extra comment here though: Droplets blown up from the sea may dry before they reach the sea again, and micro particles of salt may drift in the wind. But this effect is too small to give salty rain in any quantity.)

018 11/44: “O, earth swallow up thy water - - -”. This is from the big flood of Noah. For Earth to swallow that much water is physically impossible. If the flood was local like some Muslims like to tell, the water could go to the sea. But the fact that the Quran tells the ark ended at Mt. al-Judi (earlier Mt. Qardu according to Muhammad Asad: “The Message of the Quran”) in Syria, indicates that it was something really big – the water cannot reach high up on a tall mountain in Syria, unless the water level is roughly the same all over the world. It was and is impossible for Earth to swallow that amount of water.

019 31/29: “- - - Allah merges Night into Day and He merges Day into Night - - -”. This is one of the many natural phenomena Muhammad hijacked without any proof or documentation. It is totally void of any value as a proof for Allah unless it is proved that it really is Allah that spins the Earth in the light from the sun – the real reason for the alternation of day and night. Without such proofs, this just is another number of cheap, valueless words

that anyone can use free of charge for his own god or gods. Also see 3/27 – 24/44 -25/62 – 31/29 – 35/12 – 39/5 – 40/61 – 41/37 – 45/5 57/6.

020 36/4c: “- - - each (night and day*) swims along in (its own) orbit (according to Law).” Wrong. Night and day are constants - they just seem to move because Earth revolves in the sunshine. Any physicist will laugh from this – night has a fixed position determined by the sun, and only seems to move because of the spin of the Earth. It has not the faintest similarity to an orbit. Any god knows this.

It is a nice extra touch to add that they swim along in orbits “according to Law”.

021 91/4: “By the Night as it conceals it (the sun*)”. The night does not conceal the sun - there is night because the Earth makes a shade. It is 180 degrees different from what Muhammad told: There is night not because the night conceals the sun, but because Earth does so. Any god knew that. Besides: The night simply is lack of sunlight – it is physically impossible for the night to conceal the sun. Any god had known that, too. Then who made the Quran? Also see 7/54 – 13/3 – 92/1.

**022 45/5: “And the alternation of the Night and Day - - - are Signs for those who are wise”. Not unless Islam proves it is Allah who alternates night and day. They may say that Allah made the physical laws - but then they will have to prove that - - - “strong claims demand strong proofs and words are cheap”. And of course they will have to compete with all other religions trying to say and do the same, with the same cheap words. Words are cheap as long as you do not have to prove them - and very little in the Quran is proved.

***In Islam the educated ones in reality knows nothing is proved (for humans to prove a god is impossible - only a god can do that, and Allah never proved anything, and Muhammad only gave fast-talk, in spite of serious requests). The defence is that “any intelligent person can see from the texts in the Quran that they have to be sent down by an omniscient god” (!!). All the mistakes and all the invalid “proofs” etc. disapprove this. The second line of defence is that it is “primitive” thinking to have to rely on proofs!!! (F. ex. in “The Message of the Quran” that on top of all is “certified” by a top university - Al-Ahzar in Cairo). **The reality is the 180-degree opposite: It is primitive - and naïve - thinking to accept so - and so often - obviously wrong and unlikely statements without some sorts of proofs.**

023 36/40: “- - - nor can the Night outstrip (be longer than*) the Day - - -”. Wrong. At high latitudes the night always are longer than the days in winter. A little past the Arctic Circles (a little past because of refraction – bending of light in the atmosphere) the night even lasts 24 hours a day for a shorter or longer time each year – for how long depends on the latitude. **The Quran has a strong tendency to pick natural phenomena and tell they prove or are signs for Allah, without first proving that Allah really is the reason for them. For one thing such “proofs” are entirely invalid as they only rests on unproven claims. For another: It each time comes to our minds that (trying) to use invalid statements and “proofs” is a hallmark for cheats and swindlers. And for an ironic third: Sometimes the “proofs” even turns out to be really laughingly wrong - in a book claiming to come from an omniscient god.

00c 6/96: “He (Allah*) makes the night for rest and tranquillity - - -”. One more natural phenomenon (see 36/40b just above) that Muhammad claimed for his god – and as always without a single proof. But the main thing just here is that sleep is not a prerequisite for life –

on the contrary sleep is an adoptions made by life to the fact that it is dark parts of the time. Simply wrong.

024 32/7: “He (Allah*) Who made everything which He created most Good - - “. Wrong. We could have had better resistance concerning illnesses, our bodies could have been able to make more of the vitamins themselves, our brain could have been better – f.ex. ability to think about 2-3 things at a time, or learning more easily – just to mention a few points. Good, but far from most good.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 12 (= II-1-3-12)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS ABOUT LIFE (MAN AND OTHER LIVING BEINGS) IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents in this Section:

- 1. Creation of life on Earth according to the Quran**
- 2. The propagation of man.**
- 3. Life on Earth.**

Creation of life on Earth according to the Quran:

001 3/59: “He (Allah) created him (Adam*) from dust, - - -”. The Quran tells about many ways man was created - 13 different if you are strict, 5 - 7 if you are less strict. Only 1 can be true, as man (Adam) was created only once. Actually all of them are wrong as man developed from earlier primates.

Some Muslims take pride in that archaeologists has found that the human race has passed through so-called bottlenecks, and that all may have one “mother” in common - the archaeological Eve - and one common “father” - the less known archaeological Adam. What not a single of them has ever mentioned as far as we have heard, is the fact that this “Eve” lived some 160000 to 200000 years ago, whereas the corresponding “Adam” lived much later - may be as late as 60000 years ago, Eve in Africa, Adam most likely in Asia (perhaps near the Caspian Sea). (And once more; actually the Adam from the Bible and the Quran most likely never existed - man developed from a primate, he was not created into sudden existence). See also 6/2.

***002 6/2: “He (Allah*) it is Who created you from clay, - - -”. This is one of the many ways man (Adam) was created according to the Quran - even if Adam was created only once, according to that book. He was created in these ways:

a. From clay:	6/2	7/12	17/61	32/7	38/71	38/76.
b. From sounding clay:	15/26	15/28	15/33.			
c. From ringing clay:	55/64					
d. From sticky clay:	37/11					
e. From essence of clay:	23/12					
f. From mud:	15/26	15/28	15/33.			
g. From dust:	3/59	22/5	35/11	40/67.		
h. From earth:	20/55					
i. From a clot of congealed blood:	96/2					
j. From semen:#	16/4	75/37	76/2	80/19.		
k. From nothing:	19/9	19/67.				
l. From water:	21/30	24/45	25/54.			
m. From base material:	70/39.					

#(It is not told where the semen came from).

Mostly when the book talks about semen, it is in connection with (making) children, but here it is clear from the way the words are used, that it concerns the creation of man. But also children are not made from semen - it only is 50% of the truth. A child is made from semen + an egg cell, but an egg cell is so small, that Muhammad did not know about it - human eggs can hardly be seen in the blood and gore in a carcass or a slaughtered animal. Actually at the time of Muhammad one did not know how conception happened - one theory was that semen was a seed that grew when placed in a woman - though far from each time. Strangely this is how the Quran explains it. Any god had known better.

Strictly speaking all the different ways of creating man/Adam means that the Quran tells that man/Adam was created in 13 different ways, even if Adam was created only once - and according to science not even that. If one lump similar “creations” together, there still remains

at least 5-7 different creations. Only one can be right (as Adam as mentioned was created only once according to the Quran - and to the Bible) - and the irony is that science long since has shown that all alternatives are wrong, as man as said evolved from a prehistoric primate.

Some Muslims explain that Adam was created from a little clay, a little dust, a little earth, a little blood, a little semen, a little nothing and some water. But that is far from what the Quran tells - and even if it were true story of the Quran, it is wrong, as man evolved from prehistoric beings. And where in a man do you find clay, etc?

003 15/26: “We (Allah*) created man from sounding clay, - - -“. Flatly wrong. See 6/2.

004 15/28: “I (Allah*) am about to create man, from - - - mud - - -“. Wrong. See 6/2.

005 16/4: “He (Allah*) has created man (the word “man” used like this, means the human race = in this case Adam*) from a sperm-drop - - -“. Wrong. Even if one really should mean not Adam but men generally speAKING, it is wrong. A sperm-drop is just half the explanation - also an egg cell is necessary. But Muhammad did not know that. (Human egg cells are too small to be seen with eyes only when it is lying in human tissue, blood and gore). But any god had known. Also see 6/2.

00a 16/5: “And cattle He (Allah*) has created for you (men) - - -“. Hardly. Cattle and their progenitors existed for may be millions of years. Man only after long aeons found ways of utilizing them some 15000 years ago.

00b 16/8: “And (He (Allah*) has created horses, mules, and donkeys for you to ride and use for show - - -“. See 16/5 above.

006 20/55: “From the (earth) did We (Allah*) create you - - -“. Wrong. Man was not created from earth. See also 6/2.

007 21/30: “We (Allah) made from water every living thing.” Wrong - the living things were not made from water. Some Muslims say modern science proves the Quran here, as science tells life started in water. But life just started in water, it was not made from water - there is a huge distinction there. The really bad thing here, is that this incorrect information also is told by well educated Muslims – f. ex. it is thoroughly explained in comment 38 to 21/30 in “The Meaning of the Quran” – by Muslims that have so much education and knowledge that they know very well the difference between to be made in water and be made from water. How reliable is then other things they claim or “explain”? See also 6/2. We may add that this is the only place in the Quran where – possibly – also the “creation” of plants is included, this in spite of that plants are the basis for all animal and human life.

008 21/56: “- - - He (Allah) Who created them (man*) (from nothing): and I (Abraham) am a witness to this (truth)”. Wrong even according to the Quran, as the Quran tells Man - Adam - was created from this and that. And on top of that: – man was made from something, though not created, as he developed from earlier primates. This really is an unintended joke: It is told that Allah did something that is not true - and Abraham witnesses that it is the truth, and this even if he lived millions of years later! (Some 6 million years or a bit more after the first proto human, and may be 200000 after the first Homo Sapiens). Some proof for Allah! Is it possible that Allah himself has sent down this mistaken "proof"? **But it does tell something about proofs in the Quran – and from Muslims.** Also see 6/2.

009 23/12: “Man We (Allah*) did create from a quintessence (of clay)”. We have never understood what a quintessence of clay is, but it is absolutely sure it is wrong: For one thing man was not created - according to science he developed from earlier primates. For another thing - even if one had accepted Islam’s statement that man is created, Adam in no way could have been created in many ways - see 6/2. And for a third thing: Man is not created from only one or a few minerals like in clay.

*010 24/45: “And Allah has created every animal from water”. Simply and obviously wrong. See 6/2. Some Muslims try to say that science has proved this verse (+ two others - 21/30 and 24/54, see them) as science has shown that life started in water. But it is an enormous difference between “from” water and “in” water. No place in the Quran there is even a whisper about that life was created in water, only from. Wrong simply.

011 30/20: “Among His (Allah’s) Signs is this, that He created you from dust - - -”. Wrong. Man was not created from dust - really he was not created at all according to science. See 6/2. **There is an extra irony in the fact that the Quran uses a piece of wrong information to “prove” Allah.** Contradiction of reality - and of the Quran, as the book also tells Adam was created many different ways.

012 37/11: “Them (here it is not clearly said what beings, but as Jinns are made from fire, it must be humans*) have We (Allah*) created out of sticky clay”. Wrong. For one thing science tells that man is not created - he evolved. For another thing: Not in any case is he made out of clay. See 6/2.

013 38/71: “I (Allah*) am about to create man from clay:” Man according to science was not created, but developed from earlier primates - and not created from clay. See 6/2.

014 39/6: “He (Allah) sent down for you eight head of cattle in pairs - - -”. From other places in the Quran we know the cattle were: 2 cows, 2 sheep, 2 goats, 2 camels = 4 pairs = 8 heads. That is wrong – yak, water buffalo, lama, reindeer, and Indian elephant and perhaps more are forgotten + pigs (also created by Allah according to Islam, but for some reason that nobody knows taboo for Jews and Muslims – the meat is tasty and of high quality - - - but an abomination according to the Quran, without any explanation. There are theories that it is because pig is a dirty animal – but in the wild they are not. Another theory is the danger of trichinosis – but that is easy to check for. Yet another is that the pig eats the same kind of food as people, and therefore became too “expensive” for many societies. But nobody really knows and the Quran does not explain). Besides cattle were not created or “sent down”, but developed. Any god knew this – Muhammad not. Who made the Quran?

015 41/10: “He set on the (earth) mountains standing firm - - - - and measured therein all things to give them (animals or humans?*) nourishment in due proportions, in 4 days - - -”. **Wrong. That took millions of years - only the cooling down of the Earth to make any kind of life possible, took at least 300 million years.** And the development from the first life to the first "real" animal took some 2.5 - 3.5 billion years (science has believed it took something like one billion years or more before the first primitive life happened, but these last few years they have found that may be it happened a bit earlier). The first "real" animals came in late Pre-Cambrium, in the periode some 500 – 600 million years ago that a few years ago was named Ediacarian. (And then animal life “exploded” during the next period named Cambrium.)

016 51/49: “And of every thing We (Allah) have created pairs - - -”. **Very wrong. This only goes for multi-cellular beings, and not even for all of them** – among primitive animals and even up to reptiles and fish you find some kinds that propagate asexually, and thus do not make pairs. Uni-cellular beings are not in pairs, and there are by far many more of them both in species and in total numbers. Any god had known – Muhammad not. Who made the Quran? Also see 36/36 – 43/21.

017 55/14: “He (Allah) created man from ringing clay like unto pottery”. Wrong - but somewhat funny. See 6/2.

018 64/2: “- - - it is He (Allah*) Who has created you - - -”. According to science man was not created, but evolved from an earlier primate. At least man cannot have been created in any of the 13 different ways in which the Quran tells the single person Adam was created - see 6/2 + 38/75 + 55/3. Finally: Islam and the Quran only offers a claim that any religion and any person can make for any god they like as long as they have to prove nothing. Lose claims without proofs can be the basis for belief - even for strong belief - but they are without value as basis for knowledge as long as they are not proved. This especially is so as it is an extremely serious matter if there really is a god somewhere, and this god is not Allah. If the god is not Allah, and at the same time Muslims have been denied the possibility to search for this possible god by blind belief forced on them by unproved claims anyone can use free of charge about their god(s) and by glorification of blind belief - well, then they are in for a rude waking up if there is a next life.

019 70/39: “For We (Allah*) have created them (humans*) out of (base matter) they know! “Man was not created, not even from base matter; he evolved from an earlier primate. Any god had known. Also see 6/2.

**020 95/4: “We (Allah*) have indeed created man in the best of moulds”. Wrong - and it tells something that the Quran strengthens (with the word “indeed”) a statement - a loose one like so often - that is wrong. Man is far from made in the best of moulds. Many “construction details” could have been better - our ability to stand wear and tear f. ex., and our ability to see in the dark, and more. Also our ability to tackle illnesses is far from perfect - and if our brain could think of more than one thing at a time, we would be more efficient. Etc., etc., etc.

**021 96/2: “(Allah*) Created man, out of a (mere) clot of congealed blood - - -”. Neither man (see 6/2) nor a man (see 75/37) was made out of blood - congealed or not – even though some of the old Greeks believed so, and from whom Muhammad may have stolen this idea. But the start of a human or an animal - the zygote - is so small that it is not to be seen with your eyes only in the blood and gore in a carcass or in a slaughtered animal. Muhammad believed that the semen grew into a clot of blood that grew into a foetus. It may be worth mentioning that the statement in this verse is like Aristotle’s theory. But any god had known better. Who composed the Quran? And why do Muslims never mention that so many of the “facts” in the Quran are in accordance with (often wrong) Greek and Persian science at that time?

The propagation of man.

030 4/1a: “Reverence your Guardian-Lord, who created you (people*) - - - “. Man was not created, according to science, but developed from earlier primates.

022 4/1b: “- - - created you from a single person - - -“. Man could not come from a single person, there had to be at least 2 – male and female. But even if there was a couple, that would be too little – the DNA variety would be too small for the “tribe” to be viable. Man simply developed little by little – like the Quran – from earlier primates (as for the archaeological Eve and the archaeological Adam that science talks about, and that some Muslims disuse trying to “prove” something: See 3/59 – 6/2.)

024 22/5: “- - - then (Allah created you) out of sperm”. Wrong. Human beings are not created out of sperm, even though it is obvious that Muhammad believed so - the Quran tells sperm is planted in a woman and grows. Human beings in reality are made from 1 sperm cell + 1 egg cell, but it is likely Muhammad did not know - such an egg cell is too small to be seen in all the blood, intestines, and gore in an opened carcass, without magnification. His belief also corresponds to an old Greek theory. See also 6/2.

027 23/13: “Then We (Allah’) placed him (the future baby*) as (a drop of) sperm in a place of rest - - -“. Wrong. Muhammad believed sperm was a kind of seed that could grow to become a human being (and if the man climaxed first, it became a boy, whereas if the woman climaxed first it became a girl, according to him in Hadiths). The reality is that the sperm is not planted in a woman, but unifies with an egg cell and the resulting zygote then starts growing. Also see 53/45-46.

028 23/14a: “Then We (Allah) made the sperm into a clot of congealed blood - - -“. Wrong. And doubly wrong:

- I. The sperm is not made into a clot of congealed blood.
- II. Sperm (1 cell from it) combines with an egg cell and becomes a zygote.

Muhammad did not know better, as this was what one believed in Arabia at his time - without a microscope it is impossible to see exactly what happens. But a god had known. There is a saying that “the taste is the proof of the cake”, and this is tasty. Muhammad and the Quran and Islam and Muslims had and have very busy time to find “explanations” - some of them rather unlikely - to “explain” why Allah/Muhammad did not produce one single real proof for that a supernatural being was involved, even though many friends and as many foes asked sincerely for it. **But Allah did not even have to make the slightest miracle to prove his existence. All he had to do was to tell the truth in all these cases - like this one - where the Quran now are proved to be wrong. If Allah really did exist, and if he really was/is omniscient - why then did he make up so many wrongs?**

As it is now, all these wrong facts are incredibly strong indications and proofs for that it was not an omniscient god involved in creating the Quran - and what then about Islam? - is it a made up, false religion? Not to mention: What then in case to all humans having their way to a real religion (if such one exists) blocked by Islam?

029 23/14b: “- - - then We (Allah*) made a (foetus) lump; We made of that lump bones and clothed the bones with flesh”. Wrong - 100% wrong: Flesh is made first, and then bones develop inside the flesh of the foetus. It must be remarked that Muhammad’s tale about how a baby is made, is in accordance with old Greek medical beliefs – f. ex. the famous doctor

Galen and Aristotle – which was known in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad. Any god had known better. Then who made the Quran?

026 39/6: “He (Allah*) makes you, in the womb of your mothers, in stages, one after the other - - -.” According to old Greek medicine (Galen, Aristotle), the foetus developed in 4 stages. Modern medicine disagrees – it is continuous.

023 49/13: “- - - We (Allah*) created you (man*) from a single (pair) of male and female - - -”. Adam and Eve never were, according to science. Besides if everything had started with just one pair, the DNA-variety had been too small to make the group viable in the long run = man had died out after some generations. (Actually science says that to have enough DNA variety + have a reasonable safety margin against dangerous illnesses, a group of animals should consist of minimum some 2000 – and spread around some to reduce the impact of contagious illnesses – to be sure to be viable in the long run.) Also see 6/98 – 7/189 – 39/6.

025 86/6+7: “He (man*) is created from a drop (of sperm*) emitted - Proceeding from between the backbone and the ribs.” **Glorious: Muhammad did not even know that sperm comes from the testes - the “stones” - and placed the source inside the body and half a meter too high up!!!! It is in accordance with Greek medicine – Hippocrates f. ex. thought the sperm passed through the kidneys. Even a baby god knows better. Who composed the Quran? And what is Islam – and it’s Muslims - if the Quran is faked?**

*031 92/3: “By (the mystery of) the creation of male and female - - -”. No mystery for us, no mystery for a god - a large mystery for Muhammad. (According to Hadith he thought that if the woman climaxed first, it became a girl, but if the man was first, it became a boy – and boys of course were best.) Who made the Quran? Also see 18/37 – 32/8 – 35/11 – 40/67 – 75/37 – 77/20.

Life etc. on Earth:

043 3/83: “- - - all creatures (= angels, jinns, man and animals*) in the heavens and on the earth have, willing or unwilling (what about 2/256: “- no compulsion in religion –“?) bowed to His (Allah’s*) will (accepted Islam)”. Muslims will have to produce very strong proofs to make us believe that everything, included snails and flatworms and mosquitoes are Muslims.

035 7/163: “(Fish*) openly holding up their heads (above the water*) - - -.” It is not physically possible for fish free in water to hold their heads over the water – they can jump and they can touch the surface, but they cannot keep their heads above the surface. Marine mammals can, but not fish. Any god had known – but not the desert dweller Muhammad.

00c 7/166: Allah said to some “bad” Jewish people (according to the Quran): “Be ye apes - - -”. Hardly likely that they were transferred into apes.

041 13/13: “- - - the thunder repeateth His praises - - -”. Hardly – the thunder is just a natural and automatic reaction to the lightning (which again is natural and automatic reactions to electrical charges). Islam will have to prove that the thunder is prising Allah this way, in order to be believed.

042 13/15a: “Whatever beings there are in the heavens and in the earth do prostrate themselves to Allah (acknowledging subjection)”. As for in the Heaven, it is difficult to say

yes or no. But for the Earth: No non-Muslim ever prostrate themselves for Allah. The same goes for all animals, fishes and insects, etc.: None of them has ever been observed prostrating themselves for any god, Allah included – and for Allah it should be extra easy to observe, as he prefers 5 prayers with prostrations a day, some by day and some by night (even more easy to see if it is prostrations for Allah, as few animals, etc. are awake and active both day and night – waking up for prostrations during the time of normal sleep, should be easy to have confirmed). Islam has some heavy proofs to produce here to make this point in the Quran credible. Also see 2/116 – 6/38 – 16/49 – 17/44 – 21/20 – 22/18.

040 13/15b: “- - - so (prostrate themselves for Allah*) do their (the living beings*) shadows in the mornings and evenings”. Shadows are just lack of sunlight – and they for natural reasons are long and flat in the mornings and the evenings. Islam will have to prove that this result of the Earth’s spin in the sunshine makes the lack of sunlight some places consciously decide to prostrate “themselves” for a god. If no proofs are produced, this clearly is a fairy tale on an intellectual level fit for small children. Also see 16/48.

038 25/45a: “If he (Allah) willed, he could make it (the shadows) stationary!” The only way to do that is to stop Earth spinning. **Islam will have to prove that Allah is able to do that - especially since all the mistakes in the Quran gives serious and reasonable doubt about if he is omnipotent - and omniscient. If he exists.**

039 25/45b: “- - - He (Allah*) doth prolong the Shadow!” It is the turning of the Earth that prolongs shadows. Any god had known, but Muhammad not - it is "new" knowledge. Then who made the Quran? Not an omniscient god. And Allah is said to be omniscient - or is he?

037 33/4: “Allah has not made for any man two hearts in his (one) body - - -“. Wrong. This really has happened – like almost anything else in the complicated creation that is man.

We also will remark that sayings like “If Allah willed - - -” are frequent in the Quran. **The phrases are typical for some ones that have to boast to gloss over that they are not able to prove themselves** - you f. ex. often hear similar things from half bully children trying to impress others. If that is the case here, it is either Allah or Muhammad who frequently has to boast like that. (See separate chapter).

034 36/42: “And We (Allah*) have created for them (people*) similar (vessels) (similar to the ark*) - - -“. We have never heard that Allah built boats. Muslims tends to say Allah showed man how to build boats, but that is not what the Quran says: To create = to make.

033 43/10: “- - - and (Allah) has made for you roads - - -“. We have never heard about a road made by a god, except perhaps in fairy tales. The paths/roads in Arabia were so old that no one remembered the start of them, and then Muhammad could tell things like this.

032 43/11: “- - - We (Allah*) rise to life therewith (with rain*) a land that is dead; even so will ye be raised (from the dead) - - -“. The comparison is wrong - and a god had known it. In the first case the DNA is alive and well and ready to sprout. In a dead body everything is finished - also the DNA and all its possibility to go against entropy (a term from physics that can be said to be a measure for chaos and states of energy). There is critical difference in that. But then the Quran has a strong tendency to give Allah credit without any documentation for natural occurrences. Also see 2/164 – 7/57 – 35/9 – 41/39 – 43/11 - 45/5.

****036 67/19:** “None can hold them (the birds*) up except (Allah) - - -”. Wrong. What keeps the birds up, are the laws of aerodynamics. (Of course Muslims can use one of their favourite last resorts: Declare that Allah made those laws. But then they will have to prove that - not just use cheap words that any priest in any religion can use about any claimed god.) Also see 16/79.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 13 (= II-1-3-13)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS ABOUT HISTORY IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents in this Section:

1. Noah and the flood.
2. Some local Arab legends and/or fairy tales.
3. Abraham and Lot (Abraham’s nephew).
4. Joseph.
5. Moses, Pharaoh, and the Exodus.
6. Moses after Egypt.
7. Saul, David, and Solomon – the 3 first kings of Israel.
8. Alexander the Great (!!) aka Dhu’l Quarnayn.

This chapter is written chronologically, not in the succession of the Quranic verses. This to better show the progress of the stories.

1. Noah and the flood: (Date totally unknown, but perhaps some 2000 - 4000 BC (ca. 3200 BC?) - - - if it happened).

Noah is a well known name. May be he has existed, may be he just is a fairy tale, or perhaps he is the personification of the survivors of some forgotten extreme flood. In the Bible and in the Quran he was a religious man who got the order from his god to build an ark – some sort of a boat - and prepare for a big flood that was to come, because his god would root out all the ungodly people. (Legends about a big flood you find in many religions. There exist at least 175 different such legends).

**001 11/40: “We (Allah*) said (to Noah*): ‘embark therein (the ark*), of each kind (of animals*) two - male and female, and your family - -’”. The Quran says nothing about the size of the ark. But the Bible according to the scientific magazine Lexicon says nearly 200 m long, some 30 m wide and some 12 m high with 3 floors. That makes some 18000 square meters roughly speaking. (NIV tells 300 cubits long, 50 cubits wide and 30 cubits high = 140 m long, 23 m wide and 13.5 m high. With 3 floors that means some 9600 sq. m. only.) But there are much more than 10000 kinds of “normal” animals, nearly 2000 kinds of birds, and at least 10 million kinds of insects and other insect-like animals, and easily a million other small animals – like slugs, worms, etc. There simply would not be enough space for so many, not to mention 2 of each. In addition it would be the question of food for all the animals. The Quran says nothing about how long time the voyage lasted, but according to the Bible it lasted more than one year. That would take one heck of a lot of food for so many animals - and how did they f. ex. store the meat for the carnivores, or live insects for some spiders, etc.? All that food would take up a lot of space - much more than the animals themselves. Impossible in that “small” boat. And on top of that, there was the question of special food for special animals - eucalyptus leaves for the koala bears f. ex. It further is likely that Noah’s home was in the south of Iraq (if he ever existed) according to science - - - and then there is the question where they found f. ex. reindeer, polar bears, caribous, condors, lamas, pumas, kangaroos, orang-utans etc., etc., just to mention a few. And there is the question on who were feeding and giving water to all these animals, not to mention who kept it all clean - the family of Noah after all was rather small (8 according to the Bible).

Also the laws of nature tell that one pair of each would not be enough to establish all the animal races - no DNA variety. And the DNA variety science has found, talks about very different lengths of time since most animal groups were just a few ones. The story simply is not true. There is a small chance that a man like Noah once lived and survived a flood big enough for him to seem to cover the entire world - f. ex. he survived with his family and his cattle, etc. Science knows about one or two really huge floods at roughly the right time. But everything is in an after all much smaller scale. And not like told by a presumed omiscient god in the Quran (or for that case in the Bible).

Muslims try to reduce the problems by telling that Noah only should bring two of each of domesticated cattle - but that is not what the Quran says, and besides: How did all the other animals survive if the flood was universal? But they further tell that it just was a big, but regional flood - that is not said in the Quran, but it also is not said it was worldwide (but see the pointabout Mt. Al-Judi below). But then some make a real blunder - or try cheating - because what follows below is not well known by most people, though well known to the more educated ones, and thus cheating of the “rank and file” is easy: F. ex. “The Message of the Quran”, certified by a top Muslim university (Al-Ahzar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy, Cairo) tells:

1. The flood must have been the filling up of the Mediterranean Sea - without mentioning that this happened (when the Gibraltar Strait opened) some 4 - 5 million years ago or more, and very long before modern man existed.
2. All this also without mentioning that the filling up took many years - may be as much as 100 or more - as the opening was small in the beginning and the stream also slowed down long before the basin was full. Near what is now Israel and Egypt the water rose just one or some meters a year - no terribly rough flooding, like described in the Quran (f. ex. 11/42).
3. How could the slow filling up of that sea give a flash flood in what is now Iraq, where this is supposed to have happened? - in or near south Iraq somewhere.
4. May be they mix it up with the filling up of the Black Sea (also mentioned by Muslims)? But also that took time (months or a few years) - and was far from Iraq. That happened after the last ice age that stopped 10000 - may be as late as under a warm period (even less ice) 5700 - years ago. The time may be ok, but then either Noah or the story in case has travelled - and also this filling up cannot explain the weather and the waves.
5. Finally there is the extreme, but little known flood in Mesopotamia - now approximately Iraq - some 5200 years ago. It may easily explain the flood itself, and if this was an extraordinary "ordinary" flood, it also may explain the weather. But even if big, it was a local happening. (But then the Quran does not directly claim it was covering the entire world - but on the other hand see the point about Mt. Al-Judi just below).
6. Well, there is one more possibility that just - just - may be a possibility. In China there is a tale about a huge flood late in the reign of Empress Nu Wa, and a corresponding one in India that connects to a very rare planet constellation. This alignment of the planets happened 10. May 2807 BC, and if everything is true the big flood started that date - may be connected to a not documented landing of an asteroid in the Indian Ocean. But the theory is very speculative. (Popular scientific magazine "Discover" 11/2007).

7. And another conundrum that does not fit the Muslim “explanations” about a “local” flood: The Quran claims the ark stranded on a mountain in Syria (Mount al-Judi – earlier named Qardu (11/44) - not Ararat in Turkey). For the ark to have stranded on a high mountain, the flood had to be universal – if not the water had streamed away to empty, lower places – elementary knowledge of physics.

For a university to back a bluff like the flood = filling up the Mediterranean Sea, etc. is dishonest and tells something, especially when they "forget" 11/44 and other verses and Mt. al-Judi which is a well known "fact" to religiously educated Muslims - the professors at a university have to know such facts, and know it is wrong, or at least check if they were not sure. The way the Mediterranean was filled up is a well known fact among also Muslims with relevant education, included professors at the big universities. Similar claims in 23/27 – 11/40.

Then the god started his punishment of the sinners:

002 54/11: “So We (Allah*) opened the gates of Heaven (here = the religiously defined Heaven as it f. ex. is written with capital “H”, not a substitute word for the sky or clouds*) with water pouring forth”. But the material heavens that Muhammad believed in, did not exist - and thus could not contain water.

003 11/42: “So the Ark floated with them on waves (towering) like mountains, and Noah called out to his son (who was at the shore) - - -”. When a boat is floating among waves like mountains, it is not possible to communicate with anyone ashore. Muhammad, living in a desert, may not have known. But no god had made a mistake like this - telling they could communicate. That kind of waves are too noisy, and so is the wind that normally accompanies that kind of seas. Dramatic fairy tale. Also see 11/43 below.

This is another proof for that the dramatic scenarios in the Quran cannot be explained with the filling of the Mediterranean or the Black Sea: Even an enormous waterfall does not produce waves “like mountains” - a relatively stable stream of water does not do that except close to the waterfall, and as they are reduced proportionally to the distance they run – double distance = half the energy per meter wave front, because they spread out in a semi circle (NB: This does not go for windblown waves with linear wave fronts, and definitely not if the wind is still blowing and transferring energy to the waves – only where the source of the waves is a “point” like a waterfall – or a stone thrown into the water). And a waterfall – no matter how big - never produce a terrible storm (mentioned other places).

004 11/43: “The son (of Noah*) replied - - -”. In that kind of weather neither a call nor a reply was possible – the roaring of the wind and the crashing of the waves are far too noisy. In addition you have the effect of the wind “blowing away” the sound of your voice. Also see 11/42 just above.

005 7/64: “- - - We (Allah*) overwhelmed in the Flood those who rejected Our Signs”. And everybody except those in the Ark were drowned. Well, Islam claims quite correctly that the

Quran does not say that the Big Flood covered all the Earth - in spite of the claim that the ark according to Islam ended high up on a mountain (Mt. Al-Jedi in Syria). But when they try to explain the Flood as described in the Quran, they not only stumble, but fall head-over-heel down a full hill. This especially as some of the facts they twist, are so well known among learned people, that they obviously know they are making up things and conclusions to cheat naïve and/or not learned people - - - some small al-taqiyyas and/or kitmans? (lawful lies and half-truths) – that is lawful (yes, even an obligation if necessary) in promoting and/or defending the religion, which is much more essential than to find out what is the truth. See 11/40 – 11/42 and 11/43 above.

006 21/76: “We (Allah*) - - - delivered him (Noah*) and his family from great distress (the big flood*)”. Wrong: The Quran is very clear on that one of his sons (he just had 3 - Shem, Ham and Japheth – according to the Bible (1. Mos. 9/18)) drowned in the flood. Mistake and solid contradiction. Similar claim in 37/76. Similar claim in 37/76.

Thus the big flood was - - -

007 36/41b: “And a Sign for them (humans) is that We (Allah*) bore their race (through the Flood) in the loaded Ark - - -”. No wooden boat could possibly carry the load the Quran says: Noah + his people + 2 of every animal + food and fodder. Even Muslims today see that this is very wrong, and try to explain it away by saying that only domesticated animals was meant, but that is not what the Quran tells. Also: Islam tells that the ark stranded on a mountain in Syria – Mount Al-Djudi (not Ararat in Turkey) - but if the water was that high, where did the other animals survive? - and for that long? (The Quran does not specify, but the Bible says some 16 months).

2. Some local Arab legends and/or fairy tales:

Muhammad also used old Arab tales and other local things in his preaching. Or he made up (?) things. Muhammad – or actually the one who made the Quran if it was someone or something other than Muhammad - did not show much creative fantasy in composing stories, but the necessary twists to make it fit his teachings had to be done. Mostly the stories simply were “borrowed” from the well known tales told in the long evenings/nights for pass-time, often told by more or less professional tale tellers – both are normal occasions in primitive societies without books or papers or radio or other media.

*008 10/19: “Mankind was but one nation - - -”. Mankind never was but one nation. Perhaps once one tribe or a few small tribes, but never one nation, as some Muslims try to explain - and that in case was some 160000 - 200000 years ago (there seems to have been a “bottle neck” when man nearly died out at that time). You also sometimes meet Muslims telling in triumph that science has proved the Quran, because now they have found the prehistoric Eve and the prehistoric Adam - - - without mentioning that the prehistoric so-called “Eve” lived the above mentioned some 160000 - 200000 years ago (the number varies some) in Africa, whereas the prehistoric “Adam” lived some 60000 - 70000 (64000?) years ago only, and not unlikely south of the Caspian Sea in Asia. With “Eve” dead 100000 years before “Adam” was born - and a long distance off - it is difficult to see how they can be the “parents” of man, and thus prove the Quran.

*009 10/47: “To every people (was sent) a Messenger”. Hadith mention 124000 messengers or prophets. **There is not one single trace from prophets teaching monotheism (except in Israel and a couple of other special cases), not to mention Islam, in the old times, neither**

in archaeology, art, literature, folk tales, nor in religions. Some of them should have left small traces at least, when they were so many. This verse is not true.

010 35/44: “Do they (people*) not travel through the earth, and see what was the End of those before them - - -?” In and around Arabia there were ruins here and there. Muhammad claimed – as normal without any documentation as only non-Muslims need to prove anything – that each and every one of them was a result of Allah’s anger because of disbelief in or sins against Islam. Wrong. In a dry and harsh land inhabited by warring tribes there were plenty of other reasons for empty houses and ruins.

Then we come to some named tribes from Arab legends that frequently are mentioned in the Quran. They all were destroyed because they sinned against Allah and Islam according to the Quran:

011 54/19: “For We (Allah*) sent against them (the people of Ad*) a furious wind, on a Day of violent Disaster”. Well, something is wrong – and contradicting – as here it is said one day, in 41/16 it is said (several) days and in 69/7 is said 6 nights and 7 days.

00a 7/73: Connected to the legend about the tribe Thamud, you time and again are told in the Quran that the self-proclaimed prophet Salih brought them a camel and told it was a sign – a proof – from Allah. Like it is told in the Quran it gives absolutely no meaning – just a claim hanging in the famous thin air. How can a camel be a proof for a god in a country where there are 15 camels to a dozen?

But then we run across the explanation: This is taken from old Arab folklore – an old legend that everybody in Arabia knew at the time of Muhammad (but would an omniscient god who wanted to reach all the world, use an old fairy tale known only to Arabs – and in such a way that one does not understand if one does not know the rest of the story?)

Very briefly the legend runs like this: There once was a mountain cliff. Out from that solid cliff one day there came a camel. This camel then became a prophet for a god.

With such a background the camel was so special, that it was a sign for something – only that the Quran just told part of the story, because everybody there and at that time knew the rest. But as we asked: Would an omniscient god wanting to reach the entire world, tell just part of the story, when he knew most of the world would not understand the point? (But as expected; in modern times you find Muslims telling that it was not this camel, but without giving a credible alternative.)

012 11/67: “A (mighty) Blast overtook the wrongdoers (the people of Thamud*), and they lay prostrate (dead*) in their homes before the morning - - -.” Well, in 7/78 they were killed by an earth-quake and in 69/5 by a terrible storm (which is something different from a blast - a storm lasts for some time, a blast is over in a moment, like the blast from an explosion). Two of the alternatives must be wrong – simply one or two more contradiction. This even though the claimed absence of any contradiction is said in the Quran to prove that it is sent down from Allah. A small additional curiosity: The honest Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy at the famous elite Islamic university Al-Azhar University in Cairo has sanctified a change of this verse 69/5: The Swedish edition tells the Arab text says they were killed by an earthquake and the new English one says “by a terrible upheaval (of the earth).” Then quietly and nicely a contradiction in the timeless Quran has disappeared. **But does this tell anything**

about honesty in Islam? – or about a way of thinking in a case where all the possible next life is at stake, and the truth should be the absolute essential thing in order to find the real right way? Lying and cheating never is the truth – and why does Islam need such methods? AND CAN THE RIGHT RELIGION BE FOUND BY LIES? If lies are acceptable, then may be more is a lie - and remember the theory that may be Muhammad did not meet Gabriel, but Iblis (the devil) or some other dark forces disguised and pretending to be Gabriel, in which case all the stealing, harsh rules and blood in Islam is easily explained. Also see 7/78 just below.

013 7/78: “So the earthquake took them (the tribe of Thamud*) unawares, and they lay prostrate (dead*) in their homes in the morning”. Wrong. For one thing in 11/67 (see this just above) they were killed by a mighty blast and in 69/5 by a storm – one or two more of the contradictions that according to Islam do not exist in the Quran.

For another there never was an earthquake that killed absolutely everybody – nowhere on the whole Earth, and never. With the exception of in low quality high-rise buildings, it is a very serious earthquake that kills more than some 30% of the inhabitants.

014 7/91: “But an earthquake took them (Shu’ayb’s people, the Madyans*), and they lay prostrate (dead*) in their homes before the morning.” Wrong. An earthquake never kills 100%. See 7/78 just above.

3. Abraham and Lot (Abraham’s nephew):

(If Abraham is not only a legend, he lived 1800 – 2000 BC).

Abraham was the first of the 3 Jewish (?) patriarchs (the others were his son Isaac and Isaac’s son Jacob). He is not reckoned to be a prophet in the Bible.

00b 2/140: “- - - do ye know better than Allah?” (- about the old patriarchs, etc. of Israel.)

1. No – if Allah really exists and is omniscient and contacted Muhammad. All of which seems to be doubtful judging from all the mistakes, etc. in the Quran.
2. Perhaps – if Allah really exists, but is not omniscient, but contacted Muhammad – which Muhammad only claimed, never proved, even though it should be possible for Allah.
3. Yes, at least concerning the information in the Quran – if Allah really exists, but did not contact Muhammad. Modern science knows a lot more than Muhammad did – and are not going all out for power, etc. = more reliable.
4. Yes, definitely – if Allah does not exist and just was a fiction from a perhaps sick man (TLE?) building a platform of power.

00c 37/97: “They (people*) said, ‘Build him (Abraham) a furnace, and throw him into the blazing fire!’” You are free to believe this happened to Abraham – but beware that the story is

“borrowed” from a tale named “Midrash Rabbah” (Muhammad may also have been inspired by the story about Daniel and his friends in the OT).

00d 3/67: “Abraham was not a Jew nor yet a Christian - - -“. He definitely was no Christian, as he lived – if he ever lived - some 1800 – 2000 years too early. But it may be correct to call the forefather of all Jews a Jew. (We know the word did not exist at that time, but it is normal to use the word also for the people who later became the Jews.) The Arabs claim he also was their forefather and places him and even more so his son out of wedlock, Ishmael – and as normal for the Quran and for Islam without any proof or documentation – in Mecca dead in the centre of Islam - (by coincidence?) in spite of the fact that Ishmael and his descendants, whom they claim to derive from, according to the Bible “settled in the area from Havilah to Shur, near the border of Egypt as you go toward Asshur” (1. Mos. 25/18) = near the Red Sea and very far from Arabia. And NB: This part of the Bible is written more than 1000 years before there was any reason for the Jews not to place him in Arabia. Also science has long ago proved to well beyond any judicial definition of that word, that the Bible is not falsified – in stark contradiction to Islam’s strong claims proved by nothing about the opposite – so that this piece of information is unabridged since it originally was written.

Which raises the question: Are Muhammad and his Arabs really descendants from Abraham? At least they in case only are quarter breeds, as Ishmael’s mother, Hagar, was a slave from Egypt (1. Mos. 16/1), and also his wife (only one is mentioned) was from Egypt (also according to the Bible and written and unabridged since more than 1000 years before Muhammad – 1. Mos.21/20). Well, worse than that: Modern DNA analyses have shown that the pure Arab does not exist. Arabia is near a crossroad – caravans and merchants have passed through - - - and left babies behind now and then (remember that before Muhammad in Arabia sex and alcohol were “the two delightful things”). And Arab caravans and traders roamed wide – and now and then brought back brides from abroad. And finally the perhaps main reason for the diluted blood: The slaves. Literally millions of slaves – some 2/3 of them women – have through the times been brought to Arabia, both before and after Muhammad. And the women of the harems – do you think they were permitted to demand condoms? It is impossible to say there are not traces of DNA from Abraham in Arabs – perhaps from Jewish slave women even? But any scientist will say that the chances for finding much more DNA from Abraham (if he ever existed) in Jews than in Arabs are big, because the Jews mostly intermarrying because of the excluding religion.

00e 3/68: “Without doubt, among men, the nearest of kin to Abraham, are those who follow him - - -“. You do not get related to a man just because you are a follower. Besides see the second half of 3/67 just above.

00f 2/130: “- - - the religion of Abraham (= Islam*) - - -.” The Quran often claims that Islam = the religion of Abraham. But it always was and is only a claim – no proof, no documentation - not even a try to explain, except the undocumented and not proved claim that everyone that say something else are lying, and that other scriptures that science deems more reliable (not proven 100%, but far more likely to be true) are falsifications, even though science has shown that they at least are not falsified – may be not everything is true in the Bible, too, but science has clearly shown it is not falsified. (To prove it 100.0% of course is impossible – there always will be theoretical or made up possibilities. But it is proved at least 99.5% - far beyond any judicial definition of the word - and if Islam claims something else, they will have to produce proofs, not only claims that are not even based on a likely theory about how all the thousands of different manuscript shall have had so many chapters and

verses falsified in exactly the same way – spread over all the world one knew at that time. All that Islam offers, is a stubborn claim – not even a theory about how it should be possible to falsify everything, or about why all the thousands of old manuscripts that science know, shows it is not falsified. No proof. No documentation even though there exist thousands of documents. Only a stubborn claim based on nothing but a book with lots of mistakes dictated by a man with questionable moral and a lust for power to say the least of it. The claim is not proved to even 0,5% probability - only claims. Similar claim in f ex. just 2/135 below.

015 2/135: “- - - the Religion of Abraham, the True (religion*) - - -“. According to the Quran, Abraham was a Muslim. But looking at all the other mistakes and twisted logic and stories in the Quran – Islam will have to produce real proofs for that that was true. Also see 2/130 above. And may be 21/56c just below is an indication of how true it is?

016 21/56c: “- - - He (Allah) Who created them (man*) (from nothing): and I (Abraham) am a witness to this (truth)”. This really is an unintended joke. It is told that Allah did something that is contradicted even in the Quran - and Abraham witnesses that it is the truth, and this even if he lived millions of years later (The first proto humans lived at least 6 million years ago, Homo Sapiens is traced some 160000 – 200000 years back. If Abraham ever lived, he lived some 3800 - 4000 years ago)! Some proof for Allah. Is it possible that Allah himself has sent down this? But it does tell something about proofs in the Quran – and from Muslims. Also see 6/2.

*017 87/19: “The Books of Abraham - - -”. Abraham had no books according to science – and definitely not in plural. Besides a nomad of 4000 years ago, hardly knew how to read.

And then there was Lot:

018 11/92: “He (Lot*) said, 'O my people!’ Lot was an immigrant from far away (Ur in Chaldea in now south Iraq) - this according to both the Bible and the Quran. The people of Sodom and Gomorrah (near the Dead Sea in now west Jordan if they were situated near the east bank of that lake as indicated by Islam) were not Lot’s people. And both the Quran and the Bible shows there was distance between Lot and the locals – they definitely had not become his people. Similar claim in 7/80 – 11/93. Also see 26/161 just below.

019 26/161: “- - - their (the people of Sodom and Gomorrah*) brother Lot - - -“. Wrong. Lot was a stranger to the two towns, and it is very clear both from the Quran and the Bible that he did not mingle well with those locals. He was no “brother” to them – not even in the figurative meaning of the word. (The word here obviously is used to make Lot and the mentioned people fit the pattern the Quran claims is universal: That the prophets come from the people they are to teach. But here that is incorrect). Also see 11/92 just above and 27/56 – it is very clear Lot was no brother of theirs. (“Drive out the followers of Lut (Lot*) from our city - - -“.)

020 29/28: “Do you (men of Sodom and Gomorrah*) commit lewdness (homosexuality*), such as no people in Creation (ever) committed before you.” Wrong. Homosexuality was nothing new – it even exists among some “higher” animals, sometimes as a sign of dominance – and it is in the DNA of a minor part of humanity. If Islam stays on their claim that this was something “no people in humanity (ever) committed before”, they will have to prove it. Similar claim in 7/80

Lot got visitors, and the merry local men wanted to “taste” them – and they were too many for Lot to chase away. As a last desperate try, he said (also according to the Bible):

021 15/71: “There are my daughters (to marry)”. Here modesty has got the better of the Quran (or the translator). The men of Sodom or Gomorrah were not going for marriage - neither could a few daughters marry a lot of men. It is talk about sexual abuse. Most likely a dishonest translation – but in that case: How many other places in the Quran are explained dishonestly?

The next topic is the claim – and like always in the Quran and Islam only a claim based on little or nothing – that Abraham and Ishmael built the Kabah mosque in Mecca. Strictly speaking there is a contradiction here, as one place the Quran says they built the foundation, another place that they built the whole building.

022 22/26: “Behold, We (Allah) gave the site, to Abraham, of the (sacred) House (Kabah*) - -”. Abraham never visited Mecca. See 2/127 just below. It is a made up story to give Muhammad’s teaching more credence, and make it more interesting among Arabs.

**023 2/127: “And remember that Abraham and Ishmael raised the foundations of the House (Kabah*) (with this prayer): - - - “. Abraham never built the Kabah - and there are several reasons for that:

1. He was born in Ur in Chaldea (if he really existed) in what is now south Iraq. Together with his father, Tarah, he later travelled northwest up along the Euphrates valley to Karan in what is now north Iraq. Years later he continued south southwest to Kaanan and the town Sikem in what is now Israel (Sikem is north of Jerusalem. It is now named Nablus). That is to say he travelled along the so-called Fertile Crescent - the natural route when you travel with flocks of animals. The alternative was to take a shortcut through the Arab desert, but few of his numerous sheep and goats and cows would survive such a trip. He never visited Mecca on his way from Ur to Sikem. (Besides this was too early in the story - Ishmael was not born yet, and he is a part of the building of the Kabah according to the Quran).
2. Abraham then settled in the western part of Kaanan (now approximately Israel), whereas his nephew Lot settled in the Jordan valley further east. Later Abraham moved south to Negev. Negev today is most known for its desert, but not all was desert. All this is according to the Bible, but the Quran has no conflicting information, except that his father has another name. The point is that between

- Kaanan and Mecca and between Negev and Mecca are hundreds and hundreds of kilometres of the tough and dry and hot Arab desert. Abraham was rich and had huge flocks of animals. He could not take those huge flocks of sheep, etc., through that desert. Besides; why should he? - there was little gras and no water around Mecca (the Zamzam well was not found by then, according to the Quran). And when he never visited the place, it was impossible for him to leave Hagar and Ishmael behind there.
3. Abraham lived hundreds of kilometres from Mecca - and had to cross harsh terrain to get to and from. Nobody builds a big temple (so big that according to Hadith the rich Mecca did not want to use the full old foundations when they restored it - and the whole city needed a smaller temple than what the foundations indicated Abraham needed for his family only) for himself and his family at a place they can never or nearly never visit. Believe it if you want to.
 4. Abraham was a nomad. Nomads do not have the know-how and technology to build large stone buildings. Abraham simply was not involved in the building of Kabah, and it is highly unlikely he ever visited Mecca and even the Arab peninsula. It looks like a fairy tale made up to give weight to Kabah and to Islam. And not least weight to Muhammad, who 2500 years later could tell he was direct descendant from Abraham - without the slightest written paper from all those years. 2500 years of mostly an-alphabet nomads without any written history. Believe it if you want – and if you know who your analphabet forefather was the year 500 BC. (2500 years ago). It is worth adding, though, that Muslims say that Mecca was where Abraham's slave, Hagar, and his and her child Ismael (Ishmael) were sent away from Abraham's camp, that the two lived there, and that Abraham frequently visited them later. There is no source of information for this. The OT says they lived in Negev, which is weeks by camel from Mecca - and much, much longer for large flocks of sheep, goats, and cattle – American cowboys driving herds of cattle to the railway, made 10-12 miles (16 – 20 km) a

day (in addition to that many animals hardly would survive the long trek through the harsh Arab desert with little or no water for weeks) - and there was in addition no reason for him and his family to take such a dangerous and meaningless trip, as the area in Mecca offered little or no pasture and water for Abraham's big flocks of animals. And as he never took his flocks and family to Mecca, he could not have left Hagar and Ishmael there. If Islam wants to insist that he ever visited Mecca, they have to produce strong proofs, as it is extremely unlikely - and "special claims demands special proofs". It is highly likely this is a story made up or "borrowed" from f. ex. folklore to give the teachings of Muhammad and Muhammad himself credence.

5. One more fact: The Bible – a book which Islam insists is correct every time there is some text they like, and which may be the truth other times, too, says (1. Mos. 21/21): "While he (Ishmael*) was living in the Desert of Paran, his mother got a wife for him from Egypt". Except for religious Muslims who strongly wishes this to be a reference to Paran or Faran near Mecca, all serious scientists say that this was Paran in Sinai - - - which also made it easier for his mother (who was from Egypt) to find him a wife from Egypt even though that made his children $\frac{3}{4}$ Egyptian and only $\frac{1}{4}$ descendants of Abraham's stock (there is mentioned only one wife for Ishmael).

Further (1. Mos. 25/18): "His (Ishmael's) descendants settled in the area from Havila to Shur, near the border of Egypt, as you go toward Asshur". The border of Egypt means Sinai near the Red Sea. Just where scientists place the real Paran.

To go all the way to Mecca was too forbidding for a man with large flocks of animal – and there never was a reason to go there for Abraham. Little on no food and little or no water for his animals through the desert, and the valley of Mecca a barren one (– and his son Ishmael living not there, but "near the border of Egypt" according to the only written source).

Abraham never was in Mecca and consequently never built the Kabah – the big temple that he anyhow did not have the know-how to build and worse; could not use, because he and his family lived the better part of 1000 km away. (And according to the only early written source – written long before there was any reason not to place Ishmael in Mecca if that was where he lived – says he lived even further off "near the border of Egypt"). If Islam claims something else, they for once will have to produce proofs, not only undocumented claims, if they want to be believed.

024 14/35: “Remember Abraham said: ‘O my Lord! Make this city (Mecca*) one of peace and security; - - -. Abraham never visited Mecca. Besides: There was no city at the time of Abraham – this both according to reality and to the Quran. Remember how Hagar runs back and forth there without finding people and without finding water. Also see 2/127. Wrong and a contradiction with both the Quran and with reality.

025 2/125a: “- - - the Station of Abraham - - -” is (a mark in) a stone. Muhammad indicated and Islam says that mark was made from Abraham’s feet when he stood there and built the Kabah. Let the fact that Abraham never was in Mecca (unless Islam proves it – see 2/127 above) aside: No worker building something ever stood so long at one and the same place, that his feet made a mark in a solid natural stone visible centuries and millenias later.

026 2/125b: “- - - We covenanted with Abraham and Ishmael, that they should sanctify my House (Kabah in Mecca*)”. Abraham had nothing to do with the building of the Kabah - see 2/127 above. (Also: The Bible says the god made his covenant with Isac, and no covenant with Isac's half brother Ishmael. This was written a thousand years before Muhammad and at a time when there was no quarrel between Jews and Muslims (as Muslims did not exist unless Islam proves so) and consequently no reason for the Jews to try to diminish Muslims.)

027 3/96: “The first House (= Kabah) (of worship) appointed for man was that at Bakka (= Mecca*)”. Wrong. Even if we should accept that Abraham “made the foundations” of the Kabah in Mecca, he lived (if he is not fiction) around 2000-1800 BC. At that time the first temples, etc. in f. ex. Egypt and Mesopotamia were old. Today it is possible to find the real age of many things. It is symptomatic that as far as we know, Islam has not tried to see if it is possible to find the real age of the oldest parts of the Kabah. Wagging tongues insinuates that may be the reason is that they are afraid it is younger than 3800 years.- what if it turns out it is built around 100 BC - or AD - f. ex?) We may further add that it is also said that Abraham built on the even older ruins of a temple made by Adam (this even though the Garden of Eden near which Adam lived – if he lived – is reconed to have been situated in or near or in the wetlands of south Iraq, very far away), but destroyed at the time of Noah - but as often before Muslims only tell, seldom prove, so believe it who wants. (We may add that some Muslims have corrected it to that the Quran is talking about the first house of worship for a monotheistic god, but that is not what the Quran says. Besides: If the Quran or the Hadiths is correct and there have been prophets to all times and every people – 124000 the Hadith says – Islam will have a tough time to prove that not one single all those prophets or their followers have ever built even a small house for worship.” Also see 2/127 above.

4. Joseph: (3 generations after Abraham – if not only a legends).

Joseph was the second youngest of the patriarch Jacob’s 12 sons – and together with his younger brother Benjamin the only sons of his late mother Rachel – Jacob’s favourite wife. This far both the Bible and the Quran agrees roughly. His older brothers did not like him, and decided to get rid of him:

028 12/19+20: Here is something wrong - or one more contradiction. Verse 19 tells that “travellers” found Joseph in the well where his brothers had thrown him, and took him for a slave and concealed him. Verse 20 tells his brothers sold him for a few dirhams (small silver coins). Both cannot be true.

Joseph was sold as a slave in Egypt, according to the Bible to a mighty man called Potifar, according to the Quran to a man called the Aziz. But as “the Aziz” simply means “the Great One”, it may be a title – perhaps for Potifar.

After some time the wife of his owner wanted to seduce him. Joseph refused – and everything was found out. According to the Bible his owner got angry and put him in prison. According to the Quran Joseph proved he was not guilty, but was all the same put in prison on a very lame and not logical “reason” – lame and illogical, but necessary for the rest of the story.

00g 12/32: What was the logic of putting Joseph in prison when it was proved he was not guilty? This after all was at a moment where the wife should have been careful. (Muslims have a kind of explanation, but only a kind of).

Well, after some years Joseph was released because the contemporary pharaoh needed his clairvoyant gift, which his surrounding got to know about during his time in prison, as he was able to tell that one of his fellow inmates was going to be released shortly, whereas another would be executed. But:

029 12/41: “- - - he will hang from a cross - - -”. Egypt at the time of Joseph did not use execution by crucifixion.

According to the Quran – but not to the Bible – there was some drama because Joseph demanded to prove his innocence (illogical as he was proved – according to the same Quran - innocent already before he ended in prison).

030 12/51a: “What was your (the ladies’*) affair when you ye did try to seduce him (Joseph*) - - -”. According to 12/23 it only was the wife of the Aziz that tried this. Mistake and contradiction.

And the infamous wife invited some women friends to show them how handsome – not to say beautiful – Joseph was. With dramatic effect: They hurt themselves with knives in a scene credible only in third class novels for children, not for adults:

00h 12/31: There is little logic in 2 points here: Why giving them knives before showing them Joseph? (Some Muslims say it was to cut fruit, but when you cut fruit, you cut the fruit and lay down the knife mostly – few had had the knife in their hands at any given moment, and not holding the blade. And it is not a natural reaction to be so stupefied by a face, that all and every of them cut their fingers – one or at most two could have done so, though unlikely, but not more.

It also is told that the wife was a Muslim:

*031 12/51: The women in Potifar’s (this name is from the Bible - the Aziz (title or job?) in the Quran) house said: “Allah protect us”. The name and the god Allah did not exist in the old polytheistic pantheon in Egypt - and definitely not among the upper class (from slaves and traders they might have heard about Yahweh, but not Allah, and hardly even al-Lah that early). Their gods were Osiris, Aton, Amon, and other ones. Actually there is found not one single trace of monotheism among the upper class (and also not in lower classes) in Egypt in the old times. (Except Akn-Aton and his sun god). Similar claim in 12/52.

Joseph then correctly foretold the pharaoh that there would come 7 years with big and good harvests, but they would be followed by 7 years with little and bad harvests. So far the Bible and the Quran more or less agree. But the Quran adds that afterwards there would be another year with plenty of food.

032 12/49: “Then will come after that (period) a year in which the people will have abundant water - - -“. But the Arab word that is used here, and that is translated with “abundant water” is “yughathu” or “yughath” which in reality is said to mean “to be relieved by rain” (Joseph Al-Fadi (Christian)). As also “The Message of the Quran” has that translation (translated from Swedish): “- - - a year when the people will be blessed by rain - - -“, and has a similar comment to the word and as we have met this translation before, we judge that Yusuf Ali has “stretched” his transcription a little (in case the true meaning is “stretched”, it tells something). But in Egypt one has little and no rain – it is the flood in the Nile that brings water - - - which means the Quran once more is wrong. (“The Message of the Quran elegantly explains that it must mean rain further south in Africa, that made the Nile big, but that is not what the book says).

Everything happened like Joseph had said. And his brothers came to Egypt to buy food. There were some intermezzos because Joseph did not want to tell whom he was, and all the same wanted contact with his family:

033 12/77: “If he (Benjamin*) steals, there was a brother of his (Joseph*) who did steal before (him)”. Here something is wrong: The child/youth Joseph was not accused of stealing. (As for Joseph’s age when he was brought to Egypt, Yusuf Ali in “The Meaning of the Quran” says he was 16 or 17 or may be even 18. We find no reason for believing anything – he may have been of that age or younger or even much younger).

But being a good Muslim, things had to go well for Joseph – and his father – in the end:

00i 12/69: Joseph told Benjamin: “Behold! I am thy (own) brother - - -“. It does not fit verses 70 – 77 that he told it at this time.

034 12/84: “And his (Jacob’s*) eyes became white with sorrow - - -“. Eyes cannot become white (and more or less blind) from sorrow. That happens because of illness or physical malfunction in the eye – sometimes related to age. Any god had known – Muhammad perhaps not.

035 12/94: “When the Caravan left (Egypt), their father (Jacob*) said (to his sons*) - - -“. But 12/87 says: “O my (Jacob’s*) sons! Go ye (to Egypt*) and enquire about Joseph and his brother - - -“. Jacob simply did not come along to Egypt at that trip – he stayed at home. Thus Jacob could not speak to his sons when they left Egypt. A mistake and a contradiction of the real situation. (This also is clear from 12/96: “When the bearer of the good news came (to Jacob’s home*) - - -.” Jacob could say nothing to his sons until they were back home with him.) Similar claim in 12/95.

Jacob and his entire household then went to Egypt to Joseph. All together they were 70 persons + the wives of his sons according to the Bible (1. Mos. 46/27). And - -

00j 12/99: “- - - he (Joseph*) provided a home for his parents - - -“. Not possible, as his mother (Rachel) died already when Benjamin was born – he could provide a home only for

his father. (Islam explains or “explains” this with claiming that he reckoned the sister of his mother (Leah - also wife of Jacob) to be his mother, but there is nothing in the Quran saying so. But then it is quite normal for Islam to make claims without facts.) One more small detail here. Abraham first married Leah, and later her sister Rachel. But Muslims cannot be married to 2 sisters at the same time. How then could Abraham be a good and devoted Muslim?

5. Moses, the Pharaoh and Exodus:

(If science is correct, the Exodus took place – if it took place – ca. 1235 BC, and if the Bible is correct about the numbers, that means Moses – if he was a real person and not only a legend – was born ca. 1315 BC and died ca. 1195 BC, at the age of ca. 120 years (some of the old Biblical persons grew pretty old). It also means that the Pharaoh was Ramses II).

036 7/143: “- - - and I (Moses*) is the first to believe”. Impossible, as according to the Quran Noah and Abraham and Isaac and Jacob and a lot more were believers in Allah before him. And Moses and all the others were making a lie out of Muhammad’s saying that he – Muhammad – was the first. A number of contradictions. (2/127-133, 3/67, 6/14, 6/163, 26/51). Muslims tell that these contradictions are not contradictions, because it is meant the first of a group, a nation, or something – but that is not what the Quran says, and it also does not explain all cases.

00k 28/3: “We (Allah*) rehears to thee some of the story of Moses and Pharaoh in Truth - - -”. The story about Moses differs not a little from the one told in the Bible - which for this part is more than 1000 years nearer in time to what (may be) happened - and with stronger traditions concerning Moses. It is a question, which one is most reliable. In any case: Both have the death of Pharaoh Ramses II wrong (but when it comes to the Bible it is possible to explain this - not so with the Quran, if it is told by Allah and Allah is omniscient. The Jews could mistake a general or one of Ramses II’s 67 sons for the pharaoh – no omniscient god makes such a mistake).

Moses and his brother Aaron came to Pharaoh Ramses II (one of the really strong and mighty pharaohs in the history of Egypt):

037 20/47: “Verily we (Moses and Aaron*) are messengers sent by thy (Ramses II’s*) Lord (Allah*) - - -“. Wrong – Ramses II was a polytheist. Besides: He might have heard about Yahweh (but would not respect the god of slaves very much), but never of Allah.

*038 28/38: “Pharaoh said: ‘O Chiefs! No god do I know for you but myself - - -’”. This is one of the really good ones, because Egypt at the time of Ramses II had a good number of gods, included some central ones with a strong clerical organisation - **not to mention: How then explain Islam’s story about Ha-Amen (28/6 below)? (It is typical for many “explanations” of mistakes in the Quran that Muslims “explain” something, but are unable not to “collide” with other information in the book - f. ex. explaining the heavens as the universe without telling how the stars then could be fastened to the lowest heaven, or like here: Ramses II is the only god - - - but Ha-Amen, his chief adviser, is the high priest for another god according to the same Islam!!)**. But at the time of Muhammad the old gods were reduced - Egypt was partly Christian (the forefathers of the present-day Copts). A real god had not made this blunder, but Muhammad could not know. Then who composed the Quran?

Islam tries to explain this away with that it is not meant literally - only that Ramses II was the top. But in this case it is very clear what the Quran says. And also remember that the Quran - and most Muslims - say that the Quran is to be meant literally where nothing else is said - - -

and that to call something an allegory or say it is figuratively meant, we think is the for Islam the most used means of explaining away things/mistakes in the Quran that has no explanation.

039 79/24: “(Pharaoh Ramses II*) Saying: ‘I am your Lord (in this connection: Your god*), most High’”. The pharaoh was not the “most high” god in the old Egypt. See 28/38 just above.

040 28/6: “- - - Haman - - -”. In the Quran Haman is a high leader or adviser of some sort under the Pharaoh. But science says this is the Haman from the book of Esther in the Bible. Haman was according to the Bible, a powerful minister under the Persian king Xerxes (Hebrew: Ahasuerus) (486 - 465 BC) and a central person in the mentioned book - Muhammad may well have heard about him. In that case something is very wrong, because Ramses II naturally was king/pharaoh in Egypt, and on top of that lived some 800 years earlier. Haman could not be his top minister. Another also is: Was the name Haman at all used in Egypt? – it is said to be a Persian name. Uneducated Muslims say it just was another man with the same name. Educated Muslims are more careful with that claim. But here Islam has an explanation that just may be true: One of the main gods in Egypt at that time was Amon. According to “the Message of the Quran” the title of the high priest of Amon, was Ha-Amen - which could be understood as Hamon. Not very likely, especially as that is the kind of “explanations” one frequently finds when Islam has problems finding better stories. But after all possible. Except that a god does not make such mistakes either. And except for 28/38a: “Pharaoh said: ‘O Chiefs! No god do I know for you but myself - - -’”. **Pharaoh cannot at the same time be the only god in Egypt (very wrong as said) and have the high priest (Ha-Amen) of another god as his second in command.** This actually and simply may be one more of the famous Muslim “half-explanations” – **They explain one aspect with a problem, but are unable to find “explanations” that do not collide with other aspects with the same – or other – problems. Like when they explain the Universe without explaining the stars all fastened to the lowest and material heaven, and the moon among the heavens = outside the stars.**

The question also is how Muhammad could have heard about Ha-Amen nearly 1900 years later - after Amon and his high priest had ceased to be part of a dominant religion, in contrast to Haman, who was part of the Jews’ religious traditions. This even more so that there were thousands of Jews in Arabia at that time, who could have told Muhammad, but few from Egypt. Of course Muslims will say that Allah knew. But if an omniscient Allah had told this, he - as said above - had not made any mistake with the name. And if the mistake came from Muhammad after Allah had told him: How many more mistakes did Muhammad make?

Haman also is mentioned in 28/8 – 28/38 – 29/39 – 42/24 – 40/36.

There were to be a competition between Moses and the best sorcerers in Egypt. In the Quran that seems to be expected to take much time:

041 10/87: “Provide dwellings for your (Moses’*) People in Egypt - - -”. Wrong – and a contradiction with reality both according to the Bible and the Quran. According to the Quran the Jews had dwelled for a long time in Egypt, and according to the Bible this long time amounted to 430 years (1. Mos. 12/40). They had dwellings – no reason to tell Moses to provide such ones. Even more silly: Why provide (new) dwellings when all they wanted to do, was to leave Egypt?

00m 26/42: “- - - ye (the sorcerers*) shall in that case (if you win over Moses*) be (raised to posts) nearest to my person (Ramses II).” It is highly unlikely that the mighty pharaoh Ramses II said that to a flock of sorcerers – and especially for winning over an after all small opponent.

042 7/127: “He (Ramses II*) said: ‘Their (the Jews’*) male children will we slay - - “. But they were already slaying the male children of the Jews – that was why the baby Moses had to be put on the Nile also according to the Quran. Both a mistake and a contradiction. And contradictions do not exist in the Quran? See f. ex. 7/141 below.

But Moses won the competition by a real miracle. That single and not too big miracle, made all the sorcerers become Muslims:

00n 7/120: After Moses made his miracle “the sorcerers fell down prostrate in adoration” and convinced that the god of Moses was a strong and real one. **This is one of the proofs for that Muhammad knew he was lying when he told his audiences that it would have no effect to perform miracles, because disbelievers would not believe anyhow,** and thus explained away the fact that he (and his presumed god) was unable to make miracles. Here he tells just the opposite. A psychologically much more correct tale on just this one point. The same story in 20/69-70.

The pharaoh grew pretty angry:

043 26/4: Pharaoh Ramses II said: “Surely he (Moses*) is your (the sorcerers’) leader - - .” Wrong. Ramses II knew Moses from the royal court from before Moses had to flee, and knew he had been away for 40 years (according to the Bible) – he could not be the leader of the local sorcerers.

044 26/49: “- - - I (Pharaoh Ramses II) will cause you (Moses and others*) to die on the cross!” But the old Egypt did not use crucifixion as punishment. Similar claim in 7/124 – 20/71.

00o 7/124: “Be sure I (Ramses II*) will cut off your hands and feet on opposite sides - - “. As far as we have been able to find out, Egypt at the time of Ramses II did not use this Arab way of punishment.

045 17/102a: “- - - I (Moses) consider thee indeed, O Pharaoh, to be one doomed to destruction!” Pharaoh Ramses II was not doomed to destruction, at least not this time. He did not drown, in spite of what the Quran (and the Bible) says. (Which may be one of the reasons why some Muslims want the exodus from Egypt to have happened under pharaohs we do not know as well as Ramses II - preferably one we do not know if he may have drowned or not).

The Pharaoh then honoured his word to Moses and “let his people go” – though only after several serious plagues. But then he regretted the loss of all those slaves and followed after them. The Jews were caught against a sea, but Yahweh made a path for them across it:

00p 2/50: “- - - We (Allah*) divided the sea for you (Moses and his Jews*) - - “. From other places in the Quran (and in most Bibles) it is clear that this was the Red Sea. But in the Hebrew original the name is Yam Suph, which as well can mean “The Sea of Reeds” (this also is confirmed in many footnotes in NIV (“New International Version” of the Bible)). The

Sea of Reeds – it had even one more name: Timsah Sea - used to be a big lake where the Suez Canal now runs – not far from the Bitter Seas. The name tells it was just a shallow lake – the longest reeds we have been able to find, is a kind of rice that can be up to 5 -7 m long and grows in the big sea Tonle Sap in Cambodia. The reeds growing in that area of Egypt are shorter - - - and a lake with that name cannot be deeper than the reeds get their “heads” above the water.

Also look at the map: Goshen where the Jews settled was in the river delta of the Nile. To get to Sinai they had to go south-south-east. It would be stupidity beyond any credibility to go so far west that they ended at the western side of the Red Sea, and thus force such a huge number of people and animals to cross the sea by boats they did not have (remember they did not know about the opening of the sea – fire/smoke-column or not (= the pathfinder/Yahweh according to the Bible)). After all they according to the Bible were 600000 men + women + children + animals and belongings. (Theoretically it is quite possible for 70 – 90 (depending on how many wives his 11 sons in the group had) persons that came with Jacob + Joseph and his family to become 2000000 “Jews” 430 years later. And they had no boats.)

Science tells that – if the Exodus took place – the “Jews” quite likely were overtaken as they marched or camped along this lake.

Similar claim in 26/63.

*046 20/78: “Then the Pharaoh pursued them with his forces, but the waters completely overwhelmed them and cover them up”. May be the water covered up the troops, but not the Pharaoh - - - Ramses II did not drown. Similar claims that all – included the pharaoh drowned – in 7/103 – 10/90 -17/103 – 23/48 - 26/66 – 27/14 – 28/40 – 40/37 – 43/55 – 44/25-28 – 51/40 – 69/9-10 - 73/16 – 79/25. We may add that science seems to be pretty sure that if the Exodus happened, it happened around 1235 BC = during the reign of Ramses II. As we know that Ramses did not drown, Islam dearly wants it to have happened earlier and under a less known pharaoh that we do not know from what he died, but as so often they only backs up the claim with speculations.

As he was engulfed by the water, the pharaoh according to the Quran screamed:

047 10/90b: “I (Ramses II) believe that there is no god except Him Whom the Children of Israel believe in: I am of those who submit (to Allah in Islam) (= became a Muslim)”. One more thing we know about Ramses II (see 10/90), is that he was a polytheist and never a Muslim – and never a Jew.

048 7/137: “- - - We (Allah) levelled to the ground the great works and fine Buildings which Pharaoh and his people had erected - - -”. There is no trace neither in archaeology, nor in history, literature or art, of such a catastrophe around the year 1235 BC (some years before the end of the reign of Ramses II) when this should have happened - during the exodus from Egypt. On the contrary; Ramses II was one of the strongest and most successful of the pharaohs, leaving MANY great buildings behind after many years of - among other things - building. Has Muhammad put more drama to his story, believing it would be impossible to check if it was true? Islam will have to find proofs - and they do not exist today.

**049 43/56: “And We (Allah*) made them a people of the past - - -”. Wrong. Neither Ramses II nor the people of Egypt became a people of the past in the year 1235 BC (the

approximate year of the possible exodus, according to science). That did not happen until much later – and the final doom came in 659 AD when the Arabs under Mu'awiya conquered the country and took over - for ever (?). Muslims like to “explain” that “a people” mean the soldiers of Pharaoh. But the expression “a people” has a wider meaning than that.

The Jews then roamed the Sinai and thereabouts for 40 years according to the Bible – the Quran is vague on that point, but nothing there opposes this piece of information.

6. Moses after Egypt:

050 7/160: “We divided them (the people of Moses*) into twelve Tribes or nations.” Wrong: They consisted of 12 brothers, then 12 families, then 12 tribes already since after Jacob (some 430 years earlier according to the Bible).

Pretty early – most likely shortly after they had left Egypt – Moses met Yahweh on a mountain. There he according to the Bible received the 10 Commandments written on 2 stone tablets + Yahweh told him the Law, and he – Moses himself – wrote them down afterwards. Just this is somewhat different – and simpler – described in the Quran:

051 2/53: “- - - We (Allah) gave Moses the scripture (the book*) - - -”. The book(s) named after Moses, the first 5 in OT, (the Torah), are not written by Moses. Moses lived (if he is not a fiction) around 1300-1200 (ca. 1315 to 1195?) BC (if the Exodus from Egypt really took place, it took place ca. 1235 BC during the reign of Ramses II according to science), and those books were written not earlier than ca. 800 BC - perhaps as late as 500 BC - also this according to science. A god had known that, whereas Muhammad knew nothing about their real age, and had to guess. (To be exact: The Bible says that Yahweh told Moses the law – nothing material was given except the two stone tablets where the ten commandments were inscribed – and the laws he then wrote down afterwards. It also says that when Solomon moved the Ark of Covenant into the Temple in Jerusalem some 400 years later (1.Kings 8/9); it only contained the two stone tablets. There is nothing about “the Book(s) of Moses” – and science is unanimous that they are written much later. If Muslims claim something else, they will have to produce proofs.) The law really also only is part of the Torah/Book of Moses. Similar claims in 2/87 – 3/84 – 6/91 – 6/154 – 11/110 – 17/2 – 23/49 – 25/35 – 28/43 – 32/23 – 37/117 – 40/53 – 41/45.

052 7/145: “(Allah gave Moses the Law*) explaining all things.” The laws in the book of Moses explain far from all things.

While Moses was meeting Yahweh for 40 days on the mountain, the Jews made a calf from gold and prayed to it (this seems to have been not unusual – they are known from history and at least one such calf has been found by archaeologists). The Quran blames a man from Samaria:

053 20/85: “- - - the Samari had led them astray”. But the Jews still had not arrived in Samaria and there existed no Samaritans (actually the name Samaria/Samaritans as far as we can find, was not coined until 722 BC - more than 500 years after the exodus that happened (if it happened) ca. 1235 BC.). Muslims try to “explain” the mistake by saying may be it is meant “shmeer” = stranger, or “shomer” = watchman = samara in Arab (irrelevant as the Jews did not speak Arab). **But if the Quran means some other thing than it says here according to Islam – or is possible to misunderstand - how many other places in the book are there similar or worse/religious mistakes?** Similar claims in 20/87 – 20/95

054 7/149: “When they (the Jews) repented (before Moses came down from the mountain with the 10 Commandments*) - - -“. Wrong – and a contradiction. Both the Quran itself and the Bible tell that this did not happen until after he came down – and was very angry.

055 7/171: “When We (Allah*) shook the Mount (Sinai*) over them (the Jews*) as if it had been a canopy - - -“. This needs strong proof from Islam, especially as it in reality is from a fable taken from the old Jewish book “Abodah Sarah”.

Moses also had made a big tent – the Tabernacle - they used as a temple. Inside there was the Ark of Covenant – a somewhat but not very big chest:

00q 2/247: “- - - there shall come to you (the Jews) the Ark of Covenant”. Well, according to the Bible the Ark of Covenant did not come to the Jews – they built it themselves in accordance with a description they got from Yahweh. That was done around 1330 BC under Moses. Similar claim in 2/248.

They moved towards “the promised land” – Canaan, now Israel approximately:

*056 5/20: “Remember Moses said to his people: - - - Allah - - - made you kings”. Wrong. The first Jewish kings were Saul (Talut in the Quran) and then David some 200 years after Moses. Any - even minor - god had known this. We have heard Muslims explain that this is not what the Quran means, but that Allah made all Jews like kings. But anyone who knows a little about Jewish history and about Jews before and now, knows very well that most Jews never were or are or behave(d) like kings. It is an obvious “explanation”.

00r 5/23a: “- - - two (of Moses’ Jews*) on whom Allah hath - - -“. Allah or Yahweh? See also 3/51.

00s 5/21: “- - - the holy land which Allah hath assigned unto you (Moses and his Jews*) - - -“. Allah or Yahweh? (See also 3/51).

But they were frightened by the people living in Canaan, and Moses never entered it. That did not happen until under the next leader, Joshua. All the same - -

00t 37/114: “Again (of old) We (Allah*) bestowed Our favour on Moses and Aaron - - -“. “The Message of the Quran” is quick to add that it was not because they were progeny of Abraham, but because of their own quality. What the Quran never mentions, what Islam never mentions, what Muslims never mention, is that Israel’s (belief in a) special contact with Yahweh, is not – repeat not - that they had an ancestor named Abraham some thousands years ago. The reason was and is the covenant that was made between Israel and Yahweh according to OT – and renewed several times through the times. It is good propaganda to bully them for believing Abraham who lived some 4000 years ago (if he ever lived) is a part-out card to Heaven. But it is pretty dishonest to make this lie, and to never mention the real reason for the Jew’s belief: The covenant – broken and maltreated, but never lifted or ended. (In the same way as it is pretty dishonest never to mention the “new covenant” made via Jesus in NT – but then Muslims are obliged to use al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) or “Kitman” (the lawful half-truth) if necessary, when it comes to defending or promoting Islam – no matter whether Islam is a false religion or not).

g. Saul, David, and Solomon – the 3 first kings of Israel.

(Around 1000 BC - Saul war the first king, David the second (1007 - 970 BC?), and then Solomon - till perhaps 927 BC)

00u 2/247: “Allah hath appointed Talut (Saul*) king over you (Jews shortly before 1000 BC*)”. Most likely it was Yahweh (God) that did so, or what? Allah and Yahweh is not the same god no matter what Islam wants – the fundamental differences are too big and too many. Not unless the god is mentally ill – if he exists.

00v 38/19: “- - - and the birds gathered (in assemblies): all with him (King David*) did turn (to Allah).” Believe it who wants – we do not believe in assemblies of birds turning towards any god, not unless we get some proofs for it and not just words which cost zero to produce.

057 4/163: “- - - to David We (Allah*) gave the Psalms”. Wrong: According to science they are some centuries younger than King David. (And besides – Allah hardly was involved. If there was a god, it was Yahweh). Similar claim in 17/55.

058 21/80: “It was We (Allah*) Who thought him (King David*) the making of coats of mail”. But coats of mail and similar are older than around 1000 BC – the time of David.

00w 27/16–44: These stories – also repeated other places in the Quran - about King Solomon, the ants, the jinns slaving for him, the hoopoo, and not to mention the Queen of Sabah – are fantastically like domething from a fairy tale - - - which is what they are: They are “borrowed” from the made up - apocryphal, and hardly even apocryphal - scripture “Second Targum of Ester”. No god needs to steal old fairy tales and retell them with small – or big – twists to make them fit his religion/tales, and then call them facts. But Muhammad often did so. That is the reason why his contemporaries so often said that what he told just were old tales – they simply recognized the legends, fairy tales and stories.

00x 27/36: King Solomon is a good Muslim. Anyone is free to believe it if he wants to. Just this hardly is even from “Sacond Targum of Ester” – see 27/16-44 just above.

*059 27/18: An ant spoke to other ants and in a way possible for King Solomon to hear. Wrong. Ants do not have the brainpower for composing complicated (for non-human terrestrial beings) sentences, and they do not have organs for pronouncing words - not even “ant-language” words. Not to mention that they lack the power to speak loud enough for humans to hear. A fairy tale. (It is worth mentioning that Islam to a degree admits this. “The Message of the Quran calls it a legend – comment 17. But if this is a legend told like a truth, how many more are there like that in the Quran?) Similar claim in 27/18. Also see 27/16-44 just above. The only place you normally meet talking ants, are in fairy tales.

060 27/16: “We (King Solomon*) have been thought the speech of the Birds.” Wrong. One thing is that there is not one bird “speech” but one for each of the some 2000 different kinds of birds on Earth, and actually even more, as some birds have different “languages” or “dialects” from one place to another – even if you were thought cockney English, you would not understand Italian or Arab or Swahili. More fundamental is the fact that the birds’ brains are too small for developing coherent speech. The last years science has found that birds brains may be more efficient that our, gram for gram, but that all the same they are far too small for coherent speach – the minimum size where it is theoretically possible for a brain to get faculties rudimentarily similar to the human brain, is guessed to be a brain the size of a cat’s. Coherent, intelligent speech from birds simply is physically impossible.

**061 27/22-26: A bird - the hoopoo - making long, coherent speech/sentences of its own composition. No bird on Earth can do that - they do not have the brain capacity (see 27/6b and 27/16 just above). A fairy tale.

062 27/24: “I (the hoopoo*) found her (the queen of Sabah*) worshipping the sun - - -“. Sabah was at the southern end of the Arabian Peninsula – approximately Yemen today. In the old times this whole peninsula had a moon religion, not a sun religion – with al-Lah (whom Muhammad later renamed to Allah) as the moon god. It is documented that also in old Sabah the main god was the moon god (Source: “The Lunar Passion and the Daughters of Allah”).

063 27/28: “Go thou (the hoopoo*), with this letter of mine, and deliver it to them: then draw back from them, and (wait to) see what answer they return...” No bird is able to do this. Not even the carrier pigeon brings letters – it only is able to return with a letter. (The pigeons have to be brought in cages from the one who is to receive the letter, to the one that is to send the message. Then when the bird is let loose, it simply wants to return home - - - and carries the letter to its nest, where the receiver can collect it. This is the only possible way for using birds for carrying a letter. Except in fairy tales.)

064 27/44: “- - - she (the Queen of Sabah*) thought it (the floor*) was a lake of water (though it was slabs of glass) - - -“.

1. They did not have the technology to make that quality of glass ca. 900 - 1000 BC.
2. They also did not have the technology to make big slabs – and they had to be really big not to notice at once the cracks between the slabs. Even today it is difficult, as it needs months of very exact and slow cooling for that big slabs not to crack. (Cfr. the making of the mirrors for large astronomical parabolic telescopes).

00y 27/39: “- - - (one) of the Jinns - - -”. Jinns are beings with a diffuse role in the Quran. They are “borrowed” from old Arab fairy tales and legends. Allah made them from fire, the book tells – or may be from the fire of a scorching wind, according to one place in the Quran. There is said little about their shape - perhaps roughly like humans, though it is indicated that there were several kinds of them. They also have a diffuse role in the “pantheon” - they definitely do not belong in the heavens, but neither in hell. There simply is said nothing about where they belong. Neither is anything said about their role in the “life” of heaven and hell or their real connection to the “inhabitants” those two places - or to earthlings. As we said; much is diffuse concerning them and their life, except that they must be beings that can die - and end in hell mostly it seems. As said they are borrowed from old Arab folklore and fairy tales and mostly seem not really to belong in the religion, though they are mentioned quite frequently. Generally we feel they are a little suspect most of the time, but not always. Some were f. ex. servants (or slaves) for King Solomon, and in the older times - not 100 years ago - there shall have existed laws for marriage etc. between humans and Jinns, though no marriage ever took place!!

Do they really exist in the hidden world? - or are they in reality just something from fairy tales used for the mysterious effect?

Another mystery: If Islam is the main and original religion, one should meet the jinns many places – f. ex. in the Bible. But you only meet them in Islam.

065 38/37: “- - - And also the Satans (including) every kind of builder and diver (had to work for King Solomon*) - - -”. To make us believe this, Islam has to produce very real proofs – this even more so as it had been such a boost to Solomon’s reputation, that it surely had not been forgotten in the Bible - - - and there it is not mentioned. Similar claim in 21/82.

066 38/36: “Then We (Allah*) subjected the wind to his (Solomon’s*) power - - -”. This needs strong evidence - we hardly believe Solomon was able to regulate temperature and air pressure in the atmosphere in such a way as to be the director of the winds. Similar claim in 34/12.

**067 34/14a: “Then, when We (Allah*) decreed (Solomon’s) death, nothing showed them (the surroundings included jinns) his death, except a little worm of the earth, which kept (slowly) gnawing away his staff - - -”. Wrong:

1. In the castle of Solomon there would be no earth and then no worm from the earth. (This could not happen outside, as his servants would not leave the mighty king sitting outside through many days and nights).
2. There exists no worm from the earth able to gnaw dry, hard wood like in a staff. Some Muslims wants this to have been a termite, but a termite is no worm, and a god knows that.
3. See also 34/14b just below.

**068 34/14b: “Then, when We (Allah*) decreed (Solomon’s) death, nothing showed them (see 34/14a just above) his death, except a little worm from the earth, which kept (slowly) gnawing away his staff; so when he fell down - - -”. Wrong: It would take days or more for a small worm to weaken the staff enough for Solomon to fall - may be weeks.

1. A mighty king sitting not mowing for too long would after some time be addressed by his servants.
2. A mighty king not talking for a long enough while, would be addressed by his servants.
3. A mighty king not taking care of his duties and his visitors for a long enough while, would be addressed by his servants.
4. A mighty king not going to bed in the evening would be addressed by his servants.
5. Rigor mortis (the only possible, but highly unlikely reason for the situation) takes time to start – and it disappears. If not for other reasons, he would fall because of that long before a small worm had the time to weaken the staff.

6. In the climate of Jerusalem - even in winter (when there after all would be a fire) - his body would start decomposing. Everyone had to notice that.

A fairy tale simply.

8. Alexander the Great (!!) aka Dhu'l Quarnayn (around 330 BC):

Alexander the Great is a man one does not expect to find in a “holy book”. But in the Quran you find him – mainly in surah 18.

The book uses an Arab name for him: Dhu'l Quarnayn – the two-horned one. But it is well known in history that this was a name used for Alexander in Arabia. In addition there are facts like the description made by the well known Muslim scholar Ibn Hisham (around 900 AD) in his comments to Ibn Ishaq's “The Life of Muhammad”: “Alexander was a Greek and he founded Alexandria”. Alexander really was from Macedonia, but he also was king of Greece, and it is very elementary knowledge that he founded Alexandria – and gave it his name.

You will find Muslims that vehemently oppose this fact, because it makes an extremely unbelievable story even worse: Every educated person knows that her something is horribly – not to say laughably – wrong. Alexander was not involved in stupidities like this, and he definitely was no Muslim, but a polytheist. Some Muslims even try to use the mistake the book makes by telling he is a good Muslim, as a proof for that Dhu'l Quarnayn cannot be Alexander, because today we know he was a polytheist. The trouble is that Muhammad's uneducated follower in 622 AD when this surah is dated, did not have the faintest idea about that – Muhammad and Allah told it, and then it had to be true! But there is no doubt: Duh'l Quarnayn is Alexander the Great. In some translations of the Quran – f. ex. Dawood – you will find they simply write Alexander the Great instead of Dhu'l Qarnayn in the Quran.

069** 18/95: “He (Alexander*) said: '(The power) in which my Lord (Allah!!!*) has established me is better - - -’”. The Quran clearly indicates that Alexander was a pious Muslim (some 950 years before Muhammad). To make an understatement: That is wrong. Alexander was a polytheist. Also see 18/86a, 18/96b and 18/96c. Similar claim in 18/98.

070 18/96a: “- - - he (Alexander) reached the setting of the sun, - - -’”. To reach the setting of the sun means to go west. In addition to all the other mistakes in this story, we know that Alexander never went west (the furthest west he ever was, was his homeland Macedonia north of Hellas, and Egypt). See also 18/86 and 18/86 just below.

**071 18/86b: “- - - when he (Alexander) reached the setting of the sun - - -’”. Anyone who knows two millimetres about geography and astronomy knows this is wrong and ridiculous to the extreme: The sun does not set on Earth – and absolutely not in a pond of water. Also see 18/86a and 18/86c just above and just below.

***072 18/86c: “- - - he (Alexander the Great*) found it (the sun*) set in a spring of murky water”. This statement - or fairy tale - deserves a series of exclamation marks - anyone today who has finished primary school, knows among other these facts:

1. I. The sun is too big to settle anywhere on Earth.
2. II Not to mention that it is far too big to settle in a pond - murky or not.
3. III. And that if the sun ever came within a million kilometres or miles from the Earth, there would be no spring or pond any more.

Muhammad did not know the size or temperature of the sun – he even seems to believe it was a flat disk that could be folded up - but an omniscient god had known. Who made the Quran?

Muslims try to “explain” it by f. ex. telling that what he saw was the reflexion of a sunset in a spring. Think of the great warrior king Alexander - riding west and west and west with his men, day after day and week after week to find the place where the sun set. Then one day he hits upon one more pond - even one with dirty water. When he stands so that that dirty spring is in the straight line between him and the sun, he sees the red and yellow mirror image of the sunset in the muddy surface - a sight he has seen time and again and again before on the surfaces of ponds and springs and rivers and lakes and seas - and he hails his men: “Now we have reached our goal!! Here is where the sun sets!! Now let’s go back and tell about our great discovery“.

Believe it whoever wants.

But whoever believes it needs to see a professor of history - or a psychologist to mend his brain. (Also see 18/86a and 18/86b just above.)

073 18/90: “- - - he came to the rising of the sun - - -”. It is not physically possible to come to the place where the sun rises from the Earth as the Quran indicates, because it does not rise from the Earth - and if it had, both Alexander and the Earth had been rather fried. Also see 18/86a – 18/86b – 18/86c just above.

*00z 18/94: “- - - Gog and Magog - - -”. These are from the Bible. In the Bible one is a country and the other a king – king Gog of the country Magog. In the Quran they are two bad peoples or tribes. Who is right? Remember that Muhammad did not know the Bible well. A god had known. Then who composed the Quran?

***74 18/95-97: A people that lived in a valley were terrorized by two other people - Gog and Magog. They (the locals*) asked Alexander for help. He said: “I will erect a strong barrier between you and them: ‘Bring me blocks of iron’”. And he let build a wall of iron blocks produced by the locals, straight across the valley, strong enough to be impossible for the people of Gog and Magog to get through, and tall enough to be impossible to get over even with the longest ladders.

But nowhere on the entire earth there existed that much iron blocks around 330 BC – blocks of iron the locals were asked to bring him. (Note here that 18/93 tells the wall had to cross “(a tract) between two mountains” under which mountains a people lived – the wall had to have some length to cross “a tract” big enough for a whole people to live – it took a lot of iron blocks.) Also remember that iron was expensive at that time – it took a lot of work to make it. The locals had to be very rich to have that much iron.

(Besides it is all ridiculous: Very few valleys have only one possible way in and out - Gog and Magog could in case get around the wall. And if not, it always was possible to dig under the wall - this was a valley in which people lived, and such a valley would have soil under the wall.) See also 18/86a – 18/86b – 18/86c above.

****075** 18/96a: “At length, when he (Alexander - or really the workers making the wall*) had filled up the space between the two steep mountain-sides, he said, ‘Blow (with your bellows)’. Then, when he had made it red as a fire - - -”. It would not be possible to make the whole of such a big wall red like fire at around 330 BC. They neither had the means - that kind of fire - nor the technology. It would be more than difficult even today. Fairy tale.

****076** 18/96b: “Then, when he (Alexander the Great) had made it (red) as fire, he said: ‘Bring me, that I may pour over it, molten lead” (Dawood says bronze).

1. We do not think there any one place on Earth was enough lead - or bronze - for such a job.
2. Even if it did, metal was expensive - the locals had to be very rich to have so much lead/bronze. And this goes even more so for enough iron blocks to build a huge wall.
3. It would not be technically possible to heat such a big and long wall to “make it (red) as fire” ca. 330 BC - it is hardly possible today - for pouring the lead/bronze over it.

To include the rest of the story about Gog and Magog: They will be unable to get out of their big valley – it has to be big to feed that many until they are released as a warning about the approaching of the Final Day. But even today nobody has found their valley – not even on a satellite photo. It must be a well hidden big valley:

077 21/96b: “Until the Gog and Magog (2 bad tribes*) are let through (their barrier), and they swiftly swarm from every hill”. Gog and Magog according to the Quran (surah 18) were two groups of people (tribes?) imprisoned in a valley behind a tall, strong barrier made from iron blocks erected by Dhu’l Quarnayn/Alexander the Great. But there is nowhere on Earth – let alone in the area Alexander travelled – a valley big enough to produce food for two large tribes of people (“swarm from every hill” = large tribes), that is impossible to get out from, even if the main valley and way out is blocked. Besides the whole storey is nonsense: Even if they could not get through or over such a barrier, given time it always would be possible to dig under it. Even if it had been erected on solid rock, around 330 BC when the Quran pretends this happened (Alexander died 323 BC), people knew how to make short tunnels even through a rock if they really wanted to, f. ex. by means of fire + water. Besides: Where is the valley? Today every inch of the globe is mapped, and there is no walled in valley anywhere. Not in the east where Alexander travelled, and nowhere else. **Remember; the two big tribes Gog and Magog have to be living in that valley today, as they only are to be released from it shortly before the Day of Doom according to the Quran.**

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 14 (= II-1-3-14)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A

SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS ABOUT THE END IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

001 42/7: “- - - the Day of Assembly (the Day of Doom*), of which there is no doubt - - -”. In a book with so many mistakes (see 40/75) there is reason for doubting anything:

1. Is Allah omnipotent and made the Quran? – or not?
2. Is Allah omnipotent, and did not make the Quran?

The dark parts of the Quran presents another possibility:

3. Is the Quran in reality made by dark forces? If f. ex. Iblis - the Devil - dressed up like Gabriel, Muhammad did not have a chance to see the difference. The many inhuman and/or immoral aspects in Islam and the Quran may indicate that this is a possible explanation. But would even dark forces "send" a text and a book with so many mistakes, contradictions, etc.? - they had to know they would be looked through and loose credibility sooner or later.

Finally the fact that many of the mistakes etc. in the book are in accordance with what was

good knowledge and science in Arabia at the time of Muhammad, makes one wonder:

4. Is there a Hell? – and in case is the description in the Quran correct? – there are so many other mistakes in the book.
5. Is there a Day of Doom? – and in case is it run by Allah? – or by Yahweh? – or by some other god(s)?
6. Is there a Paradise? – and is it in case a paradise for the body like in the Quran? – or for the soul like in the Bible? – or something else.

This is a problem with the Quran: There are so many mistakes that there are reason for doubting anything, and impossible to know if something is true, and in that case what. What is true? What is al-Taqiyya? – what is plainly and simply wrong?

A last day will come for man sometimes in the future – but as there are so much wrong in the Quran, there is every reason to doubt that the description (or even existence) of Allah, and then consequently to believe that the description of Allah's arrangement of the last day also is wrong.

And what about each and every Muslim if the Quran is partly or completely wrong, and they because of threats, social pressure or simply by the glorified plain and blind belief, have not had the chance to find out in time? If there is nothing after this life, they will have lost nothing – except they have made this life difficult or a hell or worse for many. But if there is something afterwards, it may be a rude awakening, because there only is one thing that is sure about the Quran: No god – omniscient or not – made (not to mention revered in his own Heaven) a book with this many mistakes, contradictions, etc., and with that much invalid logic and as invalid “signs” and “proofs”. Similar claims in 3/ 25 - 6/12 -15/99 – 40/59 – 45/26 – 45/32.

002 29/54: “- - - of a surety, Hell will encompass the Rejecters (non-Muslims*) of the Faith (Islam*).” **No, this is no surety with all the mistakes in the Quran strongly reducing a reader's belief in the reality of the religion.** Even more: If someone has made up the Quran, and there exists another, real religion, the rejecters of Muhammad and Islam have a chance of finding that religion, but Muslims not.

003 66/6: “- - - a fire whose fuel is Men and Stones - - -”. A fire is a chemical reaction – normally an oxidation – that releases heat, and so much heat that the reaction continues by itself and still releases enough surplus heat to make a visible flame. This does not happen with stones – and definitely not with the stones Muhammad and his congregation knew about. (There are Muslims telling Muhammad meant coal, but coal as a means of heating, was unknown in Arabia at the time of Muhammad and his congregation – which means it is very clear that his followers were meant to understand normal stones). Wrong.

004 56/95: “Verily, this (the description of hell in the Quran*) is the Very Truth and Certainty”. Why should what the Quran says about hell be more true and certain than the rest

of the book with its many mistakes and errors? – Islam in case will have to bring some proofs instead of cheap words. See 42/7 above and other places.

00a 55/56: The Muslim Paradise is quite like the Zoroastrian one (Zoroastrians mainly lived in Persia, one of the big trading partners for Arabia. The Arabs knew that religion – hardly as well as the Mosaic or the Christian religions, but at least superficially.) - except that the houries there were named paaris. Also see 19/71 just below.

00b 19/71: “Not one of you but will pass over it (the bridge Sirat - to be passed the Last Day*)”. Very similar to Zoroastrian, where the bridge is named Chinavad. Also see 55/56 just above.

005 76/21: “- - - and they will (in Paradise*) be adorned with bracelets of silver - - -.” Well, in 18/31 – 35/33 the bracelets are from gold. A small detail – but an omniscient god does not get even the details wrong. A mistake and a small contradiction.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 15 (= II-1-3-15)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS FROM LEGENDS AND FAIRY TALES IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

STORIES CLEARLY "BORROWED" FROM LEGENDS AND FAIRY TALES

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Muhammad hardly had any original story at all. He picked from any suitable sources, in many cases from religious legends he believed were stories from the Bible, and also from ordinary local fairy tales and legends, and twisted them a little or much to make them fit his new religion. Not for nothing did his opponents claim that he "just told old tales". (Neither did he have many original thoughts or ideas - nearly without exception they were picked or borrowed from surrounding cultures and mixed with the old Arab war and robbery culture.) Below you find some samples.

*00a 18/9: "Or dost thou reflect that the Companions of the Cave - -". This is an old tale - a religious legend - that is incorporated in the Quran. The story of the 7 sleepers is well known - and is just a fairy tale. The 7 were Christians from Ephesus in what is now Turkey, that fled to a cave during a pogrom under "Cesar" Decius the story goes. Decius had the cave walled up to kill them. Instead the 7 fell asleep, and did not wake up until in the 30.th year of the reign of the pious Theodosius - that is in 448 AD. Decius reined for just over two years around/just after 250 AD. That means that if the fairy tale had been true, they had slept some 195 years (the Quran says 300 or 309 years - even in the fairy tale it is wrong). Islam has troubles explaining how this story ended in the Quran, and the "explanations" we have seen, are very "lofty" and diffuse - f. ex that it really is told about an older Jewish fairy tale (does an omniscient god need to rely on old fairy tales?) - or that it derives from misunderstandings about the Esseers (does an omniscient god misunderstand things?) - the members of the Qumran society (near the Dead Sea) but without giving any sources or documentation - only speculations. Besides the age does not matter - it is as made up even if it should happen that the original is a bit older. They also tell it is an allegory - which they very often do when they have difficulties finding "explanations" that are possible to believe. But it obviously is not meant to be an allegory - among other things the meanings of an allegory normally are very easy to see or are explained. The Quran further normally tells when it is telling an allegory or something similar, and not least; the Quran itself stresses that it shall be understood literally if nothing else is said. The sleepers also mentioned in 18/13 - 18/22 - 18/25.

001 105/3+4: "- - - Han (Allah*) sent against them Flights of Birds, Striking them with stones of baked clay." This refers to an attack from Abyssinia in 570 AD (though modern science question if the year is quite correct). The vice king Abrhah or Abrah, lost much of his army because of a virulent illness - perhaps smallpox - and had to return home without attacking Mecca. The troops were NOT killed by stones from birds. (Muslims sometimes try to "explain" the clear text and the as clear mistake away by some linguistic gymnastics that includes that the Arab word for stone and the one for writings are not dissimilar, and they think that these words have been mixed up (in a holy book sent down by Allah, and without mistakes - how many more mixing ups?), and then say the meaning is metaphorical (in a book the Allah says shall be understood as it is written), it may not mean stones, but hard physical strikes - but also hard physical strikes is not the same as illness. **Muslims frequently have too use far out "explanations" like this to try to camouflage mistakes. But if there is a linguistic mistake here, according to Muslims - how many more linguistic mistakes are there in the Quran?**

00b 5/23: "- - - two (of Moses' Jews*) on whom Allah hath - - -". Allah or Yahweh? See also 3/51.

002 37/142: "Then the big fish swallowed him (Jonah)". Wrong.

1. There exists no fish big enough to swallow a man whole. There is one exception, but that one does not eat large prey (the whale-shark). Besides there may be one or two of the whales, but even the orca does not swallow a seal (reasonably similar size) in one piece.
2. Even if he had been swallowed, he had not survived - he had died in minutes from lack of oxygen.
3. And had he had a supply of oxygen - which he obviously did not - the acid juices in the stomach of the “fish” had killed him in a short time.

A fairy tale, even if this story is “borrowed” from the Bible. (There are some mistakes also in the Bible). About Jonah also in 37/44 among other places.

00c 2/73: “Allah said: ‘Strike the (body) with a piece of the (heifer)’. Thus Allah bringet the dead to life - - “. It is not possible to wake up a dead this way. Islam will have to produce a solid proof – especially as this story is not in the Bible, and thus is taken from a legend or something.

003 2/102: “- - - the buyers of (magic) - - “. Magic is just superstition.

004 5/110: “And behold, thou makest out of clay, as it were, the figure of a bird, by My leave, and you brethest into it and it becometh a bird by My leave, - - “. A made up story form the made up legends in the made up (apocryphal) Thomas Child Gospel. See also 3/49 - Muhammad often repeats himself, even if that makes no good literature. Besides: A wonder like this had not been forgotten in the Bible - and especially not by “wrongdoers” wanting to falsify the Bible to make Jesus more holy, like the Quran frequently says/indicates. (But how to make Jews agree to falsifications making Jesus more holy? - falsifications in those two religions had to be identical, if not the Jewish scriptures and the Christian OT would today have been quite different from each others.)

005 35/44: “Do they (people*) not travel through the earth, and see what was the End of those before them - - -?” In and around Arabia there were (and are) ruins here and there. Muhammad claimed – as normal without any documentation as only non-Muslims need to prove anything – that each and every one of them were results of Allah’s anger because of disbelief etc. in Islam. Wrong. In a dry and harsh land inhabited by warring tribes there were plenty of other reasons for empty houses and ruins.

006 27/39: “- - - (one) of the Jinns - - -”. Jinns are beings with a diffuse role in the Quran. They are “borrowed” from old Arab fairy tales and legends and pagan religion. Allah made them from fire, the book tells – though one place it is said they are made from the fire of a scotching wind. There is said little about their shape - perhaps roughly like humans, but also perhaps with different shapes as the word may represent different kinds of beings. They also have a diffuse role in the “pantheon” - they definitely do not belong in the heaven, but neither in hell. There simply is said nothing about where they belong. Neither is anything said about their role in the “life” of heaven and hell or their real connection to the “inhabitants” those two places - or to earthlings. As we said; much is diffuse concerning them and their life,

except that they must be beings that can die - and end in hell mostly it seems, even though the Quran tells they could react positively to Islam. As said they are borrowed from old Arab folklore, fairy tales, and the old pagan religion, and mostly seem not really to belong in the religion of Islam, though they are mentioned quite frequently. Generally we feel they are a little suspect most of the time, but not always. Some were f. ex. servants (or slaves) for King Solomon, and in the older times - not 100 years ago - there shall have existed laws for marriage etc. between humans and Jinns, though no marriage ever took place!!

Do they really exist in the hidden world? - or are they in reality just something from fairy tales used for the mysterious effect? Besides: If they are real and if Islam is the original, age-old religion – why do we not find at least traces from them in other religions? (The word sometimes is translated with "bad spirits" and bad spittits you find in many religions, but this translation is not exact.)

00d 55/15: “And He (Allah*) created Jinns from fire free of smoke.” Jinns are beings from old Arab folklore, fairy tales and legends relating to the old Arab pagan religion. Is it just by co-incidence that these beings in Allah’s world – that according to the Quran are real beings – before only were known to the Pagan Arabs and not to any others, not even to the real (?) prophets in the Bible? In a religion for all the world and made by a god for the entire world, they never manifested themselves any other place in the entire world than just in that area. What a lucky coincident that Allah finally choose just an Arab – Muhammad – for a messenger, so that he could tell the rest of the world what part the jinns play in the real religion. But it also is strange that except for things borrowed from the Bible and a little from other neighbouring religions, there is nothing about or from the rest of the world in the Quran – **and the Quran has no stuff from those parts of the world, even though Allah is for all the world, and there have been prophets all over and to all times, according to that book.** Also see 27/39 above.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 3, Section 16 (= II-1-3-16)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. A SHORTLIST OF SUCH MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ARRANGED BY THEMES

Mostly one of each or similar kind for overview. 16 sections.

(The “complete” list is in Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 4, Sections 1 through 8.)

SOME CLEAR MISTAKES AND ERRORS ABOUT DIFFERENT TOPICS IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

Comments numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear mistakes. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely mistake.

001 2/145+146: “If thou, after the knowledge (of the new qiblah (= what direction to face when you are praying)*), wert to follow their (the People of the Book’s*) (vain) desire - then wert thou indeed (clearly) in the wrong. The People of the Book know this as they know their own sons.” But it is most obvious that this is not true - neither Jews nor Christians know this - - and especially not Christians, who have no qiblah – direction of facing when praying. (churches mostly make their congregation face east, but there is no qiblah). Similar info in 2/149.

***002 2/256: “- - - no compulsion in religion - - -”. This “flagship” for proving the peaceful Islam, disused daily by most Muslims and very frequently by Islam itself, is very wrong, because it is abrogated (made invalid) by at least these verses from the more bloody and inhuman later Medina surahs: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9 (ca. 30 different verses!!!). This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. (At least 29 contradictions). (as for 5/33: Remember that almost all the wars and raids Muhammad fought, were wars of aggression, even if he called it jihad – even Badr, Uhud and the Trench/Medina were battles of defence in a war of aggression started and kept alive by Muhammad’s raids - mainly for money. Non-Muslims should not defend themselves and their belongings, according to 5/33). **MUSLIMS NEVER - NEVER - MENTION THAT THIS VERSE IS THOUTOUGHLY ABROGATED, AND THUS WITHOUT VALUE.**

In addition to this there are other kinds of compulsion than the sword – economy, brutal taxes, social stigma, “Berufsverbot” (good jobs prohibited), physical insecurity, etc. And all of them were backed by the sword – conform and obey and pay or else - - -!!

It must be added that some Muslims say this nonsense in good faith - they really believe it. **But not one single Muslim educated in his religion, does not know he is lying each time he says that there is no compulsion in religion under Islam – but then defending and promoting Islam are two of the cases where Al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie), is not only lawful, but compulsory in Islam,** if it is necessary to use it. (A small PS: One or two of the verses may or may not be a little older than 2/256, but there once was a long debate in Islam if an older verse could abrogate a younger, and the conclusion was that that was possible).

One final small, but essential fact: 2/256 does not say “there is no compulsion in religion” like Muslims often quotes it – it says “Let there be no compulsion in religion”. It is not the statement of a fact, but a demand or a wish.

If this verse had not been abrogated, it had been “Glad Tidings”. Yes, even if Muslims had been honest and told the verse is abrogated by at least some 30 harsh later verses had helped – at least it had helped the moral standard of Muslims to be that honest.

****003 3/154:** “Even if you had remained in your homes (instead of taking part in the battle of Uhud, where many were killed*), those for whom the death was decreed would certainly have gone forth to the place of their death (= they had died anyhow*)”. Here we have the predestination. You can as well do battle, because Allah has decided long time ago when you are to die. If your time is up, you will die no matter, even if you are lying in your bed. That means that to do battle is not dangerous, but you can win a lot of wealth - or slaves - and if you die in battle, you are sure to go to Paradise with its luxury life and willing houries (in addition to your wives), which you are not sure of if you die at home. The only intelligent thing to do is to fight for your prophet - or his successors. Today it is easy to prove by statistics that it is very wrong - but Muhammad did not know about statistics (and a god had not even needed statistics to know it was stupidity). Actually Islam today back-pedals very much concerning predestination - telling f. ex. that the Quran does not mean real predestination - but without explaining what it is instead. **But in some cases the book is so clear, that it is impossible to explain it away - f. ex. many places connected to statements that when your time is out, you will die anyhow, and therefore you can as well go to war. An efficient war religion.** See f. ex. 3/154).

004 3/190: “- - - there are indeed Signs for men of understanding - - -“. Psychologically a good slogan; who does not want to belong among the wise men, and who is not flattered by being included among the wise ones by the demi-god of a leader? – especially the uneducated and naïve followers - - - or the brainwashed ones. But the only two things a man of real understanding can learn from statements that are clearly invalid, because they just are cheap words that never are proved – only backed by demands and flatter for blind belief:

1. The first possible conclusion a man of understanding can make is: **Muhammad had no valid arguments – if he had had real and true arguments, he had not had to use invalid ones.**
2. **The other possible conclusion a man understanding can make from this, is that something is seriously wrong. After all wrong information, invalid logic, and sometimes lies, are the hallmarks of a deceiver, a cheat and a swindler. Plus from wrong facts you get wrong answers.**

Also see 2/99.

005 4/11+12: The verses about inheritance are far from clear in Islam. Muhammad stated fixed proportions. But the trouble is that those proportions may add up to more than the full value of the property. If there f. ex. are these inheritors after a man’s death: 1 wife = 1/8 (3/24), 3 daughters = 2/3 (16/24), 1 father = 1/6 (4/24) and 1 mother = 1/6 (4/24). If you add these you will see that they are to inherit 27/24, which is mathematically and practically impossible. Or if a man dies and leaves only a sister and a brother: The sister gets ½ and the brother the double of what the sister gets = 3/2, which is an absurd joke. And what if a man

had 2 wives, one with a child and the other not: Does the one with child get 1/8 and the other 1/4? Etc. Juridical problems concerning inheritance are complicated under Islam because of these mistakes. But the shares are said to be ordained by Allah, the All-knowing!!!

00a 5/60: “Those who incurred the curse of Allah and his wrath, those of some he transformed into apes and swine - - -”. Hardly likely. This needs strong proofs.

006 6/109: “- - - what will make you (Muslims) realise that (even) if (special) Signs came, they will not believe?” Wrong. If there were real proofs of a god, at least a good number of people would believe - that is a psychological fact. Mohammad even proved he knew that by telling that a few miracles from Moses made Pharaoh's magicians Muslims. And Jesus showed that miracles made wonders when it came to making people believe - and Muhammad at least knew a little about that. The sentence really sounds like fast-talk to “explain” why Allah/Muhammad was unable to produce unmistakeable proofs for Allah. **Worse: An intelligent man like Muhammad knew this argument is a lie - and all the same he used it frequently. This simply is one of the places in the Quran where Muhammad knew he was lying. Similar claim f. ex. in 17/59.

00b 6/145: “I (Muhammad*) find not in the Message received by me by inspiration any (meat) forbidden to be eaten - - - unless it is dead meat, or blood poured forth, or the flesh of swine – for it is an abomination (nobody knows why it is prohibited*) – or - - - (meat) on which a name has been invoked, other than Allah’s”. This surah “appeared” ca. 621 AD. Some 6 years later Allah or Muhammad obviously discovered they had made a mistake and forgotten that also meat from donkey is forbidden for Muslims – this according to Hadiths (f. ex. Al-Bukhari) Then this verse was abrogated in order to add that kind of meat. This is one of the cases where the Quran is abrogated by Hadith. (But beware that if a Muslim is forced to eat these kinds of meat – f. ex. from sheer hunger – or is cheated to eat it – f. ex. someone tells him wrongly that the sausage contains no pork and he trusts what is said - then it is no sin). Similar claim in 2/173 – 16/115.

007 6/146: “For those who followed the Jewish Law, We (Allah*) forbade (to eat*) every (animal) with undivided hoof, and We forbade them the fat of the ox and the sheep - - -”. Skipping the fact that Allah and the god of the Jews, Yahweh, is not the same god – not unless he is schizophrenic – the correct last part is: - - - “the fat of cattle, sheep or goat” (3. Mos. 7/23). A minor mistake, but an omniscient god had not forgotten the goat.

008 6/151: “Come, I (Muhammad*) will rehearse what Allah hath (really) prohibited you from - - - (f. e x. *) be good to your parents”. This very obviously is wrong and a bit of a contradiction compared to other places in the Quran – Muhammad very obviously meant exactly the opposite; that you were ordered to be good to your parents. An omniscient god would not make such a mistake. Who made the Quran?

Also Muslim scholars agree that here the text is wrong – it is absolutely contrary to what the Quran says about this all other places. Which give you an unbeatable proof against any Muslim boasting that the book being without any mistakes at all. A proof and a fact sanctioned by Islam! (And besides: If here is a mistake, how many more are there?) Just remember: 6/151 (6 in Scandinavian = sex, and 151 has sex in both ends (1 + 5 = 6, and 5 + 1 = 6). Easy to remember.

00c 7/56: “Do no mischief on earth, after it has been set in order - - -“. According to our book, murder, rape, stealing/robbing, hate, suppression, murder, war, etc. are mischief. But may be it only is against Muslims that is immoral and forbidden? (Exemple: The crusaders doing bad things to Muslims were bad people. Muslims doing the same and worse against non-Muslims in f. ex. Sind (now mainly Pakistan) or Africa were heroes - and worse; they even today are reckoned to be heroes).

00d 7/102: “Most of them (people*) We (Allah*) found not men true to their covenant - - -“. “The Message of the Quran” (7/102, comment 81) tells that the exact word-for-word translation is: “We found by them nothing that tied them to what is truth and right”. And that book continues by telling that this may include man’s capability to instinctively to see the difference between right and wrong.

Now the fact that some of the most fundamental moral questions get the same answer in many societies indicates that something deep inside man tells some common moral truths: You shall not steal, you shall not be a nuisance – or worse – to others, you shall not rape, you shall not kill, etc. But Islam and the Quran is the best proof for that these inner messages are easy to override for a charismatic leader and for a society, and make immoral behaviour a praiseworthy moral codex: To steal/rob, rape, enslave, murder, and more – it all is “good and lawful” in the Quran.

And Islam and the Quran collide sharply with the one and only fundamentally acceptable moral no. 1. rule: Behave against others like you want others to behave against you – which is the only morally and ethically acceptable moral to build a philosophy and a society on.

009 9/36: “The number of months in the sight of Allah, is twelve (in a year) – so ordained by Him (Allah*) - - -“. A year is the time the Earth needs to make a full circle around the sun. A month really is the time the moon needs to make a full circle around the Earth. These two circles are not synchronized. Because of this something is wrong in this statement, as 12 such months are some 11 days less than a natural year. The Islamic year is an artificial construction whether ordained by Allah or not, the Muslim year is not really a year (103 Muslim years = roughly 100 real years). You will find Muslims glorifying the Muslim year that slides along the real year, but the plusses are much smaller than the minuses - - - plus it makes something wrong with this verse: A Muslim year simply is not a year.

00e 10/27: “But those who earned evil will have a reward of like evil - - -“. Muhammad, his men and his successors did enormously much evil – stealing/robbing, raping, enslaving, destroying places and lives and lands and cultures, extorting, terrorizing, torturing, murdering, inciting to hate and war and mass killings and suppression of other humans – only that it was sanctioned by a god, according to Muhammad, though a god that in case neither were omniscient, nor omnipotent (he f. ex. had to explain away all questions for miracles – some times with obviously logically invalid claims), not to mention benevolent - it will take quite a lot to give them “a reward of like evil.”

010 10/31: “- - - who is it that rules and regulates all affairs?’ They (non-Muslims) will soon say, ‘Allah’“. Wrong. People of other religions would name their own god (or gods). (Though non-Muslim Arabs at that time might say al-Lah - the old polytheistic Arab top god, a name that sounds like Allah.)

011 10/94: “- - - be nowise of those in doubt (about Islam*).” With all the mistakes etc. in the Quran, it is sheer naivety not to be in doubt, and at least check the facts.

012 10/96: “Those against whom the Word of thy Lord has been verified - - -.” **That is one of the main problems for Islam – as it was for Muhammad: There exists no real verification of Islam – not one single proof, and not one single place. Only cheap words and claims that in NO case are verified.** But glorification of and demands for blind belief you find aplenty.

Whenever we meet people using bluffs and defending bluffs – like here – for us that strongly indicates not only that they have no real arguments, but also that they know it themselves, and just try to defend wishful thinking or beliefs they are mentally unable to question.

013 11/14: “If then they (your false gods) answer not your (call), know ye that this Revelation (the Quran*) is sent down (replete) with the knowledge of Allah, - - -”. This is logically 100% wrong, as whether false gods or other gods answer or not, proves nothing about Allah. The only thing which may prove Allah, are unmistakable answers or deeds from Allah. Would a god try to cheat his - mostly illiterate and uneducated - audience in cheap and primitive ways like this?

00f 12/111: “This is - - - instruction for men endued with understanding.” It may be so – many Muslim thinkers and learned men were and are intelligent men. But to what avail? – when you give even the most intelligent persons wrong information from the start, their conclusions inevitably become just mistakes and errors, no matter how intelligent they are. To quote late Henrik Ibsen in “Peer Gynt”: “Naar utgangspunktet er som galest, blir resultatet tidt originalest” – which means something like “When the facts are really wrong, the result frequently becomes very ‘original’”. Also: “If you multiply correct information with one student, you get a better answer than when you multiply wrong information with a bunch of geniuses”.

014 13/17: “Thus doth Allah (by parables) show forth - - -”. Can it really be an omniscient god that shows forth so many mistakes? Nyet – a good English word that means no with some lines under it.

015 20/106+107: “He will leave them (mountains/mountain chains that will be removed*) as plains smooth and level. Nothing crooked or curved wilt thou see in their place”. This would be correct on a flat Earth. But as the Earth is curved, there has got to be curved lines at least where the big mountain chains will be removed. Any god had known.

016 20/116: “Prostrate yourself to Adam”. Wrong, as Adam did never exist - man developed from a primate. We debated with some Muslims some time ago about this, and they triumphantly told us we were wrong, for now science had found that there had been an Eve and an Adam. Which is quite true. But what they did not mention, was that this Eve lived about 160000 - 200000 years ago, and represented a so called “bottleneck” - a time when the human race nearly died out and only Eve had girl children, or the DNA of the other girl children died out later (this result is from tests of mitochondria DNA - mDNA - and mDNA only tells about the female side of the story, as mitochondria only goes from parents to child via the egg cell – carrying the feminine DNA). Then around 60000+ (ca. 64000?) years ago, something happened to Homo Sapiens. He still was Homo sapiens, but something – science does not know what - happened that started him on the road to technical and other developments. And there was another bottleneck - something similar to what happened to the “archaeological Eve” - happened once more. But this time it is readable in the Y chromosome, which only men have, and consequently only shows the masculine side. This shows that all

men living today, has a common “father” (by archaeologists not by coincidence named “the archaeological Adam” or just “Adam”) - a single man that lived 140000 (some say 100000) years later than Eve. Those archaeologists named them Adam and Eve, is quite logical. But they have nothing to do with the Adam and Eve in the Bible or with “Adam and his wife” in the Quran - how could they f. ex. be man and wife when they lived 100000 - 140000 years apart, and one in Africa, the other may be in Asia? Not to mention essential facts like this when they talk of the archaeological Adam and Eve, we find dishonest. And at least the scholars in Islam – the ones that teach their students and congregations and are interviewed and write and speak in the media – do know this very well. It is a well known scientific fact.

017 22/29: “- - - the rites (during Hajj in Mecca*) prescribed for them (Muslims*) - - -“. The rites in Mecca during Hajj are all taken over from the pagan/heathen times in Arabia – and in addition they are ever so childish and primitive; run 7 times back and forth between 2 hills, walk 7 times around a building, throw some stones at a mark pretended to be the devil, and kill one or more helpless animals, those are the main acts. An omniscient god should be able to prescribe something more valuable to the soul - and to the god.

1. Who prescribed old pagan rites to be the right ones for the presumed only, real god?
2. Who prescribed so shallow, primitive, and childish rites for a presumed unfathomable, “deep” god?
3. Who prescribed that neither any rites from anywhere else in the world, nor something new and soul-sustaining from Allah ought to be used in a presumed world religion – only the old, shallow pagan rites of the heathen old Arabia – and only Arabia - was worthy the only real god?
4. How come that none of all the other prophets even heard about these rites – neither Jesus nor any of the really “documented” prophets even tried to go to Mecca – if they were and are what the god really thinks is an essential part of what is essential to a believer and a duty to the god?

00g 22/33: “- - - their place of sacrifice is near the Ancient House (Kabah*).” Wrong. The place of sacrifice is in Mina, kilometres from the Kabah.

018 22/34: “To every people did We (Allah*) appoint rites (of sacrifice) - - -.” Just one problem: The Christians have not been given/ordered any kind of sacrifices – or rites for such.” And how many religions really have rites “given by their god”, and how many religions do not pretend this?

00h 22/37: “It is not their (the sacrificial animals’ meat nor their blood, that reaches Allah: it is your piety that reaches Him - - -“. Does an omniscient god have to see you killing helpless animals to see that you are a pious believer? – not if he really is omniscient. If Allah really is omniscient and if the only purpose with sacrificing animals is to prove your piety, then the sacrifice in reality is without meaning, as an omniscient god all the time knows very well

whether you are a pious believer or not. Actually the Quran many places makes it absolutely clear that Allah knows also the innermost corners of even the deepest parts of your soul. **To what avail and what meaning and what logic is a “test” or a “proof” of your piety, if Allah already knows the answer on beforehand? - and by the way: The same goes for testing your piety in war and battle and kill and be killed, something that even is meaningless if Allah were a good god.**

019 22/40: “- - - monasteries, churches, synagogues, - - -, in which the name of Allah is commemorated - - -“. The name of Allah is not commemorated there – on the contrary it is the name of Yahweh (or simply God) that is commemorated there. Muslims will claim that it is the same god – as usual without proving anything - but the teachings are fundamentally so different, that it is impossible that they are the same unless the god is mentally seriously ill. Also they will claim that the reason for the differences in the teachings are that the Bible are willfully falsified – something science long since has proved for one thing is not true (even the oldest scriptures are like todays', except for minor mistakes normal when manuscripts are copied by hand), **and for another was physically impossible** (not possible to make the same falsifications in all the connections in all the thousands of manuscripts spread over thousands of kilometres and owned by thousands of different owners – that often even disagreed (even strongly sometimes - f. ex. Jews and Christians) on many topics and would protest to falsifications of their valuable and cherished holy scriptures). Besides: How do you change words in an old scripture in such a way that modern science is unable to see the scratching or whatever? – because also all the old manuscripts had to be “corrected”, not only a change of texts when new copies were written. But this never proved claim that the Bible is falsified was the only way out and the only way Muhammad could save his religion and his platform of power when he finally understood how much was different between his teachings and the Bible.

00i 29/2: “Do men think - - - they will not be tested?” But why?! If Allah is omniscient and knows everything before – even decides everything before (in spite of the claim that man has (limited according to some Muslims) personal freedom to decide – though even Islam is unable to explain how it possible to combine the statement that Allah decides everything before, with the statement that man has free will (not strange, as it is a version of the time travel paradox, and that paradox is unsolvable)) – **if all this, then why test to find an answer Allah already knows?**

020 29/69: “And those who strive in Our (Cause) – We (Allah*) will certainly guide them to Our Paths - - -“. With so much wrong in the Quran, it is likely that this is wrong, too. At least it is far from a certainty. Similar claims in f. ex. 2/137 – 27/79.

00j 30/32: “Those who split up their Religion, and become (mere) Sects - - -“. We have been told there exist or have existed some 3000 Muslim sects. We have not been able to verify the number, but it is clear there are quite a number – from Wahhabism in Saudi Arabia and stricter, to Amaddiyyas and others. It also is clear that through the history there have been more – some have been eradicated in blood even, as there is no compulsion in religion, according to Islam. As the Quran is said to be very clear and easy to understand, one impertinent question is: Which of the sects understands it correctly? – and why do all the others understand it differently? – and last, but very far from least: What is really the correct understanding?

021 30/2-4: “The Roman Empire (Bysantz/Constantinople*) has been defeated (by Persia*) in a land close by (Damascus 613 AD, Jerusalem 614 AD, Egypt 615-616 AD – may be a battle

in Syria in 615 AD – just pick your choice (the surah is from 615 or 616 AD)); but they, (even) after (this) defeat of theirs will soon be victorious – Within a few (“bid”) years.” Bysantz defeated Persia in 628 AD after they first had had a number of defeats at the start of the war.

1. The Arab word “bid” means “a few” and “means a number of no less than 3, no more than 9” according to comment 2 to this surah in “The Message of the Quran”. It took at least 12 years.
2. This was a pep-talk to his followers. No-one – not even Muhammad himself – said that it was a prophesy - - - except that many Muslims say so afterwards.
3. It is very clear from the Quran that Muhammad did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies (a kind of miracles), and it is as clear that he never even claimed or pretended to have that gift. This was just one of the (few) cases where a little of all that he said through his life happened to come partly true (actually: So much that he said and spoke it is a miracle that not more happened to come true – and more true than in this case. And NB: This is the only heavy claim Islam has about him being able to make prophesies. There are a number of theories and claims about this ability that he as said did not claim or practice himself, but this is the only one Islam reckons to be a “heavy” claim”.

022 32/22: “And who does more wrong than one to whom are recited the Signs of his Lord (Allah*), and who then turns therefrom?” There is nothing wrong in being sceptical to a religion built only on a book with many mistakes and not one single valid proof, and with many “signs” and “proofs” without any value, and which may have the effect of cheating uneducated or not intelligent persons - and on top of all a book which is told only by a man whose honesty normal, intelligent people would suspect because of the morality of his deeds and demands and some of his words –

when a man preaches good, but does and demands many things bad, we believe in his deeds and demands more than in his words. Words simply are too cheap.

023 33/8: “That (Allah) may question the (custodians (see 33/8 just above*)) of Truth concerning the Truth they (were charged with) - - -”. The Quran says/pretends that the old scriptures of Israel were the same as in the Quran, but that bad Jews distorted them. If that had been true, they at best were charged with bits and pieces of truth - see all mistakes, lofty “explanations” and invalid “signs” and “proofs” in the Quran.

024 33/62: “(Such was) the practice (kill non-believers without mercy*) (approved) of Allah among those who lived aforetime: no change wilt thou find in the practice (approved) of Allah.” Muhammad here refers to the Mosaic and the Christian religions (and he sets Allah =

Yahweh) when he talks about “those who lived aforetime”. But even though OT is hard against many non-Jews, the war and the killing was to get room for living for the Jews, not wanton murdering just because they were not Jews or for plunder and slaves. And **in NT: Try to find a single place saying that non-believers shall be murdered just because they have another religion – such an order simply does not exist. Any god had been lying if he said this, but Muhammad did not know the Bible well, so may be – just may be – he thought he spoke the truth.** In any case it was a good statement for a warlord trying to secure and enlarge his platform of power. (This surah is believed to be from 627 – 629 AD – before he had gained absolute control by conquering Mecca in 630 AD.)

****025 35/24:** “- - - and there never was a people, without a warner (a prophet for Allah*) having lived among them (in the past) - - -”. As said before: Neither in archaeology, nor in architecture, nor in art, nor in history, nor in literature, nor in folklore, nor in folk tales - not even in fairy tales we find a single trace of any teaching of monotheism, with two well known (Yahweh and Allah) and two or three less known exceptions (Pharaoh Akn-Aton, praying to the sun, an Arab sect around 600 BC - likely inspired by the two monotheistic religions in the area, and the Zoroastrians after a fashion). Some places one or a few gods dominated, but no traces from monotheism.

In the Americas - absolutely no traces.

In Australia - absolutely no traces.

In the Pacific - absolutely no traces.

In Europe - absolutely no traces.

In Africa - absolutely no traces with the exception of one single man: Pharaoh Akn-Aton - but he so definitely was not speaking about Allah. He wanted the sun for the only god.

In Asia - absolutely no traces, except in what we now call the Middle East: The Christians, the well known Jews and as already mentioned the Zoroastrians (after a fashion) and a less well known Arab monotheistic and at that time not very old sect - most likely inspired by the Jews. Of course there was Buddha, but he was/is no god, and besides he accepted that gods existed, but told they were on wrong ways not leading to nirvana - no monotheism. 124000 (or more - the number is said to be symbolic, as there may have been more) prophets had to have left some traces somewhere.

This statement simply is not true. **If Islam still insists, they will have to produce strong proofs. “Strong statements demands strong evidence”, to quote science - not just loose claims, invalid “signs” and “proofs”, and more loose statements.** Similar claims in 16/36 – 30/47 – 39/71 – 43/6.

026 38/87: “- - - a Message to all the Worlds.” Likely the Quran and Islam should reach all the 7 Earths that the Quran mentions – but there are no 7 Earths (flat - and one above the other according to Hadiths.) See 65/12.

****00k 39/7:** “No bearer of burdens can bear the burdens of another”. Can this really be true? In that case this is yet another proof for that Allah cannot be the same god as Yahweh,

because one of the things Yahweh stresses in the NT via Jesus, is that a good Christian shall help others with their burdens.

*027 42/30: “Whatever misfortune happens to you, is because of things your hands have wrought - - -”. Wrong. Sometimes it is because of bad luck and coincidence and sometimes f. ex. by what others do. F. ex. if some terrorists - normally Muslims - kills or mutilates you for things you absolutely are not guilty of. And not least it may be because of natural catastrophes – like the 2004 tsunami that killed more than 300000, mostly Muslims. Besides: How does this verse correspond to all the claims that Allah decides everything?

**028 43/6: “But how many were the prophets We (Allah*) sent amongst the people of the old?” Well, Hadith says 124000 - and it is not true, because some of so many had had to leave some traces. There are none.

Besides: With so many different people so many different places in the world - why were there no other prophet any place in the world at the time of Muhammad - yes, none at all for a very long time before Muhammad? According to the time scale of Genesis and the Torah and the Bible that the Quran do not correct, and 124000 prophets, it should mean hundreds or a few thousands for each generation. Even for the correct age of homo sapiens - modern man - there should be nearly one new prophet some place each and every year!

And: Islam’s explanation for why Allah wanted new holy books on Earth now and then, is that the world changes, and then some details in the holy book needs adjusting. Why then is Muhammad said to be the last one? – and the Quran to be the last book, a book that is too inhuman, too primitive on justice, and too outdated on warfare (too destructive) for modern societies, just to mention 3 subjects. The world has changed MUCH more between Muhammad and now, than between Adam and Muhammad, and man needs new instruction for a less inhuman world - and an omniscient god had known that on beforehand. Besides: If the holy books are copies of the Mother Book in heaven, that means the Mother Book has to be changed for each new edition to give a corrected copy to send down - but the Mother book is perfect and unabridged since the start of time!?

029 61/13: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -”. Permission to steal/rob, suppress, rape, enslave, keep harems, murder, etc. which are central parts of the Quran – is that “Glad Tidings”? Direct orders to go to war and kill and suppress and enslave and loot and destruct, and may be be killed or wounded yourself – is that “Glad Tidings”? Direct orders to concentrate only on religious knowledge and knowledge related to religion (indirectly very clear in the Quran and directly and unmistakably very clear in Islam from very early – and totally dominant from 1095 AD) – is that “Glad Tidings”. Total destruction or conquest of all advanced countries and cultures they met in Africa, Europe and Asia at least as far east as what was then India – destruction it took the locals at least 200 years to overcome (if ever) – is that “Glad Tidings”? The inhumanity in the war religion – is that “Glad Tidings”? The reduction of women to third class citizens – if really citizens – (Islam’s claim that women were/better off under Islam than before, only is true for some parts of what is now the Muslim area, mainly in parts of Arabia – and even there it was not true everywhere and had not necessarily been true today if it was not for the suppressing factor of Islam) – is that “Glad Tidings”? The enslavement and suppression and mass murders/slaughtering of non-Muslims – was and is (see Muslims at war and terror even today) that “Glad Tidings”? What a war religion did and does to the societies and the personal soul – is that “Glad Tidings”? The suppression of thinking – all non-religious philosophy, and all religious non-conform (to Islam) thinking – is that “Glad Tidings”?

Well, yes, it is for some Muslims – the ones of the warriors that survived in good health and became rich from looting, and the ones of the leaders that became rich in wealth and women from looting/slave taking and taxation plus became powerful, then and today. Plus for the minority that needs a religion to feel well – but they had felt as well if they believed strongly in another religion (this is a fact we see from religious people in any religion).

For everyone else it was everything from “Bad Tidings” to terror – and still is (just look at the backward societies it resulted in once the riches from looting came to an end – and even worse when the hard taxation or pogroms of non-Muslim underlings, reduced the number and/or economy of those underlings and hence the tax income for the Muslim leaders. Look f. ex. at the development in India, China, Brazil of today – especially India and China were far behind many of the Islamic countries around 1950, but what has been happening during these years? Take away the oil, the money from outside the area and the ideas from outside, more or less forced on the clergy and the leaders from media and others – what has really happened in the Islamic area since f. ex. 1950 compared to many other places?

Yes: For everyone else it was and still is everything from “Bad Tidings” to terror.

For Muslims the part about heaven is “glad tidings” – if it is true. Also the fact that killing, rape, enslaving, suppression and stealing are “good and lawful” in the service of Allah (and anything can be declared a jihad) are glad news for the right – or wrong – kind of Muslims. The rest of the tidings are terror also for them – hate, war, suppression of women, stagnant society, immoral moral, only religious knowledge really counts, servility under authorities, etc. Even for Muslims this claim at best only is partly true.

Especially so if Islam is a made up religion. And even more so if there in addition somewhere is a true religion that Islam blocks its members from even looking for.

The very best one can say about the Quran and “Glad Tidings”, is that for some parts of it partly was glad tidings, and that for some others parts of it brings peace to the soul – like strong believers gain from ANY of the main religions.

For all others – included the majority of Muslims – it was “Bad Tidings”. For Muslims especially so if Islam is a made up religion. Which it seems to be from the proofs of the Quran and from the demands from and the life of Muhammad. There are many more of this claim – partly true for at least some Muslims in a way, but terror for all others – in the Quran – f. ex. 2/119 – 17/9 – 33/45 – 33/47.

***030 84/15+16: “For his Lord (Allah*) was ever watchful of him (non-Muslim*)! So I (Muhammad*) do call to witness the ruddy glow of Sunset - - -”. A serious one - here it once more is Muhammad who is speaking - in what is said to be the copy of the Mother Book (13/39 - 43/4) in Heaven, made of Allah or existed since eternity. How is that possible?

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 4, Section 1 (= II-1-4-1)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. THE "COMPLETE" LIST - THE "ENCYCLOPAEDIA" - BASED ON SURAH AND VERSE NUMBER

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

SOME CLEAR FACT MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN SURAHS 1 THROUGH 5 IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear mistakes. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely mistake.

All verses or parts of verses where we have found mistakes are included with three exceptions:

1. There surely are mistakes we have overlooked.
2. There are some mistakes that needs long explanations. If they are not essential, they often have been omitted – long explanations for small things are boring for most readers - - and also often a hallmark for someone trying to lead you by your nose.
3. There are all the borderline cases: Is it easy to see that this is wrong? Or may there exist explanations that may make it less clear if this really is a mistake? If not we have normally not included it here. As for using this “encyclopaedia of mistakes”, just look for the surah and verse number in your Quran, and it is easy to find here if there is a mistaken fact in that verse.
 1. Clearly wrong information/statements are numbered with serial numbers - 3 numbers, sometimes followed by a small letter.
 2. Highly likely wrong information/statements are numbered with letters.

3. The numbers that are not serial numbers are surah numbers and verse numbers in Abdullah Yusuf Ali's translation of the Quran to English.
4. Comments in () inside quotations and marked by * are inserted by us, like this: (xxxxxx*). And * in front of the serial number: Big or "new" mistakes - though actually all mistakes are big even if they are small, as an omniscient god simply should never make mistakes. ** or *** = NB or NB!! In addition we use colour and/or special writing on some significant ones.

SURAH 1-5:

SURAH 1:

****001 1/1 – 7.** "In the name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful. Praise be to Allah The Cherisher and Sustainer of the Worlds; Most Gracious, Most Merciful; Master of the Day of Judgement. Thee do we worship and Thine aid we seek. Show us the straight way, The way of those Thou hast bestowed Thy Grace, those whose (portion) is not wrath, and who go not astray".

This really is a bad one as it is a prayer to Allah, it is not Allah speaking (When Muhammad is to repeat what Allah says, Allah gives the order "Say") - and besides Allah does not pray to himself!! A clear mistake compared to what Islam claims: It is all sent down by Allah (The Quran is said to be either made by Allah, or never made, but has always existed - the last claim is impossible, though, as angels are speaking at least one place in the Quran (also according to Muslim scholars), which proves that the Quran cannot have been made until after at least the first angels were created).

There are more places where it is clear that it is Muhammad that is speaking - also in contradiction to the statements that the Quran is from Heaven, made by Allah or never made but existed from eternity.

It tells something that in the very beginning, and in what is said in a way to be the essence of the book, there is something seriously wrong, compared to the for Islam very fundamental - but unproved - statement that the book is sent down by an omniscient god, and is perfect and without mistakes.

Surah 1: At least 1 mistake.

SURAH 2:

001 2/1: "- - - the Worlds - - -". Already a reference to the 7 Earths that exists according to the Quran. (One above the other, according to Hadiths). Wrong – there are no 7 Earths in spite of 65/12. See 2/22a.

002 2/2: “This is the Book (the Quran); in it is guidance sure, without doubt - - -”. As you will see, there are a lot of mistaken facts, contradictions, and unproven arguments, etc. in the Quran. That means that the guidance is far from sure. All the mistakes etc. also produce a lot of doubt about the rest of the text.

003 2/4: “- - - the Revelation (the Quran) sent to thee (Muhammad*), and sent before thy time (= the Torah/Bible*) - - -”. Wrong. The Quran is not the same as the Torah or the Bible, and science has proved beyond any reasonable or judicial doubt that the NT in the Bible never was falsified + that if the Torah/OT is falsified, it must have happened at least 300 years BC, and most likely at least 500 – 800 BC or earlier, if ever. It also is very clear that Islam has not the slightest documentation for their repeated claims – guess if they had been quick to produce it if they had had even a tiny wee bit of a proof!!

004 2/5: “They (the believers*) are on true guidance - - -”. With so many mistaken facts, the guidance at best is partly true.

005 2/22a: “- - - the heavens, your canopy - - -”. Plural and wrong – referring to the 7 heavens of the Quran - - - and of wrong Greek and Persian astronomy at the time of Muhammad. See 2/29 – 23/17 – 23/86 – 41/2 – 65/12 – 67/3 – 78/12, and also 10/6 – 31/10.

*006 2/22b: “- - - and the heavens (plural and wrong – see 2/22a just above) your canopy - - -”. The heaven/sky is no canopy. The “heaven” we see at daytime, really is an illusion caused by bending and splitting of the sunlight, and the “smooth” heaven we see at night, also is an illusion, as we are unable to see the third dimension at those distances, and get the impression that the stars all are at the same distance from us. Any god had known this, but Mohammad not. Also see 67/3a – 67/3b – 67/5a – 67/5b. Muslims tend to explain the heavens (plural and wrong) with vague claims about space and stars and galaxies - but each time they then “forget” to explain f. ex. how the stars are fastened to the lowermost of the 7 heavens the Quran tells exists. And they forget the moon (and the sun?) among the heavens – beyond the stars! They also sometimes tell that the 7 heavens = 7 layers in the atmosphere. No comments - but think about stars like Aldebaran - a giant star - fixed to a layer in our atmosphere below our moon. A joke.

007 2/22c: “- - - rain from the heavens - - -”. Plural (7 heavens) and wrong. See 2/22b above.

008 2/22d: “- - - when ye (people*) know (the truth (the Quran*))”. The Quran at most represents partly the truth, as you will see. See 13/1 – 40/75 – 41/12.

**009 2/23: “- - - what We (Allah*) have revealed (the Quran*) - - -”. Wrong. No omniscient god has made or cherished (cfr. “the Mother Book – f. ex. 13/69) a book with that many mistaken facts, contradictions and other errors. Either it is not made by Allah or Allah is not omniscient – if he exists.

010 2/24: “But if ye cannot – and of surety ye (non-Muslims*) cannot (produce a surah of the same quality like in the Quran*) - - -”. The surahs are no good literature – more or less copies of Arab folklore, legends, fairy tales, and stuff Muhammad had been told from the Bible - and mainly not from the Bible itself but from apocryphal (made up) stories. In addition the composition and presentation of the texts belong in primary school. Many a good writer could collect such stories and do much better (on these points f. ex. the Bible is far better written). The Arab language itself is said to be excellent – but when you know that the language was

polished for some 250 years by top intelligent and top learned men, until it got its somewhat final form around 900 AD (the Arab alphabet was not completed until then), that point tells nothing about the original Qurans from around 650 – caliph Uthman’s and others’. The claim is wrong.

**011 2/25: “- - - glad tidings - - -.” Wrong. At the very best one can say that the Quran brought some glad tidings for all the bad ones, wanting loot and slaves and power, and for some longing for a strong religion - - - if it was not because the Quran itself proves 100% that something is very wrong in the book. So wrong that it neither can be made nor revered by any god – not even by a small mini god. Too much is wrong in the book. Also see 61/13.

012 2/29a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong – no 7 heavens. See 2/22b.

*013 2/29b: “- - - He gave order and perfection to the seven firmaments - - -”. Firmament is another word for the heaven we see, though mostly used for the night sky. The Quran many places tells about the seven heavens or firmaments or tracts (the word “heavens” or similar is used in plural in the Quran at least 199 times) - there is no doubt that according to the Quran there are 7 (material) heavens. (Islam also “knows” who inhabit the different heavens - f. ex. Jesus in the 2. heaven, Joseph in 4., Aaron in 5., Moses in 6., and Abraham in the 7. heaven, and Allah above the 7. heaven, to mention some. This is not said in the Quran, though). There also is no doubt that the Quran believes the heavens are material - if not it was not possible to build it or to fix the stars to the lowermost heaven, like the Quran states several places. No god had believed this - but Muhammad did, as this was what one believed in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad. The seven heavens are taken from old Greek astronomy - or perhaps from Persian astronomy, which also believed in 7 heavens. Any god, but not Muhammad, would have known it was very wrong. Islam has several “explanations” concerning this very obvious mistake, but we have never seen or heard any Muslim mention even the possibility that Muhammad’s picture about astronomy could be explained by his believing in Greek or Persian astronomy.

Muslims sometimes explains that 7 in old Arab was a synonym for “many” (and 70 for “very many”), and that the Quran consequently does not mean 7 but many. But honestly “many” is at least as wrong as “7”.

014 2/32: “- - - it is Thou (Allah) who art perfect in knowledge and wisdom”. All the mistakes prove that the maker of the Quran was not perfect in knowledge, and all the invalid logic proves he also was not perfect in wisdom. At least something is wrong.

015 2/39: “- - - Signs - - -” also written “Sign”, “His Signs”, “Our (Allah’s*) Signs” or “My (Allah’s*) Signs” or other variations. In “Quran-speak” it means an indication or a proof for Allah’s and/or the Quran’s existence. In reality it proves absolutely nothing, as without exception they only are loose statements or as loose claims just hanging in empty air, all built on nothing, because it never is proved or documented that Allah really said or did or created what the Quran in each case claims he said or did or created, and then uses as a “sign”. Or they rest on other claims that are not proved. According to all human thinking, all judicial laws, and also according to the even more strict laws of logic such “proofs” flatly and simply are invalid and without any value. **After all a valid proof is: “One or more proven facts that can give only one conclusion”**, and in the Quran all “signs” without exception builds on claimed “facts” that neither the book nor Islam proves – or are able to prove (well, there may be a few exception in the “signs” taken from the Bible, but they in case proves Yahweh, not

Allah – we know that Muslims and the Quran likes to say that those two just are different names for the same god, but that is not true unless the god is strongly ill mentally (schizophrenic), as the teachings fundamentally are too different (more about this other places in “1000+ Mistakes in the Quran”).

In addition there is the fact that any priest in any religion can claim exactly the same for his god(s) as Muslims claim for Allah, in absolutely all cases where the word “sign(s)” in the Quran is not borrowed from the Bible, as long as no real proof or no real documentation is demanded brought forth – words are that cheap. “Baal makes the sun rise in the east. Allah cannot make it rise in the west. Then Baal is the real god and Allah a fake one.” Infantile “proofs”, but this is the kind of level you find on the “signs” and “proofs” in the Quran (This example is taken from the Quran – Abraham is proving his god Allah, but of course with Allah as the hero. Totally invalid as a proof).

***As said the claims logically are absolutely without any value as indication/proof for a deity, not to mention for a specific god – f. ex. Allah. And it documents an interesting fact: Islam has not got one single proof neither for Allah, nor for verification of the Quran, nor for Muhammad’s connection to a deity. IF THEY HAD HAD ONE SINGLE SUCH PROOF – EVEN A SMALL ONE – YOU BET THEY HAD TOLD ABOUT IT AND USED IT! Islam is only built on loose words and as loose and unproven claims - - - made by a man whose words hardly would have been accepted as “bona fide” proofs in Old Bailey, London. The underlying claims that the so-called signs have any value as proofs or at least indications for Allah simply are wrong unless Islam first proves that Allah really was/is behind the “signs”.

You find the word used many places in the Quran.

016 2/41a: “And believe in what I (Allah*) reveal (the Quran*) - - - “. An omniscient god had not revealed a book with so many mistakes.

017 2/41b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

**018 2/41c: “(The Quran*), confirming the revelation (the OT - Old Testament*) which is with you (Jews*) - - -”. The Quran is not confirming OT and absolutely not NT - the fundamental thoughts and teaching are too different especially from NT and the new covenant (Matt. 26/28, Mark 14/24, Luke 22/20) – the new covenant that Muslims never mention, and except for the educated ones have never been told about.

019 2/42a: ”- - - and cover not Truth with falsehood - - -”. See 40/75.

*020 2/42b: “- - - nor conceal the Truth when you know (what it is)”. For “truth” see 40/75. The contents of this and the entrance above: This is said to be aimed at the Jews that did not want to misunderstand 5 Mos. 18/15 (and 18/18) to mean that this verse foretells Muhammad - (translated from Swedish): “A prophet from among your own people, from your brothers, the Lord, your God, let come to you. Listen to him”. That means God is saying: “I will let a prophet come forth from among your brothers - - -”. Muslims say “brothers” here mean the Arabs, and that the Bible here talks about Muhammad. But very honestly: The brother of Jews is another Jew especially as it is said he shall come “from among your own people” - the Jews’ own people. (We use the word Jew, because that is the normal word today, even if the word is much younger than the time of Moses. Also Yusuf Ali uses it). This simply may be foretelling about Jesus, but Muslims has “adjusted” the meaning.

Actually the word “brother” or similar is used in figurative meaning at least 55 times in OT, nearly always meaning another Jew or other Jews (1 exception: A king talks to another king. A very few other exceptions: About Lot’s people and about Edomites - descendants of Esau, the brother of the patriarch Jacob), and absolutely never about Arabs. Arabs and Arabia are mentioned half a dozen times in the Bible – without exception either in neutral forms or as enemies, never as friends or relatives. Worse – and never mentioned by Muslims: The word is used in the Quran some 30 times, and always about fellow (Muslim) Arabs (one exception, where the main point is that the bad hypocrites stick together). There simply exists no place neither in the Bible nor in the Quran expressing brotherhood between Jews and Arabs (but many to the contrary). Besides 5. Mos. 15. and 18. continues into 21. (NEVER mentioned by Muslims) that explains that one will recognise the Lord’s prophet on that they make prophecies, and correct prophecies. Muhammad never made real prophecies – he did not even pretend to or claim to have that gift, not one single time in all the Quran. (He simply was no real prophet, but borrowed that imposing and impressive title.) On the contrary he was busy explaining away why he was unable to make miracles (prophesying is a kind of miracle). Muhammad thus could not – also because of 21. – be Yahweh’s promised prophet. And as he in reality was no prophet at all – he had as mentioned not that gift – he absolutely could not be a special prophet as he in reality was no prophet (well, there are made other definitions for a prophet, but without being able to make true prophecies you are no real prophet), and the claim is out of the question.

It simply is a case of a word that is possible to give more than one meaning, and a religion in dire need from lack of proofs for their presumed god, and from sheer necessity because they falsely were promised to find proof or at least indications in the Bible, cling to a meaning that is foreign to the Bible’s normal use of the word, and quote it out of context (5. Mos. 18/21 even makes Muhammad impossible as an explanation here), but full of wishful thinking.

****Islam will have to produce strong proofs. After all it is they that produce this unlikely claim, and then it is up to them to prove it – not up to others to disprove it. (But then Islam lives on unproven claims and statements and blind belief).**

00a 2/50: “- - - We (Allah*) divided the sea for you (Moses and his Jews*) - - -“. From other places in the Quran (and in most translations of the Bible) it is told that this was the Red Sea. But in the Hebrew original the name is Yam Suph, which as well can mean “The Sea of Reeds” (this also is confirmed in many footnotes in NIV (“New International Version” of the Bible)). The Sea of Reeds (also called Timsah Sea) used to be a big lake where the Suez Canal now runs – not far from the Bitter Seas. The name tells it was just a shallow lake – the longest reeds we have been able to find, is a kind of rice that can be up to 5 -7 m long and grows in the big sea Tonle Sap in Cambodia, and the reeds growing in this area of Egypt are shorter - - - and the water cannot be deeper than the reeds get their “heads” above the water”.

Also look at the map: Goshen where the Jews settled were in the river delta of the Nile. To get to Sinai they had to go south-south-east. It would be stupidity beyond any credibility to go so far west that they ended at the western side of the Red Sea, and thus force such a huge number of people and animals to cross the sea by boats they did not have (remember they did not know about the opening of the sea – fire/smoke-column or not (= the pathfinder/Yahweh according to the Bible)). After all they according to the Bible were 600000 men + women + children + animals and belongings. (Theoretically it is quite possible for 70 – 100 (depending on how many wives his 11 sons in the group had) persons that came with Jacob + Joseph and his family, to become may be 2000000 “Jews” 430 years later.)

Science tells that – if the Exodus took place – the “Jews” quite likely were overtaken as they marched or camped along that lake.

021 2/53: “- - - We (Allah) gave Moses the scripture - - -”. The books named after Moses (the Torah) are not written by Moses. Moses lived (if he is not a fiction) around 1300-1200 BC (if the Exodus from Egypt really took place, it took place ca. 1235 BC during the reign of Ramses II according to science), and those books were written not earlier than ca. 800 BC - perhaps as late as 500 BC - also according to science. A god had known that, whereas Muhammad knew nothing about their real age, and had to guess. (To be exact: The Bible says that Yahweh told Moses the law – nothing material except the two stone tablets where the ten Commandments were inscribed, were brought down from the mountain – and that he was told the law and himself wrote it down afterwards. OT also says that when Solomon moved the Ark of Covenant into the Temple in Jerusalem (1.Kings 8/9); it only contained the two stone tablets. There is nothing about “the Books of Moses”, though the OT makes it clear that the laws existed in writing and were found again later – but science is unanimous that the Books of Moses (you also see it written in singular) are written much later. If Muslims claim something else, they will have to produce proofs.) The law really is part of the Torah/Books of Moses.

022 2/61: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00b 2/65-66: “We (Allah*) said to them ‘Be ye apes, despised and rejected’. So We made it an example to their own time and to their posterity, and a lesson for those who fear Allah”. That humans are changed into apes is an extraordinary statement. An extraordinary statement needs an extraordinary proof. The Quran here offers no proof at all.

00c 2/73: “Allah said: ‘Strike the (body) with a piece of the (heifer)’. Thus Allah bringet the dead to life - - -“. It is not possible to wake up a dead this way. Islam will have to produce a solid proof – especially as this story is not in the Bible, and thus is taken from a legend.

023 2/75: “- - - seeing that a party of them (the Jews in Medina*) hear the word of Allah, and perverted it knowingly after they understood it - - -.” **Wrong. Science have shown very clearly that the Bible is not falsified – and consequently that it has never been something like the Quran. If Islam means something else, they will have to bring proofs, not only loos claims and even looser statements. If Islam had had even a small proof, the world had been forced to hear it every two hours or more – at least.

*We may add that Islam and Muslims here try to use the Bible to prove their words – f. ex. Jeremiah 23/36: “Ye have perverted the words of the living God.” This one is dishonesty on two levels:

1. It is for one thing quoted out of context. Jeremiah tells: “If a prophet or a priest or anyone else claims, ‘This is the oracle of the LORD (Yahweh*), I (Yahweh*) will punish that man and his household. - - - But you must not mention ‘the oracle of the LORD’ again because (if you do*) every man’s word becomes his oracle and so you distort the words of the living God”. (NIV). **There is an**

abyss between this meaning and the meaning in the above slightly twisted quotation from the Bible. Dishonest and slightly disgusting – and quite revealing about some Muslim methods and lacks of real facts and arguments.

2. *(Muhammad lived to loose all his children except one daughter - a punishment for claiming to represent Yahweh alias Allah?)
3. Even if it had been true – even if Jeremiah had said that the Jews had perverted (though “perverted” is a stronger word than “distorted”) this did not tell one millimetre about distorting Quranic texts, like here is indicated, only distortion of the Torah.

Knowing that this is taken from the widely distributed and highly prized “The Message of the Quran”, canonized or at least certified by the foremost Islamic intellectual institutions in the world, cases like this gives us a bad taste on their behalf: To resort to intellectual dishonesty of this kind is humiliating when found out.

And for what reason? Just in order to be right, instead of to try to find out what is right. This in spite of the fact that the price if they are wrong, is the loss of the soul of each and every Muslim - - - if there is a Hell in the perhaps next life.

024 2/79: “Then woe to those who write the Book with their own hands (= falsify) - - -.” See 2/75 just above. (And no god made a book with so many mistaken facts and other mistakes like you find in the Quran: What if it is a falsification - is it then "Woe to" Muhammad? - he f. ex. got nearly no children with all his wives and lost nearly all the few children he got. And there is no proof for whether he ended in Hell or Paradise - if such ones exist.)

025 2/87: “We (Allah*) gave Moses the Book - - -”. See 2/53.

026 2/89a: “And then there comes to them (Jews in Medina) a Book (the Quran*) from Allah - - -”. A book with that many mistakes, invalid “proofs” etc., is not from an omniscient god? See 41/12.

027 2/89b: “- - - (a Book (the Quran)) confirming what is with them (Jews and Christians*) (the Torah and the Bible) - - -”, which means that the Quran confirms the Torah and other holy Jewish scriptures and the rest of the Bible. But too many fundamental principles are different - the Quran is no confirmation of neither the Torah, etc., nor of the Bible, not to mention of the New Testament (NT) on which the Christian religion is built. F. ex. “You shall not kill” vs. “You shall not kill without a good reason”, the value of and strife for “the lost lamb”, vs. “You shall not mourn the wrongdoers that ends in Hell”, “Love your enemy” vs. “Kill the enemy wherever you find him”, and “Love your enemy” vs. incitement to and orders of war and hate and discrimination of “infidels”, just to mention some of the deep differences. Not to mention “my empire is not of this world” and “give unto God what belongs to God, and unto the Emperor what belongs to the Emperor” - the last meaning money - (translated from Swedish), compared to: Fight for Allah and Muhammad till all non-Muslims are utterly suppressed and pay extra tax.

028 2/89c: “- - - when there comes to them (Jews in Medina*) that (texts that later became the Quran*) which they should have recognized (indicating they should have recognized the texts from Muhammad in their OT/Torah). Wrong – the underlying basic thinking and a lot of details are so different, that the only thing possible to recognize, is that something is very wrong.

029 2/90: “- - - (the revelation (the Quran*)) which Allah has sent down - - -“. No omniscient god ever made, sent down or revered a book with that many mistakes and that much invalid logic.

030 2/91a: “Believe in what Allah hath sent down (= the Quran*)”. Is it really Allah that has sent down a book with so many mistaken facts? Simply no - not if he was omniscient.

031 2/91b: “- - - yet they reject all besides, even if it be the Truth (the Quran*)”. A book with so many mistaken facts is at best only partly the truth.

032 2/91c: “- - - confirming what is with them (the Torah, etc., (= OT*) and the Bible*)”. The Quran is no confirmation of neither the Torah nor the Bible - see 2/89 above + 3/3 below.

***00d 2/93: “We (Jews in Medina*) hear and we disobey”. Muhammad Asad adds (com.77): “Even if they did not say those words, their later behaviour justifies this quote”. But words that are not said, are not said, and cannot be quoted in honesty – would a god resort to such arguments? And how come that this quote is in the Quran – may be billions of years old and infallible and revered by Allah – if they did not say it? - and how many other made up arguments do you in case find in the Quran?

***033 2/94: “If the last Home, with Allah (Yahweh*), be for you (Jews*) specially, and not for anyone else, then seek for ye death, if ye are sincere - - -“. Wrong. A Jew (or a Christian) cannot seek death to go to Heaven, because self murder – also indirectly – is a serious sin (destroying the gift from God - your life) = end in Hell. Any god had known – but obviously not Muhammad. Worse: Muslim scholars today know this, but use the argument anyhow in their congregations.

00e 2/95: “But they (Jews*) will never seek for death, on account of the (sins) which their hands have sent on before them.” The reason more likely is the one mentioned in 2/94 just above.

034 2/97a: “- - - he (Gabriel*) brings down the (revelation (the Quran*)) to thy heart by Allah’s will - - -“. No omniscient god sends down a book with that many mistakes and contradictions and that much invalid logic.

035 2/97b: “- - - a confirmation of what went before (Torah + Bible*)”. Wrong. See 2/89 above and 3/3 below.

*036 2/97c: “- - - glad tidings - - -“. Wrong. At the very best one can say that the Quran brought some glad tidings to all the bad ones, wanting loot and slaves and power, and to someones longing for a strong religion - - - if it was not because the Quran itself proves 100% that something is very wrong in the book. So wrong that it neither can be made nor revered by any god – not even by a small mini god. Too much is wrong in the book. Also see 61/13.

037 2/99a: “We (Allah*) have sent down to thee (people*) manifest Signs - - -“. **The Quran is overloaded with what it says are “signs” (indicated to be proofs) and “Clear Signs” or like here “manifest Signs” (indicated to be strong proofs) – and not one single of them proves anything about Allah or the Quran or Muhammad, as the book NEVER proves, only claims, that Allah did this or that which it then calls a “sign” or a “clear sign” or a “proof” (there may be some exceptions for signs taken from the Bible, but those in case prove Yahweh, not Allah – and only Islam claims that Yahweh and Allah is the same god (which they cannot be, unless the god is schizophrenic – they are too different, especially when Yahweh is acting according to the New Covenant from NT (f. ex. Luke 22/20), which came some 580 years before Muhammad started his preaching, but which Muslims never mention). Especially claims for “Clear Signs” are so obviously wrong, that it is impossible not to include them in these columns: “Mistaken facts”. They are not signs – and definitely no clear signs - for a god, and even if they were, they absolutely were no clear signs for Allah,**

because any priest in any religion can make just the same claims for his god or gods – words are that cheap - - - also for Muhammad.

038 2/99b: “We have sent down to thee (people) manifest Signs (ayat); and none reject them but those who are perverse - - -“. **Wrong. To question “signs” that are not proved coming from Allah, and thus logically invalid as signs, not to mention as proofs, is not a sign of being perverse – on the contrary; blindly to believe in it without even asking questions is a strong indication of being naive, especially when one knows how morally degenerated the only source for the Quran – Muhammad – was.**

*039 2/101a: “And when there came to them a Messenger from Allah - - -“. **Can a man making so many mistakes in the book he dictated - presumably on behalf of Allah - really be a messenger of an omniscient god? Or if he made no mistakes, and the Quran is faked - is he then from Allah? An omniscient god simply did not send down a book with that many mistakes, etc.**

040 2/101b: “- - - a party of the People of the Book (here Jews – the People of the Book = Jews and Christians, and “the Book” in this connection is the Bible*) threw away the Book of Allah (the Quran*), as if (it had been something) they did not know!” The Quran here tells that the Jews recognized the Quran from the OT. That is wrong – there are so fundamental differences and so many points that are different between the Quran and the Bible, that the only thing that is possible to know, is that something is utterly wrong. One of the proofs for this, is that the absolute majority of the thousands of Jews in the region refused to accept Islam – even in the face of ruin or slavery or death.

041 2/101: “(Muhammad was*) confirming what (the Bible, etc.*) was with them (the Jews and the Christians*)”. Wrong. See 2/89.

042 2/102: “- - - the buyers of (magic) - - -“. Magic is just superstition - any god had known this.

*00f 2/105: “But Allah will choose for His special Mercy whom He will - - -“. Muhammad Asad here explains that this is stating that Jews and Christians refused to believe in Muhammad and his Quran, because Muhammad was from the “outside” – the Quran, Islam and Muslims repeats and repeats this unproven claim and disuses it as an “explanation”, whereas the real main reason why they did not accept Muhammad's new religion, was that there were such a number of and such fundamental differences from the Bible, that something

obviously was very wrong. Besides, the Jews – the absolute majority of non-Arabs in the area – believed they had a covenant with Yahweh, and both the Quran and modern time Islam and Muslims are dishonest enough never to mention this fact as a main reason for why the Jews were not interested in Muhammad’s teachings: The covenant and the very different religion were the two reasons why they were not interested in Islam – not what the Quran and Islam claims and claims and claims (as normal for them absolutely without any proof or documentation): – that the reason was that Muhammad was not a Jew.

043 2/107a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong (no 7 heavens). See 2/22a.

00g 2/107b: “And besides Him ye (people) have neither patron nor helper.” Well, Jesus told many times and in front of lots of witnesses that he could help, and even the Quran admits that Jesus was honest.

044 2/108: “But whoever changeth from Faith (Islam*) to Unbelief, hath strayed without doubt from the even way (the road to Paradise*)”. With all the mistakes, contradictions, and wrong logic in the Quran, there is a most real doubt, and reason for doubt, for that Islam can be “the even way” to Paradise – this even more so when one knows that the only source for the stories in the Quran, was the very morally degenerated man and self proclaimed prophet Muhammad (who did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies, but used the nice title all the same) – nearly all self proclaimed prophets through the time have turned out to be false prophets wanting something in this life – normally money, women and/or power - without caring too much for the means they used. Muhammad at least wanted women and power. (And where do all Muslims end if Islam is a wrong way and they discover it too late?)

045 2/109: “- - - after the Truth (the Quran*) hath become manifest - - -“. The Quran at best is partly the truth – too many mistakes, too many contradictions, too much twisted logic, etc.

046 2/113a: “- - - they (Jews and Christians*) profess to study the (same) Book.” Wrong for two reasons: One: Jews only have the Old Testament (OT). Two: The Christian religion is built on NT, with OT mainly as historical background – a fact that opponents often forget or “forget”.

**047 2/113b: “Yet they (Jews and Christians*) (profess to) study the same Book”. This is only partly true. Jews study only OT. Christians build their religion on the much milder and more human NT and the new covenant (Luke 22/20) – the covenant Muslims never mention - with OT mainly as historical background. This is a fact that often is forgotten or “forgotten” when one talks about the Christian religion - especially when one wants to paint the religion as black as possible.

048 2/116a: “They say; ‘Allah has begotten a son’, (which the Quran vehemently denies). But Jesus often called God/Yahweh father - there were many, many witnesses to this. If he spoke the truth - and even the Quran says he was an honest person - this in case means the Quran is wrong here. (Yahweh is called the father of Jesus at least 163 times in the Bible, and Jesus the son of Yahweh at least 66 times. And remember: In spite of undocumented claims from Islam, science has shown that the Bible is not falsified).

049 2/116b: “And on earth: everything renders worship to Him.” From other places in the Quran one knows that “everything” is meant literally – every living being and all inanimate things. As one never observes any other living beings, not to mention inanimate things, than

Muslims worship Allah – and except for humans even no god – and the same for inanimate things, and the nature thus shows that the claim is not true, this is one of the claims that must be deemed untrue unless Islam proves it. Proves, not only states or claims. (To quote remark 120 in Abdullah Yusuf Ali: “The Meaning of the Quran”: “- - - everything in heaven and earth celebrates the glory of Allah”. But like normal for Muslims it only is a claim – no documentation, no proof, and no real explanation).

050 2/117a: “Verily, We (Allah*) has sent thee (Muhammad*) in truth - - -“. All the mistakes, etc. in the Quran tells that this cannot be true - that he was not sent by an omniscient god.

051 2/117b: “- - - We (Allah*) have sent thee (Muhammad*) in truth - - -“. Well, that is a very central question: How much of what Muhammad told, was true?

052 2/118: “We (Allah*) have indeed made clear the Signs unto any people who hold firmly to Faith - - -.” There simply are no valid clear signs – proofs – for Allah anywhere in the Quran. See 2/99 above.

053 2/119a: “Verily, We (Allah*) have sent thee (Muslims/people*) in truth a bearer of glad tidings and a warner (= Muhammad) - - -.” No omniscient god has sent a bearer of tidings in which so much is wrong.

054 2/119b: “- - - glad tidings - - -“. That the Quran is “glad tidings” at very best is only partly true. See 2/97c above and 61/13 below.

055 2/120: “The Guidance of Allah (the Quran*) – that is the only Guidance”. A book with so many mistakes, so much invalid logic, and so much inhumanity is not at all a guidance – at best a misguidance.

056 2/125a: “- - - take ye the Station of Abraham (in/near Kabah*) - - -”. Abraham never was in Mecca. See 2/127 below.

057 2/125b: “- - - the Station of Abraham - - -“ is a mark in a stone. Muhammad indicated and Islam says that mark was made from Abraham’s feet when he stood there and built the Kabah. Let the fact that Abraham never was in Mecca (unless Islam proves it – see 2/127 below) aside: No worker building something ever stood so long at one and the same place, that his feet made a mark in a solid natural stone - marks visible centuries later. Now, Islam tells the mark (actually 2 - one for each foot) is a result of a miracle, as they claim the stone turned so soft that Abraham's feet sank into it. (They also claim that the stone is from Jannah - (the gardens of Heaven)). Well, Islam has till now even proved that Abraham even ever visited Mecca, a place that was very prohibiting for him and his big flocks of animals - a barren desert to quote Muslims, and his claimed first trip even before the Zamzam well even was found, according to Islam - laying behind forbidding desert lands through which he had to lead all his sheep, goats, cows, etc. and find food and water for them - and he had many as he was a rich man. And on top of all a place very far from where he lived and a place without any attractions for a big owner of cattle, etc. Believe it whoever wants - but go to a doctor if you believe this and the rest of that story (big mosque built by 2 nomads, Ishmael bringing a big stone - too big to lift - for his father to stand on, and a stone shining so strongly that Allah had to switch off its light) without reasonable proofs.

058 2/125c: “- - - We covenanted with Abraham and Ishmael, that they should sanctify my House (Kabah in Mecca*)”. Abraham and Ishmael had nothing to do with the building of the Kabah - see 2/127 below.

059 2/126: “- - - Abraham said: ‘Make this (Mecca*) a City Of Peace - - -.’” Wrong. Abraham never was in Mecca, unless Islam produces solid proofs for it. See 2/217 just below.

****060 2/127a: “And remember that Abraham and Ishmael raised the foundations of the House (Kabah*) (with this prayer): - - - “. Abraham never built the foundation of Kabah (and a contradiction; other verses say he built the building, not only the foundation of it) - and there are several reasons for that:**

1. He was born in Ur in Chaldea (if he really existed) in what is now south Iraq. Together with his father, (Tarah according to the Bible), he later travelled northwest up along the Euphrates valley to Karan in what is now north Iraq. Years later he continued south southwest to Kaanan and the town Sikem in what is now Israel (Sikem is north of Jerusalem. It is now named Nablus). That is to say he travelled along the so-called Fertile Crescent - the natural route when you travel with flocks of animals. The alternative was to take a shortcut through the Arab desert, but few of his numerous sheep and goats and cows would survive such a trip. He never visited Mecca on his way from Ur to Sikem. (Besides this was too early in the story - Ishmael was not born yet, and he is a part of the building of the Kabah according to the Quran).
2. Abraham then settled in the western part of Kaanan (now approximately Israel), whereas his nephew Lot settled in the Jordan valley further east. Later Abraham moved south to Negev in Sinai. Negev today is most known for its desert, but not all was desert. All this is according to the Bible, but the Quran has no conflicting information, except that his father had another name. The point is that between Kaanan and Mecca and even more between Negev and Mecca are hundreds and hundreds of kilometres of the tough and dry and hot Arab desert. Abraham was rich and had huge flocks of animals. He could not take those huge flocks of sheep, etc., through that desert.
3. Abraham lived hundreds of kilometres from Mecca - and had to cross harsh terrain to get to and from. Nobody builds a big temple for

himself and his family at a place they can never or nearly never visit.

4. Abraham was a nomad. Nomads do not have the know-how and technology to build large stone buildings.

Abraham simply was not involved in the building of Kabah, and it is highly unlikely he ever visited Mecca and even the Arab peninsula. It looks like a fairy tale made up to give weight to Kabah and to Islam. And not least to Muhammad, who 2500 years later could tell he was direct descendant from Abraham - without the slightest written paper from all those years. 2500 years of mostly an-alphabetic nomads without any written history. Believe it if you want – and if you know who was your forefather the year 500 BC (= ca. 2500 years ago).

It also is worth adding that Muslims say that Mecca was where Abraham's (or actually Sarah's) slave, Hagar, and his and her child Ismael (Ishmael) were sent away from Abraham's camp, that the two lived there, and that Abraham frequently visited them later. There is no source of information for this. The OT says they lived in Negev, which is weeks by camel from Mecca - and much, much longer for large flocks of sheep, goats, and cattle (American cowboys driving flocks of cattle to the railway, made 10-12 miles – 16-20 km - a day. The nomads in the south hardly moved any faster - - - if they could find water). In addition to the long time it would take, many animals hardly would survive the long trek through the harsh Arab desert. And there was in addition no reason for him and his family to take such a dangerous and meaningless trip with their animals to a barren and dry valley. And as he never visited Mecca, he could not have left Hagar and Ismael there (this even more so as the Bible mention that Ishmael lived near the border of Egypt and got his wife from Egypt (see just below) - - - and science has proved that the Bible is not falsified - the easy way out for Muslims when the Bible mentions things they do not like). If Islam wants to insist that he ever visited Mecca, they have to

produce strong proofs, as it is extremely unlikely - and “special statements demands special proofs”. It is highly likely this just is a story made up or “borrowed” from f. ex. folklore to give the teachings of Muhammad credence.

5. One more fact: The Bible – a book that Islam insists is correct every time there is some text they like, but that may be the truth other times, too, says (1. Mos. 21/21): “While he (Ishmael*) was living in the Desert of Paran, his mother got a wife for him from Egypt”. Except for religious Muslims that strongly wishes this to be a reference to Paran or Faran near Mecca, all serious scientists say that this was Paran in Sinai - - - which also made it easier for his mother (who was from Egypt) to find him a wife from Egypt even though that made his children $\frac{3}{4}$ Egyptian and only $\frac{1}{4}$ descendants of Abraham’s stock (there is mentioned only one wife for Ishmael).
6. Further (1. Mos. 25/18): “His (Ishmael’s) descendants settled in the area from Havila to Shur, near the border of Egypt, as you go toward Asshur”. The border of Egypt means near the Red Sea. Just where most scientists place Paran. (It is a bit ironic that Islam say the Bible has the name correct, but all the rest of the information about place, wife from (neighbouring) Egypt, etc. wrong. But if you go looking, you will find that according to Islam, the Bible never has a mistake and is reliable when what it says fits Islam. But when it tells things or facts that contradicts Islam, the Bible is falsified - or like here one simply omits the contradicting facts - - - which one safely can do, as hardly any Muslim knows tha Bible well enough to see the cherry-picking of information). And NB: This was written 1000 or more years before Muhammad, and with no reason to place Ishmael far from Arabia if it was not the truth.

To go all the way to Mecca was too forbidding for a man with large flocks of animal – and there never was a reason to go there for Abraham. On the contrary: Little food for his animals, no water in Mecca before the Zamzam was found later (?) – and Ishmael living “near the border of Egypt”. He never was in Mecca and consequently never built the Kabah – the big temple that he anyhow did not have the know-how to build, and worse; could not use, because he lived the better part of 1000 km away.

061 2/127b: “And remember Abraham and Ishmael raised the foundations of the House (Kabah*)”. See 2/127.

062 2/129: “- - - Sign(s) - - -” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00h 2/130: “- - - the religion of Abraham (= Islam*) - - -” The Quran often claims that Islam = the religion of Abraham. But it always was and only is a claim – no proof, no documentation - not even a try to explain, except the undocumented and not proved claim that everyone that say something else are lying, and that other scriptures that science deems more reliable (not proven 100%, but far more likely to be true) are falsifications, even though science has shown that they are not falsified – may be are not everything true in the Bible, too, but science has clearly shown it is not falsified. (To prove it 100.0% of course is impossible – there always will be theoretical or made up possibilities. But it is proved at least 99.5% - far beyond any judicial definition of the word - and if Islam claims something else, they will have to produce proofs, not only claims that are not even based on a likely theory about how all the thousands of different manuscript shall have had so many chapters and verses falsified in exactly the same way – spread over all the world one knew at that time. All that Islam offers, is a stubborn claim – not even a theory about how it should be possible to falsify everything, or about why all the thousands of old manuscripts that science knows, show it is not falsified. For comparison: Islam's claims about falsifications of the Bible are not proved even 0,5%. They only are claims.

No proof. No documentation even though there exist thousands of documents. Only a stubborn claim based on nothing but a book with lots of mistakes dictated by a man with questionable moral and a lust for power to say the least of it.

063 2/131: “Lord of the Worlds”. The Quran tells about 7 (flat) worlds (65/12) – one above the other according to Hadith. Wrong (One small detail: In Abdullah Yusuf Ali (the same Yusuf Ali, but revised after his death): “The Meaning of the Holy Quran”, 11. edition, the word is changed from “the Worlds” to “the Universe” – one my guess why.

064 2/135: “- - - the Religion of Abraham, the True (religion*) - - -”. According to the Quran, Abraham was a Muslim. But looking at all the other mistakes and twisted logic and stories in the Quran – Islam will have to produce real proofs for that that was true. Also see 2/130 above.

065 2/136a: “- - - the revelations (the Quran*) given to us (Muhammad/Muslims*)”. Were they really given? – and were they really revelations? Under no circumstances did such revelations/the Quran come from an omniscient god – not that full of mistakes, etc.

66 2/136b: “We (Allah) make no difference between one or another of them (prophets*) - - -”. There is one distinction at least Yahweh makes: Between real and false prophets. The criterion for being a real prophet, is that you make prophesies – and that the prophesies come true. If not he is a false prophet (5. Mos. 18/21). Muhammad made during all his life not one real prophesy. (There were a few sayings that were remembered because they happened to become true – the others were forgotten like normal in such cases – but no real prophesies. He never – no place in the Quran and hardly in all the Hadiths – even claimed to have the gift of making prophesies). Was he then really a prophet – or did he simply “borrow” an impressive title? He simply was not a prophet. A messenger for someone or something perhaps – or an apostle, but no prophet. But if either the Quran or the Bible or both speak the truth concerning

this, Jesus clearly was. The Quran, though, reduces Jesus as much as possible, and simply skips the question of Muhammad's right to the title – as so often the book treats things for a fact without the slightest proof or documentation.

067 2/136b: “We (Allah*) make no difference between one or another of them (prophets*) - - -“. **Wrong. The Quran makes a great difference between Muhammad – even though he was no real prophet, as he did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies – and all other prophets. If Allah made no difference between them, then why is there so big difference in the Quran?**

00i 2/137: “- - - (Muslims are*) on the right path - - -“. Can a “path” based on a book full of mistakes and dictated by a man of very doubtful moral, really be said to be “the right path”?

068 2/139: “- - - (Allah*) is our (Muslims’*) Lord and your (non-Muslim’s*) Lord - - -“. As this claim only is based on other, not proven claims, and especially as there exists other possibilities where at least some are based on stronger traditions, this is an invalid statement, unless it is proved.

00j 2/140: “- - - do ye know better than Allah?” (- about the old patriarchs, etc. of Israel.)

1. No – if Allah really exists and is omniscient and contacted Muhammad. All of which seems to be doubtful judging from all the mistakes, etc. in the Quran.
2. Perhaps – if Allah really exists, but is not omniscient, but contacted Muhammad – which Muhammad only claimed, never proved, even though it should be possible for Allah.
3. Yes – if Allah really exists, but did not contact Muhammad. Modern science knows a lot more than Muhammad did – and are not going all out for power, etc. = more reliable.
4. Yes, definitely – if Allah does not exist and just was a fiction from a perhaps sick man (TLE?) building a platform of power.

069 2/144a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

070 2/144b: “The people of the Book (= Jews, Christians and Sabeans) know very well that that (the reason for changing the kiblah = direction of praying*) is the truth from their Lord”.

1. Jews and Christians definitely do not know this - and neither did the Sabeans know it (Sabeans lived in Sabah, in what now is Yemen. They had become Christians via influence from Christians in East Africa. (Though Islam says the Sabeans were a sect in Arabia – though with very vague ideas about where and who.))

2. As the Quran contains a lot of mistakes, it is a question if also the rest is wrong.
3. As the Quran contains a lot of mistakes, it also is a question if this is from our Lord, Yahweh. **It even is a question if a god was involved in the Quran at all - a god does not make mistakes, not to mention such a number of mistakes - or loose statements and false “signs“ and “proofs“ - the hallmarks of cheats and deceivers.**

071 2/145: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

072 2/145+146: “If thou, after the knowledge (of the new qiblah = what direction to face when you are praying), wert to follow their (the People of the Book’s*) (vain) desire - then wert thou indeed (clearly) in the wrong. The People of the Book know this as they know their own sons.” But it is most obvious that this is not true - neither Jews nor Christians know this - - and especially not Christians, who have no qiblah (churches mostly make their congregation face east, but there is no qiblah).

073 2/146: “- - - but some of them (Jews, Christians*) conceals the truth (the teachings of the Quran*) - - -“. With that many mistaken facts and that much wrong logic, it at best is partly the truth. See 40/75.

074 *2/146: “- - - the truth (the teachings of the Quran*) which they (Jews, Christians*) themselves know.” There are so many and so fundamental differences between the Quran and the Bible – especially the NT – that the only thing that is possible to know, is that something is very wrong in Islamic claims like this (as normal; a not proved claim).

075 2/147a: ”The Truth - - -”, see 40/75.

076 2/147b: “The Truth is from thy (people’s*) Lord (Allah*) - - -”. With so many mistakes in the Quran, it is not from a god, as gods do not make mistakes.

077 2/147c: “The Truth (the Quran*) is from thy (people’s*) Lord, so be not at all in doubt”. With that many mistakes and that much wrong logic, etc., there is every reason for doubt.

00k 2/149: “- - - that (the new qiblah) is indeed the truth from thy Lord”. With so many mistakes in the Quran, it is an open question if this is the truth or not. It is worth mentioning that Muslims states that the direction towards Kabah was Abraham’s kiblah. We have been unable to find out why they say so - there is no reliable source telling that Abraham even had a kiblah, not to mention that it in case was direction Mecca, a place he hardly had ever heard about (it was only during the last few generations before Muhammad that Mecca had grown to a reasonable wealthy town of some size).

078 2/159: “- - - clear (Signs) - - -.” See 2/99a and 2/99b.

079 2/160: “Except those who repent and make amends and openly declare (the Truth)”. With so many mistakes in the Quran, the book at the very best is partly true. See 40/75.

080 2/164a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

*081 2/164b: “- - - the rain which Allah sends down from the skies and the life which He gives to an earth which is dead”. Any god had known it was alive with roots or seeds, not dead - it only looked so.

082 2/164c: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

083 2/173: “He (Allah*) hath only forbidden you dead meat, and blood, and the meat of swine, and that on which another name hath been invoked besides that of Allah”. Wrong. You also are forbidden to eat meat from animals you kill by strangulation, or are gored to death, and Hadiths – f. ex. Al-Bukhari - very clearly prohibits you to eat meat from donkey.

084 2/176a: “- - - Allah sent down the Book”. With so many mistaken facts, it is impossible that the Quran is sent down by a god.

085 2/176b: “- - - Allah sent down the Book (the Quran*) in truth”. With that many mistakes and other dubious arguments, it at best is partly true.

086 2/177: “- - - to believe in Allah and the last day, and the Angles, and the Book, and the Messengers - - -“. Muhammad Ali says this is like accepting the “heavenly revelations” as facts – clearly Islam’s meaning also today is, even though absolutely nothing is proved or documented, it all rests well on blind belief in what a morally very suspect and perhaps sick (TLE?) person once told.

087 2/185: “- - - clear (Signs) - - -“. There exist no clear sign (proof for Allah or Muhammad) in all the Quran – see 2/99.

088 2/187: “- - - Allah makes clear His Signs to men - - -“. There exists no clear sign (proof for Allah or Muhammad) in all the Quran – see 2/99.

089 2/189: “They (the new moons) are but signs to mark fixed periods of time in (the affairs of) men, - - -“. Wrong - the new moons simply is a natural phenomenon. Man often uses to calculate time but it is not made for that purpose. If Islam insists on that, they will have to prove it.

090 2/213a: “Mankind was one single nation - - -“. Mankind never was a single nation. Some 160000-200000 years ago PERHAPS one tribe, but never one nation - and absolutely not within these last few millennia that is covered by the Quran.

091 2/213b: “- - - glad tidings - - -“. Wrong. See 2/97c and 61/13.

092 2/213c: “He (Allah*) sent the Book (the Quran*) - - -“. An omniscient god did not send a book with that many mistakes, contradictions, and invalid proofs, etc.

093 2/213d: “He (Allah*) sent the Book in truth, - - -“. With so many mistaken facts at best it is only partly the truth. See 40/75.

094 2/213e: “- - - Clear Signs - - -“. There are no clear signs – proofs for Allah or Muhammad - in all the Quran. See 2/99.

095 2/213f: “Allah by His Grace guided the believers (by means of the Quran*) - - -“. A book with that many mistakes and invalid proofs, etc. is no guidance.

096 2/213g: “Allah by his Grace guided the believers to the Truth, - - -“. Allah’s presumed book containing so many mistakes, is not the truth. At best the book is partly true.

097 2/219: “Thus does Allah make clear to you His Signs - - -“. There is not one clear sign – proof for Allah or Muhammad – in all the Quran. See 2/99.

098 2/221: “But Allah - - - makes his Signs clear to mankind - - -“. There is not one clear sign – proof for Allah of Muhammad – in all the Quran, as it nowhere is proved that Allah makes the signs. See 2/99.

099 2/231a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

100 2/231b: “- - - the fact that He (Allah) sent down to you the Book - - -”. Is it a fact that a god has sent down a book with so many mistaken facts? Impossible.

*101 2/231c: “- - - the Book of Wisdom, - - -”. A book with so many mistaken facts is no book of wisdom. At best partly a book of wisdom. (But which parts are wisdom and which not?)

102 2/242: “Thus doet Allah make clear His Signs for you - - -“. There is not one single clear sign – proof for Allah or Muhammad – in the entire Quran. See 2/99.

00m 2/247a: “Allah hath appointed Talut (Saul*) king over you (Jews around 1100 - 1000 BC*)”. Most likely it was Yahweh (God) that did so, or what? Allah and Yahweh is not the same god no matter what Islam wants – the fundamental differences are too big and too many. Not unless the god is mentally ill – if he exists.

00n 2/247b: “- - - there shall come to you (the Jews) the Ark of Covenant”. Well, according to the Bible the Ark of Covenant did not come to the Jews – they built it themselves in accordance with a description they got from Yahweh. That was done around 1330 BC under Moses.

103 2/248a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00o 2/248b: “- - - there shall come to you the Ark of the Covenant - - - carried by angles - - -”. This needs strong proof, especially since the Bible has a much more likely explanation. See 2/247b above.

104 2/248c: “- - - a Symbol (= Sign*) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

105 2/252: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

106 2/255: “- - - all the things in the heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

107 2/253a: “- - - We (Allah) gave Clear (Signs) - - -.” There does not exist one single clear sign (in Quran-speak = proof) for neither the Quran, nor for Allah, nor for Muhammad’s connection to a god in all the Quran – only loose claims and demands for blind belief.

108 2/225b: “- - - after Clear (Signs) had come to them - - -.” Wrong. See 2/253a just above.

109 2/255: “- - - over the heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

***110 2/256: “- - - no compulsion in religion - - -”. This “flagship” for “proving” the peaceful Islam, **disused daily by most Muslims and very frequently by Islam itself, is very wrong, because it is abrogated (made invalid) by at least these (ca. 30 all together) from the more bloody and inhuman later Medina surahs: 2/191 – 2/193 – 3/28 – 3/85 – 4/91 – 5/33 – 5/72 – 5/73 – 8/12 – 8/38-39 – 8/39 – 8/60 – 9/3 – 9/5 – 9/14 – 9/23 – 9/29 – 9/33 – 9/73 – 9/123 – 14/7 – 25/36 – 25/52 – 33/61 – 33/73 – 35/36 – 47/4 – 66/9** (as for 5/33: Remember that all the wars and raids Muhammad fought, were wars of aggression, even if he called it jihad – even Badr, Uhud and the Trench (Medina) were battles of defence in a war of aggression started and kept alive by Muhammad’s raids. Non-Muslims should not defend themselves and their belongings, according to 5/33).

In addition to this there are other kinds of compulsion than the sword – economy, brutal taxes, social stigma, “Berufsverbot” (good jobs prohibited), physical insecurity, etc. And all of them were backed by the sword – “conform and obey and pay or else - - -“!!

It must be added that some Muslims say this nonsense in good faith. But not one single Muslim educated in his religion, does not know he is lying each time he says that there is no compulsion in religion under Islam – but then defending and promoting Islam are two of the cases where Al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) and Kitman (the lawful half-truth), are not only lawful, but compulsory in Islam, if it is necessary to use it. (A small PS: One or two of the verses abrogating 2/256 may or may not be a little older than 2/256 itself, but there once was a long debate in Islam if an older verse could abrogate a younger, and the conclusion was that that was possible).

Beisdes Muslims normally misquote the verse, and tell you it says: "There is no compulsion in religion". What it really says is: "Let there be no compulsion in religion" - a wish or a demand, not a fulfilled fact.

If this verse had not been abrogated, it had been “Glad Tidings”. Yes, even if Muslims had been honest and told the verse is abrogated by at least some 30 harsh later verses it had helped – at least it had helped the moral standard of Muslims to be that honest.

111 2/259: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

112 2/266: “Thus doth Allah make clear to you (people*) (his) Signs”. There are no clear signs for Allah or Muhammad in the Quran – not one. See 2/99.

113 2/284: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

114 2/286: “(Pray:) ‘Our Lord! - - -“”. The word (Pray:) does not exist in the original Arab text – Yusuf Ali has added it to “camouflage” the fact that this is Muhammad praying to Allah. How is it possible to explain that Muhammad is praying to Allah in a book made by Allah or may be never made, but existed since eternity?

There are a few more places where it clearly is not Allah who is speaking. See 6/114a.

Surah 2: At least 114 mistakes + at least 15 likely mistakes.

SURAH 3:

001 3/3a: “It is He (Allah*) Who sent down to thee (Muhammad*) (the Quran*) - - -”. A book with that many mistakes, etc. is not sent down by an omniscient god.

002 3/3b: “It is He Who sent down to thee (step by step), in truth - - -”. It at best is partly the truth. See 13/1, 41/12 and especially 40/75.

****003 3/3c: “- - - the Book, confirming what went before it; (the Torah and the Bible*) - - -”. There are so many fundamental differences between the Quran and the Torah/Bible (especially NT), that the Quran definitely is no confirmation of any of the two others (see 2/89). The Quran and Islam tell that it is because those books are falsified, but for 1400 years no Muslim has ever offered proofs for that - only statements - and today it is proved by science that those statements are wrong. Actually it also is proved by Islam: If there had existed any proof for falsification, Islam had screamed about it at once - but the only thing they serve, is claims.**

Be sure: Had Muslims found any proof for this, it had been written with BIG words every relevant and many irrelevant places.

Never any proof for that the Quran - or Muhammad - really was from a god. Never.

004 3/4a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00a 3/4b: “The Message of the Quran” here tells that the sign here refers to the Gospel (or Evangelion – both words mean “glad tidings”. “The Message of the Quran” in English pretends that “Evangelion” is something special, but it simply is Greek for the same meaning. In Swedish (and some other languages) – this kind of small dishonesty that you meet too often in Islamic religious literature, is not possible in just this case, as they do not use the word “Gospel” – they use the word “Evangelium”) that Jesus got. Our remark about that simply is that absolutely nowhere there is found a trace of an Evangelion/Gospel that existed at the time of Jesus (science say there may have been one older than the existing ones, but this one in case also had to be written after Jesus’ death, as an Evangelion – Gospel in English – is the story about Jesus’ life, death and resurrection, and could not be written until after this had happened. The absolute only place you find this claim – and only as a not documented claim – is in the Quran (and later Islamic relevant (?) literature built on or around the Quran). A book with lots and lots of mistakes, told by a man with a very doubtful moral who on top of all used the stories as his platform of power – and a book made 600 – 650 years later using mainly religious legends, etc. as sources, Islam will have to produce solid evidences to make this claim believable – not necessarily true, but at least believable.

005 3/5: “- - - heavens - - -” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

006 3/7a: “He it is Who has sent down to thee the Book: - - -”. There are too many mistakes in the Quran - it cannot possibly be sent down by a god, not to mention by an omniscient god.

****007 3/7b: “- - - the whole of it (the Quran*) is sent down from our Lord: - - - “. See 2/231 and 3/7a.**

We also want to add a little more from this verse, as the addition is essential in some of all the places Islam/Muslims try to “explain” away statements, etc., that obviously are not true, by saying they are allegories:

***00b 3/7c “It is He (Allah*) Who has sent down to thee the Book (the Quran*): **in it are verses basic or fundamental (of established meaning) (= to be read literally*)**; **they (the verses to be read literally*) are the foundation of the Book (the Quran*)**: others are allegorical (there are a number of allegorical or similar verses in the Quran - they either are easy to see are allegorical, or the meaning is explained, or both*). But those in whose hearts is perversity (*), follow the part thereof that is allegorical, seeking disorder, for its hidden meaning (= only bad persons seek the hidden meanings - also from the allegories*), but no one knows the hidden meanings except Allah (= the possibly hidden meanings are not for humans*)”.

****In clear text: The Quran is to be read literary if nothing else is said or indicated - hidden meanings are for Allah and trying to find hidden meanings are done by perverts. This is very essential for Muslims to remember when they are tempted to explain away mistakes and blunders as allegories with hidden meanings ever so often. There is no hidden meaning unless it is indicated this verse says, and only the bad humans look for such.

008 3/9: “- - - a Day about which there is no doubt - - -“. Wrong. Once the end of the world will come. But if it will happen like told in the Quran, well, there is a good reason for doubt about, as so much more is wrong in that book.

009 3/11: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

010 3/13: “- - - Sign - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

011 3/15: “- - - glad tidings - - -“. Wrong. See 2/97c above.

*012 3/18: “- - - that is the witness of Allah - - -“. The problem is that there exists not one single witness from Allah – no miracle that could have been a witness, and nothing else. Only the words of a very doubtful man written in a book with very many errors, contradictions, etc. Muslims here often talk about “signs” from the nature, but the nature is not a proof for Allah until it first is proved that it is created by a god, and then is proved that that god is Allah – words are very cheap. This claim is wrong until Islam proves that Allah really made it – and proves, not only claims like Muslims nearly always do.

013 3/19: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

014 3/21: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

015 3/24: “- - - their (Jews, Christians*) forgeries (of the Bible*) - - -“. The Quran, Muhammad, Islam and most Muslims claim that the Bible is falsified – **claim, but NEVER document or in other ways prove it. Not only claim it is falsified, but that it is falsified on purpose. This in spite of the fact that science long since has proved it is not falsified - one knows literally thousands of relevant old papers and scraps of paper (some 13000 older than 610 AD + some 32000 relevant references to the Bible), which documents it has not been falsified – and with royal disregard for the fact that as the Bible was spread over enormous distances, here, there and everywhere. It was physically impossible to co-ordinate the falsification of each and every copy all over so that all the falsifications were identical, not to mention that all similar points and all references to all these in other papers also had to be falsified correspondingly.

***Demand proofs next time a Muslim tells you this. His game is to throw not documented claims around, and demand proofs from you for the opposite – which can be difficult if you do not have enough knowledge. But it is his duty to prove his claims – not yours to disapprove them. NB: They do not have such proofs – if they had had only a feeble one, be sure you and the rest of the world had heard about it by some ones using big letters (actually the lack of documentation from Islam is the best of proofs for that the claim is something made up. And as actually; to throw loose claims and statements around, pretending that they are facts, are typical for Muslims and Islam in religious debates not to mention in religious propaganda).

But to claim that the Bible was falsified, was the only way out for Muhammad – and it still is the only way out for Islam. If they admit that the Bible is not falsified each and every place the Quran “collides” with it, that means to admit that Islam is a made up religion – which is too difficult for the believers, and too expensive for the leaders.

We may add that it is quite normal for fringe sects – which Islam once was – to claim that the mother religion(s) is wrong and they themselves are the only ones that are right. To be believed on this point by us, Islam will have to produce real proofs, not only cheap and loose words to back up their claim. As there exist so many old papers, proving it should be very easy - - - if it were true.

Islam's claim here simply is proved wrong by science – unless Islam produces proofs showing the opposite. But proofs, not only loose claims like they normally use.

016 3/25: “- - - a Day about which there is no doubt - - -“. Wrong. See 3/9 above.

017 3/27: “Thou (Allah*) causest the Night to gain on the Day, and Thou causest the day to gain on the Night - - -“. Night and day is a natural result of the Earth spinning in the light from the sun. If Islam claims what the Quran says above, they will have to prove it.

017 3/29: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

*018 3/35: “Imran's wife said”. The Quran here is talking about the mother of Mary (see also 3/36 in the Quran: “I have named her Mary”). **But Imran was the father of Aaron, Moses and Miriam, who lived some 1200 years earlier!** Muhammad did not know the Bible very well, and it is clear that he thought Mary was the sister of Aaron and Moses. In 19/28 this is directly said, when talking about Mary: “O sister of Aaron”. It is likely that the reason for this mistake is that in Arab Mary and Miriam (the older sister of Moses and Aaron) are written the same way: Maryam. With his limited knowledge of the Bible he believed it was the same woman. Any god had known better. We may add that some Muslims say it is not the same Imran, but scientists agree on that Muhammad meant the same man - the Imran that was chosen by Allah like Adam, Noah and Abraham (see 3/33 in the Quran) - the father of Aaron, Moses - - - and Maryam/Miriam/Mary. That Muhammad really was wrong here, and thought Mary was the sister of Aaron and Moses, is documented by the fact that according to Hadith (the other Muslim source of information about their religion and about Muhammad) Muhammad was corrected, and he tried to find explanations to repair the mistake (without success). He also did not add information showing that he and Allah for some reason was right in his mistaken statement all the same.

You will also meet Muslims telling that the Quran does not mean that Mary really was the sister of Aaron (they say it was meant figuratively – the normal way out for Muslims, when things are difficult to explain), and that the book does not mean that she was the daughter of

Imran - only a descendant of him. Islam should after so many hundreds of years have found better “explanations” - “explanations” that on top of all is said to be contradicted by the fact that already Mohammad himself tried to correct the mistake, but without success as mentioned. But there is no other explanation they can try to use. Also see 19/28.

****** There also is another aspect of all the points which are wrong or helplessly expressed or something - may be unbelievable some 3000 places - very roughly one in every second verse on average (there is said to be 6247 verses). Who is willing to believe that an omniscient and intelligent god is so helpless expressing himself in a book where he tells he uses a language simple to understand, and so uneducated that he uses hundreds of mistaken facts, so that mere humans time and again and again and again have to step in and explain or "explain" what he "really means"? - not to mention "explain" or explain away mistakes? It takes a lot of naivety, brainwashing and plain old blindness and lack of moral courage not to at least ask questions. You believe just because your grandmother told you so, and it is difficult to question your old beliefs and the basis of your "facts of life"?**

019 3/37: “Every time he (Zakariyya*) entered (her) chamber to see her, he found her supplied with sustenance. He said: ‘O Mary! Whence (comes) this to you?’ She said: “From Allah: for Allah provides sustenance to whom He pleases without measure’ “. This means that she by a miracle got her food from the god. This is a made up fairy tale. There is not one single chance that a miracle like this had been omitted from the NT - this even more so if Islam had been right in their statements that Christians (and Jews) had falsified the Bible and made Jesus "bigger" - though how do you make Jews falsify their copies of scriptures to make Jesus "bigger"? (Muhammad was not well versed in the Bible, and frequently made mistakes when he referred to it or took stories from it. He always explained such mistakes with that he was right, and that the unholy Jews and Christians had falsified the Bible. Actually just this story is one the many the Quran has not “borrowed” from the Bible at all, but from one of the made up religious legends that flourished at that time. These mistakes were the reason why the Jews did not accept him when he came to Yathrib/Medina - the Jews said his teachings were wrong and that he consequently was a false prophet. (Muslims have "a tendency" not to mention this fact, but to instead tell a, to Muhammad, more flattering story: He was not accepted because the Jews were angry because Allah had called a non-Jew for a prophet.))

But if Christians had falsified the Bible, their main object would have been to strengthen Jesus’ position and his connections to Yahweh - the Jewish and Christian god. There is no chance at all that they had omitted a wonder connected to his mother, telling about a direct connection between Yahweh and her. (That she served in the Temple, which also is told in the Quran, also is new to the Bible – and had never been omitted there if it was true).

It also tells something that when Muhammad differs from the Bible, his/the Quran’s stories often correspond with proven untrue religious fables and legends (often based on apocryphal scriptures – and often Gnostic). This tells it is not the Bible that is wrong, but that the Quran may have used fairy tales as sources. Would a god need fairy tales as sources?

020 3/41a: “- - -a Sign - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

021 3/41b: “- - -Thy Sign - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

*022 3/45: “- - - his name will be Christ Jesus - - - “. His name was only Jesus. The word Christ was not even a name, but a title of honour, and it only emerged years after his death - originally in what is now Turkey. But Muhammad did not know the Bible well. (Christ in Greek means the same as Messiah in Hebrew – the anointed one. Because of this some editions of the Bible use Christ instead of Messiah in NT, but the name - or title really - Christ in reality did not exist connected to Jesus, until well after his death).

023 3/48: “And Allah will teach him (the child Jesus*) - - - the Gospel”. One thing is that the word “Gospel” is in singular - there are 4 Gospels. It is not uncommon to use “Gospel” in singular, but it seems that Muhammad did not know there were more than one. **But the real screamer is that the Gospels did not exist at that time - could not exist, as they are the story of Jesus’ life, death and resurrection. The oldest one is written some 25 years after his death (or may be a little earlier, according to new science - source: New Scientist). Show us one single god that did not know that. But as said before: Muhammad did not know the Bible well. Also see 3/3.

We may add that many a Muslim will tell you that the Quran is not talking about the 4 known Gospels, but about an older one they claim has disappeared. And they may be partly right on one point - it may be that once there was another and older Gospel, (though not so old that Jesus could read it, neither as a child, nor as an adult). 3 of the Gospels are so similar, that it is clear there is a connection, and one of the possible explanations is that they all took material from an older Gospel. But strangely Muslims never mention the other possible explanation: That the two youngest simply took material from the oldest of the 3. And as strangely the Imams never tell their congregation what a Gospel really is. For the Muslims damaging points are:

1. A Gospel is the history of Jesus’ life, death and resurrection, with the main point being his death and resurrection – the final proof for his connection to something supernatural. There had been lots of proofs before according to both the Bible and to the Quran – his many miracles. But his resurrection made any dispute about or denial of the involvement of something supernatural impossible. **But as the main points in all Gospels are his trial, his death, and his resurrection, no Gospel could exist until after his death.** And no tale not including his trial, death and resurrection is a Gospel, because the very points that make it a Gospel – his resurrection and thus the final proof and the final victory over the dark forces – are not there. (Also see point C below).
2. It is known that Muhammad did not really know the Bible, and especially not NT, and it seems like he used the word “Gospel” without really knowing what it meant. Also modern Muslims – at least the ones with little education – have vague ideas about what a

Gospel is, and just states that there must have been an older one that Jesus read, which as you see is an impossibility. (Of course some then try the all-conquering argument that Allah knew and could tell - - - but then we once more are up against the fact that full clairvoyance for Allah combined with free will for man, also is an impossibility, a fact that even Islamic scholars admit, though most reluctantly, and with the very lame addition that “all the same it must be true, because it is told in the Quran” (!!!))

3. We know that if there ever existed an older Gospel, we automatically know that also this was written after Jesus’ death, so Jesus could not have studied it. This because a Gospel as said is the story of Jesus’ life, death and resurrection (which most Muslims do not seem to know), and thus cannot have been written until after his death and resurrection - and thus we know it in case was not written until after the year 33 AD. (A small scientific correction: It is known that Jesus was 33 years old when he died. But when Rome later calculated when Jesus was born, they made a mistake of may be as much as 5 – 6 years. Therefore Jesus in reality was born some 5 - 6 years before our time-table has its point of zero – and his death correspondingly may have been around 27 – 28 AD).
4. If there ever was such an older Gospel, that means that it was even closer in time to what happened, and thus makes the 3 mentioned Gospels even more reliable as they in case took their material from a Gospel written very shortly after Jesus died, and thus at a time when what happened was even more fresh in the minds of people and society and the writer. But still impossible for Jesus to study, as it did not - could not - exist until after his death.

(We may add that “Gospel” means “good news” or “glad news” or “glad tidings”. You meet the word used like that in some Bibles and other literature, but then it normally is written “gospel” not “Gospel”.)

*024 3/49: “I have come to you, with a Sign from your Lord, in that I make for you out of clay, as it were, the figure of a bird, and breathe into it, and it becomes a bird by Allah’s leave”. Also this wonder had never been omitted from NT if it had been true - see 3/37. But actually it is taken from the made up legends in one of the “fairy tale” Child Gospels (actually

it came from Thomas Child Gospel - also called "The Thomas' Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus Christ"- an apocryphal one from 2. century). A god had known the Child Gospels were made up. Besides: What does it tell the world that the Quran uses a made up story as an indirect proof for Allah? And what does it tell about the reliability of Muhammad's many statements when there is divergence between the Bible and the Quran, that the reason is that the Bible is falsified, when it is clear that the reason is that the Quran often is referring to fairy tales?

****025 3/51:** (Jesus said*): "It is Allah who is my Lord and your Lord; then worship Him". This must be written/told by someone with no knowledge of Israel at the time of Jesus. It was one of the periods when the Jewish religion was strong and the religious establishment powerful. Further the name of the (Muslim) god was not Allah until after Muhammad changed his name slightly - it was al-Lah (which means "the god" - not "god", but "the god". Muslim missionaries in the west, today often use the word God instead of Allah, because then a number of the differences between Yahweh (our god) and Allah are more difficult to see. They say that Allah means God, but strictly speaking "al-Lah" = "the god"). The Jews of that time were a travelling people, and they knew Arabia and the polytheistic religion there.

1. If Jesus had preached that people should pray to a known polytheistic god from another country (and remember that at that time gods in addition were at least to a degree thought to take care mostly of their own country or tribe or whatever) - call him al-Lah or the older El - he would have gotten very few followers.
2. **If Jesus had preached about al-Lah - a known polytheistic foreign god - the Jewish religious establishment had had him killed years before** for heresy, disrespect for Yahweh and things like that.

The statement is made up by someone not knowing the religious and political situation in Israel around 30 AD (the purpose for making it up is very obvious).

026 3/52a: "(Jesus*) said: 'Who will be the helpers to (the work) of Allah?'" See 3/51.

027 3/52b: "Said the Disciples (of Jesus*): 'We are Allah's helpers.'" See 3/51.

028 3/52c: Said the disciples: "- - - we believe in Allah, - - -". See 3/51.

***029 3/52d:** Said the disciples: "- - - and thou (Jesus*) bear witness that we are Muslims". See 3/51. Besides the word hardly had a meaning 600 years before Muhammad.

030 3/58: "- - - Sign(s) - - -." Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00c 3/59: "The similitude of Jesus before Allah is as that of Adam - - -". That is to say in "Quran-speak": He was a prophet, but but for that an ordinary human. Well, Jesus called God/Yahweh "father", which Adam could not. And according to science, Adam never existed.

031 3/59: “He (Allah) created him (Adam*) from dust, - - -”. The Quran tells about many ways man was created - 13 different if you are strict, 5 - 7 if you are less strict. Only 1 can be true, as man (Adam) was created only once. Actually all of them are wrong. Some Muslims take pride in that archaeologists has found that the human race has passed through so-called bottlenecks, and that all may have one “mother” in common - the archaeological Eve - and one common “father” - the less known archaeological Adam. “Hip, Hurray! Science has proved the Adam and his wife in the Quran!!” What not a single of them has ever mentioned as far as we have heard, is the fact that this “Eve” lived some 160000 to 200000 years ago in Africa, whereas the corresponding “Adam” lived much later - may be as late as 60000 - 70000 (64000?) years ago and in Asia. Some marriage!!! (And actually the Adam from the Bible and the Quran most likely never existed - man developed from a primate, he was not created into sudden existence). See also 6/2.

032 3/60: “The Truth (comes) from Allah alone; - - -”. With so many mistaken facts that you find in that book, it can at most be partly true, if this refers to the presumed truths in the Quran. Also see 40/75.

033 3/61: “- - - now after (full) knowledge hath come to thee - - -”. With so many mistakes in the Quran, it is at best partly knowledge.

034 3/64: “- - - that we (Muslims and Jews/Christians) worship none but Allah (= Yahweh and Allah is claimed to be the same god*)”. This is not possible as the fundamental differences between the Quran and the Bible/NT are too big and too many – not unless the god is schizophrenic. Mainly only Muslims say this – and they will have to bring strong proofs.

00d 3/67: “Abraham was not a Jew nor yet a Christian - - -”. He definitely was no Christian, as he lived – if he ever lived - some 1800 – 2000 years too early. But it may be correct to call the forefather of all Jews a Jew. (We know the word did not exist at that time, but it is normal to use the word also for the people who later got the name Jews. The word "Jew" is made from "Judah" - the name of one of the sons of the Jewish patriarch Jacob - grandson of Abraham.)

00e 3/68: “Without doubt, among men, the nearest of kin to Abraham, are those who follow him - - -”.

1. You do not get related to a man just because you are a follower.
2. Is Islam really following Abraham’s real religion? – only the Quran says so, and the Quran has proven that it has lots of mistakes – lots of.
3. If Muhammad included himself here: Was he really a descendant of Abraham? – Abraham lived some 2500 years earlier, and how many even today know their forefathers 2500 years back? – people have lied for political or personal reasons throughout both history and pre-history, also about honourable ancestors.

4. Even if Muhammad had been a descendant of Abraham – then how close after 2500 years? His first forefather in case was Ishmael. Ishmael was half Egyptian (his mother Hagar was a slave maiden from Egypt (1. Mos. 16/1), and Ishmael himself married a woman (only one wife is mentioned) from Egypt (1. Mos. 21/21) and his family settled near the border of Egypt (1. Mos. 25/18) in Sinai. The border of Egypt never was in the middle of Arabia, even though Muslims want Hagar and Ishmael to have settled in Mecca). In addition modern DNA has shown that Arabs far from is a pure race. Arabs were traders – and brought home wives and slaves and got children with them. Also foreign traders crossed Arabia and made a child now and then – the sexual taboos were far looser before Muhammad. The Arabs simply is a mix of local and a lot of not local DNA – in addition to the already mentioned fact that already after 2 generations only $\frac{1}{4}$ of the relationship was with Abraham - - - and the 2500 years up to Muhammad meant some 100 generations.

There is much reason for doubt.

035 3/70: “Why do ye (the Jews*) reject the Signs of Allah, of which ye are (yourselves) witnesses?” The word “Sign” may here refer to these statements:

1. Islam say Muhammad is foretold in the Bible, and especially refers to 5 Mos. 18/15 and 18/18. But the brother of a Jew is a Jew, not an Arab, and the Jews’ fellow countrymen also are Jews, not Arabs. See the chapter about “Muhammad in the Bible?” Wrong.
2. The other “main” claim is that when Jesus talked to his disciples about a helper that should come to them, Muslims claim that that meant Muhammad, even though they have to twist their “explanation” not a little (they need at least one from GT and one from NT, because it is said that Muhammad is foretold in both) - and even though Muhammad was born 500 years after the disciples were dead! - how could he be the helper of the disiples?!!(Jesus was talking about The Holy Ghost/Holy Spirit/etc. that came to the disciples some days later).

3. The Quran refers to one or two learned Jews that Islam claims accepted Mohammad as a prophet. But even if this may be (or may be not) was true, it is in no way correct to say that “ye” (all the Jews) did so. Wrong.

See the chapters “Muhammad in the Bible?” and “Falsified Bible?”

*Islam only has produced claims and twisted logic for this – well, claim. They will have to produce documentation or proofs if they want to be believed by others than the brainwashed and the naïve.

036 3/71: “- - - conceal the Truth (of what the Quran tells*) - - -”. With so many mistakes, the Quran at best is only partly true. Also see “Muhammad in the Bible?”

037 3/71a: “Why do you clothe the truth with falsehood (= falsify the Bible, the Torah, and the other Jewish scriptures - this is strongly stated in the Quran (if it is not true, then the Quran is a falsified book*)*), and conceal the Truth, - - -?” Always when there was a discrepancy between the Quran and the Bible, Muhammad said it was he who was right and the Jews and the Christians who had falsified the Bible (even in cases where it is clear the story in the Quran corresponds to a made up legend known in Arabia at that time) - a most convenient explanation for a man that knew little about the Bible. But does the Quran represent the truth? - with that many obvious mistakes, etc., it at most can be partly true.

038 3/71b: “- - - conceal the Truth (of what the Quran tells*) - - -”. With so many mistakes, the Quran at best is only partly true. Also see “Muhammad in the Bible?” and 3/71a just above.

039. 3/75: “But they (Jews and Christians*) tell a lie against Allah (= they have falsified the Bible*), and well they know it.” Wrong. See 2/75 above.

040 3/78: “(Many Jews and Christians*) distort the Book (the Bible) - - -“. This an unproven claim without which Islam is dead. But the fact is that in 1400 years Islam have been unable to produce only claims and words – both of which are very cheap – whereas science has some 13000 relevant old papers and fragments (of them some 300 from the 4 Gospels) from all over the then known world, plus some 32000 relevant quotations from the Bible in other manuscripts, which document that the Bible is not distorted. (And you bet: If Islam had found a single real proof for their claim, they had screamed about it**). This actually is the best of all proofs for that no proofs exist. Also see “Muhammad in the Bible”, and “Falsified Bible?”

041 3/83a: “- - - all creatures (= angels, jinns, man and animals) in the heavens and on the earth have, willing or unwilling (what about 2/256: “- no compulsion in religion –“?*) bowed to His (Allah’s*) will (accepted Islam)”. Muslims will have to produce very strong proofs to make us believe that everything, included snails and flatworms and mosquitoes pray to Allah.

042 3/83b: “- - - heavens - - -“. See 2/22a.

*043 3/84: “- - - in (the Books) given to Moses”. Wrong. Moses (if he existed) lived 1300-1200 BC (if the exodus from Egypt ever happened, it took place ca. 1235 BC - during the

reign of pharaoh Ramses II according to science). These 5 books (the Torah) were written not earlier than ca. 800 BC, and may be as late as 500 BC, but named after Moses. Moses was never given those books (though he was orally given the law, which he himself wrote down later, according to the Bible – the laws later became part of “The Book of Moses” some hundred years later) - but this Muhammad did not know - - - whereas a god had known it. See 2/53.

044 3/75: “But they (Jews/Christians*) tell a lie against Allah (= they have falsified the Bible)”. Wrong. See 3/24 above.

045 3/78: “- - - distort the Book (= falsify the Bible*) - - -.” Wrong. See 3/24 above.

046 3/86: “- - - Clear Signs - - -”. See 2/99.

047 3/96: “The first House (= Kabah) (of worship) appointed for man was that at Bakka (= Mecca*)”. Wrong. Even if we should accept that Abraham “made the foundations” of the Kabah in Mecca, he lived (if he is not fiction) around 2000-1800 BC. At that time the first temples, etc. in f. ex. Egypt and Mesopotamia were old. Today it is possible to find the real age of many things. It is symptomatic that as far as we know, Islam has not tried to see if it is possible to find the real age of the oldest parts of the Kabah. Wagging tongues insinuates that may be the reason is that they are afraid it is younger than 3800 years. - what if it turns out it is built around 100 BC - or AD - f. ex? Islam also have one problem concerning measuring the age of the Kabah: They will have to use qualified western experts. If they use Muslim experts - who may be well qualified to do it - and find that it f. ex. is 5630 years old, not one single soul will believe them unconditionally, because of "al-Taqiyya" - the lawful lie - that Muslims not only are permitted to use, but are obliged to use if necessary, when it comes to promoting or defending Islam. (And non-Muslims are not permitted to visit Mecca.) We may also add that it is further said that Abraham built on the even older ruins of a temple made by Adam - of course Adam like Abraham went all the way to the desert proto-Mecca and built a big temple he never could visit from his home a thousand kilometres off (Adam - and his Paradise - real or fiction, mostly are believed to have been placed somewhere in the rich wetlands in what is now South Iraq), but destroyed at the time of Noah - but as often before Muslims only tell, seldom prove, so believe it who wants.

(We may add that some Muslims have corrected this verse to that the Quran is talking about the first house of worship for a monotheistic god, but that is not what the Quran says. Besides: If the Quran or the Hadiths is correct and there have been prophets to all times and every people – 124000 the Hadith says – Islam will have a tough time to prove that not one single all those prophets or their followers in the very early time before Abraham, have ever built even a small house for worship.” Also see 2/127.

048 3/97a: “- - - Signs manifest - - -”. See 2/99.

049 3/97b: “In it (Kabah in Mecca*) are Signs manifest; (for example), the Station of Abraham - - -”. For one thing Abraham never was in Mecca (see 2/127) and never built Kabah or its foundations. And if he had ever been there and built it: There is a stone there, with a mark in it. Islam calls it the Station of Abraham and is said to tell that the mark is from Abraham’s feet when he was building the Kabah. Which worker building a house has ever in all the history and far before, been standing so long on the same hard natural stone, that his feet made a mark in that stone lasting for millennia?

This is the kind of sure proofs the Quran tells about and some of the Muslims even believe in.

050 3/98a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

051 3/98b: “(Jews and Christians*) ye were yourselves witnesses (to Allah’s covenant)”. Wrong. They were witnesses to Yahweh’s covenant(s). Allah is not the same god as Yahweh, unless the god is seriously schizophrenic, as the teachings fundamentally are too different. If Islam still insists on the opposite, they will have to bring proofs, not only the old and still not documented loose claims.

00f 3/101: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

052 3/103: “Thus doth Allah make His Signs clear - - -“. There is not one single real sign/proof not to mention clear sign or proof for neither Allah nor Muhammad in the Quran – see 2/99.

053 3/105: “- - - clear Sign - - -“. See 3/103 just above and 2/99.

054 3/108: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

055 3/109: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong – see 2/22a.

056 3/112: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

057 3/113: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

058 3/118: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

059 3/128: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong – there are no 7 heavens. See 2/22a.

060 3/132: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong – see 2/22a.

*00g 3/137a: “- - - travel through the earth, and see what was the end of those who rejected the truth”. In Arabia there were scattered old ruins. Muhammad told they were all remnants after peoples Allah had punished for their sins. There are more likely explanations.

061 3/137b: “- - - those who rejected the Truth”. With that many mistaken facts in the Quran, it at best tells partly the truth.

**062 3/154a: “Even if you had remained in your homes (instead of taking part in the battle of Uhud, where many were killed), those for whom the death was decreed would certainly have gone forth to the place of their death (= they had died anyhow*)”. Here we have the predestination. You can as well do battle, because Allah has decided long time ago (5 months before you were born according to Hadith) when you are to die. If your time is up, you will die no matter, even if you are lying in your bed. That means that to do battle is not dangerous, but you can win a lot of wealth - and slaves - and if you die in battle, you are sure to go to Paradise with its luxury life and willing houries (in addition to your wives), which you are not sure of if you die at home. The only intelligent thing to do is to fight for your prophet - or his successors. "The religion of Peace"? - or a religion of war?

Today it is easy to prove by statistics that it is very wrong - but Muhammad did not know about statistics (and a god had not even needed statistics to know it was stupidity). On the other hand this claim is so contra all logic, that this is one of the points where Muhammad knew he was lying - he was too intelligent to believe in this. Actually Islam today back-pedals very much concerning predestination telling f. ex. that the Quran does not mean real predestination (but not explaining what they claim it means). But in some cases the book is so clear, that it is impossible to explain it away.) **f. ex. many places connected to statements that when your time is out, you will die anyhow, and therefore you can as well go to war.** See f. ex. 3/154b below).

****063 3/154b: “Even if you had remained in your homes, those for whom death was decreed would certainly have gone forth to the place of their death”. This is one of the arguments Muhammad used to incite to battle; predestination. Today it as said is very easy to prove by means of statistics that it is utterly wrong - it is far less likely to die in your home, compared to spending the same time in a battle. (But Muhammad needed - or at least wanted - warriors, even if he had to know he was lying.)**

064 3/161a: “- - - prophet - - -”. But Muhammad was not really a prophet – he just “borrowed” the impressing title: He did not have the ability to make prophesies – he did not even pretend or claim he had it. Even Islam admits this indirectly: Prophesies is a special kind of miracles, and Islam says that the only miracle connected to Muhammad, is the making of the Quran.

00h 3/16b1: “No prophet could (ever) be false to his trust.” Some of Mohammad’s highwaymen (this was in 625 AD when the Muslims lived from stealing/robbing and extortion) were dissatisfied and told Mohammad cheated when splitting the spoils. Then this verse arrived very conveniently from the veneered Mother Book in Heaven written by Allah or existed since eternity. Islam says it proved Mohammad did not cheat. **That may be correct if Allah made the Quran, but not if Mohammad or someone else did so.**

****There also is another and much more serious fact here: Through the times most – not to say (nearly?) all – self-proclaimed prophets have been false prophets. Most of the false prophets have been (and are) men, and in religion they have found a way to money, women, esteem, and power – the 4 normal reasons for impostors. Some are mentally special or ill – Muhammad is among those if he had TLE (see the chapter “What is TLE – Temporal Lobe Epilepsy”). Some really believe they are prophets, others just are cheats – if Muhammad had TLE, he may honestly have believed he had some connection to a god, but it also is very clear from the Quran that he at least sometimes knew he was cheating; some of the arguments he used in the book, any intelligent person knows are lies (f. ex. that miracles would not make doubters believe), and Muhammad was an intelligent man. And some of the self proclaimed “prophets” simply were/are cold and calculating – sometimes even psychopathic - - - and when one looks at Muhammad’s cold-blooded treatment of victims and opponents, his total disregard for the life and well-being of everybody that stood between him and power and riches (to use for bribing greedy warriors and chiefs to come to his religion and his army), and his clever psychological (every clever salesman knows much about human nature and psychology) manipulation of his uneducated, naïve early followers, it is easy to believe Muhammad belonged to these – may be combined with the effect of the possible TLE or something.**

00i 3/164a: “- - - He (Allah) sent among them (Muslims*) a Messenger (Muhammad*) from among themselves - - -“. The Quran and all the mistakes and wrong logic, etc. there, makes it clear that Muhammad was not sent by any omniscient or omnipotent god (f. ex. no miracles – even though any god knows it is a lie that miracles would not give lots of followers - - - and so did Muhammad). **But there is a small possibility that he was contacted by a minor god, and a larger possibility that what he believed was Gabriel, in reality was the Devil/a devil in disguise (Muhammad’s inhuman behaviour and the inhuman religion he introduced – stealing/robbing, raping, enslaving, torture, murder, mass murder, hate, discrimination, and war – may indicate this). Finally there is a not small possibility that it was all man-made.**

065 3/164b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

066 3/180: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

067 3/183: “- - - Clear Signs - - -“. There are no clear signs (= proofs for Allah or Muhammad) in the Quran – not one. See 2/99.

068 3/184: “- - - Clear Signs - - -“. Wrong. See 2/99.

069 3/189: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

070 3/190a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong – there are no 7 material heavens. See 2/22a. Any god had known, but Muhammad not. Who made the Quran?

071 3/190b: “- - - Signs - - -“. As long as it is not proved that it really was Allah who created it, it is invalid as sign or indication or proof for Allah or anything related to Islam. See 2/39 above.

*072 3/190c: “- - - there are indeed Signs for men of understanding - - -“. Psychologically a good slogan; who does not want to belong among the wise men, and who is not flattered by being included among the wise ones by the demi-god of a leader? – especially the uneducated and naïve followers - - - or the brainwashed ones. But the only two things a man of real understanding can learn from statements that are clearly invalid, because they just are cheap words that never are proved – only backed by demands and flattery for blind belief:

1. A. And the first possible conclusion a man of understanding can make is: **Muhammad had no valid arguments – if he had had real and true arguments, he had not had to use invalid ones.**
2. B. The other possible conclusion a man of understanding can make from this is that something is seriously wrong. Wrong information, invalid logic, and sometimes lies, after all are the hallmarks of a deceiver, a cheat, and a swindler.

Also see 2/99.

073 3/191: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

Surah 3: 73 mistakes + 9 likely mistakes.

SURAH 4:

001 4/1a: “Reverence your Guardian-Lord, who created you (people*) - - - “. Man was not created, according to science, but developed from earlier primates. Besides: Even if man had been created, Islam has never brought a proof for that he was created by a god (not by f. ex. a devil), and neither for that the god in case was Allah.

002 4/1b: “- - - created you from a single person - - -“. Man could not come from a single person, there had to be at least 2 – male and female. But even if there was a couple, that would be too little – the DNA variety would be too small for the “tribe” to be viable. Man simply developed little by little – like the Quran – from earlier primates (as for the archaeological Eve and the archaeological Adam that science talks about, and that some Muslims disuse trying to “prove” something: See the chapter: “Some wrong arguments – and their answers”).

003 4/11+12: The verses about inheritance are far from clear in Islam. Muhammad stated fixed proportions. But the trouble is that those proportions may add up to more than the full value of the property. If there f. ex. are these inheritors after a man’s death: 1 wife = $1/8$ ($3/24$), 3 daughters = $2/3$ ($16/24$), 1 father = $1/6$ ($4/24$) and 1 mother = $1/6$ ($4/24$). If you add these you will see that they are to inherit $27/24$, which is mathematically and practically impossible. Or if a man dies and leaves only a sister and a brother: The sister gets $1/2$ and the brother the double of what the sister gets = $3/2$, which is an absurd joke. And what if a man had 2 wives, one with a child and the other not? Does the one with child get $1/8$ and the other $1/4$? Etc. Juridical problems concerning inheritance are complicated under Islam because of these mistakes. But the shares are said to be ordained by Allah, the All-knowing!!!

003a 049 4/29 (A38): “Eat not up your property among yourselves in vanity”. But is that the correct meaning? “The Message of the Quran” has: “Do not devour one another’s possessions wrongfully – not even by way of trade based on mutual agreement” – which roughly says the same (something like “do not cheat each other” or worse) in a few more words. But the Arab word “illa” in front means “except” or “unless it be”, which means that the literal meaning in reality is “do not eat up one another’s possessions wrongfully, unless it be (an act of) trade based on mutual agreement” - - - which means that wrongful profit is ok if the parts agree on it – f. ex. by sheer a swindle where the buyer believes he/she gets a fair deal. This strongly contradicts other Islamic laws. It takes some highly advanced verbal gymnastics to explain it away. Every scholar agrees that the literal meaning must be wrong, but words have to be used in special meanings to make that meaning disappear. At very best very unusual use of the language – in spite of that the Quran itself boasts of that the language it to be understood literal, and that it is easy to understand. More likely it simply is a big mistake a la 6/151.

*****004 4/40: “Allah is not unjust in the last degree - - -.” Wrong. Examples: Suppression of others is “good and lawful and just”. The same is stealing and robbing if it is possible to find an excuse to call it jihad – and the same for rape of any not pregnant female prisoner or slave. But the top of injustice is: A raped woman is to be punished strongly for indecency if she cannot produce 4 male witnesses to the actual rape. Allah in the Quran at times is extremely unjust.**

005 4/47: (The Quran is) “confirming what was (already) with you (= the Bible, the Torah, etc.*) “. There are too many basic thoughts that are different between the Quran and the Bible – especially compared to the NT and the new covenant (f. ex Luke 22/20). Incitement to war against non-believers, the “lost lamb”, “do not kill” vs. “do not kill except for a good reason”, all the incitements to war, etc., etc. The Quran is no confirmation of the Bible, and definitely not of NT. It is also not possible that the same god is behind so different ideas, unless he is mentally ill. See also 2/89 and 29/46.

006 4/56: “- - - Sign(s) - - -” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

**007 4/82: “Had it (the Quran*) been from other than Allah, they would surely therein found much discrepancy.” What a proof!!! In addition to all the mistakes, contradictions, etc. (roughly at least unbelievable 3000(!!!) everything included), there is so much discrepancy in the Quran, that Islam has a special rule how to solve such problems - the so called rule of abrogation: If there is discrepancy between two (or more) places in the Quran, the youngest one normally is the correct one - the omniscient Allah so often had to change his mind or got new information that forced him to change his words, that one needs a special rule how to behave in such cases (this is one of the reasons why it is essential for Islam to know the age of the surahs and verses, or at least which is older than which). And there is so much discrepancy between the Quran and modern knowledge, that it is clear that either Islam has a lot of good explaining to do, or the Quran is not made by an omniscient god. (Islam has a lot of explanations, but most of what is concerning explaining away mistakes, etc. is invalid or highly dubious - use your brain and knowledge when you listen or read, and you will see this is true). The quoted sentence really is an indirect, but strong proof from the Quran itself that the Quran is not sent down from an omniscient god - and a reason why Muslims cannot afford to admit there is one single mistake in the book, no matter how unlikely explanations they have to use to “explain” the mistakes: If there are mistakes, there is something fundamentally wrong with the religion.

(It should be mentioned that some Muslims denies there is a rule of abrogation (an omniscient god would not need to adjust or further specify his own rules - it spoils the picture of perfection and of omniscience), but anyone can read and see for him/herself: Many points are adjusted, extended or given other limits - larger or smaller - in the Quran. We have never counted, but we have read numbers from ca. 100 to more than 500 abrogations depending on how strictly you judge. Actually it is said by some Islamic scholars that only 9/5 – “the Verse of the Sword” – abrogates 124 older mild verses).

In addition one has all the mentioned mistakes – they are discrepancies compared to the reality.

008 4/105a: “We (Allah*) have sent down to thee the Book (the Quran*) - - -”. With so many mistakes and dubious arguments - can it really be sent down by a god? No.

009 4/105b: “We (Allah*) have sent down to thee the Book (the Quran*) in truth, - - -”. With so many mistakes, it is without doubt that it at best can be only partly true (if not there had been no mistakes).

010 4/113a: ”For Allah hath sent down to thee the Book (the Quran*). See 4/105.

011 4/113b: “For Allah hath sent down to thee the Book (the Quran*) and Wisdom - - - “. As said before - f. ex. in 4/105 - there is reason for doubt as to if the Quran is sent down by a god, and no reason for doubt that some of the contents are not true.

012 4/126: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

013 4/131a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

014 4/131b: “- - - We (Allah*) have directed the People of the Book (Jews and Christians*) before you (Muslims*) - - -.” Wrong. Allah and Yahweh is not the same god – not unless the god is seriously ill mentally – the teachings are too different and Islam also never has been able to do anything but claiming that it is the same god – never a proof or any kind of documentation. They only show you to a book with very many mistakes, (told by a very doubtful man who also never was able to prove anything) and claim – without documentation also here – that this is a proof. After all a proof is "one or more proven facts that can give only one conclusion". The "signs" and "proofs" in the Quran proves invalid mostly because they do not build on proven facts, only on claims.

015 4/131c: “- - - heavens - - -“. Even if the statement is repeated 2 times in the same verse, there still are no 7 material heavens (see 2/22a). Any god had known, but Muhammad believed in the contemporary Greek and Persian wrong astronomy – Muslims NEVER mention that 7 heavens was the astronomy of the area at the time of Muhammad, and neither does Islam. Everybody is free to wonder why.

016 4/132: “- - - heavens - - -“. See 4/132 just above and 2/22a.

00a 4/136a: “Believe in Allah and His Messenger (Muhammad*) - - -”. There is nowhere proved that Muhammad was the messenger of a god. Not even that he really had any connection to a god.

017 4/136b: “- - - and the scripture which He (Allah*) hath sent to his Messenger”. It is very obvious to anybody with some knowledge and the ability to read and think, that there are many mistakes in the Quran. It is a question if it is advisable to believe in a book where you know there are many mistakes - and may be many more you do not see. The fact that there are many mistaken facts - and dubious statements + contradictions + numbers of invalid “signs” and “proofs”, also makes one doubt the not proven claim that the book is sent down by an omniscient god and a copy of a book revered in Heaven. It simply is impossible that it can be true.

*00b 4/136c: “Any who denieth Allah, His Angels, His Messengers, and the Day of Judgement, hath gone far, far astray”. Hardly. When you know how full of mistakes and wrong logic the book that all Islam rests on is, it takes some more proof to decide that it is the non-Muslims that are “far, far astray”.

018 4/140 “Already has He (Allah*) sent you word in the Book (the Quran*).” Wrong. A book with so many mistakes and so much invalid logic is neither made nor sent down by a god – omniscient or not.

019 4/156: “- - - they uttered against Mary a grave false charge (that Jesus was crucified and dead)”. There were so many witnesses, included many that knew Jesus, and included so

many that hated him and definitely had made revolt if he was not executed – the Jewish clergy was powerful - that this charge was definitely not false. If Islam says something else, they will have to provide good proofs, not only bring forth lofty statements taken out of thin air 600 years later. Because that is all the Quran has got to offer: A few lofty statements backed by nothing - no proofs and not even an indicium indicating that all those witnesses - and the rulers and the hateful Jewish clergy - were wrong. Words are very cheap - - - and the only fact Islam can produce is that neither Muhammad nor Islam can accept that Jesus died and was resurrected - in that case he clearly was a greater prophet and/or had closer connections to the god than Muhammad, and that is taboo for Muslims. It simply is unacceptable for them.

020 4/157a: “We killed Christ Jesus - - -”. Wrong name. The word Christ did not exist as a name for Jesus until many years later, and then it came from Asia Minor, and then as a title, (the Turks had not arrived at that time), not from Jerusalem originally (see 3/45). Any god had known this. (Christ in Greek = Messiah in Hebrew (= the anointed one). Because of that some translations of the Bible use Christ instead of Messiah in the NT. But the title Christ – not a name originally, but a title – in reality was not used for Jesus until years after his death.)

021 4/157b: “- - - (Jesus*) the Messenger of Allah”. It is absolutely sure the Jews did not say this. See 3/51.

*022 4/157c: “- but they killed him not, nor crucified him, but so it was made to appear for them - - -”. See 4/156. In addition: **If no one else made sure that it was no impostor and that the execution really took place, the angry and spiteful Jewish clergy would see to that.** This claim is made up by someone that could not accept that Muhammad was not the greatest prophet (even though Muhammad in reality was not really a real prophet – he did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies). If Islam wants to say something else, they will have a lot of explanation and proving to do - this even more so as the Quran always demands proofs for what non-Muslims say about their religion, but it NEVER itself offers any real proofs for Islam or Allah. In spite of all the “signs” it boasts of, not one single of those “signs” - with the possible exception of some taken from the Bible - proves any god at all, and definitely not one single one proves anything about Allah or the teachings of Muhammad. **Words are very cheap, and there is not one single of those “signs” that can not as well and as easy be used by priests or believers or prophets of other religions:** Manito did this, Thor did that, Kali made something, Osiris something else, Baal created the Earth, and al-Uzza is great. Islam always only claims that Allah did this and this and that this is a “sign” or a “proof” for Allah. But they NEVER prove that it really was Allah that did this and this. Because of that each and every such “sign” and “proof” are intuitively and logically invalid as an indication or a proof – and for the same reason any priest in any religion can say exactly the same valueless words about his god(s). The claims are totally invalid as indications or indicia, not to mention as proofs. There is not one single valid proof for Allah or for the teachings of Muhammad anywhere - - - or for that Jesus was not crucified and died.

023 4/157d: “- - - of a surety they killed him (Jesus*) not - - -”. If Islam is not able to produce really hard proofs for this statement, it is very obviously wrong. See 4/156 and 4/157c for further explanations.

024 4/159: “And there is none of the People of the Book but must believe in him (Allah) before his death - - -.” Wrong. If some of the People of the Book believe, they believe in Yahweh, not in Allah. It is not the same god unless something is very wrong with the god. Islam will have to prove so in case.

025 4/163: “- - - to David We (Allah*) gave the Psalms”. Wrong: According to science they are some centuries younger than King David. (And besides – Allah hardly was involved. If there was a god, it was Yahweh).

00c 4/167: “Those who reject Faith (Islam*) - - - have verily strayed far, far away”. See 4/136.

00d 4/170a: “The Messenger (Muhammad*) hath come - - - from Allah - - -”. There is no place proved that Muhammad is a messenger from any god - Allah or someone else.

026 4/170b: “The Messenger (= Muhammad) hath come to you in truth from Allah: - - -”. With so many mistaken facts in Mohammad’s tales (the Quran), it is impossible he really got the surahs and verses from a god, at least not from an omniscient god. Also see 40/75.

027 4/170c: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

00e 4/171a: “- - - Christ Jesus, the son of Mary, was (no more than) a Messenger- - -.” Well, he frequently called Yahweh (the Jewish and Christian god) his father.

028 4/171b: “Christ Jesus was - - - a Messenger of Allah, - - -”. If Islam does not have strong proofs for this, he definitely was no messenger of Allah - for explanations about this see 3/51.

00f 4/171c: “- - - (far Exalted is He (Allah*)) above having a son”. If the Quran here talks only about Allah, that may be correct. But if it talks about an Allah identical to Yahweh, we have to remind Muslims of the fact that Jesus many times and in front of many witnesses called Yahweh his father – the word “father” as a statement of the relationship between Jesus and Yahweh is used at least 163 times in the NT, and the word “son” at least 66 times, many of those times by Jesus himself. And even the Quran agrees to that Jesus was reliable. And we remind you that in spite of what Islam and the Quran claim without any documentation about falsifications of the Bible, science clearly has documented that those undocumented claims are not correct.

029 4/171d: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

030 4/172: “Christ disdaineth not to serve and worship Allah.” In 3/51 is implicated in the explanation why this is wrong. The only possible exception is if Yahweh and Allah really is the same god. But only Islam states that, and the teachings of Yahweh (especially in NT and the new covenant – f. ex. Luke 22/20) are so different at essential points from the teachings of Allah, that they cannot be the same god. Islam will in case have to prove it, not only to claim it.

031 4/174: “- - - there hath come to you (Jews, Christians*) a convincing proof (the Quran*) from your Lord (Allah*) - - -”. With that many mistakes, contradictions, etc., and so much wrong logic, etc., etc. the Quran is not very convincing, and its “proofs”/“signs” no more convincing. See 2/99.

032 4/176: “And Allah hath the knowledge of all things”. Obviously not in this case. F. ex.: Given the right combination of inheritors, their shares add up to 112.5% and even 125% or 150% of the inheritance (this has given lawyers and lawmakers much work – and at least the lawyers much money - through the times.)

Surah 4: At least 32 mistakes + 6 likely mistakes.

Surah 5.

001 5/1 (A2): “Lawful (as food*) for you (Muslims*) are all four-footed animals, with the exceptions named - - “. But the literal meaning of Arab “bahimat al-an’am” is “four-footed cattle” or “beast of cattle”. But “cattle” is something very different to “all four-footed animals”. To add to the mystery Rezi and others say: “- - - all animals that resembles (domesticated) cattle insofar as it feeds on plants and is not a beast of prey.” (One essence of this is that Muslims cannot eat marine mammals – not 4-footed and most of them are beasts of prey. But we have not seen any prohibition about this.) The main essence of this verse is that no Arab scholar really is sure how to understand exactly what it means, but that **they agree on that “four-footed cattle” is a tautology that must be wrong** – one more case where the majority agrees on that some text in the Quran is wrong (there are a few like this – see separate small chapter. And when not even the greatest Muslims scholars understand what the text really means, it is not “a clear and easily understood language”.

It must be added to the defence of the Muslims scholars who try to “adjust” the meaning of this verse, that the Quran clearly permits hunting, and mostly they did go hunting for food - - - and you do not go hunting for cattle. It thus is very clear that they are right when they say this tautology is wrong.

001a 5/10: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

002 5/12: “Allah did aforetime take a covenant from the Children of Israel - - -.” Wrong. See 4/159. The covenant was with Yahweh.

****003 5/13: “- - - they (the Jews*) change the words (of the OT*) and forget a good part of the Message that was sent them (OT*)”. There exist some 13000 scriptures or fragments older than 610 AD (when Muhammad declared himself a messenger) plus some 32000 references to Biblical verses in other manuscripts. They show that neither OT nor NT is falsified – nor is anything forgotten (omitted). But Islam HAS to insist on this.** For one thing this was the excuse Muhammad used when explaining the differences between the Bible and the Quran – and Muhammad and the Quran has to be speaking only the truth, because if not the very foundation under the religion collapses. And for another thing – if the Quran is wrong and the Bible correct, Islam is a made up religion. But one fact remains: Islam has not found any proof for their claim, even though they have searched for it for 1400 years. They have trumped up a number of arguments, but like so often Islam only have cheap words behind their claims – **if they had found one single real proof for their claim among the 13000 scriptures or other places, you can bet large money on that the world had been informed quickly and thoroughly about it.** When science then tells that the Bible is unabridged except for better translations and the small varieties normal for handwritten manuscripts spread over hundreds of years and thousands of kilometres - and there were many thousands of scriptures spread all over - and each and every single one had to be falsified in just the same ways (facts Muslims never mention or explain) – well, when all this is added up, it is up to you to decide which – if any – of the two books is most reliable. (Also see 2/75 and 3/24).

004 5/14: “- - - but they (Christians*) forgot a good part of the Message (Bible/NT*) that was sent them - - - “. See 3/24 and 5/13 (just above). When it comes to NT, science is on even more secure “ground” than for OT, as one has original documents going back nearly to the first churches – included some 300 Gospels or fragments of Gospels – and there are found no

falsifications. **The texts simply are the same as today. Islam will have to offer proofs, not only claims taken out of the air like they normally only do.** With so many old documents it should have been easy enough to find falsifications - - - if it had been true. Also see 2/75!

005 5/15a: “- - - revealing to you (Jews and Christians*) much of that ye used to hide in the Book (the Bible*) - - -“. To believe in the theory that the Bible is falsified, one has to know very little about how to make identical falsifications of thousands of copies of many different manuscripts, where on top of all all the different falsifications have to be synchronized in all the different manuscripts, so that the different manuscripts do not tell widely different facts. And not least: All references to and from the different papers must have been synchronized – try to do that even today with 100000 papers spread over large areas and with no mass communications, not even a good post office (with 13000 relevant papers or scraps of papers still existing today, there must have been at least 100000 and many more in the old times, spread all over – papers are destroyed or rot or disappear over the centuries, all identically falsified, because at that time nobody knew which papers would survive until today!!!)

Judge for yourself after you also have read 2/75, 3/24, 5/13 and 5/14.

00a 5/15b: “- - - there hath come to you (Jews, Christians) from Allah a (new) light (Muhammad*) - - -“. Well, that is one of the questions: Did a man so morally degenerated and preaching a religion based on a book with so many mistakes, etc. and so much wrong logic, really represent a god? And did a war religion represent a benevolent god?

006 5/15c: “- - - (Allah has sent down*) a perspicuous Book (the Quran*)”. No god has sent down – not to mention revered it as the Mother Book in his own Heaven – a book with that many mistakes and that much wrong and invalid logic.

**00b 5/17a: “Why then doth He (the god*) punish you for your sins (if he loves you*)”. Wrong psychology, and a naïve question, as anyone knows you sometimes have to punish even children you love.

*007 5/17b: “In blasphemy indeed are those that say that Allah is Christ, the son of Mary”. No Christian says that Jesus is Allah. Neither do they say that Jesus is Yahweh. Muhammad never understood the trinity dogma of the Christians. (He also believed the trinity consists of Yahweh, Jesus and Mary!!!). But if one looks only at that dogma, Islam may be right that it is not correct - may be. It is only a dogma decided on by humans after much quarrel and discussion; it is not part of the Bible. **But no-one in his right mind and with some knowledge about the Bible, would ever believe Mary was part of the trinity.**

008 5/17c: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

*009 5/18a: “(Both) the Jews and the Christians say: ‘We are sons of Allah, and His beloved’”. Neither Jews nor Christians say they are real sons - or daughters - of Yahweh (not of Allah) (though they often figuratively - but only figuratively - refer to him as the “Father in Heaven” or humans as “Children of God”).

010 5/18b: “(Both) the Jews and the Christians say: ‘We are sons of Allah, and His beloved’. Say: ‘Why then doth He punish you for your sins? - - -’”. The question is not even rhetoric, but naïve - sometimes you have to punish even beloved children to teach them the difference between right and wrong, good and bad.

011 5/18b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

012 5/19a: “O People of the Book (mainly Jews and Christians, but also Sabeans - a Christian sect in Sabah, now part of Yemen (Islam also have another explanation) - and later after a fashion and in some circles also Zoroastrians*)! Now hath come unto you, making (things) clear unto you, Our Messenger (Muhammad*) - - -“. Some may question if really Muhammad was the messenger of a god - he did not always behave like the representative of a good and forgiving god, and his message (the Quran) is full of mistakes an omniscient god had not made. But what is not possible to doubt, is that a message with so many mistaken facts at best can make things partly clear (and at worst really mess up things).

013 5/19c: “- - - glad tidings - - -.” Wrong. See 2/97c and 61/13.

00c 5/19b: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Messenger (Muhammad*) - - -“. Can a man so morally degenerated like Muhammad – and preaching a book so full of mistakes and invalids logic - really represent a good and omniscient god?

*014 5/20: “Remember Moses said to his people: - - - Allah - - - made you kings”. Wrong. The first Jewish kings were Saul (Talut in the Quran) and then David some 200 years after Moses. Any - even minor - god had known this. We have heard Muslims explain that this is not what the Quran means, but that Allah made all Jews like kings. But anyone who knows a little about Jewish history and about Jews before and now, knows very well that most Jews never were or are or behave(d) like kings. It is an obvious “explanation”.

00d 5/21: “- - - the holy land which Allah hath assigned unto you (Moses and his Jews*) - - -“. Allah or Yahweh? (See also 3/51).

00e 5/23a: “- - - two (of Moses’ Jews*) on whom Allah hath - - -“. Allah or Yahweh? See also 3/51.

00f 5/23b: “- - - but on Allah put your (Jews*) trust if you have faith”. It is highly unlikely Jews at the time of Moses told their fellow Jews to trust Allah, as the name of the god of the Jews was Yahweh (and besides the name Allah was introduced by Mohammad only some 2000 years later (as a substitute for al-Lah)).

015 5/40: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

016 5/44: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00g 5/46a: “We (Allah*) sent Jesus the son of Mary, confirming the Law (of Moses*)”. **According to the Bible Jesus was not sent to change the old laws – that was not his main purpose. All the same he did so – changed some and even nullified some of them,** especially of all the additions made through the times by Jewish religious thinkers and leaders. This was more or less formalized during his last Easter, when the new covenant (f. ex. Luke 22/20) was introduced. (This covenant is never mentioned by Islam, and most Muslims without religious education have not even heard about it. This even though it is one of the main and most central facts in the Christian religion).

017 5/46b: “We (Allah) sent him (Jesus‘) the Gospel”. Any god had known that the Gospels did not exist at that time. See 3/3 and 3/48.

018 5/48a: “To thee (Muhammad*) We (Allah*) sent the scripture - - -”. As there are many mistakes in the Quran, there are reasonable doubts about if a god really sent down the Quran. These even more so as a number of the mistakes are in accordance with what one believed to be true at the time of Muhammad in the Middle East. Muhammad would have believed it was the truth, a god had known it was wrong. Then who made the Quran?

Islam will have to prove the statement to be believed by rational thinkers with some knowledge.

019 5/48b: “- - - We (Allah*) sent the scripture in truth - - -”. With all the mistaken facts - and perhaps other mistakes - in the Quran, it is at most partly the truth.

021 5/48c: “- - - confirming the scripture (the Bible) that came before it, - - -”. There are so many and so fundamental differences between the Quran and the Bible - especially NT - that the Quran is no confirmation of the Bible, and especially not of the NT. See 2/89 and 3/3.

022 5/48d: “- - - diverging from the Truth (the Quran*) that hath come to thee.” With that many mistakes, the Quran at best is only partly the Truth. **Islam repeats and repeats and repeats the claim that this is “the Truth”. It is tempting to remember Minister of Propaganda (!) in “Das Reich” – Nazi Germany – Joseph Goebbels: “If you repeat a lie often enough, people starts believing it”.** (There also are many other similarities between Islam and Nazism, and Nazism was liked and respected in large parts of the Muslim populations in the Nazis’ satanic days.)

00h 5/59: “- - - the revelation (the Quran*) that hath come to us (Muhammad) - - -”. **Well, one of the central questions about Islam is if there really were revelations – and if there were: From whom? There are these alternatives:**

1. Revelations from a god – which the Quran proves is not the case, as no god, omniscient or not, had made so many mistakes and contradictions, etc., and so much wrong logic, etc.
2. Or revelations from an impostor – f. ex. the Devil – pretending to be Gabriel or working on Muhammad’s brain (an illness like TLE easily would explain that – see BBC “God on the Brain”, 20. March 2003) – and the inhuman and on some points highly immoral religion Muhammad founded, may indicate that this really is a possibility.
3. Or the “revelations” simply came from a human brain with an illness – if Muhammad f. ex. had TLE (Temporal Lobe Epilepsy) like medical experts according to f. ex. BBC suspect, that easily explains his “experiences”.
4. Or it is all a “scenario” made by a cold and scheming brain – and Muhammad’s inhuman ruthlessness and easily recognized lust for

power (see f. ex. how he glues himself to Allah) may indicate this.

A combination of points 3 and 4 also is possible.

00i 5/60: “Those who incurred the curse of Allah and his wrath, those of some he transformed into apes and swine - - -”. Hardly likely. This needs strong proofs.

023 5/64: “The revelation (the Quran*) that cometh to thee (Muhammad*) from Allah - - -“. A book with that many mistakes, etc. is not from an omniscient god. See 5/59 above.

024 5/67: “- - - the (message) which hath been sent to thee (Muhammad*) from thy Lord.” There is no chance that a message (the Quran) that full of mistakes, wrong logic, etc. is from an omniscient god. See 5/59.

*025 5/72a: “They do blaspheme who say: ‘God is the son of Mary’”. No Christians say that Yahweh is the son of Mary, Jesus. (Though catholic people use the expression “Mother of God” meaning “Mother of (the holy) Jesus”, but they clearly know the difference between God/Yahweh and Jesus).

026 5/72b: “But said Christ; ‘O Children of Israel! Worship Allah, my Lord and your Lord’”. **If Jesus had tried to teach about the in Israel known polytheistic god al-Lah from a heathen neighbouring country, he had got very few followers and had been quickly killed by the clergy in the religious climate in Israel at that time.

00j 5/73: “They do blaspheme who say: Allah is one of three in a trinity”. Our sources tell that the 3 last words does not exist in the Arab edition, but is added by Yusuf Ali. Then the correct text in case ends: “Allah (Yahweh*) is one of three (gods*).” Which obviously is wrong, as Christians only believe in one god. Besides it is a most dubious praxis to make additions to a text without making the readers aware of that it is an addition – f. ex. by at least putting the addition in ().

00k 5/75a: “Christ, the son of Mary, was no more than a messenger; - - -”. The Bible says something else – that Jesus called Yahweh his father (this relationship is mentioned at least 163 times as “father” + 66 times as “son” in the NT - frequently by Jesus himself), and far from always only his spiritual father - and as the Bible is written relatively short time after Jesus’ death, and on this point on the basis of thousands of witnesses that could tell what Jesus said, and protest if the narrators quoted Jesus falsely, it is likely that the Bible is more reliable here, than the Quran. The Quran is written 600 years later, and offers only unfounded statements and claims without any proof or even indicia backing up the claims. This even more so as the only Islamic source for the claims was a man who demanded to be the greatest prophet of all times, something he definitely could not be if Jesus was a relative of Yahweh – and this even more so as Muhammad in relity was not a prophet: He did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies (he did nor even claim to or pretend to have it) – perhaps a messenger for someone or something, or an apostle, but not a prophet.

Also as mentioned Jesus himself frequently called Yahweh his father - and Jesus is reliable also according to the Quran (but as said the Quran/Mohammad cannot accept that Jesus may be the son of Yahweh, because then Muhammad is not the greatest of “prophets” – and the

defence of Muhammad also is essential, as he in reality was a dubious and immoral character).

027 5/75b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

001 5/75c: “- - - deluded - - -.” The mistakes, etc. in the Quran makes it an open question who is deluded and who not.

028 5/75d: “- - - they (the non-Muslims*) are deluded away from the truth.” With all the mistaken facts - and perhaps other mistakes - in the Quran, it at best tells only partly the truth.

****029 5/81: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -“. **But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:**

1. **** Has the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. ****Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true. If not he is a false prophet.
3. ****Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

030 5/83: “- - - they (Christians*) recognised the truth (corresponding to the Quran*)”. As said before: With that many mistakes in the Quran, the teachings of Muhammad at best are partly the truth.

00m 5/84a: “What cause can we (Muhammad and the people) have not to believe in Allah - - -?” Well, there are strong answers to that – all the mistakes in the Quran, the inhumanity of Muhammad and Islam, the at some points sick morality of Muhammad and Islam, etc., etc., etc. But the main point is that the question is wrong. The correct and relevant question had been: “What cause can we have to believe in Allah?”

**031 5/84b: “What cause can we have not to believe in Allah and the truth (the Quran*) which has come to us, - - -?” See among others 5/75 and 5/83. In addition - to answer the question: There are heavy reasons for not believing: The fact that the Quran - the basis of the religion - contains so many mistaken facts in a book that claims to be sent down from their

god. Then how many mistakes are there in the religious points that are wrong? Is it really sent down from a god, or is it made up by someone here on Earth? And if it is sent down: In that case Allah very clearly is not omniscient - and not benevolent.

032 5/86: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

033 5/89: “- - - Signs - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 above.

034 5/97: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

**035 5/110a: “I (Allah*) thought thee (Jesus*) - - - the Gospel”. Wrong. The Gospels did not exist until some 25 years after Jesus died (the oldest Gospel). See 3/3 and 3/48.

036 5/110b: “And behold, thou (the child Jesus*) makest out of clay, as it were, the figure of a bird, by My leave, and you brethest into it and it becometh a bird by My leave, - - -”. A made up story from the made up legends in the made up (apocryphal) Thomas Child Gospel. See 3/49 - Muhammad often repeats himself, even if that makes no good literature. Besides: A wonder like this had not been forgotten in the Bible - and especially not by “wrongdoers” wanting to falsify the Bible to make Jesus more holy, like the Quran frequently says/indicates.

037 5/111: “(the Disciples*) said: “We have faith, and do thou bear witness that we bow to Allah as Muslims”. Made up story - see 3/51 for explanation.

038 5/114a: “Send us (Jesus and the Disciples*) from heaven a Table set (with viands), - - -”. A made up story - there is no chance that such a miracle that clearly shows Jesus’ connection to Yahweh, would be omitted from the Bible. Not one single chance. Even if Muhammad had been right and Christians had falsified the NT, this is the kind of stories they had added, not omitted. Some Muslims say this may refer a little to “The Prayer of God” - give us our daily bread - in the Bible. Much more likely it is a contorted version of the last Easter dinner.

039 5/114b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

040 5/116a: “Didst thou (Jesus*) say unto men, ‘worship me and my mother as gods in derogation of Allah’?” Jesus was not involved with Allah - see 3/51 for explanation. As for a divine Jesus, that is not explicitly said in the Bible, but many places it is understood that he was (f. ex. if Yahweh really was his father in some way, and also all his miracles – confirmed by the Quran – indicates something). But when it comes to Mary, Islam is right - saints are not a part of the teaching of the Bible (on the other hand also some Muslims have saints, notably the Shi’ites). But no Christian - not one single - prays to Mary as a god.

*041 5/116b: Mohammad believed the Trinity consisted of God/Yahweh, Jesus and Mary. Wrong. Both Muhammad and the Quran were wrong in the extreme when he/they thus believed Mary was part of the Trinity. (It consists (?) of God/Yahweh, Jesus and the Holy Spirit – also called the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of truth, the Spirit of God, or only the Spirit). Muhammad never the trinity and he never understood the Holy Spirit, even though he used the Spirit a few times in the Quran – and some Muslims refer to the Holy Spirit in the Quran as another name for the arch angel Gabriel(!) as it is “known” that Gabriel brought surahs and verses, but it is also said in the Quran that the Spirit brought some. Also see 5/117.

042 5/117: (Jesus said): “Worship Allah, my Lord and your Lord”. A story made up to strengthen Islam. If Jesus had said things like that about al-Lah, he had had very few followers - - - and had been killed within months by the Jewish clergy. See 3/51 for further explanation.

043 5/120: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

Surah 5: At least 41 mistakes + 13 likely mistakes.

Subtotal till here: At least 263 mistakes + 43 likely mistakes.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 4, Section 2 (= II-1-4-2)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. THE "COMPLETE" LIST - THE "ENCYCLOPAEDIA" - BASED ON SURAH AND VERSE NUMBER

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

SOME CLEAR FACT MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN SURAHS 6 THROUGH 10 IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear mistakes. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely mistake.

Surah 6

001 6/1: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

***002 6/2: “He (Allah*) it is Who created you from clay, - - -“. This is one of the many ways man (Adam) was created according to the Quran - even if Adam was created only once, according to that book. He was created in these ways:

a. From clay:	6/2	7/12	17/61	32/7	38/71	38/76.
b. From sounding clay:	15/26	15/28	15/33.			
c. From ringing clay:	55/64					
d. From sticky clay:	37/11					
e. From essence of clay:	23/12					
f. From mud:	15/26	15/28	15/33.			
g. From dust:	3/59	22/5	35/11	40/67.		
h. From earth:	20/55					
i. From a clot of congealed blood:	96/2					
j. From semen:#	16/4	75/37	76/2	80/19.		
k. From nothing:	19/9	19/67.				
l. From water:	21/30	24/45	25/54.			
m. From base material:	70/39.					

#(It is not told where the semen came from).**

** Mostly when the book talks about semen, it is in connection with (making) children. But also children are not made from semen - it only is 50% of the truth. A child is made from semen + an egg cell, but an egg cell is so small, that Muhammad did not know about it - human eggs can hardly be seen in the blood and gore in a carcass or a slaughtered animal. Actually at the time of Muhammad one did not know how conception happened - one theory was that semen was a seed that grew when placed in a woman - though far from each time. Strangely this is how the Quran explains it. Any god had known better.

Strictly speaking all the different ways of creating man/Adam means that the Quran tells that man/Adam was created in 13 different ways, even if Adam was created only once (in reality he never was created and never existed – man developed from earlier primates). If one lump similar “creations” together, there still remains at least 5-7 different creations. Only one can even according to the Quran be right (as Adam was created only once even according to the Quran and to the Bible) - and the irony is that science long since has shown that all alternatives are wrong, as man as said evolved from a prehistoric primate. Some Muslims explain that Adam was created from a little clay, a little dust, a little earth, a little blood, a little semen, a little nothing and some water. But that is far from what the Quran tells - and even if it were true story of what the Quran tells, it is wrong, as man evolved from earlier beings. And where in a man do you find clay, etc.?

003 6/3: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

004 6/4: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

005 6/5a: “And now they (non-Muslims*) reject the truth (the Quran*) - - -”. With that many mistakes, etc. the Quran at best is partly the truth. Also see 13/1.

006 6/5b: “And now they (man/wrongdoers*) reject the truth (Muhammad’s teachings*) when it reaches them: - - -”. The Quran has so many mistakes - mistaken facts, and other mistakes - that at best it only is partly the truth.

***007 6/7: “If We (Allah*) had sent onto thee (Muhammad*) a written (message) on parchment - - - the Unbelievers (would not believe anyhow*)”. Wrong – and Muhammad was too intelligent and knew too much about people not to know it was and is a lie: A real miracle - or more than one - had made new believers, even if some would try to call it magic. This is one of the places where an intelligent man like Muhammad knew he was lying.

*008 6/11a: “Travel through the earth and see what was the end of those who rejected the Truth”. Scattered around in Arabia and neighbouring countries there were ruins of old houses, villages and towns. Muhammad told these were the remains left by people destroyed by Allah because of not believing in Allah - which hardly is true in most cases. To tell the truth: Not one serious professor of history believes in this. And not one serious scientific book about history mentions such claims as a credible reason for why houses or villages or towns or cities became empty. It will take heavy proofs from Islam to convince them.

009 6/11b: “- - - see what was the end of those who rejected the Truth”. The truth is not more reliable here than in f. ex. 6/5 and 6/11. Also see 13/1.

010 6/12a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

011 6/12b: “That He (Allah*) will gather you together for the Day of Judgement, there is no doubt whatever.” With so many mistakes, contradictions, so much wrong logic in the teachings, there is serious doubt about anything in the Quran and in Islam – and with a good reason – included the so-called last day and judgement by a god.

012 6/14a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

**00a 6/14b: “But I (Muhammad*) am commanded to be the first of those who bow to Allah - - -”. How can that be possible? – Adam and Noah and many others were Muslims according to the Quran. (Muslims tells that it means each of the persons mentioned in the Quran in this way, was the first in his group or tribe or nation or something, but that is not what the Quran says. But in this one case the Quran may be correct – it may well be that Muhammad was the first. The very first).

013 6/20: “Those to whom We (Allah*) have given the Book (Jews, Christians*) know this (that the Quran was revealed to Muhammad, etc.*) as they know their own sons”. Very wrong – they had lots of reasons to suspect that something was wrong – very wrong – with both Muhammad and with his religion.

014 6/21: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

015 6/27: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

**016 6/28: “But if they (sinners*) were returned (from Hell to Earth*), they would certainly relapse to the things they were forbidden”. This is one of the places an intelligent man with lots of knowledge about people, like Muhammad had, knew he was lying – most persons having seen and experienced a Hell like the one described in the Quran, would do practically anything not to end up there if they got a second chance.

017 6/33: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

018 6/35: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

019 6/37: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

020 6/38a: “Nothing have We (Allah) omitted from the Book (the Quran*)”. Wrong. A lot is omitted even of essential things (that f. ex. is why Islam has had to make many more laws than quoted in the Quran), and a lot of the facts - and may be other statements - are wrong.

021 6/38b: “There is not an animal (that lives) on the earth, or a being that flies on its wings, but (forms part of) communities like you”. Wrong. There are many animals and birds, not to mention insects, that live alone - among insects and some carnivores and even birds it even may be dangerous to come close to one of your own species, especially if you are male.

022 6/38c: “Nothing have We (Allah*) omitted from the Book (the Quran*) - - -“. There is a lot of things you do not find in the Quran – f. ex. the laws are incomplete for human life, and even more so in modern societies.

023 6/39: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

024 6/45: “Praise be to Allah, the Cherisher of the Worlds”. There are no 7 worlds like this refers to (65/12).

025 6/46: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

026 6/49: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

027 6/55: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

028 6/75: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

029 6/57: “- - - clear Sign - - -“. There are no clear signs for Allah or Muhammad in all the Quran. See 2/99.

*030 6/57: “He (Allah) declares the Truth”. May be he does, but in that case outside the Quran, as what is referred in the Quran, is only partly the truth - too many mistaken facts, too many contradictions, too many mistakes in the Arab language according to literature, too many invalid “signs” and “proofs” - and may be some other mistakes, too - - - perhaps even religious mistakes (why should they be exceptions?) Actually: With so many mistakes that you find in the Quran, it at best is partly the truth.

031 6/71: “- - - worlds - - -“. Referring to the 7 worlds that exist according to the Quran. Wrong. See 65/12.

032 6/73a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

033 6/73b: “His (Allah’s*) Word (the Quran*) is the Truth”. With that many mistakes, it at best is partly true.

034 6/75: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

035 6/79: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

036 6/91a: “Who sent down the Book which Moses brought?” Wrong – no book. Moses only brought down the 10 Commandments. (He also was told the law, and later wrote it down, but nothing else, according to the Bible). The 5 books of Moses - the Torah - were written some 400-700 years later according to science. (To be exact: According to the Bible he got the 2 stone tablets with the 10 Commandments, and in addition he was told the law, which he himself later wrote down. The law later became a part of the Book of Moses – the Torah. The Book of Moses often is referred to as “the Law”, but in reality the laws make up only a minor part of it – like when Islam takes a central word in a surah, and uses that as a name for that surah). The Bible also mentions that when Solomon brought the Ark of Covenant to the temple he built in Jerusalem, it only contained the two stone tablets (1. Kings 8/9). It is mentioned, though, that (the book of) the law was found again later under King Josiah (2. Kings 22/8).

037 6/91b: “Allah (sent it (the books) of Moses*) down)”. As Moses never had - or brought - those books according to the Bible, they could not have been sent down by anyone. They were written several hundred years later, according to science. But see 6/91a just above.

038 6/91c: “- - - ye (Jews) conceal much (of its (the Torah’s = first part of the OT*) contents - - -)“. **Science has ever so clearly shown that this Islamic claim is wrong - many really old documents have shown that the texts of today are like the really old ones.** Islam will have to bring real proofs for the repeated claims in the Quran and elsewhere – till now they only have produces unfunded claims and loose words, and we are back to the old fact: **If Islam had found a hard fact showing that the Bible in any way was falsified, the world had been told quickly and with large letters. This because Islam does not have one single real proof for the existence of Allah, for Gabriel as a messenger, for Muhammad’s connection to a god or anything at all – everything rests only – only – on what Muhammad told, and the historical Muhammad (in contradiction to the Islamic glossy picture) was a man that would not have been accepted in any serious court as a reliable witness.** A proof for falsification of the Bible would be an indication for that the Quran was correct at lest on this one point, and thus very welcome. But in 1400 hundred years no real proof has been found – only claims and words. Therefore a real proof for a falsified Bible had been big news in all Muslim media and for all Muslims debating religion forever after. **No such proof has ever been produced by Islam.**

Also see 3/24, 5/13, 5/14, 5/15.

039 6/91d: “Say: ‘Allah sent it (the Quran*) down - - -“. No omniscient god ever sent down a book with that many mistakes, wrong logic, etc., not to mention kept it as a revered Mother Book in his own Heaven.

040 6/92a: “And this is a Book (the Quran*) which We (Allah) have sent down”. It is a question if a book with that many mistakes can be sent down by an omniscient god. But the answer to that question gives itself: No.

041 6/92b: (The Quran is) “confirming (the revelations) which came before it (the Bible*)”. Wrong. There are so many fundamental differences, that the Quran is no confirmation of the Bible. See f. ex. 2/89 and 3/3 for further explanation.

042 6/92c: “Those who believe in the Hereafter believe in this (Book) (the Quran), - - -”. Wrong. There are many who believe in a next life, but do not believe in the Quran - f. ex. Jews and Christians, but also many others.

043 6/93: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

044 6/95: “- - - then how are ye (non-Muslims*) deluded away from the truth? (the Quran*)”. With that many mistakes and that much wrong logic, etc., the Quran at best is partly the truth. And it is an open question who is deluded away from the truth.

00b 6/96a: “He (Allah*) makes the night for rest and tranquillity - - -”. One more natural phenomenon that Muhammad claimed for his god – and as always without a single proof. But the main thing just here is that sleep is not a prerequisite for life – on the contrary sleep is an adaptation made by life to the fact that it is dark parts of the time.

045 6/96b: “He makes - - - the sun and the moon for the reckoning (of time)”. Wrong. They - and especially the sun - are natural phenomena that are essential to life on Earth (the moon may have been essential to the emergence of life, at least on dry land). It so happens that they are ok for reckoning time, but they are not made for it. (Actually they hardly are made even especially for sustaining life on Earth.)

046 6/97: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

047 6/98: “It is He (Allah*) Who hath produced you from a single person (Adam*) - - -”. One thing is that Adam never existed – man developed from earlier primates according to science. Another thing is that 1 person – even 1 pair of persons – would give too little DNA-variety to make man as a race viable.

048 6/99: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

049 6/101a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

00c 6/101b: “- - - how can he (Yahweh*) have a son when He hat no consort?” Wrong - and the Quran has itself given one possible solution: It declares that the god may just say “Be” and it is. May be Yahweh just said “Be a son”, and Jesus was.

**But there is another, but little known fact: In the very old Jewish religion there was a female god, too. They spoke about the god and his Amat (source among others “New Scientist”). In the very masculine society of the old Hebrews, the goddess was forgotten, though, - - - but it was possible for Yahweh to have a son “the natural way”. Gods would have known this, but Muhammad not.

But why should gods make children the same way as humans? A naïve statement.

050 6/101c: “- - - He (Allah*) hath full knowledge of all things.” Something is seriously wrong here: The Quran proves that either Allah did not make the Quran, or he had far from knowledge of all things.

051 6/104a: “Now have (the Quran*) come - - - from your Lord (Allah*) - - -”. No omnipotent god ever created a book with so many mistakes, so many contradictions, so much

wrong logic, and honestly so low quality literature (in spite of all the glorious words from religious Muslims), not to mention kept it as a revered Mother Book in his own Heaven, like the Quran itself claims at least 2 places. See 13/39.

052 6/104b: “Now have (the Quran) come to you (Muslims*), from your Lord (Allah*), proofs - -”. **Wrong. In all the Quran there is not on single valid proof for Allah or for Islam - or for Muhammad being a real prophet. Not one single proof that proves any god at all.** There MAY BE are a few exceptions in the tales taken from the Bible, but they in case talk about Yahweh, and Islam in case will have to prove that Allah and Yahweh really is the same god - a statement only based on unproven claims in the Quran and in Hadith, and a statement that have never in any way been documented. All the statements not from the Bible (and perhaps they, too), are only based on thin air and cheap words - words that any priest in any religion can use about his or her god(s). They are worth nothing as proofs or even as indications.

The Quran some places talks about “proofs” and many places where it talks about “signs” (which is Islam-speak for proof). They all have that in common that **they without exception are without value as proofs, as the Quran and Islam NEVER proves that it really is Allah that does this and this. Remember: A proof is one or more PROVEN facts that can give only one conclusion.** If heaven and earth shall be proofs for Allah, Islam first has to prove that it really was Allah that made them - not only say so. If rain shall be a proof for Allah, Islam first has to prove that it really is Allah that makes and directs the rain, not just say so, because that any religion can say - valueless as a proof (Baal makes the rivers run downwards. Allah cannot make them run upwards. ERGO: Baal is the real god and Allah just an impostor. Etc., etc., etc. Valueless “proofs“.) If life on Earth is to be a proof for Allah, Islam first have to prove that it really was Allah that created it - not just use empty claims and statements any priest in any religion can use free of charge. Etc., etc., etc.

****The Quran is very good at demanding proofs from all other religions, but it never, NEVER, offers any valid proofs itself when it comes to disputed “truths” (it offers “proofs“ and “signs“, but they are built just on unproven claims or on nothing and are not valid). And it is extra thought-provoking that the times it says that this and this is a proof, and the many, many times it says that this and this is a sign, the statements without exception as said are just claims or statements taken out of thin air or in other ways not built on real evidence - nothing that a judge would accept as proofs in a neutral, good quality court. Nothing. Any god would know the statements were without value as real proofs, and not call them - or hint that they are - proofs. It is just cheap words and demagoguery that any priest in any religion can use. Sorry, but that is the very plain truth - and in reality even worse - - - and this is what is thought provoking: Who use loose claims and statements, invalid arguments and invalid proofs? - cheats and deceivers and impostors. It actually tells something about a god if he is trying to cheat simpleminded, uneducated people - **not to mention what it tells about him if he did not know or understand that one time humans would get enough knowledge to see through the cheating,** and even more so if Allah did not understand what effect such proofs of mistakes and bluffing would have on educated, thinking persons. As thought provoking: **Some of the mistakes etc. it is clear that Muslim scholars see, and others - a lot others - it is unbelievable if they do not see, but they do not tell their audiences about it. On the contrary: They tell that everything in the Quran is perfect.** The old question reappears: If Islam is a made up religion, and there somewhere exists a real religion that Muslims have been denied or cheated from getting information about - what then to all the Muslims in a possible next life?**

****053 6/104c:** “- - - I (Muhammad*) am not (here) to watch over your doings”. This verse is directly quoted from Muhammad – it is Muhammad who is speaking completely on his own. How come – in a book from eternity and a copy of the revered Mother Book in Allah’s own heaven? There are some 8 such cases in the Quran, and at least one case where angels are speaking - see 6/114a below.

054 6/105: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

****055 6/109:** “- - - what will make you (Muslims) realise that (even) if (special) Signs came, they will not believe?” **Wrong. If there were real proofs of a god, at least a good number of people would believe - that is a psychological fact (look f. ex. at the Pharaoh’s magicians and at the results of Jesus’ miracles). The sentence really sounds like fast-talk to “explain” why Allah/Muhammad was unable to produce unmistakeable proofs for Allah. Worse: An intelligent man like Muhammad knew this argument is a lie - and all the same he used it frequently. This simply is one of the places in the Quran where Muhammad knew he was lying.**

056 6/111: “But most of them (non-Muslims*) ignore the (truth (the Quran*)).” With that many mistakes, that much wrong logic, etc., that book at best is only partly the truth.

***057 6/114a:** “Say: ‘Shall I seek for judge other than Allah?’” According to Ibn Warraq “Why I am not a Muslim”, the word “Say” is not to be found in the original Arab text. Yusuf Ali has added it to hide that here once more Muhammad is speaking in a book he pretended was made by a god a long time ago, and a copy of a revered Mother Book in Allah’s own Heaven. (Ibn Warraq points to at least 8 such places in the Quran: 2/286, 6/104, 6/114, 11/2-3, 19/36, 27/91, 42/10/, 51/50-51).

058 6/114b: “- - - He (Allah*) it is Who hath sent unto you (Muslims*) the Book (the Quran*)”. Taken into account the many mistakes, that is doubtful - at least it needs solid proofs.

059 6/114 c: “- - - it (the Quran*) hath been sent down from thy (Muslims’*) Lord (Allah) - - -“. No omniscient god ever sent down a book with that many mistakes, contradictions, logical blunders, etc., not to mention kept is as a revered Mother book in his own Heaven (13/39), like the Quran also claims – as usual without any documentation or proof.

060 6/114d: “- - - it (the Quran*) hath been sent down from thy (Muslims*) Lord (Allah*) in truth”. At best partly the truth.

061 6/115a: “The words of thy Lord (Allah*) doth find its fulfilment in truth”. As said many times: With that many mistakes, it maximum is partly the truth.

***062 6/115b:** “- - - none can change His (Allah’s*) Words “. Perhaps no Muslim can, because that means that something is wrong in the foundation and fundamentals of Islam - they can not afford to change any word in the Quran for that reason. But f. ex. science can show if some of the words in the Quran are wrong. Which they are. Also there are changes in the Quran – the differences in the old Qurans, the different “ways of reading” (a camouflaged way to talk about what in reality are varieties of the texts), the abrogated verses and the change in the religion around/after 622 AD – by whom and why?

063 6/118: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

064 6/124: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

065 6/126: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

066 6/130: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00d 6/145: “I (Muhammad*) find not in the Message received by me by inspiration any (meat) forbidden to be eaten - - - unless it is dead meat, or blood poured forth, or the flesh of swine – for it is an abomination (nobody knows why it is prohibited*) – or - - - (meat) on which a name has been invoked, other than Allah’s”. This surah “appeared” ca. 621 AD. Some 6 years later Allah or Muhammad obviously discovered they had made a mistake and forgotten that also meat from donkey is forbidden for Muslims – this according to Hadiths (f. ex. Al-Bukhari) Then this verse was abrogated in order to add that kind of forbidden meat. This is one of the cases where the Quran is abrogated by Hadith. (But note that if a Muslim is forced to eat these kinds of meat – f. ex. from sheer hunger – or is cheated to eat it – f. ex. someone tells him wrongly that the sausage contains no pork and he trusts what is said - then it is no sin).

067 6/146: “For those who followed the Jewish Law, We (Allah*) forbade (to eat*) every (animal) with undivided hoof, and We forbade them the fat of the ox and the sheep - - -“. Skipping the fact that Allah and the god of the Jews, Yahweh, is not the same god – not unless he is schizophrenic – the correct is: - - - “the fat of cattle, sheep or goat” (3. Mos. 7/23). A minor mistake, but an omniscient god had not forgotten the goat.

****068 6/149: ”With Allah is the arguments that reaches home - - -”. **Which means: Allah decides everything. But what then about the claimed free will of man? “The Message of the Quran” explains this in its comment 141 to this surah (translated from Swedish): “With other words: The real connection between Allah’s knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man’s relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true”.**

This argument is the ultimate defeat for the very meaning behind the word “the Truth”. A man of the morally doubtful character like the historical real Muhammad, has told an unproven and undocumented tale - - - and that is the ultimate truth also in the face of hundreds of mistakes, contradictions, and other wrongs, and here in the face of the absolutely impossible!!

***069 6/151a : “Come, I (Muhammad*) will rehearse **what Allah hath (really) prohibited you from - - - (f. e x. *) be good to your parents**”. This very obviously is wrong and a bit of a contradiction compared to other places in the Quran – Muhammad very obviously meant exactly the opposite; that you were ordered to be good to your parents. An omniscient god would not make such a mistake. Who made the Quran?

Also Muslim scholars agree that here the text is wrong – it is absolutely contrary to what the Quran says about this all other places. Which give you an unbeatable proof against any Muslim boasting that the book being without any mistakes at all. A proof and a fact sanctioned by Islam!

Also see 6/151b just below.

And besides: If here is a mistake, how many more are there? Also see 6/151b just below.

Just remember: 6/151 (6 in Scandinavian = sex, and 151 has sex in both ends (1 + 5 = 6, and 5 + 1 = 6). Easy to remember.

070 ***6/151b : “Come, I (Muhammad*) will rehearse **what Allah hath (really) prohibited you from - - - (f. e x.)* kill not your children on a plea of want - - -**” = **kill your children if you are too poor.** Obviously wrong compared to what the Quran says about such things other places.

Also here (see 6/151a just above) Muslim scholars agree that here the text is wrong – it is absolutely contrary to what the Quran says about this all other places. Which give you another unbeatable proof against any Muslim boasting that the book being without any mistakes at all. A proof and a fact sanctioned by Islam!

071 6/154a: “We (Allah*) gave Moses the Book, - - -”. As said some times before: The 5 books of Moses – also called “the Book of Moses” - (the Torah) were written 400-700 years later according to science. Moses only got the 10 Commandments in writing. That is to say: He also got “the Law” – which is part of “the Book of Moses”- but only verbally, and then wrote it down himself later according to the Bible. But the law for one thing is just part of the book, and for another: Science all the same means the full book is written centuries later.

072 6/154b: “(The Quran) is explaining all things in detail”. It is explaining far from all things, and definitely not in sufficient details - among other facts there are not enough laws in the Quran to run a society, which is why Muslims have had to make many supplements.

073 6/155a: “And this is a Book (the Quran*) which We (Allah*) have revealed - - -”. With that many mistakes - is it really made by a god? - and is a book with many mistakes and much incitement to hate and war and suppression of other people and of women, a blessing? No to both.

074 6/155b: “- - - a Book (the Quran*) which we (Allah*) have revealed as a blessing”. A book with many mistakes and invalid and even wrong proofs is no blessing.

075 6/156: “The Book (in this case the Bible) was sent down to two Peoples before us (Mohammad and/or the Arabs*)”. Wrong. The OT was for one people primarily - the Jews. But the NT was written - not sent down - for many peoples. Chapters/letters are even addressed to very different peoples. Besides there were other religions with books – f. in Persia. Or to see it another way – like “The Message of the Quran” explains it: The Bible was sent down to the Jews and the Christians **“the only ones that according to what the Arabs knew had scriptures based on revelations from a god”**. The interesting part of this explanation is the reason Islam gives for the mistake: That reason was that the Arabs – Muhammad - only knew about the book(s) of the Christians and the Jews. What the Arabs knew around 621 AD when Muhammad dictated this surah, **should be totally irrelevant for an omniscient god when he made (?) the Quran many aeons earlier** – a Mother Book which he and his angels revered in his own heaven, now with one more mistake. Then who made the Quran?

076 6/157a: “- - - a Clear Sign - - -”. There are no clear signs/proofs neither for Allah nor for Muhammad’s connection to a god in the Quran. See 2/99.

077 6/157b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

078 6/158a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

079 6/158b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

080 6/162: “- - - worlds - - -”. See 65/12 below.

00e 6/163a: “No partner hath He: - - -”. If the Quran here means Allah, it may be correct. If it is indicating Yahweh, words of Jesus may be understood like the Quran here is wrong. Also see 6/101b.

081 6/163b: “- - - I (Muhammad*) am the first of those who bow to His (Allah’s*) will. “How is that possible if the Quran is correct and lots of people had been Muslims before him, and bowed to Allah? (Though in reality it is highly likely he was right: That he was the first one ever). Muslims explain that it means the first in a community, but that is not what the Quran says.

Surah 6: At least 81 mistakes + 5 likely mistakes.

SURAH 7:

00a 7/2: “A Book (the Quran*) revealed unto thee (Muhammad*)”. Can a book with so many mistakes be revealed by an omniscient god?

001 7/3: “Follow (O People!) the revelations given onto you from your Lord, - - -”. See 7/2 and many more. No omniscient god has made such book. Either Allah is not omniscient, or someone else has made it.

*00b 7/4: “How many towns have We (Allah) destroyed (for their sins)?” There were scattered ruins in Arabia. Muhammad said they all were destroyed as punishment for their sins. That hardly is true.

002 7/9: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00c 7/11: “- - - We (Allah*) bade the angels bow down to Adam, and they bowed down; not so Iblis (the future Devil) - - -.” But Iblis was no angel, like it is indicated here. It is said several places in the Quran that he was created from fire (f. ex. 7/12), which means he was a jinn (angels are created from light, according to the Quran).

003 7/12: “Thou (Allah) didst create - - - him (Adam*) from clay”. One of the many ways Allah created Adam according to the Quran. Wrong. For more information see 6/2.

004 7/26: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

**005 7/28: “Allah never commands what is shameful”.

1. **Allah commands/permits sex with children. For an adult to enjoy sex with a child is utterly shameful. For an adult to introduce a child to sex is inhuman and even more shameful. Muhammad not only said, but even demonstrated that it was ok at least from the girl is 9 – and worse: She –**

Aishah - became his favourite wife for the rest of her childhood.

2. Allah commands that one can take slaves in a jihad - and any skirmish or war where Muslims are involved, is declared jihad. For centuries (till ca. 1930 – 1940) all the four law schools of Islam said that if the opposite part was non-Muslims, that was good enough reason to declare jihad – theoretically even any slave hunter could be waging jihad. To force fellow humans to become slaves, to toil for free for you, to be free for you to sell or mistreat or use for a sex toy, is utterly inhuman, utterly selfish, utterly immoral – and utterly shameful. Not to mention that it is a grotesque act to commit in the name of a presumed good god.
3. To rape a child prisoner/slave/victim is grotesquely selfish, immoral, inhuman and grotesquely shameful - - - but Allah has commanded that it is ok.
4. To rape any woman prisoner/slave/victim – a fellow human being – is nearly as selfish and shameful and bad as raping a child. But in the Quran it is “good and lawful” as long as the woman is not pregnant.
5. To murder opponents – also personal opponents – in the name of a presumably good god is something much more than shameful. But practiced by Muhammad.
6. To incite to discrimination, hate and war, in the name of a presumably good god is even worse than murder – and a proof of a good or a “prophet” full of hypocrisy.
7. To steal/rob/plunder and extort in the name of such a god – and with his permission as “good and lawful” is nearly as bad and as much hypocrisy as murders, hate, suppression and war.

But all these points have this in common:

1. They attract selfish, greedy warriors to a robber “prophet’s” army – and to his successors’.
2. They attract inhuman warriors to a robber “prophet’s” army – and to his successors’.
3. They attract primitive warriors to a robber “prophet’s” army – and to his successors’.

4. It is a cheap way for a robber “prophet” – and for his successors – to get an army – a cheap army.

Finally: Severe or capital punishment for a woman who has been raped, but is unable to produce 4 male eye witnesses to the very act most likely is the most inhuman, most immoral, most unjust, and most shameful law we have ever come across in any at least half civilized religion or culture, and Allah and/or Muhammad have introduced it. And to force a woman who wants to remarry her former husband under some circumstances to have sex with another man to be permitted to remarry him, also is rotten and shameful.

006 7/29: “My Lord (Allah*) has commanded justice - - -“. This only is partly true. See 7/28 just above.

007 7/33: “The things my (Muhammad’s or Muslims’*) Lord (Allah*) hath indeed forbidden are: shameful deeds - - -“. This only is partly true – see 7/28 above.

008 7/35: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

009 7/36: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

010 7/37: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

011 7/40: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00d 7/42: “- - - no burden do We (Allah*) place on any soul, but that which it can bear - - -“. Can this be true? – also among Muslims self murder (or seeking death for Allah, when the real reason is a too difficult life), deserting one’s family or child, resorting to crime to be able to live on, etc. happens.

012 7/52a: “We (Allah*) had certainly sent unto them a Book (the Quran*), - - -”. The recurring question: Is a book with that many mistakes – wrong facts, contradictions, invalid proofs, orthographic and perhaps even religious mistakes - really sent down by a god? Impossible - not to say heresy against any omnipotent or omniscient god.

013 7/52b: “- - - a Book (the Quran), based on knowledge, - - -”. With that many mistakes, the book is based just partly on knowledge - or for the better part of the mistakes; on outdated and wrong knowledge. An omniscient god would not have knowledge that would become outdated.

014 7/52c: “- - - a Book, based on knowledge, which We (Allah*) explained in detail - “. See 6/154.

015 7/54a: “- - - Allah, who created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth in six Days - - -”. The creation took millions of years - a god had known that, Muhammad not. (Besides: Another place in the Quran it took 8 days.)

016 7/54b: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

017 7/54c: “He draweth the night as a veil o’er the day - - -”. The night is just the absence of light. Nothing. It is not possible to use nothing as a veil or to draw it over anything - and absolutely not over the light of day. Muhammad had this completely wrong: Daylight can influence the darkness, but the darkness of night cannot influence the daylight. Totally wrong.

00e 7/56: “Do no mischief on earth, after it has been set in order - - -“. According to our book, murder, rape, stealing/robbing, hate, suppression, enslaving, murder, war, etc. are mischief. But may be it only is against Muslims that is immoral and forbidden?

00f 7/57a: “It is He who sendeth the winds - - -”. The winds are made by differences in temperatures and air pressure. Islam will have to prove that Allah is doing it - if he does.

018 7/57b: “- - - a land that is dead, make rain to descend thereon, and produce every kind of harvest therewith: - - -”. A land that only takes water for plants to emerge is not dead - it is full of live seeds and perhaps roots.

019 7/58: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

020 7/61: “- - - the Worlds!” The Quran tells there are 7 (flat) worlds (65/12). Hadiths add the names, and that they are placed one above the other. Wrong to say the least of it.

021 7/64a: “- - - We (Allah*) overwhelmed in the Flood those who rejected Our Signs”. And everybody except those in the Ark were drowned. Well, Islam claims quite correctly that the Quran does not directly say that the Big Flood covered all the Earth (but it says so indirectly, as it tells the ark ended on a mountain in Syria - impossible if the flood did not cover all the world - the water in case had disappeared to not flooded areas). But when they try to explain the Flood as described in the Quran, they not only stumble, but fall head-over-heel down a full hill. **This especially as some of the facts they twist, are so well known among learned people, that they obviously have to know they are making up things and conclusions to cheat naïve and/or not learned people** - - - some small al-Taqiyyas and/or Kitmans? (lawful lies and half-truths) – that is lawful (yes, al-Taqiyya even is an obligation) if necessary in promoting and/or defending the religion, which is much more essential than to find out what is the truth. But a religion that has to lie, also has things to hide - f. ex. that neither Muhammad nor Allah ever were able to prove anything about Islam.

***F. ex. they try to explain the flood with the filling up of the Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea – which is not even scientific rubbish:

1. The Mediterranean Sea was filled up via Gibraltar some 4-5 million years ago – long before Homo Sapiens – modern man – ever existed (Homo Sapiens developed in Africa some 160000-200000 years ago, came out of Africa perhaps some 70000 years ago, and then something happened in Asia (?) some 60000-70000 (64000?) years ago that put him on the trail to or made him to what we are today.)
2. The filling up took many years – to the tune of a hundred years, this because the opening

was not very big in the beginning and the basin enormous. Therefore the water rose slowly – some meters a year. Drama and waves of the kind described in the Quran simply did not exist.

3. Look at a map and please explain us how the slow filling up of the Mediterranean Sea could make a flood in south Mesopotamia – now approximately south Iraq – where Noah is presumed to have lived?
4. The filling up of the Black Sea had no connection with the original filling up of the Mediterranean Sea at all – in stark contradiction to f. ex. 7/64, comment 46, in “The Message of the Quran”.
5. The filling up of the Black Sea happened when the oceans had nearly finished rising because of the melting of the ice from the last Ice Age – we have seen 5700 years ago, (the main melting ended 10000 - 12000 years ago, but there have been some ups and downs - cooler and warmer periods) but the calculated time varies some. This happened faster, but far from fast enough to produce cataclysms like the ones described in the Quran – months or a few years. All the same one of the theories trying to explain the story of the Big Flood, is this filling up – the story have travelled (or Noah may be lived there and moved later?) and also it has been made more dramatic.
6. **Islam claims the ark stranded on a mountain in Syria (not Ararat in Turkey). For the ark to get stranded on a high mountain, the water according to all physical laws must have covered the entire Earth – if not it had streamed to the empty lower places and disappeared/fallen. Muslim scholars know the elementary physical laws as good and well as anybody else. They know that these “explanations” about what the Quran may talk about a “local” flood are all sheer dishonesty. Or what the Quran tells about where the Ark ended, is wrong. At least one of these two has to be wrong – and they know it, but all the same tell what they know must be wrong to naïve and/or uneducated followers and proselytes.
7. There also is a highly speculative theory that the flood was caused by the impact of an

- asteroide into the Indian Ocean. References to Chinese history and astronomical constellations in Hindu legends in case dates the start of the flood to 10. May 2807 BC. But as said the theory is highly speculative - and no traces of the impact have been found.
8. The most likely explanation, though, and one we have not heard from Islam at all, is the fact that there have been found traces of an extreme flood in Iraq from a time that can roughly correspond with Noah (5200 years ago). It is strangely little known – we have seen it mentioned only 2-3 times, and we read a lot of such stuff. The clear traces were found - as far as we remember - in the 1920s by a British team, and we remember that in the 1990s (no. 7/1994?) the popular science magazine LEXICON had an article with picture from the deep layer of clay that flood had left behind. There were traces of human activity under that layer, which indicates that people lived there when it happened.
 9. In none of the explanations Islam gives, it is possible to explain the enormous weather the Quran describes. That only is possible in the last point just above and perhaps the asteroide impact – explanations we never have met from Muslims. And explanations that cannot explain how the ark could end up on a mountain in Syria like the Quran claims.

022 7/64b: “- - - Our Signs - - -“. “Our signs” is Quran-speak for “proof for Allah”. But there exists not one single proof for Allah – not in the Quran and not anywhere else. (Actually the only thing that can prove a deity, is a miracle – or more one. There are no miracles proving neither Allah, nor the Quran, nor Muhammad’s connection to a god in all the Quran. And the claimed miracles connected to Muhammad according to Hadiths, the Quran very clearly proves are made up legends - a fact that is admitted by Islam who says that the only miracle connected to Muhammad, is the Quran (a most questionable miracle, but that is another story), but all the same propagated to their audiences by Muslim "clergy".)

023 7/67: “- - - the Worlds!” See 65/12 below.

024 7/73a: “- - - clear (Sign) - - -“. There is not one single unmistakable (= clear) sign from a god in all the Quran, with the possible exception of some taken from the Bible – but they in case are signs of Yahweh, not of Allah. Also see 2/99.

00g 7/73b: Connected to the legend about the tribe Thamud, you time and again are told in the Quran that the self-proclaimed prophet Salih brought them a camel and told it was a sign – a proof – from Allah. Like it is told in the Quran it gives absolutely no meaning – just a claim

hanging in the thin air. How can a camel be a proof for a god in a country where there are 15 camels to a dozen?

*But then we run across the explanation: This is taken from old Arab folklore – an old legend that everybody in Arabia knew at the time of Muhammad (but would an omniscient god who wanted to reach all the world, use an old fairy tale known only to Arabs – and in such a way that one does not understand if one does not know the rest of the story?)

Very briefly the legend runs like this: There once was a mountain cliff. Out from that solid cliff one day there came a camel. This camel then became a prophet for a god.

With such a background the camel was so special, that it was a sign for something – only that the Quran just told part of the story, because everybody there and at that time knew the rest. But as we asked: Would an omniscient god wanting to reach the entire world, tell just part of the story, when he knew most of the world would not understand the point? (But as expected; in modern times you find Muslims telling that it was not this camel from the superstitious tale, but without giving a credible alternative.)

025 7/78: “So the earthquake took them (the tribe of Thamud*) unawares, and they lay prostrate (dead*) in their homes in the morning”. Wrong. There never was an earthquake that killed absolutely everybody – nowhere on the whole Earth, and never. With the exception of for low quality high-rise buildings, it is a very serious earthquake that kills more than some 30% of the inhabitants. (Another fact is that in 69/5 Thamud is killed by a storm – one of the contradictions that according to Islam do not exist in the Quran.)

026 7/80a: “- - - he (Lut/Lot*) said to his people (the people in Sodom and Gomorrah*).” Wrong. The local people were not Lot’s people. Both the Bible and the Quran are unanimous: Lot was a stranger to the land and had come from Ur in Chaldea in south Iraq (together with Abraham). It also from both books is clear that he had not mingled enough with the locals to become one of them. (Muslims all the same use that for an explanation).

027 7/80b: “- - - lewdness (homosexuality) such as no people in creation (ever) committed before you?” Wrong. Homosexuality is an integrated part of some peoples’ nature. Science has even found what gene it is connected to – and that the reason why it has not died out, is that the same gene gives a tendency to cause many children when the person is hetero- or bisexual, without anybody can explain the mechanism. You even find homosexuality with some animals – there it sometimes is a proof of dominance.

028 7/85: “- - - a clear (Sign) - - -”. See 2/99.

029 7/91: “But an earthquake took them (Shu’ayb’s people, the Madyans*), and they lay prostrate (dead*) in their homes before the morning.” Wrong. See 7/78 above.

030 7/96: “- - - they (non-Muslims*) rejected (the truth) (= the teachings of Muhammad*)”. With that many mistaken facts (and who knows how many mistaken religious points?) it at best is partly the truth. Also see 13/1.

031 7/101: “- - - clear (Signs) - - -”. Wrong. See 2/99.

00h 7/102: “Most of them (people*) We (Allah*) found not men (true) to their covenant - - - “. “The Message of the Quran” (7/102, comment 81) tells that the exact word-for-word translation is: “We found by them nothing that tied them to what is truth and right”. And that book continues by telling that this may include man’s capability to instinctively to see the difference between right and wrong.

Now the fact that some of the most fundamental moral questions get the same answer in many societies indicates that something deep inside man tells some common moral truths: You shall not steal, you shall not be a nuisance – or worse – to others, you shall not rape, you shall not kill, etc. But Islam and the Quran is the best proof for that these inner messages are easy to override for a charismatic leader and for a society, and make immoral behaviour praiseworthy a moral codex: To steal/rob, rape, enslave, murder, and more – it all is “good and lawful” if you just observe the right formalities in Islam.

032 7/103a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

033 7/103b: “- - - see what was the end (drowning*) of those who made mischief. (Pharaoh and his men*)”. According to science the exodus happened (if it happened) around 1235 BC, during the reign of Ramses II. Ramses II did not drown. (Also the Bible - from where it is likely Muhammad got this story, at least indirectly - tells that the pharaoh drowned. But the Bible was made by humans. Humans might have mixed Ramses II with one of his generals or one of his 67 sons. A god had known the truth).

034 7/104: “- - - the Worlds - - -”. Wrong. There are no 7 worlds, (one above the other according to Hadith). See 65/12 below.

035 7/105: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

036 7/106: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00i 7/114: “- - - ye (the sorcerers*) shall in that case be (raised to posts) nearest (to my person).” It needs strong proofs to certify that the mighty pharaoh Ramses II promised so incredibly much for so little - they were after all just sorcerers and Moses was no great danger to him.

***00j 7/120: After Moses made his miracle “the sorcerers fell down prostate in adoration” and convinced that the god of Moses was a strong and real one. **This is one of the proofs for that Muhammad knew he was lying when he time and again told his audiences that it would have no effect to perform miracles, because disbelievers would not believe anyhow,** and thus explained away the fact that he (and his presumed god) was unable to make miracles. Here he tells just the opposite - a psychologically much more correct tale on just this one point.

037 7/121: “- - - the Worlds - - -.” Wrong. There are no 7 worlds. See 65/12.

00k 7/124a: “Be sure I (Ramses II*) will cut off your hands and feet on opposite sides - - -”. As far as we have been able to find out, Egypt at the time of Ramses II did not use this Arab way of punishment.

038 7/124b: “- - - and I (Pharaoh Ramses II*) will cause you all to die on the cross”. In Egypt at that time crucifixion was not used.

039 7/126: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

040 7/127: “He (Ramses II*) said: ‘Their (the Jews’*) male children will we slay - - -’. But they were already slaying the male children of the Jews – that was why the baby Moses had to be put on the Nile according to the Bible and not contradicted by the Quran. Both a mistake and a contradiction. And contradictions do not exist in the Quran? See f. ex. 7/141 below.

001 7/130: “We (Allah*) punished the people of the Pharaoh with years (of draught) - - -.” There is nowhere said directly how long time it took Moses to get his people free and out of Egypt. But the few sources indicate a limited time. But the Bible has one piece of information that gives a clear indication – and we had better once more mention that science has proved beyond any legal and any reasonable doubt that the Bible never was falsified, in spite of never documented lose claims and lose statement from the Quran and from Islam: Moses was 80 years old when he came to the Pharaoh to get the freedom for the Jews. Afterwards he and his people spent 40 years in Sinai, and he died 120 years old – which means it must have taken less than one year, because if not the numbers do not add up.

041 7/132: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

042 7/133: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

043 7/136: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

044 7/137: “- - - We (Allah) levelled to the ground the great works and fine Buildings which Pharaoh and his people had erected - - -”. There is no trace neither in archaeology, nor in history, literature or art, of such a catastrophe around the year 1235 BC (some years before the end of the reign of Ramses II) when this should have happened – at the time of the exodus from Egypt. On the contrary; Ramses II was one of the strongest and most successful of the pharaohs, and also a great builder leaving MANY great buildings behind after many years of - among other things - building. Has Muhammad put more drama to his story, believing it would be impossible to control if it were true?

045 7/143: “- - - and I (Moses*) am the first to believe”. Impossible, as according to the Quran Noah and Abraham and Ishaq and Jacob and a lot more were believers in Allah before him. And Moses and all the others were making a lie out of Muhammad’s saying that he – Muhammad – was the first. A number of contradictions. (2/127-133, 3/67, 6/14, 6/163, 26/51). Muslims like to say that in such cases it means the first if a group or something, but even that “explanation” is not possible here – many of Moses’ forefathers were Muslims according to the Quran and to Islam. But may be - may be Muhammad really was the first Muslim anyhow?

046 7/145a: “(Allah gave Moses the Law*) explaining all things.” The laws in the book of Moses explains far from all things.

047 7/146a: “- - -My Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00m 7/146b: “- - - even if they (non-Muslims*) see all the Signs (of Allah*), they will not believe in them”. Wrong: They would - - - if the “signs” of Allah really had been real signs of Allah. F. ex. see the pharaoh’s magicians.

048 7/146c: “- - - Our Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

049 7/147: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

050 7/149: “When they (the Jews) repented (before Moses came down from the mountain with the 10 Commandments*) - - -”. Wrong. Both the Quran itself and the Bible tell that this did not happen until after he came down – and was very angry.

051 7/149-150: Here more is wrong. The Jews make the golden calf, but repents before Moses returns. Other places in the Quran - and in the Bible - they definitely did not repent until afterwards.

*00n 7/157a: “- - - the unlettered Prophet (Muhammad) - - -”. Islam frequently tells that Muhammad was an analphabetic (then he could not have made up the Quran, they say - which he could anyhow). But in science there is serious doubt about this - he was from a good family, he was intelligent and he run first a big business (the one of his first wife) and later a large organisation. It is highly unlikely that such a man did not learn how to read and write - and unlikely that his first wife had accepted him as the manager of her business if he was analphabetic).

You also can meet Muslims telling you that the “fact” that Mohammad could not read, “proves” that all his knowledge about the Bible he had to have gotten via holy inspiration - he could not have read about it. We find it distinctly dishonest to omit all the vocal storytelling that was very rife in Arabia (and most other countries) – and the fact is that most of the Biblical stories in the Quran are such tales and not really from the Bible itself.

052 7/157b: “Those who follow the Messenger, the unlettered Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -”. **Remember that here according to the Quran Allah is now speaking to Moses. How could the people of Moses follow Muhammad who was born more than 1800 years later?** (Islam tries to explain this away by saying it is just an incursion – which it most clearly is not: It is a contradiction).

**053 7/157c: “(Muhammad, whom they – the people of Moses*) ‘find mentioned in their own (Scriptures) – in the Law and the Gospel - - -”. May be the Law existed, that depends on when Yahweh (or Allah as the Quran claims) told Moses this and when the Jews really got the Law. But how could the people of Moses find the Gospels? – they did not exist until nearly 1400 years later!! Another strong mistake and another strong contradiction.

054 7/157d: “- - - the unlettered Prophet (Muhammad), whom they find mentioned in their own (Scriptures)”. You often meet Muslims claiming or stating that Mohammad is foretold in the Bible - as normal for Muslims without documentation. But we have never been able to find a complete list of where he is said to be mentioned – obviously because the educated Muslims mainly speak about 1 in OT and 1 in NT. In OT 5. Mos. 18/15 + 18 are mentioned, (and in NT mainly some verses from the Gospel after John). But here it is talk about a Jew (one translation says to the Jews “one from your own people, from your fellow countrymen”, another talks about a brother - but the brother of a Jew is a Jew, not an Arab, and the same for

a fellow countryman of a Jew – he is a Jew. It may talk about Jesus, but not about Muhammad. Actually the word “brother/brothers/brethren/brotherhood” is used figuratively at least 255 times in the Bible, practically always about a closed group (Practically always Jews in OT – one exception for one country-to-country speech, one for Lot and some 3 about Edomites, as far as we see. And practically always Christians and/or Jews in NT, except a few places where everybody are potential brothers in Jesus) and never specifically including Arabs. The only (some 5 - 6) times we have found Arabs mentioned in OT, the tale is either neutral, like telling they paid tribute to King Solomon, or they were enemies – never anything like brothers.

What is worse: In the part of the Book of Moses where one finds the two quotes Muslims use as flagship, Deuteronomy (= 5. Mos.), you find the word brother/brothers used no less than 13 or 14 times in chapters 18 – 24 (the debated two are in chapter 18 – 18/15 and 18/18). The only one that is not about Jews, are mentioned specially by name – Edomites – and all the other 12 – 13 cases clearly are about Jews. The context is very clear (also not one single word is mentioned about Arabs or Ishmaelite - the claimed forefathers of the Arabs). There also is an interesting verse just after the two debated ones: 18/21. This one tells that the hallmarks for real prophets are that they make prophesies, and prophesies that come true. Muhammad never made prophesies. There were a few times where things he said were remembered because they came true – like always in any person’s life – but never real prophesy. It even is very clear from the Quran that Muhammad did not even pretend or claim to have the gift of prophesying. (Per definition a prophet is a person with the gift of prophesying). Muhammad did not have that gift and consequently was no prophet – he only had “borrowed” that impressive title. How could he be “a prophet like Moses” when he was no real prophet? The claim is not even wishful thinking, but rubbish. (Muslims never mention this verse). Islam also confirms that Muhammad was unable to make prophesies, as a prophesy is a kind of a miracle, and Islam admits that the only miracle connected to Muhammad, is the Quran (!)

*And last, but not least: The word brother/brothers/brethren/brotherhood also frequently is used in the Quran (more than 30 times figuratively) – and in just the same way as in the Bible: About members of a group – here either Arabs or Muslims as groups. And as far as we can see, real Arabs are never any kind of brothers to Jews also in the Quran. We have found one small exception – hypocrites of any breed may be brothers to Jews (!). Impressive in this case.

Islam always demands that points in their stories must be read and understood in the full context – especially when they run into trouble explaining some difficult points. But in this case the context completely destroys their wishful thinking and desperate need for a proof for Muhammad in the OT – desperate because the Quran declares he is foretold there, and no clear foretelling is to be found (this is a clear fact) – so they drop their own rules and quote two words far out of context and declare that the brother of a Jew is an Arab, even in a context where it is obvious that Moses talked to and about Jews, and where the context also directly tells that it could not be Muhammad he was talking about, because he talked about a future prophet, whereas not even Muhammad himself pretended to have the gift of prophesying. He was in reality no prophet - may be a messenger or an apostle of someone or something, but not a prophet - he only used that imposing title. Moses’ foretelling of a future great prophet may have been talking about Jesus, who very clearly was a prophet both according to the Bible and to the Quran. But he could not have talked about Muhammad who among other facts as said in reality was no prophet – he as said only “borrowed” that nice title without even pretending to have the gift the title in reality demanded – and also he was no Jew like Moses spoke about.

But without caring about or even mentioning such facts, Islam very straight forward and straight facedly claims that when Jews talk about brothers in 5. Mos. 18/15 + 18 they talk about Arabs and foretell Muhammad because that is the only person Moses here can have spoken about. What that chapter really is about, is that Moses tells his Jews (we use the word Jews because of convenience – we know the word first was used centuries later) things about their future - that the Levites (one of the 12 Jewish tribes) shall not have any inheritance among their brothers (5. Mos. 18/1 – never mentioned by Muslims) – the rest of the Jews – and f. ex. that there once will raise up a prophet like (as great as) himself from among their brothers – from among the Jews. To make an overview:

1. Muhammad never even tried to make foretelling. There are a few quotes where what he said, happened – but so much as he spoke, it would be unnatural if not a little came true. But as for real prophesies, he never even tried to make such ones – and what real prophet is unable tell things about the future? – it was such a matter of course that a real prophet did so, that Moses not even mentioned such a case. No prophesies = no prophet. Not correct foretellings = false prophet - both according to Moses (5. Mos. 18/21).
2. What a prophet tells that is not correct, is not from the Lord = a false prophet, according to the chapter (5. Mos. 18/21) and the man that Islam itself strongly quotes. Look at all the mistakes in the Quran and weep. (Also see separate chapter about the claim that Muhammad is mentioned in the Bible).
3. 5. Mos. 18/18 - in reality it says just the same as 5. Mos. 18/15.
4. Then from NT:

John 1/21 - but that one is talking about John the Baptist. He says he is not the prophet. And Islam omits John 1/26-27 where John the Baptist tells that “the Prophet” was standing among the people just then” = was living just at that time - and definitely not was expected only 600 years later. "Cherry-picking" of words.

5. ***From the NT the main claim is John 14/16 where Jesus tells his disciples: “And I will ask the Father (God/Yahweh*), and He will give you another Counsellor to be with you forever”. To give the disciples Muhammad had no meaning – he was born some 500 years after they were dead, and could be of no

help to them. But that is what Muslims claim, as they need a quotation from the NT, because the Quran tells he is foretold also to the Christians in the Gospels, and this is the only place where the texts can be twisted enough – because it takes a lot of twisting (see the chapter about the claim that Muhammad is foretold in the Bible). (This verse really is foretelling the Holy Spirit - it arrived some days later according to the Bible.) Muhammad also was not “with them forever” – he was not with them at all.

Strangely enough Islam never mentions the next verse (John 14/17) that continues: “- the Spirit of truth (Muhammad neither was a spirit, nor the truth (he cheated and lied – cfr. al-Taqiyya, and according to his point of view concerning this even his oaths could be broken*). The world cannot accept him, because it neither sees him nor knows him. But you know him, for he lives with you and will be in you”. Try to make this fit Muhammad!! Also see separate chapter about the claims that Muhammad was foretold in the Bible.

*That is to say: from 14/17 they mention that the spirit is called “the Spirit of truth” and thus cannot be “the Holy Spirit”. But just like Allah and just like Muhammad it had more names – at least 5 – and besides it in the entire Bible is very clear that there only existed/exists (?) on Spirit closely connected to Yahweh.

“The Message of the Quran” solves the problem very simply: It tells that a verse in the Quran explains what the NT tells about Muhammad (surah 61, verse 6). **The problem is that the Bible says nothing remotely similar to verse 61/6.** (An "elegant" explanation is that it shall have been mentioned in the hypothetical Gospel Islam talks about because it is needed to explain how the child Jesus could learn the Gospel(s) before they were written - a Gospel that Mary and others 100% sure had taken care of or at least told about if it was not a fairy tale, because it would really have cemented an even more a special connection between Jesus and Yahweh/God. But a Gospel that could not exist, because no Gospel could be written until after Jesus' death).

**The relevant part of surah 61/6 says: “(Jesus said*): - - - I am giving glad Tidings of a Messenger to come after Me, whose name shall be Ahmad (= another version of the name Mohammad*)”. But nothing remotely like this is to be found in the Bible. Islam of course explains that with falsification of the Bible - that is the standard and cheap explanation whenever there is divergence between the Quran and the Bible, even though it is documented by science that Islam's undocumented claim about such a falsification is wrong. Also a falsification would not work among all the thousands that had heard Jesus talking - and then the life and time scale (they expected Jesus back any month or year - if there was to come another prophet first, it would be likely to take at least a generation or more before Jesus would return) of the first Christians would have been different - not to mention that the contents of all the letters and the Gospels written by persons who really knew the story, had been different. Surah 61/6 smells too much of something made up to give Mohammad credence.

***One small tit-bit: Foretelling in the Bible never mention names of persons in distant future, but in 61/6 ONE MOST CONVENIENTLY FIND AN UNMISTAKEABLE NAME. If a Muslim insists it is true, he has to produce heavy proofs.

**Finally there is a Greek word, “Parakletos”. This word in the Greek Gospel after John they use as an explanation .Muslims say must be misspelled, because if you take another word, “Periklytos” that looks rather similar, and translate it to Aramaic, you get a word that in Arab can be interpreted as Mohammad. Very convincing (but remember that Arabs since prehistoric times have lived in cultures where theories of conspiracies have been rife - perhaps because they never have had information they could rely on, and then they have made up guesses and stories. The situation actually to a large degree is the same in modern Muslim countries - and even more so in the ones that still are not much modern. Go to most of the Muslim countries and you can immerse yourself in such stories and theories). Also see 61/6 and see the chapter “Muhammad in the Bible.” The claim is very incorrect.

***055 7/157e: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -“. But Muhammad was no real prophet. **The definition of a prophet is a person that:**

1. **Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.**
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

***Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

056 7/158a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

00o 7/158b: “- - - the unlettered Prophet - - -“. See 7/157.

057 7/158: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -“. But Muhammad was no real prophet. **The definition of a prophet is a person that:**

1. **Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.**

2. **Makes prophecies that always or at least mostly come true.**
3. **Makes so frequent and/or essential prophecies, that it is a clear part of his mission.**

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophecies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

058 7/160: “We divided them (the people of Moses*) into twelve Tribes or nations.” Wrong: They consisted of 12 brothers, then 12 families, then 12 tribes already since after Jacob (some 430 years earlier according to the Bible).

059 7/162: “But the transgressors (Jews and Christians) among them changed the word (of the Bible*) from that which had been given them - - -“. Well, well. **The only way for Muhammad to save his religion and his power, was to claim that the Bible was falsified – and this he claimed and claimed without ever producing one single real proof. That is exactly the situation for Islam today: To save itself – and the positions of the leaders – it has to claim and claim - this and other things - without being able to prove one single thing.** But today the position is more difficult, because science has so many old documents and fragments, that they know Islam is not speaking the truth. See f. ex. 7/157a-d. The Bible never was falsified according to science.

060 7/163: “(Fish*) openly holding up their heads (above the water*) - - -“. It is not physically possible for fish in the sea to hold their heads over the water – they can jump and they can touch the surface, but they cannot keep their heads above the surface. Marine mammals can, but not freely swimming fish. Any god had known – but not the desert dweller Muhammad.

00p 7/166: Allah said to some “bad” people (according to the Quran): “Be ye apes - - -“. Hardly likely that they were transferred into apes.

061 7/171: “When We (Allah*) shook the Mount (Sinai*) over them (the Jews*) as if it had been a canopy - - -“. This needs strong proof from Islam, especially as it in reality is from a fable taken from the old Jewish book “Abodah Sarah”.

062 7/181: “Of those We (Allah*) have created are people who direct (others) with truth, - - -“. If this refers to the truth in the Quran, it can at best be partly the truth.

063 7/174: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

064 7/175: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

065 7/176a: “- - -with Our Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

066 7/176b: “- - - reject Our Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

067 7/177: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

068 7/181: “Of course We (Allah*) have created are people who direct (others) with truth (Islam/the Quran*) - - -“. With so much wrong in the Quran, it at best is partly true.

069 7/185: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

070 7/187: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

071 7/188: “- - - glad tidings - - -“. See 2/97c above and 61/13 below.

072 7/189: “It is He (Allah*) who created you (man*) from a single person (Adam*) - - -“. Wrong. Adam never existed, as man developed from earlier primates. And even if it had started with Adam and Eve (her name is never mentioned in the Quran), the DNA pool had been too small to make the race viable.

073 7/196: “- - - Allah, who revealed the Book - - -“. Well, that is an essential question: Is it really Allah who made a book with so many mistakes? Impossible.

Surah 7: At least 73 mistakes + 16 likely mistakes.

SURAH 8:

001 8/1: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -“. But Muhammad was no real prophet. **The definition of a prophet is a person that:**

1. **Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.**
2. **Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.**
3. **Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.**

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of

miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

002 8/2: “- - - His Signs - - -“. There are no signs proving Allah or anything else in the Quran. See 2/39.

00a 8/5: “Just as thy Lord (Allah*) ordered thee (Muhammad*) out of your house in truth - - -“. That is one of the main questions – was Muhammad ordered? – and in case by whom? (The surahs from Medina makes one think more about the Devil than about a good god.)

003 8/6: “- - - the truth after it was made manifest (had appeared in the Quran*)”. That something appears in the Quran does not prove that it is true – far from it, as there are too many mistakes about a lot of things. Islam will have to produce proofs, not only claims about what is true and what not.

004 8/7: “- - - the Truth according to His (Allah’s) words (the Quran*) - - -“. With that many mistakes, etc. in the Quran, it at best is partly true.

005 8/20: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -“. But Muhammad was no real prophet. **The definition of a prophet is a person that:**

1. **Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.**
2. **Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.**
3. **Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.**

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

005a 8/24: “- - - His (Allah’s*) Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -“. But Muhammad was no real prophet. See 8/20 just above.

006 8/41: “- - - the revelation (the Quran*) We (Allah*) sent down - - -“. A book with that many mistakes, that much invalid logic, etc. is neither made by a god, nor revered as a Mother Book in his heaven, nor sent down by an omniscient god.

007 8/42: “- - - clear Signs - - -“. There is not one single clear sign/proof in the Quran – neither for Allah, nor Muhammad having connection to a god, nor for warriors going to Paradise, nor for Paradise as described in the Quran. See 2/99.

*008 8/51: “Allah is never unjust to his servants”. Wrong. **A star example: That a woman is to be strictly punished for illegal sex after being raped, if she cannot produce 4 male eye witnesses to the rape, is one of the most inhuman, immoral and unjust laws that exists on this Earth – at least in civilized or semi-civilized cultures.**

009 8/52: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

010 8/54: “- - - Signs - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

011 8/65: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -“. But Muhammad was no real prophet. **The definition of a prophet is a person that:**

1. **Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.**
2. **Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.**
3. **Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.**

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

011b 8/67: “- - - a Prophet - - -”. But Muhammad was no real prophet. See 8/65 just above.

012 8/70: “- - - Prophet - - -.” Wrong. See 8/65 above.

Surah 8: At least 14 mistakes + 1 likely.

SURAH 9:

001 9/9: “The Signs of Allah - - -“. There is no – not one single – sign in the Quran that with correct logic proves Allah. (There is not one single case in the entire book where it is proved that it really is Allah that has caused what is said to be signs. **And then it proves nothing and signifies nothing – any priest in any religion can say just the same about his god(s)!! Words are that cheap).**

002 9/21: “- - - glad tidings - - -“. At best only partly right. See 2/97c above and 61/3 below.

003 9/29: (Islam is) “the religion of Truth”. It is not 100% - an understatement - the truth with that many mistakes, etc. in the Quran. The difficult additional question is: With that many mistaken facts - are there also mistakes in the religious parts? And in addition there are the facts of “al-Taqiyya” (the lawful lie) and Kitman (the lawful half-truth): At least it is not made by an omniscient god.

004 9/30a: “The Jews call ‘Uzayr (= the prophet Ezra*) son of God - - -“. This is wrong, and even Muslim sources admit that. But they say Jews in Arabia said so (which may or may not be correct) - which may have cheated Mohammad, **but an omniscient god had known the truth. Then who created the Quran?**

*00a 9/30b: “- - - the Christians call Christ the son of God - - - (in this) they but imitate what the Unbelievers of old used to say. Allah’s curse be on them: - - -“. We are back to the old facts: Jesus himself called God “father”. There were lots of witnesses to this. It was written down a few years later. The Quran vehemently denies it. The Quran has neither witnesses nor any other proofs. The Quran was written more than 600 years later and all the same offers only claims and statements. Muhammad had a lot to gain if Jesus is not the son of God - if Jesus is closely related to God, Muhammad obviously is not the greatest of prophets, and though Muslims may be right that Muhammad personally did not care all too much about money, there is no doubt that he liked power - and women -and that he spent large sums for bribes “buying” followers (his lust for power is easy to see from the texts in the Quran and the Hadits). The end of the quote is rather sympathetic (!) - - - and very different from the mentality in NT.

00b 9/30c: “- - - how they (among others the Christians*) are deluded away from the Truth! (that the god has no son*)”. For comments see among others 9/30b just above.

005 9/30d: “- - - the Truth! (the teachings of the Quran*)”. With that many mistakes in the book, the Quran at best is partly the truth. Also see 13/1.

00c 9/33a: “It is He (Allah*) Who hath sent Muhammad - - -“. That is one of the really big questions: Was he really sent? There are too many indications for that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god – actually it is 100% sure that no such god would make that many mistakes, etc. And if he all the same is sent, the some 22-24 surahs from Medina makes it as 100% sure that he was not sent by a good or benevolent god – the religion as it is painted in the Quran is by far too inhuman, immoral, and diabolic for that. **In case he was sent, the surahs from Medina prove he in case was sent by some dark forces. May be by the Devil pretending to be Gabriel? Or by a sick brain? – f. ex. TLE.

006 9/33b: (Islam is*) “the Religion of the Truth, - - -“. For comments, see 9/29 and 13/1.

007 9/36a: “The number of months in the sight of Allah, is twelve (in a year) – so ordained by Him (Allah*) - - -“. A year is the time the Earth needs to make a full circle around the sun. A month is the time the moon needs to make a full circle around the Earth. These two circles are not synchronized. Because of this something is wrong in this statement, as 12 months (here moons) are some 11 days less than a natural year. The Islamic year is an artificial construction whether ordained by Allah or not. The Muslim year is not really a year (103 Muslim years = roughly 100 real years). You will find Muslims glorifying the Muslim year that slides along the real year, but the plusses are much smaller than the minuses - - - plus it makes something wrong with this verse: A Muslim year simply is not a year.

008 9/36b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

009 9/48a: “- - - until the Truth (the Quran*) arrived, - - -“. With that many untrue facts, mistaken grammar, contradictions, and perhaps mistakes in the religious statements (why should they be exceptions?), the Quran at best is partly true. Also see 13/1

010 9/48b: “- - - the Decree of Allah became manifest - - -“. The “decrees from Allah” – the Quran - contains so many mistakes, etc., that they are not from an omniscient god. That is: Either Allah is not omniscient or it is not from Allah. Something is seriously wrong.

011 9/52: “Can you see for us (Muslims*) (any fate) other than the two glorious things – (martyrdom or victory)?” **Definitely yes: We can see the war invalid. We can see the families destroyed because the husband/father is dead – or an invalid. We can on the other hand see men building their country instead of destroying neighbouring countries. And we are able to see the prise of war: Brutalized humans and destruction – a war never builds anything, it destroys.** It may give some of the victors a chance to steal and suppress and become rich – but for a terrible price. But this price the Quran never mentions and never cares about.

*****Islam seems to represent such a backward culture, that its members was and to a degree are unable to see - or care for - what catastrophes and destroyed lives they inflict on others, as long as they themselves become rich and perhaps powerful. No price is to high for a good life - - - as long as others have to pay for it.**

012 9/60: “- - - reconciled (to Truth) - - -“. See 9/48, 13/1 and many others.

013 9/65: “- - - His (Allah’s*) Signs - - -“. There is not one “sign” in the Quran that clearly is from Allah. See 2/99.

014 9/70a: “- - - the Cities overthrown.” In what we call the Middle East and further eastwards there were ruins from cities and towns and dwellings. Muhammad explained that each and every of them had been destroyed by Allah because they had sinned against him. Wrong – in an arid and warlike area there are so many reasons why even cities from the old can be empty, that Islam will have to prove that Allah’s wreath was the reason for even one of them.

015 9/70b: “- - - Clear Signs - - -“. There are no clear signs for Allah, etc. in the Quran. See 2/99.

016 9/73 “O Prophet (Muhammad*)!” But Muhammad was no real prophet. **The definition of a prophet is a person that:**

1. **Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophecies.**
2. **Makes prophecies that always or at least mostly come true.**
3. **Makes so frequent and/or essential prophecies, that it is a clear part of his mission.**

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophecies, he never indicated, not to mention pretended to or claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories). Also see 30/40a and 30/46a.

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

****017 9/111: “Allah hath purchased of the Believers their persons and their goods; - - - they fight in his cause, and slay and are slain: a promise binding on him in truth, through the Law, the Gospel, and the Quran: - - -”. This is a really strong one:**

If there is something that is absolutely sure, it is that you do not find orders or incitement to slaying or to religious physical war in the Gospels - this is 110% wrong. (The sword is mentioned, but the mentality is totally different from the Quran. Totally.)

018 9/113: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -”. But Muhammad was no real prophet. **The definition of a prophet is a person that:**

1. **Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophecies.**
2. **Makes prophecies that always or at least mostly come true.**
3. **Makes so frequent and/or essential prophecies, that it is a clear part of his mission.**

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophecies, he never indicated, not to mention pretended to or claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and

Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories). Also see 30/40a and 30/46a.

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

019 9/116: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

020 9/117: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -“. See 9/113 above.

021 9/128: “- - - to the Believers (Muslims*) is he (Muhammad*) most kind and merciful.” **Wrong. It is not kind to incite them and force them to go to war and “kill and be killed” - - - or maimed. It is not kind to incite hate. It is not kind to demand full submission and obedience. And it far from is merciful to mistreat seriously both mentally, morally, and socially the ones who would not go to war for him or in other ways did not obey him in other things. Actually he was about as kind and merciful as Hitler or Mao or “Uncle Stalin” or the aggressive Zulu king Shaka.**

“When a man – or a god – says something, but demands or does something else, we believe in his demands and his deeds, not in his words.”

Surah 9: At least 21 mistakes + 3 likely.

SURAH 10:

001 10/1: “- - - the Book (the Quran*) of Wisdom.” With that many mistaken facts, it is not a book of wisdom - and when there are many mistakes you see, it is difficult to trust the rest of the text, too.

002 10/2a: “- - - We (Allah*) have sent our inspiration (the Quran*) to a man (Muhammad*) from among themselves?” With this many mistakes, etc., the Quran is not from an omniscient god.

003 10/2b: “- - - the lofty rank of Truth”. See 9/48 and 13/1.

004 10/3a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

005 10/3b: “- - - Allah, Who created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth in 6 days”. Even though the Bible say the same number of days, it is extremely wrong - - - and any god had known that. As bad: The Quran contradicts itself by saying 8 days one place. This even though the claimed lack of contradictions in the Quran, Islam claims is a proof the that Allah made the book. (Consequently the presence of mistakes then prove that Allah did not make the book).

006 10/4: “The promise of Allah is true and sure”. The only known promises from Allah are found in the Quran. But in the Quran so much is wrong, that it is impossible to relay also on promises said to be from Allah. Therefore they are far from sure – or worse.

007 10/5: “- - - His (Allah’s*) Signs - - -.” There is not one sign in the Quran that is proved to come from Allah.

008 10/6: “- - - heavens - - -”. This and word is used in plural something like 190 times in the Quran. (The words “heavens”, “seven heavens”, “firmaments”, “seven tracts”, and “seven firmaments” are used altogether at least 199 times in plural - there is no doubt that the Quran believes in 7 heavens). The plural of the word refers to what was correct astronomy in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad: That the stars, the planets, the sun and the moon were fixed to 7 invisible, but strong heavens formed like hemispheres (actually the Greeks knew the Earth was a sphere, so then the heavens there had to be spheres) over the Earth. The Arabs and many others got this picture of from Greek and from Persian astronomy. Muslims today of course know it is wrong, and are “explaining” the 7 heavens in different ways - from vague thoughts about space, to telling that it means something else as - they say - in old Arabia the number 7 also could mean “many” (as if that is more correct in this case than 7), and to referring to 7 layers in the atmosphere (without explaining how the stars were fixed to the lowermost of the heavens, or explaining how resurrected material humans can walk around up there, which the Quran tells), etc. Strangely till now none of our group have met a single Muslim mentioning that 7 heavens were the correct astronomy at the time of Muhammad - may be they prefer not to mention that, because the logical next question then is: **A god knew there were no 7 heavens, Muhammad believed there were. Then who made the Quran?

009 10/6: “- - - Signs - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

010 10/7: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

011 10/13: “- - - Clear Signs - - -”. There is not one clear sign for Allah or for Muhammad in all the Quran. See 2/99.

012 10/15: “- - - Clear Signs - - -”. Wrong. See 10/13 just above and 2/99.

013 10/17: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

014 10/18: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

*015 10/19: “Mankind was but one nation - - -”. Mankind never was but one nation. Perhaps once one tribe, as some Muslims tries to explain, but never one nation - and that in case was some 200000 years ago. You also sometimes meet Muslims telling in triumph that science has proved the Quran, because now they have found the prehistoric Eve and the prehistoric Adam - - - without mentioning that the prehistoric Eve lived some 160000 - 200000 years ago (the number varies some) in Africa, whereas the prehistoric Adam lived some 60000 years ago only, and not unlikely in Asia. With Eve dead 100000 years before Adam was born - and a long distance off - it is difficult to see how they can be the “parents” of man, and thus prove the Quran.

016 10/21: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

017 10/24: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00a 10/27: “But those who earned evil will have a reward of like evil - - -”. Muhammad, his men and his successors did enormously much evil – stealing/robbing, raping, enslaving,

destroying places and lives and lands and cultures, extorting, terrorizing, torturing, murdering, inciting to hate and war and mass killings and suppression of other humans – only that it was sanctioned by a god, according to Muhammad, though a god that in case neither was omniscient, nor omnipotent (he f. ex. had to explain away all questions for miracles – some times with obviously logically invalid claims) - it will take quite a lot to give them “a reward of like evil.”

018 10/31: “- - - who is it that rules and regulates all affairs?’ They (non-Muslims) will soon say, ‘Allah’”. Wrong. People of other religions would name their own god (or gods). (Though non-Muslim Arabs at that time might say al-Lah - the old polytheistic Arab top god, a name that sounds like Allah.)

019 10/32a: “- - - Truth (the Quran) - - -“. See 13/1.

00b 10/32b: “- - - apart from Truth, what remains but error?” It is very clear that much of what is said in the Quran is not true – and then **“what remains but error”?**

020 10/33: “Thus is the Word of thy Lord (Allah*) proved true - - -”. The trouble is that the sentence refers to nothing - there is nothing even remotely like a proof in the neighbourhood. It may refer to 10/31, but what is said there has nothing to do with a proof - and most non-Muslims would not even give the intended answer (and even if they did, it was no proof). This is not argumentation worthy a god - not even a minor, uneducated one hidden in a distant corner.

021 10/34: “- - - how are ye (people*) deluded away (from the truth (the teachings of the Quran*))”. The Quran at best is only partly the truth. See 13/1.

022 10/35a: “- - - He (Allah*) who gives guidance towards Truth?” The old question - it appeared already shortly after Muhammad started preaching: Is there really a god behind the Quran? - a book with that many mistaken facts? Not possible.

023 10/35b: “- - - towards Truth?” With that many mistakes the teachings of the Quran at best is partly true.

024 10/35c: “It is Allah who gives guidance towards Truth- - -“. No guidance in that many mistakes and invalid and even wrong statements and proofs. See 10/35a and 13/1.

025 10/35d: “Is then He (Allah*) who gives guidance to Truth - - -“. See 10/35 and 13/1.

026 10/36: “- - - Truth (the Quran*)”. See 10/35 and 13/1.

****027 10/37a:** “This Quran is not such as can be produced by other than Allah - - -”. Very wrong. Many a good writer can write stories as good as and better than the collection of surahs in the Quran. In spite of what Islam says, the Quran is not good literature. The same stories are repeated again and again. They frequently are not well told. There are no new stories or ideas – only stories and ideas borrowed from others. Honestly large parts of the book are rather dull reading. And the fabled high quality Muhammad’s Arab language? - what Muslims seldom mention, is that it took some 250 years to perfect the language - it was not until around 900 AD that it had got something like today’s language. It also existed in much more than one text. For one thing even Muhammad (according to Hadith) said it was sent

down in 7 varieties that all were true ones - even if details were different. For another thing some of the old, original texts existed in the Muslim world for a long time after the “official” one was finished around 650 AD (**at some time there were at least 14 canonized varieties – 2 are used today: Hafs and Warsh**, but most uneducated Muslims does not even know it). For still another thing the texts may have been slightly changed through the time - at least very old Qurans found in Yemen in 1972, had “small, but significant differences” from the modern edition. The dominating Quran today (Hafs), is the edition that was the official one in Egypt when first printed in 1924, according to what we have read. The version after Warsh is used in parts of Africa . Also see Preface (list of the then 14 canonized ones).

**028 10/37b: “- - - it is confirmation of (revelations) that went before it (the Bible*) - - -”. There are too many and too deep differences between the thinking and the morality in the Quran and the Bible - especially NT. The Quran is no conformation of it, as mentioned before - the differences are too fundamental.

029 10/37c: “- - - the Book (the Quran*) - wherein there is no doubt - - -.” Because of all the mistakes in a book said to be sent down from an omniscient good, there is a lot of doubt.

030 10/37d: “- - - the Worlds.” One more reference to the 7 worlds of the Quran (65/12). Wrong.

**031 10/39: “- - - (the Quran*) whose knowledge they cannot compass, - - -”. For the uneducated, often analphabetic members of Muhammad’s early followers, that might be true, except for the question: Who has most knowledge – the one without knowledge, or the one with much wrong knowledge?. But it is in no case true today - and we see that a lot of the “facts” Muhammad used are wrong - something a god had known.

*032 10/47: “To every people (was sent) a Messenger”. Hadith mention 124000 messengers or prophets. **There is not one single trace from prophets teaching monotheism in the old times (except in Israel), neither in archaeology, art, literature, folk tales, nor in religions. Some of them should have left small traces at least, when they were so many.** This verse is not true.

00c 10/52: “Ye (sinners*) get but the recompense of what ye earned!” Is there really real justice in the terrible and everlasting punishment in hell, compared to the after all not too big sins of many of the sinners?

033 10/55a: “- - - heavens - - -”. See 2/22a.

034 10/55b: “Is it not (the case) that Allah’s promise (the Quran*) is assuredly true?” The Quran in this case like in others proves it is not true – too many mistakes, etc.

035 10/57a: “(The Quran is*) a Guidance - - -.” A book with that much wrong, is no guidance.

00d 10/57b: “(The Quran is*) a Mercy.” **Can a book with that much suppression, rape, stealing/robbery, blood and murder and war, be a mercy?**

036 10/64a: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -.” See 2/97c and 61/13.

***037 10/64b: “Hereafter; no change can there be in the words of Allah. This is indeed the supreme Felicity.” The first sentence may partly explain why Muslims cannot admit the mistakes, no matter how wild “explanations” they have to use. The second one is plainly wrong - see f. ex. 10/39.

Also: **This surah was dictated by Muhammad ca. 621. There were many changes in Islam after that – Islam even changed its fundament completely from rather peaceful to a religion of robbing, hate and war.** There also were many mistakes which science now see that the “facts” are changed by reality, and there were many “signs” and “proofs” that the laws of logic in reality changed the moment they were pronounced (Muslims only do not know).

038 10/66: “- - - heavens - - -“. See 2/22a.

039 10/67: “- - - Signs - - -.” See 2/39.

00e 10/68a: “Allah hat begotten a son!” An exclamation in disbelief. But Jesus many, many times called God/Yahweh his father (the word “father” (of Jesus) is used for God/Yahweh at least 163 times in NT and the word “son” (of God/Yahweh) is used for Jesus at lest 66 times.) Some of the times it is meant figuratively, but most times it is clear it is meant literally.

040 10/68b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Wrong. See 2/22a.

041 10/71: “- - - the Signs of Allah - - -“. There are no logically signs/proofs for Allah (or for Muhammad) in all the Quran. See 2/99.

***042 10/73: “O Prophet! (Muhammad*)” But Muhammad was no real prophet. **The definition of a prophet is a person that:**

1. **Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.**
2. **Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.**
3. **Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.**

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention pretended to or claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories). Also see 30/40a and 30/46a.

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

043 10/74: “- - - Clear Signs - - -“. Wrong. See 10/71 just above and 2/99.

044 10/75: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above

045 10/82a: “And Allah by His Words (the Quran) prove and establish His Truth, - - -“. Wrong. The words of the Quran prove nothing about Allah, until it first is proved that it really was made by Allah, and that Allah really has made and done what the Quran says. It is at best partly the truth only - too many mistakes.

046 10/82b: “- - - and establish his (Allah’s*) Truth, - - -“. It is well established that a large number of the facts in the Quran are wrong. At best the book is partly the truth.

047 10/87a: “Provide dwellings for your (Moses’*) People in Egypt - - -“. Wrong – and a contradiction with reality both according to the Bible and the Quran. According to the Quran the Jews had dwelled for a long time in Egypt, and according to the Bible this long time amounted to 430 years (1. Mos. 12/40). They had dwellings – no reason to tell Moses to provide such ones. Even more silly: Why provide (new) dwellings when all they wanted to do, was to leave Egypt?

048 10/87b: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -“. At best partly true only. See 2/97c above and 61/13 below.

**049 10/90a: “At length, when overwhelmed with the flood, he (pharaoh Ramses II*) said: - - -“. We know a lot about Ramses II - he was one of the really great pharaohs, and much material exists from his time. One of the things we know is that he did not drown (was not “overwhelmed with the flood”).

050 10/90b: “I (Ramses II) believe that there is no god except Him Whom the Children of Israel believe in: I am of those who submit (to Allah in Islam) (= became a Muslim)”. One more thing we know about Ramses II (see 10/90), is that he was a polytheist and never a Muslim.

051 10/92: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs!” There is not one single sign in the Quran that surely is from Allah. Not one.

052 10/94a: “- - - the Truth (the Quran*) hath indeed come to thee - - - “. Once more: With so many mistakes, the Quran at best is partly true.

053 10/94b: “- - - the Truth hath indeed come to thee from thy Lord (Allah*): - - -“. Also once more: Can a book with that many mistaken facts, be made by a god?

054 10/94c: “- - - be nowise of those in doubt (about Islam*)”. With all the mistakes etc. in the Quran, it is sheer naivety not to be in doubt, and at least check the facts.

*055 10/95: “- - - the Signs of Allah - - -“. There are no real signs/proofs for Allah in the Quran – only claims not proved statements. See 2/99.

***056 10/96: “Those against whom the Word of thy Lord has been verified - - -.” That is one of the main problems for Islam – as it was for Muhammad: There exists no real verification of Islam – not one single proof, and not one single place. Only cheap words and claims that in NO case are verified. But glorification of and demands for blind belief you find aplenty.

Whenever we meet people using bluffs and defending bluffs not to mention glorifying blind belief – like here – for us that strongly indicates not only that they have no real arguments, but also that they know it themselves, and just try to defend wishful thinking or beliefs they are mentally unable to question – and to defend it by means of dishonesty.

057 10/97: “- - - Sign - - -.” See 2/39.

058 10/101: “- - - heavens - - -“. Wrong. See 2/22a.

059 10/108: “Now truth hath reached you from your Lord (Allah*)”. See 10/94 and 13/1.

Surah 10: At least 59 mistakes + 5 likely mistakes.

Subtotal till here (Surahs 1 - 10): 517 mistakes + 73 likely mistakes.

II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 4, Section 3 (= II-1-4-3)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. THE "COMPLETE" LIST - THE "ENCYCLOPAEDIA" - BASED ON SURAH AND VERSE NUMBER

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

SOME CLEAR FACT MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN SURAHS 11 THROUGH 20 IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear mistakes. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely mistake.

*001 11/1: “- - - from one Who is Wise and Well-Acquainted (with all things)”. The mistaken facts in the Quran shows he is not well enough acquainted with all things. Or that someone else made the Quran.

**002 11/2: “(Say) ‘Verily, I am (sent) unto you (people*) from Him (Allah*) - - -”.

According to Ibn Warraq the word “(Say)” does not exist in the Arab original. That means that here it is Muhammad who speaks. There are a few places (8? + angels speaking) like that in the Quran. But how is it possible that Muhammad speaks in a book (pretended to (?) be made by Allah or existed since eternity – and sent down by Allah? (Some Muslims say the word is just forgotten – but how many more words may then have been forgotten in the Quran?)

003 11/7a: “He (Allah*) it is Who created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth in six days” - and another place says 8 days (contradiction). Once more: It took a lot of more time. And any god knows that - but Muhammad did not. (Muslims sometimes say that the Arab word for day, also may mean aeon, but as we have found this translation of the word in no quality book and heard it from no really educated person, this seems to be an obvious try to explain this blunder away). Also see the 4 Mega Mistakes.

004 11/7b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Wrong. See 2/22a.

00a 11/14a: “- - - this revelation (the Quran*) is sent down - - -”. That is just the question for Islam: No god sends down a book so full of mistakes, etc.

005 11/14b: “- - - this revelation (the Quran’) is sent down (replete) with the knowledge of Allah, - - -”. Well, all the mistakes show that either it is not made by an omniscient god or that something else is wrong.

006 11/14c: “If then they (your false gods) answer not your (call), know ye that this Revelation (the Quran) is sent down (replete) with the knowledge of Allah, - - -”. This is logically 100% wrong, as whether false gods or other gods answer or not, proves nothing about Allah. The only thing that may prove Allah, are unmistakable answers or deeds from Allah. Would a god try to cheat his - mostly illiterate and uneducated - audience in cheap and primitive ways like this? In case; why did he need to cheat them? And: There never was a clear answer unmistakably from Allah.

007 11/17a: “- - - Clear (Sign) - - -”. See 2/99.

Besides: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.

008 11/17b: “Be not in doubt thereof (the Truth – the Quran – from Allah*)”. Wrong. The Quran is so full of mistakes, etc., that it is utterly naïve not to doubt.

009 11/17c: “- - - the Truth from thy Lord (Allah*) - - - “. Either it is a mistake that the Quran is from an omniscient god, or it is a mistake that Allah is omniscient. Too much is wrong in the book.

010 11/22: “Without a doubt, these (the non-Muslims) are the very ones who will lose most in the Hereafter”. All the mistakes, wrong logic, etc. in the Quran make it very clear it is not from a god. Partly because of that – and this alone is a 100% proof for that something is wrong – there is every reason to doubt Islam is a genuine religion. And if it is a made up religion – and Islam will have a tough job proving the opposite – there is every reason to doubt Muslims will fare any better than others. On the contrary: If there do exist a real religion and if this is run by a good god, the Muslims will not fare well with if they have lived according to the Quran’s horrific ethics, even worse moral codex, inhuman treatment of fellow human, but non-Muslim, beings, etc.

011 11/28: “- - - Clear Signs - - -“. In this case it is said to be Noah who was speaking, and according to the Quran Noah was a devoted Muslim - - - but there never was a clear sign/proof for anything concerning Allah – not anything at all is proved. (That is one of the main reasons why blind belief is demanded and glorified by Muhammad and by Islam).

012 11/40a: “- - - and the fountains of the earth gushed forth (and made the flood for Noah*) - - -“. The Quran does not explicit say that the flood covered the entire world, and as there are no traces of such a flood found, many Muslims try to tell you that the flood only was regional. Not educated Muslims may honestly believe so, but the educated ones know that is one more untrue story – another al-Taqiyya or Kitman – because the Quran clearly tells that the Ark ended on a high mountain in Syria, something that demanded so high a level of water that it was physically impossible unless the flood was universal (the water had disappeared to non-flooded places if not). Perhaps 1000 m above our sea level?

But that makes a problem for this verse. Really big quantities of water - giving may be 1000 m of water all over the globe - could not gush forth from the Earth without leaving huge empty holes in there – either really empty, or at least with highly reduced pressure, (though most likely empty, as it is nearly impossible to compress water and then explain the gushing with expansion of the water (to compress water to double density, we have read that you need a pressure of 44000000 kg/cm² – or very roughly 30 times the pressure at the centre of Earth)). These holes would be too big (in order to contain enough water) to be stable, and would collapse. There is nowhere on Earth traces from such big collapses.

(It is here among other places you will meet the explanation like the flood = the filling up of the Mediterranean Basin – a story so obviously an al-Taqiyya (lawful lie) that it is distasteful. That filling up happened 4 – 5 million years ago, and long before modern man existed. Besides it happened because Africa and Europe slowly drifted apart and the Strait of Gibraltar very slowly opened – centimetres a year – which means that the opening and thus the stream of water was small. The filling up took a hundred years and may be much more, with the water level rising slowly – one or a few meters a year – and nothing like the cataclysm of the flood of Noah. Something no educated Muslim has an excuse for not checking up before telling stories like this, especially since this is a well known fact among educated people, and they most likely are aware of the real facts before spinning such a tale.

**013 11/40b: “We (Allah*) said (to Noah*):’ embark therein (the ark*), of each kind (of animals*) two - male and female, and your family - - -“. The Quran says nothing about the

size of the ark. But the Bible according to the scientific magazine Lexicon says nearly 200 m long, some 30 m wide and some 12 m high with 3 floors. That makes some 18000 square meters roughly speaking. (NIV tells 300 cubits long, 50 cubits wide and 30 cubits height = 140 m long, 23 m wide and 13, 5 m high. With 3 floors that means some 9600 sq. m. only.) But there are much more than 10000 kinds of “normal” animals, nearly 2000 kinds of birds, and at least 10 million kinds of insects and other insect-like animals, and easily a million other small animals – like slugs, worms, etc. There simply would not be enough space for so many, not to mention 2 of each. In addition it would be the question of food for all the animals. The Quran says nothing about how long time the voyage lasted, but according to the Bible it took more than one year. That would take one heck of a lot of food for that many animals - and how did they f. ex. store the meat for the carnivores, or live insects for some spiders, etc.? All that food would take up a lot of space - much more than the animals themselves. Impossible in that “small” boat. And on top of that, there was the question of special food for special animals - eucalyptus leaves for the koalas f. ex. It further is likely that the Garden of Eden was in the south of Iraq (if it ever existed) according to science - - - and then there is the question where they found f. ex. reindeer, polar bears, caribous, condors, lamas, pumas, kangaroos, orang-utans etc., etc., just to mention a few. And there is the question on who were feeding and giving water to all these animals, not to mention who kept it all clean - the family of Noah after all was rather small (8 according to the Bible). Also the laws of nature tell that one pair of each would not be enough to establish all the animal races - no DNA variety. Actually the DNA variety science has found, talks about very different lengths of time since most animal groups were just a few ones. The story simply is not true. There is a small chance that a man like Noah once lived and survived a flood big enough for him to seem to cover the entire world - f. ex. he survived with his family and his cattle, etc. Science knows about one or two really huge floods at roughly the right time (one in Mesopotamia and the flooding of the Black Sea - see below)). But everything is in an after all much smaller scale, and not like told in the Quran.

Muslims try to reduce the problems by telling that Noah only should bring two of each of domesticated cattle - but that is not what the Quran says. They further tell that it just was a big, but regional flood - that is not said in the Quran, but it is also not said it was a worldwide one (but see the end of the "travel" below). But then some make a real blunder - or try cheating - because what follows below is not well known by most people, only to the more educated ones, and cheating of the “rank and file” therefore is easy: F. ex. “The Message of the Quran”, certified by a top Muslim university (Al-Ahzar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy, Cairo) tells:

1. The flood must have been the filling up of the Mediterranean Sea - without mentioning that that happened (when the Gibraltar Strait opened) some 4 - 5 million years ago. (See above).
2. All this also without mentioning that the filling up took many years (see above) - may be as much as 100 or more - as the opening was small in the beginning and the stream slowed down long before it was full. Near what is now Israel and Egypt the water rose just some meters a year - no terribly rough

- flooding, like described in the Quran (f. ex. 11/42).
3. How could the slow filling up of that sea, give a flash flood in what is now Iraq, where this is supposed to have happened? - in or near Iraq somewhere.
 4. May be they mix it up with the filling up of the Black Sea (also mentioned by Muslims)? But also that took time - and was far from Iraq. That happened after the last ice age that stopped 10000 – may be as late as under a warm period (even less ice) 5700 years ago. The time may be ok, but then either Noah or the story in this case has travelled – and also this slow filling up cannot explain the weather and the waves.
 5. There also is a very speculative theory about an asteroide or something falling into the Indian Ocean - an old tale mentioning a special astronomical constallation in case pinpoints the start of the flood to 10. May 2807 BC. But it is a very speculative theory only built on old legends and a hint of Chineese history.
 6. Finally there is the extreme, but little known flood in Mesopotamia – now approximately Iraq – some 5200 years ago. It may easily explain the flood itself, and if this was an extraordinary “ordinary” flood, it also may explain the weather. But even if big, it was a local happening. (But then the Quran does not directly claim it was covering the entire world – but on the other hand see just below - the end of the journey).
 7. And another conundrum that does not fit the Muslim “explanations” about a “local” flood: The Quran claims the ark stranded on a mountain in Syria (Mount al-Judi (11/44) - not Ararat in Turkey. Mt. al-Judi today is identifiead as the 2089 m high "jabal judi" or "judi dagh" near the modern town Cizre south of the Turkish border.) For the ark to have stranded on a high mountain, the flood had to be universal – if not the water had streamed away to empty, lower places – elementary knowledge of physics.

For a university to back a bluff like the flood = filling up the Mediterranean Sea, etc. is dishonest and tells something - the professors at a university have to know such facts, and

know it is wrong, or at least they have no excuse for not checking if it was possible. It is a well known fact in educated circles. Similar claims in 23/27 – 26/119

014 11/42: “So the Ark floated with them on waves (towering) like mountains, and Noah called out to his son (who was at the shore) - - -”. When a boat is floating among waves like mountains, it is not possible to communicate with anyone ashore. Muhammad, living in a desert, may not have known. But no god had made a mistake like this - telling they could communicate. That kind of waves are too noisy, and so is the wind that normally accompanies that kind of seas, plus you have to stay far from the shore not to be taken by the waves and smashed against the mentioned shore. Dramatic fairy tale with wrong facts.

This also is a proof for that the dramatic scenarios in the Quran cannot be explained with the filling of the Mediterranean or the Black Sea: Even an enormous waterfall does not produce waves “like mountains” - a relatively stable stream of water does not do that except close to the waterfall, even when it is enormous, and as they are reduced proportional to the distance they run – double distance = half the energy per meter wave front, because they spread out in a (semi) circle (NB: This does not go for windblown waves with linear wave fronts, and definitely not if the wind is still blowing and transferring energy to the waves – only where the source of the waves is a “point” like a waterfall – or a stone thrown into the water). And a waterfall – no matter how big - never produce a terrible storm (mentioned other places).

015 11/43: “The son (of Noah*) replied - - -“. In that kind of weather neither a call nor a reply was possible – the roaring of the wind and the crashing of the waves are far too noisy even if a short distance had been possible. In addition you have the effect of the wind “blowing away” the sound of your voice. Also see 11/42 just above.

016 11/44: “O, earth swallow up thy water - - -“. Physically impossible with that amount of water. But if the flood was local, the water could go to the sea. (But the fact that the Quran tells the ark ended at Mt. al-Judi (earlier Mt. Qardu according to Muhammad Asad: “The Message of the Quran) in Syria, indicates that it was something really big – the water cannot reach high up on a mountain in Syria, unless the water level is roughly the same all over the world.

017 11/53: “- - - Clear (Sign) - - -“. Wrong. See 2/99.

018 11/59: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

019 11/63: “- - - Clear (Sign) - - -“. Wrong. See 2/99.

020 11/64: “This she-camel of Allah - - -“. This refers to an old Arab legend Muhammad used in the Quran: A camel came out from a solid cliff and became a prophet. Believe it if you want.

021 11/67: “A (mighty) Blast overtook the wrongdoers (the people of Thamud), and they lay prostrate (dead*) in their homes before the morning - - -“. Well, in 7/78 they were killed by an earthquake. One of them must be wrong – simply one more contradiction, even though the claimed absence of any contradictions is said in the Quran to prove it is sent down from Allah. The presence of contradictions consequently then should prove it is not from Allah.

022 11/69: “- - - glad tidings - - -“. At best only partly right. See 2/97c above and 61/3 below.

023 11/88: “- - - Clear (sign) - - -“. Wrong. See 2/39.

024 11/92: “He (Lot) said, ‘O my people!’ Lot was an immigrant from far away (Ur in Chaldea in South Iraq – now he was living near the Dead Sea, most likely in what is now Jordan). This according to both the Bible and the Quran. The people of Sodom and Gomorrah were not Lot’s people. And both the Quran and the Bible show there were distance between Lot and the locals – they definitely had not become his people. But as the Quran states that prophets (which Lot was according to the Quran) except Muhammad only were sent to their own people, the book needs to make him a local in the area, belonging to the local people.

025 11/93: “And O my (Lot’s*) people!” See 11/92 just above.

026 11/103: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

027 11/107: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

028 11/108: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

029 11/110: “We (Allah*) certainly gave the Book to Moses, - - -”. According to science he certainly did not - those books are written 400-700 years later. (The Bible tells Moses got the 10 commandments written on tablets of stone + he got the law verbally and wrote it down later. The Law is sometimes used as a name for the Book of Moses, but in reality the laws only is a minor part of it).

030 11/120: “- - - in them (the stories in the Quran*) there cometh to thee (Muhammad/the Muslims*) the Truth - - -”. With all the mistaken facts, mistaken grammar, etc, and perhaps even more mistakes in the book, it can at best be partly true - and then the trouble is to find out what is true and what not, of the tales you do not positively know are wrong.

031 11/123: “- - - heavens - - -“. Wrong. See 2/29.

Surah 11: At least 31 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 12:

001 12/1: “- - - the Perspicuous Book (the Quran*)”. A book with this many mistakes, invalid logical points, etc, is hardly perspicuous.

002 12/2a: “We (Allah*) has sent it (the Quran) down - - -“. No omniscient god has sent down a book with this many mistakes, contradictions, cases of wrong logic, etc. Which means that either Allah is not omniscient, or that someone else has made the Quran.

003 12/2b: “- - - in order that ye may learn wisdom”. No-one learns wisdom from a book with lots of mistakes and wrong logic.

004 12/7: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

005 12/19+20: Here is something wrong - or one more contradiction. Verse 19 tells that “travellers” found Joseph in the well where his brothers had thrown him down, and took him

for a slave and concealed him. Verse 20 tells his brothers sold him for a few dirhams (small silver coins). Both cannot be true.

00a 12/31: There is little logic in 2 points here: Why giving them knives before showing them Joseph? (Some Muslims say it was to cut fruit, but when you cut fruit, you cut the fruit and lay down the knife mostly – few had had the knife in their hands at any given moment, and fewer holding the blade. And it is not a natural reaction to be so stupefied by a face, that all and every of them cut their fingers – one or at most two could have done so, though unlikely, but not more.

00b 12/32: What was the logic of putting Joseph in prison when it was proved he was not guilty? This after all was at a moment where the wife should have been careful. (Muslims have a kind of explanation, but only a kind of). But imprisonment is necessary for the story.

006 12/40: “(Islam) is the right religion - - -”. Can a religion based on a book with so many mistakes, and with not a single valid proof for anything essential, really be a “right religion”? Simply no. Especially not when all the book rests only on the words of a man with very doubtful moral – thieving/robbing, womanizing, raping, enslaving, murdering, lying – even not respecting his own oaths - etc.

007 12/41: “- - - he will hang from a cross - - -”. Egypt at the time of Joseph did not use execution by crucifixion.

008 12/49: “Then will come after that (period) a year in which the people will have abundant water - - -”. But the Arab word that is used her, and that is translated with “abundant water” is “yughathu” or “yughath” which in reality is said to mean “to be relieved by rain” (Joseph Al-Fadi (Christian)). As also “The Message of the Quran” has that translation (translated from Swedish): “- - - a year when the people will be blessed by rain - - -”, and has a similar comment to the word and as we have met this translation before, we judge that Yusuf Ali has “stretched” his transcription a little. But in Egypt one has little and no rain – it is the flood in the Nile that brings water. (“The Message of the Quran elegantly explains that it must mean rain further south in Africa, that made the Nile big, but that is not what the Arab text says).

009 12/51a: “What was your (the ladies’*) affair when you ye did try to seduce him (Joseph*) - - -”. According to 12/23 it only was the wife of the Aziz that tried this. Mistake and contradiction.

*010 12/51b: The women in Potifar’s (this name is from the Bible - the Aziz (title or job?) in the Quran) house said: “Allah protect us”. The name and the god Allah did not exist in the old polytheistic pantheon in Egypt - and definitely not among the upper class (from slaves and traders they might have heard about Yahweh, but not Allah, and hardly even al-Lah that early). Their gods were Osiris, Aton, Amon, and other ones. Actually there is found not one single trace of monotheism among the upper class in Egypt in the old times. (Except Akn-Aton and his sun god)

011 12/52: The wife of Potifar (the Aziz): “- - - Allah will never guide - - -”. See 12/51b.

00c 12/69: Joseph told Benjamin: “Behold! I am thy (own) brother - - -”. It does not fit verses 70 – 77 that he told it at this time.

012 12/77: “If he (Benjamin*) steals, there was a brother of his (Joseph*) who did steal before (him)”. Here something is wrong: The child/youth Joseph was not accused of stealing. (As for Joseph’s age when he was brought to Egypt, Yusuf Ali in “The Meaning of the Quran” says he was 16 or 17 or may be even 18. We find no reason for believing anything – he may have been of that age or younger or even much younger).

013 12/84: “And his (Jacob’s*) eyes became white with sorrow - - -“. Eyes cannot become white (and more or less blind) from sorrow. That happens because of illness or physical malfunction in the eye – sometimes related to age. Any god had known – Muhammad perhaps not.

014 12/94: “When the Caravan left (Egypt), their father (Jacob*) said (to his sons*) - - -“. But 12/87 says: “O my (Jacob’s*) sons! Go ye (to Egypt*) and enquire about Joseph and his brother - - -“. Jacob simply did not come along to Egypt at that trip – Jacob could not speak to his sons when they left Egypt. A mistake and a contradiction of the real situation. (This also is clear from 12/96: “When the bearer of the good news came (to Jacob’s home*) - - -.” Jacob could say nothing to his sons until they were back home with him.)

015 12/95: “They (Jacob’s sons) said (= answered when the caravan left Egypt*): ‘By Allah (?*)! Truly thou art in thine old wandering mind.’” See 12/94 just above.

00d 12/99: “- - - he (Joseph*) provided a home for his parents - - -“. Not possible, as his mother (Rachel) died already when Benjamin was born – he could provide a home only for his father. (Islam explains or “explains” this with claiming that he reckoned the sister of his mother (Leah - also wife of Jacob) to be his mother, but there is nothing in the Quran saying so. But then it is quite normal for Islam to make claims without facts.) Also: How could Abraham be a good Muslim when he married 2 sisters at the same time? - strictly prohibited by Allah in Sharia.

00e 12/100: “- - - parents - - -“. See 12/99 just above.

015a 12/100 (A95 – in 2008 edition A98, A99): “- - - and they Jacob and his family*) fell down in prostration, (all) before him (Joseph*) - - -“. Here is a big conundrum inside a riddle surrounded by a puzzle for Islam. A pious prophet like Jacob impossibly could prostrate himself before a human. And an as pious prophet like Joseph impossibly could have accepted it. Something has to be wrong in the text. This even though the Arab text “wa-kharru lahu sudjdjah” literally means “- - - and they fell down before him in (alternatively “like in”) prostration (or “praying to him” according to the Swedish copy)”. Islam has no good explanations that we have found. According to ‘Abd Allah ibn ‘Abbas the “him” in “before him” must refer to Allah – which it most clearly does not do. Razi explains that Joseph’s dream was not fully fulfilled, etc. Actually here the text is very clear – and the only thing Muslim scholars agree on, is that the literal meaning must be wrong, and this without having a good alternative meaning.

016 12/101: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

017 12/104: “And no reward dost thou (Muhammad*) ask of them (people/Muslims*) for this (the new religion*) - - -“. No, nothing except 20% of all stolen/robbed values and slaves from raids and wars, 100% of all values taken from victims that surrendered without fighting, plenty of women and lots and lots of absolute and undisputed power/dictatorship, and lots and

lots of free warriors – he only had to pay them with promises about paradise and promises about rich spoils of war stolen from humans, countries and rich cultures. And the “poor-tax” (mostly 2.5 to 10% - mostly around 2.5% - of what you owned each year if you were not too poor) – which he far from only spent for the poor – and the sometimes brutal zakat – the tax from non-Muslims (though neither the 20% nor the 100% nor the taxes were all for his personal use – much was spent for waging more wars and for “gifts” to make neighbouring Arabs good Muslims + some was given to the poor),

And the price was cultures of surrounding peoples and humans and lives they destroyed – to gain more power for him. It is indisputably clear from the Quran that he at least liked women and power.

018 12/105a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

019 12/105: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

020 12/108: “- - - evidence clear - - -”. There is not one single clear evidence neither for Allah nor for Muhammad being a prophet in all the Quran. Not one. (There may be some exceptions for evidences for a god in points taken from the Bible, but those in case are proofs for Yahweh, not for Allah – those two gods cannot be the same one, unless that god is mentally ill – schizophrenic – as the teachings are fundamentally too different, especially like one meets Yahweh in “the new covenant” in NT – f. ex. Luke 22/20). Also see 2/99.

021 12/109: “Nor did We (Allah*) send thee (as Messengers) any but men, whom We did inspire – (men) living in human habitations.” Wrong. According to the Quran also angels were sent, f. ex. to Abraham, to Lot, and to Mary, and at least to jinns were sent jinns as messengers.

00f 12/111a: “This is - - - instruction for men endued with understanding.” It may be so – many Muslim thinkers and learned men were and are intelligent men. But to what avail? – when you give even the most intelligent persons wrong information from the start, their conclusions inevitably become just mistakes and errors, no matter how intelligent they are. To quote late Henrik Ibsen in “Peer Gynt”: “Naar utgangspunktet er som galest, blir resultatet tidt originalest” – which means something like “when the facts you use are really wrong, the result frequently becomes very ‘original’”. Also: “Correct facts multiplied by one student give a better answer than false facts multiplied with a number of wise men”.

00g 12/111b: “It is not a tale invented - - -”. When there are so many mistakes in a book, what do you expect the reader to believe? - at least details have to be invented.

**022 12/111c: “- - - a confirmation of what went before (the Bible) - - -”. When there are so many and so serious mistakes in a book, it is not to be expected that the reader can believe too much. Just the story about Josef is taken from the Bible (which “went before”). But the story is much changed (may be he in reality has retold a local legend about Josef, slightly based on the Bible) - it is no confirmation. On the background of all the documented mistakes in the Quran, which is easiest to believe, if any - the Quran or the Bible? At least some of the details in this story in the Quran are illogical. More to the point: There are too many and too fundamental differences - the Quran does not confirm the Bible.

023 12/111d: “- - - a detailed exposition of all things - - -“. Wrong. There are many things necessary for normal life – not to mention modern life – that is not made clear, and even more so for details. F. ex. the Muslim laws on inheritance were far from clear in the Quran, and in many, many things Islam have no guiding lines from Allah – they have to extrapolate from other or similare things said or done in the Quran or in Hadiths.

024 12/111e: “- - - a Guide - - -“. See 12/111d just above.

Surah 12: At least 24 mistakes + 6 likely mistakes.

SURAH 13:

001 13/1a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00a 13/1b: “- - - the Book which hath been revealed - - -“. That is one of the questions: Is it revealed – and in case by whom? See 13/1b just below.

**002 13/1c: “- - - the Book: that which hath been revealed unto thee from thy Lord (Allah*) - - -“. That is the question, to quote Hamlet: Did a god really produce a book with that many mistakes and invalid “proofs“? No.

An alternative is that the f. ex. the Devil impersonated Gabriel and in other cases told Muhammad “by inspiration” (to quote “The Meaning of the Quran” by Yusuf Ali) what thus was "revealed" to him. The inhumanity of the religion would then be explained. Personally we doubt this, if for no other reason, then because even a devil would not make so many mistakes, contradictions, etc. - he simply would not want to be found out by his victims sooner ot later.

Another alternative is that it all stems from a sick brain – TLE (Temporal Lobe Epilepsy) + lust for power may easily explain everything.

Yet another alternative is that it was not revealed, but made up in cold blood. The fact that many of the mistakes are in accordance with the wrong science of the time and area of Muhammad, and also the fact that Muhammad was not stupid enough to believe everything that is said in the Quran, may indicate that it is made up.

As for the last argument: F. ex. that miracles would not make some people believe, Muhammad was too intelligent and knew too much about people to believe himself – f. ex. Jesus was a good proof of the opposite: A lot did not believe in spite of everything, but quite a number came to believe because of what they saw and witnessed. The same was the conclusion of the story that Muhammad himself told about the magicians and Moses: They came (according to Muhammad’s own words) to believe after a small miracle.

***003 13/1d: “(the Quran*) is the Truth“.

1. There are many mistaken facts that history, geography, archaeology, literature, art, etc., proves are wrong. (At least unbelievable 1700+ !!! places with mistaken facts, and perhaps 3000+ errors all together).

2. There are “more than 100 divergences (mistakes*) from the rules and structure of normal Arab language”, according to Ali Dashi “Twenty-three years”.
3. There are verses where it clearly is Muhammad that is speaking, in stark contradiction to all statements that the book is made by Allah or has existed from eternity (though some of the places - f. ex. 6/114 in Yusuf Ali or 27/91 in Pickthall or Dawood - the mistakes are camouflaged by dishonest translators inserting the word “Say”, according to Ibn Warraq.)
4. The Quran states that the Quran is in pure Arab language. But according to al-Suyuti there are at least 107 foreign words used in the book, and Arthur Jeffery (specialist in Arab and in non-Arabic words in the Quran) says ca. 275 words from Aramaic, Hebrew, and Greek, and also from Syria, Ethiopia, and Persia. Even the word Quran is said to be from Syria. (The Arabs later found an excuse for those mistakes: Al-Tha’alibi tells that the Arab started to use those words and made them Arabic. An easy but dishonest explanation.)
5. There was used an alphabet without vowels, and to make it even worse, when writing the Quran/surahs in the old time, they did not even use the small points newer Arab uses to specify different letters. Because of this it often is difficult or impossible to know which word is meant. To use an English example: If you only have the consonants “h” and “s” and put in vowels, the result may be “house” or “hose” or “his” or “has”. Because of this there are thousands of possibilities for mistakes - or different meanings. Muslims tell the Quran was finished not later than 656 AD, but that is not true - only the simplified version using the old unfinished alphabet was used then, and lots of versions were written as the language and the alphabet were completed. Not until 900 AD was the Quran finished, and by then there existed numbers of versions. Muslims under the very learned Ibn Mohair (died 935 AD) finally canonized 14 versions (see Preface). Over the centuries 11 fell out of use, and then one more - today there are mainly two - one dominant (Hafs) and one

somewhat used in parts of Africa (Warsh).

After all that, how can anybody pretend that the Quran of today is sent down from Allah letter-by-letter and comma-by-comma? – the comma did not even exist!

6. The language in the original Quran was so little exact, that there frequently is necessary to insert explanations.
7. And how then can anyone pretend that the language in the Quran of today is perfect and correct language word for word and meaning for meaning just as dictated by Allah, when one knows that they spent 250 years “de-coding” the original texts and polishing the language?
8. And even more so: How can anyone pretend with a straight face that the Quran(s) of today is the one and perfect one from Allah, when the clergy/religious leaders and the educated elite at least, know that there were at least 14 “correct” versions earlier (to camouflage that they were different versions, Muslims call them “ways of reading” – you meet the word even today, because even today there are “different ways of reading”) - versions that over the centuries by an arbitrary process was reduced to 3 and then to 1-2. (The one dominating today, most likely dominates because it happened to be used when Egypt printed Qurans in 1924, according to Ibn Warraq).
9. Of the 14 and more versions that existed, how can one be sure that the most correct versions were the ones that finally came to dominate? - or that those version (Hafs and Warsh) had all interpretations of the primitive writings correct (especially as they are not quite similar)?
10. There are lots of places in the Quran where the logic is wrong – mainly because Muhammad draws conclusions or make statements without first proving that it really is Allah that made this and this. F.ex. the sun and the moon and night and day may be good proofs for Allah, but ONLY if it first is proved that it really is Allah that made them and runs them. Muhammad never really proves anything. Never. He just claims or states. The results are invalid claims with invalid logic, not real “signs” or “proofs”.

Valueless. Or even worse, as the use of such arguments proves to the entire world that he has no real and true facts/arguments. Even worse: The use of bluffs is the hallmark of cheats and deceivers.

11. The facts in the point above are even more essential here in this point - in places where he indicates or even uses the word “proof”. The problem is the same, and the only possible conclusion is the same: Valueless demagoguery that proves that he had no real and true facts/arguments. Even worse: The use of bluffs is the hallmark of cheats and deceivers.

There is little reason to believe the Quran ever was perfect and without mistakes, and even less reason to believe that the Quran of today is so (it simply is not). This even if you omit all the mistakes we know about. At very best the book only is partly true. Also see 13/39.

004 13/2a: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

**005 13/2b: “Allah is He Who raised the heavens (plural and wrong) without any pillars that ye can see: - - -”. A Muslim information organisation was 1-2 years ago asked to explain this sentence. They replied not 100% politely, that anyone with an IQ of 60 or more had to understand that this meant that the pillars did not exist. The person that asked replied that he knew the difference between non-existent and invisible - the meaning in the sentence above is “invisible” - and asked them please to give him a real explanation. They never answered.

There exist no pillars - visible or invisible. And actually the idea is ridiculous, as there exists no material heaven that needs to be kept in position - the heaven we see is just an optical illusion. Any god - even small ones - would know this, but Muhammad naturally not. Besides no man or animal or bird has ever banged into such an invisible pillar – and no plane collided with one.

006 13/2c: “- - - explaining the Signs in detail - - -”. Wrong and/or logically invalid “explanations” in reality are not explanations at all – even if they were in detail, which they in many cases are not.

007 13/3a: “And it is He (Allah) Who spread out the earth, - - -”. Similar things are said some places in the Quran - the earth is flat and spread out. It may be round or roundish, but like a pancake, not like a sphere. That was the geography of the Arabs at the time of Muhammad - though it hardly was the geography of any god. (There is one translator to English that says “egg-shaped” – but it is a wrong translation (the Quran there talks about an ostrich's nest on the flat ground, but the translator says it is about an ostrich's egg). All the same he often is quoted by Muslims – some may honestly want to believe him, others know they are using “al-Taqiyya” – the lawful lie - that is an integrated part of Islam (but of none other of the big religions)).

008 13/3b: “He draweth the Night as a veil o’er the Day”. Wrong. The night simply is lack of sunlight. Lack of something can never be a veil over anything. And even more so: Lack of light cannot hide sunshine.

009 13/4: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

*010 13/13: “- - - the thunder repeateth His praises - - -”. Hardly – the thunder is just a natural and automatic reaction to the lightning (which again is natural and automatic reactions to electrical charges). Islam will have to prove that the thunder – vibrations in the air - has enough brain to be able to praise Allah in this way, in order to be believed.

00b 13/14: “For Him (Allah*) (alone) is prayer in Truth - - -”. Yes, but only if Allah exists (and is the only god). There was a good reason why Muhammad demanded and glorified blind belief: There existed and exists no real proof and no documentation for the existence of Allah – or for that case for Muhammad’s connection to a god. And this blind belief only is to be based on the words of a morally suspect man like Muhammad. (The real, historical Muhammad is only distantly related to the glorified saint Islam paints.)

011 13/15a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

*012 13/15b: “Whatever beings there are in the heavens and in the earth do prostrate themselves to Allah (acknowledging subjection)”. As for in the Heaven, it is difficult to say yes or no. But for the Earth: No non-Muslim ever prostrate themselves for Allah. The same goes for all animals, fishes and insects, etc.: None of them has ever been observed prostrating themselves to any god, Allah included – and for Allah it should be extra easy to observe, as he prefers 5 prayers with prostrations a day, some by day and some by night (even more easy to notice as few animals, etc. normally are awake and active both day and night). Islam has some heavy proofs to produce here to make this point in the Quran credible.

013 13/15c: “- - - so (prostrate themselves for Allah*) do their (the living beings’*) shadows in the mornings and evenings”. Shadows are just lack of sunlight – and they for natural reasons are long and flat in the mornings and evenings. Islam will have to prove that this result of the Earth’s spin in the sunshine, makes the lack of sunlight some places consciously decide to prostrate “themselves” for a god. If no proofs are produced, this clearly is a fairy tale on an intellectual level fit for small children.

014 13/16: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

015 13/17a: “Thus doth Allah (by parables) show forth - - -”. Can it really be an omniscient god that shows forth so many mistakes? Nyet – a good English word that means no with some lines under.

016 13/17b: “- - - show forth Truth and Vanity.” As said before: The Quran can at very best only be partly true.

017 13/18: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

00c 13/19a: “- - - that which hath been revealed - - -”. Well, has it been revealed? – and in case by whom? (A god had not sent down something with that many mistakes, etc., but the Devil in disguise could – but might have been too intelligent to do so, as there sooner or later would come questions about the mistakes and wrong logic, etc., and hence about his inhuman and bloody religion. He then would lose credence. May be the whole book was made up?)

018 13/19b: “- - - that which hath been revealed unto thee from thy Lord (Allah*) - - -”. See 13/17.

019 13/19c: “- - - that which hath been revealed unto thee from thy Lord (Allah*) is the Truth, - - - “. Well, at best it is partly true - as said before. See f. ex. 13/17.

020 13/28: “- - - for without doubt in the remembrance of Allah do hearts find satisfaction”. This only is true for some Muslims, and in difficult times also some more Muslims seeking comfort in religion – and also some because of social or other reasons only. Science tells that a minor fraction of the people (may be 10%) has an internal drive for a god, and some more that resort to such thinking when life is difficult – in 2006 or 2007 they even found which gene in our DNA that produces this drive. One theory is that religion is favoured by evolution because it makes the group closer knit and then the chances for survival bigger. These people find satisfaction in their religion - any religion - if they do believe in it. And if they happen to be Muslims, they then find satisfaction in Allah. But NB: The satisfaction does not derive from the god they believe in – he/she may well be a fiction, like Allah seems to be (strongly indicated by all the mistakes in the Quran) – but from their own belief, as it is strong enough to make them feel sure it is right, and then feel secure in that security (false or not does not matter, as long as they themselves believe their belief is right). There is a possibility that this feeling of security, and hence safety and reduced nervousness, is another Darwinian reason for this inherited trait – it may in some way give an edge in the fight for survival.

The question these ideas of course produce is: Is there a god or are they all made up from our needs for something supernatural?

We should try to find out, because if it all stems from inside us, we should at least try to find something better than inhuman and immoral war religions. And if there is a real religion one should search for that one.

00c 13/31: “If there ever was a Quran with which mountains were moved - - - (it would be this one)“. Well, hitherto the Quran itself has not moved even one grain of sand. Ok, it has guided or misguided many humans, and they have done things, but the Quran itself has done nothing.

021 13/36: “Those to whom We (Allah*) have given the Book (the Quran*) - - -”. The infernal question: Is a book with that many mistakes sent down by a god? No - simply out of the question.

022 13/37a: “Thus We (Allah*) revealed it (the Quran*) - - -“. Did Allah reveal it? See 13/1b and 13/19a.

023 13/37b: “Thus We (Allah*) revealed it (the Quran*) to be a judgement of authority in Arabic.” A book with that many mistakes and contradictions, that much invalid logic, that inhuman moral and without ethical or moral philosophy, is no basis for “judgement of authority”. If Muslims disagree, they will have to bring strong proofs to be believed.

024 13/38a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

025 13/38b: “For each period is a book revealed”. Hardly. Homo Sapiens - modern man - is may be 200000 years old (and there were humans or humanoids long before that). There is no

trace of any book or of monotheism from all those years up to the next major step, that happened may be 60000+ years ago. At that time something happened – nobody knows what – that started Homo Sapiens on his course towards Modern Man (it is likely it happened somewhere in the western part of Asia, perhaps in the Caspian area and perhaps ca. 64000 years ago). Then no book up to the next major step: Agricultural Man, 15000 years ago, give or take a few thousand years – probably somewhere in the Middle East. No book and no trace of monotheism anywhere in the world. The next step: Towns. No books to regulate the life or religion for Homo Urbanus (man in town) – not until long time after towns and even cities had started to pop up, and still no trace of monotheism of any kind, not to mention Allah. The first traces of real monotheism – and later a book about a monotheistic god – came with the Jews (the name is used in wide understanding chronologically), and perhaps the Zoroastrians in Mesopotamia. And even then it is highly unlikely that they (Israel) had books before the period in Egypt and may be much later (that Abraham had a book or books, is so unlikely that Islam will have to prove it if they will insist on that – it is extremely unlikely that a nomad of that time even knew how to read.) Also the Zoroastrians had a book, but that Muhammad did not know – at least not until late in his life. After that – and before the Quran – science knows about only one or two books (depending on whether you reckon the “Bible” of the Jews + NT to be one or two – or many - books) as basis for monotheism – add one if you include the Zoroastrians.

During most those periods and aeons there is found no traces of such other such a book or of monotheism in any kind of science: Archaeology (with a ?-mark for Akn-Aton and his sun), literature, folklore, history, art, architecture. Islam will have to produce very strong proofs for the opposite – till now they just have produced cheap statements and even cheaper words and claims - - - and not one real proof.

Worse: When there finally came a book, it only built on the (wrong) knowledge in a tiny and underdeveloped part of the world – whereas the Quran states that every people in all times have had their prophets (and a book). Worse: If the Quran is a copy of the Mother Book, and all the 124000+ prophets through the times and all over the world got a somewhat similar copy (a revered Mother Book that may be existed since eternity cannot change?), that must have been a strange experience for many of them – “all” is about Arabia and Muhammad.

Worst: Islam tells that the reason why “the Book” had to be rejuvenated at intervals, was that the world and the societies changed (in addition to the never proved or documented claim that the Bible is falsified). But how to change the "Mother Book" that these claimed holy books are copies of? And the world and the cultures and the societies have changed more the last 300 years – yes, even the last 100 years – than in all the 200000 or more years before. Why do we not need a new book after all these changes? – if Allah is omniscient, he 13.7 billion or more years ago (when the universe was created) knew that at least parts of the Quran would be hopelessly inadequate (f. ex. some laws) and too dangerous (f. ex. atomic, chemical and bacteriological weapons combined with a most ruthless and inhuman war religion), not later than around 1900 AD. Ours is a period that really needs a book teaching love and peace among humans and nations – not hate and suppression and inhumanity and war (like f. ex. the Quran and the religion of Gjengis Chan and a few other war religions).

**00d 13/39: “- - - with Him (Allah*) is the Mother of the Book (the original book of which the Quran is said to be a copy of*) “. Mere humans like us thinks it is unlikely in the extreme that an omnipotent and omniscient god has a book awash with mistakes as a revered Mother Book in his Heaven. There also are a lot of problems to explain, if it was made by the god a

long time ago - not to mention if it is an unmade book that has existed forever, like many Muslims insists:

1. If the book is that old and existed before, why did the god have to send down imperfect books - Torah, OT, NT? Or was the "Mother Book" changed now and then to be able to send down different copies?
2. How to explain that in some surahs it is Muhammad that is speaking?
3. How to explain that the god some times has to change - abrogate - his message? - and did he really get everything right in the book this last time? Especially if he is copying the Mother Book he ought to? Or does the revered Mother Book change?
4. How could he change the messages, if it was all written a long time ago - or always existed - in a Mother Book he copied? Or does the revered Mother Book change?
5. How come that so many verses are answers or comments to things that happened in Mecca and Medina to Muhammad and during the life of Muhammad? - Muhammad f. ex. quarrelled with his wives, and Allah sent down surahs to explain that Muhammad as always was right - and like always a little bit too late to avert problem, but relevant to his needs just then? - remember the free will of man. Nearly all of it is about Muhammad and Arabia and little about the other claimed 124000 prophets and their needs and cultures and countries.
6. How to explain that it could have been written aeons ago, when Allah had given the humans (a certain amount of?) free will? - human acts will upset the texts in chaotic ways. (Predestination and human free will are 100% incompatible and 100% impossible to combine). The point is: The human with free will can always change his mind once more.
7. Islam says texts had to be changed a little over time, because times changes - therefore new holy books. But the 300 last years time has changed more than from Adam till 1700 AD. Well, even the last 100 years as mentioned. Why are no prophets and no holy book necessary? (Also see 13/38).
8. If the "mother book" is aeons old, why then is nearly the all talk to Muhammad, a little to a

- few others, and nothing to the other 124000 (according to Hadiths)? The first prophets - when everything was new – after all needed most information and help.
9. How to explain that most of the stories in the Quran are based on religious fairy tales? - any god had known they were untrue.
 10. How to explain all the mistakes? – any god had known better.
 11. How to explain all the invalid statements? – any god had known better.
 12. How to explain all the invalid “signs” (treated as proofs)?
 13. How to explain the invalid “proofs”? – any god had known better.
 14. How to explain the directly wrong statements, “signs” and “proofs”?
 15. How to explain the contradictions? – no god contradicts neither himself nor reality.

Also see 13/1.

Surah 13: At least 25 mistakes + 4 likely mistakes.

SURAH 14:

001 14/1a: “A Book (the Quran*) which We (Allah*) have revealed - - -”. The same old question: Can a book with so many very clear mistakes really be revealed by an omniscient god? And is it a coincident that many of the mistaken facts are in accordance with what one believed in Arabia at the time of Muhammad – even with fairy tales? A god’s stories? - impossible.

002 14/1b: “- - - revealed - - -”. See 13/1a and 13/19a above.

003 14/1c: “- - - in order that thou (Muhammad – by means of the Quran) mightest lead mankind out of the depths of darkness and into light - - -”. No book with that many mistakes and that doubtful moral can lead anyone into light. The same goes for any religion so suppressing, inhuman and full of hate, discrimination, blood and war, and “all power to Muhammad/the leader”.

004 14/2: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

005 14/4: “We (Allah*) sent not a Messenger except (to teach) in the language of his (own) people - - -”. Wrong. If you can call Moses a Messenger, he had to speak Egyptian in Egypt – not Hebrew. And Lot was from Ur in Chaldea (not too far from the Persian Gulf in Iraq), not from Sodom or Gomorrah – when the Quran says the people of Sodom and Gomorrah were his people, also that is wrong, this even more so as the Quran makes it very clear that not only was he a stranger, but also he was not integrated with the locals. And Jonah was not from Nineveh where he had to preach. Also Abraham was a foreigner with a language foreign to the place he settled down (Canaan and Sinai) – if one reckons him to be a messenger. The same goes for Joseph in Egypt in case. And not to forget Jonah in Niniveh.

006 14/5a: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

007 14/5b: “- - - in this there are Signs - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

008 14/9: “- - - Clear (Sign) - - -“. There are no clear signs for Allah or for Muhammad in the Quran – not one. See 2/99.

009 14/10: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

010 14/12a: “No reason have we (Muslims*) why we should not put our trust in Allah”. Wrong. All the mistakes, etc. in the Quran proves 100% that it is not from a god, and all the mistaken facts that are in accordance with wrong science in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad, strongly indicate that it is made by one or more humans in Arabia at the time of Muhammad. In both cases the religion is a made up one, and Allah may not even exist.

011 14/12b: “For those who put their trust should put their trust in Allah.” Wrong. See 14/12a just above.

00a 14/19a: “- - - Allah created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth in Truth - - -”. It is impossible to know if it is true, as long as the Quran only offers words and not a single proof. Words are very cheap - especially when it is clear that there are MANY mistakes etc. in the book.

012 14/19b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

013 14/22: “It was Allah who gave you a promise of Truth (the Quran*) - - -.” With that many mistakes the Quran at best is partly true.

014 14/24: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

00b 14/27: “- - - with the Word (the Quran*) that stands firm - - -.” Can words with that many mistakes and bent logic, etc. stand firm on other platforms than cheating, brain washing, pressure and wish for power?

015 14/32: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

016 14/35: “Remember Abraham said: ‘O my Lord! Make this city (Mecca*) one of peace and security; - - - “. Abraham never visited Mecca. Besides: There was no city at the time of Abraham – this both according to reality and to the Quran. Remember how Hagar run back and forth there without finding people and without finding water. Mecca as a city was only a few generations old as a town at the time of Muhammad - some 2500 years after Abraham. Also see 2/127.

017 14/48: “- - - Heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

Surah 14: At least 17 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 15:

00a 15/1a: “These are the ayat (verses*) of Revelation - - -“. Well, is the Quran a revelation? – and in case by whom? There theoretically are 4 possibilities:

1. A god – but the Quran proves that is not the case; too many mistakes, etc.
2. Some dark forces, f. ex. the Devil – perhaps in disguise. The inhuman religion of war may point in this direction.
3. Humans at the time of Muhammad. The fact that many of the mistakes in the book are in accordance with wrong science in the Middle East at that time, may point in this direction.
4. Muhammad himself. Muhammad’s lust for power - and women - may point this way. It also easily will explain the point above. Add his lack of ethics and moral and it also may explain point above that one, too.
5. The clear conclusion is that it at least was not revealed by a god, like the Quran claims.

001 15/1b: “- - - a Quran that makes things clear.” With that many mistakes, it makes few things clear and some things very unclear - f. ex. the foundation that Islam rests on.

00b 15/6: “O thou (Muhammad*) to whom the Messages is being revealed”. See 15/1a above.

002 15/9: “We have, without doubt, sent down the Message (the Quran*)”. Wrong. There is a lot of well-founded doubt about that. Too many mistakes, among other things.

003 15/14 + 15: “- - - They would only say (when experiencing a miracle*): ‘Our eyes have been intoxicated - - -’”. Wrong. At least some had come to believe. These two verses are a piece of fast-talk. There is some fast-talking in the Quran - trying to explain away things and facts and ideas and not least questions that are difficult to explain or answer. See the chapter about fast talk in the Quran. And there are even more fast-talk among Muslims today, trying to explain away mistakes, abrogation, changes in Islam around 622, etc., not to mention trying to present Islam as a peaceful religion. Just in this case one tries to explain away questions for proofs for Allah and for Muhammad's connection to a god.

**But the really bad thing about this point is that it is one of the points where Muhammad himself knew he was lying – at least some would believe in Islam if he produced miracles. He was too intelligent and knew too much about people not to know this – this even more so as he himself told about heathens becoming Muslims after they had experienced miracles (f. ex. the magicians of Pharaoh), and he also had a good example in Jesus – some refused to believe no matter, but quite a number of others did after miracles made by Jesus (made also according to the Quran).

004 15/16: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

005 15/17: “- - - We (Allah) have guarded them (the Zodiacal Signs*) from every evil spirit accursed.” According to the Quran, the stars – included the Zodiacal signs – are fastened to the lowermost of 7 (material – they have to be so if the stars can be fastened to one of them)

heavens. But jinns/bad spirits wanted to spy on the heavens, and had to be chased away by shooting stars = guarded. And then the Zodiacal signs were guarded at the same time. According to science this is utter nonsense to at least the fifth power. Any god had known – even baby ones – but Muhammad not. Then who made the Quran with all its mistaken facts, etc.?

**006 15/18: “But any (jinn/bad spirit*) that gains a hearing (by spying on the heavens*) by stealth, is pursued by a flaming fire, bright (to see).” According to the Quran, the stars are fastened to the lowest of 7 material (see 15/17 just above) heavens. The stars are lights and decoration, but are also used for shooting stars for weapons to chase away jinns and bad spirits. Muhammad did not know that the mass of a star is somewhere in the range of 1 shooting star x 10 to the 20. or more power and utterly impossible to use as a shooting star in our atmosphere – for the reason of size, for the reason of heat, for the reason of irradiation, for the reason of gravity, for the reason of sheer size, etc. As said in 15/17 just above: Scientific nonsense and insanity to at least the 5. power. No god uttered this fairy tale stuff – but Muhammad did not know any better. Then who made the Quran?

007 15/19a: “And the earth We (Allah*) have spread out (like a carpet); - - -”. In the Quran the Earth is flat - which is wrong. Just ask any god.

008 15/19b: “- - - set thereon (on the Earth) mountains firm and immovable - - -”. But no mountain was ever set down – not to mention from somewhere above. They without exception did grow up, no matter whether they grew up because of volcanism or because of tectonic activity (the only two ways mountains are made). Any god had known – but Muhammad not.

009 15/26: “We (Allah*) created man from sounding clay, - - -”. Flatly wrong. See 6/2.

010 15/26: “We (Allah*) created man from - - - mud - - -”. Wrong. See 6/2.

011 15/27: “And the Jinn race, We (Allah*) created before, from the fire of a scorching wind.” Here is something wrong. It is said several places in the Quran that the Jinns were created from fire - and one place it is said from fire without smoke.

012 15/28: “I (Allah*) am about to create man, from sounding clay, - - -”. Wrong. See 6/2.

013 15/28: “I (Allah*) am about to create man, from - - - mud - - -”. Wrong. See 6/2.

014 15/33: “- - - man, whom Thou didst create from sounding clay, - - -”. Wrong. See 6/2.

015 15/33: “- - -man, whom Thou didst create from - - - mud, - - -”. Wrong. See 6/2.

016 15/71: “There are my daughters (to marry)”. Here modesty has got the better of the Quran (or the translator). The men of Sodom or Gomorrah were not going for marriage - neither could a few daughters marry a lot of men. It is talk about sexual abuse. Most likely a dishonest translation – but in that case: How many other places in the Quran are explained dishonestly?

017 15/75: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

018 15/77: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

019 15/81: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

020 15/85: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

021 15/86: “For verily it is thy Lord (Allah*) who is the Master-Creator, knowing all things.” The mistakes in the Quran means that someone else has made the book – or that Allah knows not all things.

022 15/99: “- - - the Hour that is Certain (the Day of Doom*)”. Because of all the mistakes in the Quran, certainly also the Day of Doom is uncertain – at least in the form described by the Quran – as this easily may be an error, too. This even more so as all the mistakes in the book prove that it is not made by a god, and Muhammad was a prophet unable of prophesying, and who then is left to tell us the true future? (By the way: What is a prophet unable to prophesy? – a title stolen because it sounds impressive? Muhammad never made real prophesies (there were a few sayings that are remembered because they happened to become true, but no real prophesying). Whereas a real prophet is a man/person making prophesies. The only possible conclusion: Muhammad was no real prophet; he only "borrowed" the title – like so many other things. May be a messenger for someone or something – perhaps for himself(?) - but not a genuine prophet.)

Surah 15: At least 22 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 16:

001 16/2a: “He (Allah*) sent down His angels with inspiration (“ruh”*) - - -.” But the Arab word “ruh” does not mean “inspiration” but “Spirit”.

00a 16/2b: “He (Allah*) doth send down His angels with inspiration (“ruh”) - - -.” But the Arab word “ruh” does not really mean inspiration – it means the Spirit or the Holy Spirit. We may add that Muslims often claims that the Holy Spirit just is another name for the angel Gabriel. But here it is clear that the (Holy) Spirit – “ruh” – is not included among the angels (the angels "transported" ruh). (Actually Muhammad never quite understood what the Holy Spirit – one of it's at least 5 names – was). Also see 70/4, 78/38 and 97/4 where the same word – “ruh” – is used.

002 16/3: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

003 16/4: “He (Allah*) has created man (the word “man” used like this, means the human race = in this case Adam*) from a sperm-drop - - -”. Wrong. Even if it should really mean not Adam, but men generally, it is wrong. A sperm-drop is just half the explanation - also an egg cell is necessary. But Muhammad did not know that. (Human egg cells are too small to be seen with only eyes when it is lying in human tissue, blood and gore). Also see 6/2.

00b 16/5: “And cattle He (Allah*) has created for you (men) - - -”. Hardly. Cattle and their progenitors existed for may be millions of years. Man only after long aeons found ways of utilizing them - some 15000 years ago only.

00c 16/8: “And (He (Allah*)) has created horses, mules, and donkeys for you to ride and use for show - - -“. See 16/5 just above.

004 16/11: “- - - verily this (different food plants*) is a Sign for those who are given thought.” Verily it will be - - - but not until the day when Islam proves it really was Allah that created these food plants. Until that day it only is a clear sign that Islam and the Quran only have claims and cheap words and no proofs to show. Because if they had real arguments, they did not have to resort to logically invalid claims only. (This is an unavoidable conclusion from some persons giving things thoughts).

005 16/12: “- - - verily this (sun, moon, stars, day, night*) are Signs for men that are wise.” Wrong. See 16/11 just above.

006 16/13: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

007 16/15a: “And He (Allah) has set upon the earth mountains standing firm, lest it should shake with you; - - -”. Mountains do not hinder earthquakes (really other places in Islamic literature seems to indicate that what the book really means, is that the Earth can become unstable and capsize - impossible for a globe, but possible for a flat Earth). Some Muslims vaguely tries to find a way around the Quran and “explain” that mountains grow (are not “set upon earth”), but that in case is no explanation in this case - both growth from tectonic movements and from volcanic activity may result in earthquakes - - - the opposite effect of what the Quran says.

008 16/15b: “(Allah has made*) roads - - -“. Wrong – if Islam does not really prove it. The roads in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad in reality only were tracks most places – made not by Allah, but by the walking of men and animals through centuries and millennia.

009 16/36a: “For We (Allah) assuredly sent amongst every people a Messenger, (with the command), ‘Serve Allah, and eschew Evil’: - - -”. The Quran insists that every people everywhere and every time through history has been sent prophets for Allah. The Hadiths mention that through the times there have been 124000 prophets or more, and even that number is just an expression for innumerable many. But nowhere in the world - except in Israel (and in a way in Egypt under pharaoh Akn-Aton, who only accepted the sun as god - not Allah, and the Zoroastrians in Persia) - at any time or under any circumstances there are traces of prophets preaching monotheism before year one AD. Not in history, not in folklore, not in traditions, not in history, not in art, not in literature, not in archaeology, not any place - not even in fairy tales or legends. Especially when you compare this to the results of just two “prophets”: Jesus and Muhammad, it is not possible that 124000 or more prophets through the times have left not a single trace. This statement about all the prophets for Allah simply is not true.

010 16/36b: “So travel through the earth, and see what was the end of those who denied (the Truth)”. There were scattered ruins in Arabia. The Quran/Muhammad tells they all are results of Allah’s punishment of infidels. Hardly true - at least not for all of them.

011 16/36c: “- - - those who denied (the Truth)”. With all the mistakes in the Quran, it is impossible to believe that the book or Islam represents the full truth and only the truth. (That is one of the main reasons why Islam can accept not a single mistake in the Quran no matter

how obvious the mistake is - if there are mistakes, something is wrong with the book - - - and consequently with the religion).

00d 16/38: “- - - a promise (binding) on Him in Truth, - - -”. What is true in a book full of mistakes?

012 16/39: “- - - the rejecters of the Truth - - -”. See 16/38 just above.

013 16/48a: “- - - Allah’s creations, (even) among (inanimate) things - how their (very) shadows turn round, - - -, prostrating themselves to Allah”. Wrong – see 13/15c.

014 16/48b: “- - - Allah’s creations, (even) among (inanimate) things - how their very shadows turn round, from right to left - - -”. Wrong: This is not a general law – it only is true on the northern hemisphere. On the southern it is from left to right – and Islam pretends to be a universal religion. Even a mentally retarded god had known this – but Muhammad of course not. Who made the Quran?

015 16/49a: “And to Allah doth obeisance all that is in the heavens (plural and wrong*) and on earth, whether moving (living) creatures or the angels - - -”. Wrong – if Islam does not prove the opposite. Animals, birds, insects, fish, worms, etc. – they never are observed making obeisance to Allah (or to any other god). No rituals, no 5 prayers a day/night (even more so: Few animals are naturally active both night and day – “prayers” should be easy to notice), no servility except sometimes towards their own leaders, etc. And surely non-Muslim humans do not do obeisance to Allah – though sometimes to other real or made up god or gods.

016 16/49b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

017 16/50: “They all (all living beings*) revere their Lord (Allah*)”. Wrong – if Islam does not produce good proofs. See 16/49a above.

018 16/52: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

019 16/62: “- - - they (people*) attribute to Allah what they hate (daughters*)”. Wrong – if Islam pretends to be a universal religion. Some places on Earth – like in Arabia – girl babies may have been hated. But most places they only were of lower value, and far from hated. Then some places they were valued more or less equally. There also were places where daughters were valuable – f. ex. because they meant money/valuables to their parents when they married. There even were a few places where the societies were matriarchates, and the girls the main sex. (This is one of the many points in the Quran that points to some human(s) in Arabia as the maker(s) of the Quran – there are too many points like this.)

020 16/64a: “And We (Allah*) sent down the Book (the Quran*) - - -”. The old and impertinent - but very pertinent - question is: Did an omniscient send down a third rate book? - third rate because it has so many mistakes and so much invalid/twisted logic that you cannot rely on anything you cannot control via other sources + not well written. The simple fact is: No god would make a book like this.

021 16/64b: “(The Quran was sent down*) for the express purpose, that thou (Muhammad*) shouldst make clear to them things - - -”. How is it possible to make things clear by means of a book full of mistakes, contradictions, and invalid/false “proofs“?

022 16/64c: “- - - and that it (the Quran*) should be a guide - - - to those who believe”. A book with so many mistakes etc. is no guide for anybody.

00e 16/64d: “- - - and that it (the Quran*) should be a guide and a mercy to those who believe.” Can a book with so much inhumanity, hate and blood be a mercy to anyone? – except perhaps to Muhammad himself and to his successors and helpers who gained/gains riches and power?

023 16/65a: “And Allah sends down rain from the skies, and gives therewith life to the earth after its death: - - -”. If rain is all it takes to make earth flourish, it was not dead before the shower - there was lots of live seeds and may be roots. And is it Allah or some other god - or nature - that sends it down?

024 16/65b: “And Allah sends down rain from the skies, and gives therewith life to the earth after its death: verily in this is a Sign for those who listen.” See 16/65a just above. It is some sign to use an invalid proof. The Quran often talks about Signs that shall document or prove Allah. The sorry thing is that each and every one of them, with the possible exception of some taken from the Bible, are without any value as proof for a god, and not one single proves anything about the existence of Allah. The two most frequent reasons are that they in reality are just claims taken from thin air, or they build on statements that are not proved. See separate chapter about this.

025 16/65c: “- - - verily in this (rain, etc.*) is a Sign for those who listen.” Possibly so for those who only listens and do not think. For those who also think, it actually is a sign of nothing, until Islam proves that it really is Allah that makes the rain, etc., and that all the unproven and undocumented claims in the Quran are not just so much thin air and cheap words. Well, actually it proves one thing: That the Quran, Muhammad, Islam, the Muslims, all have nothing more to show for the religion than unproven and undocumented claims – if they had had some reliable proof, they had used them instead of just words and twisted logic.

026 16/66: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

027 16/67: “- - - in this (fruits, etc.*) also is a Sign for those who are wise”. Wrong. See 16/65b and 16/65c just above.

028 16/69: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

029 16/73: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

030 16/77: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

031 16/79a: “Nothing holds them (the birds) up but (the power of) Allah”. Wrong. What hold them up are the laws of aerodynamics. Muhammad would not know this, but all gods would.

032 16/79b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah – especially as the initial claim is wrong (see 16/79a just above). See 2/39 above.

00f 16/81a: ”He (Allah*) made you garments - - -”. Proofs for that Allah did this?

00g 16/81b: “He (Allah*) made you garments to protect you from the heath”. This is another point where one may wonder: Did the maker of the Quran know only the Middle East? – most garments are made to protect humans from the cold.

033 16/82: “- thy (Muhammad’s*) duty is only to preach the Clear Message”. It is not possible to preach a clear message from a book full of mistakes.

034 16/89a: “- - - We (Allah*) have sent down to thee the Book (the Quran*) - - -”. Yes, that is the big question for Islam. If Allah exists, and if he sent down the Quran, and if Muhammad retold everything correctly - f. ex. did not “doctor” the surahs in Medina to get warriors or peace in his family - Islam is a religion. If it is not true, what then? - and what happens in case to all Muslims if there is a next life run by a real god they have been prohibited to search for? Can a book full of mistakes be sent down by a god - not to say an omniscient one? Flatly no.

035 16/89b: “- - - the Book (the Quran*) explaining all things, - - -”. Except that some of the explanations obviously are wrong. And except that many things are not explained.

036 16/89c: “- - - (the Quran is*) a Guide - - - to Muslims”. A book with that many mistakes and that much twisted logic and that much hate and inhumanity cannot be a real guide to anyone (and if someone all the same uses it as a guide, it tells volumes about them).

00h 16/102a: “- - - the Holy Spirit has brought the revelation - - -“. Muhammad Azad: “The Message of the Quran” tells that the Arab word “ruh al-qudus” (= the Holy Spirit) is used 3 times in the Quran (2/87, 5/110 – both connected to Jesus – and here), and that here it means the angel Gabriel. The Holy Spirit in Arab = Gabriel? That in case means that in 2/87 and 5/110 Jesus is strengthened with the angel Gabriel - a bit far from what the Bible tells. (It is likely Islam sets the Holy Spirit = Gabriel because the Quran tells that Gabriel brought large parts of the Quran (other parts came to him in dreams, etc.), so that when it says that the Holy Spirit brought him verses, that must mean that the book is talking about Gabriel - not 100% logical.”

037 16/102b: “- - - revelations from thy Lord (Allah*) - - -”. Once more: Can the revelations be from an omniscient god, when so many of them are wrong or contain mistakes? Out of the question!

038 16/102c: “- - - revelations from thy Lord (Allah*) in Truth - - -”. With all the mistakes, the revelations told in the Quran, at best are partly true.

039 16/102d: “- - - revelations from thy Lord (Allah*) in Truth, in order to strengthen those who believe - - -”. It is a strange way for a religion to strengthen its believers at least partly with wrong and/or not reliable “information”. There are far too many mistakes in the Quran for any sentient educated being with fresh eyes, to believe it is reliable.

040 16/102e: “- - - revelations from thy Lord (Allah*) in Truth, in order to strengthen those who believe, and as a Guide - - - to Muslims”. It tells volumes about Islam, if they use a book

full of mistakes + discrimination, hate and war against non-Muslims as a guide for their believers - the Muslims. And even more if the religion/religious leaders try to “explain” away even obvious mistakes instead of finding out what is true and what not.

041 16/102f: “- - - revelations from thy Lord (Allah*) in Truth, in order to strengthen those who believe, and as a Guide and Glad Tidings to Muslims”. What kind of glad tidings can be built on at least to a large part mistaken and/or valueless statements and as wrong facts? It is bad if Islam really believes everything - that means they are too blind - or blinded - to see even the most obvious mistakes. But it is much worse if (some of) the leaders and learned men/teachers see the mistakes and bluff their audiences. And not least: If all the mistakes means that Islam is a made up religion - such religions do happen - and blocks the way for its (mis-) believers to a true religion (if such one exists), what then? Besides: Is it permission to steal and rob and rape and take slaves that are “glad tidings”? – fighting, women and looting are very central in the Quran.

042 16/103: “- - - this (the Quran*) is in Arabic, pure and clear”. Wrong in many ways: There are alien words, there are orthographical mistakes, there are grammatical errors and there are lots and lots of places where even today Islam does not know the exact meaning of words or verses (the last partly because the book originally was written by means of an unfinished alphabet).

043 16/104: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

044 16/105: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

045 16/109: “Without doubt, in the Hereafter it is they (non-Muslims*) who will perish.” Because of all the mistakes, etc. in the Quran, there is real reason for doubt about the hereafter – and even more so about if it really is like described in the Quran. Because of this – and because of all the other mistakes in the Quran – there is real reason for doubt about who will perish.

046 16/115: “He (Allah*) has only forbidden you dead meat, and blood, and the flesh of swine, and any (food) over which the name of other than Allah has been invoked”. Wrong. Hadiths – f. ex. Al-Bukhari and Muslim – are very clear on the fact that also meat from donkey is forbidden. (This is one of the cases where Hadiths abrogate the Quran. Perhaps Allah forgot that donkey meat was forbidden in the Mother Book, or Muhammad forgot to mention it?)

047 16/123: “So We (Allah*) has thought thee (Muhammad/Muslims*) the inspired messages (the Quran*) - - -”. No omniscient god has thought anyone so much mistakes, invalid logic, invalid signs, invalid proofs, like what you find in the Quran.

048 16/125: “- - - the Way of thy Lord (Allah*) - - -”. The Quran does not represent the way of an omniscient god – not a good one at least: Too many mistakes, etc.

Surah 16: At least 48 mistakes + 8 likely mistakes.

SURAH 17:

001 17/1: “(Allah took Muhammad*) to the Farthest Mosque” = the Dome of the Rock in Jerusalem according to Islam – but they still disagree on whether it was a real trip or a dream. But anyhow: The old Jewish temple was destroyed by Titus and his Roman Army in 64 AD, and nothing of any consequence was built on this small mountain until the Dome of the Rock was built in 690 AD, some 630 years later - - - and some 60-70 years after surah 17 - “The Night Journey” - was dictated around or after 621 AD. There simply was no mosque to visit around 621-630 AD. Is this a later addition to the Quran? - after all the book existed in many versions which were copied and copied by hand and thus could change a little now and then, and it was not really finished until around 900 AD. (Muslims explain this away with that the few walls of the old Jewish temple is what is meant, but that definitely is not what the Quran says.)

002 17/2: “We (Allah*) gave Moses the Book (the Quran), - - -”. According to all information and to science this is wrong. God /Yahweh gave him (according to the Bible) the 10 commandments only + he told him the law (later a part of the Torah) that he himself wrote down. The Book of Moses in reality is several hundred years younger.

00a 17/4: “- - - (and twice they (the Jews*) should be punished)!” The Jews have been “punished” at least twice during history – does that mean they are in reality are safe now, except for minor episodes?

003 17/9a: “Verily the Quran doth guide to that which is most right (or stable) - - -”. That is not possible on basis of a book with may be 3000+ mistakes, contradictions, invalid logic, and worse. It is worth adding that “The Message of the Quran” (remark 10 to this surah) specifies that “most right” includes “ethical principles and everything that promotes human life”. A bit special for a religion that has no ethical or moral philosophy, only the dictates from the morally very special war lord and robber baron Muhammad – and reckons robbing, enslavement, rape of slaves, suppression, discrimination, murder, hate, war as “lawful and good” and very clearly permitted, and even encouraged by the god and the religion. (Well, Allah and Muhammad got many warriors – and for free). Similar claims, see 2/213 – 48/28.

004 17/9b: “- - - and (the Quran*) giveth the glad tidings to the Believers - - -”. What kind of glad tidings can be built on a book containing hundreds of mistakes? - tidings of a fool’s paradise? And can even such tidings be reliable, when built on some hundreds of mistakes + hundreds and hundreds of unproven statements hanging in the air, resting on unproven words? (See separate chapters). And what kind of glad tidings are built on moral and ethics like what you find in the Quran - live a good life at the expenses of catastrophes for many others?!

005 17/12: “- - - all things have We (Allah) explained in detail”. Wrong. A lot of things are not explained in detail - f. ex. Muslim laws has had to be supplemented with many more paragraphs than the ones in the Quran and in Hadith - and still Muslim law are far from perfect concerning modern life and societies, and even concerning daily life. And just? - A man telling that a woman has behaved indecently is lying to Allah according to Allah and the Quran, if he cannot produce 4 witnesses, EVEN IF HE SPEAKS THE FULL TRUTH, AND THE OMNISCIENT ALLAH KNOWS THIS. And much worse: A raped woman is to be punished if she cannot produce 4 MEN who witnessed the very act - normally absolutely impossible. (For one thing rape normally happens in hidden places, and for another: How many men will come forth to tell: “We saw that she was raped, but did not try to help her” - and then be strictly punished for that omission? Those two points in the Quran are the most

horribly unjust and inhuman paragraphs we have ever seen or heard about in any even half civilized law. Is sharia half civilized? Is Allah good or/and just?

00b 17/15: “- - - nor would We (Allah*) visit with Our Wrath until We had sent a Messenger (to give warning)”. This Islam will have to prove - see 17/16 just below.

00c 17/16: “When We (Allah*) decide to destroy a population, We (first) send a definite order to those among them who are given the good things of this life (= the rich and/or leaders*) and yet transgress - - -”. This Islam will have to prove, because f. ex. many a natural catastrophe has happened absolutely without a warning - f. ex. the tsunami that in December 2004 hit Muslims far, far harder than any other religion. We never heard that f. ex. Malaysia or Indonesia or Sumatra or Ashe had received warnings.

006 17/41: “- - - their flight (from the Truth)!” At best from partly true statements - the Quran at best is only partly true.

007 17/42: “If there had been (other) gods with Him (Allah*) - - - behold, they would certainly have sought out a way to the Lord of the Throne”. Wrong – it is a possibility, but very far from a certainty. F. ex. are hierarchies possible, or splitting the “job”.

*008 17/44a: “The seven heavens - - -”. There are no seven heavens. See 10/6.

00d 17/44b: “- - - there is not a thing but celebrates (= all things celebrates*) His (Allah’s*) praise - - -”. This Islam will have to prove - it is an unlikely statement built on no obvious fact(s).

009 17/46: “- - - (the unbelievers*) turn on their backs, fleeing (from the Truth)”. At most from what is partly the truth, as the Quran has lots and lots of mistakes.

010 17/55: “We (Allah*) gave David (the gift of) the Psalms”. According to science the psalms are a lot younger than King David – at least most of them. A god had known.

011 17/59: “And We (Allah*) refrain from sending the Signs (miracles that would prove Allah and Muhammad’s connection to him*), only because the men of former generations treated them as false - - -”. This is a flat lie – and Muhammad was too intelligent not to know it. Not all, but a lot of people came to believe (f. ex. during the times of Jesus and Moses according also to the Quran) because of clear miracles in the old times – and a lot would come to believe at the time of Muhammad and at the time of today if there were clear miracles connected to a religion.

012 17/61: “- - - one (Adam*) whom Thou (Allah*) didst create from clay - - -”. Wrong simply and plainly. See 6/2.

013 17/73: “- - - that (the Quran*) which We (Allah*) had revealed to you (Muhammad*)”. A book with so many mistakes, etc. like you find in the Quran, is not made by a god.

014 17/77: “(This was Our (Allah’s*)) way with the messengers We sent before thee (Muhammad*), thou wilt find no change in Our ways.” Wrong. There is so much difference between especially NT and the Quran, that it is not the same religion at all. F. ex. Jesus was for peace, Muhammad for war. And science has clearly shown that the Bible is not falsified –

Islam in case will have to prove it, and after 1400 years of thorough searching they have found not one single proof, only loose claims. (Guess if they had told the world about it if they really had found a proof!!)

015 17/81: “Truth (the teachings of Muhammad*) has (now) arrived, - - -”. As these teachings build on the Quran, and the Quran contains lots of mistakes, the teachings are at best partly the truth.

016 17/82: “We (Allah*) sent down - - - in the Quran - - -”. Islam will have to prove that the Quran really is sent down, and sent down from an omniscient god. Without VERY good proofs, it is difficult to believe an omniscient god has sent down such a mess, and especially if he intended to save people for his Heaven. Yes, without such proofs, it simply is impossible to believe it.

017 17/88: “If the whole mankind and Jinns (originally figures from Arab folklore and fairy tales – and not mentioned by any other prophet throughout times, even though they are pretty active and part of what Islam claims is the same basic religion as the Jewish and the Christian one) were gathered together to produce the like of this Quran, they could not produce the like thereof”. Wrong. A flock of naïve primitives or people indoctrinated from childhood might believe this. But a number of good writers today and through history would be able to do that - this everyone knows who have read some good books. The Quran is not especially good literature to be polite, in spite of what Islam declares - rather dull, repeating the same stories time and time again, and using the same points and the same finish over and over, and not least: There are few if any original thoughts or ideas - they are "borrowed" from other sources, included made up scriptures, legends and fairy tales. See also 10/37a and 10/37b.

018 17/92: “Or thou (Muhammad*) cause the sky to fall in pieces, as thou sayest (will happen) - - - “. No matter what Muhammad says will happen, and Allah accepts to repeat in his (?) book thousands and millions of years before Muhammad said it (!), it is wrong. The sky is an optical illusion, and cannot fall down in pieces.

019 17/95: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/2a.

020 17/98: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

021 17/99a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

022 17/99b: “- - - of which there is no doubt - - -”. With this many mistakes - something like three or four pr. page in our book when you count just the surely mistaken facts - there is nothing in the Quran that can be no doubt about, unless it is really proved correct. And nearly nothing in the book is proved.

00e 17/101: “To Moses We (Allah*) did give nine Clear Signs - - -.” According to the Bible he got his staff cum snake + 10 plagues = 11 “signs”. Which book is most reliable – if any?

023 17/102a: “- - - I (Moses) consider thee indeed, O Pharaoh, to be one doomed to destruction!” Pharaoh Ramses II was not doomed to destruction, at least not this time. He did not drown, in spite of what the Quran says. – and he lived for several years after the possible exodus. (Which may be one of the reasons why some Muslims want the exodus from Egypt to

have happened under pharaohs we do not know as well as Ramses II - preferably one we do not know if he may have drowned or not).

024 17/102b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

***025 17/103: “- - - We (Allah*) did drown him (pharaoh Ramses II) and all who were with him.” The pitiful fact is that we know from history that Ramses II did not drown. He even did not die until some years after possible the exodus, according to history.

026 17/105a: “We (Allah*) sent down the (Quran) - - -”. If Allah is omniscient, he did not make such a second or third-rate book - only all the mistaken facts makes it at least second rate, and then there are f. ex. all the invalid statements and proofs, not to mention the simply wrong ones and the contradictions.

027 17/105b: “We (Allah*) sent down the (Quran) in Truth, - - -”. Perhaps - and perhaps not. The stumbling stone for these statements in the Quran is the large collection of mistaken facts and invalid signs, etc. in the book. It at best is partly true.

028 17/105c: “- - - and in Truth it has descended - - -”. See 17/105 just above.

029 17/105: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -”. At best only partly right. See 2/97c and 17/9b above and 91/13 below.

030 17/106a: “- - - a Quran which We (Allah*) have divided - - -”. If any omniscient god has had anything at all to do with a sorry work like making or “sending down” the Quran, Islam will have to prove it.

031 17/106b: “We (Allah*) have revealed it (the Quran*) by stages.” See 17/106a just above.

***032 17/107: “Say: ‘Whether you believe it or not, it is true that those who were given knowledge beforehand (= Christians and Jews mainly*), when it (the Quran*) is recited to them, fall down on their faces in humble prostration’”. One word: Nonsense. And what is worse: The one that composed this verse knew it was a lie – which also Muhammad knew when he made or recited it. A few Jews and Christians are said to have converted by 656 AD when the Quran is said to be written, though very few if any in 621 when this surah was made, but as a general rule: Utter nonsense. Just look at the history of conflicts between Islam, Jews and Christians, not to mention all the Jews in and near Medina that rather became fugitives or were killed, than to accept Islam – f. ex. Khaybar - and no more is necessary to say. You sometimes meet dishonesty like this in new, emerging religions and sects. It is a way of gaining “weight” for their statements, especially when they have few facts or proofs to show for themselves. Just one small fact that disproves this fairy tale: The 700 Jews in Khaybar could have saved their lives and possessions by becoming Muslims in time. To a man they chose not to.

033 17/108a: “And they (Jews and Christians when they hear the Quran*) say: ‘Glory to our Lord! Truly has the promise of our Lord been fulfilled (and the Messiah has come*)!’” Made up propaganda. See 17/107 just above.

034 17/108b: “And they (Jews and Christians) say: “Glory to our Lord! Truly has the promise of our Lord been fulfilled!” As for the likeliness that this is true, see 17/107 above. But Islam

(in this case “The Message of the Quran”) tells that it may refer to all the mentioning of Muhammad in the Bible (of which we have found none that is not just wishful statements that are obviously wrong – see “Muhammad in the Bible?”), but that it most likely means joy for finally getting the Quran, which Allah had promised and now finally had sent. There is no reference to a promise of something like the Quran in the Bible, and Jews and Christians at all times did reckon the Quran to be so wrong and so distant from the Bible, that it was not even heresy. Verse 107 and 108 simply are fairy tales made up to back up Muhammad - a not unusual technique to use by emerging new sects or religions. It may be based on a few converts at that time, or free fantasy - dishonesty happens when new religions and sects are made. And later.

035 17/109: “They (Jews and Christians*) fall down on their faces in tears (when they hear the Quran*)”. As honest as 17/107 and 17/108 just above.

*00f 17/111a: “- - - Allah, Who begets no son - - -”. Well, Jesus called Yahweh “father”. Besides it is funny to read the Quran scolding Arabs to believe Allah had daughters - al-Lat, al-Uzza and (al-) Manat - because it is plain stupidity to believe a god who wanted family, would choose to have daughters. He was sure to choose sons. That imbecility was enough proof in the man-centred old Arabia, to “prove” that the very idea had to be wrong. But when Yahweh may be wanted some company - a son - that is an utter impossibility in spite of this. Even more funny because the Quran, Muhammad, Islam and Muslims tell it is impossible for mere humans to understand a god - - - but everyone seems to be sure that a god wants to be alone, and neither do they ask if a god perhaps has a reason (that we may or may not understand for having a son, nor ask if he just wants company. Who knows a god's wishes?

*00g 17/111b: “- - - and has no partner in (His) dominion - - -”. Well, Islam says that Allah is the same god as Yahweh. If we discuss from that hypothetical statement just here: In the very old Hebrew religion there was a female partner/wife of Yahweh - his Amat (source: New Scientist and at least two others). In the strictly masculine Semitic culture the Amat was forgotten over the centuries. But may be she still existed all the same at the time of Muhammad - and may be even today?

Surah 17: At least 35 mistakes + 7 likely mistakes.

SURAH 18:

001 18/1a: “- - - Allah, Who hath sent down to his Servant the Book”. Well, the sinister question is: Can a book that full of mistaken facts and other facts, really be sent down by an omniscient god? If yes, does that mean that Allah is not omniscient/omnipotent? If no, does it mean that someone else who is/was not omniscient, has made (up) the Quran? The last question is most sinister, especially if it means that Islam is a made up religion, and even more so if this (may be?) made up religion blocks the road for its “believers” to a real religion (if such one exists). The answers have got to be: No omniscient god would make such an unreliable book (among other reasons because man had to see the mistakes sooner or later), and it is likely it is made by one or more humans at the time of Mohammad (among other reasons because the mistakes and many of the stories are in accordance with what one believed in Arabia at that time).

002 18/1b: “(Allah*) hath allowed therein no Crookedness.” In a book that full of mistaken facts and other mistakes, there is a lot of crookedness. Especially the use of invalid “signs” and “proofs” smell.

003 18/2a: “(He (Allah*) hath made it) Straight (and Clear) - - -”. A book that full of mistaken facts and other mistakes, f. ex. linguistic ones, (and perhaps religious ones, too - why should they be exceptions?) is neither straight nor clear.

004 18/2b: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -.” At best only partly right. See 2/97c and 17/9b above and 91/13 below.

00a 18/4: “(It is wrong*) that Allah hath begotten a son”. Well, we are back to the old facts that Jesus according to the Bible - written on the background of thousands of witnesses/listeners - many times called God/Yahweh Father, that humble humans - f. ex. Muhammad - are unable to understand completely the ways and wishes of a god (may be Yahweh wanted a son for some reason), and that Islam has to deny that Jesus was the son of Yahweh, in order to make (or pretend?) Mohammad the greatest prophet. Besides: Where are Islam’s proofs? - in spite of Islam’s glorifying of blind belief - a psychologically wise slogan when all one have are doubtful and at least partly wrong texts from a doubtful, self proclaimed “prophet” of at least as doubtful character - it is naïve in the extreme to believe blindly in so serious matter as eternity. If your chosen religion is a made up one - which every blind believer in every religion believes just their religion is not - where do you end if there is a next life? - and what if there is a real religion that you have not found, because of your blindness. Perhaps all religions are made up and just is a result of an inner longing in some people for something absolute (science have found that many weak - and some stronger - souls have such a longing in their genes or psyche), but in that case one at least does not have to make life as miserable for ones fellow men (and even more for the women) as Islam preaches - hate, rape, stealing, enslavement, and war.

005 18/5a: “No knowledge have they (the Christians) of such a thing (that Yahweh may have a son*)”. Wrong. There is a lot of information in the Bible. Now of course Muhammad, the Quran, and Islam all declare that the Bible has been falsified - they have to, as that was the only way for Muhammad to explain the differences between his “quoting” the Bible and quoting of religious legends, fairy tales, etc., and the Bible proper (it also is common among religious sects or religions to say that other sects or religions have misunderstood or falsified information), and also between Islam and Christianity. But science clearly has shown that the Bible is not falsified.

But the Quran is based only on what a single man said - only one man. A man that lived 600 years later, who brings not one single proof or witness - only claims and statements taken from nowhere and from legends. Also a man for whom it was essential (just read the Quran and see) to be the greatest of prophets, which meant he had to reduce Jesus. And a man who craved very much for power - once more; just read the Quran and see how he glues himself to his platform of power; his religion and the god of that religion - which meant that his teachings had to gain priority over other teachings. And a man telling he got his teachings directly from an omniscient god - which meant it was impossible to accept that there were mistakes in the teachings (a problem that today is a nightmare for Islamic scholars, because there very obviously are lots of mistakes, and it is difficult to find good enough ways of “explaining” the mistakes away, except for to people with no - or not enough - knowledge, or not able to think for themselves - - - or believing so strongly that they anyhow do not want to see facts that do not fit what they believe.)

Whereas the Bible is written by many different persons, and as for NT many of whom knew Jesus or his closest co-workers, the Disciples, and nearly all wrote at times when there still were thousands of witnesses alive that had personally heard and seen what Jesus said and did.

We do not say that the Bible is right. We even less say that all details in the Bible are right, as it is clear that some details are wrong also in the Bible, at least in Genesis (creating it all).

But there is no doubt that according to all rules for evaluating information, the Bible should be more reliable than the Quran. The OT is written some 1000 years earlier and consequently 1000 years closer to what happened, and also had at least a lot of verbal traditions to build on. And NT was written 450 – 600 before the Quran, and with lots and lots of witnesses to what had happened still alive when much of it was written. Muhammad on the other hand had few sources, and they were mixed up with fairy tales (like the Child Gospels, from which he f. ex. has got the story of the bird Jesus made from clay) or so-called apocryphal gospels or books - all of which are proved to be made up or propaganda for sects, or - well - fairy tales. Ok, he said he got his information from a god - but that is very easy and very cheap to say - many a founder of many a sect or religion have said the same thing. And there is not a single proof - not one single - for it being true in all the Quran, in spite of wishes and demands from both sceptics and followers. Questions that at best were answered with some fast-talk about what Allah could do if he wanted (but he never “wanted”) or that none of them would believe even if Allah sent real (supernatural) proofs (something any person that knows a little about people or about psychology knows is not true - supernatural proofs/wonders had made at least some believe. What is worse: Muhammad was a wise man who understood human nature - he had to know that he was lying each time he told just this). And do not forget: The glorified ideal Muhammad was in reality a highwayman and thief, an extortioner, a rapist, a murderer and mass murderer, an enslaver, a warlord lusting for power, and a warlord telling that “war is betrayal”.

There also is the fact that science knows some 13000 scriptures or fragments with relation to the Bible or biblical circumstances. Plus 30000+ other manuscripts with references to the Bible. They all are in accordance with the modern Bible, and when they find that the translators of the Bible have misunderstood or not been quite exact enough, the translation of the Bible is corrected in later editions - one wants and strives for to have everything as correct as possible. In stark contrast: When Islam finds scriptures or fragments that is not quite the same as the 1-2 they use today, the findings are denied and hidden - a star example is the many copies of the Quran found in Yemen in 1972; when it became clear that details - some of them of significance - were unlike what was written in the Quran(s) of today, scientists were denied access to them any more.

Conclusion: Any student and any professor of history will say that according to normal rules for evaluation, the Bible is far more reliable than the Quran as a source for historical information. And any psychologist will confirm that Muhammad must have known he lied each and every time he said that (supernatural) proofs of Allah had made no-one believe in Allah anyhow. And more: No serious scientist uses information from the Quran from before 610 AD in his science - it is not reconed to be reliable.

00b 18/5b: “What they (the “infidels”*) say (about Jesus being the son of Yahweh*) is nothing but falsehood”. Tell that to all the witnesses that heard Jesus say so. There were so many listening to Jesus, that if a thing like this (Jesus calling Yahweh his father, and obviously in a traditional meaning - though perhaps a created, not a born son) was a lie, but

was written in scripts meant for many to read, there had been serious protests and corrections. We do not say Jesus spoke the truth - even if he is accepted also by Islam to be an honest prophet. But we say it is highly unlikely that he did not say - many times - that Yahweh was his father. There simply were too many witnesses to what he said.

*00c 18/9a: “Or dost thou reflect that the Companions of the Cave - - -”. This is an old tale - a religious legend - that is incorporated in the Quran. The story of the 7 sleepers is well known - and is just a fairy tale. The 7 were Christians from Ephesus in what is now Turkey, that fled to a cave during a pogrom under “Cesar” Decius the story goes.

Decius had the cave walled up to kill them. Instead the 7 fell asleep, and did not wake up until in the 30.th year of the reign of the pious Theodosius - that is in 448 AD. Decius reined for just over two years around/just after 250 AD. That means that if the fairy tale had been true, they had slept some 195 years (the Quran says 300 or 309 years - even in the fairy tale it is wrong). Islam has troubles explaining this story, and the “explanations” we have seen, are very “lofty” and diffuse - f. ex. that it really is told about an older Jewish fairy tale - or that it derives from misunderstandings about the Esseers - the members of the Qumran society (near the Dead Sea) but without giving any sources or documentation - only speculations. Besides the age does not matter – it is as made up even if it should happen that the original is a bit older. They also tell it is an allegory - which they mostly do when they have difficulties finding “explanations” that are possible to believe. But an allegory of what? And it obviously is not meant to be an allegory - among other things the meanings of an allegory in the Quran normally are very easy to see or are explained. The Quran further normally tells when it is telling an allegory or something similar, and not least; the Quran itself stresses that it shall be understood literally if nothing else is said. The sleepers also mentioned in 18/13 – 18/22 – 18/25. Also see 18/13 below.

006 18/9b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

007 18/13: “We (Allah*) relate to thee their (the 7 sleepers) story in truth: - - -”. As this is a well-known fairy tale, and as the Quran has so many other mistakes, carefully said: At best it is only partly the truth. **But note that it is stressed that the story is the truth - not an allegory, not made up, but the truth..**

008 18/14: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

009 18/17: “- - - the signs of Allah - - -”. There is not one single sign in the Quran that clearly is from Allah, and thus not one single “sign” that proves anything about Allah. Any priest in any religion can just as easy say they are signs of his god(s). Words are that cheap.

010 18/22: “(Some) say they (the 7 sleepers) were three, the dog being the fourth among them, (others) say they were five, the dog being the sixth - doubtfully guessing the unknown; (yet others) say they were seven, the dog being the eight”. If Muhammad got this story from a real story via a god, not from a well known fairy tale, the god had known their number (well, a god also had known the number that was told in a legend), but Muhammad obviously not. Also see 18/13 just above.

011 18/25: “So they (the 7 sleepers) stayed in their Cave three hundred years, and (some) add nine more”. See 18/13 and 18/22. (If one relies on some historical facts mentioned in the main variety of the legend, they slept some 195 years).

012 18/26: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

00d 18/27a: “- - - the Book (the Quran*) of thy Lord (Allah*); - - -“. Is it really the words of a god? - with that many mistakes?

013 18/27b: “- - - the Book (the Quran) of thy Lord (Allah*); none can change His Words (the Quran*) - - -“. Wrong. Reality can change the words when the words are wrong. And many verses were abrogated (“We (Allah*) sends another as good or better”) - a few even by Hadiths - not to mention that the whole religion was changed in and after 622 AD from peaceful to war and hate and stealing and rape. Islam cannot admit this change, because it may expose mistakes in the religion, but people are able to read, and it is easy to find in the Quran.

014 18/29: “Say, ‘The Truth is from your Lord (Allah*)’”. Allah’s words as presumed “referred” in the Quran at best is partly the truth - mind all the mistakes.

015 18/31: “- - - bracelets of gold - - -“. Which is right and which is wrong? – in 76/21 the bracelets are from silver. One of them has to be wrong. A mistake and one more contradiction – in spite of that “contradictions does not exist in the Quran – which is a proof for that it came from Allah”.

016 18/37a: “- - - Him (Allah*) Who created you out of dust - - -“. Wrong - man was not created out of dust. See 6/2.

017 18/37b: “- - - Him (Allah) Who created you out of dust, then out of a sperm-drop - - -“, Wrong, or at best half true. Humans are not made out of a drop of sperm - though the Quran says so repeatedly. Humans - and animals - are made out of sperm + an egg cell. Arabs knew a lot about foetuses (from slaughtering of animals), but an egg cell is so small, that one does not notice it - hardly possible to see in all the blood and intestines and gore, etc. - so the Quran tells the semen is “planted” in a woman and grows to a being. Muhammad did not know better as that was an accepted theory at his time – Greek and/or Persian “knowledge” originally - but an omniscient god had known - - - so who made the Quran?

017b 18/50 (A53 – omitted in 2008): “Behold, We (Allah*) said to the angels, ‘Bow down to Adam’: they bowed down except Iblis. He was one of the Jinns - - -.” But here is a clear mistake – or more likely; A. Yusuf Ali’s religion and al-Taqiyya may have suppressed his honesty: The original Arab text here do not say he was a jinn: It says something like (translated from Swedish): “He (Iblis*) belonged to the multitude of invisible beings”. The text here honestly and clearly indicates that he was an angel before he became the Devil. On the other hand the Quran other places tells he was made from fire, which in case means he according to this book in reality was a jinn. This is one more place where the Muslim scholars agree that the text in the Quran is wrong (though they never say this in clear words) as it here most clearly is indicated that Iblis was an angel.

018 18/51: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

019 18/55: “- - - now that guidance (the Quran) has come to them (the “unbelievers”)”. A book with so many mistakes is not really guidance.

020 18/56a: “- - - glad tidings - - -.” At best partly true only. See 2/97c above and 61/13 below.

021 18/56b: “- - - in order therewith to weaken the truth (the teachings of Muhammad/the Quran*), - - -”. To repeat the reality: With so many mistakes in the Quran, it can maximum be partly true.

022 18/56c: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

023 18/57: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

***024 18/86a: The next mistakes concern a certain Dhu’l Quarnayn - a name meaning “the Two Horned One“, and it is an Arab “nickname” for the famous Macedonian king Alexander the Great (see f. ex. “the Oxford Dictionary of Islam”), who lived around 330 BC (died 323 BC) - some 950 years before Muhammad. Muslims never tell that Dhu’l Quarnayn is Alexander the Great - perhaps because every educated European at once would know that a lot of the information about him in the Quran is wrong - we know a lot about him.

You will even meet Muslims denying Alexander is Dhu’l Quarnayn - “proving” their statements with f. ex. that Alexander today is well known to have been a polytheist, whereas the Quran indirectly, but very clearly tells he was a good Muslim (another one of the Quran’s mistakes).

It may be of interest to mention that Ibn Ishaq seems to have believed that Dhu’l Quarnayn was an Egyptian of Greek origin (page 139 in the 2007 edition from Oxford University Press, edited by A. Guillaume). And that Ibn Hisham in his note no. 186 to that book knew what he was talking about. He says that Dhu’l Quarnayn was a Greek (Alexander also was king of Greece) and simply states: “His name was Alexander. He built Alexandria and it was named after him” (Alexander the Great founded Alexandria - a well known historical fact). But Ibn Hisham refrains from using the full name Alexander the Great. It never was a secret for the learned ones that it was Alexander the Great who founded Alexandria, not even at the time of Ibn Hisham (dead ca. 840 AD), but to mention his full name might perhaps cause difficult questions from many quarters, as it was clear that at least some of what was told about Dhu’l Quarnayn obviously had to be recognized as fairy tales by anyone knowing the story of Alexander the Great. May be Ibn Hisham was brave by even identifying him as the founder of Alexandria?

18/86a “- - - he (Alexander) reached the setting of the sun, - - -”. To reach the setting of the sun means to go west. In addition to all the other mistakes in this story we know that Alexander never went west (the furthest west he ever was, was his homeland Macedonia north of Hellas, and Egypt). See also 18/8b and 18/86c just below.

**025 18/86b: “- - - when he (Alexander) reached the setting of the sun - - -”. Anyone who knows two millimetres about geography and astronomy knows this is wrong and ridiculous to the extreme: The sun does not set on Earth – and absolutely in a pond of dirty water. Also see 18/86a and 18/86c just above and just below.

***026 18/86c: “- - - he (Alexander the Great*) found it (the sun*) set in a spring of murky water”. This statement - or fairy tale - deserves a series of exclamation marks - anyone today who has finished primary school, knows among other facts:

1. The sun is too big to settle anywhere on Earth.
2. Not to mention that it is far too big to settle in a pond - murky or not.
3. And that if the sun ever came within a million miles from the Earth, there would be no spring or pond any more - far too hot.

Muhammad did not know the size or temperature of the sun, but an omniscient god had known. Who made the Quran?

Muslims try to “explain” it by f. ex. telling that what he saw was the reflexion of a sunset in a spring. Think of the great warrior king Alexander - riding west and west and west with his men, day after day and week after week to find the place where the sun set. Then one day he hits upon one more pond - even one with dirty water. When he stands so that that dirty spring is in the straight line between him and the sun, he sees the red and yellow mirror image of the sunset in the muddy surface - a sight he has seen time and again and again before on the surfaces of ponds and springs and rivers and lakes and seas - and he hails his men: “Now we have reached our goal!! Here is where the sun sets!! Now let’s go home and tell about our great discovery“.

Believe it whoever wants.

But whoever believes it needs to see a professor of history - or a psychologist to mend his brain. Also see 18/86a and 18/86b just above.

027 18/90: “- - - he came to the rising of the sun - - -”. It is not physically possible to come to the place where the sun rises from the Earth as the Quran indicates, because it does not rise from the Earth - and if it had, both Alexander and the Earth had been rather fried. Also see 18/86 x 3 just above.

*00e 18/94: “- - - Gog and Magog -. - -”. These are from the Bible. In the Bible one is a country and the other a king – king Gog of the country Magog. In the Quran they are two bad people. Who is right? Remember that Muhammad did not know the Bible well. A god had known. Then who composed the Quran?

**028 18/95: “He (Alexander*) said: ‘(The power) in which my Lord (Allah!!!*) has established me is better - - -”. The Quran clearly indicates that Alexander was a pious Muslim (some 950 years before Muhammad!). To make an understatement: That is wrong. Alexander was a polytheist. (Muslims sometimes try to use this mistake as a proof for that Dhu’l Qarnayn was not Alexander). Also see 18/86a, 18/96b and 18/96c below.

***029 18/95-97: A people that lived in a valley were terrorized by two other people - Gog and Magog. They (the locals*) asked Alexander for help. He said: “I will erect a strong barrier between you and them: ‘Bring me blocks of iron’”. And he let build a wall of iron blocks produced by the locals straight across the valley, strong enough to be impossible for the people of Gog and Magog to get through, and tall enough to be impossible to get over even with the longest ladders - be sure that Gog and Magog knew about ladders. But nowhere on the entire earth there existed that much iron blocks around 330 BC – blocks of iron the locals were asked to bring him. (Note here that 18/93 tells the wall had to cross “(a tract)

between two mountains” under which mountains a people lived – the wall had to have some length to cross “a tract” big enough for a whole people to live – it took a lot of iron blocks.)

(Besides it is all ridiculous: Very few valleys - and no big valley - have only one possible way in and out - Gog and Magog could in case get around the wall. And if not, it always was possible to dig under the wall - this was a valley in which people lived, and such a valley would have soil under the wall.) See also 18/86a -18/86b – 18/86c.

**030 18/96a: “At length, when he (Alexander - or really the workers making the wall*) had filled up the space between the two steep mountain-sides, he said, ‘Blow (with your bellows)’. Then, when he had made it red as a fire - - -”. It would not be possible to make the whole of such a big wall red like fire at around 330 BC. They neither had the means - that kind of fire - nor the technology. It would be more than difficult even today. Fairy tale.

**031 18/96b: “Then, when he (Alexander the Great) had made it (red) as fire, he said: ‘Bring me, that I may pour over it, molten lead” (Dawood says bronze we think).

1. We do not think there any one place on Earth was enough lead - or bronze - for such a job.
2. Even if it did, metal was expensive - the locals had to be very rich to have so much lead/bronze. And this goes even more so for enough iron blocks to build a huge wall.
3. It would not be technically possible to heat such a big and long wall to “make it (red) as fire” ca. 340 BC - it is hardly possible today.

**032 18/98: “This is a mercy from my Lord (Allah*)”. Wrong. Alexander the Great was no Muslim, but a polytheist.

033 18/105: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

034 18/106: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

Surah 18: At least 34 mistakes + 5 likely mistakes.

SURAH 19:

001 19/7: “- - - his name shall be Yahya (John*): on none by that name We (Allah*) have conferred distinction before”. But Johanan (John), son of Kareah, was a distinguished man in 2. Kings, 25/23. In addition our sources say that the word “distinction” is not in the Arab edition, but added by Yusuf Ali to circumvent an obvious mistake, as the name John was not unknown in Hebrew. (Yusuf Ali’s comment 2461). Other translators – f. ex. Muhammad Azad in “The Message of the Quran” – say in their comments to the point that the exact translation is (translated from Swedish): “We (Allah*) have never before named anybody with his (John the Baptist’s) name before”. But the name John (Johanan in Hebrew) is mentioned 27 times in OT = before John the Baptist – it was a quite common name. From relevant history also were the priest-king John Hyrcanus and the general John the Essene. There both were many Johns and men of distinction named John before John the Baptist. Simply wrong.

002 19/10: “- - - Thy Sign - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

003 19/18: “- - - I (Mary, mother of Jesus) seek refuge from thee to (Allah) Most Gracious: (come not near) if thou dost fear Allah”. It is highly unlikely that a Jew - and especially one working in Yahweh's temple - should seek refuge from a then highly polytheistic god from another country. As one see from what happened to Jesus, the monotheism and Yahweh were strong in Israel at that time. If the Quran tells the truth when it tells that Mary was working in the Temple, it is absolutely impossible - she had got into serious troubles if she addressed any other god than Yahweh (but then the Quran most likely is wrong also on this point - We have found nothing about Mary working in the Temple in the Bible or any other source, and if it had been true, most or all Christian sources had mentioned it, as it would mean one more connection between Jesus and Yahweh. (Actually it is incorrect that she worked in the Temple. This legend is taken from the apocryphal - made up - “’proto gospel’ after Jacob” - - - but Muslims all the same tell that the differences between the Quran and the Bible is because the bad non-Muslims have falsified the latter one – not because Muhammad ever so often used twisted fairy tales as basis for stories in the Quran.) Our Muslim sources also do not mention if there exists any other reliable source for this story in the Quran - which Islam frequently does not do when they have no sources, only statements built on nothing or like here on what legends and stories the story-tellers told in long evenings. Her work in the Temple simply is a fairy tale shined up and used like a true story in the Quran - by Allah or by Muhammad, and presumably sent down from Allah and copy from the Mother Book in Heaven, a book perhaps made by Allah, but most likely - according to Islam - never made, but existed from eternity (impossible as angels are speaking at least one place in the book - it must be made after the first angels were created. Not to mention that Muhammad speaks some 8 places in the book).

You are free to believe it if you want.

***004 19/24+25: "But (a voice) (the new-born baby Jesus*) cried from beneath the (palm-tree): 'Grieve not! For thy Lord hath provided a rivulet beneath thee; 'And shake towards thyself the trunk of the palm-tree (normally date palms are minimum 50 cm wide and strong – impossible for a human to shake*); it will let fall fresh ripe dates upon thee". This story is “borrowed” from chapter 20 in an apocryphal – made up - “proto gospel” said to be after some Mathew. “Borrowed” by Muhammad or Allah, but presumably sent down as a copy from the Mother Book in Heaven. Believe the last if you want. There are few if any original stories in the Quran - mostly they are “borrowed” from different sources, but often changed a little. In this special case one also finds the story in “The Childbirth of Mary and the Salvador’s Childhood” if we remember the name correctly, and it has perhaps entered the Quran via “The Arab Childhood Gospel” (source; among others Ibn Warraq). As said before: Muhammad took stories from such fairy tales, and then accused the Bible of being falsified when it did not tell the same made up legends and tales. But no newborn baby is able to think rationally or to speak fluently - if it had really happened it had to be a miracle, and there is no chance that it had been forgotten in NT, as it had strengthened Jesus' connection to something supernatural quite a lot.

00a 19/27: “O Mary! Truly a strange thing (the baby Jesus*) hast thou brought!”. Mary had had to be very fat and very lucky if none of “her people” had noticed she was pregnant - be it at home or in the Temple.

***005 19/28: “(Mary*) O sister of Aaron!” This is the most famous mistake in the Quran. The likely reason is that in Arab the names Mary and Miriam (the sister of Moses and Aaron) both are written Maryam. Muhammad was not well versed in the Bible, and thought it was the same woman, even though some 1200 years separated them. The Hadith tells that Muhammad was told by his followers that he was wrong, and tried to explain away the mistake, but without real success. Muslims today tend to “explain” the blunder by saying it was an age-old way of paying respect to a woman to connect her to a person of high standard, and similar “explanations” but the “explanations” generally are not accepted by science, even not by all Muslim scientists - this may be partly because Muhammad also has made the father of Moses, Imran, the father of Mary another place in the Quran. (This last fact is by some Muslims “explained” with that they are two different Imrans. But also this is not accepted by the science, as it is clear that it in both cases it is the same man it is talked about - the founder of “Imran’s house” or Imran’s tribe). Some Muslims say it is an allegory, but it clearly is not told like an allegory – to call stories that turns out to be wrong allegories also is a standard Muslim way of explaining away difficult points when other “explanations” fail. And remember: Both the Quran and Islam strains that the Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is said. Allegories, etc., also have points very easy to see, or are explained (which is not the case here) if Muhammad had intended to make a point of something. A clear mistake according to science. This is even more clear as Hadith tells Mohammad himself was unaware he had made a mistake, and tried unsuccessfully to explain it away when he was corrected by his nearest co-workers

**006 19/30a: “I (baby Jesus*) am indeed a servant of Allah, - - -”. See 3/51.

**007 19/30b: “(Allah has*) given me revelations and made me (the baby Jesus*) a prophet - - -”. Even Islam (f. ex. the learned Ikrimah, quoted by Tabari) accepts the impossibility that a baby is a prophet, but the explaining it away is vague and hypothetical. A very clear mistake. This even more so as there is not one single chance that this wonder had been forgotten in or omitted from NT if it had been true. Actually this is one of the points where many Muslim scholars accept there is a mistake in the Quran.

**008 19/30-33: The baby Jesus is talking and discussing in his cradle. Also this is “borrowed” from apocryphal Child Gospels - in this case as far as we know via “The Arab Child Gospel” - also called “The first Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus Christ” – an apocryphal scripture from 2. century. There is not a single chance that a wonder like this had been omitted from the Bible, as it would have strengthened Jesus’ position quite a lot. This even more so as there are not many tales about Jesus as a child, and this story would have made that part of his life less blank. Once more a fairy tale used like a true story by Allah or Muhammad. Even a book like “The Message of the Quran” is not able to defend this as a true story, but it only offers speculations and presumptions to explain away the impossibility. Also see 19/30b just above.

A very clearly not true story - a clear mistake. We have never met a Muslim explaining why the Quran often took its stories from well known, but made up legends and fairy tales, and then explained the differences from the Bible by insisting that the Bible is faked.

"The Message of the Quran" (A24 – in 2008 edition A23): As baby Jesus impossibly could be a prophet, there has to be other explanations, according to the Muslim scholars. As said: ONE MORE PLACE WHERE MUSLIM SCHOLARS AGREE THAT SOMETHING MUST BE WRONG IN THE QURAN.

*009 19/34: “Such (was) Jesus the son of Mary: (it is) a statement of truth, about which they (vainly) dispute”. We are back to what is the truth in the Quran - with all the mistakes it is a difficult question. What is sure is that Jesus did not say he was a servant of the known polytheistic, foreign god al-Lah/Allah (in that case he had had very few followers and had been killed much earlier), and that he called God/Yahweh “father”. In this case the text refers to verses 30 through 33 (see 19/30a, 19/30b, 19/30-33 just above), which already are shown to be clear mistakes. Another clear mistake.

00b 19/35: “It is not befitting to (the majesty of) Allah that He should beget a son”. We hope it really is the god that is talking here, because if it is Muhammad; how is a human to know what is befitting for a god? - and majesties often have children - many children. F. ex. Ramses II had 67 sons and an unknown number of daughters, and Djingis Khan had so many children that science still can trace his DNA in Asia (source: New Scientist). And if this statement is true, there is the enigma of Jesus’ saying “father” and “my father” about Yahweh (the word “father” is used at least 163 times in the Bible, and the word “son” at least 66 times about the relationship between Yahweh and Jesus – frequently by Jesus himself) – both the Bible and the Quran says Jesus was honest - and science has shown that the Bible is not falsified in spite of Islam's never documented claims. (Also remember: Muhammad calls Yahweh Allah, as he insists it is the same god - something that is possible only if Yahweh/Allah is schizophrenic as there are too many and too grave differences between the two teachings.)

010 19/36: “Verily Allah is my (Muhammad’s*) Lord and your (Muslims’*) Lord - - -“. This is a serious one: Here clearly it is Muhammad himself – Muhammad the man - that is speaking. How is that possible in a book made by a god before the universe was created or may be one which has existed since eternity, and a copy of a revered Mother Book sent down from Heaven by Allah? (There are a few mistakes (?) like this (8?) in the Quran – see 6/114a.)

011 19/58: “- - - Signs - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

012 19/65: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

013 19/67: “We (Allah*) created him (Adam) before out of nothing”. Man was not made out of nothing. Actually man was not created at all, but developed from earlier primates. See also 6/2. (Another small contradiction: In 52/35 and others it is indicated that man was not made from nothing.)

014 19/68: “So, by thy Lord (Allah*), without doubt, We (Allah*) shall gather them together - - -”. With all the mistakes in the Quran there are good reasons for doubts.

00ba 19/71: “Not one of you but will pass over it (the bridge Sirat - to be passed the Last Day*)”. Very similar to Zoroastrian, where the bridge is named Chinavad.

016 19/73: “- - - Clear Signs - - -“. There is not one single clear sign (=proof) for neither Allah nor for Muhammad’s connection to a god in all the Quran – only claims and statements backed by not proved words or by nothing.

017 19/77: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00c 19/88-89: “They say: ‘(Allah) Most Gracious has begotten a son!’ Indeed ye have put forth a thing most monstrous!” See among others 19/35.

00d 19/92: “For it is not consonant with the majesty of (Allah) Most Gracious that He should beget a son”. See among others 19/35.

018 19/93: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

019 19/98: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -“. At best only partly right. See 2/97c and 17/9b above and 91/13 below.

Surah 19: At least 18 mistakes + 5 likely mistakes.

SURAH 20:

001 20/2: “We (Allah*) have not sent down the Quran to thee to be (an occasion) for thy distress - - -“. The main fact is that Allah (if he exists) did not send it down at all – no omniscient god makes that many and that obvious mistakes, etc.

002 20/4a: (The Quran is*) “A revelation from Him (Allah*)”. The unanswered question is: Would an omniscient god send down a book with so many mistakes? - not to mention if he would have it as a not perfect, but all the same deeply respected Mother Book in his perfect Paradise? There is an answer: Either it is wrong that Allah sent it down, or it is wrong that Allah is omniscient - if he exists.

003 20/4b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

004 20/6: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

005 20/47a: “Verily we (Moses and Aaron*) are messengers sent by thy (Ramses II’s*) Lord (Allah*) - - -“. Wrong – Ramses II was a polytheist. Besides: He might have heard about Yahweh (but would not respect the god of slaves very much), but never of Allah.

006 20/47b: “- - - we (Moses and Aaron*) come from thy (Ramses II’s*) Lord (Allah*)!” See 20/47a just above.

007 20/53: ”He Who has made for you the earth like a carpet spread out; - - -“. In the Quran the Earth is flat. May be round like a pancake, but definitely flat - not like a sphere. That is what Muhammad believed, as that is what geography was like at his time - but a god had known it was wrong. (Actually there are 7 Earths according to the Quran (65/12) - one on top of the other according to Hadiths.) See also 2/22 (?), 15/19, 43/10, 71/19, 79/30, 88/20.

008 20/54: “- - - in these (plants and cattle*) are Signs for men endued with understanding.” There are no real signs in the Quran – not for Allah, not for Muhammad’s religion, nor for Muhammad’s connection to a god. The only signs “men endued with understanding” gets from sentences like this in the Quran, is the question: Why did Muhammad have to use invalid proofs and twisted logic, and the conclusion: Muhammad’s use of invalid arguments proves that he had no real arguments/facts – if he had had, he had used them instead. And actually there is one more point: The use of made up claims and statements are the hallmarks of a cheat and a swindler.

009 20/55: “From the (earth) did We (Allah*) create you - - -“. Wrong. Man was not created from earth. See also 6/2.

010 20/69-70: The magicians of the pharaoh all became Muslims when they saw Moses performing areal miracle. All the same the Quran repeats and repeats and repeats that the reason why Muhammad was unable to perform miracles, (included making real prophesies), was that nobody would believe anyhow. This is one if the scenes that make it clear that Muhammad knew he was lying each time he used those excuses and “explanations”.

011 20/71: “- - - I (Pharaoh Ramses II) will have you crucified - - -”. If not our sources are very wrong, Egypt at that time did not crucify people.

*012 20/78: “Then the Pharaoh pursued them with his forces, but the waters completely overwhelmed them and cover them up”. May be the water covered up the troops, but not the Pharaoh - - - Ramses II did not drown, and he did not die until years later according to science. Another thing: They most likely did not cross the Red Sea proper. The original Hebrew Bible in reality uses a name that also has the meaning “Sea of Reeds”. The Sea of Reeds was a big, shallow lake south of the Bitter Seas in the area where you now find the Suez Canal. For Moses to walk past a big lake with his some 2 million Jews (600000 men + women and children according to the Bible) and belongings and animals is one thing. To march down the western side of the Red Sea and plan to cross that sea by boats they did not have, is quite another thing – remember that they did not know that Yahweh would split the sea (and most of them hardly had believed it if they had been told). The fact that the Hebrew name for the sea they crossed (?) – Yam Suph – also means “the Sea of Reeds” is mentioned in footnotes many times in NIV – and in other literature.

013 20/85: “- - - the Samari had led them astray”. But the Jews still had not arrived in Samaria and there existed no Samaritans (actually the name Samaria/Samaritans as far as we can find, was not coined until 722 BC - more than 500 years after the exodus that happened (if it happened) ca. 1235 BC.). Muslims try to “explain” the mistake by saying may be it is meant “shmeer” = stranger, or “shomer” = watchman = samara in Arab - - - but if you cherry-pick from a whole language, it always is possible to find words that are look-alikes – and that the Arab word here is a look-alike, is totally irrelevant, as these Jews of the old hardly spoke Arab. But if the Quran means some other thing than it says here – or is possible to misunderstand - how many other places in the book are there similar or worse/religious mistakes?

014 20/87: “- - - the Samara - - -”. See 20/85 just above.

015 20/95: “- - - O Samari - - -”. See 20/85 above.

016 20/106+107: “He will leave them (mountains/mountain chains that will be removed*) as plains smooth and level. Nothing crooked or curved wilt thou see in their place”. This would be correct on a flat Earth. But as the Earth is curved, there has got to be curved lines at least where the big mountain chains had been removed. Any god had known.

017 20/113: “Thus We (Allah*) sent this (the Quran*) down - - -”. No book with that many mistakes is revered as a Mother Book by an omniscient god, and no omniscient god makes a copy of a book full of mistakes and sends it down as a holy book and the source for a religion dedicated to himself.

018 20/114: “High above all is Allah, the King, the Truth!” Allah as shown in the Quran at best represents partly truth and partly mistakes.

019 20/116: “Prostrate yourself to Adam”. Wrong, as Adam did never exist - man developed from an earlier primate. We debated with some Muslims some time ago about this, and they triumphantly told us we were wrong, for now science had found that there had been an Eve and an Adam. Which is quite true. But what they did not mention, was that this “Eve” lived about 160000 - 200000 years ago in the Rift Valley in East Africa, and represented a so called “bottleneck” - a time when the human race nearly died out - and only Eve had girl children, or the DNA of the other girl children died out later (this result is from tests of mitochondria DNA - mDNA - and mDNA only tells about the female side of the story, as mitochondria only goes from parents to child via the egg cell = from the mother – carrying only the feminine DNA). Then around 60000+ (64000?) years ago, something happened to Homo Sapiens (may be in the area south of the Caspian Sea). He still was Homo sapiens, but something – science does not know what - happened that started him on the road to technical and other developments. And there was another bottleneck - something similar to what happened to the “archaeological Eve” - happened once more. But this time it is readable in the Y chromosome, which only men – here named Adam - have, and consequently only shows the masculine side. This shows that all men living today, has a common “father” (by archaeologists not by coincidence named “the archaeological Adam” or just “Adam”) - a single man that lived 140000 (some say 100000) years later than Eve. That archaeologists named them Adam and Eve, in a way is quite logical. But they have nothing to do with the Adam and Eve in the Bible or with “Adam and his wife” in the Quran - how could they f. ex. be man and wife when they lived 100000 - 140000 years apart, and one in Africa, the other may be in Asia? Not to mention essential facts like this when they talk of the archaeological Adam and Eve and use this as a religious proof for creation, we find dishonest. And at least the scholars in Islam – the ones that teach their students and congregations and are interviewed and write and speak in the media – do know this. It is a well known scientific fact among learned people.

020 20/126: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

021 20/127: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

022 20/128: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

023 20/133: “- - - Clear Signs - - -”. There is not one single clear sign (= proof) anywhere in the Quran neither for Allah nor for Muhammad’s connection to a god. Without exception all the “signs”, “clear signs”, and “proofs” only are claims or statements resting only on air and/or twisted logic or on other not proved claims. (There may be one exception; arguments taken from the Bible – but they in case tell about Yahweh, not about Allah. Islam likes to claim that they are one and the same god, but the teachings – especially as you find them in NT (the new covenant Muslims never mention – cfr. the last Easter of Jesus, f. ex. Luke 22/20) – fundamentally are so different, that it is impossible that the two can be one and the same, not unless the god at least is schizophrenic.)

SURAH 20: At least 23 mistakes,

Subtotal here: 795 mistakes + 112 likely mistakes.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 4, Section 4 (= II-1-4-4)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. THE "COMPLETE" LIST - THE "ENCYCLOPAEDIA" - BASED ON SURAH AND VERSE NUMBER

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

SOME CLEAR FACT MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN SURAHS 21 THROUGH 30 IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear mistakes. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely mistake.

SURAH 21:

001 21/4: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

00a 21/6: “- - - not one of the populations which We (Allah) destroyed - - -“. Muhammad claimed that the scattered ruins and ruin villages and towns were destroyed by Allah because its inhabitants have sinned. In an arid, hard and warlike area this hardly is the full truth – may be no truth at all.

002 21/10: “We (Allah*) have revealed for you (O men!) a book (the Quran*) - - -“. Once more: Has an omniscient god revealed a book with so many mistakes? - or has Muhammad made all the mistakes when telling what Allah told him? In plain words: No. (- or has Muhammad or some accomplice made up all of it from fantasy and knowledge that was often wrong?).

003 21/16: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

004 21/18: “- - - nay, We (Allah) hurl the Truth against falsehood, and it knocks out its brain, and behold, falsehood doth perish!” Does the same happen if one hurls the Quran with all its mistakes? With all its mistakes, etc., the Quran at best is partly the truth.

005 21/19: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

006 21/20: “They (all creatures in the heavens and the Earth) celebrate His (Allah’s*) praises night and day - -“. Islam will have to prove that all animals, birds, fishes, insects, worms, etc., etc. really does this – and all non-Muslim humans – before one can believe them and the Quran on this point.

007 21/22a: “- - - heavens - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

008 21/22b: “If there were, in the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth, other gods besides Allah, there would have been confusion in both!” The logic is wrong. There exist both hierarchic and parallel (one boss for each department or aspect) systems for management. One can say there could be confusion, but not that there would be. The proof is invalid.

009 21/24: “But most of them know not the Truth - - -“. Well, the “truth” as given in the Quran, at the very best is only partly true - too many mistakes.

00b 21/26: “And they say ‘(Allah) Most Glorious has begotten offspring’. Glory to Him! They are (but) servants raised to honour“. We do not know what is the truth about al-Lat, al-Uzza and Manat - the daughters of al-Lah/Allah in the old Arab religion. But Jesus at least told many times that Yahweh was his father.

**010 21/30a: “Do not the Unbelievers see that the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth were joined together (as one unit of Creation), before We (Allah*) clove them asunder?” Heaven is an optical illusion - a fact that is well known today, but Muhammad did not know it - and an illusion cannot be “cloven asunder” from a material thing. We also have met Muslims saying that the theory of the Big Bang proves the Quran. But the Big Bang “clove something asunder” 13.7 billion years ago, whereas our sun (Helios or Sun*) is a 3. generation star, and it and the planets included Earth are just 4.57 billion years old. The differences in age, and far more the fact that our sun is 3. generation, (which means that the stuff the earth - and the sun – is made from, has been through two cycles of being fluid and mixed parts of former suns that became super novas (exploding stars) and were spread over large parts of cosmos where it mixed with remnants of other exploded super novas, and at last coalesce to make a new sun and planets) makes the Big Bang totally irrelevant in this connection – for all the previous 9 billion years the Earth and the sun and the planets just were scattered atoms, molecules and fragments in a celestial “mixer” – not an Earth, etc. that could be identified and could “be joined together” or “clown asunder”. At least the professors at Al-Ahzar University know this, and it is dishonesty to try to cheat people by using this “argument” in f. ex. “The Message of the Quran” - a book pretending to give, as seen from the Muslim point of view, correct information on and explanations of the Quran, certified by one of the highest authorities on the Quran in the Muslim world, the above-mentioned university. (Beware that the latest edition in English of that book is made more conservatively “correct” than former ones).

011 21/30b: “- - - heavens - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

012 21/30c: “We (Allah*) made from water every living thing.” Wrong - the living things were not made from water. Some Muslims say modern science proves the Quran here, as science tells life started in water. **But life only started in water, it was not made from water - there is a huge distinction there. The really bad thing here, is that this lie also is told by well educated Muslims – f. ex. it is thoroughly explained in comment 38 to 21/30 in “The Meaning of the Quran” – Muslims that have so much education and knowledge that they

know the difference between to be “made in” water and be “made from” water very well. How reliable are then other things they claim? See also 6/2. We may add that this is the only place in the Quran where – possibly – also the “creation” of plants are included, this in spite of that plants are the basis for all life.

013 21/31a: “And We (Allah*) have set on the earth mountains - - -”. The mountains are not “set on” the Earth - they have without exception “grown up”, no matter whether they are a result of tectonic or volcanic activity. Any god knew this, but Muhammad not. Does this indicate who the real composer of the Quran is?

014 21/31b: “And We (Allah) have set on the earth mountains standing firm, lest it should shake with them - - -”. Some experts on Islam and the Quran say this refers to that the disk that is the Earth (in the Quran the Earth is flat, but perhaps a round disk) might shake and become unstable, and because of this may slip away or tip around and drop everything - included humanity - off the Earth.

We refer to some Muslim scholars: Jalalan, (p. 437), Baydawi (p. 686), Tabari (p.589), and Zamakhshari (part 4, p. 381): They all tell that “if it was not for these unshakeable (!!*) mountains, the earth would slip away.”(!!!*)

****And Jalalan, Baydawi, and Zamakhshari all say that “- - He (Allah*) placed unshakeable mountains (not more so then that they shake during earthquakes*) on Earth lest it tilts with people.” This obviously is what the Quran really meant, and this even more obviously was the meaning Muhammad told his followers, as it is what the learned Muslim scholars were sure was the truth.

But this “truth” is so ridiculous, that let us go on to the alternative explanation – the one that is in vogue in Islam now that they know the original “truth” was wrong: That the mountains hinder earth-quakes.

That is not correct. Well, it is so far from the truth, that it is not even wrong - it is sometimes the opposite of the truth:

1. According to f. ex. heavyweights like “New Scientist” and “Nature” mountains sometimes can CAUSE earthquakes because of their considerable weight and pressure on the underground. Yes, even variations in the amount of water (= weight) in big mountain lakes or glaciers sometimes causes minor and medium earthquakes. The same goes for heavy snow-falls in the mountains sometimes – snow in the mountains and rain lower down is a normal phenomenon = imbalance in weight. (There f. ex. are more earthquakes in the north in winter than in summer).
2. *It is well known today that mountains are made because of tectonic activity (that always causes earthquakes - though sometimes too feeble for human so feel) or volcanic activity

that often causes earthquakes. That means that mountains in reality are made by earthquakes (or actually by the same mechanisms that make most earthquakes), it does not hinder such quakes. Any god had known this, but Muhammad not - this is new knowledge to humans. Then who composed the Quran?

015 21/31c: “- - - and We (Allah) have made therein (in the mountains) broad highways (between the mountains) - - -”. We honestly did not know Allah - or any other god - built highways. And here we could make a cheap joke (tell your congress-men (or similar) to ask Allah build your roads, instead of spending all that tax money on it). But we refrain from it.

Well, it would be possible for Muhammad to say - true or not true - that Allah showed the first travellers where to travel. But in no case Allah built the roads - or highways. Unless Islam really proves he did - but Islam never proves, they only tell or state or claim, even though they demand proofs from everyone else. Or they say it is said so in the Quran, and that proves it. But a book with that many mistakes has little value as a proof - and besides it is logically impossible to use the Quran to prove the Quran, as circular proofs are without value.

016 21/32a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

017 21/32b: “And We (Allah*) have made the heavens (plural and wrong*) as a canopy - - -”. Wrong. The heaven as we see it, is not made as a canopy - it is not even material. The heaven as we see it, is just an illusion made from bending of light by day, and from our inability to see the correct 3 dimensions at those distances by night.

**018 21/32c: “And We (Allah*) have made the heavens (plural and wrong*) as a canopy well guarded - - -”. Muhammad was unable to see the difference between stars and shooting stars. In the Quran it is told that the shooting stars (mistaken for being ordinary stars) are “arrows” used to chase away bad spirits or jinns (beings “borrowed” from old Arab folklore and unknown to any other “prophet” than Muhammad) wanting to spy on Heaven. Any child today knows the difference between a real star and a shooting star, and also what would happen on and to the Earth if shooting stars were real stars. Even a baby dwarf god had known this - but Muhammad not, as it is modern knowledge. The pertinent or impertinent question is: Who then composed the Quran?

019 21/32d: “- - - Signs - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 and 20/54 above.

Actually: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.

**020 21/33: “- - - all (the celestial bodies) swim along, each in its rounded course.” Wrong. There only is one celestial body that has a rounded course, and then only compared to Earth: The moon – Luna. And if you compare it to the sun, its course is not really rounded any more,

but a kind of wavy, and even more so if you compare it to the galaxy. The sun also has no rounded course, even if you compare it to the galaxy – it follows a kind of a bent sinus curve above and below the equator of the galaxy. The same go for very many of the stars we see – no circle, but bent sinus. Earth's course of course follows, but is more complicated because of its circling the sun at the same time. And our galaxy – together with the rest of the Local Group of galaxies (a few dozen galaxies) and many others – are on our somewhat linear way towards something called “the Great Attractor” which nobody knows what is - - - while it at the same time is walzing around in our Local Group, which is wandering in and part of a larger group of a thousand or more galaxies. You do not find round courses in space, unless you cherry-pick part movements – and all courses we can see without telescopes have a somewhat linear main direction because of this movement in the direction of “The Great Attractor”, but irregular because of local circling or similar.

021 21/37: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

022 21/45: “I (Muhammad*) do but warn you (people*) according to revelations (the Quran*).” The Quran may be a revelation from Muhammad or from other humans or from some invisible powers, **but not from an omniscient god – no omniscient god makes mistakes, and in the Quran there may be unbelievable 3000 or more places with some kind of mistake (included repetitions), if you count absolutely everything and all details. More than 5 for each and every page in our book!!** Many of the mistakes just are details – but a god does not make even details wrong. And a number of the errors definitely are more than details.

023 21/50a: “And this (the Quran*) is a blessed message - - -”. A message with that many mistakes, invalid points and wrong proofs, is not blessed.

024 21/50b: “And this (the Quran*) is a blessed message which We (Allah*) have sent down”. How many ways is it possible to ask the question: **Can it be true that an omniscient god has sent down a book with such a number of mistaken facts, contradictions and other wrongs** - f. ex. linguistic and perhaps religious mistakes? Not to mention: How likely is it that a book of such a miserable quality, at least concerning wrong facts and invalid proofs, and as literature, can have a prominent place as the revered Mother Book in the home of an omniscient and omnipotent god? It simply is impossible.

00c 21/50c: “- - - will ye (people) then reject it (the Quran*)?” Of course we will reject it. When people with some intelligence and education are face to face with a book with lots and lots of mistakes, contradictions, twisted arguments and as twisted logic, with points where it is clear the narrator knew he was lying – and everything told from one single narrator with a most questionable ethic and morality, but with a strong liking for women and power, and religion his main platform for power, **it is very naïve even to ask that question. No intelligent, educated, not brain washed person really has another choice than to reject it if no real proofs are produced. (And Islam has been unable to produce one single real proof for Allah or for Muhammad’s claimed connection to a god – any god – and hence for Islam being true, in 1400 years - - - why do you think Muhammad and Islam glorify and demand blind belief?)**

025 21/56a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

026 21/56b: “- - - He (Allah*) Who created them (man*) (from nothing).” Wrong. Man was not created from nothing. He was not even created. See 6/2.

027 21/56c: “- - - He (Allah) Who created them (man*) (from nothing): and I (Abraham) am a witness to this (truth)”. **This really is an unintended joke. It is told that Allah did something that is not true - and Abraham witnesses that it is the truth,** and this even though he lived millions of years later! Yes, he even lived later than the claimed Adam, and still was a witness to Adam's creation!! Some proof for Allah!! Is it possible that Allah himself has sent down this? But it does tell some things about proofs in the Quran – and from Muslims. Also see 6/2.

028 21/76: “We (Allah*) - - - delivered him (Noah*) and his family from great distress (the big flood*)”. Wrong: The Quran is very clear on that one of his sons (he just had 3 - Shem, Ham and Japheth – according to the Bible (1. Mos. 9/18)) drowned in the flood. Mistake and solid contradiction. Similar claim in 37/76.

029 21/77: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

030 21/80: “It was We (Allah*) Who thought him (King David*) the making of coats of mail”. But coats of mail and similar are older than ca. 950 - 1000 BC – the time of David.

031 21/82: “And of the evil ones (jinns*) it was some who (worked for him = Solomon*) - - - “. Islam will have to bring strong proofs for this. There were fairy tales like this that Muhammad could “borrow” stories from, but never any proved case of any jinn really working for anyone. It also in no case had been omitted from the Bible if it had been true - it had glorified Solomon far too much to be forgotten.

032 21/91: “- - - Sign - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah – or Muhammad. See 2/39 and 20/54 above.

00d 21/96a: “- - - Gog and Magog (people) - - -”. The names are from the Bible. But in the Bible they are a king (Gog) and his country (Magog), whereas in the Quran they are two bad peoples. Which book is most reliable?

*033 21/96b: “Until the Gog and Magog (people) are let through (their barrier), and they swiftly swarm from every hill”. Gog and Magog according to the Quran (surah 18) were two groups of people (tribes?) imprisoned in a valley behind a tall, strong barrier made from iron blocks erected by Dhu'l Quarnayn/Alexander the Great. But there is nowhere on Earth – let alone in the area Alexander travelled – a valley big enough to produce food for two large tribes of people (“swarm from every hill” = large tribes), that is impossible to get out from, even if the main valley and the main way out is blocked. Besides the whole storey is nonsense: Even if they could not get through or over such a barrier, given time it always would be possible to dig under it. Even if it had been erected on solid rock, around 330 BC when the Quran pretends this happened (Alexander died 323 BC), people knew how to make short tunnels even through a rock if they really wanted to, f. ex. by means of fire + water. And there would always be paths across the mountains from a big valley. Besides: Where is the valley? Today every inch of the globe is mapped, **and there is no walled in valley anywhere.** Not in the east where Alexander travelled, and nowhere else. (And Gog and Magog are not to be released until shortly before the Day of Doom, according to the Quran, so they should still be in the valley).

034 21/104a: “The day We (Allah*) roll up the heavens (plural and wrong - like some 180+ other places in the Quran where the word is used separately, and at least 199 places all in all) like a scroll - - -”. It is not possible to roll up an optical illusion. And at least the observable Universe is a sphere – diameter 27.4 billion light-years – and how to roll up a sphere? (Of course Islam can say the Universe is (part of) a “brane” (a thick “sheet” of stars some trillion light-years wide) – another contradiction to the Quran – but then they first will have to prove that “branes” exists, as they just are a scientific or science fiction speculations).

035 21/104b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

00e 21/108: “- - - therefore bow to His (Allah’s*) Will (in Islam).” Is that an intelligent thing to do for intelligent, learned people who know how much is wrong in the Quran, and know its very doubtful background? It needs strong proofs to prove it is a real religion with a real god – and if it is not: **What then if there exists a real religion somewhere, and you are forbidden (f. ex. by Islam) to search for it?** – it will be a rude awakening on The Last Day (if it exists).

036 21/109: “- - - in truth - - -”. With that many mistakes and twisted claims, the Quran at best is partly true.

00f 21/112: “- - - against the blasphemies you utter!” Is it blasphemy to doubt what is told about Allah, when there are weighty reasons for doubt? (- all the mistakes etc. in the Quran).

Surah 21: At least 36 mistakes + 6 likely mistakes.

SURAH 22:

001 22/5a: “We (Allah*) created you out of dust - - -”. Wrong. Man was not created out of dust. See 6/2.

002 22/5b: “- - - then (Allah created you) out of sperm”. Wrong. Human beings are not created out of sperm, even though it is obvious that Muhammad believed so - the Quran indicates that sperm is planted in a woman and grows. Human beings in reality are made from 1 sperm cell + 1 egg cell, but it is likely Muhammad did not know this - such an egg cell is too small to be seen in all the blood, intestines, and gore in an opened carcass without magnification. His belief also corresponds to an old Greek theory. See also 6/2.

003 22/6: “- - - Allah is the Reality - - -”. **Not unless Islam brings real proofs. It is too naïve to blindly believe in a religion only based on a book with lots of mistakes, contradictions, twisted facts and invalid logic – told by a man with a highly suspect moral, but a strong liking for women and power and with his religion as his platform of power.**

004 22/7: “- - - there can be no doubt about it - - -”. With all the mistakes in the Quran, there is every reason for doubt about quite a lot of things.

005 22/8: “- - - (the Quran is*) a book of Enlightenment - - -”. With all its mistakes it is not. Worse: **With all those mistakes you never know what is true and what not.**

006 22/16: “- - - Clear Signs - - -“. There are no clear signs (= proofs) neither for Allah, nor for Muhammad’s connection to him in all the Quran. See 2/99.

007 22/18a: “Seest thou not that to Allah bow down in worship all things that are in the heavens (plural and wrong) an on earth - - -?” No, we do not see that. **This just is another of the mistakes and cheap words/claims – and one more sample of animism - in the Quran, unless Islam really proves that it is reality.**

008 22/18b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

009 22/23: “- - - bracelets of gold and pearls - - -.” In f. ex.76/21 they are from silver.

010 22/26: “Behold, We (Allah) gave the site, to Abraham, of the (sacred) House (Kabah*) - - -”. Abraham never visited Mecca. See 2/127. It is a made up story to give Muhammad’s teaching more credence, and make it more interesting among Arabs.

**00a 22/29: “- - - the rites (during Hajj in Mecca*) prescribed for them (Muslims*) - - -“. The rites in Mecca during Hajj are all taken over from the pagan/heathen times in Arabia – and in addition they are ever so childish and primitive; run 7 times back and forth between 2 small hills, walk 7 times around a building, throw some stones at a mark impersonating the devil, and kill one or more helpless animals for sacrifice, those are the main acts.

1. Who prescribed old pagan rites to be the only right ones for the presumed only, real god?
2. Who prescribed so shallow and childish rites for a presumed unfathomable, “deep” god?
3. Who prescribed that neither any rites from anywhere else in the world nor something new and soul-sustaining from Allah ought to be used in a presumed world religion – only the old, shallow pagan rites of the heathen old Arabia?

00b 22/33: “- - - their place of sacrifice is near the Ancient House (Kabah*).” Wrong. The place for sacrifice is in Mina, kilometres from the Kabah.

011 22/34: “To every people did We (Allah*) appoint rites (of sacrifice) - - -.” Just one problem: The Christians have not been given/ordered any kind of sacrifices – or rites for such.”

00c 22/37: “It is not their (the sacrificial animals’) meat nor their blood, that reaches Allah: it is your piety that reaches Him - - -“. Does an omniscient god have to see you killing helpless animals to see that you are a pious believer? – not if he really is omniscient. **If Allah really is omniscient and if the only purpose with sacrificing animals is to prove your piety, then the sacrifice in reality is without meaning, as an omniscient god all the time knows very well whether you are a pious believer or not.** Actually the Quran many places makes it absolutely clear that Allah knows also the innermost corners of even the deepest parts of your soul. To what avail and what meaning and what logic is a “test” or a “proof” of your piety, if Allah already knows the answer on beforehand? - and by the way: The same goes for testing

your piety in war and battle and kill and be killed, something that even was meaningless if Allah were a good god - not to mention if he knows the answer already.

*012 22/40a: “Did not Allah check one set of people by means of another, there surely would have been pulled down monasteries, churches, synagogues, and mosques - - -.” **Wrong – this is far from the only way an omniscient and omnipotent god could manage the world.**

One alternative is f. ex. to change man a little and teach him how to live in peace. Only members of a culture and religion of war and looting and suppressing do not immediately see this. This point just is an artificial alibi for war and conquest – and suppression and looting.

*013 22/40b: “- - - monasteries, churches, synagogues, - - -, in which the name of Allah is commemorated - - -“. The name of Allah is not commemorated there – on the contrary the name of Yahweh (or simply God) is what one commemorates there. **Muslims will claim that it is the same god – as usual without proving anything** - but the teachings are fundamentally so different, that that is impossible that they are the same unless the god is mentally seriously ill. Also they will claim that the reason for the differences in the teachings are that the Bible is wilfully falsified – something science long since has proved for one thing is not true (even the oldest scriptures are like today, except for minor mistakes normal when manuscripts are copied by hand), and for another was physically impossible (not possible to make the same falsifications in all the thousands of manuscripts spread over thousands of kilometres and owned by thousands of different owners – that often even disagreed (even strongly sometimes) on many topics). **How would you f. ex. make Jews and Christians agree on what and how to falsify in the OT?** But it was the only way out and the only way Muhammad could save his religion and his platform of power when he finally understood how much was different between his teachings and the Bible.

00d 22/47: “Verily, a Day in the sight of thy (humans’*) Lord (Allah*), is like a thousand years of your reckoning”. Well, in 70/4 it is like 50000 years. Another contradiction that "does not exist in the Quran" and thus “proves” that the book is from Allah.

013 22/51: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -“. There is not one single sign clearly from Allah in all the Quran. With the possible exception of some taken from the Bible, there is not even one single sign that is proven made by a god – any god. (And the ones from the Bible in case proves Yahweh, not Allah – two very different gods (especially as we meet Yahweh in the NT and the new covenant there – which Muslims never mention) if not Islam really proves the opposite. But Islam never proves anything fundamental).

014 22/52a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

015 22/52b: “- - - Allah is full of knowledge and wisdom - - -.” Not if the Quran is representative for his knowledge and wisdom – Islam will have to produce real and reliable proofs if they insist that Allah has much knowledge and wisdom.

016 22/53: “- - - the wrongdoers are in schism far (from the Truth)”. At very best they are far from "bits and pieces of truth", at least as the truth is pretended to be in the Quran, as that book at best contains bits and pieces of what is true.

017 22/54a: “- - - (the Quran) is the Truth - - -“. With that many mistakes, twisted arguments, etc., it at best is partly the truth only.

018 22/54b: “- - - (the Quran) is the Truth from thy Lord (Allah*) - - -.” **Wrong. No omniscient god ever made, or revered, or forwarded, or built his religion on a book with that many mistakes, contradictions, and doubtful, unproven claims.** A devil in disguise or a man craving for a platform of power might do so, but not an omniscient and omnipotent god.

019 22/54c: “- - - Allah (the Quran*) is the Guide of those who believe, to the Straight Way.” Wrong – a book with that many mistakes, twisted facts/logic, etc. is not representing a straight way, at least not to Paradise.

020 22/55: “Those who reject Faith (Islam*) will not cease to be in doubt concerning (Revelation)”. Perhaps correct - may be there will be a revelation made by some god (perhaps by Yahweh) some time. But **we are in no doubt at all that there are good reasons for serious doubts about the Quran’s claims, statements and descriptions - why should the claims and statements we cannot check be more reliable than the ones we can,** and among which we find far too many to be wanting or wrong?

021 22/57: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -.” There is not one single sign in all the Quran clearly made by Allah. Only (unproved) claims.

00e 22/62: “- - - Allah - He is reality - - -”. Well, that is one of the big points that neither the Quran nor Hadith nor Islam’s learned men have been able to give the slightest proof for. Even some Muslim intellectuals admit so. This in spite of all the “signs” and “proofs” that say so in the Quran - **they have one thing in common: NOT ONE OF THEM GIVES ONE SINGLE VALID PROOF OF ALLAH - they all are claims or statements built on air or on not proved “facts” or other claims or statements that are not proved. A fact that “smells”. He may simply be something made up in the imagination of a Muhammad pretending Allah to be an avatar of - or identical to - Yahweh. The last is not possible, as the essences of the two teachings are too different, but Muhammad could pretend so. And: **Cheating is the hallmark of cheaters.**

022 22/64: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

00f 22/65: Has Muhammad Ali made an “al-Taqiyya” (lawful lie) here? He says that Allah “withholds the sky (rain) from falling on the earth”. But according to “The Message of the Quran” the Arab text says that Allah withholds the heaven from falling down on Earth. Quite a scientific mistake in case. And also a dishonesty from Yusuf Ali in case.

023 22/67: “- - - thou (Muslims*) art assuredly on the Right Way”. **That only is true if the Quran is correct - - - and the Quran contains lots of mistakes, twisted arguments, twisted logic, some outright lies, etc. (all of which are hallmarks for cheats, deceivers and swindlers** – persons normally looking for money, women and/or power in dishonest ways. Muhammad liked women and power – and money for “gifts” and bribes to possible followers).

024 22/72a: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Clear Signs - - -”. Wrong. See 2/99.

025 22/72b: “- - - these Signs - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 and 20/54.

026 22/78: “It is He (Allah) Who has named you Muslims, both before and in this (Revelation) - - -“. Wrong. The name was never used before – there is no kind of trace of it anywhere. This is one of the cases where Islam will have to prove their claim.

Surah 22: At least 26 mistakes + 6 likely mistakes.

SURAH 23:

001 23/12: “Man We (Allah*) did create from a quintessence (of clay)”. We have never understood what a quintessence of clay is, but it is absolutely sure it is wrong: For one thing man was not created - according to science he developed from earlier primates. For another thing - even if one had accepted Islam’s statement that man is created, Adam in no way could have been created in many ways - see 6/2. And for a third thing: Man is not created from only one or a few minerals like in clay.

002 23/13: “Then We (Allah’) placed him (the future baby*) as (a drop of) sperm in a place of rest - - -“. **Wrong. Muhammad believed sperm was a kind of seed that could grow to become a human being (and if the man climaxed first, it became a boy, whereas if the woman climaxed first it became a girl,** according to him in Hadiths). The reality is that the sperm is not planted in a woman, but unifies with an egg cell and the resulting zygote then starts growing.

003 23/14a: “Then We (Allah) made the sperm into a clot of congealed blood - - -“. Wrong. And doubly wrong:

1. The sperm is not made into a clot of congealed blood.
2. Sperm (1 cell from it) combines with an egg cell and becomes a zygote.

Muhammad did not know better, as this was what one believed in Arabia at his time - without a microscope it is impossible to see exactly what happens. But a god had known. There is a saying that “the taste is the proof of the cake”. **Muhammad and the Quran and Islam and Muslims had and have very busy times to find “explanations” - some of them rather unlikely - to “explain” why Allah/Muhammad did not produce one single real proof for that a supernatural being was involved,** even though many friends and as many foes asked sincerely for it. Yes, Allah did not even have to make the slightest miracle to prove his existence. All he had to do was to tell the truth in all these cases that now are proved to be wrong - like in this case. If Allah really did exist, and if he really was/is omniscient - why then did he make up so many wrong answers? - when all he had to do was to tell the truth - like the reality of how a foetus is made - and little by little there would be the strongest of proofs for his existence and for that Muhammad spoke the truth. He never did. Actually in all the Quran there is not one single scientific “fact” that is not in accordance with what one believed to be the truth in Arabia at that time (and much of it actually Greek or Persian “knowledge”).

Like it is now, all these facts are incredibly strong proofs for that there was no omniscient god involved in creating the Quran - and what then about Islam? - is it a made up, false religion? Not to mention: What will then in case happen in a possible next life to all humans - Muslims - who have had their chances to look for a real religion (if such one exists) blocked by Islam?

004 23/14b: “- - - then We (Allah) made a (foetus) lump; We made of that lump bones and clothed the bones with flesh”. Wrong - 100% wrong: Flesh is made first, and then bones develop inside the flesh of the foetus. It must be remarked that Muhammad’s tale about how a baby is made, is in accordance with old Greek medical beliefs – f. ex. the famous doctor Galen and Aristotle – which was known in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad. Any god had known better. Then who made the Quran?

005 23/17: “And We (Allah*) have made, above you, seven tracts (= seven heavens*) - - -”. Wrong. There are no seven (material) heavens. See 2/29.

00a 23/19: “Also a tree springing out of Mount Sinai, which produces oil, and relish for those who use it for food”. Muslim scholars agree on that here it is meant olive trees. But there have been questions – the area around Mt. Sinai is quite dry, and absolutely not known for olive trees.

006 23/27: (Said by Allah to Noah)”- - - take thou aboard pairs of every species, male and female - - -”. Impossible. There simply are too many animals + necessary food for any ark or boat or ship to take two of each. Even a modern super tanker fixed up for such a job, had been far too small - and the ark was a wooden boat. See 11/40. And who did the feeding, watering and cleaning for all these animals? And who gathered them and gathered the food for all of them - and how was the food stored so as not to spoil?

Muslims try to tell that most likely Allah meant only the domesticated animals. But that is not what the Quran says. And the Quran is to be understood by the word, if nothing else is said - see 3/7. Besides: Islam tells the Ark stranded on a 2089 m high mountain in Syria (Mt. Al-Jedi), and in that case there had to be so much water on Earth that all animals had drowned if they were not in the ark.

007 23/30: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

008 23/39: “(The prophet (Muhammad)) said - - -”. But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet as he did not have the gift of prophesying. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

009 23/45: “- - - Our Signs - - -“. There are no signs in the Quran that clearly come from Allah – see 2/99.

010 23/48: “- - - and they (Pharaoh and his chiefs*) became the ones who were destroyed (drowned*)”. But at least the pharaoh (Ramses II) was not destroyed/drowned. Ramses II did not die by drowning. And we know he died only some years after the (possible) exodus.

011 23/49: “And We (Allah*) gave Moses the Book - - -“. Wrong. Moses never got something even remotely similar to the Quran or the Bible. What he got according to both the Bible and the Quran, was the 10 Commandments. That was all he physically got according to the Bible. But he was told the Laws – later part of the Book of Moses – and wrote them down later himself. Science tells that what is called The Book of Moses is several centuries younger.

012 23/58: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

013 23/66: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

014 23/70a: “Nay, he (Muhammad*) has brought them the Truth (the Quran*) - - -“. At very best bits and pieces of what Muhammad brought may be true - see all the mistakes.

015 23/70b: “- - - but most of them (the non-Muslims) hate the truth”. Wrong. It seems that the ones really seeking the truth, mostly are non-Muslims. **Muslims, and not to mention many of their religious leaders, seem to be seeking - or inciting to and glorifying - blind belief in spite of real knowledge;** they seem to be the ones hating the truth in all cases where the truth is not what their religion says.

I myself started to study the Quran some years ago to find the truth concerning Islam. The main thing I have found till now, is that **real truths show that there are so many mistakes in the Quran, not to mention contradictions, invalid “signs” and “proofs” - hallmarks of deceivers and cheats - etc., that it impossibly can come from an omniscient god.** And so many mistakes that it is impossible to trust what is said in the book, unless one has solid extra proofs, or at least confirmation from other, reliable sources.

And also that **Muhammad in at least some cases has had to know he was not saying the truth** - on a few points what he says, contradicts the fact that he was a wise man understanding people. He simply was lying. But then one of his slogans was: “War is deceit”, and he also told that the result counted more than even keeping one’s oath sworn by Allah. Sorry.

016 23/71a: “If the Truth (as told in the Quran*) had been - - -“. At most bits and pieces of the Quran are true. See all the mistakes.

017 23/71b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

018 23/85: “They (non-Muslims*) will say, ‘To Allah’”. Wrong. If they name the name of a god, they will say the name of their own god (in old Arabia that might have been the polytheistic al-Lah).

*019 23/86: “Who is the Lord of the seven heavens - - -?” Wrong. There are no 7 heavens (and remember: They had to be material ones, because according to the Quran, the stars are fastened to the lowest heaven, and you can fasten nothing to something non-material). See 2/29.

020 23/87: “They will say, ‘(They belong) to Allah’”. Wrong. See 23/85 above.

021 23/89: “They will say, ‘(It belongs) to Allah’”. Wrong. See 23/85 above.

022 23/90: “We (Allah*) have sent them (non-Muslims*) the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. Either Allah is not omniscient or someone else has made the Quran – it at best is partly true only.

023 23/90: “We (Allah*) have sent them the Truth - - -”. At best bits and pieces of what is sent (= the Quran) are true. Too many mistakes.

00b 23/91: “No son did Allah beget - - -”. Perhaps not. But if Islam still says that Allah = Yahweh, it is sure that the Bible says that Jesus called Yahweh his father many times and to MANY listeners. And we have found far fewer mistakes in the Bible - and especially in NT - than we have found in the Quran, even though we read also the Bible with critical eyes. And: Also the Quran tells Jesus was honest. And finally: Science has clearly shown that the Bible is not falsified - in spite of Islam's never documented claim.

024 23/105: “- - - My (Allah’s*) Signs - - -”. There is not one single sign in all the Quran clearly made by Allah – only claims that any priest in any religion can make.

025 23/116: “Therefore exalted be Allah, the King, the Reality - - -”. **If there is one thing that is not proved in Islam, it is the reality of Allah. Everything in the religion rests only on blind belief in a tale told by a man with very dubious moral, but a strong wish for power** – a man using his religion as his platform of power (like many others). And a self proclaimed prophet unable to make prophesies (= a stolen or “borrowed” title).

Surah 23: At least 25 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 24:

001 24/1a: “A surah which We (Allah*) have sent down - - -”. Also this surah contains mistakes, and is consequently not sent down by an omniscient god.

002 24/1b: “- - - Clear Signs - - -”. There are no clear signs for Allah anywhere in the Quran – the “signs” either contain twisted facts or twisted logic or both or rest on nothing that is proved, and are thus without logical value. See 2/99.

003 24/18: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

004 24/25: “- - - Allah (= the Quran*) is the (very) Truth - - -”. With all the mistakes, etc. the Quran (Allah’s words) at best is partly true.

005 24/34: “- - - verses making things clear - - -”. A book with this many mistakes does not make many things clear. At least not correctly.

006 24/35: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

007 24/41a: “- - - it is Allah Whose praise all beings in the heavens (plural and wrong) and on earth do celebrate - - -”. This has not been documented or clearly shown anywhere or any time.

008 24/41b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

009 24/42: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

010 24/44: “It is Allah Who alternates the Night and the Day - - -”. It is nature that alternates night and day – but words are cheap, and any religion can tell it is their god(s) that do it. Islam will have to produce proofs for that it really is Allah that makes the Earth spin around in the light from the sun – the reason for the alternation. But Islam rarely proves anything – only claims. And Islam also has Al-Taqiyya - the lawful lie - no other of the big religions have it.

*011 24/45: “And Allah has created every animal from water”. Simply and obviously wrong. See 6/2. Some Muslims try to say that science has proved this verse (+ two others - 21/30 and 24/54) as science has shown that life started in water. **But there is an enormous difference between “from” water and “in” water.** No place in the Quran there is even a whisper about that life was created in water, only from. We also mention that in the Quran nothing is said about how the plants were created, even though the plants are the basis for all life on Earth. Perhaps from water like the animals? Wrong simply.

012 24/46: “We (Allah*) have indeed sent down signs that makes things manifest - - -”. What is claimed sent down, is the Quran, and a book with so many mistakes, etc., makes nothing manifest – except perhaps scepticism to the religion and to Muhammad.

00a 24/58: “- - - doff your clothes for the noonday heat - - -”. The Quran is said to be a copy of the Mother Book in Heaven, and that such copies have been sent to the other prophets for Allah throughout the world and times – 124000 or more of them, according to Hadith, and to all people - also according to the Quran. Would a prophet among the Inuits or the Samoyeds in the cold north even understand this? And one among the old aborigines in Australia or Indians in South America before 1492 – what would he understand from his copy of the Mother Book, speaking about cows and sheep and camels and Arab customs and rules – and about Muhammad and his wives and family quarrels? If the Mother Book was meant for the entire world, like Islam claims, why does it only concentrate on Arabia and mainly one prophet far into the future for most of the really old prophets Islam tells about? Something is wrong here. (Mind you: We talk about the claimed Mother Book that the Quran is claimed to be a copy of – the Mother Book for all humans – all prophets – everywhere and through all times.)

013 24/58: “- - - thus does Allah make clear the Sign to you - - -”. See 24/61 below.

014 24/59: “- - - thus does Allah make clear the Signs to you - - -”. See 24/61 just below.

015 24/61: “Thus does Allah make clear the Signs to you - - -“. There is not one logically valid clear sign (= proof) of Allah or of Muhammad’s connection to a god anywhere in the Quran. They without exception are just claims or based on nothing or on other not proven claims.

016 24/64a: “Be quite sure that to Allah doth belong whatever is in the heavens (plural and wrong*) and on earth.” It is impossible to be sure of that on basis of a book like the Quran with that many mistakes, contradictions, twisted facts, and that much invalid logic, etc.

017 24/64b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

Surah 24: At least 17 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 25:

001 25/1: “Blessed is He (Allah*) Who sent down the Criterion (the Quran*) - - -“. The Quran is not made by any omniscient god – too many mistakes, etc.

002 25/2a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

00a 25/2b: “- - - no son has He (Allah*) begotten - - -“. If Allah is another god than Yahweh, this may be correct. If Islam insists Allah is just another name for Yahweh (which it can not be, because fundamental aspects of the teachings are too different) it may be another question, as Jesus frequently called Yahweh his father in front of many witnesses. The word “Father” is used at least 163 times in the Bible for Yahweh’s relationship to Jesus, and “son” at least 66 times for Jesus’ relationship to Yahweh.

00b 25/2c: “- - - nor has He (Allah) a partner in his dominion - - -“. Well, if Allah should happen to be just another name for Yahweh: In the very old Hebrew religion there was a female deity - Yahweh’s Amat (woman or wife). (Source: New Scientist among others). In the very masculine society there, she simply was forgotten. And then there is the question of Jesus and of the Holy Spirit, which even the Quran mentions a few (3 ?) times - a kind of partners? At least underlings.

003 25/4: “But the Misbelievers say: ‘Naught is this but a lie which he has forged, and others have helped him at it.’ In truth it is they who have put forward an iniquity and a falsehood”. With this many mistakes in the Quran, it is a very open question if it is the misbelievers who have put forward a falsehood. It might even be Muhammad. The Quran at least is not from an omniscient god - too many mistakes, etc.

004 25/6a: “Say: ‘The (Quran) was sent down by Him (Allah*) Who knows the Mystery (that is) in the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth - - -“. Same old question: Can a book with hundreds of mistakes have been sent down by an omniscient god? - and if not: Who composed it? Not an omniscient Allah.

005 25/6b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

006 25/20: “And the messengers whom We (Allah) sent before thee (Muhammad*) were all (men) who ate food - - -“. Wrong. There were sent angels as messengers at least to Abraham (who was frightened because they did not eat food) (11/69), Lot (11/77) and to

Mary, mother of Jesus (19/17). And also Jinns were sent as messengers that were not men according to the Quran (6/130).

007 25/33a: “- - - We (Allah*) reveal to thee (Muhammad or the Muslims*) - - -”. Did a god reveal a book with that many mistakes, contradictions, loose statements and invalid “signs” and “proofs”? No.

008 25/33b: “- - - We (Allah*) reveal to thee (Muhammad or the Muslims*) the truth - - -”. At most bits and pieces of the "revelation" (the Quran) are true - see all the mistaken facts and other mistakes - f. ex. linguistic ones, and not unlikely religious ones as they should make no exception.

009 25/33c: “- - - We (Allah) reveal to thee (Muhammad or the Muslims) the truth and the best explanations (thereof).” The best explanations are never - never - built on a lot of mistaken facts. The Quran also many places states that belief in Islam is built on intelligence, intellectual capacity, and knowledge. Is it?

Sometimes it seems like it is built on sheer blind belief and suppression of the true facts. (“The Message of the Quran” even tells that it is primitive not to be able to see that the Quran is made of a god, without any proofs. And another place that it is a no good believer that search for real proofs. **The sorry truth is that it is primitive and naïve to believe only because something is said or written, or because your forefathers and -mothers believed so. Or because a man of very obvious moral said so.**)

010 25/35: “- - - We sent Moses the Book - - -”. Wrong. The Torah (containing those books) was written many hundred years later according to science - may be as much as 800 years later. (Moses got the 10 commandments in writing + the law verbally and wrote it down himself later, according to the Bible. The law is a part of the Book of Moses.)

011 25/36: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

012 25/37: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

013 25/45a: “- - - He (Allah*) doth prolong the Shadow!” It is the turning of the Earth that prolongs shadows. Any god had known, but Muhammad not - it is new knowledge. Then who made the Quran? Not an omniscient god. And Allah is said to be omniscient - or is he?

014 25/45b: “If he (Allah) willed, he could make it (the shadows) stationary!” The only way to do that is to stop the Earth spinning. Islam will have to prove that Allah is able to do that - especially since all the mistakes in the Quran give serious and reasonable doubt about if he is omnipotent - and omniscient.

We also will remark that sayings like “If Allah willed - - -” are frequent in the Quran. The phrases are typical for some ones that have to boast to gloss over that they are not able to prove themselves - you f. ex. often hear it from half bully children trying to impress others. If that is the case here, it is either Allah or Muhammad who frequently has to boast like that. (See separate chapter).

015 25/49: “- - - with it (water*), We (Allah*) may give life to a dead land - - -“. If all it takes to awaken the nature is water, the land is not dead, but alive with roots and seeds – it only looks dead.

016 25/54: “It is He (Allah*) Who has created man from water”. Flatly wrong. See 6/2 and 24/45.

*017 25/56: “- - - glad tidings - - -“. Wrong. At the very best one can say that the Quran brought some glad tidings to all the bad ones, wanting loot and slaves, and among some longing for a strong religion - - - if it was not because the Quran itself proves 100% that something is very wrong in the book. So wrong that it neither can be made nor revered by any god – not even by a small mini god. Too much is wrong in the book.

***018 25/57: “No reward do I (Muhammad*) ask of you - - -“. **Nothing - - - except 20% of everything stolen or extorted in/after raids and wars, 100% of what was looted or extorted without fighting, plenty of women and total and unrestricted power. And 2.5% (up to 10%) of your possessions each year in “poor-tax”** - - - partly for the poor, but also at least as partly to pay the lukewarm to become or stay Muslims, and not to forget to use for waging war. And a little to himself and all his women and few children (may be not of the "poor-tax"). Hypocrisy.

****To be exact the "poor-tax" - zakat - according to Hadiths after Al-Bukhari (comment 1 to Chapter 24) is for 8 different purposes:

1. 1 The "Fuqara" - a category of poor people.
2. The "Al-Masakin" - another category of poor people.
3. The persons administering the zakat.(Originally Muhammad).
4. Bribing people to become Muslims and in other ways to promote Islam.
5. Bribing lukewarm Muslims to stay Muslims.
6. To free Muslim captives.
7. To help indebted persons.
8. To wage war for the religion - and its leader(s).
9. To assist travellers (often pilgrims to Mecca).

It seems that a sizeable percentage was used for points 4 and 7. (You also will find claims that there are 5 purposes for the zakat. Then they lump 1 and 2 together and omit often 6 and 8. We some places in this book have used that list.)

019 25/59a: “He (Allah) Who created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth and all that is between, in six days - - -“. Wrong. It took 4.6 billion years. (Actually the latest numbers are 4.57 billion). Even in the Quran you can find contradicting information saying it took $2 + 4 + 2$ days = 8 days.

020 25/59b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

****021 25/62:** “And He (Allah) is it Who made the Night and the Day follow each other”. Wrong. It is the turning of the Earth that causes this - any god had known.

****We may add that the Quran many places talks about natural phenomena, and says Allah makes it or causes it. This needs solid proofs, as it is things that happens by itself from physical laws - and especially since words and statements are very cheap, and even more so AS ANY PRIEST IN ANY RELIGION CAN SAY JUST THE SAME ABOUT HIS GOD(S) FREE OF CHARGE - words are that cheap.** Strong claims demand strong proofs, scientists say, and Muhammad did not prove one single of these statements or claims. Not one single.

022 25/73: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 above.

Surah 25: At least 22 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 26:

001 26/2: “- - - the Book that makes (things) clear - - -”. With all the mistakes, it makes very little clear, as one cannot rely on what is said, without controlling it.

002 26/4: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 above.

003 26/6: “- - - the truth of what they (unbelievers*) mocked at!” At best the Quran represents partly the truth - too many mistakes, etc.

004 26/8: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

005 26/15: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -“. There are no valid signs for Allah in all the Quran. See 2/39 and 2/99.

006 26/16: “- - - the worlds - - -“. There are no 7 worlds, in spite of that the Quran says so. See 65/12 below.

007 26/23: “- - - the Worlds - - -“. See 26/16 just above. And 65/12 below.

008 26/24: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

***009 26/29:** “If thou (Moses*) dost put forward any god other than me (the pharaoh*), I will certainly put you in prison.” Wrong – in Egypt one had many gods. Even more: According to one of Islam’s tries to explain away the mistake of placing Xerxes’ man Hamon at Ramses II’s court - and hundreds of years wrong - the high priest (Ha-Amon) of one of the main gods – Amon – even was present and one of the pharaoh’s main advisers at this meeting (a “fact” that makes this sentence impossibly illogical).

00a 26/42: “- - - ye (the sorcerers*) shall in that case (if you win over Moses*) be (raised to posts) nearest to my person (Ramses II).” It is highly unlikely that the mighty pharaoh Ramses II said that to a flock of sorcerers – and especially for winning over an after all small opponent.

010 26/47: “- - - the Worlds - - -“. See 26/16 above and 65/12.

011 26/49a: Pharaoh Ramses II said: “Surely he (Moses*) is your (the sorcerers’) leader - - -.” Wrong. Ramses II knew Moses and knew he had been away for 40 years (according to the Bible - an unspecified number of years, but years, according to the Quran) – he could not be the leader of the local sorcerers.

012 26/49b: “- - I (Pharaoh Ramses II) will cause you (Moses and others*) to die on the cross!” But the old Egypt did not use crucifixion for punishment.

013 26/63: “Then We (Allah) told Moses by inspiration: ‘Strike the sea with thy rod’. So it divided, and each separate part became like the huge, firm mass of a mountain”. According to science the Jews started the exodus (if it ever happened - and if it did, it happened ca. 1235 BC during the reign of Ramses II - one of the greatest pharaohs ever - and some years before Ramses II’s death (Muslims often want to change this – preferably to around 1500-1600 BC - because we know Ramses II did not drown, but science is clear on this point)) from Goshen in the north east of Egypt – to be specific: In the Nile delta. They travelled south roughly parallel to what is now the Suez Canal, and to the west of it. Then they turned south east, before they again headed south - still roughly parallel to what is now the Suez Canal, but now to the east of where the canal now is. Then they continued south parallel to the Red Sea. Before the Suez Canal came, between the Mediterranean Sea and the Red Sea, here was unbroken low and quite flat land with some scattered lakes, the biggest of which were the Bitter Seas.

According to science the Jews may have been cornered against one of the seas during the above mentioned leg towards southeast, a sea named the Timsah Sea – or Yam Suph in Hebrew. In the old Hebrew scriptures the Jews were cornered against Yam Suph, which can mean the Red Sea (the most frequently used translation) or the Sea of Reeds – both names are possible. The Sea of Reeds was a shallow sea - as for the exact depth our sources are vague, but quite likely just a few meters at most. (The longest reed we have been able to find, is a special kind of rice growing in the Tonle Sap Lake in Cambodia. It can be up to 5 -7 m. The reeds growing in Egypt are shorter, and to get the name “Sea of Reeds”, the lake had to be shallow enough for the reeds to get their “heads” above the water over at least a large part of the lake). To guess: From one or two and up to a few meters deep as indicated above.

In such shallow seas there simply was not deep enough water to make “each separate part - - - like the huge, firm mass of a mountain”. Wrong in case – and it is likely this is the case, even if the more dramatic Red Sea most often is used as a translation. This because for Moses it had been plain stupidity to march south along the western side of the Red Sea when he wanted to go east to Sinai, and then have to cross that sea to reach his destination, with all those people, equipment, animals, etc. in boats they did not have. (The Bible tells they were 600,000 men, which means some 2 mill. included women and children – a number that is mathematically possible (though not likely) after the 430 years the Bible says the Jews lived in Egypt).

014 26/66: “But We (Allah*) drowned the others (the Egyptians).” Wrong, at least for Ramses II himself - he did not die from drowning, and he did not die until some years later.

015 26/67: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 above.

016 26/77: “- - - the Worlds - - -”. The Quran tells there are 7 (flat) worlds – Hadiths add that they are placed one on top of the other, and names them. Wrong. See 65/12.

017 26/98: “- - - Lord of the Worlds - - -“. See 26/77 just above and 65/12.below.

018 26/103: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

019 26/109: “- - - lord of the Worlds”. Wrong. See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

020 26/119: “- - - in the Ark filled (with all creatures).” Wrong. No boat could take that many tens of thousands of animal (included insects and similar) pairs + food for them. And even more so not a wooden boat - not possible to build big enough and strong enough for such size. See 11/40.

021 26/121: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

022 26/127: “- - - Lord of the Worlds.” Wrong. See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

023 26/139: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 above.

024 26/145: “- - - Lord of the Worlds.” Wrong. See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

025 26/158: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

026 26/161: “- - - their (the people of Sodom and Gomorrah*) brother Lot - - -“. Wrong. Lot was a stranger to the two towns, and it is very clear both from the Quran and the Bible that he did not mingle well with those locals. He came - together with Abraham - from Ur in Chaldea (in south Iraq). He was no “brother” of them – not even in the figurative meaning of the word. (The word here obviously is used to make Lot and the mentioned people fit the pattern the Quran claims is universal: That the prophets come from the people they are to teach. But here and in a few other cases that is incorrect). Also see 27/56 – it is very clear Lot was no brother of theirs - also not a naturalized "brother". (“Drive out the followers of Lut (Lot*) from our city - - -“.)

027 26/164: “- - - Lord of the Worlds.” Wrong. See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

028 26/174: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

029 26/180: “- - - Lord of the Worlds.” Wrong. See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

030 26/190: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 above.

031 26/192a: “Verily, this (the Quran*) is a revelation from the Lord of the Worlds (Allah*)”. If is true that it is a revelation, Allah is not omniscient or Muhammad made a lot of mistakes when reciting it, or Muhammad made it up himself, or there have been a lot of mistakes when compiling the book around 650 AD and later copying it. Something definitely is wrong.

032 26/192b: “- - - lord of the Worlds - - -.” Wrong. See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

033 26/193: “With it (the Quran) came the Spirit of Faith and Truth”. If truth came down with the Quran, it must have been mutilated later. NB: This is one of the places where Quran mentions "the Holy Spirit".

****034 26/196:** “Without doubt it (the Quran*) is (announced) in the revealed Books (the Torah, the Bible*) of former peoples.” There is very much doubt about that, as the basic elements of the teachings are too different – especially compared to NT and “the new covenant” that is the fundament for Christianity. And it also is absolutely sure that the Quran is not announsed in the Bible or in any Jewish scriptures. Also see the chapter about Muhammad in the Bible.

****035 26/197:** “Is it not a Sign to them that the Learned of the Children of Israel knew it (is true)?” In the Quran and also in Hadith, it is claimed there were one or a very few learned Jew(s) who accepted Muhammad as may be a prophet. The stories might even be true. But we are back to the old truth: “One swallow makes no summer”. **It is absolutely sure that the Jews as a group - learned or not - did not accept his teachings for the truth even in the face of death** (f.ex. in Khaybar), one or a few exceptions may be excepted. The same is the truth today.

No, it was no valid sign.

*****036 26/209:** “- - - and We (Allah) never are unjust”.

1. A man correctly telling that a woman has been indecent, is lying to Allah if he cannot produce 4 witnesses - even if an omniscient Allah has to know he is speaking the truth.
2. A woman who has been raped, is forbidden to tell who it was, unless she can produce 4 MALE witnesses WHO HAS ACTUALLY SEEN THE ACT. If she cannot produce 4 such witnesses, and all the same tells who the rapist is she shall have 80 whiplashes for slander.
3. ****A woman who is raped and cannot produce 4 MALE witnesses (that on top of all will be punished for not helping her if they witness about what they saw) that saw the very act, is to be strictly punished – may be stoned – for indecency - if she is unable to hide she has been raped - . Probably the most unjust and amoral law we have ever seen in a “modern” society.**
4. It is 100% permitted for an owner to rape his female slaves or prisoners of war (may be this is why Muslims so often rape women during conflicts - f. ex. earlier in Bangladesh and earlier and now in Africa). **The Quran even directly tells that it is no sin to rape also your married slaves or prisoners of war, as long as they are not pregnant.**
5. ****It is glorious and the Muslims’ right to steal, rob, plunder, enslave and to kill non-Muslims during jihad - and almost any**

conflict is declared jihad (holy war). **It is “just and good”.**

There are more if you look. Please never tell us that Allah as described in the Quran never is unjust. These 5 points - and more - are morally horrible. Some of it actually the most unjust we have ever seen in any law.

*037 26/210-211: “No evil ones have brought down this (Revelation). It would neither suit them - - -“. May be no evil spirits have brought down the Quran. **But is definite that no omniscient god has done so – too many mistakes, etc. It also is definite that no good or benevolent god or spirit did it – far too inhuman, full of hate and suppression and blood – not to mention the wretched ethic and moral in the book.** All the same it is possible it was not sent down by bad or evil forces (even bad supernatural forces would be too intelligent to make a book with so many mistakes, contradictions, invalid logic, etc., as they had to know they would be found out sooner or later and lose their credibility) – it simply is possible, and even likely, that it was made by one or more men (all the wrong science and “knowledge” in accordance with the local beliefs in and around Arabia at that time, and a lot more points in that direction). But what is absolutely sure, is that an Islam like the one one finds in the surahs from Medina suits evil spirits and forces very well: Inhumanity, stealing, blood, hate, war. Just ask Muslims what they think about the Mongols attacking them in the east. The religion in Mongolia under and after Djingis Khan basically was quite similar to Islam. When Islam used their war machine and inhumanity in f. ex. India and other places, they according to all Muslims were heroes. Then they met Mongols that did just the same to Muslims - - and the Mongols were terrible monsters. But then the southern Mongols became Muslims and continued in the same way like before, but now against non-Muslims - - - and now they were great heroes according to Islam. Ask them if the f. ex. remember the name Timur Lenk (Tamerlane).

Islam as described in the surahs from Medina, definitely suits evil forces/spirits.

038 26/211: “- - - nor would they (non-Muslims) be able (to produce it) (something similar to the Quran*)”. Wrong. **In spite of all the glorious words Muslims use about the Quran, the book is not good literature.** There are lots and lots of mistake. There is lots of wrong logic. There are numbers of linguistic mistakes. There are lots and lots of contradictions. There hardly is anything original in the book - the stories are taken from the Bible and a few other old books, from made up religious tales, from folklore and from fairy tales and just changed a little, and the fundamental thoughts borrowed from neighbouring cultures – mainly Jewish and Christian, but also some from the east (Zoroastrians f. ex. and perhaps a little from Buddha – the Arabs had connections as far east as China, and at that time Buddhism was strong in parts of what is now Pakistan and India (but was later drowned in blood by Islam). Also in laws and morality there was little new - if any; there were a few changes compared to the old Arabia, but also here the ideas came from neighbouring cultures. And the same stories are told again and again - most boring. But good writers - not the original composer - polished the Arab language in the book for some 250 years (until ca. 900 AD).

There would be no problem for a good or medium writer to write something with similar - or better - contents.

Claims like that the Quran is good literature you can tell to the naïve, uneducated illiterate natives of the old (and for that case modern) times. Skip it when you are talking to an

educated modern person who knows the Quran (far too few does – many had been disgusted) and knows a little about literature. The Quran may be intelligent religious tales for its time, but it is not a good piece of literature. Boring, repetitive, a melee of this and that – no logical system in the tales, the tales and thoughts all “borrowed” from others and well known, etc.

Surah 26: At least 38 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

Surah 27:

001 27/1: “- - - a Book (the Quran*) that makes things clear - - -”. The more mistakes, contradictions, etc. there are in a book, the less clear it can make things. It simply loses credibility. The Quran in many cases simply is incredible – literally speaking.

002 27/2a: “A Guide (the Quran*)”. See 27/1 just above.

003 27/2b: “- - - glad tidings - - -”. Wrong. At the very best one can say that the Quran brought some glad tidings among all the bad persons, wanting loot and slaves, and among some longing for a strong religion - - - if it was not because the Quran itself proves 100% that something is very wrong in the book. So wrong that it neither can be made nor revered by any god – not even by a small mini god. Too much is wrong in the book.

004 27/6a: “(Allah is*) All-Knowing”. If that is right, he has not composed the Quran - far too many mistakes. Or to be blunter: The composer of the Quran is someone who is not all knowing.

005 27/6b: “- - - the Quran is bestowed upon thee (Muhammad/Muslims) from the presence of One who is Wise and All-Knowing (Allah*)”. Islam claims that the Quran is the copy of the Mother Book that is revered in Heaven by Allah and his angels there. It further is claimed that the book either is made by the omniscient and omnipotent god Allah – the only god – or has existed since eternity, and is so fundamental that it is not made even by the god. This verse may be understood as a strengthening of the last claim: The Quran is not said to be made by or sent down by or from Allah, but sent down from “the presence of” Allah. The fact that spoils this lofty and undocumented claim (claims normally are undocumented in Islam – though they demand documentation and proofs from anybody else) is the huge number of mistakes, twisted facts, contradictions, twisted and invalid logic, etc. in the book. No god – omniscient or not – has ever made such a sloppy work. And also: A large number of the mistakes, rites, ways of thinking, etc. are in accordance the culture and “knowledge” in what we now call the Middle East around the time of Muhammad – but no omniscient god would have to use mistaken science, customs and rules and ways of thinking from a special century and a special, small area and from a short time period on the miniscule planet Earth, when he made the book – or it in other ways came into existence – before the universe was created (which happened 13.7 billion years ago according to science). Propaganda? At least it is wrong.

There is one more fact that makes it impossible that the book is from eternity: There is at least one place in the Quran that angels (according also to Muslim scholars) are speaking (and at least 8 places where Muhammad is speaking). That means that the book cannot have been made - or at least not finished - until after the first angels had been created (they could not speak in the book before they were created). It is clear in the Quran that the angels are not from eternity - Allah created them from light. And it cannot have been made in a time that makes it impossible for Muhammad to have his say at least the mentioned 8 times.

006 27/8: “- - - the Worlds.” The Quran falsely tells there are 7 Earths. See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

007 27/9: “- - - I am Allah - - - the Wise!” Not very wise if he made the Quran and all its mistakes, etc.

008 27/14: “- - - see what was the end (death by drowning*) of them (pharaoh Ramses II and his men*)”. Wrong at least for Ramses II personally - he did not die until some years later, and not by drowning.

*00a 27/16 – 44: These stories – also repeated other places in the Quran - about King Solomon, the ants, the jinns slaving for him, the hoopoo, and not to mention the Queen of Sabah – are fantastic like were they from a fairy tale - - - which is what they are: They are “borrowed” from the made up - apocryphal - scripture “Second Targum of Ester”. No god needs to steal old fairy tales and retell them with small – or big – twists to make them fit his religion/tales, and then call them facts. But Muhammad often did so. That is the reason why his contemporaries so often said that what he told just were old tales – they simply recognized the legends, fairy tales and stories.

009 27/16: “We (King Solomon*) have been thought the speech of the Birds.” Wrong. One thing is that there is not one bird “speech” but one for each of at least 2000 different kinds of birds, and actually even more, as some birds have different “dialects” from one place to another – even if you were thought cockney English, you would not understand Italian or Arab or Swahili. More fundamental is the fact that the birds’ brains are too small for developing coherent speech. The last years science has found that birds brain may be more efficient than our, gram for gram, but that all the same it is far too small for this – the minimum size where it is theoretically possible for a brain to get faculties rudimentarily similar to the human brain, is guessed to be a brain the size of a cat’s. Coherent, intelligent speech from birds simply is physically impossible.

*010 27/18: An ant spoke to other ants and in a way possible for King Solomon to hear. Wrong. Ants do not have the brainpower for composing complicated (for non-human terrestrial beings) sentences - see 27/16 just above - and they do not have organs for pronouncing words - not even “ant -language” words. Not to mention that they lack the power to speak loud enough for humans to hear. A fairy tale. (It is worth mentioning that Islam to a degree admits this. “The Message of the Quran calls it a legend – comment 17. But if this is a legend told like a truth, how many more are there like that in the Quran?)

**011 27/19: “So he (Solomon) smiled at her speech - - -”. Wrong. See 27/16 and 27/18 just above. It would be impossible for Solomon to hear what the ant also could not pronounce – also because if it could speak and if it could speak loud enough for us to hear, the diminutive size of an ant would make the words far too high-pitched for our ear to register.

**012 27/22-26: A bird - the hoopoo - making long, coherent speech/sentences of its own composition. No bird on Earth can do that - they do not have the brain capacity (see 27/6b). A fairy tale.

013 27/24: “I (the hoopoo*) found her (the queen of Sabah*) worshipping the sun - - -”. Sabah was at the southern end of the Arabian Peninsula – approximately Yemen today. In the old times this whole peninsula had a moon religion, not a sun religion – al-Lah (whom

Muhammad later renamed to Allah) originally was a moon god. It is documented that also in old Sabah the main god was the moon god (source; “The Lunar Passion and the Daughters of Allah”). We may add that Muslims say that even if the moon religion was the dominant, there also may have been sun worshippers. That is true, but not for the ruler of the country – the ruler has to be very strong or be a member of the official and main religion, if not there will be problems (look at Kashmir f. ex. – it all started with a Hindu ruler over a majority of Muslim underlings).

Besides she – the queen – did not worship Allah, because that name was not created yet. Perhaps the moon god al-Lah (later as mentioned renamed by Muhammad to Allah) or the old El.

014 27/25: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

015 27/28: “Go thou (the hoopoo*), with this letter of mine, and deliver it to them: then draw back from them, and (wait to) see what answer they return....” No bird is able to do this. Not even the pigeon brings letters – it only is able to return with a letter. (The pigeons have to be brought in cages from the one who is to receive the letters, to the ones that are to send the message. Then when the bird is let loose, it simply wants to return home - - - and carries the letter to its nest, where the receiver can collect it. This is the only possible way for using birds for carrying a letter. Except in fairy tales.)

00b 27/36: King Solomon is a good Muslim. Anyone is free to believe it if he wants to.

**00c 27/37: King Solomon is offered gifts from Sabah but answers with anger: “Go back to them (the rulers/queen of Sabah*), and be sure we shall come to them with such hosts (armies*) as they will never be able to meet (= attack them*) - - -.” This answer has no logical reason or meaning, especially as the gifts were rich (“abundance of wealth”- 27/36). Also Islam agrees to that something is wrong here, as “a prophet could not answer good gifts with a war of aggression”, but they do not have any good explanations – only rather lame speculations about perhaps it in reality is Allah who is speaking and threatening them with what he will do if they do not become Muslims (1500 years before Muhammad! – King Solomon ruled 961 – 922 BC give or take maximum 10 years according to Wikipedia). “Let there be no compulsion in religion”? BUT THIS IS ONE OF THE PLACES WHERE MUSLIM SCHOLARS AGREE THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG WITH THE TEXT IN THE qURAN.

*00d 27/39: “- - - (one) of the Jinns - - -”. Jinns are beings with a diffuse role in the Quran. They are “borrowed” from old Arab fairy tales and legends. Allah made them from fire, the book tells (or may be from the fire of a scorching wind - one of the many contradictions in the Quran). There is said little about their shape - perhaps roughly like humans. They also have a diffuse role in the “pantheon” - they definitely do not belong in the heaven, but neither in hell. There simply is said nothing about where they belong. Neither is anything said about their role in the “life” of heaven and hell or their real connection to the “inhabitants” those two places - or to earthlings. As we said; much is diffuse concerning them and their life, except that they must be beings that can die - and end in hell mostly it seems. As said they are borrowed from old Arab folklore and fairy tales and mostly seem not really to belong in the religion, though they are mentioned quite frequently. Generally we feel they are a little suspect most of the time, but not always. Some were f. ex. servants (or slaves) for King Solomon (but only according to the Quran, not to the Bible), and in the older times - not 100

years ago - there shall have existed laws for marriage etc. between humans and Jinns, though no marriage ever took place!!

Do they really exist in the hidden world? - or are they in reality just something from fairy tales used for the mysterious effect? Another curiosity: No other prophet ever mentioned jinns - creatures created from fire, able to marry humans, and creatures that go on to a next life, though most of them to Hell.

016 27/44a: “- - - she (the Queen of Sabah*) thought it (the floor*) was a lake of water (though it was slabs of glass) - - -“.

1. They did not have the technology to make that quality of glass ca. 1000 BC. (Solomon ruled from ca. 961 BC till 922 BC (plus or minus max 10 years)).
2. They did not have the technology to make big slabs – and they had to be really big to make the cracks so few that they were not noticed - of glass ca. 1000 BC. Even today it is difficult, as it needs days and weeks and even months of very exact and slow cooling for that big slabs not to crack. (Cfr. the making of large astronomical telescopes).

017 27/44b: “- - - the Worlds.” Once more: There are no 7 worlds in spite of the Quran (and the Hadiths). See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

018 27/52: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

019 27/60: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

020 27/61: “(Allah*) made rivers in its (the Earth’s) midst - - -”. Wrong. The Quran believed the Earth was flat, and then there is a midst. But the Earth is a sphere, and the surface of a sphere has no midst. Besides: Is it Allah or rain that makes rivers?

021 27/63: “- - - glad tidings - - -“. In this case it refers to rain. That is glad tidings in deserts like in Arabia, but hardly in f. ex. Amazonas or England or a lot of other places. Another of the many "Arabiaisms" in the Quran. In Muhammad’s local area rain was glad tidings, in the area of a world religion that claims at best only is partly true – but why is Arabia the only cultural and otherwise centre of the Quran if it is for all the world – and from an omniscient god?

022 27/65: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

023 27/76: “- - - this Quran doth explain to the Children of Israel most of the matters in which they disagree”. Very wrong. For one thing the Quran is so different from the Mosaic religion (and even more different from Christianity), that it clearly is not the same. For another: A book with that many mistakes, etc. can explain very little.

024 27/77a: “And it (the Quran*) certainly is a Guide - - -.” A book with that many mistakes and worse, certainly is no Guide – at least not a good or reliable one.

025 27/77b: “And it (the Quran) certainly is - - - a Mercy to those who believe.” With all its aversion against knowledge (except religious and related knowledge – f. ex. astronomy to follow the dates, special days, etc. exactly), its demand for hate and war, its dark and total dominance over all aspects of life, etc., - and the suppression of half its members (the women) - it is no mercy even to believers.

026 27/79: “- - - for thou art (on the path of) manifest Truth (the contents of the Quran*)”. But the contents of the Quran is a mixed lot, and maximum some of it really is true - see all the mistakes.

027 27/81: “- - - Our (Allah*) Signs - - -.” There are no logically reliable signs from/about Allah in all the Quran. See 2/99.

028 27/82: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs.” Wrong. See 27/81 just above and 2/99.

029 27/83: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -”. Wrong. See 27/81 and 2/99 above.

030 27/84: “- - - My (Allah’s*) Signs - - -”. Wrong. See 27/81 and 2/99 above.

031 27/87: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

***032 27/91: “For me (Muhammad*), I have been commanded to serve the Lord (Allah*) of this City (Mecca - from 615-616 AD when Muhammad still lived there*) - - - “. This is a serious one: It is Muhammad who is speaking once more - - - in a book presumed to be copy of a “mother book” in Paradise, a book that may be existed from eternity or perhaps was made by Allah. Pikhall and Dawood both camouflage this very revealing mistake (there are a few more where either angles (37/164-166) or Muhammad speaks) by adding the word “say:”, but that is not in the original, according to Ibn Warraq, “Why I am not a Muslim”, p.175. Dishonest by Pikhall and by Dawood in case. But then it happens you meet dishonesty when Muslims tries to “explain” things - even in books you should believe were intellectually of high quality and moral. (Like Al-Azhar University, Cairo, certifying that the Big Flood could be explained by the filling up of the Mediterranean Sea. They know very well that both the time and the way it happened prohibit that explanation - some 4 – 5 million years ago and “slowly” over a period of perhaps 100 years, and not least; wrong place, as the Garden of Eden is believed to have been situated in what is now south Iraq (if it ever existed)).

Anyhow a nice moment for Muhammad – he liked power. (Just look at how he glued himself to his platform of power; his god).

033 27/93: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 above.

Surah 27: At least 33 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 28:

001 28/2: “These are verses of the Book (the Quran*) that makes (things) clear”. With that many mistakes, contradictions, and suspect arguments in the book, at most some things can be made clear.

00a 28/3a: “We (Allah) rehears to thee some of the story of Moses and Pharaoh in Truth - -”. The story about Moses differs not a little from the one told in the Bible - which for this part is more than 1000 years nearer in time to what (may be) happened - and with stronger traditions concerning Moses. It is a question, which one is most reliable. In any case: Both have the death of Pharaoh Ramses II wrong (but when it comes to the Bible it is possible to explain this - not so with the Quran, which is told by Allah, and Allah is omniscient (the human narrator of the Bible can have mixed Ramses II with one of his 67 sons or one of his generals - for Allah such a mistake is impossible)).

002 28/3b: “- - - in Truth - - -”. With all the mistakes etc. in the Quran, it at best is just partly true.

*00b 28/6: “- - - Haman - - -”. In the Quran Haman is a high leader of some sort under the Pharaoh. But science says this is the Haman from the book of Esther in the Bible. Haman was according to the Bible a powerful minister under the Persian king Xerxes (Hebrew: Ahasuerus) (486 - 465 BC) and a central person in the mentioned book - Muhammad may well have heard about him. In that case something is very wrong, because Ramses II naturally was king/pharaoh in Egypt, and on top of that lived some 800 years earlier. Haman could not be his top minister.

Muslims want to explain this with that it was another Haman. But science is not in doubt, it is the same. Another question here is: Was the name Haman at all used in Egypt? – it is said to be a Persian name.

Here Islam has another explanation that just might have been true: One of the main gods in Egypt at that time was Amon. According to “The Message of the Quran” the title of the high priest of Amon, was Ha-Amen - which could be understood as Hamon. Not very likely, especially as that is the kind of “explanations” one frequently finds when Islam has problems finding better stories. But after all possible. **Except that a god does not make such mistakes. And except for 28/38a: “Pharaoh said: ‘O Chiefs! No god do I know for you but myself - - -’”. Pharaoh cannot at the same time be the only god in Egypt (very wrong as said) and have the high priest (Ha-Amen) of another god as his second in command. Like so many times Muslim “explanations” covers only part of the picture and thus is proven wrong. Also see 38a below.

The question also is how Muhammad could have heard about Ha-Amen nearly 1900 years later - after Amon and his high priest had ceased to be part of a large religion, in contrast to Haman, who was part of the Jews’ religious traditions. This even more so as there were thousands of Jews in Arabia at that time, who could have told Muhammad, but few from Egypt. Of course Muslims will say that Allah knew. But if an omniscient Allah had told this, he - as said above - had not made any mistake with the name. And if the mistake came from Muhammad after Allah had told him: How many more mistakes did Muhammad make?

00c 28/8: “- - - Haman - - -”. See 28/6 just above.

003 28/30: “- - - Worlds - - -“. The Quran falsely tells there are 7 Earths. See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

004 28/35: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -“. See 27/81, 2/39 and 2/99 above.

005 28/36: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Clear Signs - - -“. See 27/81 and 2/99 above.

*006 28/38a: “Pharaoh said: ‘O Chiefs! No god do I know for you but myself - - -’. This is one of the really good ones, because Egypt at the time of Ramses II had a good number of gods, included some central ones with a strong clerical organisation - not to mention: How then explain Islam’s own story about Ha-Amen in 28/6 above? **(It is typical for many “explanations” of mistakes in the Quran that Muslims “explain” something, but are then unable not to “collide” with other information in the book - f. ex. explaining the heavens as the modern universe without telling how the stars then could be fastened to the lowest heaven). But at the time of Muhammad the old gods were reduced - Egypt was partly Christian (the forefathers of the present-day Copts). A real god had not made this blunder, but Muhammad could not know. Then who composed the Quran?**

Islam tries to explain this away with that it is not meant literally - only that Ramses II was the top. But in this case it is very clear what the Quran says. And also remember that the Quran - and most Muslims - say that the Quran is to be meant literally where nothing else is said - - - and that to call something an allegory or say it is figuratively meant, we think is the for Islam the most used means of explaining away of things/mistakes in the Quran that has no explanation.

00d 28/38b: “- - - Haman - - -“. See 28/6 above.

007 28/40: “So We (Allah) sized him (Ramses II*) and his hosts, and flung them into the sea - - -“. Wrong at least for Ramses II himself - he did not drown and he died years later.

008 28/43: “We (Allah*) revealed to Moses the Book”. Wrong. Moses got no book. The books of Moses were written centuries later - they just were named after him. (Moses got the 10 commandments only in writing according to the Bible. In addition he was told the law, which he himself wrote down later. The laws are parts of the later Books of Moses).

009 28/45: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -“. No omniscient god would use invalid signs. See 27/81, 2/39 and 2/99 above.

010 28/47: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

011 28/48a: “- - - When the Truth (the Quran) has come to them (the Quraysh - the leading tribe in Mecca*) - - -“. If it was not because the word “truth” is so central and so disused in Islam, we had stopped commenting on it long time ago - it is so obvious that the Quran can be only partly the truth. See all the mistakes - some small, some big blunders, some repeated many times and really cemented - - - **but even one mistake is impossible for an omniscient god. Is Allah omniscient? Or did someone else compose the Quran? If Allah is not omniscient, that means something is wrong with the religion. If Muhammad or another human composed it, it is a false religion.**

***And if it is a false religion and there somewhere exists a real, true one, to which Islam blocks the road to for its believers - - - what then for the Muslims?

012 28/48b: “- - - (the Signs) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

013 28/49: “Then bring ye (people*) a Book (the Quran*) from Allah, which is a better Guide - - -”. A book that full of wrong facts, twisted arguments, contradictions, invalid statements and as invalid logic is no good guide for anyone.

014 28/52: “(Jews and Christians) – they do believe in this (Revelation) - - -”. Flatly wrong. A few became Muslims, but the overwhelming majority had to flee, were made slaves, or were killed/murdered/executed because they refused to believe in Muhammad’s tales. Cfr. f. ex. what happened in and around Medina in the years after this surah was told (in 621 AD or later). One more place where an intelligent man like Muhammad knew he was lying.

015 28/53a: “They (Jews and Christians) say: ‘We believe therein, for it is the Truth from our Lord - - -’. Well, this is what Muhammad claimed. The reality as clearly told in Islamic written sources you find in 28/52 just above - and like in 28/52 also here Muhammad had to know he was lying. Also see 28/48a and 28/48b.

016 28/53b: “- - - the Truth - - -”. The Quran at best only is partly true – too many mistakes, etc.

017 28/53c: “- - - indeed we (the Jews and Christians*) have been Muslims (bowing to Allah’s will) from before this”. No comments necessary – except see f. ex. 28/52, 28/48a or 28/48b above.

018 28/53d: “- - - for it (the Quran*) is the Truth - - -”. No. With that many mistakes, etc., the Quran hardly is the truth - at best partly the truth.

019 28/53e: “- - - for it (the Quran*) is the Truth from our Lord (Allah*) - - -.” **A book with that many mistakes, contradictions, and other errors is not from a god – omniscient or not.**

020 28/59a: “Nor was thy Lord (Allah) one to destroy a population until he had sent to its Centre a messenger - - -”. The Quran speaks about lots of prophets - in the Hadith it is mentioned 124000 through the times and throughout the world. (And one impolite, but pertinent reminder: Muhammad was unable to make real prophesies – he in reality was no prophet, only “borrowed” that big title). But with the exception of Israel and to a degree in Persia (and some rulers that did so on their own accord for political reasons + a small sect in Arabia, most likely inspired by Jews and Christians) **there are no traces anywhere, any time after prophets for monotheistic religions** - not in history, not in archaeology, not in literature, not in art, not in architecture - not even in folklore or fairy tales.

*Besides: MANY places were destroyed by war, famine or other catastrophes through the time without being visited by prophets for a monotheistic religion warning them first - in spite of the Quran’s saying all such things only happen in accordance with the plans of Allah.

The verse is wrong. And we are also not sure that such a vengeful and hard god is a good or benevolent god – when someone says or declares one thing, but demands or does something

else, we always believe that the demands and the deeds are more reliable than cheap words. Similar claims in 17/15 - 17/16.

021 28//59b: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -“. No god would use invalid signs/proofs. See 2/99.

022 28/75: “- - - then they (the non-Muslims*) shall know that the Truth is in Allah (alone) - - -“. That is to be hoped that if Allah is a god. But judging from the Quran, at most in him is partly the truth.

00e 28/82: “Those who reject Allah will assuredly never prosper”. As for a possible next life discussion really is impossible - we know nothing, and can know nothing. Some will say they know, but they will be very wrong - what they do, is believing strongly. Knowledge is not possible without solid proved facts, and the only real fact in Islam is that one single man told stories he either refused to or was unable to document - either because a god did not want to (with illogical and/or sychologically wrong excuses) or was unable to, or because a god did not exist. There are lots of words - but words are cheap. There are lots of statements - but statements hanging in thin air without proofs are as cheap. There are lots and lots of “signs” - but a few are downright wrong, and the rest is completely valueless as proofs for Allah, as they in reality are just unproved claims or statements hanging in the air and only proves that words are cheap - **they are statements that any priest in any religion can say about his god or gods, as long as he does not have to produce real proofs** - - - like Muhammad steadfastly or from sheer necessity did not produce. And there even are verses telling they prove Allah. But not one single of them proves anything about him - they are as valueless as the “signs” and for the same reasons - a few even are plainly wrong. Especially we should mention all the natural phenomena that the Quran says are signs indicating or proving Allah, but without one single time proving that it really is Allah that makes the phenomena, and thus the only thing they prove, is that Islam never has been able to produce s single real proof, for any priest in any religion can say exactly the same cheap words about natural phenomena and his god(s). Which further proves that Islam has had to rely on cheap words to influence their congregations and others. One can speculate about why.

*But when it comes to prosperity in this life, it is clear that the Quran is completely wrong. And it is likely to stay that way, as Muslim countries forces half their adult population not to work, and the culture is adverse to non-religious knowledge (“foreign knowledge”) and real or critical thinking - which among other effects means that all the Muslim world has fewer new patents a year, than the single state of California - and the difference is even worse if one looks at patents of knife-edge technique or technology. This among other reasons will forever keep Muslim states in second-class economy, if they do not have natural recourses like oil to sell. Or if they do not become strong enough to exploit or tax others.

023 28/84: “- - - the doers of evil are only punished (to the extent) of their deeds”. **Flatly wrong. There is an abyss of injustice between what sins most sinners have committed, and the punishment they get in Hell.**

024 28/87: “- - - the Signs of Allah - - -“. There is no sign clearly showing Allah in all the Quran. Each and every claimed “sign” can as easy be claimed by any other god – and actually they do not signify any god at all, as it is not proved they are made by a god. (Possibly some taken from the Bible may be valid, but they in case signify Yahweh, not Allah – Muslims like

to claim (as normal for Muslims without proofs) that Yahweh and Allah is the same god, but the teachings are fundamentally too different).

Surah 28: At least 24 mistakes + 5 likely mistakes.

SURAH 29:

*00a 29/2: “Do men think - - - they will not be tested?” But why is it necessary to trust anyone if Allah is omniscient and knows everything before? – even decides everything before (in spite of the claim that man has (limited?) personal freedom to decide – though even Islam is unable to explain how it possible to combine the statement that Allah decides everything before, with the statement that man has free will (not strange, as it is a version of the time travel paradox, and that paradox is proved unsolvable)) – **if all this, then why are tests necessary to find an answer Allah already knows?**

001 29/15: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

002 29/23: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

003 29/28a: “- - - Lut (Lot*); behold, he said to his people (the people of Sodom and Gomorrah*) - - -.” Wrong. Those people for one thing were not Lot’s “natural” people, as he was a stranger from very far away (Ur in Chaldea in what now is South Iraq, but now living near the Dead Sea), and for another both the Bible and the Quran make it very clear that he also was not a naturalized member of those communities – he was an outsider. (May be the Quran tells they were his people so as to be able to say he was a prophet to his own people, like they falsely claim every prophet was – in spite of Joseph (Egypt), Moses (Sinai 40 years), Abraham (Canaan), Lot (Dead Sea area), Jonah (Nineveh).

004 29/28b: “Do you (men of Sodom and Gomorrah*) commit lewdness (homosexuality*), such as no people in Creation (ever) committed before you.” Wrong. Homosexuality was nothing new – it even exists among some “higher” animals, sometimes as a sign of dominance – and it is in the DNA of a minor part of humanity. If Islam stays on their claim that this was something “no people in humanity (ever) committed before”, they will have to prove it.

005 29/35: “- - - an evident Sign - - -.” There are no evident or clear signs for Allah – or for Muhammad’s connection to a God - in all the Quran. See 2/99.

00b 29/39a: “- - - Haman - - -”. See 28/6.

006 29/44a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

007 29/44b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

*008 29/45: “- - - remembrance of Allah is the greatest (thing in life) without doubt.” There is much doubt about this if he has composed the Quran - the mistakes proves he in case is very far from omniscience, the valueless “signs” and “proofs” proves he is not very good at logical thinking, and his use of invalid excuses and his inability to send proofs of his existence, proves he is not omnipotent. And if someone else made the Quran, the doubt is even greater, as then both the Quran and Islam are without any value at all - or with negative value, as much of the religion is rather inhuman (f. ex. wars, terrorism, suppression of all non-Muslims,

suppression of women and freedom to rape many of them, thoughts about slavery, and enmity towards non-Muslims).

***009 29/46: “- - - our (Muslims*) and your (Jews and Christians*) god is one - - -”. This is not correct unless he is schizophrenic, as too many fundamental aspects are too different between the two teachings. To mention a few points:

Islam:	The New Testament:
Do not kill without a good reason.	Do not kill.
To wage war is a religious duty.	Do not kill.
An eye for an eye.	Turn the other cheek
You cannot carry another’s burden.	Carry your fellow man’s burden.
Religion shall run the country.	My land is not of this world.
Be killed for Allah and go to Paradise.	Become like a child to go to Paradise.
Paradise = Earth-like luxury plus women	Paradise = Heaven for your soul.
(for women = luxury and a share of the husband).	(for women = Heaven for your soul.)
Paradise = resurrected body.	Paradise = the soul lives on.
Do not lie except for good reason.	Do not lie.
Do not mourn the unbeliever.	“The lost lamb”.
Break an oath and pay damage for it.	To break any oat is a severe sin.
Al-Taqiyya – Muslims’ lawful lie.	Do not lie.
To rob and steal may be “good and lawful”	Do not steal.
To rape a female slave is “good and lawful”.	So immoral that it is not even mentioned.
Help others to gain merit in heaven.	Help others because they need it – and gain merit in heaven.

(For those who do not know: Jesus said that if someone hit you on one cheek, turn the other towards him = do not do the same and answer bad with good. And: Jesus said that a shepherd would search for a lost lamb = to save a lost soul is very valuable, and there is reason to mourn the not saved ones.)

We know both religions have been misused – though with one serious difference: Christ has been misused in contradiction to his teachings, Islam very often in accordance with its teachings, because of the Quran’s often bloody religion and lack of real moral. The sentences above are some of the teachings – some of the fundamentals.

Only Islam says it is the same god - and they are wrong, unless the god is mentally ill.

010 29/47a: “- - - We (Allah*) have sent down the Book (the Quran*) - - -“. No god ever sent down a book with that many mistakes, etc. – not to mention revered it in his own “home” as the Mother Book.

011 29/47b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

012 29/49a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

013 29/49b: “- - - Our Signs - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

014 29/52: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

015 29/54: “- - - of a surety, Hell will encompass the Rejecters (non-Muslims*) of the Faith (Islam*).” No, that is no surety with all the mistakes in the Quran strongly reducing a reader’s belief in the reality of the religion. **Even more: If someone has made up the Quran, and there exists another, real religion, the rejecters of Muhammad and Islam have a chance of finding that religion.**

016 29/61a: “If indeed thou ask them who has created the heavens (plural and wrong - like at least 198 other places in the Quran) and the earth - - - they (non-Muslims*) will certainly reply, ‘Allah’”. Wrong. If they believed a god created it, they would certainly name their own god (which in the old Arabia might have been the polytheist al-Lah).

017 29/61b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

018 29/63: “And if indeed thou ask them (non-Muslims*) who it is that sends down rain from the sky - - - they will certainly reply, ‘Allah’”. Wrong. See 29/61 just above.

019 29/68: “- - - rejects the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. Wrong. With such a number of mistakes, it maximum is partly the truth.

020 29/69: “And those who strive in Our (Cause) – We (Allah*) will certainly guide them to Our Paths - - -”. With so much wrong in the Quran, it is likely that this is wrong, too. At least it is far from a certainty.

Surah 29: At least 20 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 30:

001 30/2-4: “The Roman Empire (Bysantz/Constantinople) has been defeated (by Persia*) in a land close by (Damascus 613 AD, Jerusalem 614 AD, Egypt 615-616 AD – may be a battle in Syria in 615 AD – just pick your choice (the surah is from 615 or 616 AD)); but they, (even) after (this) defeat of theirs will soon be victorious – Within a few (“bid”) years.” Bysantz defeated Persia in 628 AD after they first had had a number of defeats at the start of the war.

1. The Arab word “bid” means “a few” and “means a number of no less than 3, no more than 9” according to comment 2 to this surah in “The Message of the Quran”. It took at least 12 years.
2. This was a pep-talk to his followers. No-one – not even Muhammad himself – said that it

was a prophesy - - - except that many Muslims say so afterwards.

3. It is very clear from the Quran that Muhammad did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies (a kind of miracles), and it is as clear that he never even pretended to or claimed to have that gift. This was just one of the (few) cases where a little of all that he said through his life happened to come partly true (actually: So much that he said and spoke it is a miracle that not more happened to come true – and more true than in this case. And NB: This is the only heavy claim Islam has about him being able to make prophesies (though there are other claims). There are a number of theories and claims about his ability which he as said did not claim or or pretend to have himself, but this is the only one Islam reckons to be a “heavy” claim”. Once - nearly - in a lifetime = a prophet?

002 30/8: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

003 30/9: “- - - Clear (Signs) - - -“. Clear signs about Allah and Islam does not exist in the Quran. **One may wonder why Muhammad used invalid proofs – invalid proofs and arguments normally are the hallmarks of cheats and swindlers. It also indicates lack of real facts and proofs.** See 2/39.

004 30/10: “- - - Signs of Allah - - -“. No omniscient and omnipotent god had used strongly suspect “signs”, etc. to prove himself , not to mention added his name for strengthening the claim. See also 2/39.

005 39/16: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

006 30/18: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

007 30/20: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

008 30/20: “Among His (Allah’s) Signs is this, that He created you from dust - - -“. Wrong. Man was not created from dust - really he was not created at all according to science. See 6/2. There is an extra irony in the fact that the Quran uses a piece of wrong information to “prove” Allah. Contradiction of reality.

009 30/21a: “- - -His Signs - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

010 30/21b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

011 30/22a: “And among His (Allah’s) Signs is the creation of the heavens (plural and wrong) - - -“. Very clearly a wrong proof – a wrong “sign” – as there are no 7 heavens. Irony? At least a contradiction of reality.

012 30/22b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

013 30/22c: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

014 30/23a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

015 30/23b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

016 30/24a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

017 30/24b: “- - - He (Allah*) sends down rain from the sky and with it gives life to the earth after it is dead: verily, in that are Signs (for Allah*) for those who are wise.” Wrong. If just water made the earth come alive, it meant that it just looked dead, but was alive with seeds, etc. And those who are wise will see the irony in using doubtful “facts” as proofs for Allah.

018 30/24c: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

019 30/25: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

020 30/26: “- - - all (beings*) are devotedly obedient to Him (Allah*).” Wrong. No non-Muslim is devotedly obedient to Allah. And no Muslim sinner is devotedly obedient to any god. Islam also will have to prove that also all non-human beings, included worms and slugs and microbes - are devotedly obedient to him. Yes, they will even have to prove that all Muslims are devotedly obedient to him and not hypocrites.

021 30/27: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

022 30/28: “- - - Sign(s) - - -“. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

023 30/30a: “- - - no change (let there be) in the work (wrought) by Allah: that (Islam) is the standard Religion - - -“. No “standard” religion can be based on a book with so many obvious mistakes. And hopefully no “standard” religion can be base on hate, suppression and blood. (This paragraph is one of the reasons why Islam states - or pretends - that the Quran is perfect, and why Islam can admit not even the most obvious mistakes - all mistakes must be “explained” away, because there can be no change in Allah’s work – the Quran).

00a 30/30b: “- - - no change (let there be) in the work (wrought) by Allah: that (Islam) is the standard Religion: But most among mankind understand not”. The impolite, but most pertinent, question is: May be it is really the non-Muslims that have understood? - understood that something may be wrong.

00b 30/32: “Those who split up their Religion, and become (mere) Sects - - -“. We have been told there have existed and exist some 3000 Muslim sects. We have not been able to verify the number, but it is clear there are quite a number – from Wahbbism in Saudi Arabia and stricter, to Amaddiyyas and others. It also is clear that through the history there have been more – some have been eradicated in blood even, as there is no compulsion in religion, according to Islam. As the Quran is said to be very clear and easy to understand, one impertinent question is: Which of the sects understands it correctly? – and why do all the others understand it differently? – and last, but very far from least: What is really the correct understanding?

024 30/37: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

025 30/42: “Travel through the earth and see what was the End of those before you (non-Muslims) - - -.” In the Middle East there were ruins here and there. Muhammad claimed they all were from people punished because they were non-Muslims and sinners. In an arid and harsh area populated by warring and feuding tribes, there were many other reasons for empty houses. Islam will have to provide strong proofs for the claim that they all were result of religious disbelief, if they want to be believed on this point.

00c 30/43: “- - - the right Religion (Islam) - - -”. Is it possible that the right religion can be based on a book with that many mistakes, repeated or made by an Arab salesman, highwayman, murderer (he let at least 26 opponents and others murder - Ibn Ishaq names 10 of them), torturer and rapist (he - at an age of nearly 60 - at least raped the newlywed, 17 year old Safijja after he had let her husband Kinana be tortured to death, and Raihana bint Amr after he had murdered the male part of her family and made the rest slaves.) Source for this information: Muhammad Ibn Ishaq: “Life of the Prophet Muhammad” - the in Islam most respected of the old (dead 768 AD) writers about Muhammad. (It was written for the second Abbasside caliph in Baghdad, Mansur, around 750 AD). Neither Arab salesmen, nor highwaymen, nor torturers, nor murderers, nor rapists have the best of reputations for being honest (even if Islam insists he was, but Islam hardly is the most reliable source on just that point). This Arab salesman, highwayman, torturer, murderer and rapist and inhuman warlord, was even unable to produce one single small proof for his story. But he (?) produced lots of loose statements and invalid “signs” and “proofs”.

He is the only source Islam is built on.

Can this be "the Right Religion"?

026 30/46a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

027 30/46b: “- - - glad tidings - - -”. Wrong. At the very best one can say that the Quran brought some glad tidings among all the bad warriors, wanting loot and slaves, and among some longing for a religion - - - if it was not because the Quran itself proves 100% that something is very wrong in the book. So wrong that it neither can be made nor revered by any god – not even by a small mini god. Too much is wrong in the book for that.

028 30/47a: “We (Allah) did indeed send, before thee (Muhammad*), messengers to the (respective) peoples - - -”. The Quran tells there were sent prophets by Allah too all peoples throughout the times - the Hadith (the second main “pillar” of “knowledge” about Islam) mention 124000, and even that may even be only a symbolic number. But neither in archaeology, nor in architecture (temples or stones reused for building f. ex.), nor in literature, nor history, nor folklore, nor even in fairy tales are there the slightest traces from those prophets. **That so many prophets should leave not even a whisper of a trace - flatly no.**

029 30/47b: “- - - Clear Signs - - -”. See 2/99 above.

030 30/48: “- - - then does He (Allah) spread them (the clouds*) in the sky as he wills, and break them into fragments, until thou seest raindrops issue from the midst thereof - - -”. **It is not possible to get it more wrong than this.** What happens is not that the clouds break apart,

but straight opposite: That droplets come together to form drops. No further comments. But ANY god had known better.

031 30/53: “- - -Our (Allah*) Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 and 2/99 above.

032 30/58: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

033 30/60: “- - - the promise of Allah is true - - -“. The promises of Allah are expressed via Muhammad and the Quran. Muhammad was a man of highly suspect morality according to his deeds told in Islamic religious and historical (the Quran, Hadiths, Ibn Ishaq to mention the most central ones) literature. The second is a book dictated by that man, and containing huge numbers of mistakes, twisted arguments, twisted logic, inhuman ethics and moral, etc., etc. Islam will have to bring real proofs to be believed – and Islam has till now been unable to prove anything fundamental - - - they instead glorify and insist on naïve blind belief.

Surah 30: At least 33 mistakes + 3 likely mistakes.

Subtotal till now: At least 1076 mistakes + 142 likely mistakes.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 4, Section 5 (= II-1-4-5)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. THE "COMPLETE" LIST - THE "ENCYCLOPAEDIA" - BASED ON SURAH AND VERSE NUMBER

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

SOME CLEAR FACT MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN SURAHS 31 THROUGH 40 IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear mistakes. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely mistake.

SURAH 31:

001 31/2: “These are Verses of the Wise Book”. A book with lots and lots of mistakes and with lots and lots of unfounded statements based on nothing except unproven, cheap words is no wise book and no book “full of wisdom”. It may be symptomatic that the name of this surah, Luqman, is the name of a wise man in some Arab fairy tales.

002 31/3a: “A Guide - - -”. See 31/2 just above. Such a book also is no good guide.

003 31/3b: “And it (the Quran) certainly is - - - a Mercy to those who believe.” With all its aversion against knowledge (except religious and related knowledge – f. ex. astronomy to follow the religious dates, special days, etc. exactly), its demand for hate and war, its dark and total dominance over all aspects of life, etc., - and the suppression of half its members (the women) - it is no mercy even to believers.

*004 31/30: “- - - Allah is the (only) Reality - - -.” The only facts that are realities about Allah, are that his existence is never proved, the belief in him rests only on blind belief, and all knowledge (or invented tales?) about him – absolutely all – come from a man with highly questionable ethics and morality, and a man willing to break even his oath if that gave better result, and one who used the tales as his platform of power.

Actually: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.

005 31/5: “These (Muslims*) are on (true) guidance - - -”. A book with lots of mistakes, twisted arguments, as twisted logic, and dictated by a man of very suspect morality and defending and enlarging his platform of power – his self proclaimed religion – is no reliable guidance and of suspected truth. More proofs are needed to make this believable.

006 31/9: “The promise of Allah (the Quran*) is true”. See 32/5 just above.

**007 31/10a: “He created the heavens (plural and wrong) without any pillars that you can see - - -”. The Quran tells that the 7 heavens rest on invisible pillars (of course they need pillars - if not they would fall down!!!). Nowadays even Islam knows this is wrong, and the statements have to be explained away. We have f. ex been told from Islamic information centres on Internet that: “- everyone with an IQ more than 60 of course understand that that means the pillars do not exist”.

But we know well the difference between “invisible” and “not existing”.

We also remember that the Quran - and Islam and Muslims - says the book is to be understood literally, (if nothing else is said).

008 31/10b: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

009 31/10a: “He set on earth mountains - - -”. The mountains are not set (another place in the Quran it even says “set down”) - they without exception have grown up.

010 31/10c: “He set on earth mountains standing firm, lest it should shake with you - - -”. Some Muslim scholars say that this refers to the (wrong) danger that the pancake-like (?), flat disc that is Earth, could start shaking, then to tumble and turn and drop you off. But even if we accept Islam’s modern “explanation” - that this has to do with earth quakes, it is completely wrong. Mountains do not hinder earth quakes. On the contrary: Earthquakes very often are connected to the same processes that build the mountains, whether this is tectonic or volcanic activity (when we say “very often” in connection with tectonic activity, the reason is that earthquakes also are made from tectonic plates just sliding past each other without building mountains). The fact is that in some cases mountains - or because of variances in big lakes or masses of snow in the mountains - varying weight can cause earthquakes (source: New Scientist). (There f. ex. are some more earthquakes in the northern part of the Earth during winter because of the weight of the snow, and there often is more snow in the mountains than in the low-land - source: New Scientist.)

00a 31/11: “Such is the Creation of Allah: now show Me (Allah) what is there that others beside Him have created - - -.” Show us first if all the cheap words about everything Allah has created, are true – there only are loose and easy words anyone can use about his/her god(s), free of charge. With all the mistakes and twisted words and logic and even some obvious lies (f. ex. that miracles will make no-one believe) the Quran is built on, also this may be wrong.

011 31/16: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

012 31/20a: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

013 31/20b: “- - - without a Book (like the Quran*) to enlighten them”. This implies that the Quran enlightens some ones – but a book with that many mistakes, etc., enlightens nobody. Also note how much value Muhammad puts on the written words - a book seems to be a proof for something in religion for him.

014 31/21 “- - - the (Revelations) that Allah has sent down - - -”. Can an omniscient god have sent down texts with so many mistakes? Impossible.

015 31/22: “- - - the most trustworthy hand-hold (the Quran and Allah*) - - -”. But a book with so many mistakes, etc. - and even some shining lies (like that miracles will not make a lot of people believe) – is not trustworthy.

016 31/25a: “If thou ask them who it is that created the heavens (plural and wrong once more) and the earth, they will certainly say, ‘Allah’”. Wrong. If they believe a god created this, they certainly will name their own god(s) - though in the old Arabia this might have been the polytheistic god al-Lah.

*** (The likeness of the pronunciation of al-Lah and Allah hides the difference when spoken - just like when the Muslims use the word God instead of Allah speaking to non-Muslims - it on the surface camouflages some of the fundamental differences there are between Allah and God/Yahweh for people with little knowledge).

017 31/25b: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

018 31/27: “- - - Allah is - - - Full of wisdom.” Not if the Quran is representative for his wisdom.

019 31/29a: “- - - Allah merges Night into Day and He merges Day into Night - - -.” This is one of the natural phenomena Muhammad hijacked without any proof or documentation. It is totally void of any value as a proof for Allah unless it is proved that it really is Allah that spins the Earth in the light from the sun – the real reason for the alternation of day and night. Without such proofs, this just is another number of cheap, valueless words that anyone can use free of charge for his/her god(s).

020 31/29b: “- - - He (Allah*) has subjected the sun and the moon (to His Law) - - -”. A nice proof for Allah - - - but only if it is proved that it really is he that moves them in their trajectories. Without such proofs, these just are cheap words in a valueless claim anyone can use totally free of charge – for Allah or for any other god, real or invented.

021 31/29c: “Seest thou not - - - that He (Allah) has subjected the sun and the moon (to His Law), each running its course - - -.” Wrong. Relative to the Earth the sun is fixed and it is the Earth that is moving. (“Explanation” from some Muslims: We drop the fact that it here is talk about the relative movements between the Earth and the sun – obvious partly because it is talk about movement relative to the observer on Earth, and obvious from the fact that is talk about the movements of both the sun and the moon – and we drop the fact that the verse started with “Seest thou not - - -”, and find the "obvious" meaning: Muhammad talks about the sun’s course around the galaxy, once every 225 million years. Well, it could have been obvious, if they had explained why they settled for that movement – because the galaxy is moving around in the Local Group (a small cluster of galaxies), which again is moving around in our local Super Group (a cluster of a couple of thousands galaxies), which again is moving towards some enormous centre of gravity called The Great Attractor - - - which again may be on its way somewhere. **(Muhammad clearly had not the faintest idea about the real connections between Earth, moon and sun – any god had known everything exactly. Theb who made the Quran?)** Even more: Even if one only looks at the movement of the sun around the galaxy, that is not a circle – it is a bent approximately sinuous curve as Helios – our sun – wobbles up and down over and under the galactic equator on its way around the galactic centre. But pick and choose the parts of the total movement till you find one you like.

**If they are they just cherry picking the arguments they like and that seemingly are usable, no matter whether it really fits or not? Well, it would not be the first time.

**022 31/30: “That is because Allah is the (only) Realty - - -”. Is Allah really a reality? All the tales about him derives from just one man - a man even canonized Islamic history tells for long time lived as a chief highwayman and from robbing and extortion (for kidnapped salesmen, etc.). A man initiating assassinations and murders on his opponents (f. ex. Asma bint Marwan (female poet), al-Nadr, Abu Uzza, and Ocba after the battle of Badr, Abu Afaq (said to be over 100 years old), Kab ibn al-Ashraf, Ibn Sunayana, Othman bin Moghira, Abi ‘l Huqayq, and not to forget Kinana b. al-Rabi whom he tortured to death to find riches, and afterwards he personally raped Kinana's 17 year old, newlywed wife Safijja (Muhammad was nearly 60 then). A man that initiated mass murder - once some 700 helpless male prisoners, and made all their children and women slaves - one of them, Safijja bint Huayay (and another time Raihana bint Amr), for his own personal use), a rapist with permission from Allah for himself and all his men to rape (“have sexual connections with” to use more polite words) all female slaves and prisoners that were not pregnant (this tells something about Allah, too). A

man that initiated war and got 20% or more of all spoils of war, included slaves (though not all for his personal use). And a man lusting for power - easy to see both from the Quran and from Hadith. And a man - and a god - entirely unable to produce one iota of a real proof for the tales. (Sources: Among others: Ibn Hisham and Ibn Ishaq - both most respected by Islam for biographies about Muhammad. Ibn Ishaq's "The Life of Muhammad" is the most respected of the old ones of all Muhammad biographies in Islam - written for the caliph in Baghdad around 750 AD. Plus the Quran and Hadiths – Al-Bukhari and Muslim).

Only this man told the tales in the Quran - **tales that on top of all have hundreds and hundreds of mistakes, at least hundreds of loose statements and hundreds of invalid "signs" and "profs" - also they loose statements, claims, and invalid "signs"/"proofs", all being the hallmarks of cheats and deceivers, and of persons without true arguments.**

A good and perfect man, according to Islam. If that is true, we hope never to meet a bad Muslim.

But a man normal people would say was dubious and with a dubious morality. Is a dubious man with dubious morality and who is unable to produce the slightest proof, but for a lot of airy and partly illogical excuses for this inability, and even "signs" and "proofs" without value, **is this such a man that tells just and only the undeniable and full truth?**

And the only indication Islam has for the reality of Allah is the tales of that kind of a man.

023 31/31a: "- - - His Signs - - -." Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 and 2/99 above.

024 31/31b: "- - - Sign(s) - - -." Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

025 31/32: "- - - Our (Allah's*) Signs - - -". No omniscient and omnipotent god uses unreliable and/or unproven signs to prove his existence and power.

026 31/34: "Verily, with Allah is full knowledge and he is acquainted (with all things)". All the mistakes in the Quran prove the opposite - - - or that someone else made the Quran.

Surah 31: At least 26 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 32:

001 32/2a: "(This is) the revelation of the Book (the Quran) - - -." It is not a revelation from an omniscient god – too many mistakes. (But perhaps from bad forces or humans?)

002 32/2b: "- - - the Book in which there is no doubt - - -" Because of f. ex. all the mistaken facts and invalid arguments in the Quran, there is very good reason for doubt.

003 32/2c: "- - - the Book (the Quran*) from the Lord (Allah*) of the Worlds." Wrong. No omniscient god makes a book with that many mistakes, etc., not to mention reverse it in his "home" as the Mother Book.

004 32/2d: "- - - the Worlds." Once more a reference to the 7 Earths in the Quran. Wrong.

005 32/3a: “Nay, it (the Quran*) is the Truth - - -”. No. At best it is partly the truth - too many mistakes, contradictions, etc.

006 32/3b: “Nay, it (the Quran*) is the Truth from thy Lord (Allah*) - - -”. Can a book with that many mistakes and “signs” and “proofs” without logical value really be composed by an omniscient god and be the revered “Mother Book” in Heaven? No.

007 32/4a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

008 32/4b: “It is Allah Who has created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth, and all between them, in six Days - - -”. One simple and polite expression: Very wrong. And another: A contradiction to the verses in the Quran which tell $2 + 4 + 2 = 8$ days.

009 32/5a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

010 32/5b: “Verily, a Day in the sight of thy Lord (Allah*) is like a thousand years of your (Mohammad’s*) reckoning”. Is something wrong here as 70/4 says: “- - - unto Him (Allah*) in a day the measure of which is (as) fifty thousand years”? Even if this should be figurative speech, a factor of 50 is much. Another contradiction in reality. Also see 22/47.

011 32/6: “- - - the Knower of all things (Allah*) - - -”. Something is seriously wrong: Allah is not the knower of all things if the Quran is representative for his knowledge.

012 32/7a: “He (Allah*) Who made everything which He created most Good - - -”. Wrong. We could had had better resistance concerning illnesses, our bodies could have been able to make more of the vitamins themselves, our brain could have been better – f.ex. ability to think about 2-3 things at a time, or learning more easily – just to mention a few points. Good, but far from most good.

013 32/7b: “He began the creation of man with (nothing more than) clay”. Wrong. Man was not created from clay. See 6/2.

014 32/8: “And (Allah*) made his (man’s) progeny from a quintessence of the nature of a fluid despised (semen*)”. Only half the truth. Muhammad believed the semen was a kind of seed planted in the woman – he did not know about the egg cell. Any god had known. Then who made the Quran?

00a 32/10: “- - - a Creation renewed?” Muhammad believed we are to be recreated bodily at the Day of Doom. He also argues that if Allah is able to create you from semen (and egg – though unknown to Muhammad) he is able to recreate you bodily after you have become dust and juices. May be – but there is a difference between to create the natural way, and to recreate against strong entropy (a name in physics for caos or something like that) – the logic therefore is lacking.

015 32/15: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

016 32/22a: “And who does more wrong than one to whom are recited the Signs of his Lord (Allah*), and who then turns therefrom?” There is nothing wrong in being sceptical to a religion built only on a book with many mistakes and not one single valid proof, but with many “signs” and “proofs” without any value or even 100% wrong, but which may have the

effect of cheating uneducated or not intelligent persons - and on top of all told only by a man whose honesty normal, intelligent people would suspect because of the morality of his deeds and some of his words – **when a man preaches good, but does and demands many things bad, we any day believe in his deeds and demands more than in his words.**

017 32/22b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

018 32/23: “He (Allah) Who has made everything which He has created most Good - - -”. Wrong. Far from everything is made most good. To take humans: We could do with seeing a bit more of the electromagnetic spectre, we could do with a body able to produce more vitamins, we could do with better resistance against illnesses, we could do with a body stronger for wear and tear, we could do with stronger bones and other body parts, we could do a lot better with auto regeneration of lost body parts, and lots and lots of more that could have been better and closer to what is “most Good”.

019 32/23: “We did aforetime give the Book to Moses - - -”. Wrong. Those books were according to science written 5 to 8 centuries after Moses was dead. (He got the 10 Commandments and was told the law only, according to the Bible – and being 1000 years older and built on real traditions, the Bible is more reliable on this point. The law itself may be older, but the books no.)

020 32/24: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

021 32/26: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

Surah 32: At least 21 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 33:

001 33/1a: “O Prophet (Muhammad)!”. But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true - if not he is a false prophet.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet as he did not have the gift of prophesying. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

002 33/1b: “- - - Allah is full of knowledge and wisdom.” The mistakes, etc. in the Quran proves the opposite – or that something else is wrong.

003 33/2: “- - - that which comes to thee (the words of the Quran to Muhammad*) by inspiration from thy Lord (Allah*) - - - “. Can a book with that many mistakes and contradictions, that many “signs” and “proofs” without logical value, and absolutely without one single valid proof, told by a man of such a “good” quality (see 31/30) really and surely come from a benevolent, kind, good and omniscient god? Or is anything wrong with Allah? Actually also Islam most reluctantly accepts that there is no proof of Allah, or of Allah’s sending down the Quran or of Islam. A book like “The Message of the Quran” dismisses this with that intellectually it is impossible not to see from the texts of the Quran that the book is made from Allah, and that it is a primitive way of thinking and reasoning, to have to ask for proofs to accept the full truth of the Quran (actually that is to turn reality upside down; one has to be very primitive - and naive - to accept something to be true, just because a rather suspect book repeats and repeats that it must be true - repeat a lie often enough, and people will start to believe it, Goebbels said.)

Nyet - a good English word meaning doubly no: **Such a book is from no-one omniscient.**

004 33/4a: “Allah has not made for any man two hearts in his (one) body - - -“. Wrong. This really has happened – like almost anything else in the complicated creation that is man.

005 33/4b: “But Allah (the Quran*) tells (you) the truth - - -“. May be Allah does, but the Quran does so only now and then - see all the mistakes and invalid signs and proofs.

006 33/4c: “- - - He (Allah*) shows the (right) Way.” Not possible if the Quran is the words of Allah – too many mistakes, etc. A book that at best is partly true is a bad map.

007. 33/6: “The Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -“. But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and say that there

were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is one more solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

*Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet as he did not have the gift of prophesying. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

008 33/8a: “- - - the (custodians (those the Quran recons to be prophets*)) of Truth (the teachings of Islam*) - - -”. The teachings of Islam as represented by the Quran, at best is partly true - see all the mistakes, contradictions, etc. (and invalid “proofs” and “signs”).

009 33/8b: “That (Allah) may question the (custodians (see 33/8a just above*)) of Truth concerning the Truth they (were charged with) - - -”. The Quran says/pretends that the old scriptures of Israel were the same as in the Quran, but that bad Jews distorted them. If that had been true, they at best were charged with bits and pieces of truth - see all mistakes, lofty “explanations” and invalid “signs” and “proofs” in the Quran. (Besides science has proved the Bible - here OT - is not falsified. There may be some mistakes, but no falsifications.)

010 33/13: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -”. But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and say that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is one more solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet as he did not have the gift of prophesying. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why. Also see 30/40 and 30/45.

*011 33/16: “Running away will not profit you, if you are running away from death or slaughter; and even if (ye do escape) , no more than a brief (respite) will ye be allowed to enjoy”. Wrong. It is very easy to prove by means of statistics, that if you get away from a battle, your chances for being alive one year later, greatly improve. Any god had known – but

Muhammad did not know statistics. (Actually the claim also is contra-intuitive and against common sense – he had to know they were lies even if he knew no statistics). Besides: Even a short piece of time + another place are divergences from Allah's omniscient previous desition and knowledge.

***012 33/21: “Ye (Muslims*) have in the Messenger of Allah a beautiful pattern (of conduct) for anyone whose hope is in Allah and the final Day - - -“. Wrong.

Thieving/robbing, womanizing, raping, lying, betraying, extorting, suppressing, murdering, hate mongering, war mongering, mass murder, raids to rob and kill and enslave, and wars of aggression – that is no “beautiful pattern” according to any human moral or ethical codex, except in some war religions, included Islam, and it tells volumes about Islam that this man is their greatest hero and shining idol.

013 33/28: “O Prophet (Muhammad*)!” But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and say that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is one more solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet as he did not have the gift of prophesying. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why. Also see 30/40 and 30/45.

014 33/30: “- - - the Prophet - - -.” See 33/28 just above and 33/45 below.

015 33/32: “- - - the Prophet - - -“. See 33/28 above and 33/45 below.

016 33/34: “And recite - - - Allah and His Wisdom (= the Quran*) - - -“. There is limited wisdom in a book full of mistakes, and in addition: How to pick what is wisdom – if any – among all the mistakes, twisted words and logic, and even some outright lies?

017 33/38: “- - - the Prophet - - -.” See 33/28 above, and 30/40a + 33/45a below.

018 33/40a: “(Muhammad was*) the Seal of the Prophets - - -.” Muhammad was no real prophet - a messenger or an apostle for something or someone perhaps, but no real prophet. See 33/28 above and 33/45 below:

019 33/40b: “- - - th Seal of the Prophets - - -.” See 33/40a just above: How could Muhammad be the seal of the prophets (the last and greatest prophet), when he in reality was not a real prophet? – he neither had, nor pretended to have, nor claimed to have the gift of prophesying!!!

020 33/40c: “- - - Allah has full knowledge of all things.” The Quran proves this is not true – lots of mistakes, etc. Or may be it is not Allah that made the Quran?

***021 33/45a: “O Prophet! - - -“. But was Muhammad really a prophet? A prophet is a person that has the gift of being able to make distinct prophesies – and does it. Then there is the difference between the real and the false prophet – because there were lots of false prophets, as it was (and still is) an easy way to make a good living if you are clever. According to the Bible the distinction between a real prophet and a false one, is that the real prophet made prophesies and they came true, whereas what the false ones make of prophesies do not come true (except sometimes by coincidence). Muhammad did not even try to make prophesies or pretend he could make such. There are a few times where what he said happened to come true by coincidence – and were remembered by his followers just because it came true, whereas what he said that did not come true, were not remembered. It is like that with each and every human being; we say and we talk so much, that sometimes something has got to be correct now and then – In Scandinavia they even have a special expression for it: To “gaa troll i ord” – which means something like a troll makes your words come true – but it has no implication of you being clairvoyant or a prophet. Muhammad did not even try to make real prophesies – one of the three absolute requirements for being a prophet. (And then he did not even test the second requirement: Did his prophesies mostly/always come true?) He simply was too smart to try to show off with things he knew he was unable to do. And then of course he also lacked the other requirements: Prophesies that came true, and prophesies as a part of his mission. Muhammad simply had none of the three requirements for being a prophet:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

Muhammad simply was no prophet – he did not have that gift. He just stole or “borrowed” a distinguished title. As a prophet he only was an impostor – an eloquent leader, but unable to do what makes you a prophet: To make prophesies – and prophesies that regularly come true.

***He may have been a messenger, if it is true he had a message. But no prophet. (Islam likes to tell that to be a messenger is something much more than being a prophet. But to be a prophet, you have to have special gifts, whereas to be a messenger simply means that you more or less passively bring messages from one place to another – an errand boy.) But another

question in case is: A messenger boy for whom? – for himself? – for other humans? – for some dark forces? Two things are 100% sure:

1. *He was not a messenger boy for any omniscient god – too many mistakes, contradictions, twisted arguments and as twisted logic, etc. in the Quran.
2. **He in any case was not a messenger boy for any good or benevolent god – too much stealing, hate, discrimination and inhumanity, not to mention rape, blood, extortion, suppression, enslavement, murder and war. (It is said that Muhammad just was another robber baron and warlord – no worse than other robber barons and warlords living from stealing, extortion and slave trading in those hard times. That may be true. But he definitely was no better than the others, too – and he should have been much better than all the others if he represented a good and benevolent god). His behaviour and his real message from all the years in Medina prove far beyond any doubt that if he represented a god, it was so absolutely not a good god. Also cheating and lying and breaking even one's oaths, are the hallmarks of a cheat and a deceiver and a swindler – and of a dark god or worse.

All the mistaken facts in the Quran that are in accordance with wrong “science” in Arabia (mainly from old Greece and Persia) at the time of Muhammad, clearly indicates that the Quran is made by one or more humans there and then. But if there was a god involved, the inhuman surahs from Medina most clearly show he definitely was not a good one. - - - But may be a devil in disguise?

022 33/45b: “Truly We (Allah*) have sent thee (Muhammad*) - - -“. Muhammad was not sent by Allah if Allah was a good god – his (Muhammad's*) teachings were too bloody and too inhuman to represent a good god - and with too many mistakes, etc. (but they made a good platform of power for Muhammad). See also 33/45a just above.

023 33/45c: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -“. Wrong. Islam is no glad tidings, except for the ones not suppressed and could rob and steal and rape and become rich – and really glad only for the ones near the top of the pyramid. It often is like that in war religions, especially when made to fit a strong and charismatic leader (and his successors), though many war religions have not been as hypocritical as Islam in trying to make its members and others believe it is good and just and benevolent. And well, it may have been glad tidings for the minor percent of people that needs a religion to lean on (the exact percentage is not known, but science indicates 5 – 10 %, though some more in difficult times.) In Islam these small percents have usurped all the power and force everybody not only to live, but also to think and behave like themselves.

00a 33/46: “(Muhammad be*) as a lamp spreading light.” Did Muhammad spread most light or most darkness? A rhetoric question needing no answer.

024 33/47: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -”. See 33/45a and 33/45c above.

025 33/50a: “O, Prophet! - - -.” But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and say that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet as he did not have the gift of prophesying. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why. Also see 30/40 and 30/45.

026 33/50b: “- - - to the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -.” See 33/40a, 33/45a, and 33/50a just above.

027 33/50c: “- - - if the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -.” See 33/40a, 33/45a, and 33/50a above.

28 33/53a: “- - - the Prophet’s (Muhammad’s*) house - - -.” See 33/40a, 33/45a, and 33/50 above.

029 33/53b: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -.” See 33/40a, 33/45a, and 33/50a above.

030 33/58: “O Prophet! (Muhammad*) - - -.” See 33/40a, 33/45a, and 33/50 above.

00b 33/60: “- - - those (non-Muslims, hypocrites, etc.*) in whose heart is a disease - - -”. A good slogan that you meet many places in the Quran: **If you are not a good Muslim, that means you are sick. But like many slogans it may be a twisted truth – or simply a lie.**

****031 33/61-62: “They (non-Muslims, hypocrites, etc.*) shall have a curse on them: whenever they are found, they shall be sized and slain (without mercy) (‘no compulsion in religion’ 2/256*). (Such was) the praxis (approved) of Allah among those who lived aforetime

(f. ex. Jews and Christians*). Muhammad claimed that Allah was just another name for Yahweh – **but try to find an order telling that all non-Christians shall be murdered “without mercy” in NT and in the new covenant (f. ex. Luke 22/20 in NT) (a covenant Muslims never mention) and NT that Christianity is built on** . Oh, we know very well that persons from Christian countries have done bad things, but that was in spite of their religion – and they were not really Christians deep down – and not in accordance with, or even because of the religion, like the case often is with the “religion of peace” (Muslim-speak for camouflaging the “religion of war”) Islam.

***032 33/62: “(Such was) the practice (kill non-believers without mercy*) (approved) of Allah among those who lived aforetime: no change wilt thou find in the practice (approved) of Allah.” Muhammad here refers to the Mosaic and the Christian religions (and he sets Allah = Yahweh) when he talks about “those who lived aforetime”. But even though OT is hard against many non-Jews, the war and the killing was to get room for living for the Jews, not wanton murdering just because they were not Jews or for plunder and slaves. And in NT: Try to find a single place saying that non-believers shall be murdered just because they have another religion – such an order simply does not exist. **The Quran here actually is a 180 degree contradiction to the very core of the teachings of Jesus.**

Any god had been lying if he said this, but Muhammad did not know the Bible well, so may be – just may be – he thought he spoke the truth. In any case it was a good statement for a warlord trying to secure and enlarge his platform of power. (This surah is believed to be from 627 – 629 AD – before he had gained absolute control by conquering Mecca.)

033 33/72a: “We (Allah*) even offered the Trust to the Heavens (plural and wrong*) and (the planet*) Earth and the Mountains, but they refused to undertake it - -”. Neither the planet (or disc like Muhammad believed) Earth, nor its mountains has the brain or consciousness to accept or refuse anything. A fairy tale.

034 33/72b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

Surah 33: At least 34 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

Surah 34:

001 34/1a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

002 34/1b: “- - - He (Allah*) is full of Wisdom - - -”. Well, his words in the Quran proves that something is wrong, because that book is full of mistakes, contradictions, twisted arguments, claims based on thin air, invalid logic and even some outright lies (like “miracles will make no-one believe anyhow”).

00a 34/3a: “But most surely, (I – Muhammad) by my Lord (Allah*) - - -”. The expression “by my Lord” here is an oath, but then Muhammad very clearly and several times (Hadiths) said that even tough it was not a good thing normally to break an oat if you had meant it when you said it (if not it was/is more or less ok.), it was no big sin to break it if you had a reason – yes, in some cases it even is the right thing to do. **This – a part of what is often called al-Taqiyya or the lawful lie - is a problem even today: When can you believe what a Muslim says and when not? Actually it also is a problem for Muslims; they have no reasonably sure way to strengthen their words when they need to do so, because even an oat is

not reliable – with clear precedence from Muhammad (he f.ex. promised an unarmed peace delegation from Khaibar safe return - - - and murdered all of them except one who managed to get away (29 out of 30). Add to this the slogan “War is betrayal” to quote Muhammad in Ibn Ishaq.)

003 34/3b: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

004 34/5: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 and 2/99above.

00b 34/6a: “- - - the (Revelation (the Quran*)) - - -“. If it is a revelation, it at least is not from an omniscient god – too many mistakes, etc., and not from any good powers (god or spirit) – too much stealing, suppression, rape, hate, blood, murder, war, inhumanity, etc.

005 34/6b: “- - - the (Revelation (the Quran*)) sent down to thee (Muhammad*) by thy Lord (Allah*) - - -“. The Quran is not from any omniscient god – too many mistakes, etc. Neither is it from a good god – war religions normally are not: Too much inhumanity, injustice and terror.

006 34/6c: “- - - that is the Truth - - -.” Too many things “talks” against the claim that it is the truth that the Quran is from Heaven – Islam will have to prove it to make us believe it.

007 34/6d: “- - - it (the Quran*) guides to the Path (to Heaven*) - - -.” A book with that doubtful contents impossibly can be a god guide – Islam will have to prove it to make us believe it.

00c 34/8: “- - - those who believe not - - - are - - - in the farthest Error.” The question is: **Who is likely to be in the farthest error; he/she that believes naively and blindly in a book where not a thing is proved or documented except that much of its contents are wrong and twisted and invalid and some of it even lies, and a book that is told by a man of very dubious character, but with lust for womwn and power? Or he/she that tries to find out if his tales can be true or not**– and then leaves it if they find it is a made up religion (or start looking for a true religion, if such one exists). A made up religion has no value whether another real religion exists or not (but of course it is most serious if such a true religion exists, and one is denied the possibility to search for it. Then the possible next life may be troublesome).

008 34/9a: “- - - We (Allah*) could cause a piece of the sky to fall upon them.” The sky as we see it is an optical illusion (Muhammad believed it was something material that the stars was fastened to). How can a piece of an optical illusion - a mirage so to say - fall down upon someone?

Muslims tend to “explain” this with that the Quran talks about a shooting star or similar. But the book other places talks about such stars, and even though it believes it to be ordinary stars, it very clearly knows the difference between this and the sky. There is no doubt it is talking about a piece of the sky itself.

009 34/9b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

010 34/12a: “And to Solomon (We (Allah*) made) the Wind (obedient) - - -.” Islam will have to prove that this is not just one more storey “borrowed” from a legend/fairy tale.

011 34/12b: “- - - and We (Allah*) made a Font of molten brass to flow for him (Solomon*) - - -”.

1. To keep s fountain of molten brass running, was technical impossible at that time.
2. If it had been running all the same, there is no chance at all for that it had been forgotten or omitted from the Bible too mighty a wonder.

The claim simply is a fairy tale, perhaps inspired by the temple's brass “sea” the Bible tells about – a round metal vessel filled with water, 10 cubits (4.5 m) diameter and 5 cubits (2.25 m) high (1. Kings 7/23).

**012 34/14a: “Then, when We (Allah*) decreed (Solomon’s) death, nothing showed them (the surroundings included jinns) his death, except a little worm of the earth, which kept (slowly) gnawing away his staff - - -”. Wrong:

1. In the castle of Solomon there would be no earth and then no worm from the earth. (This could not happen outside, as his staff would not leave the mighty king sitting outside through many days and nights).
2. There exists no worm from the earth able to gnaw dry, hard wood like in a staff. Some Muslims wants this to have been a termite, but a termite is no worm, and a god knows that.
3. See also 34/14 just below.

**013 34/14b: “Then, when We (Allah*) decreed (Solomon’s) death, nothing showed them (see 34/14a just above) his death, except a little worm from the earth, which kept (slowly) gnawing away his staff; so when he fell down - - -”. Wrong: It would take days for a small worm to weaken the staff enough for Solomon to fall - may be weeks.

1. A mighty king sitting not mowing for too long would after a time be addressed by his servants.
2. A mighty king not talking for a long enough while, would be addressed by his servants.
3. A mighty king not taking care of his duties and his visitors for a long enough while, would be addressed by his servants.
4. A mighty king not going to bed in the evening would be addressed by his servants.
5. Rigor mortis (“the stiffness of death” - the only possible, but highly unlikely reason for the situation) takes time to start – and it

disappears. If not for other reasons, he would fall because rigor mortis disappeared long before a small worm had the time to weaken the staff.

6. In the climate of Jerusalem - even in winter (when there after all would be a fire) - his body would start decomposing. Everyone had to notice that.

A fairy tale simply.

014 34/19: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

015 34/22: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

016 34/24: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

017 34/28a: “We (Allah*) have not sent thee (Muhammad) - - -”. Was Muhammad really sent by an omniscient and omnipotent god? As you see if you read this chapter, there are serious reasons for doubt. (See f. ex. 41/12). So serious that Islam will have to prove it, not only rely on demanding blind belief built on hundreds of mistakes and twisted logic, etc. – told by a man of very dubious character and morality, but with a taste for power and with religion his platform of power.

00d 34/28b: “We (Allah*) have not sent thee (Muhammad*) but as a universal (Messenger) - - -”. If he was universal, why then is everything only from Arabia? – even when correct information existed other places (f. ex the form of the Earth) in the Quran you find wrong knowledge. And the made up and wrong legends and fairy tales that circulated in Arabia? No god had done such mistakes as using them instead of using correct information.

018 34/28c: “- - - glad tidings - - -”. See 33/45c.

019 34/38: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

020 34/43a “- - - Our (Allah’s) Clear Signs - - -”. There exists not one single clear sign proving Allah in all the Quran. See 2/99.

021 34/43b: “And the Unbelievers say of the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. The Quran at best represents partly the truth - see all the mistakes, invalid statements, etc.

022 34/45: “And their (the unbelievers*) predecessors rejected (the Truth) - - -”. See f. ex. 34/43 just above, and many others - like 49/75.

*****023 34/47: “No reward do I (Muhammad*) ask of you - - -”. - - - except absolute power and plenty of women. Yes, and 20% of all stolen/looted valuables and slaves – 100% if there is no fight – and poor-tax (on average ca. 2.5% of everything you own each and every year) as I need money for bribes, for strengthening my religion and platform of power, and for war and myself and my large family, and some for the poor.**

024 34/48: “Verily my Lord (Allah*) cast the (mantle of) the Truth (the Quran) - - -”. See f. ex. 34/43 just above, and many others, like 40/75 or 41/12.

025 34/49: “The Truth (the Quran*) has arrived - - -”. See f. ex. 34/43 above, and many others.

*****026 34/50: “If I (Muhammad*) am astray, I only stray to the loss of my own soul - - -”.

This is outmost and extremely wrong – if Muhammad was astray (and too much point in that direction) it is to the loss of each and every Muslim’s soul. Because then Islam is a false religion.

This is one more place where Muhammad knew he was lying – he was too intelligent not to see this was wrong.

027 34/52: “We (Muslims*) do believe (now) in the (truth) (the Quran*) - - -”. The Quran at best is partly true – too many mistakes, invalid claims + invalid logic - - - and some outright lies.

Surah 34: At least 27 mistakes + 4 likely mistakes.

SURAH 35:

001 35/1a: “- - - Allah, who created (out of nothing) the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth - - -”. In a way it is nearly correct that the heaven as we see it, is made of nearly nothing, as an optical illusion is made from photons (but Muhammad was very wrong all the same, as he/the Quran believed the heavens consisted of something material). But the Earth definitely is not made from nothing - not even if one refers to Big Bang.

002 35/1b: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

003 35/2: “(Allah is*) Full of Wisdom.” Not if the Quran is representative for his knowledge and wisdom – too many mistakes, etc.

*004 35/3a: “- - - how then are ye deluded away from the Truth?” The big question: Who are deluded - the Muslims or the non-Muslims? The Quran has so many mistakes and other blemishes that to say it is made or revered by or sent down from a god, is an insult to that god. And if the Quran is wrong about Allah, the Muslims are even more deluded.

005 35/3b: “- - - how then are ye deluded away from the Truth (the Quran*)?” Is it the truth? At least not the only and the full truth. Too many things are wrong.

00a 35/5a: “Certainly the promise of Allah is true.” If he exists and if he is omniscient and if he is omnipotent, it is to be hoped it is so. But it is not possible from the Quran to know what is true or if anything is true at all - too many mistakes, too many contradictions, too many invalid “signs” and “proofs”, and too many tales and statements built on nothing or on other statements resting just on air.

*00b 35/5b: “- - - (not) let the Chief Deceiver deceive you about Allah.” The Quran here talks about the Devil. But one question: Muhammad is the absolute and unquestioned chief of the Muslims. **If Islam is a false religion – is Muhammad then the Chief Deceiver?** The question is not ridiculous – it is sure it is neither made by an omniscient god (too much is

wrong in the Quran), nor by a good god (too much dishonesty, discrimination, inhumanity, hate, blood and war), and then the alternatives are: Made by man – rational or ill (f. ex. TLE - Temporal Lobe Epilepsy - will explain much) - or made by some dark forces – f. ex. the Devil dressed up like Gabriel.

006 35/9: “- - - and revive the earth therewith (with rain) after its death - - -”. Land that can be revived by only adding rain is not dead. It is alive with seeds and perhaps roots.

007 35/11a: “And Allah did create you from dust - - -”. Wrong - man was not created from dust. See 6/2. We may add that the Quran some places have a list of the step-by-step development of humans. The first step generally is the creation of man (like here). Next step is the semen - Mohammad does not seem to have known about the egg cell - like in 35/11b just below. Next steps are the start and development of the foetus - but bones come before the meat, wrong. Then sometimes follows birth and development of the child and the man.

008 35/11b: “And Allah did create you from dust, then from a sperm-drop - - -.” See 35/11a just above. In addition; no one is made from a sperm drop - one always is made from (a) sperm (cell) + an egg cell. A god had known this, but Muhammad not, as an egg cell for one thing is nearly impossible to see in the blood and gore of a carcass, and not least: Even if he saw it, he would not know it was something special.

009 35/12: “He (Allah*) merges Night into Day, and he merges Day into Night - - -”. The alternation between day and night happens because the Earth spins around, swimming in the sunshine in space. Muhammad very often takes natural phenomena and calls them proofs/signs for/from Allah. It is untrue unless Islam first really proves both that this is done by a god, and that this god is Allah. But normally Islam proves nothing – it only claims and states and demands blind and naïve belief without documentation - - - even in the face of solid proofs for that something is very wrong.

010 35/14: “And none (O man!) can tell you (the Truth) like the One (Allah) - - -”. This may be true if Allah exists. But the truth as told in the Quran, at best is partly the truth - mistakes, contradictions, invalid “signs” and “proofs”, etc.

00c 35/24a: “Verily We (Allah) have sent thee (Muhammad*) - - -”. Verily Muhammad and the Quran repeats and repeats and repeats this (most often in the words “Allah and his Messenger”) - worthy of a certain German “Minister of Propaganda” between 1933 and 1945 we think it was, a very honest and reliable (?) man named Joseph Goebbels, whose slogan was: **“Repeat a lie often enough, and people will start to believe it”**. Here it has been repeated zillions of times through the time, and millions of Muslims believe in it - but then no Muslim society has ever trained their subjects in critical thinking, or for thinking realism. On the contrary: Muslim societies normally have trained them in the sick kind of thinking that is believing that most acts and most information are lies that gives reason for conspiracy theories + blind belief in Islam and the mullah and the imam.

Perhaps the words in the quotation are true. But most likely they are not. One thing is all the mistakes in the Quran that tells it is not reliable and most likely is invented. **More serious is that in spite of being asked again and again and again Muhammad was unable to prove anything at all** - one hallmark of a lie – or more lies - is that proofs are impossible. One have to use fast-talk and evasions, both of which there are plenty of in the Quran. And when there is a question of proving anything? – there still is plenty of fast-talk in Islam.

*But worst of all are all the invalid claims and statements, and the “signs”, “proofs” and fast-talk - those are the hallmarks of any smart cheater or false prophet that for natural reasons are unable to produce proofs.

011 35/24b: “Verily We (Allah*) have sent thee (Muhammad*) in truth - - -”. We are back to the literally age old question (the serious questions that started around 610 AD - but Muhammad in the end was military the strongest) about the Quran: **What - if anything - is true, and what is not true in the Quran? (Nowadays it is easy to see that at least many of the tales and many of the statements are untrue).**

012 35/24c: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -.” Wrong. Islam is no glad tidings, except for the ones not suppressed – and really glad only for the ones looking for booty and slaves and stolen riches, and not least for the ones near the top of the pyramid that got – and get – a lot of power in addition. It often is like that in war religions, especially when made to fit a strong and charismatic leader (and his successors), though many war religions have not been as hypocritical as Islam in trying to make its members and others believe it is good and just and humane and benevolent. And well, it may have been glad tidings for the percentage of people that need a religion to lean on – at least for the possible ones where the old pagan religion was not strong enough.

**013 35/24d: “- - - and there never was a people, without a warner (a prophet for Allah*) having lived among them (in the past) - - -”. As said before: Neither in archaeology, nor in architecture, nor in art, nor in history, nor in literature, nor in folklore, nor in folk tales - not even in fairy tales, do we find a single trace of any teaching of monotheism, with two well known (Yahweh and Allah) and two or three less known exceptions (Pharaoh Akn-Aton, praying to the sun, an Arab sect around 600 BC - likely inspired by the two monotheistic religions in the area – plus the Zoroastrians after a fashion). Some places one or a few gods dominated, but no monotheism.

1. In the Americas - absolutely nothing.
2. In Australia - absolutely nothing.
3. In the Pacific - absolutely nothing.
4. In Europe - absolutely nothing.
5. In Africa - absolutely nothing with the exception of one single man: Pharaoh Akn-Aton - but he so definitely was not speaking about Allah. He wanted the sun for the only god.
6. In Asia - absolutely nothing, except in what we now call the Middle East: The Christians, the well known Jews and as already mentioned the Zoroastrians mainly in Persia (after a fashion) and a less well known Arab monotheistic and at that time not very old sect - most likely inspired by the Jews. Of course there was Buddha, but he was/is no god, and besides he accepted that gods existed, but told they were on wrong ways not leading to nirvana - no monotheism.

124000 (or more - the number is said to be symbolic, as there may have been more) prophets had to have left some traces somewhere, if the tale was true.

This statement simply is not true. If Islam still insists, they will have to produce strong proofs. “Strong statements demands strong evidence”, to quote science. And not just loose claims, invalid “signs” and “proofs”, and more loose statements like Islam normally produces.

014 35/25a: “- - - Clear Signs - - -“. See 2/99.

015 35/25b: “- - - the Book of Enlightenment (the Quran) - - -“. A book with so many mistakes and so many invalid “signs” and “proofs” is too unreliable to be any kind of enlightenment. Actually one single mistake or one single false “proof” would prove the Quran was not from an omniscient god - and here are hundreds (actually unbelievable may be 3000 if you count all kinds of wrongs).

*016 35/28: “Those truly fear Allah - - - who have knowledge - - -“. The fact is that it is more common to be religious if you have little knowledge and/or intelligence (but of course this is a good way of flattering and attracting the naïve and the uneducated). And **the Quran also proves that somewhere there was/is a huge lack of correct knowledge – and consequently that something is seriously wrong with the book and hence with the religion.**

017 35/31a: “That which We (Allah*) have revealed to thee (Muhammad/people*) of the Book (the Quran*) - - -“. Can an omniscient god reveal a book with that many mistakes, contradictions, and invalid “signs” and “proofs”? No.

018 35/31b: ”That which We (Allah*) have revealed to thee of the Book (the Quran*) is the Truth - - -“. See 35/31a just above. And also: With that many mistakes, etc. it at best is parts and bits of the truth.

019 35/31c: “- - - (the Quran is*) confirming what was (revealed) before it (= the Bible, the Torah, etc.*)”. Wrong. See 29/46, and others.

020 35/33: “- - - bracelets of gold - - -“. Well, another place (76/21) it was said they were from silver. A minor contradiction – but a contradiction.

021 35/38: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

022 35/40a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

023 35/40b: “- - - clear (evidence) - - -“. There is no clear or valid evidence for anything concerning Allah in all the Quran. See 2/99.

024 35/41: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

025 35/42: “- - - their flight (from righteousness (= the teachings of Muhammad*)) - - -“. **Any teaching based on a book containing large numbers of mistakes and invalid “proofs” and “signs”, and on top off all is only told by one single man of dubious morality and character (womanising, rape, robbery, extortion, murder and mass murder - and lust for power - is well documented by Islam itself, though glossed over) - such a teaching**

does not represent righteousness unless this is really proved. This even more so that it strongly incites to hate, suppression, killing and war - not very righteous or good.

026 35/44a: “Do they (people*) not travel through the earth, and see what was the End of those before them - - -?” In and around Arabia there were ruins here and there. Muhammad claimed – as normal without any documentation as only non-Muslims need to prove anything – that each and every one of them was results of Allah’s anger because of disbelief etc. in Islam. Wrong. In a dry and harsh land inhabited by warring tribes there were plenty of other reasons for empty houses and ruins.

027 35/44b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

Surah 35: At least 27 mistakes + 3 likely mistakes.

SURAH 36:

001 36/2: “By the Quran, full of Wisdom - - -”. What goes for righteousness in this case also goes for wisdom - see 35/42 and 49/75.

00a 36/3: “Thou (Muhammad*) art indeed one of the messengers - - -.” Only 2 things are sure:

1. **This is never proved or in any other way documented – and with all the other mistakes in the Quran, this proof is strictly necessary.**
2. If Muhammad was a messenger, then for whom? The only two things that the Quran makes very clear about this, are that **it was not for an omniscient god (too many mistakes, etc.) and not for a good or benevolent god (too much immorality, stealing/robbing, dishonesty, suppressing, rape, inhumanity, terror, blood and war, etc.).**

00b 36/5a: “It (the Quran) is a Revelation - - -”. **Well, in case from whom?** – not from an omniscient and/or good god (see 36/3 just above and 36/5b just below). Perhaps from himself or some other humans? (cfr. how well the religion fitted as a platform of power for him and for helping him also in his private troubles - in what pretends to be the Mother Book, revered by Allah) – or by some dark forces? (cfr. the inhumanity, dishonesty, hate, blood, war, etc. – it fits f. ex. a devil very well – and it makes it nearly impossible for Muslims to search for a true religion if such one exists, if Islam is wrong - - - also this is nice for a devil and his wish to populate a possible hell).

002 36/5b: “It (the Quran*) is a revelation sent down by him (Allah*)”. Once more: Can it really be sent down by an omniscient god, with all those mistakes and invalid “signs” and “proofs”? Never.

003 36/6: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 above.

004 36/12a: “- - - of all things we have taken account (in the Quran*) - - -”. Not all things by far are taken account of in the Quran. Look f. ex. at all the extra paragraphs which are necessary in Muslim laws.

005 36/12b: “- - - in a clear Book (the Quran*) (of evidence) - - -”. A book with that many mistakes and invalid “signs” and “proofs” gives little clear evidence.

006 36/17: “- - - the clear Message (the Quran*).” A book that full of mistakes, contradictions (f. ex. man has free will v/ Allah decides everything – even Islam is unable to explain that, “but it has to be true, because it is said by Allah (in the Quran*)”!!!) according to “The Message of the Quran” - **invalid arguments and ditto logic give no clear message.**

00c 36/24: “(If I took another god*) I would indeed - - - be in manifest Error.” Not if that god exists – and especially not if Allah is a made up god (he after all was taken over from the pagan Arab gods by Muhammad who just renamed him from al-Lah to Allah, and even took over most of the pagan Arab religious rituals, too.)

007 36/33a: “A Sign - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah or anything. See 2/39 above.

008 36/33b: “A sign for them is the earth that is dead: We (Allah*) do give it life - - - “. From other parts of the Quran, we know it here means “by adding rain”. But a land that comes to life just by adding rain is not dead - it is alive with seeds and perhaps roots.

*009 36/36: “- - - Allah, Who created in pairs all things - - -”. Wrong. Only multi-cellular plants and animals are in pairs - and far from all of those, too. No uni-cellular life exists in pairs - and they are far more abundant both in numbers and species. Besides there is quite a number of multi-cellular beings that propagates asexually and thus do not exist in pairs – up to and included sponges, etc., some fish and some reptiles.

010 36/37: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

011 36/38a: “And the sun runs his course for a period determined to him - - -”. The sun runs no course as related to the Earth, though that was the accepted astronomy of that time. It is the Earth that revolves – around itself and around the sun. See 36/38 b just below.

*00d 36/38b: The normal translation of 36/38a – see 36/38a just above - (Arab: “li-mustaqarrin laha”) is (translated from Swedish): “And the sun runs to its place of rest” which is way out wrong. But as the old Arab written language far from was exact, Muhammad Ali’s transcription is a possible, if less likely one – as is “(to) the end point for the course which it follows” or - inserting other vowels among the written consonants (in old Arab only the consonants were written) and getting the expression “la mustaqrra laha” – “it runs its course without resting” (Abd Allah ibn Mas’ud/Zamakhshari). Or “The sun runs its course to a certain extent, then it stops” (Baydawi: “The Lights of Revelation” p.585).

Next time you meet a Muslim seriously telling you how exact the Quran always is, do not laugh – it is impolite.

012 36/39: “- - - till she (the Moon*) returns like the old (and withered) lower part of a date-stalk (that takes a crescent form*)”. Wrong. The moon does not become a crescent - it only looks like that, and even Mohammad could have seen that, if he had been observant: Within

the circle indicated by the crescent, and that in reality is covered by the moon, you never see a star. Any god had known this. Muhammad obviously not. Who made the Quran?

013 36/40a: “It is not permitted for the Sun to catch up the Moon - - -”. It is not physical possible for the sun to catch up the moon - not in some 5 billion years (then it perhaps will happen - - - if the Earth is gobbled up by the sun). A god had known.

014 36/40b: “- - - nor can the Night outstrip (be longer than) the Day - - -”. Wrong. At high latitudes the night always are longer than the days in winter. A little past the Arctic Circles (a little past (towards equator) because of refraction – bending of light in the atmosphere) the night even lasts 24 hours a day for a shorter or longer time each year – for how long depends on the latitude. The Quran has a strong tendency to pick natural phenomena and tell they prove or are signs for Allah, without first proving that Allah really is the reason for them. For one thing such “proofs” are entirely invalid. For another: It each time comes to our minds that (trying) to use invalid statements and “proofs” is a hallmark for cheats and swindlers. And for an ironic third: Sometimes the “proofs” even turns out to be really laughingly wrong. And not least: If you need to use made up arguments, that means you have no real arguments.

015 36/40c: “- - - each (night and day*) swims along in (its own) orbit (according to Law).” Wrong. Night and day are constants - they just seem to move because Earth revolves in the sunshine. Any physicist will laugh from this – night has a fixed position determined by the sun, and only seems to move because of the spin of the Earth. It has not the faintest similarity to an orbit.

It is a nice extra touch that they swim along in orbits “according to Law”.

016 36/41a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

017 36/41b: “And a Sign for them (humans) is that We (Allah*) bore their race (through the Flood) in the loaded Ark - - -”. No wooden boat could possibly carry the load the Quran says: Noah + his people + 2 of every animal + food and fodder for a long time (the Quran says nothing about how long, the Bible indicates more than a year). Even Muslims today see that this is very wrong, and try to explain it away by saying that only domesticated animals was meant, but that is not what the Quran tells. Also: Islam tells that the ark stranded on a mountain in Syria - the 2089 m high Mt. Al-Jedi - (not Ararat in Turkey), but if water was that high, where did the animals not represented in the ark survive? - and for that long (the Quran as mentioned does not specify, but the Bible says some 16 months)?

018 *36/42: “And We (Allah*) have created for them (people*) similar (vessels) (similar to the ark*) - - -”. We have never heard that Allah built boats.

019 36/46a: “- - - Sign - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

020 36/46b: “- - - Signs - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

021 36/64: “- - - for that ye (the “unbelievers”*) (persistently) rejected (truth).” The “truth” in the Quran at best is just partly the truth. Too many mistakes, etc.

022 36/69a: “We (Allah*) have not instructed (the Prophet) - - -.” But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. 1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. 2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. 3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and say that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories).

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet as he did not have the gift of prophesying. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why. Also see 30/40 and 30/45.

023 36/69b: “We (Allah) have not instructed (the Prophet) in Poetry - - -.” As for Muhammad not being versed in making poetry, this is a claim used by Islam as a “proof” for that the Quran is not made by Muhammad. But the claim is invalid – you do not have to be versed on poetry to spin tales like in the Quran. Actually the often naivistic style and helpless repetitions, etc, indicates that it is not made by a good poet or essayist. And besides the Quran is not poetry, but prose.

024 36/69c: “- - - this is no less than a Message and a Quran - - -.” At least it is far less than a true message and a true Quran which the Quran itself proves – far too many mistakes, twisted arguments and too much invalid logic + some clear lies. Etc.

025 36/69d: “- - - a Quran making things clear”. A book with that many mistakes, contradictions, misleading “signs” and “proofs”, etc. makes things confused rather than clear.

026 36/70: “- - - reject (truth (the Quran*)) - - -“. The Quran at most is partly true – too many mistakes, etc.

027 36/77: “Doth not man see that it is We (Allah*) Who created him from sperm?” Once more a natural phenomenon that the Quran says proves Allah, without first proving that it really is Allah that makes it. Besides: Humans were not created by sperm. Humans/a man were created from one sperm cell + an egg cell. But Muhammad did not know this - a god had known.

028 36/81: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

Surah 36: At least 28 mistakes + 4 likely mistakes.

SURAH 37:

001 37/5: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

***002 37/6: “We (Allah*) have indeed decked the lower heaven (in) stars - - -”. The Quran tells this in some varieties some places in the book: **The stars are fastened to the lowest of the 7 heavens (which also means that the heavens have to be made from something material - if not it was not possible to fasten the stars there). The stars also are lower than the moon,** - other places in the Quran also tell that the moon are in between the heavens - in addition to that it here is said the stars are fastened to the lowest heaven. It actually is borrowed from Greek and/or Persian astronomy at the time of Muhammad and before (a fact Ial- any baby god had known better. Muslims generally tries to evade questions about this - or gives you a lot of diffuse words (and never tells it is from local, wrong astronomy). We have hardly ever seen a reasonable – not correct, but at least logically reasonable - explanation of this, except the standard one when something is so wrong that even “explanations” are not possible: It is “figurative”, “allegorical” - or something similar - explanations or stories.

***003 37/6+7: “We (Allah*) have decked the lower heaven with beauty (in) the stars - (For beauty) and for guard against all obstinate rebellious evil spirits.” See first 37/6 just above. Then: **The Quran does not know the difference between a star and a shooting star, and tells that the stars are used for shooting stars for chasing away evil spirits that wants to spy or listen to what is said in Heaven.** The shooting stars are used as weapons in such cases. It should not be necessary to say that this is wrong at least to the 25th – 30th order = the difference between the mass of a star and of a shooting star. Also see verse 8.

***004 37/10: “Except such (evil spirits*) as snatch away something by stealth, and they are pursued by a flaming fire, of piercing brightness”. See 36/6 and 36/6+7 just above. Here it is told how spirits are chased away by a shooting star - not a red flame, but a piercing brightness. No reason to tell this is horribly wrong.

005 37/11: “Them (here it is not clear what beings, but as Jinns are made from fire, it must be humans*) have We (Allah*) created out of sticky clay”. Wrong. For one thing science tells that man is not created - he evolved. For another thing: Not in any case is he made out of clay. See 6/2.

**00a 37/16: “When we die, and become dust and bones, shall we (then) be raised up again - - -”. The Quran and Islam teaches that at the day of Doom every human being is resurrected bodily – Allah gathers all the bones and dust and fluids you were made of, and puts it back together to make your earthly, old body, except rejuvenated if you died old (nothing is said about the age and maturity of resurrected babies and children) – to Hell if you lived a bad life and to a Earth-like, but luxurious, life in a 1 to 4 star Heaven if you have been good – and depending on how good and how much of a warrior you have been – during your life on Earth. Believe it who wants – and remember that in reality Allah decided everything you did on Earth (a fact even Islam is unable to explain how fits the claims of the free will of man, and thus the justice of sending him to Hell if Allah has decided his acts on Earth.)

006 37/21: “- - - truth ye (once) denied - - -”. The “truth” as told in the Quran, at best can be partly true - too many mistakes, invalid “signs” and “proofs”, etc.

007 37/37a: “He (Muhammad*) has come with the (very) Truth (the Quran*)”. The Quran is at best bits and pieces of the truth - too many mistakes and invalid “signs” and “proofs”.

008 37/37b: “- - - and he (Muhammad) confirms (the Messages of) the Messengers (before him (= from Jews and Christians*))”. Wrong. There are too many and too fundamental differences between especially NT and the Quran. The Quran is not confirming the Bible – the fundamental differences between the teachings simply are too big – especially compared to NT and the “new covenant” Jesus brought. See 29/46 and others.

009 37/52: “- - - the Truth (of the Message (= the Quran*))”. See 376 37/37 and many others.

010 37/76: “- - - and We (Allah*) delivered him (Noah*) and his people from the Great Calamity (the flood*)”. Wrong – according to the Quran one of his (according to the Bible) only 3 sons drowned (not so in the Bible). Similar claim in 21/76.

011 37/87: “- - - the Worlds.” The Quran tells there are 7 (flat) Earths, and Hadiths adds that they are placed one above the other, and also mention their names. Wrong.

00b 37/97: “They (people) said, ‘Build him (Abraham) a furnace, and throw him into the blazing fire!’” You are free to believe this happened to Abraham – but beware that the story is “borrowed” from a tale named “Midrash Rabbah” (Muhammad may also have been inspired by the story about Daniel and his friends in the OT).

00c 37/114: “Again (of old) We Allah*) bestowed Our favour on Moses and Aaron - - -”. “The Message of the Quran” is quick to add that it was not because they were progeny of Abraham, but because of their own quality. What the Quran never mentions, what Islam never mentions, what Muslims never mention, is that Israel’s (belief in a) special contact with Yahweh, is not – repeat not - because of they had an ancestor named Abraham some thousands years ago. The reason was and is the covenant that was made between Israel and Yahweh according to OT – and renewed several times through the ages. It is good propaganda to bully them for believing Abraham who lived some 4000 years ago (if he ever lived) is a part-out card to Heaven. But it is pretty dishonest to make this lie, and to never mention the real reason for the Jew’s belief: The covenant – broken and maltreated, but never lifted or ended. (In the same way as it is pretty dishonest never to mention the “new covenant” made via Jesus in NT – but then Muslims are obliged to use al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) or “Kitman” (the lawful half-truth) if necessary, when it comes to defending or promoting Islam – no matter whether Islam is a false religion or not).

012 37/117: ”And We (Allah*) gave them (Moses and Aaron) the Book - - -” Wrong. According to the Bible Moses received the 10 Commandments and in addition was told the Law (in reality part of the Book of Moses) – which he himself wrote down later. Nothing else. More essential here is that science tells that what is called the “Book of Moses” was written centuries after the time of Moses.

013 37/142: “Then the big fish swallowed him (Jonah)”. Wrong.

1. There exists no fish big enough to swallow a man whole. There is one or two exceptions, but those do not eat large prey (the whale-shark and the one called Megamouth).

- Besides there may be one or two of the whales, but even the orca does not swallow a seal (reasonably similar size) in one piece.
2. Even if he had been swallowed, he had not survived - he had died in minutes from lack of oxygen.
 3. And had he had a supply of oxygen - which he obviously did not - the acid juices in the stomach of the “fish” had killed him in a short time.

A fairy tale, even if this story is “borrowed” from the Bible. (There are some mistakes also in the Bible).

014 37/144: “He (Jonah*) would certainly have remained inside the fish till the day of Resurrection”. The fish would not live that long, but that aside: See 37/142 just above.

015 37/145: “But We (Allah*) cast him (Jonah*) forth on the naked shore in a state of sickness.” Something is wrong, because another place in the Quran the book tells he was cast ashore on an ok place (because of Allah’s mercy).

00d 37/152: “‘Allah hath begotten children’? But they are liars!” May be Allah has no children. But if Allah = Yahweh like Muslims like to claim, there is the curious fact that Jesus many times called Yahweh his father - and clearly not like an allegory or figuratively.

****00e 37/164: Here according to most Islamic scholars angles that are talking. That at least means the Quran cannot have existed since eternity, like many Muslims like to believe: It must have been made, and made after at least some angels had been made – if not the angels could not have spoken in the book.**

00f 37/180: “((He is free) from what (children*) they (non-Muslims*) ascribe (to Him))”. See 37/152 just above.

016 37/182: “- - - the Worlds.” The Quran falsely tells there are 7 Earths. See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

Surah 37: At least 16 mistakes + 6 likely mistakes.

SURAH 38:

001 38/1: “- - - by the Quran, full of Admonition: (this is the Truth).” A book that full of mistakes, invalid “signs” and “proofs” and not least a myriad of not documented claims and statements just “hanging in the air” at best is partly true.

002 38/10: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

00a 38/19: “- - - and the birds gathered (in assemblies): all with him (King David*) did turn (to Allah).” Believe it who wants – we do not believe in assemblies of birds turning towards any god, not unless we get some proofs for it and not just words that cost zero to produce.

003 38/29a: “- - - a Book (the Quran*) which We (Allah*) have sent down - - -”. **Lots of mistakes, lots of contradictions, lots of invalid “signs” and “proofs”, and lots and lots of not documented/not proved claims and statements, all of which had to be seen through sooner or later - are those the hallmarks of an omniscient god? Normally they are hallmarks of cheats, deceivers and swindlers. No god sent it.**

004 38/29b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39a above.

005 38/36: “Then We (Allah*) subjected the wind to his (Solomon’s*) power - - -”. This needs strong evidence - we hardly believe Solomon was able to regulate temperature and air pressure in the atmosphere in such a way as to be the director of the winds.

006 38/37: “- - - And also the Satans (including) every kind of builder and diver (had to work for King Solomon*) - - -”. To make us believe this, Islam has to produce very real proofs – this even more so as it had been such a boost to Solomon’s reputation, that it surely had not been forgotten in the Bible - - - and there it is not mentioned. (Actually it is "borrowed" from a made up scripture).

007 38/66: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

008 38/67: “That (the Quran*) is a Message Supreme - - -”. See 38/29 just above. Such a book definitely is no supreme message.

009 38/71: “I (Allah*) am about to create man from clay:” Man according to science was not created, but developed from earlier primates - and at least not created from clay. See 6/2.

010 38/75: “- - - one (man*) whom I (Allah*) have created with my hands - - -.” See 38/71 just above and 6/2.

011 38/76a: “Thou (Allah*) createdst me (Iblis – the Devil*) from fire - - -.” Here something is wrong, as another place in the Quran it is said he was created from the fire of a scorching wind – there is a difference between a fire and a warm wind.

012 38/76b: “- - - him (man) thou (Allah*) createdest from clay.” See 38/71 and 6/2.

013 38/86: “No reward do I (Muhammad*) ask for this (Quran) - - - - - **except absolute power over you all + plenty of women + plenty of valuables for bribes + free or nearly free warriors for raids and wars to gain more power and more riches for more raids and wars and bribes and power.**

014 38/87: “- - - a Message to all the Worlds.” Likely the Quran and Islam should reach all the 7 Earths that the Quran mentions – but there are no 7 Earths (flat, and one above the other according to Hadiths.) See 65/12.

015 38/88: “And ye (non-Muslims*) shall certainly know the truth of it (the Quran*) (all) after a while”. See 38/29 or 40/75 - it is at best partly true as the Quran at best is partly true.

Surah 38: At least 15 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 39:

001 39/1a: “The revelation of this Book (the Quran*) is from Allah”. No. See 38/29 above.

002 39/1b: “(Allah is*) Full of Wisdom”. See 38/29. If the Quran is from Allah, he is not full of wisdom – too many mistakes, etc.

003 39/2a: “Verily it is We (Allah*) Who have revealed the Book (the Quran*) - - -”. Is that really so? Verily, it is impossible to believe so - too many mistakes, etc. See 38/29.

004 39/2b: “(Allah has*) revealed the Book (the Quran*) to thee (Muhammad or the Muslims*) in Truth“. Can it really be the truth that Allah has sent down a book like this, with so many errors? - see 38/29. In that case Allah cannot be omniscient. Something is wrong.

005 39/5a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong (at least 199 times in the Quran, included other words for heaven as we see it from Earth – like “firmaments” or “tracts”). See 2/22a.

006 39/5b: “He (Allah*) created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth in true (proportions)”. True to Muhammad’s picture of the heaven(s) and the Earth - the Earth f. ex. is flat like a disk in the Quran. And 7 heavens. Wrong.

007 39/5c: “- - - each one (the sun and the moon) follows a course - - -“. As related to the Earth (and that relation was all Muhammad knew about), the sun does not follow a course. It is the Earth that runs a course around it.

*008 39/5d: “He makes the Night overlap the Day, and the Day overlap the Night - - -”. Wrong. That is done by the sun, as the night really is just a shadow. If Islam pretends something else, they will have to produce real proofs - not just cheap words. “Strong statements demand strong proofs”. **Any god had known this - not Muhammad. Yes, any god could have proved himself for the future just by telling things like that - no miracle necessary. Then who composed the Quran?**

009 39/6a: “He created you all from a single Person (Adam*) - - -“. According to science Adam never existed – man developed from earlier primates.

010 39/6b: “He (Allah*) sent down for you eight head of cattle - - -”. According to science, cattle are not sent down, but have developed.

011 39/6c: “He (Allah) sent down for you eight head of cattle in pairs - - -”. From other places in the Quran we know the cattle were: 2 cows, 2 sheep, 2 goats, 2 camels = 4 pairs = 8 heads. That is wrong, as the Quran is for the entire world: There also are water buffalo (Asia), reindeer (in the north), lama (S. America), the alpaca (S. America), the guanaco (S. America), the vicuna (S. America - the 4 from S. America are distantly related to the camel), the yak (Asia), and (Indian) elephant - and perhaps others (+ horse, donkey, etc. (and pig)). Any god knew this – Muhammad not. Who made the Quran? Contradicted by zoological facts.

012: 39/6d: “He (Allah*) makes you, in the womb of your mothers, in stages, one after the other - - -.” According to old Greek medicine (Galen, Aristotle), the foetus developed in 4 stages. Modern medicine disagrees.

**00a 39/7: “No bearer of burdens can bear the burdens of another”. Can this really be true? In that case this is yet another proof for that Allah cannot be the same god as Yahweh, because one of the things Yahweh stresses in the NT via Jesus, is that a good Christian shall help others with their burdens.

013 39/12: “And I (Muhammad) am commanded to be the first of those who bow to Allah in Islam.” How is that possible if the Quran is correct and lots of people had been Muslims before him, and bowed to Allah? (Though in reality it is highly likely he was right: That he was the first one ever). Muslims explain that it means the first in a community, but that is not what the Quran says. Besides both Abraham and Ishmael according to what the Quran claims, lived in Mecca - at least for some time.

014 39/22a: “In one whose heart has opened to Islam, so that he has received enlightenment (the contents of the Quran*) from Allah - - -”. With all the mistakes, invalid “signs”, etc. in the Quran, it at best partly gives enlightenment. Whereas the mistakes, etc., give the opposite of enlightenment.

015 39/22b: “They (non-Muslims*) are manifestly wandering (in error)!” Islam claims that only Muslims do not “wander in error”. But it only is (as normal for Islam) an undocumented claim – it definitely is not manifested. (Another fact is that with all the mistakes and worse in the Quran, it is a very open question who is wandering in the worst error). We also should mention that claims like this is normal for fringe sects like Islam once was.

016 39/23a: “Allah has revealed (the Quran*) - - -”. Really? Absolutely no. See 38/29 and others.

***017 39/23b: “Allah has revealed - - - the most beautiful Message - - -”. Incitement to hate, rape, suppression, extortion, taking slaves, murder, mass murder and war + full permission for raping any slave or prisoner and + 100% dictatorship by the warlord (Muhammad and his successors). **Yes, that is a beautiful message. (or in reality: Horrible).**

018 39/23c: “(The Quran) is consistent with itself”. Wrong – there are plenty of contradictions - hundreds. Islam even needs a special abrogation rule for deciding which paragraph is the correct one when two or more “collides” (the youngest one is normally the correct - that is one of the reasons why the age of the different verses counts in Islam). Some Muslims tell this is not true - Allah just made the rules stricter. It may look like an ok explanation in some cases, f. ex. concerning alcohol. **But what kind of omniscient god did not know from the very beginning what kind of rules was needed? – besides: more strict rules also is an abrogation.**

*019 39/28: “(It is) a Quran in Arabic, without any crookedness - - -”. We have never been able to understand why it is a good thing that the Quran is in Arab if Allah wanted to be the god for all earth – well, even the Arabs tell it is a difficult language (though language experts say the claim is blown up by Islam – perhaps as an extra defence to avoid having to explain what they cannot explain, perhaps as an artificial back up for the demand that Muslims must read the Quran in Arabic - and say it is just a medium difficult language).

*They further insist it is impossible to translate it (just like the Japanese used to do before they learnt other languages well). That is rubbish. **What one human brain is able to think,**

another human brain at the same level of knowledge and intelligence is able to understand.

Of course there is the fact that languages have special words, etc. that you do not find in other languages - that is the case for all languages, and nothing special for Arab, like some uneducated Muslims like to claim (and some of them even believe it, we think). Take f. ex. the Norwegian very simple word “tran”. That word exists in few other languages. F. ex. English has to say “cod liver oil” – and French similar. In Arab one would have to say something like “oil from the liver of the North Atlantic fish that in English is called cod” – but the main thing is that even if they need an explanation that the Norwegians in this case do not need, it tells 100% exactly and correctly the same and correct meaning. Or take the Inuit – they are said to have 42 different words for different kinds of snow and snow conditions – Arab hardly has more than a couple. But it would not be too difficult to explain to an Arab that this Inuit word means that the snow is wet, this that it is laying full of water, this that the snow is dry, this that it is or has been wind driven, this that it is frozen hard, this that it is sticky (so you can make snow balls f. ex.), this that it is powdery, etc.

And it is just the same with Arab: Arab f. ex. has a word for “2-years-old she-camel”. “Solch ein Wort gibt es nicht in Deutsch” (“such a word does not exist in German”) – but it is no problem to explain to a German that one is talking about a female camel that is 2 years old. As said: What one human brain can think, another human brain at the same level can understand with a little explanation.

****Besides:** To demand that an Afghan farmer shall read the Quran in Arab just means that you demand he shall be explained all those different words and different meanings on beforehand – because that is the only way he can understand them when he reads them later. Just the same words and the same explanations – but a lot more words, because he may not know on beforehand exactly which words that may give him extra insight.

In addition the Arab alphabet at that time was unfit for writing down exactly what was said – the alphabet at that time was very incomplete. (That was one of the reasons why there were so many varieties of the Quran in earlier times. Now there mainly are 2 of the earlier 14 “canonized” ones that are used – one (Warsh) in parts of Africa, and one (Hafs) in the rest of the world – though they call it “ways of reading” to hide that the reality is “varieties”. Those two expressions in this case are exactly identical). If Allah wanted to reach many, the natural language in that area had been Greek or perhaps Latin or Persian. Or why not Bahasa Indonesian? - one of the easiest languages in the world to learn and with as many potential Muslims as in Arabia, and with good connections to surrounding countries. In case of a western language or Persian they also could have written down the book correctly, as those languages already had perfected alphabets. Then they had not had the problem of not knowing what was really said and written. Now Muslims only can make unfounded – or wrong – statements claiming that the Quran of today is correct to the last letter and last comma, even though not all letters – and the comma – did even exist around 650 AD in Arab.

Many Muslims even believe what they say. With a complete alphabet it could really have been correct. But the fact of the incomplete alphabet of that time, makes the claim a joke. **But why do the mullahs, imams, etc. lie to their congregations on these points - or hide the points?**

But without crookedness? With all the mistakes?! With all those contradictions?! With all the invalid “signs” and “proofs”? With all the loose claims and statements? **Such “facts” normally are the very hallmarks of crookedness.**

020 39/32: “- - - rejects the Truth (the Quran) - - -”. See 38/29. The book at best is partly true.

021 39/33: “And he (most likely Muhammad, as it is written with “he”, not “He”*) who brings the Truth - - -“ The Quran at best is partly true – also see f. ex. 40/75 and 41/12.

*022 39/38a: “If you ask them who it is that created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth, they would be sure to say ‘Allah’ - - -”. Wrong. If they believed a god created it, they had named their own god(s) - though there and then it might have been the polytheistic al-Lah.

023 39/38b: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

024 39/41a: ”Verily We (Allah*) have revealed the Book (the Quran*) - - -.” **Can Allah have made book of this quality? No god makes a second rate - or third rate - book.** See 38/39.

025 39/41b: “Verily We (Allah*) have revealed the Book (the Quran*) in Truth - - -”. At best partly the truth - see all the mistakes, etc.

00b 39/41c: “Verily We (Allah*) revealed the Book (the Quran*) - - -, for (instructing) mankind.” If Allah is a good god, like Islam pretends, why then all the immoral instructions and inhumanity one finds in the some 22-24 surahs from Medina? And a book with this many errors is not fit for instruction – not as a basis for the religion of a benevolent god.

***00c 39/41d. “He, then, that receive guidance (see 39/41c just above*) benefits his own soul - - -“. **How can it benefit your soul to steal/loot, hate, rape, murder, mass murder (many, many cases in Muslim history), enslave, etc.? It benefits your pocket – and gives Muhammad and his successors many and cheap warriors – but your soul?** Wrong. This kind of life only brutalizes a man – and his culture and religion.

026 39/42: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

027 39/46: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

028 39/52: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

029 39/55: “And follow the Best of (the courses) revealed to you (the teachings of the Quran*) from your Lord - - -“. A book overflowing with mistakes, twisted arguments and logic, contradictions, and even obvious lies (like that miracles would make no-ones believers), is not the best pilot.

030 39/59: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

031 39/63a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/2a.

032 39/63b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

033 39/67a: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

034 39/67b: “- - - the heavens (plural and wrong) will be rolled up in His (Allah’s) right hand - - -”. The heaven as the Quran thinks it is, are 7 invisible hemispheres made from some material. How do you roll up hemispheres? But more to the point: The heaven as Muhammad and we saw/see it, is an optical illusion in near vacuum. How do you roll up an optical illusion, and how do you roll up vacuum? Not to mention: How do you roll up a universe?

035 39/68: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

036 39/71a: “- - - Did not messengers come to you from among yourselves - - -?” No they did not. The Hadith/Islam speaks about 124000 prophets. **If so many had been working somewhere, some time, at least a few of them had left traces. There are none.** See 35/24.

037 39/71: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

038 39/74: “- - - the Worlds - - -”. One more reference to the 7 flat Earths that exists according to the Quran – and according to Hadiths are placed one above the other. No comments necessary. See 65/12.

Surah 39: At least 38 mistakes + 3 likely mistakes.

SURAH 40:

001 40/2: “- - - this Book (the Quran*) is from Allah -”. See 38/29 and 39/41.

002 40/2: “- - - (Allah is*) full of Knowledge - - -”. Not if he sent down the Quran. See 38/29.

003 40/4a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

*004 40/4b: “None can dispute about the Signs of Allah but the Unbelievers”. Wrong. There is no reason why it is not possible for Muslims to discuss them, too, except religious ideas and prohibitions. And they should do so, as none of them are valid proofs (they rest on thin air or unproven claims or statements) of Allah. A few taken from the Bible may prove Yahweh, but absolutely none proves or even indicates Allah. They f. ex. can be used by any priest in any religion about his god(s).

005 40/8: “- - - (Allah is*) Full of Wisdom.” Not if he sent down the Quran. See 38/29.

006 40/13: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

007 40/21: “Do they (people*) not travel through the earth and see what was the End of those before them?” In the Middle East there are ruins here and there. Muhammad claimed that they were remnants from people punished by Allah for their sins. Wrong. In an arid and harsh land and with warring tribes, there are many other reasons why old houses and settlements may be empty or reduced to ruins.

008 40/22: “- - - Clear (Signs) (= proofs for Allah*) - - -”. There are no clear signs for Allah in all the Quran. See 2/99. In this case there may have been clear signs, but in case for Yahweh, not for Allah.

00a 40/24: “- - - Haman - - -”. See 28/6.

009 40/28: “- - - Clear (Signs) - - -”. See 40/22 and 2/99 above.

*010 40/31: “- - - Allah never wished injustice to His Servants.” Wrong. **One star example: The Islamic law that tells that a woman who is raped is to be severely punished/stoned for indecency if she cannot produce 4 male witnesses that actually saw the rape, probably is the most unjust law that ever existed in an even half civilized society. Extreme injustice.**

011 40/35: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

00b 40/36: “- - - Haman - - -”. See 28/6.

012 40/37a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

013 40/37b: “- - - the plot of Pharaoh led to nothing but perdition (for him).” Wrong. We know from other places in the Quran, that the perdition is said to be drowning. But Ramses II did not die by drowning, and on top of that he did not die until several years after the possible exodus in ca. 1235 BC - both according to science.

014 40/50: “- - - Clear Signs (= proofs of Allah) - - -”. **There exists no proof of Allah – not in the Quran, not anywhere else (even many Muslim scholars admit this). If a proof had existed, you can be 1000 – thousand - % sure all the world had been informed and with big letters.**

015 40/51: “We (Allah*) will, without doubt, help Our messengers and those who believe - - - .” Wrong – with all the mistakes, etc. in the Quran, there are heavy reasons for doubts.

**016 40/53: “We (Allah*) did aforetime give Moses the (Book of) Guidance - - -”. Wrong. According to science those books were written centuries after Moses was dead. (According to the Bible, Moses only got the 10 Commandments in writing. In addition he was told the law (really parts of what now is the Book of Moses), which he then wrote down himself later). Now, Islam has a tendency to tell that the Bible is falsified - and strangely: - always in ways that happens to omit points that would under build Islam if it had not been falsified. Also they frequently tell that scriptures have disappeared - always the scriptures that are said to be like the Quran and not the others, and not only that: All such texts have by a strange coincidence happened to get lost. Further: Science has over the years accumulated some 13000 texts or fragments relevant to the Bible plus some 30000 with references to the Bible. All have been in accordance with the Bible or have been easy to understand with relevance to the Bible – and none have been “unsanctified” with texts Islam claims should be there, but that simply does not exist among all the ones found - some have even given deeper insight so it has been possible to correct details in translations.

Finally: There are also found a number of old scriptures relying to, or copies of, the Quran. But anything that is not like the texts of today is obscured by the Muslims. The star example of which is the “Quran grave” - resting place for worn out Quran scrolls - found in Yemen in 1972. Scientists and scientific methods from the West made it possible to read them, but when it turned out that **there were "small, but significant" divergences from the texts of today**, further access to the scrolls were denied, except for some selected not “dangerous” parts. This

even if anyone who knows the history of the Quran knows that the statement: “The Quran of today is to the last letter and the last comma identical with what Gabriel told Muhammad” is not true.

Honesty?

017 40/56: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

018 40/57: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

019 40/59: “The Hour (of doom) will certainly come: therein is no doubt - - -”. It is quite possible that an hour of doom may come - many religions say so. But as the Quran has given not one single valid proof for it - just cheap, though often strong, words - there are strong reasons for doubting a doom and a hell like in the Quran. This even more so as the Quran has so many other mistakes, and uses so many contradictions and invalid “signs” and “proofs”, not to mention all the statements resting on nothing or on other invalid statements, “signs” or “proofs”.

*020 40/61: “It is Allah Who made the Night for you - - - and the Day - - -”. Wrong. It is the sun and the revolving of the Earth that makes night and day. This is just another place where Muhammad takes a natural phenomenon, states without proof that this is done or made by Allah, and then tells or indicates that this is a proof for Allah or Islam. As a proof, it is logically completely invalid - and as said before: Use of invalid proofs, etc., etc., is the hallmark of someone deliberately not speaking the truth and trying to delude or deceive or cheat somebody.

021 40/62: “- - - how ye are deluded away from the Truth! (the Quran*)”. See 38/29. A book like that at best represents partly the truth.

022 40/63: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

023 40/64: “(Allah has*) made the sky as a canopy - - -”. Wrong. The sky - or heaven - is not a canopy, especially not when you know that it according to the Quran is made from something material (the stars are fastened to the lowermost heaven). The sky as we see it, is an optical illusion in near vacuum.

024 40/66a: “- - - Clear Signs - - -”. Wrong. See 2/99.

025 40/66b: “- - - the Worlds.” The Quran falsely tells there are 7 Earths. See 26/77 above and 65/12 below.

026 40/67a: “It is He (Allah*) Who has created you from dust - - -”. Wrong. Man was not made from dust. See 6/2.

027 40/67b: “(Allah then made you*) from a sperm drop - - -”. Wrong. You were not made from a sperm drop. You were made from the fusing of a sperm cell and an egg cell - the egg cell even is by far the biggest. From other places in the Quran it is clear that Muhammad believed the sperm was “seeds to plant” in a woman, and there started to grow to become a foetus and then a baby. Any one inch tall god had known that this was wrong. Then who made the Quran?

028 40/69: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

029 40/70: “Those who reject the Book (the Quran or the "not falsified" Bible, like Islam claims – as always without any documentation*) which We (Allah*) sent - - -“. No omniscient god sent down the Quran – too many mistakes, etc. **(and science has shown that the content of the modern Bibles is the same as in the first ones** – Islam’s claims are just that; claims.)

It is a most open question who is furthest away from the truth – Muslims or (some?) non-Muslims. It is clear from all the mistaken facts, contradictions, and other wrongs, that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god – and the inhumanities in the Quran also proves it is not made by any good or benevolent power (when someone claims and states good things, but demands and does bad ones, the demands and deeds are more reliable for judging his character, than the very cheap words). And if Islam is a made up religion, based on a made up book – what then with all the Muslims who have been denied the possibility to look for a real religion (if such one exists)? – their only hope in case is that also Hell is a fiction.

***030 40/75: “- - - the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. To repeat things:

1. The Quran contains more than 1750 places with wrong facts. Add the ones we have overlooked + all the other kinds of mistakes and other types of wrongs and you may have some 3000 or more places with mistakes, contradictions, etc. in one single book.
2. The Quran in addition contains at least 200+ “most likely“ wrong facts.
3. The Quran is likely to contain more mistaken facts we have not seen.
4. The Quran contains lots of invalid “signs” indicating or “proving” Allah/Islam. The use of invalid arguments is the hallmark of cheats.
5. The Quran contains a number of invalid “proofs,” pretending to indicate or “prove” Allah/Islam. The use of invalid “signs” and “proofs” are strong hallmarks for cheats, swindlers, and deluders.
6. The Quran contains a huge number of claims and statements hanging in thin air or resting on other invalid claims, statements, “signs”, or “proofs”. The use of such invalid arguments and cheap words is the hallmark of cheats and deceivers.
7. There is not one single statement, “sign” or “proof” in the Quran that really proves Allah - they without exception are logically invalid. There are a few taken from the Bible that may indicate a god - not Allah, but a god. But the Bible talks about Yahweh, not about Allah

(and the teachings are fundamentally so different - see 29/46 - that in spite of what the Quran and Hadith say, Allah is not the same god as the one Jesus told about - not unless he is schizophrenic.)

8. The Arab Quran contains more than 100 linguistic mistakes according to linguists.
9. The Quran is said to be pure Arabic. It contains a lot of non-Arabic words. We have seen different numbers, but perhaps 275 different words according to Arthur Jeffries (the word Quran is said to be one of them). For the story these are not serious, but they are mistakes compared to what the Quran says, and the Quran pretends to be perfect and without mistakes - sent down from an omniscient god. Islam has an explanation, though: Arabs have used the foreign words and made them Arab. A Negroe does not become an Arab even if he moves to Arabia. A very practical way of making something look true only.
10. The Quran contains at least ca. 400 contradictions.
11. The Quran contains at very least 400+ places where the original Arab text is so unclear that it is impossible to be sure what is really meant.

The Quran at best is partly true. There are very good reasons for doubt and scepticism.

It is also told that the Quran is the copy of a revered “Mother Book” in the Heaven of Allah. This has to be wrong. An omnipotent god impossibly can have revered - not kept as a funny curiosum, but revered!! - a book with that many mistakes and contradictions, that number of loose and without value claims and statements, not to mention all the invalid “signs” and “proofs” - hallmarks of an imbecile or a cheat or deceiver. Besides: The other 124000+ earlier prophets (or at least many of them) according to Islam received a similar copy of the Mother Book. Pretend you were the prophets Hud or Salih living at least 2000 years before Muhammad (because Moses spoke about them according to the Quran, and he lived (?) some 2000 years before Muhammad - Hud and Salih consequently must have lived before that), or that you were one of the Indian prophets in the Americas before 1492 AD – or in the Arctic or in Australia 100 years before Botany Bay – the Quran and Islam claims that all people have had prophets. Then read the Quran and see how much you would understand and how much not – even words like cows, sheep, goats, camels, ships, coats of mail, and a number of other words – what did they mean in South America or Australia? And how much is irrelevant? – f. ex. Muhammad’s family problems, all the facts and happenings relevant mostly for Arabia, etc.

Read the Quran with that in your mind – and weep.

Would a god make or revere or use copies of such a book for his prophets through all times and all over the world? – **Remember we here talk about the perfect and timeless Mother Book that the Quran and all other not falsified books sent down to the prophets all over the world from Adam to Muhammad are exact copies of. This in spite of that Islam explains that the reason for new prophets and new scriptures were that time changed, so the scriptures had to be changed a little - how to change perfect copies of the one and perfect Mother Book?**

031 40/77: “- - - the Promise of Allah is true - - -“. So much is wrong in the Quran, that also this has to be proved, as there are serious reasons for doubts about whether the religion itself is true. If it is a made up one, of course “Allah’s promises” also are not true.

032 40/78: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

033 40/81a: “- - - His Signs - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

034 40/81b: “- - - the Signs - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

*035 40/82: “Do they not travel through the earth and see what was the End of those before them?” In and around Arabia there were - and are - scattered ruins. Muhammad told they were all remains of people punished by Allah for sins (and for good measure they were stronger than Muhammad’s contemporary Arabs). Believe it who wants - but contact a professor of history or a psychologist if you do. Similar claims at least in 3/137 – 6/11 – 7/4 – 9/70 -16/36 - 21/6 - 40/21.

036 40/83: “- - - Clear Signs - - -“. See 2/99.

Surah 40: At least 36 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.”

Sub-total here: At least 1338 mistakes + 169 likely mistakes.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 4, Section 6 (= II-1-4-6)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. THE "COMPLETE" LIST - THE "ENCYCLOPAEDIA" - BASED ON SURAH AND VERSE NUMBER

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

SOME CLEAR FACT MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN SURAHS 41 THROUGH 60

IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear mistakes. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely mistake.

SURAH 41:

001 41/2: “A revelation (the Quran*) from (Allah) - - -”. See 38/29 and 40/75.

002 41/3: “A Book (the Quran) whereof the verses are explained in detail - - -”. Many verses are not explained in detail - see f. ex. all the extra explanations that has been necessary concerning Islamic laws.

003 41/9a: “(Allah first*) created the earth in two Days - - -”. **Wrong. Earth was far from created first.** The universe was created some 13.7 billion years ago – our sun and the Earth some 4.6 billion years ago (or actually 4.57 billion) - - - and trillions of stars and most likely plants were created in the more than 9 billion years in between. Any god had known, but Muhammad not. Then who created the Quran?

004 41/9b: “(Allah*) created the earth in two Days - - -”. Wrong. It took the better part of 4.6 billion years to get it like today - and hundreds of million years just to make it fit for life.

005 41/9c: “- - - the Worlds - - -“. The famous 7 flat Earths of the Quran – one above the other and named, according to f. ex. Al-Bukhari. See 65/12.

***006 41/9-12: “(Allah*) created the earth in two Days - - -. He set on the (earth) mountains standing firm high above it, and bestowed blessings on the earth, and measured therein all things to give them (living entities*) nourishment in due proportions, in four Days - - - (and*) So he completed them (the sky*) as seven firmaments, in two Days - - -”. This makes 8 days - very inconsistent and very wrong compared to what the Quran normally says: 6 days. (Not to mention the 4.6 billion years science has found out). One more contradiction. We have been told we have to be very stupid who does not understand that the two first days are included in the next four. We admit that is the only way Islam can try to “explain” this away - but that very clearly is not what the text says. (“The Message of the Quran” has “doctored” the Quran a little (at least in the Swedish translation) to make the “explanation” not quite so dumb - but only “not quite so”, as Yusuf Ali’s translation is very clear).

007 41/10a: “He set on the (earth) mountains standing firm, high above it - - -“. Wrong. The mountains were not set (down) on Earth – they grew up.

008 41/10b: “He set on the (earth) mountains standing firm - - - - and measured therein all things to give them (animals or humans?*) nourishment in due proportions, in 4 days - - -”. Wrong. That took millions of years - only the cooling down of the Earth to make any kind of life possible, took at least 300 million years. And the development from the first life to the

first real animal took some 2.5 - 3 billion years. That happened in late Pre-Cambrium, in the periode that a few years ago was named Ediacarian, which makes up the end of the long Pre-Cambrium periode some 500 – 550 million years ago. (And then animal life “exploded” during the period named Cambrium.)

***009 41/11a: “- - - it (the sky) had been (as) smoke - - -). The sky according to Muhammad was something material (the stars were fastened to the lowermost heaven, f. ex.) and had to be made from something. But it is wrong. The sky as we see it, is just an optical illusion.

Some Muslims discover the Big Bang and the cloudlike state after that, and in triumph present you for this “proof” of Islam being scientific and correct. But the Big Bang happened 13.7 billion years ago according to science. The cloudlike state lasted for 300000 – 380000 years and was not clouds (micro particles floating in gas) but only ionized gas, mainly hydrogen + a little helium. - - And our sun and earth did not coalesce until 9 billion years later – 4.6 billion years ago - and are on top of all 3. generation creations. The connection between the "clouds" after the Big Bang and Earth is highly feeble and has nothing to do with our sky.

More logical but less frequently quoted, are the tales saying the sky was made from the cloud of dust and gas slowly coalescing into the sun, the planets, etc. But that material ended up as the sun, the planets, etc. - an optical illusion like our sky is not made from gas or dust or clouds. It is made from the bending of light in near vacuum only. (And the illusion that makes the night sky seem like a hemisphere is made by our inability to see the 3. dimension at those distances). See 41/11c below.

010 41/11b: “Come ye (Earth and sky*) together - - -”. Earth and sky never were separated in two parts that then could come together. (Islam tries to explain that what is meant is gas, mainly H₂ – hydrogen. But hydrogen – or other gases - has nothing to do with smoke – any god had known. (Smoke = micro particles “diluted” in gas – without the particles no smoke).

***011 41/11c: “He (Allah*) said to it (the sky) and the earth: ‘Come ye together - - -’”. The sky as we see it by day is just an optical illusion that is a result of the refraction - bending - of light in the rarefied upper atmosphere - it is blue because blue light bends the least. It simply is physical laws at work - there is no question of “coming together”.

The night sky is another optical illusion - the day sky and the night sky are not even “made” in the same way. Here we see a sky because we are unable to see 3 dimensions at those distances. At night it is if possible even more wrong to talk about things coming together. Any god knew this. Muhammad believed in the local wrong astronomy. Who composed the Quran?

012 41/12a: “- - - seven firmaments - - -”. **Wrong - there are no 7 heavens.** (Firmament is another name for the heaven or sky – mainly used for the night sky).

013 41/12b: “So He (Allah) completed them as seven firmaments in two Days - - -”. If the Quran hear means the sky as seen by day, it took as many years as it took the atmosphere to form - some million years. If he means the starry night sky - which most often is meant when one uses the word “firmament” - it all started 13.7 billion years ago according to science, and may be the first stars became visible not much later - - - and the creation is still not completed.

**014 41/12c: “He (Allah*) assigned to each heaven its duty and command”. How could he do that when there were no 7 heavens?

***015 41/12d: “And We (Allah*) adorned the lower heaven with lights (= stars*) - - -”. **This is one of the points Muslims are very reluctant to try to explain, as it is obviously and impossibly wrong - and impossible to “explain” away in any believable manner.** We know from old astronomy that the moon and the planets were fastened to different heavens, and that means that the stars have to be between us and the moon - at less than some 384000 km distance - as the stars were fastened to the lowest heaven. (The Quran also says that the sun (?) and the moon are between the heavens). In addition to all the other impossibilities, humans would not be even criss in a millisecond. **Once more: Any existing god knew this, Muhammad not. Is Allah non-existing? Or who composed the Quran?**

***016 41/12e: “- - - and (provided it) (the lowest heaven*) with guard”. We know from other places in the Quran, that this “guard” is stars mistaken for shooting stars used against bad spirits wanting to spy on the heavens. The only place such “information” fits today, is in fairy tales. Who composed the Quran?

017 41/12f: “(Allah is) full of Knowledge.” Something is wrong. Either Allah is not omniscient - then he may have sent down the Quran. Or he is full of knowledge - omniscient. Then he did not send down a book like the Quran with that many mistakes - see 41/75. If not Allah, then who composed the Quran?

018 41/15: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

Besides: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.

019 41/28: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

020 41/30: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -.” Wrong. Islam is no glad tidings, except for the ones not suppressed – and really glad only for the ones looking for booty and slaves and stolen riches, and not least for the ones near the top of the pyramid that got – and get – a lot of power in addition. It often is like that in war religions, especially when made to fit a strong and charismatic leader (and his successors), though many war religions have not been as hypocritical as Islam in trying to make its members and others believe it is good and just and humane and benevolent. And well, it may have been glad tidings for the small percentage of people who need a religion to lean on – at least for the possible ones where the old pagan religion was not strong enough.

021 41/37a: “Among His (Allah's) Signs are the Night and the Day - - -”. The night and the day are made by the sun and the revolving of the Earth - physical facts at work. If Islam states the sun and the Earth and the physical laws are made by Allah, they will have to prove it - it is nowhere proved neither in the Quran nor in the Hadiths - **cheap words and invalid signs anyone can use, f. ex. any priest in any religion: Baal made the sun and makes it rise in the east. Allah can neither unmake it nor make it rise in the west - then Baal is a real**

god and Allah a false one. It only is cheap words that prove not a thing, except that resorting to such faked arguments are among the hallmarks of cheats, swindlers, and deceivers, which may prove or indicate something or other about the one(s) using such arguments.

022 41/37b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

023 41/39c: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

024 41/39: “He (Allah*) Who gives life to the (dead) earth (by sending rain*)”. Earth which comes alive just by adding water, is not dead - only looks like that. It is alive with seeds and perhaps roots.

025 41/40a: “- - - the Truth - - -”. With all these mistakes, etc., the Quran at best is partly true.

****026 41/40b: “Those who pervert the Truth in Our (Allah’s) Signs - - -.”** It is not possible to pervert the truth in invalid signs, they are already invalid - not one (with the possible exceptions of a few pointing to another god, Yahweh, taken from the Bible) has any value as signs or proofs, **as they without exception are logically invalid, because they all are hanging in the air – just unproven claims - or resting on other invalid - not proved - “statements”, “signs” or “proofs”. Any priest in any religion can say the same about his god(s). A real god would not use invalid “signs” and “proofs”, proofs he had to know would be seen through sooner or later.** (Actually it has been seen through long time ago, but the weight of the number of believers and Islam’s rules for social or real murder of the ones thinking “heresy” even if it is the full truth, make it roll on - away from the real god if such one exists, and if Islam is wrong.)

027 41/40c: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

028 41/42a: “No falsehood can approach it (the Quran*) - - -”. No comments, except see 40/75 and many others.

029 41/42b: “- - - One (Allah*) Full of Wisdom - - -”. The way Allah is manifested in the Quran, he is very far from full of wisdom.

00a 41/42c: “- - - Worthy of all Praise.” Is a claimed, but never proved deity that makes so many mistakes worthy of all praise? Hardly.

030 41/43: “Nothing is said to thee (Muhammad*) that was not said to the messengers before thee (f. ex. Jesus and the old Jewish prophets*) - - -.” **Wrong. As science thoroughly has proved that the Bible is not falsified – and especially not NT – it is very clear that what Muhammad claimed to have been told, often is far from what the real (?) prophets and patriarchs had been told.** And this is strengthened by the fact that it very often is very clear that Muhammad took his “biblical” stories not from the Bible, but from religious legends (often even based on apocryphal scriptures and stories, not the Bible) that circulated in the area, and that Muhammad believed was from the Bible - - - and then later he had only one way out how to explain the errors compared to the real Bible: He was right and the Bible falsified!!!. As for the quotation above, it is not true that nothing was said to Muhammad that was not said to earlier (real) prophets – a fact that Islam even confirms sometimes – f. ex. **in the statement from Muhammad that he was the first “messenger/prophet” that had got**

permission from the god to steal and rob and rape, which the god according to the Quran even confirms is “god and lawful”.

031 41/44: “It (the Quran*) is a Guide - - -”. A book containing that many mistakes - see 40/75 - is no real guide. Or it is a guide to something inhuman.

032 41/45: “We (Allah*) certainly gave Moses the Book aforetime - - -”. Wrong. Those books were written much later according to science. (Moses according to the Bible only got the 10 commandments in writing. In addition he got the law verbally – parts of what now is “the Book of Moses” (actually the first 5 books in the Bible) – which he himself wrote down later. Nothing else. And as said: Science confirms that the Torah - the Book of Moses - is centuries younger than Moses).

033 41/53a: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

034 41/53b: “- - - this (the Quran*) is the Truth.” See 40/75.

Surah 41: At least 34 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 42:

001 42/3a: “Thus doth (He (Allah*)) send inspiration (a lot of the verses were “sent by inspiration” - easy - - - and easy to falsify*) to thee (Muhammad*) - - -”. Verses and surahs so full of errors were not sent by an omniscient god.

002 42/3b: “- - - (Allah is*) full of Wisdom.” See 40/75 and 41/12.

003 42/4: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

004 42/5: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

005 42/7a: “Thus have We (Allah*) sent by inspiration to the - - -”. See 42/3a above.

006 42/7b: “- - - the Day of Assembly (the Day of Doom*), of which there is no doubt - - -”. In a book with as many mistakes (see 40/75) there is reason for doubting anything:

1. Is Allah omnipotent and made the Quran? – or not?
2. Is Allah omnipotent, and did not make the Quran? Also the fact that many of the mistakes etc. in the book are in accordance with what was good knowledge and science in Arabia at the time of Muhammad, makes one wonder:
3. Did some human in Arabia make the Quran? - that would explain a lot.
4. Is there a Hell? – and in case is the description in the Quran correct? – there are so many other mistakes in the book.

5. Is there a Day of Doom? – and in case is it run by Allah? – or by Yahweh? – or by some other god(s)?
6. Is there a Paradise? – and is it in case a paradise for the body like in the Quran? – or for the soul like in the Bible? – or something else.

This is a problem with the Quran: There are so many mistakes that there are reasons for doubting anything, and impossible to know if something is true, and in that case what? What is true? What is al-Taqiyya? – what is plainly and simply wrong?

A last day will come for man sometimes in the future – but as there are so much wrong in the Quran, there is every reason to doubt that the description (or even existence) of Allah, and then consequently to believe that the description of Allah's arrangement of the last day also is wrong.

And what about each and every Muslim if the Quran is partly or completely wrong, and they because of threats, social pressure or simply by the glorified plain and blind belief have not had the chance to find out in time? If there is nothing after this life, they will have lost nothing – except they have made this life difficult or a hell or worse for many. But if there is something afterwards, it may be a rude awakening, because **there only is one thing that is sure about the Quran: No god – omniscient or not – made (not to mention revered in his own Heaven) a book with that many mistakes, contradictions, etc., and with that much invalid logic and as invalid "signs" and "proofs".**

007 42/11: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

008 42/12: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

009 42/13a: “The same religion has He (Allah*) established - - - (as that of Noah*)”. With all the mistakes (see f. ex. 40/75) there are good reasons for asking if it really is an omnipotent god that made this religion.

****010 42/13b: “The same religion (Islam*) has He (Allah*) established for - - - Abraham, Moses, and Jesus”. Neither the Quran, nor Hadith, nor Islam has brought the slightest valid proof for this - only words. And at least when it comes to Jesus, it is wrong. The teachings of Jesus and the ones of Muhammad are fundamentally too different.** Of course Muslims say that the Bible is falsified and that scriptures have disappeared – that is the only way out they have. But they have yet to prove the first and to prove that scriptures documenting all the points Islam says are wrong in other religions have disappeared and none reliable and impossible to misunderstand ones have reappeared among the 13000 with relevance that exists. “Strong claims need strong proofs.” This even more so **as science by means of all the old scriptures has proved that the Bible is not falsified – a fact that is extra clear for NT.**

***011 42/13c: “The same religion (Islam*) - - - that We (Allah*) have sent by inspiration to thee (Muhammad*) - - -”. Is it really an omniscient god who has initiated a religion based on a book with at may be 3000 cases of mistakes, invalid logic, invalid arguments, invalid "signs" and "proofs", plus lots of contradictions, etc.? Definitely no. See 40/75 and 41/12 above and many others.**

012 42/15: “I (Muhammad*) believe in the Book (the Quran*) that Allah has sent down - - -.” No omniscient god has sent down a book with that many mistakes, etc., not to mention revered it in his own home as “the Mother Book”.

013 42/17a: “It is Allah Who has sent down the Book (the Quran*) - - -.” See 40/75 and 42/15 above.

014 42/17b: “It is Allah Who has sent down the Book (the Quran*) in Truth - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12 above - and others.

015 42/18: “- - - and know it (the Quran*) is the Truth.” See 04/75 and 41/12 - and others.

016 42/23: “No reward do I (Muhammad*) ask of you (Muslims/people*) - - -“. - - - **except total dictatorship over you, total obedience from you, plenty of women, cheap warriors, plenty of riches for bribes, etc., etc.**”

017 42/24a: “- - - the Truth (the teachings in the Quran*) - - -.” With that many mistakes and worse, the teachings in the Quran at best is partly true.

***018 42/24b: “And Allah - - - proves the Truth by His Words.” Muhammad was asked many times to prove his - or presumably Allah’s - words, but he never did, and seemed never to be able to, this even more so, as f. ex. some of his “explanations” for why he never could prove anything, an intelligent man like him knew were lies (f. ed. that real miracles would make no-one believe anyhow). And the words of the Quran prove not a thing, among other reasons because:

1. Far too many mistakes pretending to be facts. (Swindle?)
2. Far too many loose statements pretending to be facts. (Swindle?)
3. Far too many invalid “signs” pretending to be documentation. (Swindle?)
4. Far too many invalid or even wrong “proofs” pretending to be documentation. (Swindle?)
5. Some obvious lies – f. ex. that miracles would make no-one believe. (Swindle.)
6. Muhammad was unable to present anything but fast-talk when asked for proofs. (Swindle?)
7. Lots of invalid use of logic. (Swindle?)
8. Lots of contradictions (– proves of lies?)

These all are hallmarks of a crook and a cheat and a deceiver.

019 42/29a: “- - - His (Allah’s*) Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 and 2/99 above.

020 42/29b: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

*021 42/30a: “Whatever misfortune happens to you, is because of things your hands have wrought - - -”. Wrong. Sometimes it is because of bad luck and coincidence and sometimes f. ex. by what others do. F. ex. if some terrorists - normally Muslims - kills or mutilates you for things you absolutely are not guilty of. And not least it may be because of natural catastrophes – like the 2004 tsunami that killed more than 300000, mostly Muslims (ca. 80%).

*022 42/30b: “Whatever misfortune happens to you, is because of things your hands have wrought - - -”. Wrong. According to the Quran, Allah decides everything (though Islam is unable to explain how this corresponds to the claimed free will of man - and lamely claims that all the same it must be true, "because Allah says so in the Quran"(!!!)).

023 42/32a: “- - - His (Allah’s*) Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 and 2/99 above.

*00a 42/32b: “And among his Signs are the ships, smooth-running through the ocean - - -”. Neither Allah nor Muhammad ever built a ship - and if Islam says that Allah thought man to build ships, they will have to prove it. The same if what the point here is that the wind moves the ships. The Quran never has proved that Allah makes the wind - a Hindu or Pagan priest may utter the same invalid words, that his god(s) make(s) it. Words are that cheap.

024 42/33: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

025 42/35: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 and 2/99 above.

026 42/49: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

027 42/50: “- - - He (Allah*) is full of knowledge - - - “. Hardly. See 40/75 and 41/12.

028 42/52a: “- - - We (Allah*) have made the (Quran) a Light - - -”. **A book with may be 3000 mistakes, contradictions, invalid statements, “signs”, “proofs”, invalid logic, etc. is not much of a light.** See also 40/75 + 41/12.

029 42/52b: “- - - and verily thou (Muhammad*) dost guide (men) to the Straight Way - - - “. It is not possible to guide anyone straight from a book this crooked.

030 42/53: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

Surah 42: At least 30 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 43:

001 43/2: “- - - the Book that makes things clear - - -”. A book with 2000+ mistakes makes few things clear. See also 40/75 + 41/12.

002 43/3: “- - - (and learn Wisdom) - - -”. Nobody learns wisdom from a book full of errors.

***003 43/4a: “- - - it (the Quran*) is in the Mother of Books, in Our (Allah’s*) presence, high (in dignity) - - -”. This is one of the places in the Quran where Muhammad claims the Quran is taken from (is a copy of) the Mother Book in Allah’s own home/Heaven. **But no**

book containing hundreds of mistakes, hundreds of contradictions, hundreds of loose claims and statements, lots of invalid logic, lots of invalid “signs” and lots of invalid “proofs” easy for anybody with good and wide education too see through, etc., is copied from a revered Mother Book, high in dignity and esteem, in the perfect Heaven, the home of a perfect, omnipotent and omniscient god. See also 13/39.

004 43/4a: “- - - (a Quran*) full of Wisdom - - -.” See 40/75 and 41/12.

****005 43/6:** “But how many were the prophets We (Allah*) sent amongst the people of the old?” Well, Hadith says 124000 - and it is not true, because so many had had to leave some traces.

Besides: With so many different people so many different places in the world - why were there no other prophet any place in the world at the time of Muhammad - yes, none at all for a very long time before Muhammad? According to the time scale of Genesis and the Torah and the Bible that the Quran does not correct, and 124000 prophets, it should mean hundreds or a few thousands for each generation.

And: Islam’s explanation for why Allah wanted new holy books on Earth now and then, is that the world changes, and then some details in the holy book needs adjusting. Why then is Muhammad said to be the last one? – and the Quran to be the last book, a book that is too inhuman, too primitive on justice, and too outdated on warfare (too destructive) for modern societies, just to mention 3 subjects. The world has changed MUCH more between Muhammad and now, than between Adam and Muhammad, and man needs new instruction for a less inhuman world - and an omniscient god had known that on beforehand.

***006 43/9a:** “- - - ‘Who created the heavens (plural and wrong*)?’ they (non-Muslims*) would be sure to reply, ‘They were created by (Allah*)’”. Wrong - if they believed a god had created it, they would be sure to mention their own god, though in the old Arabia that may have been the polytheistic god al-Lah, which could cause (intended?) confusion because the names were so similar **(the same reason why Islam now tends to use the word “God” instead of “Allah” in the west, we have been told – it hides some of the real differences.)**

007 43/9b: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

008 43/9c: “(Allah is*) full of Knowledge - - -”. See 41/12 and 40/75.

***009 43/10a:** “(Allah*) has made for you the earth (like a carpet), spread out - - -”. The Quran many places says the Earth is flat (it always is compared to something flat) - perhaps round like a disk, but flat - - - like a carpet. Wrong.

***010 43/10b:** “- - - and (Allah*) has made for you roads - - -”. We have never heard about a road made by a god, except perhaps in fairy tales. The paths/roads in Arabia were so old that no one remembered the start of them, and then Muhammad could tell things like this.

011 43/11a: “- - - We (Allah*) rise to life therewith (with rain*) a land that is dead - - -”. A land that is coming alive only because of rain is not dead - it is alive with seeds and perhaps roots.

012 43/11b: “- - - We (Allah*) rise to life therewith (with rain*) a land that is dead - - -.” Wrong. If water is all it takes, the land only looks dead – it is alive with seeds and roots. Any god knows.

013 43/11c: “- - - We (Allah) rise to life therewith (with rain*) a land that is dead; even so will ye be raised (from the dead) - - -”. The comparison is wrong - and a god had known it. In the first case the DNA is alive and well and ready to sprout. In a dead body everything is finished - also the DNA and all its possibility to go against entropy (a term from physics that can be said to be a measure for chaos and lower states of energy). There is critical difference in that.

014 43/12: “- - - (Allah) created pairs in all things - - -”. Very wrong. This only goes for multi-cellular beings, and for far from all of them. And it goes not at all for uni-cellular beings - and they exist in a by far larger number, both in quantity and species. Any god knew this - Muhammad not.

015 43/14: “And to our Lord (Allah*), surely, must we turn back.” With 2000+ mistakes etc. in the Quran, this may be wrong, too - see 41/10 and 41/12. For sure it is not sure that we meet Allah - if any god at all - after this life.

016 43/29a: “- - - the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 42/12.

017 43/29b: “- - - a Messenger (Muhammad*) making things clear.” No messenger preaching what is in the Quran, makes things clear – too many mistakes and too much unclear logic, etc.

018 43/30: “- - - the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 42/12.

00a 43/43a: “- - - the Revelation - - -”. Is it a revelation? And in case from whom? – not from an omniscient god (too many mistakes) and neither from a good god (too inhuman, too dishonest, too bloody). But perhaps from a dark power in disguise? – or from humans? – or even from himself?

019 43/43b: “- - - the Revelation sent down to thee (Muhammad*) - - -”. The claimed revelation at least is not sent down by any omniscient god like the Quran wants one to believe – if at all sent down.

020 43/44: “The (Quran) is indeed the message, for thee and for the people - - -”. Definitely not – too much is wrong. Or if it after all is a message – from whom?

00b 43/45: “And question thou Our (Allah’s*) messengers whom We sent before thee (Muhammad*); did We appoint any deity other than (Allah) Most Gracious, to be worshipped?” Allah or Yahweh for the Biblical ones? (In spite of what the Quran says, it is not the same god unless the god is schizophrenic – the teachings are fundamentally too different. Islam tries to explain away – without documentation like normal for Islam – the differences with claims that the Bible is falsified, but science has long since shown that it is not true. There may be a limited number of mistakes in that book, too – though much less than in the Quran - but no falsifications.

021 43/46: “- - - the Worlds - - -”. Once more the 7 non-existing Earths that the Quran tells about. See 65/12.

022 43/55: “- - - We (Allah*) drowned them all (= Pharaoh Ramses II and his people*).” At least Ramses himself did not drown. He did not die from drowning, and he did not die until several years later.

**023 43/56: “And We (Allah*) made them a people of the past - - -”. Wrong. Neither Ramses II nor the people of Egypt became a people of the past in the year 1235 BC (the approximate year of the possible exodus, according to science). That did not happen until much later – and the final doom came in 659 AD when the Arabs under Mu’awiya conquered the country and took over - for ever (?). Muslims like to “explain” that “a people” means the soldiers of Pharaoh. But the expression “a people” has a wider meaning than that.

**00c 43/59: “He (Jesus*) was no more than a servant - - -”. Possible. But there is still the funny fact that thousands heard him call Yahweh “father”. Whereas only one man - and a man of very questionable character and ethics, claims the opposite - and this even a man who had much to gain from Jesus being not the son of God. And he claimed it as much as 600 years later without any kind of documentation.

024 43/63a: “(Jesus said*): therefore fear Allah - - -”. **If Jesus had been a missionary for a known polytheistic god from a not too far of country, he for one thing had got very few followers in the at that time strictly monotheistic Israel, and for another thing he had been killed by the clergy long before - especially if he all the same got a big following like he really did. This is a tale told by someone who knew the religious and political situation in Israel around year 30 AD badly.

025 43/63b: “(Jesus said*): fear Allah and obey me - - -”. This is really is Muhammad’s slogan – he wanted power, and religion/Allah was his Platform of Power. And many places in the Quran it becomes clear that Muhammad wants everyone to believe he was a “normal” (but top) prophet (actually he was no real prophet, as he did not have the gift of making prophesies – see chapter about Muhammad), and then it was nice if Jesus used the same words like Muhammad and showed this was normal for prophets to say. But one of the really – and one of many - fundamental differences between Jesus and Muhammad (and for that case between f. ex. Buddha and Muhammad), was that Jesus was absolutely not interested in power on this Earth. **Consequently this slogan that Muhammad very frequently used in different varieties to secure his power, was meaningless for Jesus.** (The Quran does not oppose what can be taken as a fact: That Jesus preached, but did not seek power on Earth.)

026 43/64: “(Jesus said): For Allah, He is my Lord and your Lord - - -”. See 43/63 just above. We may add that starters of new religions or sects often try to “high-jack” well known persons or situations to use it in their teachings. This may look like such a case.

027 43/69: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

028 43/78a: “Verily, We (Allah*) have brought - - - (the Quran*) - - -”. Is it really an omniscient god that has produced it? See 40/75 and 41/12

029 43/78b: “Verily, We (Allah*) have brought the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. At most the Quran is partly the truth. See 40/75 and 41/12.

030 43/78c: “- - - but most of you (non-Muslims*) have a hatred to the Truth.” The truth - see 40/75 and 41/12. And in addition:

1. *Few hate – but many are frightened.
2. Quite a number feel distasted because of the inhuman and unjust laws and traditions in Islam.
3. **There is a difference between frightened strength and frightened weakness – a fact that sometimes is forgotten.

00d 43/81: “If (Allah) Most Gracious had a son, I (Muhammad) would be the first to worship”. Some proof!! But for that: There still is Jesus calling Yahweh father. And any neutral professor of history would say that according to all normal rules, the Bible should be more reliable than the Quran as a source of correct history: Very much closer in time to Jesus, thousands of witnesses, many narrators, versus one single narrator without good sources and 600 years later - and even a man of dubious character and with strong motif to reduce Jesus to become the greatest prophet himself - and a man clearly lusting for power (just read the Quran and the Hadits - it is easy to see f. ex. his gluing himself to the god.). A man that definitely had not been accepted as a reliable witness in any country with a reliable judicial system. (The real and historic Muhammad was something quite different from the glossy semi-saint Islam and Muslims claims – a claim made necessary because all Islam only is built on this man’s words - if he lies, the religion is a false one). Also: Science has shown that the never dicumenter Islamic claim about falsification of the Bible is wrong.

031 43/82: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

032 43/84: “- - - He (Allah*) is full of Wisdom and Knowledge”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

033 43/85: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

034 43/86: “- - - only he (the not capital “h” must be a misprinting - it refers to Allah*) who bears witness to the Truth - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

035 43/87a: “If thou (Muhammad or Muslims*) ask them, Who created them, they will certainly say, Allah - - -”. Wrong. See f. ex. 43/9 - and many others.

036 43/87b: “- - - the Truth (the Quran*) - - -.” The Quran at best is only partly true – too much is wrong.

Surah 43: At least 36 mistakes + 4 likely mistakes.

SURAH 44:

001 44/2: “- - - the Book (the Quran) that makes things clear - - -”. A book with this many mistakes and dubious arguments - see 40/75 and 41/12 - dictated by a man with a dubious character - see f. ex. 31/30 - does not make things clear.

002 44/4: “In that (night) (when the first surah is said to be sent down*) is made distinct every affair of wisdom”. As for wisdom in the Quran - see 40/75 and 41/12.

003 44/6: “He (Allah*) hears and knows (all things) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

004 44/7: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

005 44/25-28: “Thus (was their (pharaoh Ramses II and his men*) end - - -”. We know from other parts of the Quran that the end was drowning. Wrong, at least for Ramses II himself - he did not die by drowning (if he had done, you bet three religions had screamed about it!), and he only died years later than the possible exodus in ca. 1235 BC according to science.

006 44/33: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

007 44/38: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

Surah 44: At least 7 mistakes.

SURAH 45:

00a 45/2a: “The revelation of the Book (the Quran*) - - -.” There never were any proofs for anything. So many things are wrong with the Quran, that it has to be proved it really was revealed.

001 45/2b: “The revelation of the Book (the Quran*) is from Allah - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

002 45/2c: “(Allah is) Full of Wisdom.” See 40/75.

003 45/3a: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

004 45/3b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

005 45/4: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

****006 45/5a:** “And the alternation of the Night and Day - - - are Signs for those who are wise”. Not unless Islam proves it is Allah who alternates night and day. They may say that Allah made the physical laws - but then they will have to prove it - - - “strong claims demands strong proofs”. And of course they will have to compete with all other religions trying to say and do the same, with the same cheap words. Words are cheap as long as you do not have to prove them - and very little in the Quran is proved.

*****In Islam the educated ones know nothing is proved. The defence is that “any intelligent person can see from the texts in the Quran that they have to be sent down by an omniscient god” (!!).** All the mistakes and all the invalid “proofs” etc. disapprove this. The second line of defence is that it is “primitive” thinking to have to rely on proofs!!! (F. ex. in “The Message of the Quran” that on top of all is “certified” by a top university - Al-Azhar in Cairo). **The reality is the 180-degree opposite: It is primitive - and naïve - thinking to accept loose claims and often obviously wrong and unlikely statements without some sorts of proofs.**

007 45/5b: “- - - the fact that Allah sends down Sustenance from the sky - - -.” As “always” the Quran makes a claim only, and proves nothing. As long as it is not proved, it is not a fact – words are too cheap. Actually this is one of the very many cases where the Quran takes a natural a phenomenon – here rain – and unceremoniously say it is Allah that makes it - - - just like any priest in any religion can say about his god or gods, just as cheaply.

008 45/5c: “(Allah*) revives thereafter the earth after its death (by sending rain*) - - -”. One thing is that the Quran in no way proves that it is Allah who sends the rain - the book has a strong tendency to give Allah credit without any documentation for natural occurrences. But: If earth comes alive just because of water, it was not dead - it only looked like that.

009 45/5d: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

**010 45/6a: “Such are the Signs of Allah, which we (Allah*) rehearse to thee (Muhammad*) in truth - - -”. A strange saying, as all “Signs” in the Quran are logically invalid, as they either are claims or statements based on nothing or based on other invalid (not proved) claims, statements, “proofs”, etc. There may be a few exceptions for some taken from the Bible, but they in case indicate Yahweh.

011 45/6b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

012 45/8: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

013 45/9: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

014 45/11a: “This (the Quran*) is (true) Guidance - - -”. A book with perhaps 3000 mistakes, invalid statements, contradictions, etc., etc., is no true guidance. See 40/75 and 41/12.

015 45/11b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

016 45/13a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

017 45/13b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

018 45/17: “- - - Clear Signs - - -”. See 2/99.

00b 45/18: “Then We (Allah*) put thee on the (right) way of Religion - - -”. All the mistakes, contradictions, invalid statements, “signs” and “proofs” gives reason for serious doubts about that.

**019 45/19+20: “- - - Allah is the Protector of the Righteous. These are clear evidence to men - - -”. Yes, but only if it is documented that Allah really is a protector. There are no proofs for that in the Quran - only claims or statements based on invalid (not proved) claims or loose statements, etc. There in reality is not even a single valid proof for Allah himself. (But then it is not possible for humans to prove a god - only a god can do that. That is one of the reasons why all the “proofs” in the Quran turn out invalid – Allah refused to or was unable to prove anything).

020 45/20: “These are clear evidence to men and a Guidance - - - to (the believers*).” See 45/19+20 just above - how can an invalid “proof” be guidance? **After all a proof is one or more proven fact(s) that can give only one conclusion** whereas Islam/Muhammad/the Quran far too often use unproven claims or statement only as basis for new claims, instead of proven facts – and then any conclusion is invalid.

021 45/22: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

022 45/25: “- - - Clear Signs - - -.” There are no clear signs of Allah – or of Muhammad’s connection to a god – in all the Quran. See 2/22.

023 45/26: “- - - the Day of Judgement, about which there is no doubt - - -”. Because of all the mistakes, etc., in the Quran, there is every reason for doubt, and especially about a Muslim style Day of Judgement.

024 45/27: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

025 45/32: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

026 45/32: “- - - there was no doubt about its (the Judgement Day*) (coming) - - -”. See 45/26 above.

027 45/35: “- - - Signs of Allah - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 and 2/99 above.

028 45/36a: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

029 45/36b: “- - - the Worlds - - -”. The trouble for the Quran is that the 7 Earths this refers to, do not exist. See 65/12.

030 45/37a: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

031 45/37b: “(Allah is*) Full of Wisdom”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

Surah 45: At least 31 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 46:

00a 46/2a: “The Revelation of the Book (the Quran*) - - -.” Back to square one – is the Quran revealed? – and in case from whom? See 41/12.

001 46/2b: “(Allah is*) Full of Wisdom.” Not if the Quran is representative for it – too many mistakes, etc. See 40/5 and 41/12.

002 46/3: “- - - heaven - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

003 46/4a: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

004 46/4b: “Bring me (Muhammad*) a Book (revealed) before this (as a proof*) - - -”. Wrong. A book in itself proves nothing – it is as easy to falsify a book as it is to falsify speech. F. ex. the Quran can well be a falsification – made by Muhammad or someone.

005 46/7a: “- - - Our (Allah’s) Clear Signs - - -.” There are no clear signs from Allah in all the Quran – see 2/99.

006 46/7b: “- - - the Unbelievers say of the Truth (the Quran*) - - -.” See 2/99 and 40/75 above.

****007 46/9: “I (Muhammad) am no bringer of a newfangled doctrine - - -”. Muhammad pretended Islam was the continuation of - or the uncorrupted - religion of the Jews and the Christians. That is not true - especially in the NT it is clear that the teachings fundamentally are so different (and sciens has proved that Islam's undocumented claims about falsification of the Bible are wrong), that it can not be the same god - at least if he is not mentally ill. See 29/46 and 12/111.**

*****008 46/10: “If (this teaching) be from Allah, and ye (non-Muslims) reject it, and a witness from among the Children of Israel testifies to its similarity (with earlier scriptures (what is Muhammad's definition of “similarity” here?)), and has believed (or pretended to believe - sometimes that was necessary*) while ye are arrogant, (how unjust ye are) - - -”. This sentence is a bit complicated, but what Muhammad said, was that a Jew agreed – true or not true - to that the Quran was similar to old Jewish scriptures, and that non-Muslims then are unjust not accepting that Muhammad is a real prophet.**

1. Tales like this are quite common among self proclaimed prophets trying to prove their new religion or sect. They may be true or not true.
2. We only have Muhammad's word for this - a man who had initiated or himself done a lot of dubious deeds included lying/betrayal, and on top of that had a lot to gain from making people believe him, a man who lusted for power - and one who was teaching a dubious tale. There are no other sources. The tale may be true or not true.
3. We do not know how many Jews lived in the neighbourhood of Mecca/Medina. But in only one tribe he destroyed, there were 700 men (all murdered – in Khaybar. Plus the 29 from the peace delegation he invited and murdered earlier). As families tended to be large, that should mean some 2000-3000 women and children in addition (all made slaves). And there were three big tribes (and some small ones) and thus thousands of Jews - and the women at least here cannot be omitted, as they tend to be more religious than men. It would be most surprising if not one or a few of them wanted to humour the power-that-be or really changed the religion - from belief or greed or fright or other reasons.
4. But all the other – thousands and thousands - of Jews said Muhammad was wrong. This even when he marched against them with his army, and they knew to humour him meant “no war”. Even when they had to give him all their farms and become day workers for him - still knowing that humouring him meant they would keep their possessions if they in time

had humoured him. Even those who had to flee, loosing everything they could not carry - knowing that if they humoured him, they could stay. Not to mention the 700 men of Khaybar - knowing they were murdered by the half-dozens through the day and far into the night, and that humouring him perhaps could save their lives. All said no; Muhammad was too wrong to be possible to accept even then.

5. Even if it was correct that one or a few Jew said yes - which well may be true: "One swallow makes no summer". (It also may be a made up story - that often happens in new sects to "prove" they are right.)

All in all: This "proof" has no value. According to the Jews Muhammad was very wrong. And even more: We still have the same books of Moses - the Torah is unabridged for at least 1000 years before Muhammad according to science – and the rest of the Jewish Bible (the OT) that the Jews in Arabia had. **Anyone can read and see they were right.**

009 46/12a: "- - - this Book (the Quran) confirms (it (the Torah*)) - - -". See 46/10 just above, and especially the last lines. No one knew or know the Torah better than the Jews. Wrong.

010 46/12b: "- - - Glad Tidings - - -". Wrong. Islam is no glad tidings, except for for the ones not suppressed – and really glad only for the ones looking for booty and slaves and stolen riches, and not least for the ones near the top of the pyramid that got – and get – a lot of power in addition. It often is like that in war religions, especially when made to fit a strong and charismatic leader (and his successors), though many war religions have not been as hypocritical as Islam in trying to make its members and others believe it is good and just and humane and benevolent. And well, it may have been glad tidings for the minor percentage of people that need a religion to lean on – at least for the possible ones where the old pagan religion was not strong enough.

011 46/16: "- - - a promise of truth (the words of the Quran concerning Paradise*) - - -". See 40/75 and 41/12 - the promise of Paradise is part of it.

00b 46/17: "For the promise of Allah is true." That may be - if he exists (there is not one single proof, and the number of tries with invalid proofs, etc., make no good impression, as that normally is the hallmarks of cheats and deceivers). But there are good reasons for doubt, as the only source for information is the Quran, and very much there is not correct - or doubtful.

012 46/27: "- - - Sign(s) - - -". Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

013 46/30a: "We (some Jinns*) have heard a Book (the Quran*) revealed after Moses, confirming what came before it - - -". Jinns were beings "borrowed" from Arab fairy tales, legends and from the old Arab pagan religion – Muhammad mostly based his world on the (contorted) biblical legends - - - and on Arab traditions (the rest of the world made little or no traces in Allah's religion – nothing from the Americas or Australia f. ex. But may be the

uneducated, warlike Arabs had done things almost correct in most of the religious aspects in their pagan religion? – only the Arabs of the ones without a Book?).

014 46/30b: "- - - confirming what (Torah,Bible*) came before it (the Quran*) - - -". As for confirming the Torah and also the Bible: See 40/75 and 46/10.

015 46/30c: "- - - it (the Quran*) guides (men) - - -". A book with that many mistakes and invalid "proofs" etc. is no reliable guide.

016 46/30c: "- - - it (the Quran*) guides men to the Truth - - -". See 40/75 and 41/12.

017 46/33: "- - - heavens - - -". Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

011 46/34a: "Is this not the Truth? (= what was told in the Quran*)". With all its mistakes etc. the Quran at best is partly true.

019 46/34b: "- - - ye were wont to deny (truth (the Quran*))!" See 46/34a just above.

Surah 46: At least 19 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 47:

00a 47/2a: "- - - believe in the (Revelation) sent down to Muhammad - - -". Was it really sent down? See 42/12.

001 47/2b: "- - - for it (the Quran*) is the Truth - - -". See 40/75.

002 47/2c: "- - - for it (the Quran*) is the Truth from their (Muslims'*) Lord (Allah*) - - -". Is it? No. See 40/75 and 41/12.

003 47/3a: "- - - those who believe follow the Truth (the Quran*) - - -". Do they? See 40/75.

004 47/3b: "- - - the Truth (the Quran*) from their Lord (Allah*) - - -". Is it? Not if Allah is omniscient - too much is wrong in the book. See 41/12 + 40/75.

*****005 47/4: This is a really serious one: "Therefore, when ye meet the Unbelievers (in fight), smite at their necks; at length, when ye have thoroughly subdued them, bind a bond firmly (on them) - - -." BUT OUR SOURCES TELL THAT THE WORDS "(in fight)" IS NOT WRITTEN IN THE ARAB TEXT – IT IS ADDED BY THE TRANSLATOR. Muslims primarily shall read the Quran in Arab, and there in case the text is: "Therefore, when ye meet the Unbelievers, smite at their necks - - -". **It in case simply is a permanent order to be aggressive.**

The real religion of peace.

006 47/9: "- - - the Revelation (the Quran*) of Allah - - -". It is highly questionable if the Quran is a real revelation. What is not questionable is that it in no case came from an omniscient god (too much is wrong), and from no good god (too inhuman, too unfair, too harsh, too hateful, too bloody.)

007 47/10: “Do they (non-Muslims*) not travel through the earth, and see what was the End of those before them (who did evil)?” In the Middle East there are scattered ruins – houses, villages, towns. Muhammad claimed – as normal without any proof – that they all were results of Allah’s punishment for disbelief and sins. But in an arid and harsh country which on top of all was settled by warlike inhabitants, there were many other reasons for empty houses. The statement that all were empty because of punishment for disbelief and other sins against Allah need strong proofs from Islam to be believed.

008 47/14: “- - - on a clear (Path) from his (a person*) Lord (Allah*) (= living according to the Quran) - - -“. The trouble is that so crooked, and of so doubtful clarity, as the Quran is, it does not represent a clear path.

009 47/16: “- - - those (Muslims) who have received Knowledge (the Quran*) - - -“. The Quran at best represents bits and pieces of knowledge, and it is difficult for uneducated people to know what is true and what not. See 40/75 and 41/12 and others.

00b 47/27: “But how (will it be) when the angels take their souls at death - - -“. Is something wrong here? In 32/11 there is a special angel – the Angel of Death – doing this, and in 39/42 it is Allah.

010 47/32: “- - - after Guidance (the Quran) has been clearly shown to them (non-Muslims*) - - -“. There is not much guidance in a book with that much mistakes and cheating (trying to use invalid statements, “signs” and “proofs”). See 40/75. If there is some, it in no way is “clear”.

Surah 47: At least 10 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 48:

001 48/4: “- - - Allah is Full of Wisdom - - -“. See 40/75 and 41/12.

002 48/7: “- - - (Allah is*) Full of Wisdom - - -“. See 40/75 and 41/12.

003 48/8a: “We (Allah*) have truly sent thee (Muhammad*) as a witness - - -“. Is this reliable? - in a book with this much mistakes, invalid statements, “signs” and “proofs”? There is only one possible answer to that: A “witness” bringing so much wrong information and wrong fact, is not sent from an omniscient god. And one may add: A “witness” bringing so much injustice, hate and misery to the world, is not sent by a good and benevolent god. If Muhammad at all was sent, one may speculate about by whom. Personally we hardly believe he was sent by even a devil, though the religion as preached in the Quran fits any devil well. But not even a devil would make a “holy” book with so many mistakes and errors – he would be found out sooner or later.

*****But may be a devil knew that mistakes so not matter very much – may be he knew that religiously blind persons are unable to see even the most obvious mistaken facts, because they do not want to see them?**

004 48/8b: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -“. Wrong. Islam is no glad tidings, except for the ones not suppressed – and really glad only for the ones looking for booty and slaves and stolen riches, and not least for the ones near the top of the pyramid that got – and get – a lot of power in

addition. It often is like that in war religions, especially when made to fit a strong and charismatic leader (and his successors), though many war religions have not been as hypocritical as Islam in trying to make its members and others believe it is good and just and humane and benevolent. And well, it may have been glad tidings for the minor percentage of people that need a religion to lean on – at least for the possible ones where the old pagan religion was not strong enough.

005 48/19: “- - - Allah is - - - Full of Wisdom.” See 40/75 and 41/12 and others.

006 48/20: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

007 48/26: “And Allah has full knowledge of all things”. The Quran proves the opposite (see 40/75) - - - if not the Quran is a falsification.

008 48/28: “It is He (Allah*) Who has sent His Messenger (Muhammad*) with Guidance - - -”. There is not much guidance in a teaching based on a book with that many mistakes and littered with hallmarks of a cheat and deceiver (loose statements and invalid “signs” and “proofs”).

009 48/28: “- - - Guidance and the Religion of Truth, to proclaim it over all religion - - -”. At most partly the truth. See 40/75. The second part of the sentence tells volumes about Islam.

00a 48/29: “Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah.” There are so many mistakes and so many places tried cheating and deceiving in the Quran - is this any more reliable? - especially as it is said by the man himself, a man who was unreliable and who clearly liked power?

Surah 48: At least 9 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 49:

001 49/1: “- - - Allah - - - knows all things.” Not if he composed the Quran. See 40/75.

002 49/8: “- - - Allah is full of Knowledge and Wisdom”. See 40/75.

003 49/13a: “- - - We (Allah*) created you (man*) from a single (pair) of male and female - - -”. Adam and Eve never were, according to science. Besides if everything had started with just one pair, the DNA-variety had been too small to make the group viable in the long run = man had died out after some generations. (Actually science says that to have enough DNA variety + have a reasonable safety margin against dangerous illnesses, a group of animals (ot humans) should consist of minimum some 2000 members – and spread around some to reduce the impact of contagious illnesses – to be sure to be viable in the long run.)

004 49/13b: “And Allah has full knowledge and is well acquainted (with all things).” Not if he has and respects the “Mother Book” (see f. ex. 43/4) like the Quran revered in his heaven, and not if he sent down a copy of a book with that much wrong contents as a basis for his religion. See 40/75 and 41/12. Also see 4/176 – 27/6 – 27/44 – 48/26 – 49/1 – 49/16 – 49/18 – 57/3 – 64/4 – 65/12 – 67/13.

****Besides:** Have you ever noticed that the one who needs to boast – loudly and frequently – about how truthful he is, is the cheater, and the one boasting about his knowledge is the

mediocre to rather, but not top, intelligent ones? – the really honest and the really intelligent persons never need to boast about those things. Real honesty and real intelligence makes itself felt after some time of close connection – if there is a need for boasting, something is wrong.

005 49/16a: “But Allah knows all that is in heavens (plural and wrong) and on earth - - -”. Not if he reveres a “mother book” (43/4) like the Quran in his heaven. See 40/75 and 41/12.

006 49/16b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

007 49/18: “Verily Allah knows the secrets of the heavens (plural and wrong - some proofs or statements the Quran chooses) and the earth - - -”. Not if he reveres a “Mother Book” (43/4) he has copied the Quran from, in his heaven”. See 40/75, 41/12 and 49/16a above.

Surah 49: At least 7 mistakes.

SURAH 50:

001 50/1a: “- - - by the Glorious Quran - - -”. A book with that many mistakes, contradictions, etc., and with so many hallmarks of cheating and deceiving (loose statements, invalid “signs” and “proofs”, etc.) is not glorious. By the way the expression is an oath - swearing by the Quran. Hope the rest is true, if not it is a false swearing. Though in Islam in some cases false oaths are permitted - or can be forgiven if paid for).

00a 50/1b: “- - - by the Glorious Quran (thou (Muhammad*)) art Allah’s Messenger”. See 49/29.

00b 50/3: “What! When we die and become dust (shall we live again?)”. Muhammad thought that at the Day of Doom all humans would be revived in flesh and bodily and not least mentally to be just the same humans that they were here on Earth except rejuvenated – Allah would assemble all the bones, dust, fluids and gas molecules you ones consisted of, **and recreate your (former) body and soul from it** (though in the shape of a young and good-looking individual – but as the Quran talks little about your children in Paradise, it is unclear if babies and children that died were/are recreated as adults or not. It also is unclear if they will be self-sufficient in Paradise, or only members of your family) Believe it who wants to.

002 50/5: “But they (non-Muslims*) deny the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See f. ex. 40/75 and 41/12.

**003 50/6: “- - - and there are no flaws in it (the sky*) - - -”. The sky is two different optical illusions - by day one made by bending of sunlight, and by night by our inability to see the 3 dimensions at long distances:

1. As the sunlight by day hits the entire atmosphere and bends light all over, flaws are difficult to see by the naked eye. (There really are no flaws, but shimmering because of temperature differences is easy to see when you use a telescope – and by night sometimes the flickering of stars). Once more a natural occurrence the Quran says is because of

Allah, without any proof. Another loose statement.

2. At night we see deep into the vacuum of space. There are no visible flaws in vacuum. Once more: A loose statement without real value - except for cheaters and deceivers.

Any priest in any religion can say just the same about his god(s). Words are that cheap.

Besides: How can there be flaws in optical illusions? And physically speaking: How can there be visible flaws in vacuum?

004 50/7: “And the earth - we (Allah*) have spread it out - - -”. The Quran tells the earth is flat - may be like a disk, but flat. Wrong.

005 50/11: “- - - and We (Allah*) give (new) life therewith (with water*) to land that is dead - - -”. Land that comes alive just with water is not dead - it is alive with seeds and perhaps roots.

006 50/13: “- - - the bretheren of Lut (Lot*) - - -”. This refers to the people of Sodom and Gomorrah, but it is extremely clear that they were not his “bretheren”. For one thing he was a stranger from very far away, and for another it is very clear from both the Bible and from the Quran that he was at odds with them – the connection was nowhere like a naturalized “brother”. Simply wrong.

The only reason we can see for the use of this word, is that Muhammad wanted the situation to fit into his statement that prophets were sent to their own people – “their own brethren”. Wrong – and forgetting also about f. ex Jonah.

007 50/19: “- - - Truth (like in the Quran*) - - -”. See 49/75.

008 50/37a: “Verily in this is a Message (the Quran*) - - - .” There simply is no message in it – not from Allah - until Islam really proves that Allah really said and did all that this refers to.

009 50/37b: “Verily in this is a Message (the Quran*) - - - (that is*) (the truth) - - -”. See 40/75. There simply is no message in it, until Islam really proves that Allah really did all that.

010 50/38a: “We (Allah) created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth and all between them in Six Days”. Flatly wrong – it took some billions of years.

011 50/38b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

00c 50/38c: “- - - nor did any sense of weariness (from creating everything in 6 days*) touch Us (Allah*).” Why then has the week 7 days (the Bible tells the god rested the 7. day)?

Surah 50: At least 11 mistakes + 3 likely mistakes.

SURAH 51:

001 51/5: “(The Quran swears in 51/1 to 51/4 that) Verily what ye (Muslims*) are promised (in the Quran*) is true - - -“. With so many mistakes, etc. – and even obvious lies – in that book, also this hardly is true. It at least will need solid proofs.

002 51/8: “Truly you (people/non-Muslims*) are in a doctrine discordant”. Some may be yes, some may no. Among the ones in “may be yes” are the Muslims, as all the mistakes and worse in the Quran prove absolutely that something is wrong with that book and that religion.

003 51/9: “Through which (non-Muslims*) are deluded (away from the Truth)”. As for truth, see 40/75.

004 51/20: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

***005 51/23: “- - - by the Lord (Allah*) of heaven and earth (the Quran/Allah is swearing by Allah!!*), this (the promise of Paradise*) is the very truth - - -”. We have to hope so, as a false oath is not good in Islam either - but one can pay compensation, and if it was said thoughtlessly it may even be forgiven without (and if it is to defend or forward Islam, it is an obligation to do so if necessary). But for truths in the Quran see 40/75, 41/12 and others. (Sentences in the Quran that starts with “by” normally are oaths.)

006 51/30: “- - - He (Allah*) is full of Wisdom and Knowledge.” See 40/75.

007 51/37: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

008 51/38: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

009 51/40: “So We (Allah*) took him (Pharaoh Ramses II*) and his forces, and threw them into the sea (where they drowned*)”. For one thing they were not thrown into the sea, they went themselves (according to the Bible and not opposed by other places in the Quran) out on the dry sea bed, and then the water returned), but for another: At least Ramses II himself did not die by drowning and he also did not die until some years later, according to science.

010 51/41: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

011 51/43: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

**00a 51/47: “- - - it is We (Allah*) Who created the vastness of space.” Here is a point that should be controlled: According to one of our sources, the word that is used in Arab is “samaa” which is said to mean “sky”, whereas the Arab word for “universe” or “space” is said to be “al-kawn”. We have till now been unable to check this for sure, but mention it because such dishonesty tells so very much if it is true – and we find half truths or cases of al-Taqiyya too often in Islamic media/books (though we had originally not expected it from a man like Yusuf Ali).

012 51/48: “And We (Allah*) have spread out the (spacious) earth - - -”. From other places in the Quran we know the spreading out is like a carpet - and the earth is flat. Wrong.

013 51/49: “And of every thing We (Allah) have created pairs - - -”. Very wrong. This only goes for multi-cellular beings, and not even for all of them – among primitive animals and even up to reptiles and fish you find some kinds that propagate asexually, and thus do not

make pairs. Uni-cellular beings are not in pairs, and there are by far many more of them both in species and in total numbers. Any god had known – Muhammad not. Who made the Quran?

014 51/50: “I (Muhammad*) am from Him (Allah*) a warner to you - - -.” Here is one more of those really bad ones: Suddenly the book changes from Allah speaking to Muhammad speaking. **But how is it possible for Muhammad to speak in a book that pretends to be billions of years old (from eternity – before the universe was created 13.7 billion years ago) or made by Allah in his heaven?

Surah 51: At least 14 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 52:

001 52/5: “By (Allah is swearing*) the Canopy (heaven*) Raised High - - -”. There is no canopy/material heaven, only optical illusions. What does it mean that Allah swears by something he should know did not exist??

002 52/11: “- - - those who treat (Truth (the Quran*)) as Falsehood - - -”. At least it is not the full truth - see 40/75 and 41/12 and others.

003 52/36: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

004 52/44: “Were they (non-Muslims*) to see a piece of the sky falling (on them), they would only say: ‘Clouds gathered in heaps!’” The sky is an optical illusion (or actually two). A piece of an optical illusion cannot fall. (It is clear from the text that it is not meant clouds, and it is clear from other places in the Quran that meteorites (shooting stars) are known - it really is a piece of the sky that is meant).

Surah 52: At least 4 mistakes.

SURAH 53:

001 53/2: “Your Champion (Muhammad) is neither astray nor being misled.” All the mistakes, etc. proves that he at least was somewhat astray. Though all the hallmarks of a cheat, deceiver and swindler **may indicate that may be he was not misled - those last 3 words may be true, as may be he was misleading.**

002 53/3: “Nor does he (Muhammad) say (ought) of (his own) desire”. It will take strong proofs to prove that surahs like no. 66 or no. 111 are worthy of and belongs in a revered Mother Book in Paradise - that may be has existed since eternity. And also to prove they are worthy a book revered by an omniscient and omnipotent god.

00a 53/5: “- - - one Mighty in Power (Allah*) - - -.” But is Allah mighty in power? – there is not one single proof for that. Lots and lots of words – even big words – but not one single proof.

003 53/6: “(Allah is*) Endued with Wisdom - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

004 53/11a: “The (Prophet’s (Muhammad’s*)) - - -.” But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Has the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention pretended to or claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories). Also see 30/40a and 30/46a.

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

00b 53/11b: “The (Prophet’s (Muhammad’s*)) (mind and) heart in no way falsified that which he saw.” If the Quran is fundamentally different from the Bible, and the Bible is not falsified according to science – in spite of Islam’s not documented claims - what explanations are then left?

00c 53/18a: “For truly did he (Muhammad*) see - - “. How truly is it really? – in a book with this many mistakes and from a man with so suspect morality that not even his oaths are holy?

005 53/18b: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

006 53/26: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

007 53/28: “- - - conjecture avails nothing against Truth (the Quran*)”. Actually that is the question concerning the Quran: How much is true and how much is conjecture - and how much is not even that? See 40/75 + 41/12.

008 53/31: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

009 53/45+46: “- - - He (Allah) did create (man*) in pairs - male and female - From a seed (the semen*) when lodged (in its place (a female womb*)).” Muhammad believed the semen was some sort of a seed that could start to grow when planted in a woman. Wrong - or at best half the story. But Muhammad obviously did not know the other half - the egg cell - as the same story is told several times in the Quran. Actually this was the going zoology at the time of Muhammad - one did not know how conception happened, and did not know the egg cell. A god had known better. Who made the Quran?

*010 53/49: “- - - He (Allah) is the Lord of Sirius (the Mighty Star) - - -”. Sirius it not very mighty, even if it may look like that from Earth. It is a dwarf compared to stars like Betelgeuse, Aldebaran and millions more. And just a firecracker compared to f. ex. the enormously potent Eta Carinae (borderline enormous for exploding, and expected to go supernova in the astronomically near future). Not to mention compared to the real giants.

011 53/56: “This is a Warner (Muhammad*), of the (series of) Warners of the old”. Muhammad impressed and impressed and impressed on his followers that he was one of a long series – though the greatest of all – of prophets (even though he per definition was no prophet, as he did not have the gift of making prophesies – he just “borrowed” that prestigious title), as that gave him “weight” and prestige. And to belong to the one timeless “right” religion, also gave his teachings weight among the ones that believed it (Science have never found any traces of a religion like Islam anywhere or any time before 610 AD – **if they had, you bet Islam had told about it.) But he in case definitely did not belong to the same series as the Jewish prophets, included Jesus – the teachings were too different. AND they made prophesies - real prophesies – which he was unable to.

Surah 53: At least 11 mistakes + 3 likely mistakes.

SURAH 54:

001 54/2: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

002 54/5: “(The Quran is*) Mature wisdom - - -”. Hardly. See 40/75, 41/12 and others.

003 54/6: “Therefore (O Prophet (Muhammad*))!” But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention pretended to or claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories). Also see 30/40a and 30/46a.

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

004 54/11: “So We (Allah*) opened the gates of Heaven (here = the religiously defined Heaven as it f. ex. is written with capital “H”, not a substitute word for the sky or clouds*) with water pouring forth”. But the material heavens that Muhammad believed in, did not exist - and thus could not contain water.

005 54/15: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

***006 54/17: “And We (Allah*) have indeed made the Quran easy to understand - - -.” See 54/32 below.

007 54/18: “The Ad (people) rejected (truth (the teachings of the Quran*)) - - -.” Those teachings at best only are partly true – too many mistakes, etc.

008 54/19: “For We (Allah*) sent against them (the people of Ad*) a furious wind, on a Day of violent Disaster”. Well something is wrong – and contradicting – as here it is said one day, in 41/16 it is said (several) days and in 69/7 is said 6 nights and 7 days.

***009 54/22: “But We (Allah*) have indeed made the Quran easy to understand - - -.” See 45/32 below.

010 54/32: “But We (Allah*) have indeed made the Quran easy to understand - - -”. This in a way is very correct – the language is plain and simple mostly, and the Quran itself makes it clear that one is to understand it literally. Also the statement that it is easy to understand, means that it is to be understood like it is written - if not it was not "easy to understand", (though many Muslims claim that verses that are wrong, are allegories – it is an easy way to use to flee from difficult questions)

But it is all the same at least partly wrong – partly because there are so many places where it is difficult to guess which word is really meant. Separate books are needed to explain the Quran - there are many such ones. And if you read any of the good ones, you will find that even today there are many points in the book Islam has not been able to understand, and even many more points they still do not know the exact meaning of - or which one of two or more meanings is the correct one (though Islam tells that all possible meanings are correct ones - they call it "different ways of reading" to hide the facts that the book for one thing is unclear, and for another that there exist many possible varieties). But it is easy too see that Muhammad meant it was easy and not complicated to understand - and an omniscient god had been able to compose a book that was possible to understand and impossible to misunderstand or not understand, just like Muhammad claimed and surely believed and intended. Who composed the Quran?

011 54/40: “And We (Allah*) have indeed made the Quran easy to understand - - -”. See 54/32 just above.

012 54/42: “- - - Our Signs - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

013 54/55: “An Assembly of Truth - - -”. With so much wrong in the book and hence in the religion, this needs solid proof to be believed by us.

Surah 54: At least 13 mistakes.

001 55/2: "It is He (Allah*) Who has thought the Quran." See 40/75, 41/12 and others.

002 55/3: "He (Allah*) has created man". At least not like told in the Quran - see 6/2. Besides man was not created according to science - he developed from an earlier primate. If Islam says he was created, they will have to prove it.

003 55/10: "It is He (Allah*) who has spread out the earth - -". We know from other places in the Quran that the spreading out, was like a carpet - Muhammad believed the Quran was flat. Wrong.

004 55/14: "He (Allah) created man from ringing clay like unto pottery". Wrong - but somewhat funny. See 6/2.

**00a 55/15: "And He (Allah*) created Jinns from fire free of smoke." Jinns are beings from old Arab folklore, fairy tales and legends relating to the old Arab pagan religion. Is just by coincidence that these beings in Allah's world – that according to the Quran are real beings – before only were known to the Pagan Arabs and not to any others, not even the real (?) prophets in the Bible? – and not to any of the other prophets the Quran claims were spread all over the world and to all times? Because no-one but the old Arabs and their neighbours knew about jinns. In a religion for all the world and made by a god for the entire world, they never manifested themselves any other place in the entire world than just in that area. What a lucky coincident that Allah finally choose just an Arab – Muhammad – for a messenger, so that he could tell the rest of the world what part the jinns play in the real religion. But it also is strange that except for things borrowed from the Bible and a little from neighbouring religions, there is nothing about or from the rest of the world in the Quran – and the Quran practically has no stuff from those pasts of the world, even though there have been prophets all over and to all times, according to that book. (Jinn often is translated with spirits (god or bad) - but it is wrong, because the Arab word for spirits is "ruh". Also other words for supernatural beings from other cultures are used for translation, but it is symptomatic that top translators do not translate the word, but use the Arab one - Jinns are a group of beings special to Arab folklore and legends, and even the top translators do not find equivalent beings or names in western languages.)

000 55/17: "He (Allah) is the Lord of the two Easts and the two Wests." This cryptic sentence means the northmost and the southmost points of the sun during a year (the equinoxes) – in east and west. (We mention this because some Muslims try to find ways to use this sentence to prove that the Quran says the Earth is globular.)

00b 55/24: "And His (Allah's) are the Ships sailing - -". We never heard about a god owning ships. It cannot be literally meant. But the Quran says it is to be read literally.

005 55/29: "- - - heavens - - -." Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

*00c 55/31: "- - - both ye worlds!" According to "The message of the Quran", the Arab word that is used here – "thaqalan" (not plural, but dualis of "thaqal") does not really mean "worlds", but is normally translated with "humans and invisible beings", but may also mean "men and women" in other connections.)

006 55/33: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

**00d 55/56: The Muslim Paradise is quit like the Zoroastrian one (Zoroastrians mainly lived in Persia, one of the big trading partners for Arabia. The Arabs knew that religion – hardly as well as the Mosaic or the Christian religions, but at least superficially.) - except that the houries there were named paaris. (Also the Jewish and Christian hell may have got inspiration from the Zoroastrian one.)

Surah 55: At least 6 mistakes + 4 likely mistakes.

SURAH 56:

001 56/51: “- - - and treat (truth (the Quran*)) as Falsehood! - -”. See 40/75, 41/12, and others.

002 56/57: “- - - the Truth (the contents of the Quran*) - - -“. But the contents of the Quran at best only is partly true – too much is wrong.

003 56/70: “- - - We (Allah*) could make it (all rain*) salt - - -”. Not without changing both natural and the physical laws. If Islam insists it is true, they will have to prove it - words are cheap.

004 56/80a: “A Revelation (the Quran*) from the Lord of the Worlds (Allah*) - - -”. Can it really be so? See 41/12 - and 40/75. Impossible – no omniscient god would make/deliver a book with so many mistakes – not to mention keep it in his own Heaven as a revered Mother Book (13/39 - 43/4). Can this be a revelation from a god? Or the other way around: Can something producing so many mistakes, contradictions, so much invalid logic be lord of even one world? Also see 2/131 – 26/109 – 26/127 – 26/192.

005 56/80b: “- - - the Worlds.” The Quran says there are 7 (flat) Earths – and the Hadiths place them one above the other and has named them. Wrong. See 65/12.

006 56/81: “Is it (the Quran*) such a Message that ye would hold it in light esteem?” This rhetoric question demands the answer “no”. Wrong. With so much wrong in the book, it is correct to hold it in very light esteem – if in esteem at all, as everything in it needs to be documented to find out what is right and what is wrong in the book.

007 56/92: “- - - those (non-Muslims*) who treat (Truth (the Quran*)) as Falsehood - - -”. There really is a question: What is true and what is false in the Quran - see 40/75 and 41/12 and others.

008 56/95: “Verily, this (the description of hell in the Quran*) is the Very Truth and Certainty”. Why should what the Quran says about Hell be more true and certain than the rest of the book? See 40/75 and other places.

Surah 56: At least 8 mistakes.

SURAH 57:

001 57/1a: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

002 57/1b: “- - - He (Allah*) is - - - the Wise.” Not according to all the mistakes, etc. in the Quran.

003 57/2: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

004 57/3: “- - - He (Allah*) has full knowledge of all things”. Not if he had anything to do with the Quran - see 40/75 and other places.

005 57/4: “He (Allah) it is who created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth in six days - - -”. It is not possible to doubt that Muhammad/the Quran means that it all took 6 days, except one time it took 8 days (contradiction). Wrong. It in reality took billions of years.

006 57/4b: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

007 57/5: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

008 57/6: “He (Allah) merges Night into Day, and Day into Night - - -”. Wrong. It is the sun and the revolving of the Earth that does this - any god knew it, but Muhammad not. Then who made the Quran? If Islam still say Allah does it - or made the natural laws behind it - they will have to bring proof - - - and not just words or statements any priest can use for any god, as statements and words are very cheap.

009 57/9: “- - - manifest Signs - - -”. There are no manifest – sure – signs in the Quran. See 2/99.

010 57/10: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

011 57/16: “- - - the Truth (the Quran*) that has been revealed - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

012 57/17: “- - - Sign(s) - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

013 57/19a: “- - - they (Muslims) are sincere (Lovers of Truth (the Quran*)) - - -”. See 40/75 + 41/12.

014 57/19: “- - - Our (Allah’s Signs - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

015 57/25: “- - - clear Signs - - -.” See 2/99.

***016 57/27: “- - - (Allah*) bestowed on him (Jesus*) the Gospel - - -”. For one thing is seems that Muhammad did not know there were 4 Gospels - he always used singular. Worse is that the Gospels were all written after his (Jesus') death. Muslims try to “save the day” by insisting that Allah used another Gospel which is now disappeared - a standard way for Muslims to “explain” difficult points, and as normal without documentation. But in this case they may even be right - there may have existed an older one (the possible original for 3 of the known Gospels). The bad news is that we know that if it ever existed, also that one was written after Jesus was dead, because a Gospel is the story of Jesus’ life and death and resurrection, and it could not be written until after this had happened (and that possible Gospel in case means that there is even shorter time between Jesus and the first written Gospels). Muslims also never mention the other possible explanation for why the 3 are so

similar: That the oldest have been model for the two others. (In this case there was no older Gospel they partly copied - and no claimed way out on this point for Muslims.)

Surah 57: At least 16 mistakes.

SURAH 58:

001 58/5: “- - - Clear Signs - - -.” See 2/99.

002 58/7a: “- - - Allah doth know (all) that is in the heavens (plural and wrong) and on earth - - -”. Not if the Quran is a copy of a “mother book” (54/32) he reveres in his Heaven. See 40/75 and 41/12.

003 58/7b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

Surah 58: At least 3 mistakes.

SURAH 59:

001 59/1a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/2a.

00a 59/1a: “- - - He (Allah*) is exalted in Might - - -”. Is he? There nowhere – absolutely nowhere - is any proof for his might or power. There are lots and lots and lots of big words and boasting, but not one single valid proof – not one. And PS: Who in the normal world are the ones that have to rely on big words and boasting? – the ones that lack true facts.

002 59/b: “- - - (Allah is*) the Wise.” See 58/7 just above and 40/75 + 41/12.

003 59/16: “- - - the Worlds!” Muhammad believed there were 7 (flat) Earths. Wrong. See 65/12.

00b 59/21: “Had We (Allah*) sent down this Quran on a mountain - - -”. Was it really an omniscient god that sent it down? See 41/12 and 40/75.

004 59/22: “Allah - - - knows (all things) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12 - and others.

005 59/24a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22.

006 59/24b: “(Allah* is) the Wise - - -”. See 59/1 + 40/75 + 41/12.

Surah 59: At least 6 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 60:

001 60/1a: “- - - they (non-Muslims) have rejected the Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

002 60/1b: “- - - the (Prophet (Muhammad*)) - - -”. But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true - if not he is a false prophet.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention pretended to or claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories). Also see 30/40a and 30/46a.

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

003 60/2: “- - - they (non-Muslims*) desire that ye (Muslims*) should reject the Truth.” As for truth: See 40/75 and 41/12. As for the rest, may be the Quran is right – but for entirely different reasons from what that book claims. Islam in its pure Quranic form is a very destructive, inhuman and immoral religion, and when on top of that it is shinningly clear that the Quran is not w divine work, we do not want our descendants to end up in something like that

004 60/5: “(Allah*) - - - the Wise.” See 58/7 + 40/75 + 41/12.

005 60/10: “And Allah is Full of Knowledge and Wisdom.” See 40/75 and 41/12.

006 60/12: “O Prophet (Muhammad*)!” But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention pretended to or claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented

that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories). Also see 30/40a and 30/46a.

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

Surah 60: At least 6 mistakes.

Sub-total till here: 1634 mistakes + 197 likely mistakes.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 4, Section 7 (= II-1-4-7)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. THE "COMPLETE" LIST - THE "ENCYCLOPAEDIA" - BASED ON SURAH AND VERSE NUMBER

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

SOME CLEAR FACT MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN SURAHS 61 THROUGH 80 IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear mistakes. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely mistake.

SURAH 61:

001 61/1a: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

002 61/1b: “- - - (Allah is*) the Wise.” See 40/75 + 41/12 + 59/1.

00a 61/5: “- - - I (Moses*) am the Messenger of Allah (sent) to you (Jews*) - - -.” Allah or Yahweh? The Quran uses the name Allah for the god of the old Jews many places. Mostly we have not “arrested” it, but it is extremely unlikely to be correct as the teachings are too different.

Another point: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.

***003 61/6a: “- - - (Jesus said*) I am the Messenger of Allah - - -”. If Jesus had said something like this about the known polytheistic god from a not too distant foreign country, for one thing he had not got many followers, and for another: The clergy had at once had an excuse to have him killed. **This verse is composed by someone not knowing the religious and political realities in Israel around 30 AD.**

004 61/6/b: “- - - (Jesus said that he came for*) confirming the Law (of Moses*) - - -.” What he according to the Bible said, was that he had not come to finish that law, but to fulfil the law and to save souls – which was not the same as he should confirm the old laws or was prohibited from changing them or finish them. What he actually did according to the Bible, was making a new covenant between man and Yahweh that “de facto” changed or finished many of those old laws – a covenant Muslims never mention. To find the essence of it, read about “the Last Supper” in the Bible. (There were at least 12 witnesses to that supper, and they told about it to many afterwards.) F. ex. Luke 22/20.

***005 61/6c: “- - - (Jesus said: I am*) giving the Glad Tidings of a Messenger to come after Me, whose name shall be Ahmad (another form of the name Muhammad*) - - -”. **This is quite a funny verse, as you meet Muslims that insists it is from the Bible. But there is not anything remotely like this in the Bible,** and neither in the some 13000 relevant scriptures or fragments found throughout the times, older than 610 AD – included some 300 from the Gospels - nor in the some 30000 references to biblical verses in other known manuscripts. It is only to be found in the Quran. (The Bible also never - mever - gives names when it foretells into the far future – also never about the name of the Messiah that was to come. Here you get a clear name – quite a break of the rule - - - and unbelievably convenient for Muhammad, the very man that told this. Believe it is a coincidence if you want.)

**And it is worth remembering that it is quite common for makers of new sects or religions to connect themselves to a mother religion and bend that - or even hijack (parts of) it. The founder of the Amaddijja-Muslims is really one of the latest examples, and Mormons tell Jesus visited America during his last days on earth. Such things give roots, credence and weight to a movement.

*Jesus told The Holy Spirit (also named the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of God, the Spirit of truth, or only the Spirit – like Allah and like Muhammad it has more than one name) should come shortly - which it did. And he told he himself should return once upon a time “to judge the living and the dead“. But not a single word about any other - and not to mention not one with a foreign name the Jews would question.

******We know of one place where Muhammad is mentioned: In the Barnabas Gospel - a most apocryphal book - according to one of our sources it may even be written at the caliph's court in Baghdad (not very strange if it then mentions Muhammad). At least it seems clear that the copy that present day copies are made from, is a late try to "connect" the religions. You need to make up proofs only if you have no real ones. Muslims sometimes tell you this "gospel" is a real one.

But the standard explanation Muslims follow - without proofs: The Bible is falsified and the name Muhammad and the expectation of him taken out by bad conspiracies - people in that area have a strong tendency to look for and believe in conspiracy theories (We have a private theory that the reason is that they never in their history have been used to relatively reliable information). But in that case:

1. The life of the first Christians had been entirely different - and their time scale had been entirely different if any of them had heard about another prophet to be expected before the return of Jesus "to judge the living and the dead". (They would know the return of Jesus would take much longer time than they now believed, to give the new "prophet" time to work).
2. The contents of the NT had been different - not least the letters had been different. It simply is a fairy tale made up to strengthen Muhammad's claim to be a prophet - like some other self-proclaimed prophets. (Rather ironic; as he did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies - he did not even claim or pretend he had it - he was not even a real prophet. Messenger for someone or something perhaps, but not a real prophet).
3. *******The Muslims only backs their claim on one Greek word used in the Bible: "parakletos" which means "helper" - Jesus before he left Earth, promised to send his disciples a helper - the Holy Spirit (which arrived some days later - at Whitsun - according to the Bible (a story that is not negated in the Quran)).
 1. Islam claims "parakletos" is a misspelling for another Greek word "periklytos", which means "the highly praised one". In Aramaic "the highly praised" means "Mawhamana" of which the second part of that word as a verb is "hamida" (= to praise) and as a noun "hamd" (law or praise). If you then continue to Arab the names Muhammad and Ahmad (another

variant of the name Muhammad) both derives from “hamida” or “hamd” according to Islam. Which to Islam and all Muslims is a strong proof for that “parakletos” in reality is misspelled and means “Muhammad” in the Gospel after John (f. ex. John 14/16) - Islam and Muslims have difficulties of seeing the difference between a possible (and often unlikely) explanation and a proof when they wish a claim to be a proof. Here the claim is a not very convincing proof to say the least of it – and in addition:

2. The word “periklytos” that Islam claims is misspelled – the only possibility they have to get the answer they want and desperately need (they need it desperately, because the Quran clearly tells that Muhammad is foretold also in the NT - - - and he is not there) – does not exist at all in the Bible, not to mention in the NT. It is not used one single time.
3. The word “periklytos” also is not found one single time in all the some 13000 relevant manuscripts and fragments science knows from before 610 AD. Neither in one single place or time, nor in one single of the many manuscripts. And as bad: Not in one single of the thousands of other old manuscripts that make references to the Bible. Not one single time.
4. Worse: Neither is it found in any of the some 300 copies or fragments of Gospels older than 610 AD or in other manuscripts referring to the Gospels.
5. *The word “periklytos” simply never was used in the old scriptures that became the Bible. The word that is used everywhere is “parakletos” – “helper” (and a helper was what the disciples needed). This goes for each and every known copy.
6. **Beside: How could it be possible to falsify – as Islam claims – the same word the same way in hundreds and thousands of manuscripts – and how

to find each and every “periklytos” in each and every of the many different manuscripts – spread over all those countries? – and on top of all: In a time with little travel and hardly any media!? Islam has a tough job proving their claim – and remember: It is the ones making claims that have to prove them, not others to disprove it. This often is forgotten when Muslims throw loose claims and statements around.

7. *Muslims tries to explain that it could not be a question of the Holy Spirit, because the Holy Spirit already was present. And the Holy Spirit was present or visited Jesus. But it was not part of the disciples – and that was what happened at Whitsun according to the Bible: They each got personal contact with the Spirit, and that was quite a change of a situation.
8. *Muslims also say that as two different names for the Spirit is used (the Spirit of Truth and the Holy Spirit (you actually also have the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of God (1. Mos. 1/2) and only the Spirit)) it proves that John does not mean the Holy Spirit, when he uses the name “the Spirit of truth” – “the Spirit of truth” must mean the Muhammad that lies to his followers in the Quran (“miracles will make no-one believe”, f. ex.) and advised his people to use al-Taqiyya or even break their oaths if that gave a better result. In addition to all the other wrong logic here, this claim is just as logical as to claim that the 99 names of Allah means there are 99 different gods, or the 5-6 or more names of Muhammad means there were 5-6 or more of him. The spirit simply is named by (at least 5) different names – and in addition it is absolutely clear that in the whole Bible there only is one spirit with a special connection to Yahweh.
9. Jesus promised his disciples a helper – a parakletos. If he had meant

Muhammad, **how could Muhammad be their helper when they were all dead 500 years before he was even born??**

4. In the thousands of manuscripts older than 610 AD - the first point of time when Christians could get a reason for such a falsification - how was it possible to erase the word paracletos with the primitive means of that time, and fill in the word perikletos instead, in such a way that modern science are unable to find physical traces from the erasing, unable to find chemical differences in the ink that was used, and unable to see any difference of the letters (all people wrote differently)?
5. There only is one conclusion – the conclusion science has made long ago – possible to make is this: This Islamic claim – like many others – either is a lie (an al-Taqiyya?) or wishful thinking.

Wishful thinking? – or a bluff? – or a lie/al-Taqiyya? At least science long ago has proved from the old manuscripts that it is not true – the Bible never was falsified on this point either. (But Islam HAS to find him somewhere there, if not the Quran is wrong on this for Islam very essential point). Also see 7/157.

(As mentioned also the apocryphal (made up) “Gospel of Barnabas” sometimes is used as an argument, because there Muhammad is clearly mentioned (no surprise if the theory that it is made at the court in Bagdad is correct). The sorry fact, though, is that a made up gospel is a made up gospel (there are a number of them) – and it tells something about Islam’s lack of arguments that they continue to insist that may be it is not made up, and therefore is a proof for Muhammad, when science is unanimous: It is one of the false ones. The only thing the “Gospel of Barnabas” in reality proves, is that Islam has no real documentation for their claim that Muhammad is mentioned in the NT, as they have to resort to that kind of argumentation).

***But the most solid proof for that the Bible is not falsified, comes from Islam itself. **If they had found one single solid proof for falsification of the Bible among all the many thousands of old manuscripts that exists THEY HAD SCREAMED TO HOLY HEAVEN ABOUT IT – and no-one has till now heard such a scream – not even after 1400 years!!!.**

**00b 61/9a: “It is He (Allah*) Who has sent His Messenger (Muhammad*) - - -”. With all those mistakes in the claimed message, it is obvious that also this claim needs proofs – especially since an illness like temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) easily can explain both his fits, his sights (?) and his other experiences (?) – TLE often gives religious illusions like this (source among others BBC). Add some personal “inspiration” or cunning to solve personal and domestic problems, and add the contemporary wrong knowledge and science, and you have the Quran exactly – with all its mistakes and other weaknesses.

**006 61/9b: “It is He (Allah*) Who has sent His Messenger (Muhammad*) with Guidance - -”. A teaching based on a book containing unbelievable perhaps 3000+ mistakes, loose statements, contradictions, invalid “signs” and “proofs” + at least some clear lies and statements telling that Muhammad did not respect even his oaths too much, is not much of a guidance.

***007 61/9c: “- - - the Religion of Truth, that may proclaim over all religion - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12. It is also worth to remember that normal people of today - and earlier times – would be reluctant with trusting or believing in a man with a CV like Islam tells Muhammad had: Robbery, extortion, lies, broken oaths, incitement to hate, incitement to suppression of all opponents, assassination of opponents, murder of opponents, mass murder, rape, betrayal, (30 opponents from Khaibar invited to debate under promise of safe return - but 29 murdered on the slightest excuse, the last one managed to flee), incitement to war - and lust for women and for power. We have met Muslims excusing him with that he was a hard man living in a hard time, and that he was no worse than other warlords. May be so, **but he definitely was no better either, and he pretended (?) to represent a good and benevolent god. Personally if we meet a man - or a god - telling he is benevolent, but has harsh demands or deeds, we any day and any hour believe his demands and deeds more than his words.**

The last part of the quotation also tells volumes about Islam.

008 61/13: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -”. Permission to steal/rob, suppress, rape, enslave, keep harems, murder, etc. which are central parts of the Quran – are those “Glad Tidings”? Direct orders to go to war and kill and suppress and enslave and loot and destruct - - - and perhaps become an invalid or dead, leaving your wife/wives widow(s) and your children fatherless – are those “Glad Tidings”? Direct orders to concentrate only on religious knowledge (indirectly very clear in the Quran and directly and unmistakably very clear in Islam from very early – and totally dominant from 1095 AD and al-Ghazali, “the Greatest Muslim after Muhammad”) – are those “Glad Tidings”? Total destruction of all advanced countries and cultures they met in Africa, Europe and Asia at least as far east as what was then India – destruction it took the locals at least 200 years to overcome (if ever) – are those “Glad Tidings”? The inhumanity in the war religion – are those “Glad Tidings”? The reduction of women to third class citizens – if really citizens – (Islam’s claim that women were/better off under Islam than before only is true for some parts of what is now the Muslim area, mainly in minor parts of Arabia – and even there it had not necessarily been true today if it was not for the suppressing factor of Islam) – are those “Glad Tidings”? The enslavement and suppression and mass murders/slaughtering of non-Muslims – were and are (see Muslims at waging war and terror even today) those “Glad Tidings”? What a war religion did and does to the societies and the personal soul – are those “Glad Tidings”? The suppression of thinking – all non-religious philosophy, and all religious non-conform (to Islam) thinking – are those “Glad Tidings”? Well, yes, for some Muslims – the ones of the warriors that survived in good health and became rich from looting, and the ones of the leaders that became rich in wealth and women from looting/slave taking and taxation plus became powerful, then and today. And for some ones longing for a strict religion for psychological reasons.

**For everyone else it was everything from “Bad Tidings” to terror – and still is (just look at the backward societies it resulted in once the riches from looting came to an end except where they have natural resources to sell, like oil – and even worse when the sometimes hard taxation or pogroms of non-Muslim underlings, reduced the number and/or economy of those underlings = less tax possible. Look f. ex. at the development in India, China, Brazil of today

– especially India and China were far behind the Islamic countries 60 years ago, but what has been happening during these years? **Take away the oil, the money from outside the area and the ideas from outside, more or less forced on the clergy and the leaders from media and others – what has really happened in the Islamic area since f. ex.1950 compared to many other places?**

Yes: For everyone else it was and still is everything from “Bad Tidings” to terror.

For Muslims the part about heaven is “glad tidings” – if it is true. Also killing, rape, enslaving, suppression and stealing are glad news for the right – or wrong – kind of Muslims. The rest of the tidings is from bad to terror also for them – hate, war, suppression of women, stagnant society, immoral moral, only religious knowledge really counts, servility under authorities, fight and be killed, etc. **Even for Muslims the claim that Islam is glad tidings at best only is partly true - even if the religion should happen to be true.**

And especially so if Islam is a made up religion. And even more so if there somewhere is a true religion that Islam blocks its members from even looking for.

The very best one can say about the Quran and “Glad Tidings”, is that for some – some only - parts of it partly were glad tidings, and that for some others parts of it bring peace to the soul – like strong believers gain from ANY of the main religions.

***For all others – included the majority of Muslims – it was and is “Bad Tidings”. As mentioned especially so if Islam is a made up religion. Which it seems to be from the proofs of the Quran and the claims and the life of Muhammad. There are many more like this claim – partly true for Muslims in a way, but terror for all others – in the Quran – f. ex. 2/119 – 17/9 – 33/45 – 33/47.

009 61/14a: “- - - said Jesus - - - to the Disciples, ‘ Who will be my helpers to (the work of) Allah?’” See 61/6 + 61/6 (2 pieces).

010 61/14b: “Said the Disciples, ‘We are Allah’s helpers”. See 61/6 + 61/6 (2 pieces).

Surah 61: At least 10 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 62:

001 62/1a: “- - - heavens - - -“. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

002 62/1b: “(Allah*) - - - the Wise - - -*)”. Wrong if the Quran is representative for his wisdom.

00a 62/2a: “It is He (Allah*) Who has sent (Muhammad*)”. It is difficult to believe that an omniscient god sent a messenger with a message containing so much wrong, and a messenger taking so good care of himself.

**00b 62/2b: “It is He (Allah*) Who has sent amongst the Unlettered a Messenger from among themselves - - - “. All Muslim literature say Muhammad was analphabetic, and use that for a proof for his inability to make up the Quran - not mentioning that many a good teller of tales in the old times were analphabetic. But there also are two other possible meanings of

this verse: That his audience were unlettered persons ("- - - amongst the Unlettered - - -"), or that they were persons without a holy book.

*As for Muhammads inability to read: The statement is questioned: A man of good and not too poor family not knowing how to read and write? A rich widow marrying an analphabetic, knowing he was to run her business? An intelligent businessman with admission to learned men, with a drive for power, not learning how to read and write? It is not likely - but there are no neutral sources about Muhammad from that time. It will never be possible to find out for sure, one way or the other.

003 62/2c: "- - - His (Allah's*) Signs - - -." There are no signs clearly from Allah neither in the Quran, nor any other place. See 2/99.

**004 62/2d: "(Muhammad was to*) instruct them (the Unlettered Arabs*) in Scripture and Wisdom - - -" To instruct them in scripture, he hardly could be an analphabetic himself, but that aside: See 40/75 and 41/12.

005 62/3: "- - - (Allah is*) Wise - - -". See 61/1 + 40/75 + 41/12.

006 62/5: "- - - the Signs of Allah - - -." See 62/2 and 2/99 above.

007 62/6: "- - - then express your desire for Death, if ye are truthful!" An impossible demand for pious Jews and Christians: For one thing life has its values for everybody. More essential for them: Life is a gift from Yahweh/God – to wish to end it is to diminish a gift from Him. Most serious: **To (wish to) end your own life, is a sin so grave that it automatically sends you to Hell.**

Any god had known this – Muhammad obviously not. Then who made the Quran? **(In a way worse: Muslim scholars today know this fact. But they never mention it, in spite of using this argument. Dishonesty.)**

008 62/8: "- - - ye will be sent back to the Knower of things (Allah*) - - -". As for "the Knower": See 61/1 + 40/75 + 41/12.

Surah 62: At least 8 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 63:

00a 63/1: "- - - thou (Muhammad*) art indeed His (Allah's*) Messenger - - -." Well, the Quran says so – but very much of what is said in the Quran obviously is wrong. **And can a man claiming to bringing ok messages for 12 years and then highly immoral and inhuman messages for 10 years (Islam changed much in and after 622 AD and the flight to Medina) to man – and using the messages as his platform of power – really be the messenger of a timeless and benevolent god?**

001 63/4: "How are they (non-Muslims*) deluded (away from the Truth)!" At very best away from partly truths - see 40/75 and 41/12. (But there is an impolite thought far behind in our brain: Who are really deluded when it comes to Islam? – the ones just listening to the imams without using their knowledge and their brain and asking no questions, or the other ones?)

002 63/7: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

Surah 63: At least 2 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 64:

001 64/1: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

002: 64/2 “- - - it is He (Allah*) Who has created you - - -”. According to science man was not created, but evolved from an earlier primate. At least man cannot have been created in more than one of the 13 different ways in which the Quran tells the single person Adam was created - see 6/2.

003 64/3: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

004 64/4a: “He (Allah*) knows what is in the heavens (plural and wrong) and on earth - - -”. According to the Quran, he knows only parts of it - see 40/75 and 41/12.

005 64/4b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

006 64/6: “- - - Clear Signs - - -”. See 2/99.

**007 64/7: “The Unbelievers think that they will not be raised up (after this life*)”. Wrong. Islam wants to be a religion for the entire world, and most religions it met and meets have a second life. But what was difficult for the old Arabs to accept, was that Muhammad told that not only your soul - or something similar - was to be resurrected, but your complete and exact body and mental self, except that you are to be resurrected as a young and good-looking person - there is said nothing about people born with mental or physical handicaps, or babies/children in this connection. (There is one inconsistency, though: 2-3 places the Quran tells that your women in Paradise will be of “suitable age” - f. ex. 78/33. Why? - if everyone will be young and then of roughly the same age?) If bodily resurrection is believable or not, anyone will have to decide for himself or herself.

008 64/8a: “Believe, therefore, in Allah and His Messenger, and in the Light (the Quran*) - - -”. As for the Light, see 40/75 and 41/12. As For believing: See all the mistakes, contradictions, invalid claims/logic, etc. – not to mention highly immoral laws that are not made by any benevolent god.

009 64/8b: “- - - the Light (the Quran*) which We (Allah*) Have sent down.” See 41/12 + 40/75.

010 64/10: “- - - Our (Allah’s) Signs - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

011 64/18: “- - - (Allah is*) Full of Wisdom.” See 40/75 and 41/12.

Surah 64: At least 11 mistakes.

SURAH 65:

001 65/1: “O Prophet (Muhammad*)!” But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making real prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true. (If not he is a false prophet.)
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention pretended to or claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories). Also see 30/40a and 30/46a.

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

00a 65/7: “Allah puts no burden on any person beyond what He has given him.” Well, there are people in Muslim countries, too, that cannot bear the burdens and flee – to other places or even from this life.

****002 65/11: “- - - the Signs of Allah (are*) containing clear explanations - - -”. Wrong. There is not one single of the “Signs” referred in the Quran, that has any value, neither as proof nor as explanation for a god or for a messenger (with the possible exception of some taken from the Bible, but they talk about another god, Yahweh). The reasons are that they without exception just are loose statements or are building on other invalid claims or statements, “signs” or “proofs” - totally invalid. A proof after all is “one or more proven facts that can give only one conclusion”. If a person consciously uses such invalid arguments, they are using hallmarks of a cheat and a deceiver. No god would use them.**

****003 65/12a: “Allah is He Who created seven Firmaments - - -”. Firmament is another name for the heaven as we see it - mostly used about the night sky. The Quran so many places states there are 7 heavens or firmaments or tracts or just heavens in plural (at least 199 places in the Quran) referring to the 7 heavens, that there is no doubt what it means. It is totally wrong, though. (Even if Muslims try to explain it away with 7 layers in the atmosphere or diffuse arguments about the space as we know it today or statements about it being an allegory - the standard last resort for Muslims for explaining or “explaining” things that are impossible to explain - even though it very clearly is no allegory - clear at least to anyone not full of wishful thinking or religious inhibitions.**

***** 004 65/12b: “Allah is He Who created seven Firmaments (wrong*) and of the earth a similar number”. According to Hadith the last part means 7 separate Earths - one above the other. According to Muhammad ibn ‘Abd Allah al-Kisa’I the last part means 7 layers down in**

the Earth according to one “explanation”. But what the Quran really says, is 7 Earths – 7 flat Earths. No matter if you believe the Quran/Hadith or one of the “explanations” for this somewhat special geology/astronomy, the names of the “Earths” from top and down are:

1. Ramaka,
2. Khalada,
3. Arqa,
4. Haraba,
5. Maltham,
6. Sijjin,
7. Ajiba.

According to f. ex Al-Bukhari they are placed one above the other – easy as the Quran tells the Earth(s) is/are flat. The lower down, the more devilish life on the respective layer – and if you are a big enough sinner, you can fall down through them. It is not necessary to say it is all rubbish.

005 65/12c: “- - - Allah comprehends all things in (His) Knowledge.” See 40/75 and 41/12.

Surah 65: At least 5 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 66:

001 66/1: “O Prophet (Muhammad*)!” But Muhammad was no real prophet. The definition of a prophet is a person that:

1. Have the gift of and close enough connection to a god for making prophesies.
2. Makes prophesies that always or at least mostly come true.(If not he is a false prophet).
3. Makes so frequent and/or essential prophesies, that it is a clear part of his mission.

A few things Muhammad said, came true – like it has to do for a person saying many things through many years – and most of what he said that did not come true, was forgotten (also this is what normally happens). The main things here are that Muhammad never indicated that anything of what he said was meant as prophesies, he never indicated, not to mention pretended to or claimed, that he had the gift of prophesying, that it nowhere is documented that all/most of what he said about the future came true (point 2), and finally both he and Islam said and says that there were no miracles connected to Muhammad “except the Quran” – prophesying is a kind of miracle. (This last fact also is a solid proof for that all the miracles connected to Muhammad mentioned in there Hadiths, are made up stories). Also see 30/40a and 30/46a.

Muhammad in reality simply was no real prophet. Perhaps a messenger for someone or something or for himself – or perhaps an apostle – but not a real prophet. He only “borrowed” that impressive and imposing title. It is up to anyone to guess why.

002 66/2: “- - - He (Allah*) is full of Knowledge and Wisdom.” See 40/75 and 41/12.

003 66/3: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -.” Wrong. See 66/1 above.

004 66/6: “- - - a fire whose fuel is Men and Stones - - -”. A fire is a chemical reaction – normally an oxidation – that releases heat, and so much heat that the reaction continues by itself and still releases enough surplus heat to make a visible flame. This does not happen with stones – and definitely not with the stones Muhammad and his congregation knew about. (There are Muslims telling Muhammad meant coal, but coal as a means of heating, was unknown in Arabia at the time of Muhammad and his congregation – which means it is very clear that his followers were meant to understand normal stones). Wrong.

005 66/8: “- - - the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - -.” Wrong. See 66/1 above.

006 66/9: “O Prophet (Muhammad*)!” Wrong. See 66/1 above.

00a 66/11: The wife of the Pharaoh (Ramses II) is indicated to be a strongly believing Muslim. Now a pharaoh normally had a number of wives – and a mighty one like Ramses II not least (it is known he had 67 sons, but we have not seen the number of wives). They may have had different religions – especially the possible ones not born in Egypt. But it is utterly unknown to science that one of them can have been a Muslim 2000 years before Muhammad. Actually – and in spite of the Quran’s and of Islam’s repeated claims of being an age-old religion, science has found not one single trace of a religion like Islam anywhere or any time before 610 AD when Muhammad started his mission – and of really monotheistic religions only the Mosaic (Jewish), the Christian, and to a degree the Zoroastrians in Persia (+ the episode with the sun god of Aken Aten and the small monotheistic sect in Arabia, most likely inspired by the Jews and the Christians). Islam has to bring proofs.

****007 66/12: “And Mary the daughter of ‘Imran - - -”. Once more this famous mistake.** Imran was the father of Moses and Aaron - - - but they lived (if they are not fiction) some 1200 years before Mary, mother of Jesus. The pharaoh of Moses f. ex. was Ramses II according to science, and we know when he lived. Muslims try to explain this with that it was another Imran, but science agrees on that it is the same one, and that Muhammad here made a genuine mistake. This even more so as Hadith shows that Muhammad later was told about his mistake, and tried to “explain” it away, but without success.

Surah 66: At least 7 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 67:

******001 67/3a: “He (Allah*) Who created the seven heavens one above another - - -”. It is hardly possible to state the Quran’s picture of our sky more accurately and clearly than this. Neither is possible to be much more wrong,** especially when we add that according to the Quran the heavens are held up by invisible pillars, that the heavens are made from something material (if not you could build them and not fasten the stars, etc. to them), that the stars are fixed to the lowest heaven with the sun (?) and the moon between the heavens, and that the stars also double as shooting stars to chase away spying bad spirits. See 67/5a and 67/b below. Who composed the Quran - a god or someone not omniscient?

002 67/3b: “- - - seest thou any flaws (in Allah’s creation of heaven*)?” See 50/6.

****003 67/5a: “And We (Allah*) have (from of old), **adorned the lowest heaven with Lamps (Stars*)**- - -”. The Quran’s picture of cosmos is taken from Greek and/or Persian astronomy, and as any secondary school child not blinded by religious indoctrination can see; it is much wrong. For one thing the heavens have to be made from something material to make possible fixing the stars to one of them. Besides: From Greek etc. astronomy we know that the planets, stars, sun and moon were fixed to 7 different heavens. As the stars according to the Quran is fixed to the lowest, they have to be lower than the moon. But what happens if you try to place say Betelgeuse or even Helios - our sun - below Luna - our moon?

Further: Our rockets cannot go too high - they will collide with the material heavens. Muhammad said the Quran is perfect and without mistakes or flaws. The Quran says the Quran is perfect and without mistakes or flaws. Islam says the Quran is perfect and without mistakes or flaws. Muslims say the Quran is perfect and without mistakes or flaws. All of them say the Quran is perfect and without mistakes or flaws because Allah sent down a book he had made or which had existed forever - a book which is the revered “Mother Book” (f. ex. 43/4) in the heaven of Allah - and an omniscient god can neither make mistakes nor reverse texts containing lots of mistakes, contradictions, flaws and hallmarks of cheats and deceivers. Also see 67/3 above and 67/5 just below.

BUT WHAT DO ALL THOSE WORDS HELP WHEN THE MISTAKES, CONTRADICTIONS, AND THE FLAWS ARE THERE ANYHOW? AND WHEN ISLAM TELLS THAT THE TOTAL LACK OF ANY MISTAKE IN THE QURAN PROVES IT IS FROM ALLAH, WHAT THEN DOES MEGA BLUNDERS LIKE THIS PROVE?

****004 67/5b: “- - -and We (Allah*) have made such (lamps (stars*) as) missiles to drive away the Evil Ones - - -”. Well, well. Any secondary school child IS able to see that this entry from the same verse above, was wrong, he would laugh from this: Stars fastened to the lowest heaven and then doubling as shooting stars to drive away bad spirits or jinns!! Also see 67/3.

No further comments. And none necessary,

*** 005 67/10: “Had we (non-Muslims*) but listened or used our intelligence - - -”. Islam often tries to tell that it is intelligence that makes Muslims believe, or intelligence that is necessary to see from the Quran that it is a work from a god. What at least is sure, is that anyone who uses his intelligence and has a reasonable minimum of knowledge of f. ex. history, geography, astronomy, archaeology, etc. will find a lot of mistakes in the Quran - if he not for some reason is blind or do not want to see. Also: If he knows a very small minimum of logic and the rules for using logic and for evaluating information, he has to see the loose statements, the invalid “signs” and the as invalid “proofs” - and may be he will be struck by the thought: **Who uses this kind of arguments, except one who has no real arguments, and therefore has to cheat and deceive - f. ex. to gain followers and power?**

006 67/13: “He (Allah*) certainly has (full) knowledge - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

**007 67/19: “None can hold them (the birds*) up except (Allah) - - -”. Wrong. What keep the birds up, are the laws of aerodynamics. (Of course Muslims can use one of their favourite last resorts: Declare that Allah made those laws. But then they will have to prove that - not just use cheap words that any priest in any religion can use about any god.) Also see 16/79.

008 67/21: “- - - flight (from the Truth).” See 40/75 and 41/12.

Surah 67: At least 8 mistakes.

SURAH 68:

***001 68/4: “And thou (Muhammad or Muslims*) (standest) on an exalted standard of character - - -”. Well:

Seen in the Quran and the Hadiths:

1. Lots of mistaken facts, and other mistakes. Not typical for an omniscient god.
2. Lots of invalid arguments - hallmarks for cheaters and deceivers.
3. Lots of "signs" - all invalid as proofs for Allah or for Muhammad's connection to a god.
4. A number of "proofs" - all invalid as proofs for Allah or for Muhammad's connection to a god. A few of the "proofs" even are scientifically wrong. Hallmarks for cheats, swindlers, and deceivers.
5. A man gluing himself to his god and his religion – his platform of power.
6. A prophet that in reality was no prophet – he had not the gift of prophesying. **Muhammad did not even pretend or claim to have that gift**, he just “borrowed” the distinguished title. (A few things he said, came true – but they were not given as prophesies.) A messenger ok – for someone or something or for himself – an apostle for the same, ok. But a person that does not have the gift of prophesying, is not a real prophet - Muhammad just “borrowed” an imposing title. Islam also claims that messenger is a more distinguished title prophet – but the title just means “one who is not implicated, but just brings messages from one or more to one or more others”. He does not even have to understand what things really are about. Besides: Why did Muhammad borrow the title “prophet” if the title “messenger” had been more distinguished? – simply because a prophet is something more: Messages like a messenger + prophesies - - - if it is a real prophet.
7. A messenger being the chief of highwaymen from Yathrib/Medina - even in holy months.

8. A messenger also living from extortion - (money for men kidnapped from f. ex. caravans).
9. A messenger whose due was 100% of the robbed things if the victim gave in without a fight (albeit not all for personal use).
10. A messenger permitting to take “spoils of war” - and 20% for him (albeit not all for himself).
11. A messenger permitting to take slaves - and 20% for him (albeit not all for personal use).
12. A messenger who received ca. 2.5% (from 0% to 10 %) of what you owned each and every year (if you were not too poor) – for the poor, but also for war and for “gifts” to attract followers, etc.
13. A messenger using betrayal (f. ex. promise of safe return of a 30 strong delegation from Khaybar broken and 29 of them murdered).
14. A messenger with special agreement with the god for having many women.
15. A messenger teaching hate against non-followers.
16. A messenger teaching and inciting war against non-followers.
17. A messenger personally raping female prisoners/slaves.
18. A messenger and his men - all with permission from their god to rape any female prisoner or slave that was not pregnant. It was “god and lawful”.
19. A messenger that initiated assassinations of opponents.
20. A messenger that initiated murders on opponents.
21. A messenger that initiated mass murder.
22. A messenger teaching suppression of women and non-followers.
23. A messenger with lust for power (easy to see from f. ex. Hadith, but even more so from f. ex. the way he glues himself to his platform of power, his god, also in the Quran).

And not least: All this is from Muslim sources - what Islam itself tells about him, though in more glossy words. There is no excuse for becoming angry, because it is 100% true according to Islam itself.

Yes, many will call that “an exalted standard of character”. But not many of those would be non-Muslims. And how many of the Muslims can say it and feel honest?

002 68/7: “- - - those (Muslims*) who receive (true) Guidance (the contents of the Quran*) - - -”. Can the Quran with all its mistakes deliver true guidance? See 40/75 and 41/12.

003 68/8: “So hearken not to those who deny (the Truth).” See 40/75 and 41/12.

004 68/15: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -.” Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

005 68/52: “- - - all the Worlds.” Once more a reference to the 7 (flat) worlds of Muhammad. Wrong. See 65/12b above.

Surah 68: At least 5 mistakes.

SURAH 69:

001 69/9+10: “And Pharaoh, and those before him - - - (Allah*) punished them with an abundant Penalty.” The Quran tells that this penalty for the pharaoh was drowning, but Ramses II did not drown. Neither did he die until several years after the possible exodus, according to science.

002 69/16: “And the sky will be rent asunder - - -”. How do you rip asunder vacuum and open space?

003 69/43a: “(This (the Quran*) is) a Message sent down from the Lord (Allah*)” of the Worlds (Allah*).” Must be wrong. See 41/12 and 40/75. No omniscient god makes or reverse or forward in his own name a book with so much wrong.

004 69/43b: “- - - the Worlds - - -.” But the 7 worlds of Muhammad do not exist. See 65/12b.

00a 69/44 – 46: “And if the Messenger (Muhammad*) were to invent any sayings in our name, We (Allah*) should certainly seize him by his right hand, and We should certainly then cut off the artery of his heart”. **Not if you – Allah – does not exist. Nor if you are far from omnipotent if you exist.**

****005 69/50:** “But truly (Revelation (of the Quran*) is a cause of sorrow for the Unbelievers”. True, but for wrong reasons: Because of all the war and blood and terror Islam has represented through the ages - and the answer is NOT that also other religions have caused wars, etc. as that does not make a hate, rape, suppression, robbery and blood religion like Islam one single iota better – and in most other religions it is done in spite of the real religion, not because of. And because many felt pity for souls going lost in a religion built on a book where something is seriously wrong. (May be their own religion(s) also were wrong, but all the mistaken facts, etc., in a book pretending to be from an omniscient god, proves that in Islam there really is something that is wrong - and it makes one doubt very strongly that it really is a divine revelation.

Actually Islam is the only one of the big religions that directly proves itself – by means of their holy book – that it is something seriously wrong with the holy book and thus with the religion.

006 69/51: “But, verily; it is Truth of assured certainty“. Hardly - but words are cheap. See 40/75 and 41/12 - - - and others.

Surah 69: At least 6 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 70:

00a 70/4a: “The angels and the Spirit (“ruh” in the Arab text) - - -.” The word “ruh” is used a few times in the Arab text – at least in 16/2, 78/38, 97/4 and here. It really means “the Spirit” or “the Holy Spirit”, but is f. ex. in 16/2 given another translation (“inspiration”). A number of Muslims wants it to be another name for the angel Gabriel (simply because it was he who was said to bring down the surahs to Muhammad, and it is said a couple of places that the “ruh” – the Spirit or Holy Spirit – brought down such ones, “ergo” the Holy Spirit = the angel Gabriel). But the logic is not correct – by means of the rules of logical deductions it is possible only to say they may be the same. And here is another piece of information that makes that deduction unlikely or impossible: The “ruh” – the Holy Spirit” - is not included among the angels. Neither is it the other places – which makes it highly unlikely logically that the Holy Spirit = the angel Gabriel. (In the Bible it is clear they are not the same).

001 70/4b: “- - - in a Day the measure whereof is (as) fifty thousand years - - -.” A solid contradiction to 32/5 and 22/47 which both say 1000 years.

002 70/39: “For We (Allah*) have created them (humans*) out of (base matter) they know! “Man was not created; he evolved from an earlier primate. Any god had known. Also see 6/2 - Adam could not be created in a dozen different ways.

Surah 70: At least 2 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 71:

0a *71/6: “- - - (the non-Muslim’s*) flight (from the Right (Islam*)).” Can a religion building on the Quran with all its mistakes, contradictions, etc., be the right one? See 40/75 and 41/12? There is good reason for doubt. **And if Islam is a made up religion like so many others - what then with all Muslims if there exists another one which is right and there is a next world? - - - and they are blocked from looking for it.**

***001 71/15a: “- - - Allah has created the seven heavens one above another - - -”. See 67/3a, 67/3b, 67/5a and 67/5b above.

002 71/16: “And made the moon a lamp in their (the heavens’) midst - - -.” The moon is not in the midst of the 7 heavens (see 71/15a just above) of Muhammad. Any god - even baby god - had known, Muhammad not. Who made the Quran?

**003 71/19: “And Allah has made the earth for you as a carpet (spread) out.” The Quran describes the Earth flat - may be round like a disc, but flat. Wrong. (Similar description in 15/19, 20/53, 43/10, 79/30, 88/70).

Surah 71: At least 3 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 72:

**00a 72/3: “- - - He (Allah*) has taken neither a wife nor a son.” If Allah is not the same god as Yahweh, this may be true. But if the two are the same: Well, Jesus called him “father” many times and in front of thousands of witnesses. And as for a wife: In the really old Hebrew

religion the god had a female companion - his Amat (source: New Scientist and others). But in the very male culture she was forgotten.

001 72/8: “We (jinns – a being “borrowed” from pagan Arab religion, legends and fairy tales) pried into the secrets of heaven, but we found it filled with stern guides and flaming fires.” The Quran tells that Allah use the stars like shooting stars – flaming fire – to chase away bad spirits and jinns wanting to spy on heaven. No comments should be necessary.

002 72/9: “- - - flaming fire - - -.” See 72/8 just above.

003 72/13: “- - - listened to the Guidance (of the Quran*) - - -”. As for guidance from the Quran: See 41/12 and 40/75.

Surah 72: At least 3 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 73:

001 73/11: “- - - deny the Truth (of the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

00a 73/15: “We have sent to you (O men!) a Messenger (Muhammad*) - - -”. Would an omniscient god send a messenger teaching a religion containing lots of mistakes and lots of hallmarks of a cheat and a deceiver? Islam will have to prove it - not only the usual undocumented claims.

002 73/16: “- - - We (Allah*) sized him (Ramses II*) with a heavy Punishment - - -”. For one thing: It is likely Ramses II got no personal punishment - he died years later. For another: We know from the Quran that the punishment was drowning - but Ramses II did not drown. Wrong.

003 73/18: “- - - the sky will be cleft asunder - - -.” How can vacuum be cleft asunder?

Surah 73: At least 3 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

SURAH 74:

001 74/16: “- - - Our (Allah’s) Signs - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

002 74/56: “He (Allah*) is the Lord of Righteousness - - -.” **A Lord making laws that f. ex. say that killing and raping and stealing are “right and good”, and who says that a woman shall be strictly punished for indecency if she is raped and cannot bring 4 male witnesses to the very act, is not righteous – on the contrary: He belongs to the most inhuman, worst and most unjust beings in all the universe.** The last mentioned law – about the raped woman – is among the very most unjust laws that have ever existed (may be together with the law that says that stealing/robbing, extortion and killing in jihad – everything is jihad – is “good and lawful”), especially as Allah (if he exists and is omniscient) knows she is not guilty. On many points the opposite of benevolent.

Surah 74: At least 2 mistakes.

SURAH 75:

001 75/8+9: “(The day when) the moon is buried in darkness, And the sun and the moon are joined together - - -”. This is physically impossible the first some 5 billion years. And the day it may become possible, the Paradise as described in the Quran is not longer possible. Because - and not least: That day the moon will not be buried in darkness, but in intense light - and a day of doom and Paradise like described in the Quran will be impossible. That day both the Earth and the moon are buried inside the sun - if that happens (science is not quite sure the sun will balloon enough). But in any case the hugely swollen sun will make any place inside Jupiter too hot for a paradise on Earth or in the heaven over/around Earth - the Quran places Paradise there under a benign and everlasting sun. Also everlasting will be impossible and wrong, because science tells that in some 5 billion years the Earth either is inside the giant swollen, red (not yellow any more) sun, and sporting ca. 2000 - 3000 centigrades, or just above the then giant star and with a temperature of some 2000 - 3000 degrees centigrade - hotter than in the flames of the Muslim Hell.

002 75/32: “- - - he rejected Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

003 75/37: “Was he not a drop of sperm - - -?” No. He simply “was” not until a sperm cell and an egg cell had fused together. Any god knew this - Muhammad not. Sperm is no seed to plant in a woman to start growing like a pip of an orange.

Surah 75: At least 3 mistakes.

SURAH 76:

001 76/2: “Verily, We (Allah*) created man from a drop of mingled sperm - - -.” Wrong. Neither man (see 6/2 and 75/37 just above), nor a man (see 75/37) was made only from sperm.

*002 76/13: “- - - (the moon’s) excessive cold.” The moon often is up at night. Clear nights - when you can see the moon - often are very cold in deserts like in Arabia. But the cold is not because of the moon - that is just a coincidence because of a clear sky. It is cold because Earth radiates its heat to space - something Muhammad could not know, but any god had known it. Who composed the Quran?

003 76/16: “- - - Crystal clear, made of silver - - -.” This must be a mistake somehow – even Muhammad knew that something made from silver cannot be clear – transparent – like crystal. But if f. ex. Caliph Uthman made a mistake here when creating the official Quran, then how many more mistakes did he or others make?

004 76/21: “- - - and they will (in Paradise*) be adorned with bracelets of silver - - -.” Well, in 18/31 – 35/33 the bracelets are from gold. A small detail – but an omniscient god does not get even the details wrong. A mistake and a small contradiction.

005 76/23: “It’s We (Allah*) Who have sent down the Quran - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

006 76/30: “- - - Allah is full of knowledge and Wisdom.” See 40/75 and 41/12.

Surah 76: At least 6 mistakes.

SURAH 77:

001 77/9: “- - - When the heaven is cleft asunder - - -.” How can vacuum be cleft asunder?

002 77/15: “- - - Rejecters of Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

003 77/19: “- - - Rejecters of Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

004 77/20: “Have We (Allah*) not created you from a fluid (sperm) - - -?” No. See 75/37.

005 77/24: “- - - Rejecters of Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

006 77/28: “- - - Rejecters of Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

007 77/34: “- - - Rejecters of Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

008 77/37: “- - - Rejecters of Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

009 77/40: “- - - Rejecters of Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

010 77/45: “- - - Rejecters of Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

011 77/47: “- - - Rejecters of Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

012 77/49: “- - - Rejecters of Truth (the Quran*) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

Surah 77: At least 12 mistakes.

SURAH 78:

001 78/6: “Have We (Allah*) not made the earth a wide expanse - - -?” We know from other places in the Quran that this expanse is flat, and may be round like a disk. But Earth is a sphere.

00a 78/7: “(Allah made*) the mountains as pegs - - -”. Some Muslims say: Hip, hurray – here is a proof for Muhammad and the Quran: The science talks about the “roots” of the mountains – the mountains are like pegs! How could Muhammad know?! But mountain “roots” are not like pegs, but like bulges or distorted sheets (as mountains often are long and narrow – look at the chain Rocky Mountains + Andes f. ex.) or as distorted hemisphere. There exist deep pegs – or really sheets – pointing far down into the mantel (melted stone), but not in connection to mountains or mountain ranges really, though they may co-exist: They exist some places where large pieces of the Earth’s crust – tectonic plates – are forced downwards because of movements of the crust (tectonic movement). But that has nothing to do with mountains (even though mountains may be secondary results of the movement) – it is something entirely different.

002 78/12a: “And (have We (Allah) not) built over you - - - firmaments - - -?” For something to be built, materials have to be used – the heavens also from other pieces of information must be made from something material. Wrong: The sky as we see it, just is an optical illusion – or actually 2.

003 78/12b: “And (have We (Allah) not) built over you the seven firmaments - - -?”
Wrong: See 67/3 a, 67/3b, 67/5a and 67/5b. Simply and doubly wrong.

00b 78/12-13: “And have We (Allah*) not built over you the seven firmaments, and placed (therein) a light of Splendour (= the sun*)?” The sun is not placed among (“therein”) the 7 firmaments.

004 78/19a: “And the heavens (plural and wrong*) shall be opened as if there were doors - - -”. There is nothing to open in open space.

005 78/19b: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

006 78/28: “- - - Signs - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

007 78/37: “- - - heavens - - -”. Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

Surah: At least 7 mistakes + 2 likely mistakes.

SURAH 79:

001 79/24: “(Pharaoh Ramses II*) Saying: ‘I am your Lord (in this connection: Your god*), most High’”. The pharaoh was not the “most high” god in the old Egypt.

002 79/25: “But Allah did punish him (Pharaoh Ramses II*) - - -”. Wrong. We know from other places in the Quran that the punishment is said to be drowning. But for one thing Ramses II did not die by drowning, and for another thing: He only died years later.

003 79/28: “On high hath He (Allah*) raised its (heaven’s*) canopy - - -”. Heaven is no canopy. See 67/3a, 67/3b, 67/5a and 67/5b.

004 79/30: “And the earth, moreover, hath He (Allah) extended to a wide expanse - - -”. See 78/6. As this is one of the last places in the Quran where the Earth’s form is indicated (flat), we may add that you will meet Muslims that insists that it is egg-shaped. This is taken from one of the translators that even Islam reckons not to be an outstanding one, Rashad Khalifa. He translates that the Earth is egg shaped. But the Arab original speaks about the ground that the ostrich flattens before it lays its egg = a flat area. Instead the clever Mr. Rashad Khalifa talks about the egg – and egg shaped!! And as this fits reality in a way (Earth in reality is a slightly flattened sphere - 21 km shorter diameter between the poles than at equator (an egg is the opposite) and with an ever so slight pear-shape) – though not the Quran – this mistaken translation often is quoted. (You never find that translation from a good translator).

Surah 79: At least 4 mistakes.

SURAH 80:

695 80/19: “From a sperm-drop He (Allah*) hath created him (man*) - - -”. Wrong. Neither man (see 6/2) nor a man (see 75/37) was created just from sperm.

Surah 80: At least 1 mistake.

Sub-total till here: At least 1739 mistakes + 212 likely mistakes.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 4, Section 8 (= II-1-4-8)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. THE "COMPLETE" LIST - THE "ENCYCLOPAEDIA" - BASED ON SURAH AND VERSE NUMBER

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

SOME CLEAR FACT MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN SURAHS 81 THROUGH 114 IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear mistakes. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely mistake.

SURAH 81:

001 81/1: “When the sun (with its spacious light) is folded up - - -.” A solid sphere cannot be folded up – it can become dark (in many billion years), which may be the underlying meaning, but it cannot be folded up. It seems that Muhammad believed the sun was a flat disc. Any god had known better.

002 81/1+ 4 + 5: “When the sun (with its spacious light) is folded up (becomes dark*) - - - the she-camels, 10 months with young, are left untended (and*) When the wild beasts are herded together (in human habitations) - - -”. In some 5 billion years the sun will become a red giant, according to science. The Earth will either be swallowed by it or circle just above its surface. If Earth survives, it will then have a surface temperature of some 2000+ C as the surface of a red giant is 2000-3000 centigrades - and all camels, wild beasts and humans will be gone billions of years before. The Earth itself will only be dry cinder. From then it will take many billions of years before the sun becomes dark (it will not go nova as it is too small by a factor of ca. 12 - it will become dark). Very wrong time factor. Any god had known.

003 81/2: “When (at the Last Day*) the stars (here it is talk about the real stars, not shooting stars*) fall (on Earth*), loosing their lustre - - -”. The stars cannot fall on earth - never

according to science and according to reality. Theoretically Earth can fall into the sun – and may do so in 5 billion years time – but not "vica versa" (it will be the Earth that really moves, not the sun).

004 81/19: “Verily, this is the word of a most honourable Messenger (Muhammad*) - - -.” If a man that is a thief/robber, extorter, womanizer, child molester (Aishah through many years from she was 9 years old), rapist, betrayer, torturer, murderer, mass murderer, war monger and more is a “most honourable Messenger” - - - well, in that case we will not like to meet a normal messenger, not to mention an unhonourable one. **It may seem that Islam have a somewhat special standard for ethics and moral.**

005 81/27: “- - - the Worlds - - -.” Well, the 7 (flat) worlds of Muhammad simply never are found by science. See 65/12b.

006 81/29: “- - - the Worlds - - -.” See 81/27 just above and 56/12b.

SURAH 82:

001 82/1: “When the Sky is cleft asunder - - -.” How can vacuum be cleft asunder?

SURAH 83:

001 83/6: “- - - the Worlds - - -.” See 81/27 above.

002 83/13: “- - - Our (Allah’s*) Signs - - -.” There exists no sign clearly from Allah, neither in the Quran, nor anywhere else. And see 2/99.

SURAH 84:

001 84/1: “When the Sky is rent asunder - - -.” Vacuum cannot be rent asunder. Any god had known.

***002 84/15+16: “For his Lord (Allah*) was ever watchful of him (non-Muslim*)! So I (Muhammad*) do call to witness the ruddy glow of Sunset - - -.” A serious one - here it once more is Muhammad who is speaking - in what is said to be the copy of the Mother Book (43/4) in Heaven, made of Allah and existed from eternity. How is that possible?

003 84/23: “But Allah is full of Knowledge - - -.” Not if the Quran is representative for that knowledge.

SURAH 85:

001 85/9: “- - - heavens - - -.” Plural and wrong. See 2/22a.

002 85/19: “- - - rejecting (the Truth) (the Quran*)!” See 40/75 and 41/12.

*003 85/21: “- - - a Glorious Quran - - -”. **A book with that many mistakes, contradictions, and other hallmarks of cheating and deceiving is not very glorious.** Also see 40/75 and 41/12.

Surah 81 – 85: At least 15 mistakes.

SURAH 86:

001 86/6: “He (man*) is created from a drop (of sperm*) emitted - - -”. See 80/19 + 6/2.

002 86/6+7: “He (man) is created from a drop (of sperm*) emitted - Proceeding from between the backbone and the ribs.” Glorious: Muhammad did not even know that sperm comes from the testes - the “stones” - and placed the source inside the body and half a meter too high up!!!! And a place where it is too hot for production of semen. (The testes are in scrotum outside the main body because it needs slightly under body temperature to be able to produce semen). It is in accordance with Greek medicine – Hippocrates f. ex. thought the sperm passed through the kidneys. **Even a baby god knows better. Who composed the Quran? And what is Islam – and it’s Muslims - if the Quran is faked?**

SURAH 87:

001 87/3: “- - - and granted guidance (the Quran*) - - -”. There is little reliable guidance in a book full of mistakes and hallmarks of cheating and deceiving. See 40/75 and 41/12.

*002 87/19: “The Books of Abraham - - -”. Abraham had no books according to science – and definitely not in plural. Besides a nomad of 4000 years ago, hardly knew how to read. (There exists, though, “The Testament of Abraham” – a very much made up (apocryphal) scripture.)

SURAH 88:

001 88/20: “And at the earth, how it is spread out?” – the flat Earth is indicated again. See 79/30 and others above.

SURAH 89 – 90:

001 90/19: “- - - Signs - - -”. Invalid as proof for Allah. See 2/39 above.

Surah 86 – 90: At least 6 mistakes.

SURAH 91:

001 91/2: “By the Moon as she (the moon*) follows him (the sun*)”. The moon does not follow the sun - it only looks like that as seen from the Earth. Does Allah live on Earth? At least it seems like the maker of the Quran did so.

002 91/4: “By the Night as it conceals it (the sun)”. The night does not conceal the sun - there is night because the Earth makes a shade. It is 180 degrees different from what Muhammad told: There is night because the night conceals the sun. Any god knew that. Besides: The night simply is lack of sunlight – it is physically impossible for the night to conceal the sun. Any god had known that, too. Then who made the Quran?

003 91/6 “By the Earth and its (wide) expanse - - -”. The Earth is no wide expanse (it is known from other places in the Quran that Earth is a wide, flat expanse), but a sphere.

SURAH 92:

**001 92/1: “By (start of an oath*) the Night as it conceals (the light) - - -”. Wrong - it is Earth that conceals the light and causes the night. Any deity knows this - Muhammad not. (See 91/4).

*002 92/3: “By (the mystery of) the creation of male and female - - -”. No mystery for us, no mystery for a god - a large mystery for Muhammad. (According to Hadith he thought that if the woman climaxed first, it became a girl, but if the man climaxed first, it became a boy – and boys of course were best.) Who made the Quran?

003 92/16: “- - - Truth (the Quran) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

SURAH 93 – 94 – 95:

**001 95/4: “We (Allah*) have indeed created man in the best of moulds”. Wrong - and it tells something that the Quran strengthens (with the word “indeed”) a statement - a loose one as so often - that is wrong. Man is far from made in the best of moulds. Many “construction details” could have been better - our ability to stand wear and tear f. ex., and our ability to see in the dark, and more. Also our ability to tackle illnesses is far from perfect - and if our brain could think of more than one thing at a time, we would be more efficient. Etc., etc., etc.

Surah 91 – 95: At least 7 mistakes.

SURAH 96:

001 96/2: “(Allah*) Created man, out of a (mere) clot of congealed blood - - -”. Neither man (see 6/2) nor a man (see 75/37) was made out of blood - congealed or not – even though some of the old Greeks believed so, and from whom Muhammad may have stolen this idea. But the start of a human or an animal - the sperm cell and the egg cell and then the zygote - are so small that it is not to be seen with your eyes only, in the blood and gore in a carcass or in a slaughtered animal. Muhammad believed that the semen was a seed which planted in a woman grew into a clot of blood that grew into a foetus. It may be worth mentioning that the statement in this verse, is like Aristotle’s theory. **But any god had known better. Who composed the Quran? And why do Muslims never mention that so many of the “facts” in the Quran are in accordance with Greek and Persian (wrong) science at that time?

**002 96/11: “- - - if he (a man*) is on (the road of) Guidance?” Is there guidance in a book with more than 1700 points with mistaken facts, at least 200 likely mistaken facts, more than 100 linguistic mistakes in the Arab edition according to linguists, lots of loose statements and lots of invalid “signs” and “proofs” - the hallmark of cheaters and deceivers? Not to mention 200+ contradictions, 100+ abrogations and 400+ cases of unclear language in the Quran – the claimed lack of which is Islam’s only strongly claimed (but never proved) proof for divine origin of the book!! - No; no real guidance. No evidence and no good guidance.

003 96/13: “- - - if he (a man*) denies (Truth (the Quran*)) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

SURAH 97 – 98 – 99 - 100:

001 98/1a: “Those (non-Muslims*) who reject (Truth (the Quran*)) - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12.

002 98/1b: “- - - Clear Evidence - - -”. This means the Quran: See 96/11, 40/75 and 41/12.

003 98/4: “- - - Clear Evidence - - -”. This means the Quran: See 96/11, 40/75 and 41/12.

004 98/6: “ Those who reject (Truth (the Quran*)) - - -.” With all that is wrong in the Quran, the book at best is partly the truth.

Surah 96 – 100: At least 7 mistakes.

SURAH 101 – 102 – 103:

001 103/3: “- - - teaching of the Truth - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12 - - - and others.

SURAH 104 – 105:

001 105/3+4: “- - - Han (Allah*) sent against them Flights of Birds, Striking them with stones of baked clay.” This refers to an attack from Abyssinia in 570 AD. The vice king Abrhah or Abrah, lost much of his army because of a virulent illness - perhaps smallpox - and had to return home without attacking Mecca. The troops were NOT killed by stones from birds. Muslim scholars often agree this is unlikely, but sometimes try to “explain” the clear text and the as clear mistake away by some linguistic gymnastics that includes that the Arab word for stone and the one for writings are not dissimilar, and if they think that these words have been mixed up (in a holy book sent down by Allah, and without mistakes), and then say the meaning is metaphorical (in a book the Allah says shall be understood as it is written), it may not mean stones, but hard physical strikes. Muslims frequently have to use far out “explanations” like this to try to camouflage mistakes. But if there is a linguistic mistake here, according to Muslims – how many more linguistic mistakes are there in the Quran?

SURAH 106 through 114:

00a 112/3: “He (Allah*) begetteth not - - -.” Well, if Allah should happen to be the same god as Yahweh all the same, Jesus many times called him “father” and many times said he was the son of Yahweh – and lots/most of those times it is clear it was meant in the real meaning. In the NT it is said at least 163 times that Yahweh was father of Jesus, and at least 66 times that Jesus was the son of Yahweh. It is said nothing about how the relationship started. If true, there are 3 possibilities:

1. The age-old and mostly forgotten female counterpart of Yahweh in the very distant past of the Hebrew pre-history, may be true. Then the “Amat” of Yahweh may be the mother of Jesus.
2. Yahweh may have created him. As it is said in both the Bible and even more in the Quran, the god only could say “be” and it was. May be the god said “be” and Jesus was.
3. Also Jesus may have existed since eternity.

Surah 101 – 114: At least 2 mistakes + 1 likely mistake.

Total for all the Quran: At least 1776 mistakes + 213 likely mistakes.

In reality there are more. It is our educated guess that a real in depth analyses will end at 2000+ points with mistaken facts, as there are points we have skipped because the proofs were more complicated, there are the likely mistakes – a lot of them will turn out to be real mistakes – there are the borderline cases we have skipped because we were not sure, and not least: We hardly have seen all the mistakes.

In addition there are the other mistakes, errors and wrongs.

In this edition (2009) we have most of the mistaken facts. There are three categories that are missing:

1. Mistaken facts we have overlooked – there are sure to be some.
2. Mistakes that needs much explaining – we have omitted them if they were minor ones.
3. All the border-line suspect points we have omitted – at least some of them in reality are mistakes. This means there in reality are more that can be listed – especially likely mistakes. F. ex. all the times the Quran uses the name Allah for the god of the old Israel and all the times it claims Muhammad was the representative of a god – can an omniscient god have sent a representative that presented so many errors to his followers ?

This 2009 edition is planned to be the final one as for mistaken facts – **it is far more than enough to prove 100% and more that something is seriously wrong with Muhammad, with the Quran, and with Islam.** We may add some more mistakes from grammar, orthography, etc., and some more contradictions, abrogations, etc., but for mistaken facts we think this is enough. Though if we are made aware of mistakes we have overlooked, they will be added.

If we have made mistakes - but real mistakes and not f. ex. just divergences with the Bible - we have not found, please inform us by Internet and our answering box. We will add them later.

PART II, CHAPTER 1, Subchapter 4, Section 9 (= II-1-4-9)

MISTAKES AND ERRORS - MISTAKEN FACTS - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. THE "COMPLETE" LIST - THE "ENCYCLOPAEDIA" - BASED ON SURAH AND VERSE NUMBER

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

INEVITABLE CONCLUTIONS FROM FACT MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

There are some inevitable conclusions you arrive at when you read the Quran without religious blindness, but with some knowledge about different things relevant to the texts - and use your intelligence and knowledge, not just blind belief - and with one fact in mind: **THAT AN OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES NO MISTAKES, SO THE EXISTENCE OF ONE SINGLE MISTAKE IS A WARNING THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG, AND THE EXISTENCE OF MORE THAN A VERY FEW IS A 100% PROOF FOR THINGS BEING SERIOUSLY WRONG.** Well, actually even one mistake is a 100% such proof.

1. There are LOTS of mistaken facts - and other mistakes - in the Quran. No god would say or dictate anything he knew was wrong - he had to know that sooner or later it would be discovered and discredit him. He would omit the mistakes and use other arguments instead - or even better: He would tell what was correct and over time have his existence proved. **No omniscient god made or sent down the Quran.**
2. To claim like the Quran does that an omniscient god reveres a book full of mistaken facts and other mistakes, plus lots of contradictions, logically invalid "signs" - Quran-speak for "indication" or "proof" - and as invalid (some of them even wrong) "proofs", etc., etc. is both an insult and heresy towards the god. It also tells volumes and miles about naivety and about how difficult it may be to re-evaluate what you came to believe as a child (or later) just because your forfathers or parents or teachers or priests/mullahs/imams told you so - because that is the only reason for Muslims' belief. And also how difficult it is to re-evaluate fundamental ideas and prinseples you have built your life and your society has built its culture on - it takes a lot even to ask the

question: May it be wrong? **INEVITABLE CONCLUSION: THE QURAN IS NOT WRITTEN BY AN OMNISCIENT GOD.** **An impertinent pertinent comment: Mistaken facts are not the hallmark of an omniscient god, but of cheats, swindlers and deceivers.**

3. In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.
4. There are lots and lots of loose statements just taken out of nowhere or building on not proven statements, not proven facts or even on mistaken facts. A god could have meant some of this just as information - though he in case had omitted wrong facts as sources, and he had had to include at least some proofs. But use of loose statements is a technique used by cheats and deceivers. **The point is suspicious, though seen alone not enough for inevitable conclusions if one omit the wrong facts. But when seen together with the other points here it is different: No omniscient god made this book.**
5. There are lots of "signs" used for indications of Allah in the Quran - **AND NOT ONE SINGLE OF THEM IS SCIENTIFICALLY OR LOGICALLY VALID** because not one of them is based on proven facts stating that it really is Allah that caused the sign - words are cheap, but only real proofs are reliable. And remember: A proof is "one or more proven facts that can give only one conclusion" (Islam normally uses not proven claims, etc., instead of proven facts as basis for conclusions). Some of the signs even are based on wrong statements. No god would do this - for the same reasons as in above. Even worse: The use of made up or even wrong arguments, are hallmarks of cheats and deceivers. **INEVITABLE CONCLUSION:**

THE QURAN IS NOT MADE BY AN OMNISCIENT GOD.

6. Even more grave: There are lots of “proofs” for Allah in the Quran - AND NOT ONE SINGLE OF THEM IS SCIENTIFICALLY OR LOGICALLY VALID for the same reason as above. (There are a few possible exceptions taken from the Bible, but they in case point to Yahweh, not to Allah (see #7). Also - and to a higher degree - this is a hallmark of cheats and deceivers. NO god would ever use it. **INEVITABLE CONCLUSION: THE QURAN IS NOT MADE BY AN OMNISCIENT GOD.**
7. The Quran often uses naturally occurring phenomena as indications or proofs for Allah, without the slightest proof for that Allah really is behind it. These statements are totally without value because:
 1. Any priest in any religion can say just the same about his god(s) - words are that cheap.
 2. No god would use such phenomena as proofs without further explanation, because he knew they by themselves were invalid proofs, and even more so because he knew man sooner would find out how things work, and then he would come under suspicion because he used invalid proofs - the hallmark of cheaters and deceivers.
INEVITABLE CONCLUSION: THE QURAN IS NOT MADE BY AN OMNISCIENT GOD.
8. Many of the mistakes in the Quran are in accordance with what one believed at that time were the truths in what we now call the Middle East - based mostly on Greek, Persian and some Indian science. Any god would have known it was wrong, but Muhammad and his contemporaries not. **LOGICAL AND INEVITABLE CONCLUSION: THE QURAN IS NOT MADE BY A GOD, BUT BY SOMEONE LIVING AT THE TIME OF MUHAMMAD - MOST LIKELY BY MUHAMMAD HIMSELF.**
9. There are so many and so fundamental differences between especially the New Testament (= Christians) and the Quran, that it is impossible that both religions can have

the same god unless he is schizophrenic - and neither Muslims, nor Jews nor Christians accept that. **INEVITABLE CONCLUSION: IF THE GOD IS NOT MENTALLY ILL – SCHIZOPHRENIC – ALLAH AND GOD/YAHWEH IS NOT THE SAME GOD.**

10. We may add that the chapters about incitements to war, about war (and especially since more or less everything is deemed to be a jihad), about the chapters about slavery and about human relationship except among Muslim men, and about some aspects with Islamic law (f. ex. a man is wrong for Allah if he correctly tells that a woman has behaved indecently, but do not have 4 witnesses, or that a woman is to be strictly punished for indecency if she is raped, but unable to produce 4 male witnesses to the very act - not to mention that steling/robbint, raping, suppression, killing, etc. in the name of Allah is "lawful and good") give another 100% conclusion which is another **INEVITABLE CONCLUSION: IF THERE WAS A “HIGHER POWER” INVOLVED IN THE MAKING OF THE QURAN, IT WAS NOT A BENEVOLENT GOD.**

Islam has a tendency to use half-truths and cherry picking when they argue. To have the best chance of finding a true answer, you always have to use all the real facts you know, and then deduce using strict and correct logic. Islam far from always does that. To use the very wrong statements of the 7 material heavens, as an example:

This Islam tries to explain f. ex. that in old Arab “7” often meant “a number of”. This may or may not be true, but no matter it explains nothing away, as “a number of heavens” is as bad as “seven heavens”. And they often try to explain that Muhammad in reality meant the universe and all then galaxies, etc. - things the Quran in never mentions and never had an idea about. And they try to explain that the Quran in reality talks about 7 layers in the atmosphere, ionosphere and magnetosphere - things further away from Muhammad’s brain than something outside the reach of a space telescope. An acquaintance of mine really got a scolding from an angry Muslim because he refused to believe that Muhammad really was way ahead of science when he talked about 7 heavens meaning 7 layers in the atmosphere that now science finally had discovered – “everything fit” (no matter if the stars were not fixed to the lowest of those layers like the Quran says, the frying of Eart that would result from such neighbourhood, etc.)

In this discussion, Islam carefully omits to mention:

1. Surah 17 - The Night Journey - where Muhammad tells he is a tourist travelling through the 7 heavens. This cannot be

- mentioned in this connection, because it confirms the mistake.
2. That the stars are fastened to the lowest of the heavens. This also cannot be mentioned, if there shall be a chance for making anyone except the most stupid or religiously blind believe it.
 3. Not one single place in all our reading have we met a Muslim/Islam mentioning the very well known fact that in Greek and Persian and as far as we know also Indian astronomy there were 7 heavens, and the Arabs got their astronomy from there - a very easy and 100% sure explanation for the 7 heavens in the Quran. But this can not be mentioned, because no god - omniscient or not - would use wrong astronomy from Earth and from one specific periode of time on Earth in his statements, "signs" and "proofs".

This kind of intellectual dishonesty makes a great and negative impression on educated readers trying to keep an open mind - the only thing such a reader can conclude with, is that Muslims are so dishonest or under such influence from wishful thinking or religious blindness - or leaders coldly going for power - that it is not possible to believe anything at all of what they tell, unless you have good proofs from the outside. - or that they simply are that naïve, uneducated or religiously blind. But: Repeating and repeating and repeating that everything in the Quran is true, may make many naïve and not quite so naïve persons believe it is so, too. Remember Joseph Goebbels: "If you repeat a lie often enough, people start believing it is the truth".

The psychological difficulties for Muslims (and others) of checking and testing and changing one's deepest beliefs, are easy to understand. **But what is the logic in: Better to believe blindly in the religion of our forefathers which no-one ever has controlled whether is right or wrong. Better - no matter what the facts say - than to may be find out it really is wrong and then in no way can lead to a paradise. This especially if there somewhere exists a true religion you have been prohibited to look for, and then you wake up the wrong place in a possible (?) next life.**

Our personal intellectual, non-religious mind just wonder: **Is it really better not to ask questions and believe blindly in the god of ones fathers, like Muslims do – and only find out if it is true or not in a possible next life?.**

And if the Quran - and Islam - is made up (f. ex. by a Muhammad wanting followers and power like so many new religious leaders (it is clear he liked power and women - and money for bribes/"gifts") and/or by a Muhammad perhaps partly ruled by an illness (like f. ex. TLE), where does that leave ALL Muslims? - especially if there should happen to be another, true religion that Islam hinders its believers to find?

PART II, CHAPTER 2 (= II-2-0-0)

OTHER TYPES OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. THE "COMPLETE" LIST - THE "ENCYCLOPAEDIA" - BASED ON SURAH AND VERSE NUMBER

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

GRAMMATICAL MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Grammatical mistakes are at least as bad as foreign words in the book, as they more clearly are mistakes, but see the introduction to the chapter about foreign words in the Quran (chapter II/3). The examples below are from P. Rafiqul-Haqq and P. Newton: "The Quran: Grammatical Errors" (A book not liked by Muslims, just because it documents mistakes in the Quran, but the book has stood against intense critic - and slander). But beware that we also have taken some samples from Internet, and therefore they should be used with care - and if you find mistakes, please inform us.

There are Muslims saying there cannot be grametical errors in the Quran, because the Arab grammar was developed during the work with the Quran (NB: Not around 650 AD, but during the periode of forming the book – i. e. during the some 250 years up to c. 900 AD when the Quran after much polishing finally had gotten something like the form it has today). That is likely to be true – but a grammar is made from a language, not from a single book. Plus: Even if they made grammatical rules, that does not mean they were able to follow them always.

Also beware that the words are transcribed from Arab letters - different transcribers may transcribe the sounds a little different - a normal occurrence when something is transcribed from a language with another alphabet.

1. 2/177: aaman should be tu'minuu.
2. 2/177: aata should be tu'tuu (2 times).
3. 2/177: aqama should be tuqimuu.
4. 2/177: sasbriina should be saabiruuna (because its position in the sentence - and plural should be masculine). 5 mistakes in one verse.
5. 3/59: Kun feekunu should be Kun fekaana.

6. 4/162: mukiimiin (feminine plural) should be mukiimuun (masculine plural - see 7/160).
7. 5/69: Saabbi'uuna should be Sabi'iina. (= Sabians).
8. 7/56: qaribun should be qariba.
9. 7/160: asbatan (feminine plural) should be sebtan (masculine - human plurals are male in Arab).
10. 20/63: haazaani should be haazayn.
11. 21/3: 'asarru should be 'assarra.
12. 22/19: 'ikhtasamuu should be 'ikhtasamaa.
13. 41/11: at'e'een should be at'e'atain.
14. 49/9: 'eq-tatalu should be 'eqtatalata.
15. 63/10: 'akun should be 'akuuna.
16. 63/20: hadhane (nominative) should be hadhayne (accusative).
17. 91/5: ma should be man.

There are more but this should show that there are grammatical mistakes (mostly declinations) in the Quran - many or few does not matter too much, as a god makes no mistakes at all. According to Ali Dashti: "Twenty-Three Years: A study of the Prophetic Career of Muhammad" there are more than 100 divergences in the Quran from normal Arab linguistic rules and structures.

A clear conclusion: Correct and good Arab language in the Quran cannot be used as a proof for that Allah sent down the Quran, as there are numerous mistakes – on the contrary: The mistakes may prove that no god was involved.

PART II, CHAPTER 3 (= II-3-0-0)

OTHER TYPES OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

NON-ARAB WORDS IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

The Quran very strongly stresses that it is written in pure and correct Arabic. Normally some non-Arabic words should not mean very much, but as both the Quran, Islam and Muslims stress the pure and correct language as a “sign”/”proof” for that the book is made by Allah, it becomes serious. An omniscient god using the purity of the language as a proof for his authorship, would not use one single non-Arabic word - this even more so as in most cases corresponding Arab words did exist. One Muslim “explanation” (set forth by the in philology educated scholar Muslim Jalal al-Din al-Suyuti, dead 1505 AD) is that Arabs have used the words so much, that they have made them Arab. Like many Muslim “explanations” concerning mistakes in the Quran, it is very ad hoc, and strongly marked by the intense necessity to find some explanation, and by the fact that this was the only one possible one - good or not they have to use it. The language - and the “explanation” - is not pure enough and good enough to prove Allah’s existence, his connection to Muhammad, or Allah’s authorship.

Pure Arab also is the language spoken in Heaven according to some Islamic literature (and some Muslim sects - notably the Amaddijjas - have “proved” it also is the original language on Earth, believe it or not.)

12/2: “We (Allah*) have sent it down as an Arabic Quran - - -.”

13/37: “Thus heve We (Allah*) revealed it (the Quran*) to be a judgement of authority in Arabic.”

16/103: “It is a man that teaches him (Muhammad*)’. (But*) The tongue of him they point to (a learned foreigner*) is notably foreign, while this is Arabic, pure and clear”. The proof is invalid (even if the teacher was a foreigner, Muhammad's retelling would depend on Muhammad's choice of words), also because the language is not “pure and clean”.

41/44: “Unfortunately for their (non-Muslims*) postulate (that Muhammad had a foreign teacher*), any possible human teacher they could think of would be poor in Arabic speech if they had all the knowledge that the Quran reveals of previous revelations (the Bible*). Apart from that, even the most eloquent Arab could not, and cannot, produce anything with the eloquence, width, and depth of Quranic teaching, as if evident from every verse of the book (the Quran*)”.

Well, the language proves nothing here, too, as it is not “pure and clean Arab” and as it in case was Muhammad's choise of words that were spread, not the possible teacher's. (Also; really the Quran does not show much knowledge about the Bible, but more about the non-Biblical legends and tales that were rife and popular in Arabia - and beyond - at the time of Muhammad. A teacher that really knew the Bible, had told the Quran very differently. The verse proves nothing.)

It is here also worth remembering:

1. The old Arab alphabet only had the consonants - it even today is unclear what is the real meaning of many sentences.

2. The old Arab alphabet did not have the points (“diacritical marks”) used later to mark different letters.
3. The old Arab alphabet did not have marks like full stop or comma – even though many a Muslim today claims that the Hafs version of the Quran he is reading – or may be the Warsh version if he is from Africa – is an exact copy of Muhammad’s words “down to the last comma”.
4. The written Arab language and alphabet was not perfected until ca. 900 AD - perfect writing was impossible around 650 AD when the official Quran was made.

But all the same the use of imported words in a book trying to use perfect Arab language as a proof for divine origin, tells that it is not made by an omniscient god - he had not made such mistakes.

We stress that the following samples partly are taken from Internet, as our Arab is not up to that standard. Therefore it must be used carefully, and if you find mistakes, please inform us. It only is meant as a taste - according to experts there are a lot more:

1. **Acadian:**
2. Adam = man or mankind. The correct Arab word: Basharan or insane.
3. Eden = garden. The correct Arab word: Janna.
4. **Aramaic:**
5. Qiyama = resurrection.
6. **Assyrian:**
7. Abraham/Ibrahim - a name. The correct Arab equivalent: Abu Raheem.
8. **Egyptian:**
9. Pharaoh = king or potentate (also a title).
Used 84 times in the Quran.
10. **Ethiopic:**
11. Malak = angel (2/33)
12. **Greek:**
13. Iblis - corruption of the Greek word diabolos = devil.
14. Injil - corruption of the Greek word eua(n)ggelion = Gospel. Correct.
15. **Hebrew:**
16. Ahbar = teacher.
17. Darasa = to find the deepest meanings of the scriptures by exact and thorough studies.
18. Furquan (also used in Syriac, pwrqn) = to make free, salvation.

19. Issa or Isa = Esau (brother of the patriarch Jacob). The Quran says it means Jesus. Correct Arab for Jesus: Yeshuwa.
20. Jahannam (Gehinnom or Gehenna) = originally the valley of Hennom or Hinnom near Jerusalem, intensely used for Pagan (Baal) sacrifices to fire, and it therefore later gave the name to Hell.
21. Jannatu Adn = paradise, Garden of Eden (today reckoned by science to have been in south Iraq – if it ever existed).
22. Malakut = reign, the country of Allah/God. NB: No original Arab word ends with -ut.
23. Masani = repetition.
24. Maun = to find sanctuary.
25. Rabbani = teacher.
26. Sabt = day of rest (Sabbath).
27. Sakinat = the presence of Allah/God.
28. Tabut = ark.
29. Taghut = mistake.
30. orah (Taurat) = Jewish holy scriptures, the Torah.
31. Tufan = deluge
32. There also are Hebrew words like; heber, sakinah, maoon, turat, jehannim.
33. **Persian:**
34. Firdaus = the highest or 7. Heaven. Correct Arab: Jannah.
35. Haroot or Harut = Persian name for angel. Also see “Maroot”.
36. Hoor = disciple. Correct Arab: Tilmeeth.
37. Jinn = good or bad demon. Correct Arab: Ruh.
38. Maroot or Marut = Persian name for angel. May in reality be the Hindu god of the wind.
39. Sirat = path. Correct Arab: Altareeq.
40. **Syriac** (liturgical language used in Eastern Christian churches - derived from Aramaic).
41. 2/50 furqaan (original Hebrew?) - from pwrqn, Syriac = Salvation.
42. 52/29 kaahin - from khn, Syriac = “priest” - meaning a pagan soothsayer or diviner (69/47).
43. 3/45 mashiih = “the Christ”.
44. 57/12 muhaymin - from mhymn’, Syriac = “the faithful”.
45. 21/87 nuun - (title used for Jonah (Yunus)), from nwn, Syriac = “fish”.
46. 2/85 qiaama - from qymt, Syriac = “resurrection“. (also 2/113, numerous times).

47. 5/85 qissiis - from qshysh, Syriac = “Christian priest”.
48. 4/85 Qur’an - from qyrn, Syriac = “scriptural lesson” or “reading”. (also MANY other places).
49. 3/73 rabbinic - from rbn, Syriac = “perceptor, doctor.” (also 5/48, 5/68).
50. 16/102 ruuh al-qudus, from rwh.qwdsh’, Syriac = “Holy Spirit”.
51. 20/80 tuur - from t.wr’, Syriac = “mountain”.

There also are Syriac words like; taboot, tag hoot, zakat, malakout.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER II/3:

There are more as said above - and also from other countries. Al Suyuti himself lists 107 foreign words in the Quran. An expert like Arthur Jeffery says some 275 words.

It must be a let down that even the word “Qur’an” is not an Arab word, but from Syriac.

A clear conclusion: A clear and pure Arab language in the book cannot be used as a proof for that Allah sent down the Quran (– this in spite of that some Muslims tell that Arab is the language that is used in Heaven). On the contrary: The mistakes may indicate the opposite.

PART II, CHAPTER 4 (= II-4-0-0)

OTHER TYPES OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

ANIMISM AND ANTHROPOMORPHISM IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(ANIMISM = GIVING DEAD MATTER AND PLANTS ANIMAL-LIKE PROPERTIES. ANTHROPOMORPHISM = GIVING DEAD MATTER, PLANTS OR ANIMALS HUMAN-LIKE PROPERTIES – OFTEN ONLY THE SPIRITUAL PROPERTIES. BOTH ARE TYPICAL FOR PRIMITIVE AND/OR PAGAN RELIGIONS AND PEOPLE).

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Animism and anthropomorphism are reckoned to be the most primitive trait within religions. Animism means that one believe that inanimate things like wood or stone, etc. have or is inhabited by life and mind and thoughts like animals (therefore the name) or humans or even gods. Anthropomorphism is similar, but gives inanimate matter, plants and animals human-like traits – and ways of thinking – mostly.

The Quran contains some places where animism is obvious - mountains or sky or other things speaking or reacting consciously to Allah - or praising Allah. We here mention some – and also include some from the next step of superstition: Animals behaving, thinking and/or speaking like humans – personification of animals (anthromorphism).

001 19/90-91 “As if the skies are ready to burst, the earth to split asunder, and the mountains to fall down in utter ruin. That they (Christians*) should invoke a son for (Allah) (Yahweh/God*) - - -.” Thus would the unbelief be in the nature regarding the claim that Jesus is the son of God .

002 21/79: “- - - it was Our (Allah’s*) power that made the hills and the birds celebrate Our (Allah's) praise - - -.” Hills express no feelings or anything – they are totally inanimate. Birds? In old times religious people claimed they sang to praise God - or Allah or gods. But science has long since found that the reasons for their songs are more prosaic – very often to declare their territory or attract mates. Besides they do not have the brain power for abstract thinking like praising a god. Animism and antopmorphism in one verse.

003 22/18: “- - - to Allah bow down in worship all things that are in the heavens (plural and wrong*) and on earth – the sun, the moon, the stars, the hills, the trees and the animals - - -. A lot of animism + personification even of inanimate things. Not bad. “The Message of the Quran” (remark 22) even stresses that it is “conscious subjunction under the will of Allah”. Not bad at all – especially not for inanimate matter.

004 33/72: “We (Allah*) did indeed offer the Trust (Islam/the Quran) to the (material*) Heavens and the Earth and the Mountains; but they refused to undertake it, being afraid thereof - - -.” Frightened suns and planets and mountains??? Not bad even for a religion – like in fairy tales.

005 41/11: “They (the sky and the Earth*) said: ‘We do come (together), in willing obedience.’ They not only are thinking and answering; they even have will and obedience.”

006 59/21: “Had We (Allah*) sent down this Quran on a mountain, verily, thou (Muslims*) wouldst have seen it humble itself and cleave itself asunder for fear of Allah”. Rocks do not only understand what is happening, but also are afraid.

007 62/1: “Whatever is in the heavens and on earth, doth declare the praise and glory of Allah - - -”. Absolutely anything that exists have a mind able to praise Allah?

008 64/1: “Whatever is in the heavens and on earth, doth declare the praise and glory of Allah - - -”. No. 62/1 just above is cemented.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER II/4.

Read the Quran and you will find more like this - not very much, but far too much. It tells oceans about how advanced or primitive the religion is, that it operates also on the primitive levels of animism and anthropomorphism.

PART II, CHAPTER 5 (= II-5-0-0)

OTHER TYPES OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

MUHAMMAD IN THE BIBLE? - CLAIMS IN THE QURAN IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

(We are not religious people, but in this chapter we build on the Bible, to be able to debate with Muslims and with Islam which on these topics builds everything on the reliability of that book – except when they want pre-determined answers, because if not the Bible is unreliable and falsified.)

It is not unusual for self proclaimed “prophets” starting new sects or religions to try to legitimate themselves by attaching themselves to canonized texts. May be that is what Muhammad did, too. There are stories connecting him to learned men, and this is tried to be used as proofs for that he is a prophet in the Jewish successions of prophets. And of course if he figures in the Bible (which the Quran indicates) that must be an excellent proof for his legitimacy. And not to forget: The Quran really says – many places - he is mentioned in the Bible, and then Islam HAS to find him there, because they have no proofs for his legitimacy and connection to a god – only his own words. But also because mistakes in the Quran mean that something is wrong with both Muhammad and the religion. (That is a main reason why Islam can accept no mistake in the Quran - no matter how obvious the mistake is that Islam tries to explain it away, even though many of the “explanations” are downright pathetic.)

The two places in the Quran that most clearly says he is foretold in the Bible are:

Surah 7/verse 157:

“Those who follow the Messenger (Muhammad*), the unlettered Prophet, whom they find mentioned in their own (Scriptures) – in the Law (the 5 first parts of the OT are often called "the Law" even though the law only is a minor part of it*) and the Gospel (first 4 parts of NT*) - - -.” And:

Surah 61/verse 6:

“- - - Jesus, the son of Mary said: ‘O Children of Israel! I am the Messenger of Allah (sent) to you , confirming the Law (which came) before me, and giving the glad Tidings of a messenger to come after me, whose name shall be Ahmad (another version of the name Muhammad*) - - -.”

Islam needs to find foretelling in the Bible for 2 reasons:

1. There does not exist one single documentation or any other proof for that Muhammad had any connection to a god – there only are his words. And Muhammad was no saint or reliable gentleman, in spite of the glossy picture Islam tries to paint of him – the man that legitimized al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) and advised his followers to break their oaths if that would give a better result, is not too reliable. A prophesy about him in the Bible would strongly indicate something.
2. **And: If there is no prophesy about him both in the OT and the NT that means that there are serious mistakes in the Quran, and it can not have been made/sent down/revered in Heaven by a god - - - and if there is no Allah involved, Islam is a made up religion and Muhammad a cheater and deceiver.**

Because of this they have to find prophesies about him in both OT and NT – no matter how! – it is much better to believe in Islam on twisted and invalid arguments, than to try to find out whether the Quran is true or not.

There only are two places in the Bible Muslim scholars really try to prove anything: That the word “brother” in 5. Mos. 18/15 and 18/18 means “Arabs” (brothers of the Jews), and that Jesus’ words that the apostles would get the Holy Spirit as a helper in the Gospel after John in reality was a foretelling about Muhammad 600 years later. There are more points, but those even Muslim scholars admit are weak, and they mostly are used towards Muslims and others with little real knowledge of the Bible, of historical facts, and of the involved religions.

What is absolutely sure, is that there nowhere in the Bible is a prophesy that clearly foretells that Muhammad is to come. And another fact that is as clear is that there are no clear prophesies about a prophet (per definition Muhammad is no prophet – see the chapter about

Muhammad) from Arabia or any other country in that area. There even are no clear hints about any of these two things.

What remains are some points where – by stretching imagination a little or a lot – it is possible to say “if we understand this and this so and so, this may be understood like this and this, and it may mean that we can see Muhammad in this text – at least perhaps”. So far the argument is fair – but remember it still is a claim only.

But what then happen is that they jump from claiming “this is a possibility” to claiming that “this is a fact” without any more proofs, documentations or indicia – a logically completely invalid step, that of course makes the claimed conclusion as invalid logically. We see three reasons for this logical nonsense:

1. Islam and its Muslims is/are in a fix: As said above: If they do not find Muhammad in the Bible like the Quran says clearly and more than ones, something is wrong with the Quran, and then it is not made by a god, and then Muhammad – willing or unwilling – is deceived (by dark forces dressed up like the angel Gabriel? - or by an illness like TLE?) or a deceiver and Islam a made up religion.
2. It is much better to live “safely” in a religion may be an illusion, than to check if it is the truth or not – even if it is a further fact that if it is wrong, may be it is better to find out in this life and have a possibility to search for a true religion (if such one do exist), than to wake up in something that is very far from the Muslim Paradise in a possible next life.
3. It is very difficult for a person to face that fundamental facts (of any kind) which you have taken for a cornerstone in life and on which you partly or completely have built your life on – things you have taken for self-evident facts for all your life, simply because it was what your parents and the others around you believed. Ethically, morally and intellectually wrong - - - but easy. To quote “The Message of the Quran” once more (in this case translated from Swedish): “Because of this one think it is a matter of fact that these materialistic thoughts are valid simply and only because it is what the older ones believed, and each new generation uncritically accepts, without asking questions, to their ascendants’ beliefs. This fact - - - points to the morally wrong (or worse) act it is to accept an ethical or intellectual claim as true without any other motif/reason than that

- it has been seen as true by earlier generations”. (Comment 66 to surah 28).
4. Muslims seldom are trained in critical and logical thinking – too dangerous for the religion and too dangerous for many of the political regimes. Because of this their ways of thinking sometimes are hap-hazard and wrong – just look at how conspiracy theories are flourishing in most Muslim countries and areas, and not as “may be” but as “sure facts”.

Here are some of the theories about where to find Muhammad in the Bible – arranged according to where the points appear in the Bible. (We use New International Version – NIV – because that is the newest translation and consequently likely to be the most reliable, as science learns a little more about the old languages every year).

THE OLD TESTAMENT (OT).

Genesis (1. Mos.) 17/20:

“As for Ishmael, I (Yahweh*) have heard you (Abraham*): I will make him fruitful and will greatly increase his numbers. He will be father of twelve rulers, and I will make him into a great nation”. This some Muslims call the first foretelling about Muhammad and the great nation of Arabia. But they omit to mention that this foretelling was fulfilled already a couple of generations later as told in 1. Mos.25/13 – 16: “These are the names of the sons of Ishmael, listed in the order of their birth: - - - (12 names*) - - -. These were the sons of Ishmael, and these are the names of the twelve tribal rulers according to their settlements and camps.” (Some translators say princes instead of rulers, and nations instead of tribes.)

Well, here are the 12 rulers and the great nation – 12 tribes after all meant power in a sparsely populated land. But how Muslims are able to see Muhammad in this, we have not found out.

Genesis (1. Mos.) 21/21:

“While he (Ishmael*) was in the desert of Paran, his mother got a wife for him from Egypt”. Paran is on the Sinai Peninsula. But there also is a place with that name near Mecca (well, actually it is Faran, not Paran, but Islam has mainly switched to calling it Paran for obvious reasons - and they hardly ever mention this) – and the Muslims do not say that perhaps it was this Paran the Bible speaks about. They simply declare that the name proves it was this place, and that the Paran in Sinai there is no reason to talk about. It is like declaring that Stalin in all his brutality was an American because there is a town in USA named Moscow (there really is).

But when they quote the Bible and 1. Mos. and use it for a “proof”, it is dishonesty bordering something very distasteful not also to mention 1. Mos. 25/18: “His (Ishmael’s*) descendants settled in the area from Havilah to Shur, near the border of Egypt, as you go toward Asshur.” Which was a very natural place, as Ishmael’s mother, Hagar, was from Egypt. It also made it easy for her to find a wife from Egypt for her son, like the Bible tells she did – whereas deep inside the Arabian peninsula, that had been quite another task.

The Bible – which Muslims themselves use as the witness in this case – here proves with the same strength that Ishmael, his mother and his descendant had nothing to do with Mecca or Arabia. **They lived in vest Sinai near the border of Egypt. (To be near the border of Egypt, it had to be in the western part of Sinai). Actually this also gives one more proof – from a source and a place in the Bible which the Muslims themselves use as a decisive witness – for that all the tales about Hagar and Ishmael living in - and Abraham therefor visiting - Mecca, just is a made up story. It also fits the fact that Abraham for long periods lived in Sinai according to the same Bible that Islam here uses for a claimed proof. And it is reasonably near Mt. Paran in Sinai.**

Deuteronomy (= 5. Mos.) 18/15 and 18/18:

NB: This is one of the two “heavy” points according to Islam – the only “heavy” one in OT. (The other one is about the Holy Spirit in NT)

These two - 5. Mos. 18/15 and 18/18 - in reality are the same and identical, and we will treat them like that (Islam does the same). In 18/15 Yahweh says to the Jews via Moses: “The Lord your God will raise up for you a prophet like me (Moses*) from among your (the Jews’*) brothers. You must listen to him”. In 18/18 Yahweh says to Moses: “I will rise up for them (the Jews*) a prophet like you from among their brothers, and he will tell them everything I command him.”

The two central expressions according to Islam, are “your/their brothers” and “a prophet like you (Moses*)”. We take one point at a time:

“- - - your/their brothers - - -”.

Islam and most/all Muslims claim this is figurative speech (correct) and must point to Muhammad, because he (claimed to be – see chapter about Muhammad) is claimed to be a descendant – even a direct descendant (as normal for Islam without the slightest documentation) – of Abraham and Ishmael – the brother of Isaac – and that the Arabs because they (claim to be) the descendants of Ishmael are the brothers of the Jews (descendants of Isaac) – “it is the only possible meaning”. But:

1. The word brother(s)/brethren/brotherhood is used in the figurative meaning at least 255 times in the Bible – included some 19 times in 5.Mos. (Facts that are seldom mentioned and never by Muslims). They - brother(s)/brethren/brotherhood - always speak about specific groups, (and with only one borderline case – in the NT there are a few places where the entire world is included (as humans – and as potential Christians)) - about Jews in OT and Christians and/or Jews in NT.
2. In OT it in addition always as mentioned above is used about fellow Jews only – it is clear from the context and often said directly. We have found only 5 exceptions, but there may be one or two more. In 1. Mos.13/8

Abraham uses the word to Lot (Lot in reality was his nephew), in 1.Mos. 25/18 it is told that Ishmael and his sons and near descendants chose to be hostile towards the rest of the family – the later Jews – even though they at that time were closely related – brothers – (a disgusting thing to do according to the ethics of that distant past), and in 4.Mos. 20/14, 5.Mos.2/2, and 5.Mos. 2/8 it is used about the Edomites (descendants of Esau, the brother of Jacob).

The Jews after a fashion reckoned the Edomites to be (distant) relatives (as said descendants after Esau, the brother of Jacob, the last of the three patriarchs Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, that started the history of Israel) – and thus included in a larger, but defined and closed group. In contrast they did not reckon Ishmaelite as relatives. For one thing Ishmael's mother was a foreigner (from Egypt). For another thing Ishmael was outside the covenant Yahweh made when he renewed the covenant he had had with Abraham and made the renewed covenant with his son Isaac (but Esau was inside, as the son of Isaac) as mentioned in 1.Mos. 17/19-21: "I (Yahweh*) will establish my covenant with him (Isaac*) as an everlasting covenant for his descendants after him. And as for Ishmael, I have heard you: I will surely bless him - - But my covenant I will establish with Isaac - - ." For a third the Ishmaelite that Arabs claim later became the Arabs, lived so far off that the relationship even for natural reasons was all but severed. For the fourth and worse: The Ishmaelite chose to be enemies of the Jews – 1.Mos. 25/18: "And they (the Ishmaelite*) lived in hostility toward all their brothers" – see some lines further up in this point. And for the fifth and perhaps most essential besides being outside the covenant: Ishmael and his mother were expelled from the family and tribe (which easily may explain their hostility, but all the same it was hostility in a time when the safety of a person and a family mainly depended on the strength of that family - and no matter they were expelled from the tribe that became Israel 2 generations later).

All the other times the word was used about fellow Jews.

3. In all the few mentioned cases of borderline exception the name of the opposite part was specified, whereas Moses very clearly did not specify that the brothers he talked about in 18/15 and 18/18 were not Jews – on the contrary it is clear for anyone who are not burdened with strong wishful thinking or desperate need, **that he was talking to and about Jews and using a most normal expression for fellow Jews.**
4. In the NT the word also always (with the exception mentioned in point 1 above) is used about fellows in a group – either fellow Jews or fellow Christians.
5. **There is not one single place in the entire Bible where Arabs are mentioned as brothers or even as more distant relatives.** With the single exception of 1.Mos. 25/18 where the word is used to stress the Ishmaelites' bad conduct (see point 2 above) this also goes for the claimed forefathers of the Arabs.
6. The word brother(s)/brethren/brotherhood also is used figuratively in the Quran – at least 32 times – and the Quran follows just the same rule as the Bible: Brothers are belonging to a group – Muslims to Muslims (god or less god), Arabs to Arabs, tribe people within the tribe, (even Lot/Lut they try to pretend belonged to the locals), the bad to the bad. Even the one and single time where Jews clearly are mentioned (59/11) in this connection it is not said that Arabs or Muslims are the brothers of Jews, but that the hypocrites (no specification of nationality, so likely all hypocrites) are the brothers of the Jews (belonging to the group “the bad ones”). **Not one single time it is said or even hinted that the Arabs are the brothers of the Jews. A fact no Muslim ever mentions (and few know).**
7. Arabia and Arabs are mentioned a few times in OT, f. ex. 2. Chronicles 9/14 and 22/1, Isaiah 21/13, Jeremiah 25/24, Ezekiel 30/5. They always are mentioned in neutral words – like paying tribute to King Solomon – or in negative connections, f. ex. as enemies. **Not**

one place is there said or hinted anything about relationship, not to mention kinship and absolutely not a comma about brotherhood.

For some reason or other Muslims never mention this fact, either – but then of course it is more essential to win the debate than to find out what is right. After all al-Taqiyya – the lawful lie – is both a right and a duty to Muslims when it comes to defending or promoting the religion. The religion they believe in because other believes in it and have told them to believe in from blind faith - - - because the others believe in it from blind fait, and the clergy and others do not want to question their beliefs and their small or big platforms of power.

8. Muslims claim – as normal without documentation – that the Quran are the words of Allah, and that Muhammad thus spoke the words of the god, which is one of the criteria (he misses on others - see below) for being the prophet Moses spoke about (f. ex. Jeremiah 1/9 in addition to 5. Mos. 18/15 and 18/18-19). This could have been right - - - if Islam proves that the Quran really is from a god (actually all the mistakes and other wrong points proves 100% that it is not from a god – no god would do such a sorry work). Besides: Even if it was correct that they were the words of a god, it would only be a criterion, not a proof – many of the Jewish prophets spoke the words of the god, according to both the Bible and the Quran, but they were not the prophet Moses spoke about. Muslims presents the unproven claim in triumph like a proof.
9. Muslims also dismiss in what connection these two verses were said. They themselves tell others strictly that you cannot take a verse – or more – from the Quran and make conclusions or statements from that – a standard demand from any Muslim, especially when he meets arguments that are difficult to answer, an often used last way out. But for themselves that rule is invalid and they quote these two verses from 5.Mos. very much out of the context.

Because the context clearly tells that Moses was speaking to and about the Jews, and verses 18/1-2 even specifies who the

“brothers” were – he had used the same word just seconds earlier in the same speech to the same people and in the same contexts: The Levi tribe “shall have no inheritance from among their brothers (= the 11 other tribes*). The Lord (Yahweh*) is their inheritance (they should be priests and be paid for that*) - - “. Then seconds later he use the same word without specifying that now he is speaking about other brothers (which he had had to do not to confuse his listeners if he had meant Arabs or Israelites or someone else) – for the simple reason that he continued speaking about the same 11 tribes (by the way: Jesus was from the Judah tribe).

10. There are more contexts: **Moses spoke about a prophet. Muhammad in reality was not a prophet.** A prophet is a person with close enough connections to a god, so that the god tells him/her or informs him/her about the future on topics the god wants humans to know. (To be more spesific: A prophet makes prophesies. He makes prophesies so often and/or so essential ones that prophesying is a marked part of his mission. And he at least mostly makes correct prophesies - if not he is a false prophet). **This is the gift of prophesying. No-one is a real prophet without having the gift of being able to/forced to making prophesies. A messenger, perhaps, or a lot of other things, but you are not a real prophet unless you are able to make prophesies.**

Muhammad did not have that gift. It is very clear from the Quran that he neither had the gift, nor ever claimed or pretended to have it – not one single time in the entire book.

Oh, there were a few times according to traditions, when things he said, later came true, and also some pep-talk which always are optimistic and comes true if one succeeds in what one tries to do. It is like that with anybody that speaks much – pep-talk and other talk – that alt least some things has to come true for simple statistical reasons – and the rest mostly is forgotten. But the main things are:

1. They were never claimed to be prophecies when they were said.
2. Muhammad never claimed to have the gift of being able to make prophecies.
3. Muhammad only “borrowed”/stole the imposing and impressing title, he was no real prophet. He did not even pretend to be a prophet – he only used the title.

And when he in reality was no prophet – not even a pretender – he could not be the future prophet Moses told about. (We know there exist "softer" definitions for who is a prophet, like "a person speaking on behalf of a god" - it is an imposing title and many wants to use it. But a real prophet has to be able to make prophecies).

11. Then there is 5.Mos. 18/20 – the next-door neighbour to the for Islam essential 18/18: “But a prophet who presumes to speak in my name anything I have not commanded him to say, or a prophet who speaks in the name of other gods, must be put to death.” These are criteria for singling out false prophets. In his famous and infamous “Satanic Verses” Muhammad promoted the three pagan goddesses al-Lat, al-Uzza and Manat – 3 daughters of the Arab main pagan god al-Lah (the same god that Muhammad renamed to Allah). To promote pagan goddesses definitely is something Yahweh had “not commanded him to say”. And promoting the pagan goddesses meant Muhammad also could not be speaking in the name of Allah just then, but in the name of the pagan al-Lah – another god.

According to this verse – in the same chapter that Islam is using as a strong and reliable proof – it as you see is documented that according to definition Muhammad is a false prophet (also f. ex. all that is wrong in the Quran documents the same). And no false prophet could be the prophet Moses spoke about. The same for a "not real prophet".

12. And one more context just seconds later in the same speech of Moses (5.Mos. 18/21).

Moses said: “If what a prophet proclaims in the name of the Lord (Yahweh*) does not take place or come true, that is a message the Lord has not spoken”. Muhammad never even made real prophecies and a lot of what he said else in the Quran, pretending to repeat the words of a god, most obviously is not true – just look at all the mistaken facts and all the other wrong points in the book. According to 5.Mos. 18/21 (another verse in the Bible Muslims never mention) this proves – on top of the other proofs – that Muhammad was no prophet. Consequently also for this reason he cannot have been the prophet Moses talked about.

13. As mentioned the word “brother” etc. are used many times in the Bible. It even is used in exactly the same sentence in at least one more for Israel crucial case, and by the same man, Moses, and speaking to the same people – the Jews. 5.Mos. 17/15: “- - - be sure to appoint over you the king your Lord your God (Yahweh*) chooses. He must be from among your own brothers. Do not place over you, one who is not a brother Israelite.” One more verse and one more context Muslims never mention – it is permitted to guess why. No further comments necessary.

Conclusion – and it is so obvious a conclusion that it is not necessary to stress that it is the only one that is logically possible: These verses has nothing to do with Muhammad – it simply is Moses talking to his people about his people. Even each and every of some of these points above prove that 100% - not to mention when one takes all together.

Another obvious conclusion: Islam has used "cherry picking" of the lines they could use, omitted the parts of the same context that proved their claims wrong, and then twisted the words and contexts a little to arrive at the claims they are searching for.

Deuteronomy (5. Mos.) 18/15 + 18/18 continued:

“- - - a prophet like me (Moses*) - - -“ / “- - - a prophet like you (Moses*) - - -.”

Muslims claim that there are so many likenesses between Moses and Muhammad, that Muhammad has to be the prophet Moses spoke about. And that there are so many differences between Moses and Jesus that it cannot be Jesus.

The trouble is that no matter what two men you choose in all this world and through all times – choose any two you like – you will find similarities and you will find differences (though it is typical that Islam only looks for similarities between Moses and Muhammad, and for differences between Moses and Jesus – they are not trying to find out what is right, only to get

the answer they need.) Such similarities and differences may be interesting, but they have no value as proofs if they are not “sine qua non” – facts that make other answers impossible.

Here are two central words: “prophet” and “Moses”. But the main word is “prophet” – “Moses” is just for comparison or measure. And of course Muslims debate the measure, not the fundamental word – wise of them, as Muhammad was not a real prophet (see the chapter about Muhammad). Yes he was not even pretending to have the gift of a prophet (see the previous piece above) – he only “borrowed” that impressing title. Perhaps he was a messenger for someone or something, but no real prophet.

And the thing to compare if you are to compare one prophet with another, is if he/she is as good and as powerful in making prophecies – and correct prophecies – as the other. Muhammad obviously here falls true completely, as he did not have that gift at all. **And a man – no matter how charismatic – who was no real prophet, could not be the prophet Moses talked about.**

(On the other hand Jesus could be. Both according to the Quran and to the Bible he was a prophet at least as great as Moses - even if Hadiths place Jesus in 2. Heaven and Moses in 5.).

All other details in reality are without interest in this case as this is the “sine qua non”. The rest is just so much hot air.

A small PS: In John 5/46 Jesus says: “If you believed Moses, you would believe me, for he wrote about me”. Even the Quran states that Jesus was a prophet that spoke the truth.

Deuteronomy (5.Mos.) 33/2:

“The Lord (Yahweh/God*) came from Sinai and dawned over them from Seir; he shone forth from Mount Paran. He came with myriads of holy ones from the south - - -” This is not Yahweh, according to some Arabs, but Muhammad and his warriors. This in spite of:

1. Muhammad never was in Sinai – at least not after he got “myriads” of followers (most likely never unless it was before he started his religion in 610 AD, and it is not said he ever went there).
2. The same goes for Seir – a place mentioned several times in the Pentateuch in OT (f. ex. Numbers (4. Mos.) 10/12, 12/16, 13/3, 13/26 and 5. Mos. 1/1) as the place where the Edomites settled near the Dead Sea. Muhammad hardly ever went there, except perhaps he passed it on his way to Syria when working on caravans in younger years, and some Muslims then says it refers to battle King David won at a place with the same/similar name - - - but in 5. Mos. 33/2 it is Moses who was speaking, and he lived 100-200 years earlier and never heard about that battle. (Some also wants it to be the village Sa’ir near Jerusalem, but Moses never

entered Palestine – and neither did the tent he used for a temple, in which he had the contact with his god – the god (not the prophet – the Lord in the Bible always means Yahweh/God) that came “from Sinai and Sair and Paran).

3. And the same also goes for Mt. Paran – a mountain and an area in Sinai. This mountain Muslims admittedly has “moved” to Arabia, near Mecca (a mountain and an area with a similar name - Faran, but Muslims now mostly claim the name is Paran), but till now we have not read any real scientist that is in doubt: The Mt. Paran is in Sinai. This mountain and area is mentioned many times in the Bible (4. Mos.10/12, 12/16, 13/3, 13/26, 5. Mos. 1/1) and science as said is in no doubt. (We may add that Yahweh according to the Bible, in Sinai manifested himself to the Jews and to Moses as a column of smoke by day and one of fire/light by night. He could well shine in the night from Mt. Paran. Muslims wants it to mean that Muhammad’s religion shone from the mountain with the similar name near Mecca – but neither Muhammad nor Islam had any special connection to that mountain, not to mention that the Israelis in Sinai would not be able to see him if he shone from Mt. Faran in Arabia. Sorry – Muslims will have to bring proofs, not only claims).

The name “Bozrah” is mentioned sometimes – it is not present day Basra, but Al-Busairah in Edom, south of the Dead Sea.

And as said: The word “the Lord” in the Bible always means God/Yahweh (or in NT sometimes Jesus) – and Muhammad was no god and no Jesus. Also because of this it is not possible it can be Muhammad that is meant - in the OT the word "Lord" always and without exceptions means Yahweh.

There also is another interpretation: That it all is symbolic. In this case “came from Sinai” means the appearance of Moses - but the sentence really reads “The Lord came from Sinai”, and in the OT the expression “the Lord” always and without exception means God/Yahweh. It was Yahweh that came up from Sinai – it is not possible to misunderstand that - - - not unless you absolutely want to.

Other Muslims claim that “The Lord came from Sinai” refers to that revelations from the god came from Sinai. But to combine that and the next line with the claim that then Seir refers to a battle King David won a place called Seir does not give meaning – to talk about revelations and then have a battle – something entirely different – in the middle of the tale, is illogical.

Especially as the text in reality was Yahweh that “dawned over them from Seir”, and then even more so, as then it is said to turn symbolic again: Paran is calimed to symbolize Muhammad.

Consequently some Muslims (f. ex. Badawi) claim that the line “and dawned over them from Seir” refers to the appearance of Jesus. Sinai then refers to the appearance of Moses, Seir to the appearance of Jesus (and the next line to the shining of Muhammad and his religion) – in that case Seir must refer to the village Sa’ir near Jerusalem, according to them, because it is clear that Jesus never visited or had any other connection with the mountain and area of Seir. Which is not even preposterous, as the Bible many places describes Seir as the area where the Edomites (descendants of Esau) lived, and they lived far south of Jerusalem – very far.

As you may guess, all this is just one mess of guesswork, “ad hock” proposals and wishful thinking to get the “right” answers, instead of seeking for truth.

The last of these three lines that make up the claimed foretelling of Muhammad, is “- - - he (Yahweh* - the only subject that is referred to) shone forth from Mount Paran”. There only is one possible meaning to this according to some Muslims – also here normally not Islam, but some Muslims – and that is that the glory of Allah shone in the form of Muhammad’s glorious religion from Paran (or Faran) in Arabia.

It nearly always are possible to make figurative stories out of literal ones – Muslims are experts on that, as that is their normal last ditch defence to nearly anything that is wrong in the Quran – things that used to be the plain truth, switches to allegories or similar as soon as reality or science proves that it is wrong, “and the allegory must be understood differently and is absolutely right if we understand it like this and this”.

Here Moses is reminding his Jews about how Yahweh – in his incarnations, a column of smoke by day and one of fire/light by night according to the Bible – accompanied them from Sinai (a mountain on the Sinai peninsula where the Jews stopped for some time on their march from Egypt) via Seir (another mountain and an area also on Sinai peninsula) and sometime along the route among other places shone in the night from Mt. Paran (also a mountain and an area on the Sinai peninsula).

But such a description of facts does not prove Muhammad, and Muslims need proofs. – then make a parable out of it and “understand” it the way you like best - - - and as normal for Muslims based only on undocumented claims. And twist the facts enough to get the answer you need.

But the trouble is that also Paran is mentioned several times in the Bible (see point 3 in the first half of this piece). According to the Bible it is not absolutely clear exactly where it was – the different translations give 2 possible locations (near the Red Sea or near the river Jordan and some days walk from the mount Seir – which makes the Red Sea location most likely, as that mountain is in west Sinai). But it is absolutely clear that it was along the route the Jews followed after Egypt, and they lived in and marched through Sinai, without one single reference to Arabia at all – not until under King Solomon some 200 years later (2. Chron. 9/14).

And actually: If it had been true that Moses had marched all his at least 2 million people (600000 men + women and children according to the Bible) and all their animals all the way

through the arid desert on Arabian peninsula all the way down to Paran or Faran near Mecca in Arabia and then the same hot and dry way back— believe it if you want – these 3 lines only reminds the Jews on that Yahweh’s manifestation had been together with them all the way from Egypt until Palestine (Moses made this speech “east of Jordan” (5. Mos. 1/5) which means near the border of Palestine – “in the fortieth year” (5. Mos. 1/3), which means shortly before he died and Joshua lead the Jews into the future Israel). All the story tells about "the Lord", and "the Lord" = Yahweh without exceptions in OT. If Islam still insists, they will have to produce some proofs, not only loose claims.

Psalms 45/2-5:

“Gird your sword upon your side, O mighty one; clothe yourself with splendour and majesty. In your majesty ride forth victoriously in behalf of truth, humility and righteousness; let your right hand display awesome deeds. Let your sharp arrows pierce the hearts of the king’s enemy - - -“.

This is Muhammad riding to war and battle, Muslims says.

But saying it, they for some reason or other omit verse 45/1, that shows that this is someone singing for some king – “I recite my verse for the king” – and Muhammad was no king. And strangely enough they also omit verse 6, that shows that the one the singer is asking to kill the king’s enemies, and the “mighty one” that is to “ride forth victoriously”, is God/Yahweh.

Muhammad was no god. And it is questionable if a man who stole and lied/broke his oath, raped, enslaved, tortured, extorted, murdered, and incited to hate and suppression, not to mention glued himself to a god as his platform of power, rode forth “in behalf of truth, humility and righteousness”.

Psalms 149/6 – 7:

“May the praise of God be in their mouth and a double-edged sword in their hands, to inflict vengeance on the nations and punishment on the people - - -.”

This for sure is Muhammad and his men!! - - according to some Muslims. But why do they skip verse 2 that tells that this is Jews praising their god (Yahweh) and their king – perhaps David or Solomon?: “Let Israel rejoice in their Maker (Yahweh*); let the people of Zion be glad in their king - - -“.

Muhammad had very little to do with Zion and was little praised by Israel.

Song of Songs (Song of Solomon) 5/16:

This is a love song – nearly a duet between a woman (the Beloved) and a man (the Lower), but with a few lines here and there from “Friends”. Perhaps the most poetic piece in the entire Bible. In chapter 5, verse 16 the woman sings: “His mouth is sweetness itself; he is altogether lovely. This is my lover, this is my friend, O daughters of Jerusalem”. With Solomon involved, it naturally happened in Jerusalem.

The Hebrew word for “altogether lovely” is “machmad”. Muslims claim that it can be translated to “praise” = Ahmad = Muhammad (= the praised one) and is a proof for Muhammad in the Bible. (You will NEVER find a scientist of any kind of science that will

accept that a possibility = proof. Not any other reasonable intelligent person either.) And that the real meaning of the lines is: “His mouth is sweetness himself, he is Muhammad. This is my lover, this is my friend, O daughters of Jerusalem”.

But:

1. Verses 1/5-6 tells she was a dark woman. It is known only one dark woman in Muhammad's harem – the concubine Marieh. But she was a slave from Egypt, not a woman from Jerusalem.
2. It is very clear from several places in the song that this happened in Jerusalem. Muhammad never visited Jerusalem – and definitely not after his rich first wife Kadijah died and he could go looking for women.
3. Verses 3/7, 3/9, 3/11, and 8/12 tell clearly that this happened at the time of King Solomon – some 1600 years before Muhammad.
4. Verse 8 tells that the woman was from Lebanon. None of Muhammad's wives were from Lebanon, as far as we have been able to find out.

Actually point 3 is alone enough to prove Muhammad is not involved: some 1600 years before him is a long time.

Also: The word “machmad” appears 13 times in the OT. (Kings 20/6, 2 Chronicles 36/19, Isaiah 64/11, Lamentation 1/19, 1/11, 2/4, Ezekiel 24/16, 24/21, 24/25, Hosea 9/6, 9/16, Joel 3/5 + here). Exchange the word for Muhammad, and get some strange prose – or poetry. The argument simply is made up. Muslims always stress that reading the Quran, you cannot pick sentences here and there – you have to see the complete picture to get the meanings right. But they all too often do the opposite themselves; if a twisting of a word or a sentence taken out of the complete story can be used to construct a meaning they want.

Isaiah 1/7:

“When he (the lookout in the tower*) sees chariots with teams of horses, riders on donkeys or riders on camels, let him be alert, fully alert.”

This must be a prophesy about Muhammad's arrival, Muslims say – though rarely Muslim scholars speaking to educated persons. It f. ex. could be a million others.

And verse 9 tells why scholars seldom speak about this "proof" for Muhammad in the Bible: The ones arriving are refugees from Babylon – hardly any Muhammad among them. Especially as Babylon fell 1000 years before Muhammad.

Isaiah 21/13-15:

One translation, taken from an Islamic page on Internet (NB: It may well be correct, even if NIV translates it somewhat differently – old Hebrew has the same weak point as old Arab in

that they mainly only wrote the consonants, which – like in Arab and f.ex. the Quran – means that there may be different interpretations some places. In such cases NIV normally uses the most common interpretation in the text, and mentions the alternative in foot notes).

“The burden upon Arabia. In the forest of Arabia shall ye lodge, O ye travelling companies of Dedanim. The inhabitants of the land of Tema brought water to him that was thirsty, They prevented with their bread him that fled. For they fled from the swords, From the drawn sword, and from the bent bow, and from the grievousness of war”. (This in fact is the King James Version, but as the NIV is a much younger translation and consequently made from better knowledge about the old languages, it is likely NIV is more exact than KJV).

NIV's translation:

“The caravans of Dedanites, who camp in the thickest of Arabia, bring water for the thirsty, you who live in Tema, bring food for the fugitives. They flee from the sword, from the drawn sword, from the bent bow and from the heat of the battle.”

This indisputably is a foretelling about Muhammad!! some Muslims say. There were no other famous flight in Arabia, and therefore it HAS to be about him.

But:

1. There is nothing that says it is about a famous flight – it may have been about some more local conflict, though essential enough for the victims. Also see the point just below.
2. Verse 21/9 - just a few lines before the ones Islam quotes - tells this episode has to do with the fall of Babylon - a fact that Muslims conveniently "forgets" - something that happened more than 1000 years before Muhammad. Now the name Babylon often is used as an expression for a bad or degenerated community, but even if you here say that Babylon represents the Quraysh tribe and Mecca, it does not fit, as Muhammad did not flee because of the fall of Mecca. Mecca and the Quraysh still were very powerful when Muhammad fled in 622 AD.
3. These refugees are fleeing from war. Muhammad fled not from war, but from persecution.
4. We know that Muhammad did not visit the area of Tema during his flight – it is far too far north (approximately 400 km north of Mecca and more than 300 km north of Medina, whereas Muhammad followed a rather direct though hither-and-thither (to avoid his persecutors) route between Mecca and Medina). Strangely Muslims never

- mention this, even though at least their scholars know it very well.
5. The essential fact here: Isaiah lived and wrote during the time of the Assyrians. The Assyrians started invasion of Arabia in 732 BC – also a fact Muslim scholars know very well. Isaiah simply wrote about and made a prophesy about the coming war.
 6. One more essential fact: The time frame! – even one more fact Muslim scholars know, but cold-bloodedly omits in order to twist the information: The very next verses (21/16-17) of Isaiah continues: “This is what the Lord (Yahweh*) says to me: “Within one year, as a servant bound by contract would count it, all the pomp of Kedar will come to an end. The survivors of the bowmen, the warriors of Kedar, will be few”. Here it is directly said that this prophesy is to be fulfilled within a year – not some 1300 years later and concerning Muhammad.

To cherry-pick a few lines that can be twisted to give the answer you want if you stretch your imagination enough, and then omit lines just before telling it talks about something entirely different, and the very next line that proves what you say is a lie – there is only one expression for that: Dishonesty. Well, one or two more: Al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) and Kitman (the lawful half-truth) – expressions you only find in Islam of the major religions. (As for al-Taqiyya and Kitman: see chapter about al-Taqiyya).

Isaiah 53:

This is too long to quote, but some Muslims are sure the person is Muhammad. Read the chapter – it is about half a page – and laugh (or weep). This man has no similarity to Muhammad – f. ex. verse 9: “- he had done no violence, nor was any deceit in his mouth.” Muhammad was a mass murderer, rapist and warlord and one of his slogans was: “War is deceit” according to Ibn Ishaq.

But the description may fit Jesus.

Isaiah 63:

This is too long to quote. But Muslims say the mighty one obviously is Muhammad. But read it – it is God/Yahweh speaking to and about the people of Israel!

Muhammad so definitely was no god – and absolutely not Yahweh.

Habakuk 3/3:

“God came from Teman, the Holy One from Mount Paran”.

Now both the Bible and science says Mount Paran is in Sinai. But Islam says near Mecca even though the correct name of that mountain according to Muslim sources is Faran, and then the Holy One – the god – must mean Allah and Teman must indicate Islam. But Teman is mentioned more places in the Bible, and Teman is not the best of places:

In Jeremiah 49/7: Yahweh asks “Is there no longer wisdom in Teman?” (Well, if one were sarcastic one could agree that Teman must mean Islam).

In Jeremiah 49/20 – 22 Yahweh says: “Therefore, hear what the Lord (Yahweh*) has planned against Edom, what he has purposed against those who live in Teman: The young of the flock will be dragged away; he (Yahweh*) will completely destroy their pasture because of them. - - In that day the hearts of Edom’s warriors will be like the hearts of a woman in labour”.

It is clear that Teman is a place in Edom (near the Dead Sea) with pastures and more – it is not a religion. But it is clear that it will be destroyed - may be it fits Islam anyhow?

In Ezekiel 25/13 Yahweh tells he will lay waste Edom (near the Dead Sea), included the place Teman.

In Amos 1/12 Yahweh says: “I will send fire upon Teman”. It clearly is a place – a village or a town – not a religion (It is difficult to send fire upon an idea).

In Obadiah, verse 9 Yahweh says: “Your warriors, O Teman, will be terrified and in Esau’s mountains (Edom*) will be cut down in slaughter because of your violence against your brother Jacob”. Islam has one they can say was the brother of Isaac (Ishmael , but none that was the brother of Jacob except Esau (Ishmael was not Jacob'd brother)– and besides if Teman was Islam, the Muslims had been dead by now –“cut down in slaughter”.

Actually nothing of this fits Islam’s history.

And to make a long story short: The Bible indicates that Teman was a town near Jericho. And in no case it can have been Islam – the history is totally different.

Haggai 2/7:

Yahweh says: “I will shake all nations, and the desire of all nations will come - - “. In Arabic “the desire of all nations” = “Hemdah” = “the praised one” that semantically = Muhammad. (the root is the verb Hamada that is the root of many words actually). But all the same the words are not freely interchangeable – no Muslim would call Muhammad Hamada - - - except when they here are looking for “proofs” for their “prophet” – proofs they dearly need, because they have none.

In Surah 1, verse 2: “Praise (al-hamadi (from Hamada)) be to Allah” – you would be stoned if you said that Hamada/Hemdah = Muhammad and said “Muhammad be to Allah”. In Daniel 11/37 one have “He (a king*) will show no regard for the gods of his fathers or for the one (god*) desired (Hemdah*) by the women - - “. Try to change Hamda for Muhammad here – and mix Muhammad up with pagan gods!

Even if the root of the words is the same (Arab often have word roots consisting of 3 consonants, and then by filling in with different vowels they get different words and different

meanings), the words are not freely interchangeable – except when wishful twisting of words and roots of words may give a "proof" for Muhammad's divine contact.

THE NEW TESTAMENT (NT).

In the New Testament, the situation is even more difficult for Islam – there are fewer verses that are possible to twist to mean foretelling about Muhammad. And even the main claim needs a lot of twisting of the facts to arrive at the answer they want and desperately need because the Quran states that Muhammad also is foretold in the Injil – the Gospels. (Surah 7/157 and f.ex. surah 61/6). The same goes for Hadiths – they clearly state that he is mentioned in the Bible.

Also here we will arrange the claims according to what succession the relevant verses have in the Bible.

John 1/19-23:

“Now this was John's (John the Baptist*) testimony when the Jews of Jerusalem sent priests and Levites (from the Levi tribe – the priest tribe*) to ask him who he was. (20) He did not fail to confess, but confessed freely, ‘I am not the Christ (Messiah*).' They asked him, ‘Then who are you? Are you Elijah?’ He said, ‘I am not.’ ‘Are you the Prophet?’ He answered, ‘No.’ Finally they said, ‘Who are you? Give us an answer to take back to those who sent us. What do you say about yourself?’ John replied in the words of Isaiah the prophet, ‘I am the voice of one calling in the desert, ‘Make straight the way for the Lord’”.

Well, this has to be about Muhammad – a voice in the desert and a “straight way” (an expression often used by Muhammad)! This even though all the rest is about Jesus and is proclaiming his divinity and has to be lies – this cherry-picked small piece must be true, according to some Muslims.

But how could John the Baptist – the messenger for Jesus, and he who proclaimed Jesus' divinity – “make the way straight for Muhammad” some 580 years later? – without once even giving a hint about Arabia or anything? There is no connection between the two anywhere. Wrong.

And finally: As mentioned before the word "Lord" used in the Bible as a name for a religious "person" ALWAYS AND WITHOUT EXCEPTION refers to Yahweh or (sometimes) Jesus. There is no exception from this rule.

John 14/15-26 and 16/7-8:

As two parts of the Gospel after John (John 14/15-26 and 16/7-8) are so essential to the main claim from Islam, we first quote this in its full length. (words marked * are added by us for clarification):

John 14/15-26:

"(15) If you love me (Jesus*), you will obey what I command. (16) And I will ask the Father (Yahweh*), and he will give you (the disciples*) another Counsellor (Greek: Parakletos*) to be with you forever - (17) the Spirit of the truth (one of at least 5 names for this Spirit*). The world cannot accept him, because they neither see him nor know him. But you know him, for

he lives with you (but not in you*) and he will be in you (afterwards*). (18) I will not leave you (the disciples*) as orphans, I will come to you. (19) Before long the world will not see me anymore, but you will see me. Because I live, you also will live. (20) On that day (when the Counsellor comes*) you (the disciples*) will realize that I am in my Father, and you are in me, and I am in you. (21) Whoever has my commands and obeys them, he is the one who loves me. He who loves me will be loved by my Father, and I too will love him and show myself to him. (22) Then Judas (not Judas Iscariot) said, *but, Lord, why do you intend to show yourself to us and not to the world?" (23) Jesus replied, "If anyone loves me, he will obey my teaching. My Father will love him, and we will come to him and make our home with him. (24) He who does not love me will not obey my teaching. These words you hear are not my own; they belong to the Father who sent me (= it in reality is Yahweh who is speaking*) (25) All this I have spoken while still with you. (26) But the Counsellor (Parakletos*), the Holy Spirit, whom the Father will send in my name, will teach you all things and will remind you of everything I have said to you."

John 16/7-8:

(7) "But I (Jesus*) tell you (the disciples*) the truth: It is for your good I am going away. Unless I go away, the Counsellor (Parakletos*) will not come to you; but if I go, I will send him to you. (8) When he comes, he will convict the world of guilt in regard to sin and righteousness and judgement - - -."

The claim from Islam is that the Counselor (Parakletos = helper, counselor, adviser) John here speaks about is Muhammad. He is speaking about a future prophet, they say, and there is no other alternative than Muhammad. To make that claim stick, they claim that the word "parakletos" is wrongly spelled – it surely shall be "periklytos" (as normal for Islam they do not even try produce documentation for the claim, even though there are thousands of old documents). "Periklytos" may be translated to Aramaic - Aramaic, not Arab - and one gets the word Mawhamana, which can be translated to Ahmad or Muhammad in Arab.

Pathetic.

And worse: The word used in Syriac (a language used by the church in the area at the time of Muhammad and before) is "menahhemana". This "obviously" in reality means "mawhemana" and is another wrong spelling Muslims say. And it refers to Muhammad they say.

The strange thing is that Syriac "menahhemana" means "'the life giver' and especially 'one who rises from the dead'" (Professor A. Guillaume in "The Life of Muhammad", 2007, page 104). Then who rose people from death and gave them life? And who rose from the death himself? – not Muhammad, but Jesus. Irony?

Well, periklytos means "the glorious one" or "the praised one" – and Islam jumped on this word, because the name Ahmad – another form of the Arab name Muhammad, which also looks somewhat similar to Mawhamana – also means "the praised one". This without doubt and very obviously was a prophesy about Muhammad!! – the problem was to explain it. And the only possible way was by making some twists, including claiming that all the old manuscripts had spelled the word wrongly. It HAD to be about Muhammad – if for no other reason, then because the Quran says he is mentioned also in the Gospels, and there is no other real possibility. (Also: It is said that Muhammad's original name was Amin – from his

mother's name Amina – and that the name Muhammad came later. If this is correct, where does that bring this claim?)

But:

1. Muhammad was no real prophet (he did not have the gift of prophesying – he did not even pretend to have it or claim to have it – see the chapter about Muhammad). He only “borrowed” that title so laden with meaning and prestige.
2. The word “Parakletos” you will find some places in the Bible. The word “Periklytos” does not exist anywhere in that book – not one single place. Wrong unless proven right.
3. There are thousands of old manuscripts from before Muhammad (610 AD – the start of his career). We have seen numbers up to 50000, but most likely there are some 13000 relevant manuscripts or fragments. Some of these even are manuscripts or fragments of the Gospels – also here we have seen different numbers (up to 5000), but it seems that some 300 is the correct one and that some 70 are complete or reasonably complete. This including f. ex. Codex Sinaiticus and Codex Alexandrinus in British Museum, London. The word “periklytos” is not used in one single of them – not one single time. In addition there are huge numbers of old manuscripts with references to the Bible. Also here you will find different numbers, but it looks like some 30000 is the correct one. In not one single of them you will find the word “periklytos” as a reference to or a quote from the Bible. **It simply does not and did not exist in the Bible anywhere or at any time. (An extra good proof for that this is true, is that if it had existed, Islam had screamed to holy heaven about it – but they are silent, except for their persistent and – as normal - not documented claims. Well, they vaguely mention the council in Nicaea (now Iznik in Turkey) in 325 AD, but even if it had been true, it does not explain why all the manuscripts older than 325 AD also are claimed to be “wrong” - and falsified in so clever a way that even modern science are unable to find traces of falsifications. Worse: The complete agenda for that**

coouncil is known, and there is not a hint about wishes to change the contents of any texts. (Besides: How do you make bishops change biblical texts? - or ayatollas change verses in the Quran?)

1. The agenda of the council in Nicaea in 325 AD according to Wikipedia:

The Arian (a heretic group*) question regarding the relationship between God the Father and Jesus; i. e. are the Father and Son one in purpose only or also one in being.

2. The date of celebration of the Easter observation.
3. The Meletian schism.
4. The validity of baptism by heretics.
5. The status of the lapsed in the persecution under Licinius.

As you see: Not one word about changes in any biblical texts.

6. In addition there were promulgated 20 new church laws:
 7. 1. Prohibition of self-castration.
 8. 2. Establishing of a minimum term for catechumen.
 9. 3. Prohibition of the presence in the house of a cleric of a younger woman who might bring him under suspicion.
 10. 4. Ordination of a bishop in the presence of at least 3 provincial bishops and confirmation by the metropolitan.
 11. 5. Provision for 2 provincial synodes to be held annually.
 12. 6. Exceptional authority acknowledged for the patriarchs of Alexandria and Rome, for their respective regions.
 13. 7. Recognition of the honorary rights of the see of Jerusalem.
 14. 8. Provision for agreement with the Novationists.
 15. 9 - 14. Provisions for mild procedure against the lapses during the persecution under Licinius (an emperor*).

16. 15 - 16. Prohibition of the removal of priests.
 17. 17. Prohibition of usury among the clergy.
 18. 18. Precedence of bishops and presbyters before deacons in receiving Holy Communion, the Eucharist.
 19. 19. Declaration of the invalidity of baptism by Paulian heretics.
 20. 20. Prohibition of kneeling during liturgy on Sundays and in the 50 days of Eastertide (the pentecost).
 21. As you see: No trace of changing texts in the Bible. As said before: To make mainstream bishops change texts in the Bible, is just as easy as making mainstream ayatollahs change texts in the Quran - both are believing too strongly and both are too conservative to change even a comma. **Islam's claim simply is ridiculous in the ears of anyone who knows a little about Nicaea**, but it is the only possibility they have for the claims of falsification of the Bible that may sound right for the not informed - included 99.9% of the Muslims. But even if it had been true, it had been impossible to falsify without a trace all the manuscripts older than 325 AD, and it had been impossible to falsify all the manuscripts from the OT owned by Jews.
4. Islam – and the Quran – as mentioned above claims the Bible must be falsified (also on many other places). They do not explain how in the old days with slow travel and no mass communication it was possible not only to falsify all the thousands of manuscripts spread over large parts of Europe, North Africa and Asia, but to make exactly the same falsifications in each and every one of them. Unless Islam explains – we do not even ask for proofs, but only for a logically valid explanation - when and how this was done (it was not in Nicaea - the agenda and the actual debates there are too well known), there is only one possible conclusion to make: Another al-Taqiyya (lawful lie). This even more so as in addition to these manuscripts,

there are lots and lots of others that refer to the Bible (some 30000?), and also in these references there is not on single time a reference to "periclytos" - not one single. How did the guilty ones trace all these papers and falsify all of them in exactly the same way all of them? Not to mention: How did they erase the word "paracletos" in all these manuscripts + the above mentioned 13000 (the ones of them older than 325 AD) and insert "periklytos" in such a way that modern science is unable to see the falsifications?

5. A very good proof for that no such falsifications are ever found, is the fact that if it had been found anywhere or any time, Islam had published it with very capital letters. But there are nothing but undocumented claims or even claims contradicting the documentations - like the claims about falsifications during the council in Nicaea.

In one word: Nonsense. And science has long since showed that Islam's claims that the Bible is falsified are wrong. If Muslims still claim something else, they will have to produce proofs (not only cheap claims).

6. The next "explanation" one meets is that the man translated the NT from Hebrew made a mistake and used the wrong word. But the NT never was translated – it was written originally in Greek!
7. A claim parallel to the point above: The mistake came from the incomplete alphabet – Hebrew like Arab only wrote the consonants, and then the reader had to put in – or guess (one of the problems with the original Quran) - the vowels. The two words have the same consonants: p-r-k-l-t-s. Add a-a-e-o and you get parakletos; add e-i-y.o and you get periklytos. But once again: NT was written in Greek, and Greek had a complete alphabet – this possibility for a mistake simply did not exist in Greek. The problem also did not exist in spoken Hebrew – only in written, and the first writers of course took the words - like spoken – from their own heads. Both these facts are well known to Muslim scholars, and all the same they tell these arguments to their

less educated congregations and listeners!!
Wrong.

8. But all the same the writer of the NT could have made such a mistake! Also wrong. For one thing there were around a dozen different men who wrote the NT – and then all the ones that used the word, had to make just the same mistake. Just try to explain that! Besides there were lots of people that understood both those two languages – a lot of Jews, as Greek was the second language in the Roman Empire after Latin, and a number of the bureaucrats that was or had been stationed in Palestine to mention two groups. They would quickly find the serious mistakes and whisper about correction or scream about mistakes – depending on whether they were friends or foes. Also this argument from Muslims is wrong.
9. Then we return to the text. Jesus was speaking to his disciples and promised them a helper – a Parakletos. If that had meant Muhammad, **what a helper could he be to the disciples more than 500 years after they were dead!!??** Just and only this question alone kills this claim from Islam – it is an absolute impossibility. And worse: Islam's scholars know this very well. Very wrong.
10. “- - - another Comforter (Parakletos/Periklytos?) - - -.” To use the meaning Periklytos here, means in case that also Jesus is a Periklytos, because a new one is coming instead of Jesus. But Jesus is never called a Periklytos – the word does not exist anywhere in the Bible, not today and not in any of the some 13000 relevant old scriptures and fragments or some 30000 references. Wrong – unless Islam produces a proof (but had one existed, Islam had produced it centuries ago).
11. “- - - but you (the disciples*) know him (the Comforter*) - - -”. None of the disciples ever knew Muhammad – but they had knowledge of the Spirit, as they had been companions of Jesus. Wrong.
12. “- - - for he (the Comforter*) lives with you - - -”. It is hopelessly inadequate to say Muhammad never lived with the disciples. Wrong at least to the third power.

13. The “parakletos” is to “be with you forever”. Muhammad was with no-one forever – he was for one thing born 550 years too late to be a “parakletos” or even a “periklytos” for the disciple, and for another thing for another thing he existed far from forever. Wrong.
14. “The Spirit - - -“. The “parakletos” was a spirit, not a man. Muhammad here is wrong.
15. “The Spirit of truth - - -.” The man who institutionalized “al-Taqiyya” (the lawful lie) and “Kitman” (the lawful half-truth) – and practised it himself, the man who institutionalized that even oaths can be broken if that gives a better result (though you should give Allah something afterwards as an excuse according to the Quran, if you had meant the oath) – and practised it himself, the man who had as a slogan that “War is deceit/betrayal” (Ibn Ishaq), that man neither was, nor had much contact with “the Spirit of truth”. Wrong also because of this.
16. “The world - - - neither sees him - - -“. No human – like Muhammad - is invisible (but a spirit may be). Wrong.
17. “- - - he - - - will be in you - - -.” To be flippant: The only humans Muhammad ever was in, was a lot of women. Wrong.
18. “I (Jesus*) will not leave you (the disciples*) as orphans - - -.” They had been orphans for the rest of their lives if they had had to wait for Muhammad 500-600 years later. Wrong.
19. “On that day (when the Parakletos comes*) you (the disciples*) will realize - - -.” The day of the coming of the Comforter/Parakletos obviously was a day in the lives of the disciples – Muhammad was not. One more proof for that Islam's claim is wrong.
20. Islam says: It cannot be the Holy Spirit that was Parakletos, because it is clear that the Holy Spirit already was there, and Jesus talked about something that should come. Of course the spirit was in and around Jesus – and around the disciples – at least sometimes. But Jesus told that it should be in them and part of them, which it had not been before. That was what happened at Whitsun, according to the Bible – the disciples were filled with the Holy Spirit, which was quite a new situation. Invalid argument. Wrong.

21. Islam says: It cannot have been about the Holy Spirit, because Jesus told about the Spirit of truth. But in all the NT there exists only one special spirit connected to Yahweh. Only one. No mistake possible. Wrong argument. Also see next paragraph just below.
22. Islam says: The Holy Spirit and the Spirit of truth are two different beings – they even have different names! – and Allah has 99 names (but there are not 99 gods according to Islam), Muhammad a few, Jesus some, most humans two or three. The Spirit has at least 5 different names (The Holy Spirit, The Spirit of Truth, The Holy Ghost, The Spirit of God and just The Spirit). In addition: See the point just above. Invalid proof.
23. Islam says: But they cannot be the same as the name “the Holy Spirit” is neutrum (sexless), whereas the name “the Spirit of truth” is masculinum (male). Wrong, but this is easier to show with grammar from other languages, as nouns only have one grammatical gender in English. Take the German word “ein Mädchen” (a girl). The particle “ein” shows that the word grammatically is masculinum (femininum/female: “eine”), but a girl very obviously is femininum. Or take the good old Atlantic steamer “Queen Elisabeth”. In Norwegian she is “ein baat” (a boat of any size) and “ein” also in Norwegian is masculinum. But she also is “eit skip” (a ship). But the particle “eit” means neutrum/sexless. And further she is “ei skute” (another word for a ship). And “ei” means femininum/female gender. Well, even in good old England “Queen Elisabeth” in reality is without any sex or gender. But grammatically it (the ship) normally is a “she” also in England. The grammatical gender of a noun simply is no proof for the real – if any – sex or gender of the being or thing behind the noun. An indication, yes often. A proof, no.
24. One relevant comment from Acts 1/4-5: Jesus said to his disciples shortly before his ascension to Heaven: “Do not leave Jerusalem, but wait for the gift (the Comforter/Parakletos*) my Father (Yahweh*) promised, which you have heard me speak

about. For John baptized with water, but in a few days you will be baptized with the Holy Spirit".

1. **The Comforter should come "in a few days". Muhammad came some 577 years later** (this happened year 33 AD (or a few years earlier if the international years are a few - 4 to 6 - years late) – Muhammad started his preaching in 610 AD).
2. Here Jesus calls the Spirit the Holy Spirit. Shortly before he called it the Spirit of truth – and he talked about the same spirit, because of (like said before) there is only one single spirit in the entire NT and the entire Bible connected to Yahweh. Another proof for what is said just above - - - and for that the two names means the same.

There are more indications/proofs in the Bible for that the Comforter was the Holy Spirit and for that the Comforter could not be Muhammad – there simply are too many verses in the Bible that “collide” with that claim. But what we have written above is far more than enough to disapprove the claim from Muslims and from Islam.

Sorry for all these explanations, but there were so many claims to meet, and all of them had to be answered.

Just 2 more comments:

1. The Bible is a large book – our copy of NIV is more than 700 pages with very small print. In such a large book it is impossible not to find some words that look similar to some word or words in any given other language – or at least can be given similarity with some twisting. But it is a very far cry from there to to use superficial or even twisted + superficial seemingly similarity as proofs – at least in an honest debate. There also is a difference between a possibility and a proof. And even more so if you are honestly trying to find what is true. (But then Islam “knows” what is true and goes all out to find “proofs” - by whatever means necessary often. Blind belief and dishonesty is better than trying to find out what is true and what not).
2. If the first Christians had expected another prophet later, for one thing they had lived their lives differently (exprocting Jesus to

return in months or a few years, they planned and lived accordingly - if they had expected another prophet in the meantime, they had planned for a longer wait), and even more: The texts in the NT – especially in the letters – had been different.

But in spite of all the words above, there in reality only is one or a few facts you need to kill the reality in these claims – that these verses in the NT foretells the prophet Muhammad:

1. **Muhammad was no real prophet – he did not have the gift of real prophesying, and did not even himself claim he had that gift or pretend to have it. He only “borrowed” an imposing and impressive title. And as he was no real prophet – a messenger for someone or something perhaps, but no prophet - he of course was not the prophet that Moses talked about. (Jesus never spoke about another prophet later – no place in the entire NT)**
2. **Jesus promised his disciples a helper in some days. Muhammad lived 600 years later – he could not help them.**
3. **The Parakletos/Counsellor was invisible and to be within the disciples. Muhammad neither was invisible, nor within the disciples.**

Short and simple and to the point. (Remember that when someone needs many words and many arguments to prove something simple, the reason often is that he/she leads you by the nose so that you shall not see mistakes or invalid logic here and there. You often meet Muslims using that technique.

BUT IS MUHAMMAD IN THE BIBLE ALL THE SAME?

THE BLACK ALTERNATIVE.

There are persistent, non-religious argumentations for that Muhammad and Islam in reality represents dark supernatural forces. We are not going to enter this debate heavily, but there are two reasons why we are unable to get rid of the suspicions in our minds, and the same two reasons make it impossible and irresponsible not to mention the possibility:

1. If some dark forces – f. ex. the Devil - dressed up like Gabriel, or if they worked on his mind – f. ex. by means of an illness like TLE like BBC proposed (20. March 2003) which often gives religious experiences like the ones Muhammad had – or in dreams, Muhammad

had had no chance of detecting that he was cheated.

2. The bloody and inhuman surahs from Medina that turned Islam into the inhuman and harsh war religion it became – and is today according to the Quran - for the ones living strictly according to the not abrogated parts of the Quran, which are dominated by just the surahs from Medina - fits a devil much better than it fits a good, benevolent god.

Because of that we mention a few facts:

1. Jesus several places said false prophets would arise, and that they would deceive many. Muhammad indisputably was no real prophet as he did not have the gift of prophesying – and no other person has led so many into a sect or religion fundamentally based on inhumanity (suppression, discrimination, hate, slavery, “good and lawful” rape, “good and lawful” stealing/robbing, “good and lawful” and even the best services to the god (?); war, to mention some points). Muhammad fits that picture too well for comfort.
2. The Apostle Paul mentioned that Satan sometimes disguises himself as an angel of light. Muhammad met an angel of light – Gabriel - - or someone or something masquerading like Gabriel.
3. Paul also said that a time would give heed to “doctrines of devils”. As mentioned above the surahs from Medina look much more like doctrines from devils than like doctrines from a good and benevolent god – not to mention the Satanic Verses.
4. Paul also predicted that a time would come when people would not seek and listen to sound doctrines, but listen to fables. And too many Muslims today and before do/did not look for the truth, but only seeking (“proofs” for) what they want to hear. And is it a coincidence that most of the tales in the Quran are documented to be “borrowed” from fairy tales, legends and fables? Even most of the ones seemingly from OT and some seemingly from OT are documented in reality to come not from the Bible, but from made up

tales and fables – apocryphal scriptures and legends often.

If you read the Bible you will find a lot more dark statements, facts and prophesies that may fit Muhammad and Islam.

We just mention this.

Different arguments:

Claim:

"In 1. Genesis 12/1-3 a promise is made to Abraham that he would be blessed and that all the nations would bless him and be blessed by him. It is only the descendants of Ishmael - Muhammad and the Muslims - that have fulfilled the promise that should bless him, since they are the ones who bless Abraham by praying for him and his family. Ergo these verses must indicate Muhammad."

What the Bible really says:

"The Lord (Yahweh*) had said to Abram (later Abraham*), 'Leave your country, your father's household and go to the land I will show you. I will make you into a great nation and I will bless you; I will make your name great, and you will be a blessing. I will bless those who bless you, and whoever curses you I will curse; and all peoples on earth will be blessed through you.'" It is Yahweh who is doing the blessing - there is nowhere talk about people's blessing of him is any indication of anything. We mention that to make up arguments is an indication of lack of real arguments.

Claim:

"Moses and Jesus were national prophets and could not fulfill Allah's/Yahweh's promise that the nations would be blessed in Abraham. Ergo 1. Genesis 12/1-3 must indicate Muhammad."

What the Bible really says:

As for Moses: "- - - I (Yahweh*) have raised you (Moses*) up, to show you my power, so that my name may be proclaimed in all the Earth." Even Moses was a message to the world according to the Bible. As for Jesus: Read the orders he gave his disciples before he left them, ordering them to go into all the world and make all people to his disciples by baptizing them in the name of Yahweh, Jesus and the Holy Spirit. Not exactly aimed at just the small Israel. (Jesus personally worked just in one country, but so did the religious leader Muhammad). The claim is invalid.

Claim:

"Allah/Yahweh promised to make Ishmael a great nation. (Genesis 16/10, 17/18, 21/13.) Part of being a great nation includes receiving God's commandments. Ergo only nations receiving special commandments can be indicated, which must mean Arabia and Muhammad."

Answer:

There have through the history been many great nations without special commandments from a monotheistic god. The claim is invalid. (Though may be - there are no great nations among the Muslim ones. Some rich ones, but no great ones. Does that prove that Muhammad is out of the question?)

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER II/5:

There was little reason to squander much time on these claims, if it was not because they are not so central in Islamic propaganda, as they do need “proofs” for Muhammad and for Allah. But most of the claims are too far out ones, and even the two main ones do not hold water. Christians and as far as we know Jews normally do not even bother to discuss this – most of the claims and the logic are too far out. The better part of the claims belong in a conspiracy theory and not even there if you are not so entirely out of real arguments, that you have to disclose how desperate you really are to try to save the Quran from being wrong, and to try to find arguments for that Muhammad was a prophet and not an impostor. But the arguments they have to use at least shows the level of their “facts” – or lack of facts – that are behind the claims and statements.

At least when talking to learned, intelligent people, Islam had had better drop this argumentation altogether - it is too revealing (but they really have no choice: As the Quran tells Muhammad is mentioned in the Bible, both in OT and NT - they HAVE to find something, because if not there are two more mistakes in the Quran. And really serious ones. Plus the only hope for a kind of proof for a divine connection is lost if they drop these claims – there are no other possible documentations or proofs).

Flatly stated: **Muhammad also is not in the Gospels. If not Islam produces something better than wrong and not documented claims, this debate just is a waste of time**, except that it permits Muslims not really to have to face a serious question – and except that the claims are useful propaganda for Islam towards little educated non-Muslims and pagans, and even more towards Muslims that strongly wants to believe and to have their belief cemented. There only exist undocumented claims and as unproved statements – and if documents or other proofs had existed, Islam had produced them at least a thousand years ago. But there exist lots of old documents proving the opposite of what Islam claims.

Besides: **When f. ex. Moses said there was going to come “a prophet like me”, and the Muslims claim that is a foretelling about Muhammad, that is a joke: In addition to all the other points - how could Muhammad be “a prophet like Moses” when he in reality was no prophet at all?! (See chapter I/7).**

Muhammad was no real prophet – he only “borrowed” the title.

A “forgotten” fact.

PART II, CHAPTER 6 (= II-6-0-0)

OTHER TYPES OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY

BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

IS ALLAH THE SAME GOD AS YAHWEH (GOD) LIKE CLAIMED IN THE QURAN? - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

The Quran and Islam have as one of their absolute, but never proved facts, that Allah and Yahweh is the same god. Muhammad found that as there were only one god, and as Jews and the Christians had one god, it had to be the same one. Besides Arabs had for long time thought it might be just two names for the same god (al-Lah and Yahweh). And not least: Muhammad built much of his teachings on what he believed were the religions of the Jews and the Christians - so much that when he arrived in Medina, he wanted to merge his teachings with the Jewish one.

But there are fundamentally so many and so deep differences, that it is not possible it can be the same. One and the same god cannot teach so different religions.

The two religions Islam and Christianity are very different when it comes to the connection to their god. In Islam he is the distant and absolute master - and a god of war. In NT he also is the absolute master, but at the same time he is close to his subjects in a very different way. Also Yahweh/God in NT is very far from a god of war. Very far.

Of course Islam uses their standard explanation: That the Bible is falsified - though they never have proved that statement through 1400 years. And they keep to that statement - because that is the only possible “explanation” they have for differences between the Bible and the Quran, this even though science clearly has shown that the Bible never was falsified by the church (there may be some mistakes, though far fewer than in the Quran - but no falsifications). It is clear that many of the tales in the Quran are “borrowed” from made up religious fairy tales, and even though science as mentioned long ago has proved the claims false by means of the some 13000 relevant manuscripts and fragments of papers that all are in accordance with the Bible - some of them from just some years after Jesus died - and that most of the New Testament also was written shortly after his death, whereas the Quran was written more than 600 years later on basis of tales - often fairy tales – told through the centuries by words-of-mouth, as Muhammad was no great reader and did not seek the written words. Of course Islam pretends that the Quran is made by the god, but no god had ever made a book that full

of mistaken facts and invalid “signs” and “proofs” and as invalid logic. Next time you meet a Muslim saying the Bible is falsified, ask him to prove it - he only comes with statements or references to the never proved Quran, as there are no proofs. On the contrary as said: Science has clearly proved that the Bible is not falsified, but a copy of and with identical contents to the oldest manuscripts.

The very best proof for that the Bible is not falsified is given by Islam and the Muslims: If there had existed one single proof for such a falsification each and every human being on this wide Earth had been told about it whenever the subject surfaced. Islam is totally silent on that point - a lot of loose claims, but not one single proof. Not one in all the thousands of relevant documents known to science.

Also Muslims pretend that the Quran of today is identical to what Muhammad said - which is plainly not true - if for no other reason, than that the Arab written language (the alphabet) was very incomplete at that time, and exact words were often impossible to write - they did neither have the vowels, nor the points that later Arab writhing have, and because of that, many “words” have double or even multiple meanings. In addition there were different texts also after 656 AD - and not least: Old Qurans and fragments differ from the ones of today - f. ex. the many found in Yemen in 1972. At one time there f. ex. existed at least 14 certified cannonized varieties of the Quran (Ibn Warraq: “Why I am not a Muslim” and many others) - they are listed in the prescript of this book (today two of the 14 are in use – Warsh in large parts of Africa, and Hafs in the rest of the world). So next time you meet a Muslim saying the Quran of today is identical to Muhammad’s words, ask him to prove it - and which of the Qurans he think was the most correct.

We will discuss just a few details - but central details - to show some of the differences between NT and the Quran. Differences all the same so fundamental that it is not possible NT and the Quran is talking about the same god.

1. A. Jesus - and the 10 Commandments - say:
You shall not kill. The order is absolute.

The Quran says:

2. Do not kill except for a good reason, and:
3. Do not kill Muslims (4/92).
4. In addition to that: Read chapter IV about Islam and war and weep.

It impossible that the same god is behind so different rules and laws.

1. B. Jesus said: If someone hits one of your cheeks, turn the other one towards him (= you shall not take revenge).
2. The Quran says:

001 2/178: “The law of equality is prescribed to you in cases of murder” - take revenge (though to forgive is a good deed - or accept money instead of revenge by killing).

3. 002 2/194: "If then anyone transgresses the prohibition against you, transgress ye likewise against him - -". Take revenge.
4. 003 42/20: "The recompense for an injury is an injury equal thereto (in degree) (though no revenge is better for Allah*)". The rule is: An eye for an eye.

It is impossible that the same god is behind so different rules and laws.

1. Jesus said: It is not enough to forgive an enemy 7 times. You should forgive him seventy times 7 times (= always).
2. The Quran says:
3. 004 9/80: "- - - if you ask seventy times for their forgiveness, Allah will not forgive them - - -". Simply no comment necessary.

It is impossible that the same god is behind so different rules and laws.

1. Jesus said: "Give the emperor what belongs to the emperor, and God what belongs to God". Which means that religion is for the church, and the secular government is for the government. Muhammad demanded all power to Islam.
2. Jesus said: A shepherd with 100 sheep, who discovered that one is lost, will leave his flock (in a safe place) and go searching for the lost one.
3. The Quran says:

005 2/6-7: "As to those who reject faith - - - Allah hath set a seal on their hearts - - -". Absolutely the opposite of what Yahweh and Jesus say.
4. 006 2/26: "- - - He (Allah*) causes many to stray - - -". Yahweh would go far out of his way to save straying ones, and absolutely not do the opposite.
5. 007 2/89: "Allah is the enemy to those who reject Faith". Whereas Yahweh leaves his flock in a safe place to try to save the lost ones.
6. 008 2/213: "For Allah guides whom He will to the path that is straight". Whereas Yahweh goes far out of his way to guide everyone he can to Paradise.

7. 009 4/89: "For those whom Allah hath thrown out of the Way, never shalt thou find the Way". Yahweh according to NT would NEVER throw anyone out of the right way - and would go far out of his way to lead those on a wrong track back to the right one.
8. 010 4/121: "We (Allah*) shall leave him (the one on a track not to Paradise) in the path he has chosen, and land him in Hell". It is mathematically sure it is not the same god.
9. 011 5/41: "Let not those grieve thee, who race each other to Hell". No comment necessary.
10. 012 5/68: "But sorrow thou not over (these) people without a Faith". 180 degrees opposite of what is the point of view of Yahweh/Jesus.
11. 013 6/39: "- - - whom Allah willeth, He leaveth to wander: whom He willeth, He placeth on the way that is straight". Yahweh NEVER would want someone not to follow the right path.
12. 014 6/45: "Of the wrongdoers (unbelievers) the last remnants were cut off. Prise be to Allah - --". (The last 4 words tell miles about the difference between Islam and Jesus/Yahweh.)

There are many, many more like this in the Quran. And it hardly is easy to find a better way to show how different the two gods are - in one case praise Allah for the ones going to Hell, and on the other side the one who goes far out of his way to search for the ones straying.

It is impossible that the same god is behind so different rules and laws.

. Jesus says: Love your fellow man and woman. The Quran says: Love your fellow Muslim - at least if he is not bad. And:

1. 015 58/22: "Thou wilt not find any people who believe in Allah and the Last Day, loving those who resist Allah and His Messenger." In addition to the other aspects: The only criterion is if they love Allah and Muhammad.

It is impossible that the same god is behind so different rules and laws.

2. G. Jesus said: My kingdom is not of this world. Muhammad said: Obey Allah and me. And fight wars for me and for us.

It is impossible that the same god is behind so different rules and laws.

3. H. Jesus said: Let the one with no guilt throw the first stone.
4. The Quran says:

016 24/2: “- - - flog each of them with a hundred stripes - - -”. And Islam often in reality ads: Stone the woman to death, but not the man. (Even though formally the Quran demands the same punishment normally). Or punish the woman who cannot bring 4 male witnesses to prove she really was raped.

It is impossible that the same god is behind so different moral, rules, and laws.

Then there is the love of your fellow human beings. This is very central in NT and in the Christian religion. Whereas in Islam the concept is nearly non-existent, except for love of your nearest family - and of course of Muhammad. (One practical effect was that slavery was abolished from the West. Another is the fact that most of the humanitarian organisations are in or originated in the West. This even though Islam stresses generosity - - - but NT stresses something much deeper. The Quran also tells that generosity to your closest family gives the same merit in Heaven as generosity to strangers - and then why help strangers instead of your wife or child or parents? Whereas in Christianity to help your closest family is such a matter of course, that it hardly gives much merit up there. This in addition to the mentioned and very fundamental difference: NT says: "Love them". The Quran says: "Be generous towards them - but not so as to empty your purse".

This difference of love is very fundamental. Too fundamental. The two religions simply are not from the same god.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER II/6:

Even in seemingly small things like this, there are so fundamental differences in the teachings, that it is impossible the same god made so different rules and law. This even more so, as these “small” things disclose huge differences in the fundamentals of the religions and in the ways the gods reacts and thinks.

And there are many other basic differences.

Sorry Islam, but **there is no way Allah can be identical to Yahweh/God. As said before: Not unless he is schizophrenic.**

PART II, CHAPTER 7 (= II-7-0-0)

OTHER TYPES OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

IS ALLAH A BETTER - MORE BENEVOLENT, ETC. - GOD THAN THE BIBLE'S YAHWEH (GOD) LIKE CLAIMED IN THE QURAN? - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

This chapter is not ready for publishing yet, and will be added in 2010).

PART II, CHAPTER 8, Subchapter 1 (= II-8-1-0)

OTHER TYPES OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

300+ INTERNAL CONTRADICTIONS IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

The Quran claims there are no contradictions in the Quran. Muhammad claimed there were no contradictions in the Quran. Islam claims there are no contradictions in the Quran. Muslims claim there are no contradictions in the Quran.

They claim the same about no mistakes – and they are wrong. There are plenty.

The contradictions in the Quran can be split in 3 categories:

1. Contradictions within the Quran and to some extent to Hadiths. These do not exist according to Islam, and for the same reason as in point 2, plus that the lack of contradictions – like the claimed lack of mistakes – is a proof for that the book came from Allah. But also here it is ever so easy for anyone to check that the contradictions are real – and as they are real, may be they prove the book is not from Allah? – one more proof for that in case. (Also abrogations in a way are contradictions to the text in the Quran, as the new text more or less contradicts the old one. But this is a special case that will be handled in another chapter.)
2. Contradictions with reality. There are a lot of those, but we will mention just a few here, because most of the mistaken facts (chapter II/1) in reality at the same time are contradictions with reality - may be 2000+ of them. These contradictions do not exist, according to Muslims – cannot exist, because then the Quran is wrong and Islam a made up religion - - - but it is easy and free for anyone to check if they are true. Then what do they prove?
3. Contradictions compared to the Bible (and some to other books/traditions – Muhammad f. ex. had to contradict/twist some legends, old stories, etc., to fit his religion). The contradictions in the Bible are special in several ways, f. ex. because it is so usual for Muslims just to claim the reason is that the Bible is falsified, even if science long since has proved the claim untrue, and even if Muslims never are and never have been able to prove their claims. Claims are cheap.

NB: The distinction between a contradiction and an abrogation sometimes is arbitrary. An abrogation always was a contradiction both internally with other verses in the Quran and/or with real life.

To make an – also somewhat arbitrary – distinction: Allah/Muhammad has said something that contradicts other things that was said. If it was of minor consequence in life or religion, it was overlooked – and just became a contradiction in the text that people and Islam could live with as long as they overlooked it, did not understand it was a contradiction, or could explain it away.

But if the contradiction was more central to the teaching or had effects in the daily or religious life of the followers, it had to be clear which of the contradicting messages that was the valid one. This also to be able formally to “honestly” pretend and state that “there are no contradictions in the Quran” - - - because the contradictions are abrogated and invalid and gone from the meaning of the book, even if not from the text. Such formalities are essential in Islam. Because of this, and based on some verses in the Quran (f. ex. 2/106, 16/101), they made the Rule of Abrogation: If two or more verses in the Quran “collide” it normally is the youngest one that is the valid one. (But beware that the word “abrogated” is somewhat loaded for many Muslims, as it proves that Allah sometimes had to change his mind, or he was unable to make the perfect rule at once, but had to try and fail – just like Muhammad could be expected to have to do if he made the Quran – and that in case is just another indication/proof for that no omniscient god made the book - - - and Allah has to be omniscient. They therefor often use other words instead.) Also see the chapter about abrogation.

You will see that in many cases it is the same verses/points that are abrogated (and thus at the same time are contradicted) by many other verses, and the other way around. (Also often verses that are not abrogated are contradicted by more than one other verse). The reason simply is that many or most of the harsh verses – mainly from Medina where Islam changed to a war religion – each abrogates and contradicts many or most of the same softer verses – mainly from the earlier time (the Mecca periode). The same goes for some other verses – also some that just are contradicted, but not abrogated.

If you want to compute exactly how many contradicting points there really are here, you in this chapter simply add the numbers at the end of each comment. Where there is no number, count 1. Then you divide by 2 as both the contradicting and the contradicted verse are included. Finally you add a very few, as not quite all are in couples.

Finally: Each time one verse “collides” with another, it is a contradiction (which in many cases has to be abrogated) – and there are hundreds or more (many more than we have listed). But do not mix that with how many verses that are contradicted or abrogated. Because many verses often contradicts or abrogates the same other verses, the number of contradicted and abrogated verses are a lot lower – contradicted verses in the hundreds and abrogated verses in the low hundreds (but remember that only 9/5 according to Muslim scholars alone abrogates 124 milder verses).

To give you a visual impression of how bad the situation is in the Quran regarding mistakes and errors, we have chosen to show all the internal contradictions for each contradicting verse/point, (and the same for abrogations) instead of just writing a sum. Each and every number in this list show one verse contradicting another – and if you add all together, you will see that this list contains 5390 colliding verses (+ the likely contradictions). As each collision

takes 2 verses, that means that in this list there are 2695 contradictions = 2695 mistakes or errors, AND IN REALITY THERE ARE MANY MORE. (But remember that as many of the verse are contradicted many or very many times by different other verses, a little less than 300 verses (+ the 19 likely contradictions) are involved in this list – but as said: There are more, as we have found far from all. (Still after 1400 years there seems to exist no list in Islam telling which verses that are abrogated and by what verses, which may be abrogated, and which are not abrogated.)

PS: We have added some contradictions just before launching this on Internet. As we will add a few more when we finish this book in 2010, we wait with correcting the matematics till then.

001 1/1-7: “In the name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful, Praise be to Allah - - - Thee do we (Muhammad and Muslims*) worship and thine aid we seek. Show us the strait way - - - “. The Quran starts with a contradiction. All the places where Muhammad quotes Allah starts with the word “Say”. It is not present here, which means that it is Muhammad who is praying – in a book claimed and presumed to have been made by Allah at least before Adam, since also Adam (who in reality never existed as man developed from earlier primates) was introduced to the book, but perhaps have existed since eternity – which means at least since before the Universe was created some 13.7 billion years ago). And a book which is the revered and unalterable Mother Book in Heaven – revered by Allah and his angels in his own “home”. Impossible and a solid internal contradiction.

Muslims try to explain it with that Allah is omniscient and knows everything, and of course knew this too – that Muhammad would be praying, and included it in the Mother Book at the beginning of time.

The problem only is that this is even theoretically impossible if man has free will – and if man has no free will, then for one thing the Quran is lying, and for another thing: How then explain all the bad and unjust persons acting according to Allah'æs wishes, and how justify that a presumably good god forces humans to behave in such ways that they end in Hell?

The combination of that Allah knows everything long before – not to mention that he decides everything – and free will for man is an absolute impossibility. Actually it is a version of “the Time Travel Paradox” and that paradox is long since proved unsolvable.

An easy way to understand this is:

1. Allah is omniscient. But the moment Allah says: Now I know the future, man can do nothing that changes what Allah knows, because that would make Allah's knowledge wrong. Man has no free will from that moment (and remember that Allah has decided and knows your life since before you were born – and in this special case much longer).
2. Man has free will. Then it is not possible for Allah to know the future, because a man can always change his mind one more time. Then

Allah is not omniscient, or at least not fully clairvoyant.

Actually Islam admits they are unable to explain this – probably largest – contradiction. Their solution simply is to say: We cannot understand or explain it, but it has to be true, as Allah say so in the Quran (!!!)

The ultimate defeat. But as this explaining away of how the prayer ended in the Quran – a copy of the very old Mother Book - if Muhammad really was praying, there are only these 4 possible solutions:

1. Allah was praying to himself in the Mother Book. Too ridiculous to discuss.
2. Muhammad was really praying, but outside the text from the Mother Book. But how much more in the Quran is then from outside the Mother Book?
3. It really is from the Mother Book, but Allah or Gabriel forgot the essential word "say" – but how many more words are then forgotten in the Quran?
4. It was in the Mother Book and neither Allah nor Gabriel forgot – But Muhammad did. But then – if he forgot something, how much more did he forget that should have been in the Quran?

Pick your choice.

There are similar contradictions - Muhammad speaking - with the claim that it is all from Allah, at least in these verses: 2/286, 6/104, 6/114, 11/2-3, 19/36, 27/91, 41/10 and 51/50-51. In addition there is at least one place where it is clear that angels are speaking - which makes it impossible that the book can be from eternity, as at least some of the angels are created before the book (if not angels could not speak in the book).

002 2/34: “We (Allah*) said to the Angels: ‘Bow down to Adam’, and they bowed down: not so Iblis - - -.” But Iblis (the Devil) was no angel. According to the Quran, angels were made from light, whereas Jinns were made from fire. And Iblis several times in the Quran tells he is made from fire – he was a Jinn.

003 2/37: “Then learnt Adam from his Lord (Allah*) words of inspiration (became Muslim*) - - -“.

This is contradicted by at least these verses:

1. 6/14: “But I (Muhammad*) am commanded to be the first of those who bow to Allah in Islam - - -.” Muhammad was the first Muslim.
2. 7/143: Moses said: “I am the first to believe”.

(Actually there are more like this with other claimed prophets.)

(2 contradictions).

004 2/49: “- - - We (Allah*) delivered you from the people of Pharaoh (who*) - - - slaughtered your sons - - -“. Actually this is in accordance with what the Bible tells (The Quran tells the baby Moses was put to the Nile (20/39) but do not give a reason for such a crime. The Bible tells that it was because of a royal order to kill all Jewish boy babies). But it contradicts two verses in the Quran that told not that it was done, but that Pharaoh would start doing it during the confrontation with Moses. (Similar in 7/141 and 14/6).

1. 7/127: “He (Pharaoh*) said: ‘Their male children will we slay: (only) their females will we save alive - - -‘. And it is clear that this is to start fast.
2. 40/25: “Slay the sons of those who believe in him (Moses*)”.

(2 contradictions).

005 2/62: “Those who believe (in our Quran), and those who follow the Jewish (scriptures) and the Christians – any who believe in Allah (= God/Yahweh here*) and the Last Day, and work righteousness, shall have their reward with their Lord (go to Heaven*) - - -“. Contradicted - and abrogated - by:

1. 3/85: “If anyone desired a religion other than Islam (submission to Allah), never will it be accepted of him - - -.” Yes: “No compulsion in religion.” (Also from Mecca, but hardly defensive – see 3/28 just above).
2. 5/17: “In blasphemy (and will be punished according to 5/73*. That Jesus is divine is to put another god by Allah’s side, which is the ultimate and unforgivable sin according to 4/48 and 4/116. It also makes Jesus a greater prophet than Muhammad, which Muhammad and Islam could not accept) are those who say that Allah is Christ, son of Mary.” This had omitted the Christians – like Muhammad obviously intended - - - if it was not because Christians do not say God = Jesus. Muhammad did not understand the Trinity.
3. 5/72: “Whoever joins other gods with Allah – Allah will forbid him the Garden - - -.” This blocks the road at least for Christians, as according to Islam Jesus (and Maria!) are joined gods (and parts of the Trinity – Muhammad never understood neither the Trinity, nor the Holy Spirit (though he used the Holy Spirit a few times in the Quran).

4. 5/73: "They do blaspheme who say Allah (God/Yahweh) is one of a Trinity - - - a grievous penalty will befall the blasphemers among them." Which sentences the Christians to Hell.
5. 8/38: "Say to the Unbelievers, if (now) they desist (from Unbelief (become Muslims*)), their past would be forgiven them, but if they persist, the punishment for those before them is already (a matter of warning for them)".
6. 9/17: "It is not for such as join gods with Allah (= God/Yahweh here*) - - -. - - in Fire shall they dwell". No hope for Christians with their Jesus, who according to Islam is wrongly looked at by Christians as divine – in spite of 2/62.
7. 9/29: "Fight those who believe not in Allah, nor the Last Day, nor holds that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and his Prophet (Muhammad*), not acknowledge the religion of the Truth (even if they are) of the People of the Book (Jews and Christians mainly), until they pay the jizya ("infidel"-tax where Islam has set no upper limit, and that frequently through the history has been very high*) with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued". Conquer the infidels and then let them live like the Negroes under apartheid in South Africa or in the southern states in USA in the early 1900s - - - the ones that were not taken into slavery – especially the women. Yes, no compulsion – neither by the sword first, nor by destroyed economy and social life, etc. after the defeat and later.

(7 contradictions).

006 2/97: "Whoever is an enemy to Gabriel – for he brings down the (revelation (= the Quran*)) (at least what is not brought by "inspiration"*) - - -. Contradicted by:

1. 16/102: "- - - the Holy Spirit has brought the revelation (= the Quran*) from thy Lord (Allah*) - - -." At least a partly contradiction. Muslims sometimes say that Gabriel brought the most of those who were not sent by "inspiration", but that the Holy Spirit brought some, and they might have got away with it - - - if it was not because other Muslims say that this is one of the proofs for that the Holy Spirit = Gabriel (sic!!).

***007 2/106: “None of our revelations do We (Allah*) abrogate or cause to be forgotten, But We substitute something better or similar”. Well, this is a contradiction – and an abrogation – in itself. (Note: Some Muslims prefer – like here in the Quran – the word “substitute”, as it is a less “loaded” word for them, but in this case the meaning is exactly identical – only one word is from daily English, the other is derived from Latin). This actually is one of the verses behind the praxis of abrogation in Islam. It may also be one of the reasons – may be the main reason – why abrogated verses were included in the Quran originally – they should not be abrogated in the meaning that they should be forgotten. **But abrogation is absolutely necessary in Islam, because there is so much contradiction, that the situation would be impossible unless they could be eliminated by making a number of them invalid.** See separate chapter about abrogation. The Quran actually contradicts this point by:

1. 6/115: “- - - none can change His (Allah’s) Words - - -”. Well, he is contradicting and abrogating himself, as he clearly changes it himself when something forces him to – try and fail? Or change his mind about more blood and injustice from 622 AD? – or because of other problems or things he has learnt?
2. 10/64: “Hereafter, no change can there be in the Words of Allah.” **For one thing this sentence has serious effect for the ones who say that predestination in the Quran is not real predestination: Wrong - if Allah has said or thought or written something, no free will of man can change anything - - -** which means there is no free will, and it is predestined as sure as carved in stone. But more relevant just here is that there were many and sometimes large changes in Allah’s words after this “revelation” in 621 AD.

(2 contradictions).

008 2/107: “And beside Him (Allah*) ye have neither patron nor helper.” But contradicted at least by this:

1. 9/71: “The Believers, men and women, are protectors of one another - - -.”
2. 41/31: “We (the angels*) are your protector in this life and in the Hereafter”.

(2 contradictions).

009 2/109: “- - - but forgive and overlook - - -”. These soft words from the periode Muhammad tried to win the Jews for his religion the first 1-2 years after he came to Medina, soon were abrogated by harsh words. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73,

35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

010 2/123: “(The Day (of Doom*) when) one soul shall not avail another - - - nor shall intercession profit her (the soul*) - - -.” An absolute law: No intercession possible. But:

1. 20/109: “On that Day (Day of Doom*) shall no intercession avail, except for those whom permission has been granted by (Allah) - - -.” Here it is possible if Allah permits.
2. 34/23: “No intercession can avail in His (Allah’s*) Presence (= on the Day of Doom*), except for whom He has granted permission.” Intercession ok if Allah permits.
3. 43/86: “And those whom they invoke (“gods”, saints*) besides Allah have no power of intercession – only he (has*) who bears witness to the Truth - - -.” The word “he” cannot refer to Allah, because the Quran always use capital 1. letter (“His”) then. But according to the Quran the prophets and messengers are to be called forth “to witness to the truth”. “He” therefore must be referring to each and every prophet and messenger (or at least to Muhammad, who according to Al-Bukhari has the right to intercede) - - - who according to this verse have power to intercede.

Intercession is not impossible in spite of 1/123 – it only takes permission.

(3 contradictions.)

011 2/125-132: This is too long to quote, but it is clear that according to the Quran Abraham was a devote Muslim and bowed to Allah in Islam long before Muhammad. These verses thus clearly contradict the verse 6/14 and some others in one of the two possible meanings of that verse. See 6/14 below.

012 2/139: “- - - we (Muslims*) are responsible for our doings and ye (non-Muslims*) for your doings - - -”. But that was long before 9/5 and other hard verses. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threat, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

013 2/173: "He (Allah*) hath only forbidden you (Muslims*) dead meat, and blood, and the flesh of swine, and that on which any other name hath been invoked besides of that of Allah." This is contradicted by other verses that also prohibits f. ex. strangled or gored animals, animals killed by other animals, and by Hadiths, that prohibit the meat of donkey.

00a 2/180: "It is prescribed, when death approaches any of you, if he leave any goods, that he make a bequest - - -." But why? - this in reality is abrogated by the sharia laws about inheritance.

014 2/190: "- - - but do not transgress limits (in war*) - - -." This "do not transgress limits" is contradicted – and mostly abrogated – rather strongly: This verse is contradicted and often "killed" by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

015 2/191: "And slay them (your opponents*) wherever you catch them, turn them out from where you have turned them out (be careful Spain, Sicily, Malta and others that turned them out*); for tumult and oppression (from them*) is worse than slaughter (of them*) - - -". This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here among others are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

016 2/193: "And fight them on until there is no more tumult or oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah (no compulsion in religion, 2/256*) - - -." This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

017 2/215: "Whatever ye spend (in charity/alms*) that is good, is for parents and kindred and orphans and those in want and for wayfarers". Abrogated in the meaning "wider" - may be by a god not able to make the perfect rules at his first try? - by:

1. 9/60: Alms are for the poor and the needy, and those employed to administer the (funds); for those whose hearts have been (recently)

reconciled (to Truth (Muhammad often used "gifts" to keep new followers'); for those in bondage and in debt; in the cause of Allah; and for the wayfarer - - -".

018 2/221: "Do not marry unbelieving women (idolaters (is this word in the Arab text?)), until they believe". Contradicted – and abrogated – by:

2. 5/5: "(Lawful unto you in marriage) are (not only) chaste women who are believers, but chaste women among the People of the Book". At least Christian women should not be accepted according to 2/221, as they use religious icons, etc. If the word "idolaters" are not in the Arab text (text in () sometimes is explanation, sometimes specification – and sometime a "help" to the text to sound more correct) this goes for all Christian and Jewish women.

019 2/230: "So if a husband divorces his wife (irrevocably), he cannot, after that, remarry her until after she has married another husband (and "fulfilled" that marriage*) and he has divorced her." This situation is not often to meet, but it does happen. It is a most shameful deed in those cases to force the woman to prostitute herself to be permitted to go back to her husband. But:

1. 16/90: "- - - and He (Allah*) forbids shameful deeds - - -."

020 2/254: "- - - before the Day (of Doom*) comes when no bargaining (will avail), nor friendship, nor intercession." Among others: Intercession is impossible. But: "(The Day (of Doom) when) one soul shall not avail another - - - nor shall intercession profit her (the soul*) - - -." An absolute law: No intercession possible. But:

1. 20/109: "On that Day (Day of Doom*) shall no intercession avail, except for those whom permission has been granted by (Allah) - - -." Here it is possible if Allah permits.
2. 34/23: "No intercession can avail in His (Allah's*) Presence (= on the Day of Doom*), except for whom He has granted permission." Intercession ok if Allah permits.
3. 43/86: "And those whom they invoke ("gods", saints*) besides Allah have no power of intercession – only he (has*) who bears witness to the Truth - - -." The word "he" cannot refer to Allah, because the Quran always use capital 1. letter ("His") then. But

according to the Quran the prophets and messengers are to be called forth “to witness to the truth”. “He” therefore must be referring to each and every prophet and messenger (or at least to Muhammad) - - - who then according to this verse have power to intercede.

Intercession is possible in spite of 2/253 – it only takes permission.

(3 contradictions.)

021 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion”. This is the flagship for all Muslims who wants to impress non-Muslims about how peaceful and tolerant Islam is.

But NB! NB! The surah says: “Let it be - - -.” It only is an order or – judging also from 2/255 – more likely a wish. It is not something that existed or exists. It is a hope or a goal for the future, it is not something that one have already – and all the same most Muslims quote it like this: “There is no compulsion in Religion” - - - a small, little “Kitman” (lawful half-truth – an expression special for Islam together with “al-Taqiyya”, the lawful lie) makes the Quran and the religion sound much more friendly and tolerant. But not one single of them mention the fact that this verse is contradicted and in most cases abrogated by at least these 29 verses:

1. **2/191: “And slay them (your opponents*) wherever you catch them, and turn them out from where they have turned you out; for tumult and oppression (from them*) is worse than slaughter (of them*) - - -.” (Surah 2 is from 622-624 AD - early Medina.)
2. ***2/193: ”And fight them (your opponents*) on until there is no more tumult or oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah (“No compulsion in religion”*) - - -.”
3. 3/28: “Let not the believers take for friends or helpers unbelievers rather than believers: if any do that. In nothing will there be help from Allah - - -.” Social pressure, but may be meant defensive originally, as it is from 625 AD - before Muhammad gained full control in 630 AD. It is offensive today.
4. 3/85: “If anyone desired a religion other than Islam (submission to Allah), never will it be accepted of him - - -.” Yes: “No compulsion in religion.” (Hardly defensive – see 3/28 just above).
5. 3/148: “- - - and help us against those who resist Faith”. This is from 625 AD – the Medina period. It can be meant as defensive or offensive help. After 630 the possible

- defensive use was gone – Islam became powerful, and only the offensive aspect is left, and it contradicts at least 2/256.
6. 4/81: “- - - so (Muslims*) keep clear of them “infidels” or hypocrites*) - - -.” (626 AD)
Social pressure, etc. also is pressure – especially when everybody knows it is backed by the sword if you protest.
 7. **4/90: “If they (“infidels”*) withdraw not from you, and (instead) send you guarantees of peace (remember that in nearly all the conflicts, the Muslims normally were the aggressors*) besides restraining their hands, seize them and slay them wherever you get them - - -.” No comments about “No Compulsion in Religion”.
 8. 5/33: “The punishment for those who wage war against Allah and his Messenger (Muhammad*) - - - is: execution, or crucifixion, or the cutting off of hands and feet from the opposite sides, or exile from the land.” Remember that this surah is from 632 AD, and that practically all raids and wars were wars of attack from the Muslims – even the battles of Badr, Uhud and Medina/the Trench were battles in a war of aggression started and kept alive by Muhammad and his raids for robbing and extortion – so mostly the victims who “fought war against Allah and his Messenger” were fighting in desperate and sheer self defence to defend themselves against the onslaught of Islam - - - and to defend themselves obviously was a great sin. Muslims attacked for gaining loot, land, slaves, power - - - and force Islam on their neighbours. In spite of Islam’s peaceful words, the surrounding Arabs often only got two choices: Become Muslims or fight/die. “No compulsion in religion”.
 9. 5/72: “They (Christians*) do blaspheme who say: ‘God is Christ the son of Mary.’ - - - and the Fire will be their abode.” A serious warning also is a compulsion.
 10. 5/73: “They do blaspheme who say: Allah is one of three in a Trinity - - -.” This was to put two other gods at the side of Allah – two times the ultimate sin. Also a warning about blasphemy is a compulsion.
 11. 8/12: “I (Allah*) will instil terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers: smite ye above

their necks and smite ye all their fingertips off them (making them unable to use a bow*).”

Remember: Nearly all skirmishes, battles and wars at least for 110 years (till the Battle of Tours, France, against Carl Martell in 732 AD) and actually much longer, were wars of aggression started by the Muslims): “No compulsion in religion.”.

12. **8/38-39: “Say to the Unbelievers, if (now) they desist (from Unbelief (become Muslims*)), their past would be forgiven them, but if they persist, the punishment for those before them is already (a matter of warning for them). And fight with them until there is no more tumult and oppression, and there prevail justice (sharia?*) and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere”. Well, this to say the least of it contradicts and abrogates and kills.
13. ***8/39: “And fight them (the Unbelievers) until there is no more tumult and oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere - - -.” Is it possible to get a more direct order about wars of religion and of suppression of the vanquished “infidels”? And if “justice” means sharia, that is not too good for the non-Muslims, to be polite.
14. **8/60: “Against them (the unbelievers*) make ready your strength to the outmost of your power - - - to strike terror into (the hearts of) the (attacked – the Muslims nearly always were the aggressors in spite of peaceful words today*) enemies of Allah - - -.” War for the religion – and riches and slaves and power – but “no compulsion in religion.”
15. 9/3 (631 AD): “And proclaim a grievous penalty to those who reject Faith”. Muslims may say it is meant figuratively and for the next life. But it is said in connection to 9/5, which indicates this life.
16. ***9/5: “But when the forbidden months are past, then fight and slay the Pagans (unbelievers*) wherever ye find them, and size them, beleaguer them, and lay in wait for them in every strategy (of war)” This is the famous and infamous “Verse of the Sword” – from the “Religion of Peace” that at least preaches “No compulsion in religion”. But then to preach peace often is a good strategy

- of war. (Also see 9/5 in the chapter “Abrogations”.) PS: "Every strategy of war" also sanctify terrorism.
17. **9/14: “Fight them (the “infidels”*), and Allah will punish them by your hand (you are fighting on behalf of Allah*), cover them with shame, help you (to victory) over them - -.” No comments necessary. A peaceful religion with no religious overtones in their wars and plans, stratagems and teachings? And why does Allah need primitive help from humans if he is omnipotent?
 18. ****9/23: “Take not for protectors your fathers, your sons, your brothers, your mates, or your kindred if they love infidelity above Faith (Islam*): if any of you do so, they do wrong.” Social pressure – and for that case economical pressure (often used against non-Muslim underlings in the form of high taxes, etc.) – also is “Compulsion in Religion” – which does not exist in Islam (?)
 19. **9/29: “Fight those who believe not in Allah, nor the Last Day, nor holds that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and his Prophet (Muhammad*), not acknowledge the religion of the Truth (even if they are) of the People of the Book (Jews and Christians mainly), until they pay the jizya (“infidel”-tax where Islam has set no upper limit, and which frequently through the history has been very high*) with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued”. Conquer and suppress the infidels and then let them live like Negroes under apartheid in South Africa or in the southern states in USA in the early 1900s - - - the ones that were not taken into slavery – especially the women. Yes, no compulsion – neither by the sword first, nor by destroyed economy and social life, etc. after the defeat.
 20. 9/33: “It is He (Allah*) Who hath sent his Messenger with Guidance and the Religion of the Truth, to proclaim it over all religion, even though the Pagans may detest it”. With plain words: Accept it whether you like it or not – as there is “no Compulsion in Religion.”
 21. 9/73: “O Prophet! Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” The highest leader and of course his followers should strive hard

- against the “infidels” – but “No Compulsion in Religion”. Well, at least it is good propaganda that “proves” how peaceful the Quran is.
22. 9/123: “O ye who believe! Fight the Unbelievers who gird you about and let them find firmness in you - - .” If you are a good Muslim, then fight the non-Muslims – but “no compulsion” – not in religion.
 23. 25/36: “Go ye both (Moses and Aaron*) to the people who have rejected our Signs’. And those (people) We (Allah*) destroyed with utter destruction.” A clear message.
 24. 25/52: “Therefore listen not to the Unbelievers, but strive against them with the outmost strenuousness, with the (Quran)”. As you see: Religion is not included when you strive against the “infidels”.
 25. ***33/61: “They (hypocrites - not good enough Muslims – or non-Muslims*) shall have a curse on them whenever they are found, they shall be seized and slain (without mercy).” If you are not good enough Muslims you are to be killed without mercy. A most clear order. Only do not mention it, because the propaganda line is: “The Religion of Peace” and “No compulsion in Religion”.
 26. 33/73: (Because man – the Arabs – undertook the Trust of the Quran/Islam -) “With the result that Allah has to punish the Hypocrites, men and women, and the unbelievers, men and women - - .” And Muslims works on behalf of Allah.
 27. 35/36: “But those who reject (Allah) – for them will be the Fire of Hell”. Not exactly compulsion on the surface, but at least a clear threat. We include it because this threat is repeated often, so it makes up a considerable psychological compulsion anyhow for anyone not sure Islam is wrong.
 28. 47/4: “Therefore, when ye meet the Unbelievers (in fight), smite at their necks; at length, when ye have thoroughly subdued them, bind a bond firmly (on them) - - .” Yes, unbelievers have to be killed or subdued. AND: According to our sources the words “(in fight)” do not exist in the Arab original - which makes the text one heck of a lot more sinister. **One extra point: According to our sources the words “in fight” is not in the**

original Arab text. If that is true, this verse is even far more serious. Far more.

29. 66/9: “O Prophet. Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” No pardon for “infidels” – compulsion makes more new Muslims than no compulsion - - - and loot is loot if Muhammad has to be firm against the ones that are stubborn in their infidelity.

(At least 21 contradictions including some threat for war, etc. + 8 (in reality many more) based on social and economical pressure, etc. – with the power of the sword in the background if one tried to protest against these compulsions = CONTRADICTED BY AT LEAST 29 POINTS/VERSES – OFTEN HARSH ONES. The surahs and Hadiths demanding lower status, “berufsverbot”- difficulties to get good jobs - are such kinds of pressure, with the sword in the background. The same with the jizya – extra tax – that sometimes could be brutal, in stark contradiction to what some Muslims like to tell).

2/256 is the most disused verse in all the Quran – and all the worse as each and every educated Muslim, and a large percentage of the uneducated know it is totally abrogated and invalid.

022 2/272: “It is not required of thee (O Messenger (Muhammad*)) to set them on the right path - - -.” This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

023 2/286: “(Prey) 'Our (Muslims'*) Lord (Allah*) Condemn us not - - -.” The word (Pray) is not to be found in the Arab original. Yusuf Ali has put it in to camouflage that it really is Muhammad that is speaking – an impossibility in a book from Heaven and may be older than 13.7 billion years. See 1/1-7 above. You will find the same contradiction at least in 1/1-7, 6/104, 6/114, 11/2-3, 19/36, 27/91, 47/10 and 51/50-51+. It contradicts each and every place in the Quran claiming this book is made by Allah alone, and even more so that the book is very old – especially old if it has existed since eternity (at least 13.7 billion years olds – the age of the Universe) – but that is impossible as angels are talking at least one place in the Quran, which means the book must be made after at least some angels were created. And as Muhammad also is speaking at least 6 places, it cannot be older than Muhammad even. Also see 6/114 below.

024 3/20: “If they (“infidels”*) do (become Muslims*), they are in right guidance, but if they turn back, thy duty is to convey the Message - - -.” This – that his duty was to convey the message (only), was deeply contradicted – and abrogated by at least those of these verses that came after number 3 (in 625 AD), and we add the ones of them that came before, too, because Islam says an older verse in clear cases can abrogate a younger one (it is the one exception from the standard rule that the newest abrogates the older ones). Anyhow it is a clear-cut contradiction. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191,

2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9.

This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

025 3/28: “Let not the believers take for friends or helpers unbelievers rather than believers: if any do that. In nothing will there be help from Allah - - -.” Social pressure, but may be meant defensive originally, as it is from 625 AD before Muhammad gained full control in 630 AD it is offensive today. This verse is contradicted (really made stricter) and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

026 3/42a “Behold the angels (plural*) said (when they came to tell Mary she was going to have the baby Jesus*)”. But:

1. 00a 19/17: “- - - We (Allah*) sent to her our angel (singular – to tell her she was going to have the baby Jesus*), and he appeared before her as a man in all respects.” Also 19/18 and 19/9 tells only about one. There is a distinct difference between one and three or more. (If there had been only two, Arab had used grammatical dualis, and been translated “our two angels”.

027 3/42b “Behold the angels (plural*) said (when they came to tell her she was going to have the baby Jesus*)”. But:

1. 12/109: “Nor did we send before thee (humanity, man*) (as Messengers) any but men.”
2. 16/43: “And before thee (Muhammad*) also the Messengers we sent were but men - - -.”
3. 21/7: “Before thee (Muhammad*), also, the messengers we sent were but men”.
4. 25/20: “And the messengers whom We (Allah*) sent before thee were all (men) - - -.”

Well, 3/42 - 6/130 - 11/69 – 11/77 – 11/81– 19/17b – 19/19 – 22/75 all say that not all were men. A nice little contradiction to 12/109 – 16/43 – 21/7 – 25/20 which all says all messengers were men.

(4 contradictions).

028 3/59: “He (Allah*) created him (Adam – as well as Jesus*) from dust.” This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tells man/Adam was made from mud, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tells man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapter about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reconed this verse contradicts 27 others, but minimum 11 contradictions.)

00a 3/67: “Abraham - - - bowed his will to Allah’s (which is Islam) - - -.” Possible contradictions. Abraham also is a good Muslim - hardly so in the main source about Abraham, the Bible (and remember; science has proved that the Bible is not falsified, in spite of Islam's never documented claims).

1. 6/14: “I (Muhammad*) am commanded to be the first of those who bow to Allah (in Islam)”. Very obviously he was not, according to the Quran there were many Muslims before him, included Abraham.
2. 6/163: (Muhammad said):“- - - I am the first of those who bow to His (Allah’s*) Will.” See 6/14 above.
3. 7/143: (Moses said*): “- - - and I am the first to believe.”
4. 39/12: (Muhammad said): “And I am commanded to be the first of those who bow to Allah in Islam”.

Obviously only one could be the first. (And actually also Abraham was contradicted, because both Adam and Noah were good Muslims according to Islam).

(4 contradictions)

029 3/85: “If anyone desires a religion other than Islam (submission to Allah), never will it be accepted of him - - -.” This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

030 3/148: “- - - and help us against those who resist Faith”. This is from 625 AD – the Medina period. It could have been meant as defensive help, but then one would have used “from”, not “against”. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

031 4/15: “If any of your women are guilty of lewdness (adultery*) - - - confine them to houses until death do claim them, or Allah ordain for them some (other) way”. (The last part of the sentence must be understood as a “way” metered out especially for each woman who gets such an “ordain”.) But contradiction - and abrogation - 1-2 years later – in 627 or 628 AD:

1. 24/3: “The woman and the man guilty of adultery or fornication – flog each of them with a hundred stripes - - -”.

It also must be added that in Islam there is a persistent rumour that about 100 verses disappeared and never made it into the Quran when Caliph Uthman had the official Quran made, and that one of the verses was one that demanded stoning for this crime. The fact that stoning for it is prescribed for it in Hadith, and that Hadith (Al-Bukhari) tells that at least once Muhammad himself took part in such a stoning, adds to this.

032 4/18: “Of no effect is the repentance of those who continue to do evil, until Death faces one of them, and he says, ‘Now I have repented:’” But there is at least one contradiction: Pharaoh screamed (another place in the Quran) as he was about to drown that now he believed in Allah, and this was the result:

1. 10/92: “This day (when the Egyptian army caught up with Moses and the Jews*) shall We (Allah*) save thee (Pharaoh’) in the body - - -.” The expression “in the body” should mean the same as “bodily” or “safe and (relatively at least) sound”. That may be true, as Ramses II did not drown in reality.

033 4/48: “Allah forgiveth not that partners should be set up with Him, - - -.” Contradicts at least this one:

1. 4/153: “Yet they (Moses’ Jews*) worshipped the (gold*) calf - - - even so We (Allah*) forgave them - - -” – which he said he would not/never do in 4/48.

034 4/62: “Those men (not good Muslims or apostates*) - - - keep clear of them, but admonish them, and speak to them a word to reach their very soul.” To keep clear of the not good Muslims, not to mention the possible apostates, was not the real – and shortly also not the official - line for a long time. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses demanding stricter actions: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

035 4/78: “All things are from Allah.” From other places in the Quran one knows that “all things” means everything that happens, is given, is taken, and anything else. Then 4/78 is clearly contradicted by 4/79: “- - - but whatever evil happens to thee, is from thy (own) soul”. In addition this sentence from 4/79 is wrong – there are the natural and other catastrophes and accidents, and there are other peoples’ and animals’ actions. In addition every verse telling that man has free will, is contradicted by this (and many other) verses – free will for man and predestination, and even omniscience are absolutely impossible to combine. Even Islam admits that it is impossible to combine, “but it has to be true as Allah says so in the Quran” (!!!!!) (“The Message of the Quran” 6/149, note 141).

036 4/79: “- - - but whatever evil happens to thee, is from thy (own) soul.” But:

1. 4/78: “All things are from Allah.” See remark to 4/78 just above.

037 4/81. “- - - so (Muslims*) keep clear of them (“infidels”/hypocrites*) - - -.” (626 AD) Social pressure, etc. also is pressure – especially when everybody knows it is backed by the sword if you protest. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses that demands stricter reactions (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

038 4/82: “Had it (the Quran*) been from other than Allah, they would surely have found therein much discrepancy (mistakes, abrogations, contradictions, unclear language, etc.*).” In this chapter this verse is quite a bit of irony. **And according to Islam’s way of thinking this verse alone proves that the Quran cannot be from an omniscient god.** Contradicted by all the contradictions and mistakes in the book.

039 4/84: “Then fight in Allah’s cause - - -.” One more verse that contradicts and abrogates many of the peaceful verses from mainly Mecca and early Medins (this is from 626 AD and the harsher religion Islam developed into). At least 10 contradictions.

040 4/90: “- - - if they withdraw from you but fight you not, and (instead) send you (guarantees of) peace, then Allah hath opened no way for you (to war against them.)” That this verse both is contradicted and abrogated is very clear from among other facts, the fact that all the 4 law schools of Islam agreed on that the fact that “infidels” were not Muslims, was reason enough for declaring an attack on them not only war, but holy war – jihad. This law was not even disputed until during the 1. half of the 20th century. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

**041 4/91: “If they (“infidels”*) withdraw not from you, and nor give you guarantees of peace (remember that in nearly all the conflicts, the Muslims were the aggressors*) besides restraining their hands, seize them and slay them wherever you get them - - -.” No comments about “No Compulsion in Religion”. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135c, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

042 4/116: “Allah forgiveth not (the sin of) joining other gods with Him; but He forgiveth whom He pleaseth other sins than this - - -.” This in praxis is identical to 4/48 above. See this.

043 4/140: “- - - when ye hear the Signs of Allah held in defiance and ridicule, ye are not to sit with them - - -”. Abrogated by being made much harsher by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threat, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

044 4/153: “Yet they (the Jews of Moses*) worshipped the (gold*) calf - - - even so We (Allah *) forgave them - - -.” That he should do so, is contradicted by:

1. 4/48: “Allah forgiveth not that partners should be set up with Him - - -.”
2. 4/116: “Allah forgiveth not (the sin of) joining other gods with Him - - -.”

(2 contradictions).

045 4/158: “Nay, Allah raised him (Jesus*) up to Himself (in Heaven*) - - -.” But Jesus is reconed by Islam and the Quran to be a false god to the Christians, and consequently this is contradicted by:

1. 21/98: “- - - and the (false) gods that ye worship besides Allah, are (but) fuel for Hell!” 4/158 says Jesus was no fuel for Hell.

046 5/5: “(Lawful unto you in marriage) are (not only) chaste women who are believers, but chaste women among the People of the Book”. Contradicts – and abrogates:

1. 2/221: “Do not marry unbelieving women (idolaters (is this word in the Arab text?)), until they believe”. Then at least Christian women should not be accepted according to 2/221, as they use religious icons, etc. If the word “idolaters” are not in the Arab text (text in () sometimes is explanation, sometimes specification – and sometime a “help” to the text to sound more correct) this goes for all Christian and Jewish women.

047 5/17: “In blasphemy indeed are those that say that Allah is Christ (= put another god by Allah’s side – the ultimate and unforgivable sin according to 4/48 and 4/116), the son of Mary.” (= the Christians). This is contradicted by – and abrogates:

1. 2/62: “- - - those who follow the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians any who believe in Allah and the Last Day, and work in righteousness, shall have their reward with their Lord - - -.”

048 5/28: “If thou (“infidels”, Cain*) dost stretch thy hand against me (Muslims, Abel*), it is not for me to stretch my hand against thee to slay thee - - -.” When you read this, remember that Muslims have few if any overall moral codexes. What they have to do is to look for “What did Muhammad say about such things?” If he has said something, they take that as a codex – good moral or not. If not, they have to look in the book: “Is there a parallel situation somewhere?” If they find – sometimes by stretching imagination – that is the way to act, or the alibi for how one wishes to act. Mind also that this verse is one of the very few in all the Quran that is in accordance with the teachings of Jesus – one of the very few. And it is totally “murdered” by abrogations. This verse is contradicted and abrogated and “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

049 5/33: “The punishment for those who wage war against Allah and his Messenger (Muhammad*) - - - is: execution, or crucifixion, or the cutting off of hands and feet from the

opposite sides, or exile from the land.” Remember that this surah is from 632 AD, and that nearly all wars and raids were wars of attack from the Muslims – even the battles of Badr, Uhud and Medina/the Trench were battles in a war of aggression started and kept alive by Muhammad and his raids for wealth – so mostly the victims who “fought war against Allah and his Messenger” were fighting in desperate and sheer self defence to defend themselves against the onslaught of Islam - - - and to defend themselves obviously was a great sin. Muslims attacked for gaining loot, land, slaves, power - - - and force Islam on their neighbours. In spite of Islam’s peaceful words, the local Arabs often only got two choices: Become Muslims or fight/die. A clear contradiction – and abrogation - of:

1. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion - - .” But “Allah and his Messenger” were waging war against them all the same – and at least Allah meant religion. (Also at this time Muslims mainly were fighting Arabs – and pagan Arabs as mentioned often only got two choices: Become Muslims or fight and die and have your women and children become slaves. Lay Muslims will protest wildly against this information, but not the educated ones – it is the plain and bloody truth that most of the Arabs were made Muslims by the sword (or by bribes and/or the possibility of looting).

050 5/48: “- - - so strive as in a race in all virtues.” In a race of all virtues you strive peacefully. In f.ex. 8/12 is terror and war and inhumanity. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

051 5/69: “Those who (believe in the Quran), and those who follows the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians – any who believe in Allah (here included Yahweh/God*) and the Last day, and work righteousness – on them shall be no fear, nor shall they grieve.” Contradicted by:

1. 3/85: “If anyone desires a religion other than Islam (submission to Allah), never will it be accepted of him - - .” This tells the full story.
2. 5/72: “They (Christians*) do blaspheme who say: ‘God is Christ the son of Mary.’ - - - and the Fire will be their abode.” To say that Jesus is divine is to put another god at Allah’s side – the ultimate and unforgivable sin according to 4/48 and 4/116.
3. 5/73: “They do blaspheme who say: Allah is one of three in a Trinity - - .” This was to put

two other gods at the side of Allah – two times the ultimate sin.

(3 contradictions).

052 5/72: “They (Christians*) do blaspheme who say: ‘God is Christ the son of Mary.’ - - - and the Fire will be their abode.” This clearly contradicts – and abrogates:

1. 2/62: “- - - those who follow the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians any who believe in Allah and the Last Day, and work in righteousness, shall have their reward with their Lord - - -.”
2. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion - - -.”
3. 5/69: “Those who (believe in the Quran), and those who follows the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians – any who believe in Allah (here included Yahweh/God*) and the Last day, and work righteousness – on them shall be no fear, nor shall they grieve.”

(3 contradictions)

053 5/73: “They do blaspheme who say: Allah is one of three in a Trinity - - -.” This was to put two other gods at the side of Allah – two times the ultimate sin. This contradicts – and abrogates:

1. 2/62: “- - - those who follow the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians any who believe in Allah and the Last Day, and work in righteousness, shall have their reward with their Lord - - -.”
2. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion - - -.”
3. 5/69: “Those who (believe in the Quran), and those who follows the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians – any who believe in Allah (here included Yahweh/God*) and the Last day, and work righteousness – on them shall be no fear, nor shall they grieve.”

(3 contradictions).

054 5/99: “The Messenger’s (Muhammad’s*) duty is but to proclaim (the Message) - - -.” This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes

many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

055 6/2: “He (Allah*) is it Who created you (man*) from clay - - -.” But: This is contradicted by 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tells man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tells man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 25 other verses. But minimum 10 contradictions.)

056 6/14: “But I (Muhammad*) am commanded to be the first of those who bow to Allah (in Islam) - - -“. This obviously is wrong according to Islam (though in reality it may be 100% correct - may be Muhammad was the very first Muslim), as the Quran claims that many were Muslims before Muhammad. (Muslims often claim - as so often without documentation - that what the Quran really means here, is the first of a group, in this case the Arabs. But for one thing at least the claimed prophets Hud and Salih were good Muslims according to the Quran, and both lived long before Muhammad - even before Moses, as Moses mentioned them according to the Quran. For another thing that is not what the Quran says - it nowhere indicates that it means the first of a group, but the first. And the language in the Quran is perfect and not to be misunderstood according to Islam. Besides: If the book here means something else than what is clearly said, how many other places in the Quran does it mean something other than what the text really says? Other Muslims claim it means the first in quality. But in a book with perfect and un mistakeable language that would be written "I am the best of those - - -".

Well, it is contradicted by at least:

1. 2/37: “Then learnt Adam from his Lord (Allah*) the words of inspiration (the Quran*) - - -.” It is clear that according to the Quran, Adam was the first Muslim (even though he according to science never existed, as man developed from earlier primates) – and then the first to bow to Allah in Islam.
2. 2/127-132: This is too long to quote, but it is clear that according to the Quran Abraham was a devote Muslim and bowed to Allah in Islam long before Muhammad. This verse thus clearly contradicts the verse 6/14 and some others in one of the two possible meanings of that verse.

3. 3/67: “Abraham - - - bowed his will to Allah’s (which is Islam) - - -.”
4. 4/163: “We (Allah*) have sent thee (Muhammad*) the inspiration, as We sent it to Noah and the messengers after him: We sent it to Abraham, Ishmael, Isaac, Jacob, and the tribes (the Jews?), to Jesus, Job, Jonah, Aaron, and Solomon, and to David We gave the Psalms.” It is very clear that according to the Quran there were many Muslims that bowed to Allah in Islam before Muhammad.
5. 7/143: “(Moses said*) “- - - and I am the first to believe.” Obviously only one could be the first.
6. 26/51: Pharaoh’s magicians said: “Only, our desire is that we shall but return to our Lord (Allah*), and that we may become foremost among the Believers”. One more group of devote Muslims (according to the Quran) practically 2000 years before Muhammad.

If Muhammad meant he was the first in time to bow to Allah, he may well have spoken the very truth if the Quran is wrong also on this point, but according to the Quran, what he in that case said, was very wrong.

If he meant he was the foremost among 'unequals', he may according to the Quran have been right - - - though persons like Abraham and Moses might have wanted a debate about just that – and with Jesus as a strong outsider (also he was a Muslim according to the Quran), as he clearly was a much greater prophet than Muhammad. Muhammad did not have the gift of making real prophesies – the minimum requirement for being a real prophet. Neither was he able to make or have made miracles. According to both the Bible and the Quran Jesus was good at both. And when Muhammad in reality was no prophet at all, whereas Jesus was a great one – still according to both books – there is no doubt who was the greatest prophet.

Islam/Muslims may debate Muhammad’s other titles – Messenger and Apostle – but as for who was the greatest prophet, there is no doubt at all in spite of all the claims from Islam.

(6 contradictions).

057 6/22 (630-632 AD): “No misfortune can happen on earth or in your souls but is recorded in a decree before We (Allah*) bring it into existence.” Contradicted by:

1. 8/30 (614-618 AD): “Whenever misfortune happens to you (humans*), it is because of the things your hands have wrought - - -”. Also see 76/30 (age unknown): “But ye (humans*) will not, except as Allah wills.”

But also see all the places where Muhammad tells his people that battle is not dangerous - and refusing to do battle is to no avail - as Allah has long since decided the hour of their death, and nothing they do or not do, can change that hour.

This point really goes to the heart of two facts in Islam:

1. Muhammad came to stress predestination more and more as the years went by in Medina - one can speculate if the reason was that he needed warriors.
2. And this is a serious point: THROUGH 1400 YEARS ISLAM HAS BEEN UNABLE TO EXPLAIN THE CONTRADICTING STATEMENTS THAT MAN HAS FREE WILL, AND THAT ALLAH DECIDES EVERYTHING. THE MORE OR LESS OFFICIAL POINT OF VIEW (THOUGH NOT AMONG THE UNEDUCATED ONES THAT HARDLY UNDERSTANDS THE PROBLEM) IS THAT THE TWO STATEMENTS ARE IMPOSSIBLE TO COMBINE, "BUT IT HAS TO BE TRUE AS IT IS SAID IN THE QURAN"(!!!). ALSO THE SENDING OF PEOPLE TO HELL FOR A FAIR PUNISHMENT COMBINED WITH THE "FACT" THAT ALLAH DECIDES EVERYTHING BY PREDESTINATION, IS IMPOSSIBLE TO COMBINE WITH THE PRESUMPTION OF A BENEVOLENT AND/OR GOOD AND FAIR GOD. Some Muslims back paddle very much on the point of predestination and try to explain that it is not real predestination - but the Quran is too clear on this.

058 6/91: "- - - then leave them (non-believers*) to plunge in vain discourse and trifling." But to leave them was what they had to do before they became strong enough to react strictly. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

059 6/106: "- - - and turn aside fromt hose who join gods with Allah." But to turn aside was what they had to do before they became strong enough to react strictly. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many

bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

060 6/108: "Reveile not ye those ehom they call upon besides Allah, lest they out of spite reveile Allah in their ignorance." This you could forget when Islam became strong enough to punish instead of being polite. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

061 6/121: "Eat not of (meats) on which Allah's name hath not been pronounced: that would be impiety." Contradicted and abrogated 11 years later (632 AD) by 5/5: "The food of the People of the Book (here the Bible = Jewa and Christians*) is lawful unto you - - -".

062 6/130: "O ye assembly of Jinns and men! Came there not unto you messengers (from Us, Allah*) from amongst you - - -." A rhetoric question demanding the answer "yes" – yes, there came Jinn messengers from Allah to the Jinns, and human messenger from Allah to the humans. And it is clearly contradicted by:

1. 21/7: "Before thee (Muhammad*), also, the messengers we sent were but men".
2. 25/20: "And the messengers whom We (Allah*) sent before thee were all (men) - - -".

Well, 6/130 says that at least not all were men. A nice little contradiction.

(2 contradictions).

063 6/51: "- - - they will be brought to (Judgement (= Day of Doom*)) before their Lord (Allah*): except for Him they will have no protector nor intercessor - - -." But:

1. 20/109: "On that Day (Day of Doom*) shall no intercession avail, except for those whom permission has been granted by (Allah) - - -." Here it is possible if Allah permits.
2. 34/23: "No intercession can avail in His (Allah's*) Presence (= on the Day of Doom*), except for whom He has granted permission." Intercession ok if Allah permits.
3. 43/86: "And those whom they invoke ("gods", saints*) besides Allah have no power of intercession – only he (has*) who bears witness to the Truth - - -." The word "he" cannot refer to Allah, because the Quran always use capital 1. letter ("His") then. But

according to the Quran the prophets and messengers are to be called forth “to witness to the truth”. “He” therefore must be referring to each and every prophet and messenger (or at least Muhammad - - - who the according to this verse have power to intercede.

Intercession is not impossible in spite of 6/51 – it only takes permission.

(3 contradictions)

064 6/60: “Leave alone those who take their religion to be mere play and amusement - - -“. You bet Muhammad did not leave them alone once he was powerful enough. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

065 6/66: “Not mine (Muhammad’s*) is the responsibility for arranging your (religious*) affairs.” This was in 621 AD – Muhammad got other ideas after 622 AD. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

066 6/70: “Leave alone those who take their religion to be mere play and amusement - - -“. Muhammad got more power – and other ideas – later. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

**067 6/104a: “Now have come to you, from your (Muslims/people*) Lord proofs - - - (but*) - - - I (Muhammad*) am not (here) to watch over your doings.” Once more it is clear that it is Muhammad himself that is speaking in the Quran – an impossibility and a clear contradiction of the Islamic claim that it is a copy of the Mother Book in the Heaven. See 1/1-7 above.

068 6/104b: “- - - I (Muhammad*) am not (here) to watch over your doings.” One year later Muhammad started to watch everybody’s’ doing, too – and strictly so little by little. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in

the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

069 6/107: “- - - but We (Allah*) made thee (Muhammad*) not to watch over their (“infidels”) doing - - -.” This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

070 6/112: “- - - so (Muhammad*) leave them (opponents*) and their inventions (gods*) alone.” This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

071 6/114: “Say ‘Shall I (Muhammad*) speak for another judge than Allah?’” The point here is that according to Ibn Warraq: “Why I am no Muslim” p.174, the word “Say” is not in the Arab text. Besides making a clear contradiction of claims many places in the Quran, it means two things:

1. It is not Allah, but Muhammad himself that is speaking. See 1/1-7 above.
2. Even a top Muslim translator like Abdullah Yusuf Ali “doctors” his translations a “tiny wee bit” to make the Quran sound more correct. How much more is “doctored” – and how much is “doctored” in translations of the Quran from lower quality translators? (And it was the same at least in 2/286.)

072 6/115: “- - - none can change His (Allah’s) Words - - -”. Well, he is contradicting himself, as he clearly changes it himself when something forces him to – try and fail? Or change his mind about more blood and injustice from 622 AD? – or because of other problems or things he has learnt?

1. 2/106: “None of our revelations do We (Allah*) abrogate or cause to be forgotten, But We substitute something better or similar”. Words can be changed.
2. 16/101: “When We (Allah*) substitute one revelation for another – and Allah knows best what He reveals - - -”. No doubt that he can change his own words, at least – when he did not do things right at once. (These two verses

– 2/106 and 16/101 – is the main fundament under Islam's rules for abrogation – making verses invalid when there are contradictions. See separate chapter about abrogation - next chapter after this, number II/9).

(2 contradictions).

073 6/130: "O ye assembly of Jinns and men! Came there not unto you messengers from amongst you - -?" Human messengers to man, Jinn messengers to Jinns. But it is contradicted by:

1. 12/109: "Nor did We (Allah*) send before thee (Muhammad*) (as Messengers) any but men, whom We did inspire – (men) living in human habitations." No Jinn messengers. Well, in this verse it is possible to explain it away with that it perhaps only is spoken about human habitats. That is not possible in the next two, because they are without (possible) reservations.
2. 16/43: "And before thee (Muhammad*) also the Messengers we sent were but men - - -".
3. 21/7: "Before thee (Muhammad), also, the messengers we sent were but men - - ."
4. 22/75: "Allah chooses Messengers from angles and from men - - -." But not from Jinns.
5. 25/20: "And the messengers whom We (Allah*) sent before thee (Muhammad) were all men - - -."

(5 contradictions).

074 6/137: "- - - but leave alone them (polytheists*) and their inventions." That was in peaceful 621 AD. It soon changed: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

*****075 6/149: "With Allah is the arguments that reaches home - - -". Which in plain language means that Allah has the final say in everything. Which means: Allah decides everything. "The Message of the Quran" explains this in its comment 141 to this surah (translated from Swedish):

"With other words: The real connection between Allah's knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and

man's relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true”.

This argument is the ultimate defeat for the very meaning behind the word “the Truth” - and for the very meaning of the word "logic". A man of the morally depraved character like the historical real Muhammad, has told an unproven and undocumented tale - - - and that is the ultimate truth also in the face of the absolutely impossible!! The ultimate contradiction! - - - and the ultimate abrogation of the free will in case.

The claim that man has free will contradicts the claim that Allah decides everything and knows everything contradict each others many places in the Quran. Also see separate chapters about predestination and about free will.

076 ****6/151a: This is a really strange contradiction: “Come, I (Muhammad*) will rehearse what Allah hath (really) prohibited you from – - (to*) - - be good to your parents - - -.” Read it once more: You are prohibited from being good to your parents!! – the opposite of what is said everywhere in the book. The Muslim sources I have found, all agree on that this is wrong – and actually we agree with them – this is so far out of the Quran’s style, that it must have been an accident.

BUT THIS MEANS THAT HERE YOU HAVE A CLEAR MISTAKE IN THE QURAN - CERTIFIED BY ISLAM AS A MISTAKE – to serve for free to any Muslim or non-Muslim claiming that the book is perfect and without mistakes “to the last comma”. Just ask them if they have ever red 6/151? (And ask if they are aware of that the comma did not exist in Arab when the Quran was written around 650 AD).

And: When this is wrong – how much more is wrong in the book? Also see 6/151a just below.

077 ****6/151b: This is another really strange contradiction: “Come, I (Muhammad*) will rehearse what Allah hath (really) prohibited you from – - (to*) - - kill not your children on a plea of want (poverty*) - - -.” Read it once more: You are prohibited from not to kill your children if you are poor!! – also this (see 6/151a just above) the opposite of what is said about treatment of children everywhere else in the book. The Muslim sources we have found, all agree on that also this is wrong – and actually we agree with them – this is so far out of the Quran’s style, that it must have been an accident.

But this means that here you have another clear mistake in the Quran – certified by Islam as a mistake – to serve for free to any Muslim or non-Muslim claiming that the book is perfect and without mistakes “to the last comma”. Just ask them if they have ever read 6/151? (And ask if they are aware of that the comma did not exist in Arab when the Quran was written around 650 AD). (6/151a is the best known of these 2.)

And: When this is wrong – how much more is wrong in the book?

078 6/158: “Wait ye (“infidels”*) : we (Muhammad*) too are waiting.” Yes, in 621 AD when this surah was released, Muhammad still had not enough military strength – then the slogan still was to take things easy. This changed later. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52,

33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

079 6/159: "Ad for those who divide their religion and break up into sects, thou hast no part in them in the least: their affair is with Allah - - ." Very strongly contradicted by reality - through the Islamic history many a sect have been persecuted, some even drowned in blood. Also contradicted and abrogated by the Quran itself: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

080 6/163: (Muhammad said) “- - - I am the first of those who bow to His (Allah’s*) Will.” Contradicted by Adam and Noah and Abraham and Moses and many others according to the Quran. At least 10 contradictions in the Quran. (Though Muhammad may well be right - he may easily have been the first Muslim).

081 7/12: “Thou (Allah*) didst create - - - him (Adam*) from clay.” This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tells man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tells man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapter about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 25 other verses. But minimum 10 contradictions.)

082 7/ 28: “Allah never commands what is shameful - - .” This is contradicted by several points in the Quran, f. ex.:

1. 2/230: “If a husband divorces his wife (irrevocably), he cannot, after that, remarry her until after she has married another husband and he has divorced her.” This situation is not common, but it does happen. In Islam the woman then has to prostitute herself in legal forms, to be permitted to do so (the intermediate marriage has to be a “fulfilled one).
2. Thieving/looting is “good and lawful.
3. Enslaving is “good and lawful”.

4. To rape any female slave or prisoner of war is “good and lawful” (if she is not pregnant).
5. Killing and murdering and war are not only good and lawful, but the best service to Allah.
6. A raped woman who cannot produce 4 male witnesses to the very act, is to be punished severely for indecency.

The Quran is right: The word is not “shameful”. The word is “perverse”.

083 7/54: “- - - Allah, Who created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth in six Days - - -.” Contradicting with:

1. 41/9-12: Here Allah used $2 + 4 + 2$ days = 8 days for the creation (Muslims claim that the two days for creating Earth is included in the 4 days. But the Quran is very clear: 2 days for creating Earth, then 4 days for creating what is on Earth, and finally 2 days for creating the 7 firmaments (wrong – there only is one, and even the way Muhammad thought it looked like, is an optical illusion). No doubt about what is written. (Some Muslims also try to tell that the Arab written word for day also may mean eon (the old Arab alphabet had no vowels or the points modern Arab use to signify special letters, and when one adds vowels, etc. as one likes, a lot is possible.) But there is little doubt that the spoken word Muhammad used to his congregation was “day” – and none of the accepted good translators use any other word. Aeons also make Allah and his capabilities a joke: 2/117: “When He (Allah*) decreed a matter, He said to it: ‘Be’ and it is” – should he use aeons for this small job?) We also should remind you of the contradiction with reality: To create the Universe has till now taken 13.7 billion years, and Earth 4.57 billion.

084 7/78: “So the earthquake took them (the people of Thamud*) unawares, and they lay prostrate (= dead*) in their homes in the morning”. Except that this is contradicted by:

1. 11/67: “The (mighty) Blast overtook the wrongdoers (the people of Thamud*), and they lay prostrate in their homes in the morning - - -.” A blast sounds like something from f. ex. an explosion.
2. 69/5: “But the Thamud – they were destroyed by a terrible Storm of thunder and lightning”.

You meet Muslims referring to the storms that “naturally follow earthquakes”. That is wrong – there is no – no – connection between earthquakes and storms, as they are caused by entirely different mechanisms. (To continue the song about “correcting” the Quran: Mr. Muhammad Asad in “The Message of) the Quran” has quietly and without comments changed 69/5 from “storm and lightning” to “earthquake”. An “al-Taqiyya” (lawful lie)? Al-Taqiyya is not only permitted, but ordered if necessary to defend or promote the religion.

2 contradictions.

085 7/82: “And his (Lot’s*) people (the people of Sodom and Gomorrah*) gave no answer but this: they said, ‘Drive them out of your city: these are indeed men who want to be clean and pure.’” This surah came ca. 621 AD. But may be the same year and not later than 624 AD the omniscient Allah had forgotten what he told, and now remembered it like this:

1. 29/29: “But his (Lot’s) people gave no answer but this: they said: ‘Bring us the wrath of Allah if thou tallest the truth’. They only gave one answer - - - but quite different in the two narrations.

086 7/87: “- - - hold yourselves (“infidels”*) in patience until Allah doth decide between us: for He is the best to decide.” This also was in 621 AD – not a good year for Muhammad, and he was – or pretended to be – peaceful. But: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

087 7/127: “He (Pharaoh*) said: ‘Their male children will we slay: (only) their females will we save alive - - -’. And it is clear that this is to start fast. But contradiction:

1. 2/49: “- - - We (Allah*) delivered you from the people of Pharaoh (who*) - - - slaughtered your sons - - -”.
2. 7/141: “- - - Pharaoh’s people - - - who slew your male children and saved alive the females - - -.” Both the Quran and the Bible tells more than one place that the killing of male babies started long before the situation in 7/127. The Bible tells that the killing of male babies was the reason for why the baby

Moses was set adrift on the Nile - a desperate try to save him (the Quran gives no real reason).

3. 14/6: "(Moses said about Pharaoh that he*) slaughtered your sons and let your women-folk live - - -."

(3 contradictions).

00b 7/133 (621 AD): "So we sent (plagues) on them: Wholesale death, locusts, lice, frogs, and blood: Signs openly self-explained" = 5 plagues or "signs". Contradicted by: 17/101 (621 AD or later): "To Moses We (Allah*) did give 9 Clear Signs". Muslims explain that the staff/snake of Moses and his white hand were two of the "signs" (7/107+108) - but they cannot be interpreted as plagues in case. The two last some say are the years of draught and shortness of crops (taken from the story about Joseph?), but this cannot be correct - even if no book say how long time Moses worked to get his people free, it is indicated that it took a limited time (this also is clear from the Bible), whereas years of shortage of crops took - well, years. (The Bible tells: The sign with the staff, like in the Quran, but followed by 10 plagues).

(4 contradictions).

088 7/141: "- - - Pharaoh's people - - - who slew your male children and saved alive the females - - -." Actually this is in accordance with what the Bible tells (The Quran tells the baby Moses was put to the Nile (20/39) but do not give a reason for such a crime. The Bible tells that it was because of a royal order to kill all Jewish boy babies, and his mother did it as a desperate try to save him). But it contradicts two verses in the Quran that told not that it was done, but that Pharaoh would start doing it during the confrontation with Moses. (Similar in 2/49 and 14/6).

1. 7/127: "He (Pharaoh*) said: 'Their male children will we slay: (only) their females will we save alive - - -'. And it is clear that this is to start fast.
2. 40/25: "Slay the sons of those who believe in him (Moses*)".

(2 contradictions).

089 7/143: "- - - I (Moses) am the first to believe." This one is similar to 6/14, except here it is Moses instead of Muhammad. But it contradicts the Quran's telling that f. ex. Adam, Abraham, Isaq, Ishmael, Jacob, Joseph, Hud, Salih, and others were believing Muslims before him.

090 7/149: The Jews of Moses saw they had erred when they had made the calf from gold, and repented before Moses came back from his meeting with Yahweh. Moses was angry, but the people already had repented. This was told in 621 AD. But later Allah must have remembered something wrong, because 5-6 years later he had told this:

1. 20/91: (Moses' Jews said): "We will not abandon this cult (the gold calf*) but we will

devote ourselves to it until Moses returns to us (from his meeting with Yahweh*)” Only one – if any – of these two tales can be true.

091 7/157: “- - - for he (Muhammad*) commands them (Muslims*) what is just, and forbids them what is evil”. The last statement is solidly contradicted both by reality and by the Quran. The book – not to mention Muhammad and his successors not only permitted but demanded murders and war, robbing and extortion, rape and enslavement, etc. It is possible to make such things “lawful” by sick laws. But there exists no way to make such inhumanities “good”, of “just” or “pure” – and this includes calling what in reality are raids for loot and slaves, or wars of aggression, for “self defence” or jihad (like Muslims have done legion times throughout history – with a real but minor detail or made up arguments as pretext).

092 7/179: “Many are the Jinn and men We (Allah*) have made for Hell - - -.” Here Allah tells that many of the men and Jinns (a kind of beings “borrowed” from Arab Pagan religion, legends and fairy tales) he had made, were made for Hell. But this is contradicted with that all men and Jinns are made to serve Allah:

1. 51/56: “I (Allah*) have only created jinns and men, that they may serve Me.”

093 7/182-183: “Those who reject Our (Allah's*) Signs - - - Respite will I grant unto them - - -”. But be sure; Muhammad did not always grant them respite after he became strong enough. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

094 7/188: “I (Muhammad*) am but a warner, and a bringer of glad tidings – to those who have faith.” A warner and a warrior. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

095 7/193: “- - - for you (Muhammad*) it is the same whether ye call them or ye hold your peace!” There was a change in the thinking the year after: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

096 7/199: “(Muhammad*) Hold to forgiveness (towards the “infidels”*)”. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

097 8/1: “(The spoils of war*) “are at the disposal of Allah and the Prophet (Muhammad*) - - .” All that was stolen and looted and robbed in raids and war included, slaves and prisoners for extorting money (this early – 624 AD – it mainly was raids to steal/rob/extort) belonged to Allah – represented by his envoy on earth: Muhammad. But his officers and warriors were too greedy to accept this – they wanted a share of the riches, too. So a bit later in the surah – a few “revelations” later (?) there came a contra order – and abrogation:

1. ***8/41: “And know that out of all the booty that ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah - - .” Muhammad had to give the warriors their share – except that he saved everything for himself in the cases where the victims gave in without fighting – then the warriors had done nothing and could not demand a share. Muhammad needed riches. Though it is likely it is true he was not much interested in much luxury, he needed riches for bribes/"gifts" and for waging war to get more power and more riches, included slaves – war cost money even if he paid his warriors with religion and religious promises, then all the same food and equipment cost money – and he needed riches for “gift” to attract more warriors/followers/believers - - - and some for social use (help to the poor). Muslims tries to explain away this contradiction and abrogation by saying that it all belongs to Allah/the leader, but 80% is given to the warriors/robbers. But the moment it becomes a right for the robbers in raids and warriors in war, the rank and file’s share no longer belongs to the leader.

**098 8/12: “I (Allah*) will instil terror in the hearts of the Unbelievers: Smite ye above their necks and smite all their fingertips off them (make them unable to use a bow*).” Simply: Let us fight the bad unbelievers. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59,

45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 89 contradictions).

099 8/30 (614-618 AD): “Whenever misfortune happens to you (humans*), it is because of the things your hands have wrought - -”. This is contradicted by f. ex. 6/22 (630-632 AD): “No misfortune can happen on earth or in your souls but is recorded in a decree before We (Allah*) bring it into existence.” And 76/30 (age unknown): “But ye (humans*) will not, except as Allah wills.” And also by all the places where Muhammad tells his people that battle is not dangerous - and refusing to do battle is to no avail - as Allah has long since decided the hour of their death, and nothing they do or not do, can change that hour. This point really goes to the heart of two facts in Islam:

1. Predestination - Muhammad even came to stress predestination more and more as the years went by in Medina - one can speculate if the reason was that he needed warriors.
2. And this is a serious point: **THROUGH 1400 YEARS ISLAM HAS BEEN UNABLE TO EXPLAIN THE CONTRADICTION BETWEEN THIS AND THE STATEMENTS THAT MAN HAS FREE WILL, AND THE STATEMENTS THAT ALLAH DECIDES EVERYTHING. THE MORE OR LESS OFFICIAL POINT OF VIEW (THOUGH NOT AMONG THE UNEDUCATED ONES THAT HARDLY UNDERSTANDS THE PROBLEM) IS THAT THE TWO STATEMENTS ARE IMPOSSIBLE TO COMBINE, “BUT IT HAS TO BE TRUE AS ALLAH SAYS SO IN THE QURAN”(!!!). ALSO THE SENDING PEOPLE TO HELL FOR A FAIR PUNISHMENT COMBINED WITH THE “FACT” THAT ALLAH DECIDES EVERYTHING BY PREDESTINATION, IS IMPOSSIBLE TO COMBINE WITH THE PRESUMPTION OF A BENEVOLENT AND/OR FAIR GOD.**

Some Muslims back paddle very much on the point of predestination and try to explain that it is not real predestination, without explaining what it then is - but the Quran is too clear on this.

**100 8/38-39: “Say to the Unbelievers, if (now) they desist (from Unbelief (become Muslims*)), their past would be forgiven them, but if they persist, the punishment for those before them is already (a matter of warning for them). And fight with them until there is no more tumult and oppression, and there prevail justice (sharia?*) and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere”. Well, this to say the least of it contradicts and abrogates and kills

1. 2/256: "Let there be no compulsion in Religion" and some other older, "soft" verses.
2. 5/28: "If thou ("infidels", Cain*) dost stretch thy hand against me (Muslims, Abel*), it is not for me to stretch my hand against thee to slay thee - - -." When you read this, remember that Muslims have few if any over all moral codexes. What they have to do is to look for "What did Muhammad say about such things?" If he has said something, they take that as a codex – good moral or not. If not, they have to look in the book: "Is there a parallel situation somewhere?" If they find – sometimes by stretching imagination – that is the way to act, or the alibi for how one wishes to act. Mind also that this verse is one of the very few in all the Quran that is in accordance with the teachings of Jesus – one of the very few. And it is totally "murdered" by abrogations.

(2 abrogations).

***101 8/39: "And fight them (the Unbelievers) until there is no more tumult and oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere - - -." Is it possible to get a more direct order about wars of religion and of suppression of the vanquished "infidels"? And if "justice" means sharia, that is not too good for the non-Muslims, to be polite. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 89 contradictions).

102 8/41: "And know that out of all the booty that ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah - - -.)" This contradicts and abrogates 8/1 a little above – see that one.

**103 8/60: "Against them (the unbelievers*) make ready your strength to the outmost of your power - - - to strike terror into (the hearts of) the (attacked – the Muslims nearly always were the aggressors in spite of peaceful words today*) enemies of Allah - - -." War for the religion – and riches and slaves and power – but "no compulsion in religion". This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a,

36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 89 contradictions).

****104 8/61:** “But if the enemy incline towards peace, do thou (Muhammad*) (also) incline towards peace - - -.” This was in 624 AD before Muhammad had won the final victory in Mecca and the undisputed upper hand – and before he seemingly was totally corrupted ethically and morally. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

###000 8/65: You often see claimed that this is abrogated by 8/66. But 8/65 is generally speaking, whereas 8/66 is "For the present - - -". An exception, not an abrogation. If you hunt for abrogations, beware of this: An exception that belongs to the meaning there and then, is an exception, not an abrogation (but if the exception is found another place and from another time, it is an abrogation). There are plenty of both contradictions and abrogations - you do not have to use the ones that in reality only is an exception meant as part of the meaning there and then.

****105 9/3 (631 AD):** “And proclaim a grievous penalty to those who reject Faith”. Muslims may say it is meant figuratively and for the next life. But it is said in connection to 9/5, which indicates this life. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

*****106 9/5:** “But when the forbidden months are past, then fight and slay the Pagans wherever ye find them, and seize them, beleaguer them, and lay in wait for them in every stratagem (of war).”

This is “the Verse of the Sword” – the single verse in the Quran that is reckoned to contradict and to abrogate most peaceful verses of all the harsh and inhuman and bloody verses from the Medina period. Muslim scholars say it abrogates 124 verses in the book, We have seen no numbers for how many it contradicts, but not much fewer (also all abrogations in reality are contradictions – that is why they are deemed abrogations: to make contradicting points invalid so that it is possible to live and behave according to the book - - - and to claim there is no contradictions in it as the contradicting points are abrogated, though this last fact Muslims never mention). There are so many verses that 9/5 contradicts, that we cannot quote all, but as all abrogations also was contradictions before one or more of the contradicting verses were abrogated (which is one of the reasons why it is nonsense when

some Muslims say abrogations do not exist – as that means Allah is not omniscient, but had to try and fail and/or changed his mind every now and then – in the Quran: Without abrogations you have a lot of serious contradictions in the book, and which is worst of contradictions that make the book impossible to follow in life, or abrogations that at least makes you wind your way through life?), you will find more in the chapter about abrogations. Surah 9, including 9/5, came in 631 AD and according to the Islamic rules for abrogations, that means that it overrides nearly everything in the Quran.

Some of the contradictions:

1. 2/109 abrogated of 9/5 “- - - but (Muslims*) forgive and overlook (Jews and Christians*) - - -.” But that was long before 9/5 and other hard verses.
2. 2/190: “Fight in the cause of Allah those who fight you, but do not transgress limits - - -.” 9/5 does not care much about limits.
3. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in Religion - - -.” This is the flagship for all Muslims who wants to impress non-Muslims about how peaceful and tolerant Islam is. But NB! NB! The surah says: “Let it be - - -.” It is an incitement or – judging also from 2/255 – more likely a wish, it is not something that was a reality. It is a hope or a goal for the future, it is not something that existed (or exists - just ask a fundamentalist or a terrorist) – and all the same most Muslims quote it like this: “There is no compulsion in Religion” - - - a small, little “Kitman” (lawful half-truth – an expression special for Islam together with “al-Taqiyya, “the lawful lie”) in addition to the obvious al-Taqiyya as there in many a Muslim country are compulsions towards non-Muslims, makes the Quran and the religion sound much more friendly and tolerant.

Do not tell that to 9/5.

4. 2/272: “It is not required of thee (O Messenger (Muhammad*)) to set them on the right path - - -.”
5. 3/20: “If they (“infidels”*) do (become Muslims*), they are in right guidance, but if they turn back, thy duty is to convey the Message - - -.” This – that his duty was to convey the message (only), was deeply contradicted – and abrogated - by at least those of these verses that came after number 3

(in 625 AD), and we add the ones of them that came before, too, because Islam says an older verse in clear cases can abrogate a younger one (it is the one exception from the standard rule that the newest abrogates the older ones). Anyhow it is a clear-cut contradiction – and abrogated by many verses.

6. 4/62: “Those men (not good Muslims or apostates*) - - - keep clear of them, but admonish them, and speak to them a word to reach their very soul.”
7. 4/81: “- - - so keep clear of them (hypocrites, “infidels”) - - -.” 9/5 instead wants you to kill them.
8. 4/90: “- - - if they withdraw from you but fight you not, and (instead) send you (guarantees of) peace, then Allah hath opened no way for you (to war against them.)” Guess if this changed later!!!
9. 5/28: “If thou (“infidels”, Cain*) dost stretch thy hand against me (Muslims, Abel*), it is not for me to stretch my hand against thee to slay thee - - -.”
10. 5/48: “- - - so strive as in a race in all virtues.” In a race you strive peacefully. 9/5 is terror and war and inhumanity.
11. 5/99: “The Messenger’s duty is but to proclaim (the Message) - - -.” Oh??
12. 6/60: “Leave alone those who take their religion to be mere play and amusement - - -.”
13. 6/66: “Not mine (Muhammad’s*) is the responsibility for arranging your (“infidels”*) affairs.” No, his responsibility only is to kill you or suppress you or force you to become a Muslim.
14. 6/70: “Leave alone those who take their religion to be mere play and amusement - - -.” No comment necessary.
15. 6 /104: “I (Muhammad*) am not (here) to watch over your doings”.
16. 6/107: “- - - but We (Allah*) made thee (Muhammad*) not to watch over their (“infidels”) doing - - -.”
17. 6/112: “- - - so (Muhammad*) leave them (opponents*) and their Invention (gods*) alone.”
18. 6/158: “Wait ye (“infidels”*) we (Muhammad*) too are waiting.” He later stopped waiting.

19. 7/87: “- - - hold yourselves in patience until Allah doth decide between us: for He is the best to decide.”
20. 7/188: “I (Muhammad*) am but a warner, and a bringer of glad tidings – to those who have faith.” A warner and a warrior.
21. 7/193: “- - - for you (Muhammad*) it is the same whether ye call them or ye hold your peace!”
22. 7/199: “(Muhammad*) Hold to forgiveness (towards the “infidels”*)).
23. 8/61: “But if the enemy incline towards peace, do thou (Muhammad*) (also) incline towards peace - - -.”
24. 9/68: “- - - therein (Hell*) shall they (hypocrites and “infidels”*) dwell: sufficient is it for them - - -.” Later it was not sufficient - Muhammad and his followers sent them there (or at least killed them).
25. 10/41: “My work to me (Muhammad*), and yours to you! Ye are free from responsibility for what I do, and I for what you do.” Later he took the responsibility of forcing them to believe.
26. 10/99: “Wilt thou (Muhammad*) then compel mankind, against their will, to believe!”
27. 10/102: “Wait ye “non-Muslims”) then: For I (Muhammad), to, will wait with you.”
28. 10/108: “- - - those (“infidels”*) who stray, do so for their own loss, and I (Muhammad*) am (not set) over you to arrange your affair.” Muhammad did not want them to arrange their own affairs, but later when he became stronger – then he wanted them to become Muslims and soldier, so that they could strengthen his own affairs of war and power.
29. 11/12: “But thou (Muhammad*) art only there to warn”. And then some more – at least after 622 AD.
30. 11/121: “Say to those who do not believe: ‘Do what ye can: we shall do our part’”. This was in 621 AD. Muhammad/Allah was/were still speaking peace – but not for much longer.
31. 13/40: “- - - thy (Muhammad’s*) duty is to make (the Message) reach them (“infidels”): It is Our (Allah’s*) part to call them to account.” Well, from 622 AD this also became a part of the "duty" of Muhammad and his men.

32. 15/3: "Leave them (the disbelievers*) alone, to enjoy (the good things of this life) and to please themselves - - ." This was in 621 AD. It did not take long before Allah needed to change and contradict his word, when he started to change his rather peaceful religion to one of inhumanity and blood (luckily many Muslims do not live according to those parts if the Quran).
33. 15/94: "- - - turn away from those who join false gods with Allah."
34. 16/35: "But what is the mission of the Messengers but to preach the Clear Message?" Surah 16 is one of the very last surahs from Mecca – months later the contents started to change, and contradictions – and abrogations – were necessary for the changes to a war religion. Then - was the message clear in 622 AD?
35. 16/82: "- - - thy (Muhammad's) duty is only to preach the clear Message." This was just months before Muhammad fled from Mecca in 622 AD. But this a little after he came to Medina and started to gain power:
36. 16/125: "Invite (all) to the Way of thy Lord (Allah*) with wisdom and beautiful preaching; and argue with them in ways that are best and most gracious - - -."
37. 16/126: "And if ye do catch them out, catch them out no worse than they catch you out: but if ye show patience, that is indeed the best (course) for those who are patient."
38. 16/127: "And do thou (Muhammad*) be patient, for thou patience is but from Allah; nor grieve over them: and distress not thyself because of their plots."
39. 17/54: "We (Allah*) have not sent thee (Muhammad*) to be a disposer of their ("infidels"*) affairs for them". Allah or Muhammad started to change his mind about this one year later – in 622 AD – when Muhammad started to gain enough military power to decide "their" religion for them. (In spite of what Islam likes to tell, Islam to a large degree was introduced by the sword – and by the wish for taking part in the looting/robbing/stealing and slave taking – in Arabia).
40. 18/29: "- - - let him who will, believe, and let him who will, reject (it) - - -." Guess if this

- peaceful line from the last year in Mecca was short-lived before it was abrogated by bloodier ones from Medina!
41. 18/56: “We (Allah*) only sent the messengers to give glad tidings - - -.” At least the self proclaimed messenger started to change his mind shortly after he came to Medina:
 42. 19/39: “But warn them of the Day of Distress - - -.” Here in ca. 615 AD Muhammad should just warn them. The picture changed somewhat as he gained more power some years later.
 43. 20/130: “Therefore (Muhammad/Muslims*) be patient with what they (“infidels”) say - - -.” That was Muhammad’s tone in Mecca 615 AD or before – and until his flight to Medina in 622 AD. It changed quite a lot from 622 AD and onwards and contradicted quite a lot of the mild words from the Mecca period.
 44. 21/107: “We (Allah*) sent thee (Muhammad*) not, but for a Mercy for all creatures.” Muhammad was not much of a mercy to the world – read the surahs from Medina. Neither was he a Mercy for all Muslims – read the surahs from Medina + the verses about women, law, slavery, not to mention the to a large part inhuman ethical and moral codexes and war.
 45. 21/112: “(Allah*) is the One Whose assistance should be sought against blasphemies.” Well, that was in 621 (?) AD. After 622 AD the sword was handier – blasphemy soon carried a death penalty - - - and there came a number of contradicting texts.
 46. 22/49: “I (Muhammad*) am (sent) to you (men*) only to give a Clear Warning - - -.” Well this was ca. 616 AD. But from some 6 years later it was no longer only a warning, but the sword - - - and a lot of contradictions and abrogations in the texts and the teaching.
 47. 22/68: “If they (“infidels”) do wrangle with thee, say. ‘Allah knows best what it is ye are doing’ – and leave them alone. This was ca. 616 AD. But from some 6 years later and more came lots of contradictions and abrogations.
 48. 23/54: “But leave them (“infidels”*) in their confused ignorance for a time”. This was in 621 or 622 AD, shortly before his –

- Muhammad's – flight to Medina. When he started to become military strong enough, it was finish with leaving them alone – and a lot of contradictions and abrogations in the teachings and in the religion – from peace to inhumanity and war.
49. 23/96: “Repel evil with what is best”. Later it became: Repel evil with evil – do against “infidel” like they do against you – at least when it comes to the bad things. Further comments identical to 23/54 just above.
 50. 24/54: “- - - if ye (people*) turn away (from Muhammad*), he is only responsible for the duty placed on him, and ye for that place on you.”
 51. 26/216: “I (Muhammad*) am free (of responsibility) for what ye (“infidels”*) do!” This was in Mecca ca. 615 – 616 AD. The tone rapidly grew more unfriendly after 622 AD when he grew military strong – and the teachings needed some “adjustments” to fit a war religion = contradictions and abrogations:
 52. 27/92: “I (Muhammad*) am only a Warner”. That was in 615 – 616 AD. From 622 he fast became a strongman, warlord and dictator – and more scriptures with contradictions and abrogations appeared. But much of the older verses were abrogated and contradicted when Muhammad grew military strong after 622 AD and the religion was changed to one of war and conquest.
 53. 28/50: But if they (“infidels”*) hearken not to thee (Muhammad*), know that they only follow their own lusts - - -.”
 54. 28/55: “To us (Muslims*) our deeds, and to you (“infidels”*) yours; peace be to you - - -.” Mecca 621 or 622 AD carried a much more peaceful tone than after Muhammad gained strength from 622 – 624 AD and needed a religion more fit for robberies, raids and war – and got it from Allah (or was it Allah who wanted more blood than before?) – resulting in contradiction with and abrogation of the old teachings.
 55. 29/18: “- - - the duty of the messenger is only to preach publicly (and clearly).” Well, as Muhammad grew more powerful, so did his wish for controlling the locals’ and later the Arabs’ lives and religious ideas - - - force and punishment became means to a goal. With the

- necessary changes in the religion, and contradictions and necessary abrogations compared to the more peaceful 12 years in Mecca.
56. 29/18: “- - - the duty of the messenger is only to preach publicly (and clearly).” Well, as Muhammad grew more powerful, so did his wish for controlling the locals’ and later the Arabs’ lives and religious ideas - - - force and punishment became means to a goal. With the necessary changes in the religion, and contradictions and necessary abrogations compared to the more peaceful 12 years in Mecca.
 57. 29/46: “And dispute ye not with the People of the Book - - -.” No comments – but read 9/29 and 9/5 once more.
 58. 32/30: “So turn away from them and wait - - -.” When Muhammad grew more powerful, there was little waiting. The rest of the Arabian peninsula mainly was turned Muslim by the sword – and some by “gifts” and promises of looted riches – all of which demanded changes in the religion (or was it the other way around, initiated by a god who found his original religion was not good enough – or too little blood and human tragedy?) which caused contradictions between the old and the new version of Islam – and also abrogations naturally
 59. 34/25: “Ye (“infidels”) shall not be questioned as to our sins, nor shall we be questioned as to what you do.” This may mean something like “we prefer to live and let live” and was one of the many more peaceful verses that became overruled – contradicted and abrogated – when Muhammad gained more power (it is from ca. or a little after 620 AD.)
 60. 34/28: “We (Allah*) have not sent thee (Muhammad*) but as a universal (Messenger) to men giving them glad tidings - - -.”
 61. 35/23: “Thou (Muhammad*) art no other than a warner.” No, around 615 – 616 AD he was may be only that. But it changed later – from a warner to an enforcer and a robber baron. With the corresponding changes of the religion – and abrogations of and contradictions to the old sayings, like this one.

62. 35/24a: “Verily We (Allah*) have sent thee (Muhammad*) in truth as a bearer of Glad Tidings and as a warner - - -.” As for glad tidings, that only goes for the Muslims, and for far from all of them even.
63. 36/17: “And our (Muhammad’s*) duty to proclaim the clear message.” Once more something from Mecca (ca. 615 – 616 AD), that was “killed” by “The verse of the Sword (9/5) and a number of others when later Muhammad also became – or decided that he also was – an enforcer.
64. 39/41: “Nor art thou (Muhammad*) set over them (“infidels”) to dispose of their affairs.” But 5 – 7 years later, when Muhammad started to gain power from 622 AD on, that changed – he became an overseer, enforcer and robber baron – and later a warlord - - - and rules/religion had to change. Or was it the other way around – that it was Allah who changed his mind and wanted more inhumanity, immoral action, and blood? Anyhow the result was contradictions and abrogations compared to the old.
65. 41/34: “Repel (Evil) with what is better (Good*); then will he between whom and thee was hatred become as it were thy friend and intimate”.
66. 42/6: “- - - thou (Muhammad*) art not the disposer of their affairs.” No, not around 614 – 618 AD. But after 622 AD he became quite a lot, included an enforcer – and verses like this were both contradicted and abrogated.
67. 42/15: “There is no contention between us (Muslims*) and you (“infidels”).” May be not in 614 – 618 AD. But later it was the power class = Muslims (with Muhammad as dictator), and non-Muslims “thoroughly subdued” - - - and with the religion a lot changed = contradictions and abrogations in the Quran.
68. 42/48: “Thy (Muhammad’s) duty is but to convey (the Message (the Quran* - or the peaceful parts that existed in 614 – 618 AD*))”. From some years later on, Islam found some of their duties to be more brutal enforcers, so among other verses this one and a lot more were contradicted and abrogated.

69. 43/83: "So leave them ("infidels"*) to babble and play (with their vanities) - - -."
Comments like 42/48 just above.
70. 43/89: "But turn away from them, and say 'Peace.'" Comments like 43/48 above.
71. 44/59: "So wait thou (Muhammad*) and watch; for they (people*) (too) are waiting". Here in the peaceful religion from the middle of the Mecca periode, Muhammad should wait and see. He became stricter some 10 years later – much stricter.
72. 45/14: "Tell those who believe, to forgive those who do not look forward to the Days of Allah (Day of Doom*)." But the word "forgive" was slowly forgotten after 622 AD – when they also took on the duty of also being enforcers.
73. 46/9: "- - - I (Muhammad*) am but a Warner, open and clear." Yes, in 620 AD he only was a self proclaimed warner. Things changed and verses were really abrogated when he got more power a few years later.
74. 46/135a: "(Muhammad*) - - - be in no haste about the (unbelievers) - - -." When he gained power he got more haste – f. ex. the reluctant Arabs (and a lot of others) that were not won by gifts and free plundering/enslaving, were won by the sword – in stark contradiction to what Muslims like to tell. "Become Muslim or fight and die!"
75. 46/135b: "((Thine (duty Muhammad is*) but) to proclaim the Message (the Quran*)." This was in 620 AD. The changes came in and after 622 AD.
76. 50/39: "Bear, then, with patience, all that they ("infidels"*) say - - -." The patience became much less talked about from one year later on (622 AD).
77. **50/45: "We (Allah*) know best what they (the "infidels"*) say; and thou (Muhammad*) art not one to overawe them by force."
Knowing the later history, this verse is a big, ironic or sardonic joke. This surah is from 614 AD
78. 51/50-51b: "I (Muhammad*) am from Him (Allah*) a Warner to you (Muslims*), clear and open. And make not another (person/thing/idea*) an object of worship with Allah: I am from Him a Warner to you, clear and open!" This is from Mecca 620.

- Muhammad is still military weak – and still only a warner. Later he became an enforcer (much of Arabia became Muslims on the point of the sword):
79. 51/54: “So (Muhammad*) turn away from them (“infidels”*) - - -.” One more point that was contradicted and abrogated when Muhammad grew military strong from 2 years later on.
 80. 52/45: “So (Muhammad/Muslims*) leave them (“infidels”*) alone until they encounter that Day - - -.” Leave them alone till the Day of Doom. But neither Muhammad nor his successors left them alone as soon as Islam was military strong enough. **And has Islam at any time ever after left their surroundings alone in periods when Islam was military strong?**
 81. 52/47: “And verily, for those who do wrong (“infidels”*), there is another punishment besides this (that in the long run they will loose – and meet the other punishment: Hell*)” A comforting thought at the difficult end of the Mecca period - so just leave them alone. (A confirmation of 52/45, really).
 82. 53/29: “Therefore shun those who turn away from Our (Muhammad’s*) Message - - -.” That was Muhammad’s words around 612 – 615 AD. 10 years later the “melody” changed.
 83. 67/26: “- - - I (Muhammad*) am (sent) only to warn plainly in public.” But 3 - 4 years later (from 622 AD) he started to take on more dirty and inhuman jobs, too.
 84. 73/10: “And have patience with what they say, and leave them with noble (dignity).” This is an early surah (611 – 614 AD). Muhammad has little or no real power, and is a peaceful preacher. Both he and the religion showed other faces when he gained power – or may be Allah wanted more blood and gore and suffering from 622 AD on.
 85. 73/11: “And leave Me (Allah*) (alone with those) in possession of the good things of life, who (yet) deny the Truth, and bear with them for a little while.” That little while lasted exactly till Muhammad gained enough military power – then he (or Allah*) went for a stricter regime.

86. 79/45: “Thou (Muhammad*) art but a Warner - - -.” And he stayed like that - - - until he grew powerful enough to do more than warning – f. ex. enforcing and empire-building. And it is a question who changed his mind around 622 AD – Allah or Muhammad? And who changed the religion – Allah or Muhammad? That chance demanded that the relatively peaceful religion from the 12 years in Mecca had to be both contradicted and abrogated on many a point.
87. 86/17: “Therefore grant a delay to the Unbelievers: give respite to them gently (for a while). Guess if this one from 614 AD was abrogated when Muhammad grew more powerful!!
88. 88/22: “Thou (Muhammad*) art not to manage (men’s) (religious*) affairs - - -.” One more verse that was abrogated of the more powerful Muhammad – or Allah – later.
89. 109/6: “To you (non-Muslim*) be your ways (in religion*), and to me (Muhammad or Muslims*) mine.” It is typical that Muhammad and Islam were peaceful in Mecca – they were not strong enough for anything else. And besides it is possible Muhammad meant it like that, but was destroyed morally by his success in Medina later, like many scientists believe.

(Under 9/5 we only have mostly taken the ones that not only are contradicted, but also abrogated by 9/5. As we here have 91 (2-3 added compared with abrogated) that are abrogated and contradicted by 9/5, that means we are 33 short according to some Islamic scholars: They say 9/5 abrogates 124 milder verses.)

107 9/14: “Fight them (the not good Muslims*), and Allah will punish them by your hands (why was he unable to do it himself?*), cover them with shame - - -.” This at least contradicts – and abrogates:

1. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in Religion.”
2. 00b 5/28: “If thou (“infidels”, Cain*) dost stretch thy hand against me (Muslims, Abel*), it is not for me to stretch my hand against thee to slay thee - - -.” When you read this, remember that Muslims have few if any over all moral codexes. What they have to do is to look for “What did Muhammad say about such things?” If he has said something, they take that as a codex – good moral or not.

If not, they have to look in the book: “Is there a parallel situation somewhere?” If they find – sometimes by stretching imagination – that is the way to act, or the alibi for how one wishes to act. Mind also that this verse is one of the very few in all the Quran that is in accordance with the teachings of Jesus – one of the very few. And it is totally “murdered” by abrogations. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

108 9/17: “It is not for such as join gods with Allah (= God/Yahweh here*) - - - in Fire shall they dwell”. No hope for Christians with their Jesus – in spite of 2/62.

2/62: “Those who believe (in our Quran), and those who follow the Jewish (scriptures) and the Christians – any who believe in Allah (= God/Yahweh here*) and the Last Day, and work righteousness, shall have their reward with their Lord (go to Heaven*) - - -”.

109 9/23: “Take not for protectors your father and your brothers if they love infidelity above Faith (= are not Muslims*); if any of you do so, they do wrong.” Even today some sects use this technique – break as much as possible of the contact and stop as many as possible of the impulses from the outside. “We want only our ideas to influence our proselytes and followers.” It of course is a way of stopping correcting thoughts and facts. And it so definitely is mental and social pressure – compulsion. It at least is contradicted by:

1. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion - - -”

***110 9/29: “Fight those who believe not in Allah, nor the Last Day, nor holds that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and his Prophet (Muhammad*), not acknowledge the religion of the Truth (even if they are) of the People of the Book (Jews and Christians mainly*), until they pay the jizya (“infidel”-tax where Islam has set no upper limit,

and that frequently through the history has been very high*) with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued”. Conquer the infidels and then let them live like Negroes under apartheid in South Africa or in the southern states in USA in the early 1900s - - - the ones that were not taken into slavery – especially the women. Yes, no compulsion – neither by the sword first, nor by destroyed economy and social life, etc. after the defeat. But all this contradicts – and abrogates – at least:

1. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion”. This is the flagship for all Muslims who wants to impress non-Muslims about how peaceful and tolerant Islam is. But NB! NB! The surah says: “Let it be - - -.” It is an incitement or – judging also from 2/255 – more likely a wish, it is not something that they had. It is a hope or a goal for the future, it is not something that exist – and all the same most Muslims quote it like this: “There is no compulsion in Religion” - - - a small, little “Kitman” (lawful half-truth – an expression special for Islam together with “al-Taqiyya, “the lawful lie) makes the Quran and the religion sound much more friendly and tolerant.
2. 5/28: “If thou (“infidels”, Cain*) dost stretch thy hand against me (Muslims, Abel*), it is not for me to stretch my hand against thee to slay thee - - -.” When you read this, remember that Muslims have few if any over all codexes. What they have to do is to look for “What did Muhammad say about such things?” If he has said something, they take that as a codex – good moral or not. If not, they have to look in the book: “Is there a parallel situation somewhere?” If they find – sometimes by stretching imagination – that is the way to act, or the alibi for how one wishes to act. Mind also that this verse is one of the very few in all the Quran that is in accordance with the teachings of Jesus – one of the very few. And it is totally “murdered” by abrogations.
3. 29/46: “And dispute ye not with the People of the Book - - -.” “No comments – but read 9/29 once more.

This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 89 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54,

23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

111 9/33: “It is He (Allah*) Who hath sent his Messenger with Guidance and the Religion of the Truth, to proclaim it over all religion, even though the Pagans may detest it”. With plain words: Accept it whether you like it or not – as there is “no Compulsion in Religion.” The goal is worldwide total domination. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

112 9/66: “If We (Allah*) pardons some of you (apostates from Islam*) - - -.” To leave Islam is one of the deadly sins and to be pardoned without regretting deeply is impossible. Contradicted by a number of the verses under 2/256 - see this. More about this verse under verse 9/5 in next chapter: Abrogations.

(Contradicts many verses – at least 10 contradictions).

113 9/68: “- - - therein (Hell*) shall they (hypocrites and “infidels”*) dwell: sufficient is it for them - - -.” No, according to some verses in the Quran, they also deserve punishment by the Muslims of their world. Contradicted by a number of the verses under 2/256 - see this. More about this verse under verse 9/5 in next chapter: Abrogations.

(Contradicts many verses – at least 10 contradictions.)

114 9/71: “The Believers, men and women, are protectors (Arab: wali*) of one another - - -.” But contradictions:

1. 9/116: “Except for Him (Allah*) ye have no protector (Arab: wali*) nor helper.”
2. 32/4: “- - - ye have none, besides Him (Allah*) to protect or intercede (for you) - - - .”
3. 42/28: “And He (Allah*) is the Protector (Arab: wali*) - - - .”

(3 contradictions).

115 9/73: “O Prophet! Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” The highest leader and of course his followers should strive hard against the “infidels” – but “No Compulsion in Religion”. Well, at least it is good propaganda that proves how peaceful the Quran is and that hard means are accepted, yes demanded from the god.

This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

116 9/116: “Except for Him (Allah*) ye have no protector (Arab: wali*) nor helper.” Well, it is contradicted by:

1. 9/71: “The Believers, men and women, are protectors (Arab: wali*) of one another - - -.”

117 9/123: “O ye who believe! Fight the unbelievers who gird you about, and let them find firmness in you - - -.” One more clear order for blood, suppression and war. But it contradicts – and abrogates most of the “soft” verses – mainly from Mecca - f. ex: most of the ones listed under 9/5 (see this): This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

118 10/3: “- - - Allah, Who created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth in six days - - -.” But this is contradicting with:

1. 41/9-12: Here Allah used 2 + 4 + 2 days = 8 days for the creation (Muslims claims that the two days for creating Earth is included in the 4 days. But the Quran is very clear: 2 days for creating Earth, then 4 days for creating what is on Earth, and finally 2 days for creating the 7 firmaments (wrong – there only is one, and even that in a way is an optical illusion). No doubt about what is written. (Some Muslims also tries to tell that the Arab written word for day also may mean aeon (the old Arab alphabet had no vowels or the points modern Arab use to signify special letters, and when one adds vowels, etc. as one likes a lot is possible.) But there is little doubt that the spoken word Muhammad used to his congregation was “day” – and none of the

accepted good translators uses any other word. Aeons also makes Allah and his capabilities to a joke: 2/117: “When He (Allah*) decreeth a matter, He said to it: ‘Be’ and it is” – should he use aeons for this small job?) We also should remind you that this verse contradicts reality quite a lot: Creation of the universe has till now taken 13.7 billion years, and of Earth 4.6 billion - both processes are still continuing.

119 10/35: “It is (only*) Allah who gives guidance towards the Truth.” But this is contradicted by the fact that he is not reliable – he also can lead you astray. It actually collides with all the verses that tell Allah leads to Heaven whom he wants, and leads astray whom he wants. F. ex:

120 14/4: “- - - Allah leaves straying those whom He pleases, and guides whom he pleases - - -.”

121 10/41: “My work to me (Muhammad*), and yours to you! Ye are free from responsibility for what I do, and I for what you do.” This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

122 10/47: “To every people (was sent) a Messenger - - -.” Contradicted by:

1. 28/46: “Yet (art thou (Muhammad*) sent) as a Mercy from thy Lord (Allah*), to give warning to a people to whom no warner had come before thee - - -.”
2. 32/3: “Nay, it is the Truth from thy (Muhammad’s*) Lord (Allah*), that thou mayest admonish to a people to whom no warner has come before thee - - -.”
3. 34/44: “But We (Allah*) had not given them (Arabs’*) Books which they could study, nor sent messengers to them before thee (Muhammad*) - - -.”
4. 36/6: “In order that thou (Muhammad*) mayest admonish a people (the Arabs*) whose fathers had received no admonition - - -.”

Which verse(s) is/are wrong?

(4 contradictions.)

123 ***10/64: “Hereafter, no change can there be in the Words of Allah.” For one thing this sentence has serious effect for the ones who say that predestination in the Quran, is not real predestination – if Allah has said/thought/written something, no free will of man can change anything - - - which means there is no free will, and it is predestined as sure as carved in stone. But more relevant just here is that there were many and sometimes large changes in Allah’s words after this “revelation” in 621 AD and just one year later Muhammad/Allah(?) started to change the religion totally and fundamentally (from peace to a war religion).

The main change was that the entire religion was changed from something peaceful, to a religion of hate, suppression, inhumanities and blood. But there were a lot of other changes in what Allah (?) had said – all the contradictions that had to be adjusted for, and all the abrogations (sorry; substitutions – another, but nicer name for just the same). So many abrogations, that they demanded explanations:

1. ***00a 2/106: “None of our revelations do We (Allah*) abrogate or cause to be forgotten, But We substitute something better or similar”. Well, this is a contradiction – and an abrogation – in itself. This actually is one of the verses behind the praxis of abrogation in Islam. It may also be one of the reasons – may be the main reason – why abrogated verses were included by Caliph Uthman in the Quran originally – they should not be abrogated in the meaning that they should be forgotten. But abrogations are absolutely necessary in Islam, because there are so many contradictions, that the situation would be impossible unless they could be eliminated by making a number of them invalid. See separate chapter about abrogation. NB: Surah 2 from which this verse is taken “arrived” in 622-624 AD. Allah’s words from 621 AD had to be dismissed rather quickly and on a large scale – the change to a robber baron's and later war lord's religion had started.
2. ***00b 16/101: “When We (Allah*) substitute one revelation for another - - -.” Yes, to “substitute” definitely sounds better than to “abrogate” – but the only difference is that “substitute” is daily English, whereas “abrogate” derives from Latin. The meaning is just the same. And this verse proves that Allah had to start “substituting” his proud words from 621 AD, maximum one – 1 – year later, because surah 16 is from ca. 622 AD. Allah’s (?) words are for the eternity – but not for long eternities when it is a tempting idea to start stealing and robbing,

and it may be wise to have a war religion instead of a peaceful one.

(2 contradictions.)

124 10/92: “This day (when the Egyptian army caught up with Moses and the Jews*) shall We (Allah*) save thee (Pharaoh*) in the body - - -.” The expression “in the body” should mean the same as “bodily” or “safe and (relatively at least) sound”. That may be true, as Ramses II did not drown. But it strongly contradicts other verses in the Quran that tells that he drowned. It also must be said that to save the Pharaoh in the very moment of death, would contradict 4/18, that tells it is too late to repent when you know you are about to die.

1. 17/103: “- - - but We (Allah*) drowned him (Pharaoh) and all that were with him.”
2. 28/40: “- - - and We (Allah*) flung them (Pharaoh and his men*) into the sea: now behold what was the End of those who did wrong!”
3. 43/55: “- - - and We (Allah*) drowned them all (Pharaoh and his men*) .”

(3 contradictions).

125 10/99: “Wilt thou (Muhammad*) then compel mankind, against their will, to believe!” A rhetoric question that expects “no” for an answer. But that was in 621 AD. Thing changed after 622 AD. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

126 10/108: “- - - those (“infidels”*) who stray, do so for their own loss, and I (Muhammad*) am (not set) over you to arrange your affair.” Muhammad did not want them to arrange their own affairs later when he became stronger – then he wanted them to become Muslims and soldier, so that they could strengthen his own affairs of war and power. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

127 11/2-3: “‘Say’: Verily, I (Muhammad*) am (sent) onto you from Him (Allah*) - - -.” But the word “Say” according to Ibn Warraq is not in the Arab text. Then we have just the same situation like in 2/286 and some other places: Muhammad is speaking in the claimed age-old book. See 2/286.

128 11/7: “He (Allah*) is it Who created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth in six Days”. But:

1. 41/9-12: Here Allah used $2 + 4 + 2$ days = 8 days for the creation (Muslims claims that the two days for creating Earth is included in the 4 days. But the Quran is very clear: 2 days for creating Earth, then 4 days for creating what is on Earth, and finally 2 days for creating the 7 firmaments (wrong – there only is one, and even that in a way is an optical illusion). No doubt about what is written. (Some Muslims also tries to tell that the Arab written word for day also may mean aeon (the old Arab alphabet had no vowels or the points modern Arab use to signify special letters, and when one adds vowels, etc. as one likes a lot is possible.) But there is little doubt that the spoken word Muhammad used to his congregation was “day” – and none of the accepted good translators use any other word. Aeons also makes Allah and his capabilities to a joke: 2/117: “When He (Allah*) decreeth a matter, He said to it: ‘Be’ and it is” – should he use aeons for this small job?) We also should remind you that this verse contradicts reality quite a lot: Creation of the universe has till now taken 13.7 billion years, and of Earth 4.6 billion - both processes are still continuing.

129 11/12: “But thou (Muhammad*) art only there to warn”. And then some more – at lest after 622 AD. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 1/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

130 11/43: “- - - and the son (of Noah*) was among those who was overwhelmed in the Flood”. (Another place the Quran also tells that Nosh’s wife also ended in Hell).
Contradiction:

1. 21/76: “We (Allah*) listened to his (Noah’s*) (prayers) and delivered him and his family from great distress”. His family: All saved. But all the same his son drowned. (And he only had 3 according to the Bible) The mathematics and the logic are difficult.

131 11/67: “The (mighty) Blast overtook the wrongdoers (the people of Thamud*), and they lay prostrate in their homes in the morning - - -.” A blast is something from f. ex. an explosion. But this is contradicted by:

1. 7/78: “So the earthquake took them (the people of Thamud*) unawares, and they lay prostrate (= dead*) in their homes in the morning”. (Also and NB: An earth-quake never kills 100% - except for in low quality highrise buildings seldom more than maximum 30%.)
2. 69/5: “But the Thamud – they were destroyed by a terrible Storm of thunder and lightning”. You meet Muslims referring to the storms that “naturally follow earthquakes”. DISHONESTY. That is wrong – there is no – no – connection between earthquakes and storms, as they are caused by entirely different mechanisms. (To continue the song about “correcting” the Quran: Mr. Muhammad Asad in “The Message of the Quran” has quietly and without comments changed 69/5 from “storm and lightning” to “earthquake”. An “al-Taqiyya (lawful lie)? Al-Taqiyya is not only permitted, but compulsory if necessary to defend or promote the religion.

(2 contradictions.)

132 11/69: “There came Our (Allah’s*) Messengers to Abraham - - -“. It is clear from the following verses that these messengers were angels. But this is clearly contradicted by:

1. 12/109: “Nor did we send before thee (humanity, man*) (as Messengers) any but men.”
2. 16/43: “And before thee (Muhammad*) also the Messengers we sent were but men - - -“.
3. 21/7: “Before thee (Muhammad*), also, the messengers we sent were but men”.
4. 25/20: “And the messengers whom We (Allah*) sent before thee were all (men) - - -.”

Well, 3/42 - 6/130 - 11/69 – 11/77 – 11/81– 19/17b – 19/19 – 22/75 all say that not all were men. A nice little contradiction to 12/109 – 16/43 – 21/7 – 25/20 which all says all messengers were men.

(4 contradictions).

133 11/77: “When Our (Allah’s*) Messengers (it is clear from the text they were angles – they f. ex ate no food*) came to Lut (Lot*) - - -.” But this is clearly contradicted by:

Identical comments to 11/69 just above.

(4 contradictions).

134 11/81: “(The angel messengers (from Allah*)) said: O Lut (Lot*)! We are Messengers fom thy Lord!” But this is clearly contradicted by:

Identical comments to 11/69 above.

(4 contradictions).

135 11/121: “Say to those who do not believe: ‘Do what ye can: we shall do our part’”. This was in 621 AD. Muhammad/Allah was/were still speaking peace – but not for much longer. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

136 12/19: The men from the caravan found Joseph in the well, and “So they concealed him as a treasure”. But the very next verse contradicts this story. See 12/20 just below.

137 12/20: “The brethren (of Joseph*) sold him for a miserable prise – for a few dirhams”. In one verse he was found, in another he was bought. See 12/19 just above.

138 12/87: Jacob said: “O my sons! Go ye (to Egypt*) and enquire about Joseph and his brother - - -.” Jacob stayed at home (in Canaan?), and his sons vent to Egypt to buy food. But the fact that Jacob stayed at home, is contradicted by:

1. 00a 12/94-95: “When the Caravan left (Egypt), their father said: ‘I do indeed scent the presence of Joseph: nay, think me not a dotard’. They (his sons*) said: ‘By Allah! Truly thou art in thine old wandering mind.’”

How could Jacob smell the scent and how could he talk to his sons when they were hundreds of kilometres away?

139 12/94-95: "When the caravan left (Egypt), their father said: 'I do indeed scent the presence of Joseph - - -." Contradicted by the Quran telling Jakob stayed at home and impossibly could talk to his sons travelling from Begypt. See 12/87 just above.

140 12/109: “Nor did We (Allah*) send before thee (Muhammad*) (as Messengers) any but men - - -.” But this is clearly contradicted by:

1. 3/42: “Behold the angels (plural*) said (when they came to tell Mary she was going to have the baby Jesus*)”.
2. 6/130: “O ye assembly of Jinns and men! Came there not unto you messengers (from Us, Allah*) from amongst you - - -.” A rhetoric question demanding the answer “yes” – yes, there came Jinn messengers from Allah to the Jinns, and human messenger from Allah to the humans according to the Quran.
3. 11/69: “There came Our (Allah’s*) Messengers to Abraham - - -“. It is clear from the following verses that these messengers were angels.
4. 11/77: “When Our (Allah’s*) Messengers (it is clear from the text they were angles – they f. ex ate no food*) came to Lut (Lot*) - - -.”
5. 11/81: “(The Messengers (angels from Allah*)) said: O Lut (Lot*)! We are Messengers from thy Lord!”
6. 19/17b: “- - - We (Allah*) sent to her our angel (singular – to tell Mary she was going to have the baby Jesus*), and he appeared before her as a man in all respects.”
7. 19/19: “He (the angel*) said: ‘Nay, I am only a messenger from thy (Mary’s*) Lord - - -“.
8. 22//75: “Allah chooses Messengers from both angels and from men - - -“.

Well, 3/42 - 6/130 - 11/69 – 11/77 – 11/81– 19/17b – 19/19 – 22/75 all say that not all were men. A nice little contradiction to 12/109 – 16/43 – 21/7 – 25/20 which all says all messengers were men.

(8 contradictions).

141 13/40: (Year unknown). “- - - thy (Muhammad’s*) duty is to make (the Message) reach them (“infidels”): It is Our (Allah’s*) part to call them to account.” Well, from 622 AD it also became the part of Muhammad and his men. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

00c 14/4: “- - - Allah leaves straying those whom He pleases, and guides whom he pleases - - -.” Can this be true when he leads towards the truth?

0142 10/35: “It is (only*) Allah who gives guidance towards the Truth.” But this is contradicted by the fact that he is not reliable – he also can lead you astray. It actually collides

with all the verses that tell Allah leads to Heaven whom he wants, and leads astray whom he wants.

143 14/6: “(Moses said about Pharaoh that he*) slaughtered your sons and let your women-folk live - - -.” Actually this is in accordance with what the Bible tells (The Quran tells the baby Moses was put to the Nile (20/39) but do not give a reason for such a crime. The Bible tells that it was because of a royal order to kill all Jewish boy babies, and his mother put him on the river in a desperate try to save him.) But it contradicts two verse in the Quran that told not that it was done, but that Pharaoh would start doing it during the confrontation with Moses. (Similar in 2/49 and 7/141).

1. 7/127: “He (Pharaoh*) said: ‘Their male children will we slay: (only) their females will we save alive - - -’. And it is clear that this is to start fast.
2. 40/25: “Slay the sons of those who believe in him (Moses*)”.

(2 contradictions).

144 15/3: “Leave them (the disbelievers*) alone, to enjoy (the good things of this life) and to please themselves - - -.” This was in 621 AD. It did not take long before Allah needed to change and contradict his word, when he started to change his rather peaceful religion to one of inhumanity and blood (luckily many Muslims do not live according to those parts if the Quran). This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

145 15/26a: “We (Allah*) created man from sounding clay - - -.” But: This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 55/64 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 28 other verses. But minimum 15 contradictions.)

146 15/26b: “We (Allah*) created man - - - from mud moulded into shape - - -.” But:

This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14

that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5,35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 28 other verses. But minimum 11 contradictions.)

147 15/27: “And the Jinn race, We (Allah*) had created before, from the fire of a scorching wind”. This is contradicted by other verses in the Quran, that tells Jinns are made from fire or from fire without smoke – not from a hot wind.

(At least 3 contradictions).

148 15/28a: Identical to 15/26a. This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 55/64 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tells man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5,35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tells man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapter about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 28 other verses. But minimum 15 contradictions.)

149 15/28b: Identical to 15/26b. This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5,35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 28 other verses. But minimum 11 contradictions.)

150 15/33a: Identical meaning to 15/26a. This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 55/64 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells

man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5,35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 28 other verses. But minimum 15 contradictions.)

151 15/33b: Identical meaning to 16/26b. This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5,35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 28 other verses. But minimum 11 contradictions.)

152 15/85: "So overlook (any human faults) with gracious forgiveness". Among human faults according to Islam, are disbelief in Allah or even leaving Islam - and you bet Muhammad left this 621 AD point of view when he grew strong enough. F. ex. Arabia to a large degree was made Muslim at the point of the sword, and the wish to leave Islam soon carried - and carries - death penalty. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

153 15/94: “- - - turn away from those who join false gods with Allah.” This was in 621 AD. Already the next year Muhammad started to change his religion towards war and blood, and stopped turning away from non-Muslims as soon as his and his successors military strength was powerful enough to demand conversion to Islam - later on Arabs mainly got the choice: Become Muslims or fight and die. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

154 16/4: “He (Allah*) has created man (Adam*) from a sperm-drop - - -.” But: This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, (75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came)), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 27 other verses. But minimum 12 contradictions.)

155 16/35: “But what is the mission of the Messengers but to preach the Clear Message?” Surah 16 is one of the very last surahs from Mecca – months later the contents started to change, and contradictions – and abrogations – were necessary for the changes to a war religion. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

156 16/36: “For We (Allah*) assuredly sent amongst every people a Messenger.”
Contradictions:

1. 28/46: “Yet (art thou (Muhammad*)) sent) as a Mercy from thy Lord (according to the Quran = Allah*) to give warning to a people to whom no warner (= prophet, messenger*) had come before - - -.” No messenger had they had, even though “every people” had had.
2. 32/3: “Nay, it is the Truth from thy (Muhammad’s*) Lord (Allah*), that thou mayest admonish to a people to whom no warner has come before thee - - -.”
3. 34/44: “But We (Allah*) had not given them (Arabs’*) Books which they could study, nor sent messengers to them before thee (Muhammad*) - - -.”
4. 36/6: “In order that thou (Muhammad*) mayest admonish a people (the Arabs*) whose fathers had received no admonition - - -.”

Which verse(s) is/are wrong? (Also remember that the Quran many places mention at least 3 "prophets" that worked in Arabia long before Muhammad).

(4 contradictions or more).

157 16/43: "And before thee (Muhammad*) also the Messengers we sent were but men - - -". This is contradicted by verses in the Quran telling that angels were sent as messengers to at least Abraham, Lot, and Mary, and that Jinns were sent as messengers to Jinns, (only men 12/109 - 21/7 - 25/20)

1. 3/42: "Behold the angels (plural*) said (when they came to tell her she was going to have the baby Jesus*)".
2. 6/130: "O ye assembly of Jinns and men! Came there not unto you messengers (from Us, Allah*) from amongst you - - -". A rhetoric question demanding the answer "yes" – yes, there came Jinn messengers from Allah to the Jinns, and human messenger from Allah to the humans.
3. 11/69: "There came Our (Allah's*) Messengers to Abraham - - -". It is clear from the following verses that these messengers were angels.
4. 11/77: "When Our (Allah's*) Messengers (it is clear from the text they were angles – they f. ex ate no food*) came to Lut (Lot*) - - -".
5. 11/81: "(The Messengers (angels from Allah*)) said: O Lut (Lot*)! We are Messengers from thy Lord!"
6. 19/17b: "- - - We (Allah*) sent to her our angel (singular – to tell her she was going to have the baby Jesus*), and he appeared before her as a man in all respects."
7. 19/19: "He (the angel*) said: 'Nay, I am only a messenger from thy (Mary's*) Lord - - -'".
8. 22/75: "Allah chooses Messengers from both angels and from men - - -".

Well, 3/42 - 6/130 - 11/69 – 11/77 – 11/81– 19/17b – 19/19 – 22/75 all say that not all were men. A nice little contradiction to 12/109 – 16/43 – 21/7 – 25/20 which all says all messengers were men.

(8 contradictions).

158 16/67: "And from the fruit of the date-palm and the vine (other translation; grapes*), ye get out wholesome drink and food - - -". This surah is one of the last ones from Mecca, and in the old Mecca like in the rest of Arabia at that time sex and alcohol were "the two delicious things". But you bet that Muhammad little by little prohibited at least this "wholesome

drink". Abrogated and contradicted by the verses against alcohol - f. ex. 5/90: "Intoxicants and gambling - - - are an abomination - of Satan's handiwork - - -".

159 16/82: "- - - thy (Muhammad's) duty is only to preach the clear Message." This was just months before Muhammad fled from Mecca in 622 AD. But this a little after he came to Medina and started to gain power: This verse is contradicted and often "killed" by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

160 16/89: "- - - We (Allah*) have sent down to thee (Muhammad/Muslims*) a Book (the Quran*) explaining all things - - -." The Quran far from explain all things – f. ex. the judicial laws are incomplete, and at least one point is wrong (the shares when inheriting does not add up – depending on who are inheriting, the shares may add up to 125% and more of the total inheritance.)

This verse and those laws simply contradict each other.

161 16/90: "- - - and He (Allah*) forbids shameful deeds - - -." Strongly contradicted by:

1. 2/230: "So if a husband divorces his wife (irrevocably), he cannot, after that, remarry her until after she has married another husband (and "fulfilled" that marriage*) and he has divorced her." This situation is not often to meet, but it does happen. It is a most shameful deed in those cases to force the woman to prostitute herself to be permitted to go back to her husband.

Not to mention that 16/90 is contradicted by some of the "moral" rules in the Quran: Stealing/robbing, discrimination, enslavement, rape, murder, war, etc. – all "good and lawful". Or the rule that a raped woman who cannot produce 4 male witnesses who have seen the actual act – and will be punished for not helping her in case – is to be strictly punished for illegal sex. Most likely the most unjust and shameful law we have ever heard about.

162 16/101: "When We (Allah*) substitute one revelation for another - - -". ("Substitute" is an English word that here has exactly the same meaning as the word derived from Latin; "abrogate"). Allah tells he is changing his instructions now and then. But:

1. 6/115: "- - - none can change His (Allah's) Words - - -". Well, he is contradicting himself, as he clearly changes it himself when something forces him to – try and fail?
2. 10/64: "Hereafter, no change can there be in the Words of Allah."

Also read 10/64 above.

(2 contradictions).

00d 16/102: “- - - the Holy Spirit has brought the revelation (= the Quran*) from thy Lord (Allah*) - - -.”

1. 2/97: “Whoever is an enemy to Gabriel – for he brings down the (revelation (= the Quran*)) (at least what is not brought by “inspiration”*) - - -. Muslims sometimes say that Gabriel brought the most of those who were not sent by “inspiration”, but that the Holy Spirit brought some, and they might have got away with it - - - if it was not because other Muslims say that this is one of the proofs for that the Holy Spirit = Gabriel (sic!!).

163 16/103: “- - - this (the Quran*) is Arabic, pure and clear.” Wrong. It is not pure, as there are a number non-Arab words in it (even the word Quran is imported). Scholars disagree as to how many – the lowest number we have found is 107 (al-Suyuti), the highest “ca. 275”(Arthur Jeffery). And it is not clear, because there are many grammatical mistakes – more than 100 according to Ali Dashti: “23 Years”. Also see the chapters about such mistakes.

164 16/125: “Invite (all) to the Way of thy Lord (Allah*) with wisdom and beautiful preaching; and argue with them in ways that are best and most gracious - - -.” Little by little – or not so little – Muhammad’s tone changed from shortly after this (this is one of the very last surahs from Mecca – if not the last full one, as it was told only months before Muhammad fled to Yathrib/Medina). This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

165 16/126: “And if ye do catch them out, catch them out no worse than they catch you out: but if ye show patience, that is indeed the best (course) for those who are patient.” Still the peaceful Mecca mode – a large percentage of relevant, not religious scientists agree that a possible explanation for the hateful and bloody religion Islam changed to over a few years, is that Muhammad over those few years was morally destroyed from his newfound power. It is far from unusual that power destroys a person; “power corrupts, and absolute power corrupts absolutely” – and Muhammad gained absolute and total power. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you

protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

166 16/127: “And do thou (Muhammad*) be patient, for thou patience is but from Allah; nor grieve over them: and distress not thyself because of their plots.” Well, the patience came to an end – see 16/125 and 16/126 just above. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

167 17/23: “Thy Lord (Allah*) hath decreed that ye worship none but Him, and that ye be kind to parents.” This actually is strongly contradicted by 6/151 that prohibits you from being kind to your parents. (We honestly think the words in 6/151 represent an accident during f. ex. the Uthman’s edition of the book. But it is a clear and strong contradiction - and how many more "accidents" are there in the Quran?).

1. 6/151: This is a really strange contradiction: “Come, I (Muhammad*) will rehearse what Allah hath (really) prohibited you from – - (to*) - - be good to your parents - - -.” **Read it once more: You are prohibited from being good to your parents!! – the opposite of what is said everywhere in the book and contradicts it strongly. The Muslim sources I have found, all agree on that this is wrong – and actually we agree with them – this is so far out of the Quran’s style, that it must have been an accident and wrong. (It also in the same verse is prohibited "not to kill your children" if you are poor - also an obvious mistake and contradiction of the rest of the Quran).**

But this means that here you have a (actually 2) clear mistake(s) in the Quran – certified by Islam as a mistake – to serve for free to any Muslim or non-Muslim claiming that the book is perfect and without mistakes “to the last comma”. Just ask them if they have ever red 6/151? (And ask if they are aware of that the comma did not exist in Arab when the Quran was written around 650 AD).

And: When this is wrong – how much more is wrong in the book?

(It may have meaning, though, if the Quran here had been talking about "infidel" parents, but the book here is not doing so).

2. 9/23: "Take not for protectors your fathers and your brothers if they love infidelity above Faith (= if they are not Muslims).
3. 58/22: "Thou wilt not find any people who believe in Allah and the Last Day, loving those who resist Allah and His Messenger, even though they were their fathers or their sons - - ." (You find similitude rules in extreme sects even today. The rationale behind such rules, is to cut as many as possible of sources of balanced or correcting information, to hinder that the one-sided propaganda from the sect is contradicted and nullified by facts.)

These are ugly verses. But as it is possible to be superficially kind to your parents (only superficially, as the main thing that counts for many parents – the love from their children – is gone), even if you are prohibited from loving them, this is a borderline case. We include it partly because it is so ugly and inhuman and tells so much about extreme sects and religions like Islam, and partly because it in reality is nearly impossible to be really kind to them if you are ordered to keep them away from you as humans.)

168 17/54: "We (Allah*) have not sent thee (Muhammad*) to be a disposer of their ("infidels"*) affairs for them". Allah or Muhammad started to change his mind about this one year later – in 622 AD – when Muhammad started to gain enough military power to decide "their" religion for them. (In spite of what Islam likes to tell, Islam to a large degree was introduced by the sword – and by the wish for taking part in the looting/robbing/stealing and slave taking – in Arabia). This verse is contradicted and often "killed" by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

169 17/61: "- - - one (Adam*) whom Thou (Allah*) didst create from clay." But: This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tell man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tells man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from "base material": "But does not man call to

mind that We (Allah*) created him out of nothing?” This contradicts all the other places in the Quran where it is told about the creation of man, and that tell that man/Adam was created out of some material or other, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing.

(Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reconed this contradicts 26 verses, but minimum 11 contradictions.)

170 17/103: “- - - We (Allah*) did drown him (Pharaoh*) and all who were with him.”
Contradicted with:

1. 10/92: “This day (the same as in 17/103*) shall We (Allah*) save thee in the body - - -“. The Quran mainly tells that the Pharaoh did drown (even though Pharaoh Ramses II did not die from drowning, and not until some years later than when science says the Exodus took place – if it took place). But here either that is contradicted – or Allah in praxis contradicted his own promise of “saving the Pharaoh in the body”, which should mean “bodily”, “safely”.

171 18/29: “- - - let him who will, believe, and him who will, reject (Islam*) - - -.”
Muhammad changed his mind as his power grew after 622 AD: This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 89 contradictions).

172 18/31: “- - - they (the Muslims in Paradise*) will be adorned therein with bracelets of gold - - -“. Very nice – except that:

1. 22/23: “- - - they (the Muslims in Paradise*) shall be adorned with bracelets of gold and pearls - - -”.
2. 76/21: “- - - they (the Muslims in Paradise*) will be adorned with bracelets of silver - - -”.

A minor, but clear contradiction. 1 or 2 depending on how strictly you judge.

173 18/56: “We (Allah*) only send the message to give glad tidings and to give warnings - - - .” This was in 622 AD, and shortly later Muhammad started to change his mind and Islam started to use the sword to get proselytes. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39

(the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

174 19/9: “I (Allah*) did indeed create thee (man*) before, when thou hadst been nothing!”
But:

This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tell man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapter about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 29 other verses. But minimum 15 contradictions.) (NB: In just this case a Muslim can say Allah created Zachariah, not “man” (Adam). But later in the same chapter it is made clear that Allah talked about he had made man from nothing – in the very worst case he at least has said this one place, so the contradiction stands. But for any case we do not count the extra contradictions here.)

175 19/17: “- - - We (Allah*) sent to her our angel (singular – to tell Mary she was going to have the baby Jesus*), and he appeared before her as a man in all respects.” Also 19/9 tells only about one. There is a distinct difference between one and three or more. (If there had been only two, Arab had used grammatical dualis, and been translated “our two angles”):

1. 3/42: “Behold the angels (plural*) said (when they came to tell her she was going to have the baby Jesus*)”. A small, but distinct contradiction.

176 19/17b: “- - - We (Allah*) sent to her our angel (singular – to tell her she was going to have the baby Jesus*), and he appeared before her as a man in all respects.”

1. 12/109: “Nor did we send before thee (humanity, man*) (as Messengers) any but men.”
2. 16/43: “And before thee (Muhammad*) also the Messengers we sent were but men - - -”.
3. 21/7: “Before thee (Muhammad*), also, the messengers we sent were but men”.
4. 25/20: “And the messengers whom We (Allah*) sent before thee were all (men) - - -”.

Well, 3/42 - 6/130 - 11/69 – 11/77 – 11/81– 19/17b – 19/19 – 22/75 all say that not all were men. A nice little contradiction to 12/109 – 16/43 – 21/7 – 25/20 which all says all messengers were men.

(4 contradictions).

177 19/19: “He (the angel*) said: ‘Nay, I am only a messenger from thy (Mary’s*) Lord - - -“. But contradictions:

1. 12/109: “Nor did we send before thee (humanity, man*) (as Messengers) any but men.”
2. 16/43: “And before thee (Muhammad*) also the Messengers we sent were but men - - -“.
3. 21/7: “Before thee (Muhammad*), also, the messengers we sent were but men”.
4. 25/20: “And the messengers whom We (Allah*) sent before thee were all (men) - - -.”

Well, 3/42 - 6/130 - 11/69 – 11/77 – 11/81– 19/17b – 19/19 – 22/75 all say that not all were men. A nice little contradiction to 12/109 – 16/43 – 21/7 – 25/20 which all says all messengers were men.

(4 contradictions).

178 19/36: Here it is once more: Muhammad is telling a tale, and then he changes to talking about himself and his Muslims in a way that makes it clear that he is not reciting: “Verily Allah is my Lord and your Lord - - -.” Muhammad speaking in the exact copy of the Mother Book, sent down from Allah himself?!! The word “contradiction” is not necessary to mention - actually it also is not strong enough, absurd is more correct.

179 19/39: “But warn them (non-Muslims*) of the Day of Distress - - -.” Here it is talk about peaceful warning – Muhammad did not have power for much more in ca. 615 AD. This did change after 622 AD: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

180 19/67: “But does not man call to mind that We (Allah*) created him out of nothing?” This contradicts all the other places in the Quran where it is told about the creation of man, and that tell that man/Adam was created out of some material or other.

This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tells man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam

was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tells man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapter about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 29 other verses. But minimum 15 contradictions.)

181 19/84: "So make no haste against them (non-Muslims*) - - -". This was around 615 AD. But when Muhammad started to gain real strength, he also started to make haste against non-Muslims. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

00e 20/39: “(Allah said to the mother of Moses): ‘Throw (the child) into the chest, and throw (the chest) into the river (the Nile) - - -.” A mother has to have a desperate reason for doing this, and the reason was that the Pharaoh had ordered boy babies killed (because the Jews were becoming too many). Just this is told in more details in the Bible than in the Quran, which does not at all mention the reason for why she had to do this. But:

1. 40/25: “(The Pharaoh said 80 (?) years later): ‘Slay the sons of those who believe with him (Moses*), and keep alive their females - - -.” (This is one of the places in the Quran where the story “hangs in the air” – like the one about the camel of Thamud – and Muslims who do not know the books well, are excused from perhaps believing his mother put the baby Moses into the river just because the god ordered it so. Because of the bad authorship behind the Quran on this point – to tell a story in such way that essential points are in the dark, is bad authorship – and because Muslims are excused from being unable to see this very real contradiction before, we will not count it.)

182 20/55: “From the (earth) did We (Allah*) create you (man*) - - -.” But: This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tell man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water

(NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 30 other verses. But minimum 11 contradictions.)

183 20/70: “So the magician were thrown down to prostration (because Moses had done a real miracle*); they said: ‘We believe in the Lord of Aaron and Moses (because they had seen the miracle*).’” But Muhammad contradicted this effect:

****Muhammad many times in the Quran explains that the reason for that he/Allah would/will make no miracles, was that it would not make anyone believe anyhow – AND HERE ALL THE MAGICIANS BECAME BELIEVERS BECAUSE OF JUST ONE SMALL MIRACLE. (This is one of the reasons why one knows Muhammad knew he was lying each time he used this excuse – he had himself told that miracles worked.)

(At least 5 contradictions).

184 20/91: The Jews in Sinai said: “We will not abandon this cult (the golden calf*), but we will devote ourselves to it until Moses returns to us.” And Moses was very angry when he returned and found a live pagan cult among his people. But:

1. 7/149: In this verse the Jews found they had sinned, and they repented before Moses returned in the next verse, 7/150.

Any explanation?

185 20/109: “On that Day (Day of Doom*) shall no intercession avail, except for those whom permission has been granted by (Allah) - - -.” Here it is possible if Allah permits.

1. 2//123: “(The Day (of Doom) when) one soul shall not avail another - - - nor shall intercession profit her (the soul*) - - -.” An absolute law: No intercession possible.
2. 2/254: “- - - before the Day (of Doom*) comes when no bargaining (will avail), nor friendship, nor intercession.” Among others: Intercession is impossible.
3. 6/51: “- - - they will be brought to (Judgement (= Day of Doom*)) before their Lord (Allah*); except for Him they will have no protector nor intercessor - - -.”
4. 82/19: “(It will be) the Day (of Doom*) when no soul shall have the power (to do) ought for another - - -.”

No question about permission – simply impossible.

(4 contradictions).

186 20/130: “Therefore (Muhammad/Muslims*) be patient with what they (“infidels”) say - - -.” That was Muhammad’s tone in Mecca 615 AD or before – and until his flight to Medina in 622AD. It changed quite a lot from 622 AD and onwards and contradicted quite a lot of the mild words from the Mecca period. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

187 21/7: “Before thee (Muhammad*), also, the messengers We (Allah*) sent were but men - - . Well: In that case these are wrong – or contradictions:

1. 3/42: “Behold the angels (plural*) said (when they came to tell her she was going to have the baby Jesus*)”.
2. 6/130: “O ye assembly of Jinns and men! Came there not unto you messengers (from Us, Allah*) from amongst you - - .” A rhetoric question demanding the answer “yes” – yes, there came Jinn messengers from Allah to the Jinns, and human messenger from Allah to the humans.
3. 11/69: “There came Our (Allah’s*) Messengers to Abraham - - -“. It is clear from the following verses that these messengers were angels.
4. 11/77: “When Our (Allah’s*) Messengers (it is clear from the text they were angles – they f. ex ate no food*) came to Lut (Lot*) - - -.”
5. 11/81: “(The Messengers (angels from Allah*)) said: O Lut (Lot*)! We are Messengers from thy Lord!”
6. 19/17b: “- - - We (Allah*) sent to her our angel (singular – to tell her she was going to have the baby Jesus*), and he appeared before her as a man in all respects.”
7. 19/19: “He (the angel*) said: ‘Nay, I am only a messenger from thy (Mary’s*) Lord - - -“.
8. 22/75: “Allah chooses Messengers from both angels and from men - - -“.

Well, 3/42 - 6/130 - 11/69 – 11/77 – 11/81– 19/17b – 19/19 – 22/75 all say that not all were men. A nice little contradiction to 12/109 – 16/43 – 21/7 – 25/20 which all says all messengers were men.

(8 contradictions).

188 21/30: “We (Allah*) made from water (NB: “from water”, not “in water”*) every living thing.” There does not exist one single living thing that is made from water. There are beings that are some 98% water, but that is maximum. The normal may vary around 70%, but the margins are wide. Besides: This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapter about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 28 other verses. And here minimum 28 contradictions.)

189 21/76: “We (Allah*) listened to his (Noah’s*) (prayer) and delivered him and his family from great distress (= Allah saved Noah’s family from the flood*)”. But:

1. 11/43: “- - - and the son (of Noah*) was among those overwhelmed in the Flood.” Noah just had 3 sons, Shem, Ham and Japheth – 1. Mos. 7/13, and not contradicted by the Quran – and he lost one of them. In addition it is clear from another place in the Quran that also his wife ended in Hell. That is not “saved Noah’s family”. (In the Bible Noah lost no son.)

00f 21/98: “Verily ye, (unbelievers), and the (false) gods that ye worship besides Allah, are (but) fuel for Hell!” But Jesus is reconed to be a false god to the Christians, and consequently this is contradicted by:

1. 4/158: “Nay, Allah raised him (Jesus*) up to Himself (in Heaven*) - - -.”

So Jesus was no fuel for Hell?

190 21/107: “We (Allah*) sent thee (Muhammad*) not, but for a Mercy for all creatures.” Muhammad was not much of a mercy to the world – read the surahs from Medina. Neither was he a mercy for all Muslims – read the surahs from Medina + the verses about women, law, slavery, not to mention the to a large part inhuman ethical and moral codexes. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

191 21/112: “(Allah*) is the One Whose assistance should be sought against blasphemies.” Well, that was in 621 (?) AD. After 622 AD the sword was handier – blasphemy soon carried

a death penalty - - - and there came a number of contradicting texts. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

192 22/5: “- - - We (Allah*) created you (man*) out of dust, then out of sperm - - -“. Allah created man/Adam out of dust and later humans out of sperm (+ an egg cell each – which Muhammad did not know anything about – but a god had known). But even omitting the fact that Adam never was, as man developed from earlier primates, there are a number of contradictions in the Quran about his creation: This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tell man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 27 other verses. But minimum 11 contradictions.)

193 22/23: “- - - they (the Muslims in Paradise*) shall be adorned with bracelets of gold and pearls - - -.” Very nice – except that:

1. 18/31: “- - - they (the Muslims in Paradise*) will be adorned therein with bracelets of gold - - -“.
2. 76/21: “- - - they (the Muslims in Paradise*) will be adorned with bracelets of silver - - -.”

A minor, but clear contradiction. 1 or 2 depending on how strictly you judge.

194 22/25: “- - - the Sacred Mosque (Kabah in Mecca*), which We (Allah*) have made (open) to (all) men - - -.” This is among the most solid contradicted and abrogated verses in all the Quran. It was prohibited for non-Muslims from around 632 AD. Today it is death penalty for any non-Muslim to enter not only the Kabah, but the area many kilometres around it.

195 22/47: “Verily, a Day in the sight of thy Lord (Allah*) is like a thousand years of your (peoples’*) reckoning.” Contradicted by:

1. 70/4: “- - - unto Him (Allah*) in a Day the measure of is (as) fifty thousand years.”

196 22/49: “I (Muhammad*) am (sent) to you (men*) only to give a Clear Warning - - -.” Well this was ca. 616 AD. But from some 6 years later on it was no longer only a warning,

but the sword - - - and a lot of contradictions and abrogations in the texts and the teaching This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

197 22/68: “If they (“infidels”) do wrangle with thee, say. ‘Allah knows best what it is ye are doing’ – and leave them alone. This was ca. 616 AD. But from some 6 years later and more came lots of contradictions and abrogations. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

198 22/75: “Allah chooses Messengers from angles and from men - - -.” But not from Jinns. Contradicted by:

1. 6/130: “O ye assembly of Jinns and men! Came there not unto you messengers from amongst you - - -?” A rhetoric question shoving there came messengers from men to men, and from Jinns to Jinns. Not only from angels and men.

199 23/12: “Man did We (Allah*) create from the quintessence (of clay).” This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 29 other verses. But minimum 11 contradictions.)

200 23/54: “But leave them (“infidels”*) in their confused ignorance for a time”. This was in 621 or 622 AD, shortly before his – Muhammad’s – flight to Medina. When he started to become military strong enough, it was finish with leaving them alone – and a lot of contradictions and abrogations in the teachings and in the religion – from peace to inhumanity and war. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81,

5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

201 23/96: “Repel evil with what is best”. Later it became: Repel evil with evil – do against “infidel” like they do against you – at least when it comes to the bad things. Further comments identical to 23/54 just above. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

202 24/2: “The woman and the man guilty of adultery of fornication – flog each of them with a hundred stripes”. A contradiction and abrogation of 4/15:

1. 4/15: “If any of your women are guilty of lewdness (adultery*) - - - confine them to houses until death do claim them, or Allah ordain for them some (other) way”. (The last part of the sentence must be understood as a “way” metered out especially for each woman who gets such an “ordain”.) But contradiction - and abrogation - 1-2 years later – in 627 or 628 AD.

It also must be added that in Islam there is a persistent rumour that about 100 verses disappeared and never made it into the Quran when Caliph Uthman had the official Quran made, and that one of the verses was one that demanded stoning for this crime. The fact is that stoning for it is prescribed in Hadith, and that Hadith (Al-Bukhari) tells that at least once Muhammad himself took part in such a stoning.

203 24/45: “Allah has created every animal (also man is an animal*) from (not in, but from*) water - - -.” As said before: Not one single living being is made from water – it contains a percentage, small or big, of water, but not even a bacterium is made from water. This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 28 other verses. And here minimum 28 contradictions.)

204 24/54: “- - - if ye (people*) turn away (from Muhammad*), he is only responsible for the duty placed on him, and ye for that place on you.” Contradicted and abrogated at least by 9/5 in reality: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193,

3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

205 25/20: “And the messengers whom We (Allah*) sent before thee, were all (men) - - -.”

Well: In that case these are wrong – or contradictions:

1. 3/42: “Behold the angels (plural*) said (when they came to tell Mary she was going to have the baby Jesus*)”.
2. 6/130: “O ye assembly of Jinns and men! Came there not unto you messengers (from Us, Allah*) from amongst you - - -.” A rhetoric question demanding the answer “yes” – yes, there came Jinn messengers from Allah to the Jinns, and human messenger from Allah to the humans.
3. 11/69: “There came Our (Allah’s*) Messengers to Abraham - - -”. It is clear from the following verses that these messengers were angels.
4. 11/77: “When Our (Allah’s*) Messengers (it is clear from the text they were angles – they f. ex ate no food*) came to Lut (Lot*) - - -.”
5. 11/81: “(The Messengers (angels from Allah*)) said: O Lut (Lot*)! We are Messengers from thy Lord!”
6. 19/17b: “- - - We (Allah*) sent to her our angel (singular – to tell Mary she was going to have the baby Jesus*), and he appeared before her as a man in all respects.”
7. 19/19: “He (the angel*) said: ‘Nay, I am only a messenger from thy (Mary’s*) Lord - - -’.
8. 22/75: “Allah chooses Messengers from both angels and from men - - -”.

Well, 3/42 - 6/130 - 11/69 – 11/77 – 11/81– 19/17b – 19/19 – 22/75 all say that not all were men. A nice little contradiction to 12/109 – 16/43 – 21/7 – 25/20 which all says all messengers were men.

(8 contradictions).

206 25/36: “Go ye both (Moses and Aaron*) to the people who have rejected our Signs’. And those (people) We (Allah*) destroyed with utter destruction.” A clear message This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48,

5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

207 25/52: “Therefore listen not to the Unbelievers, but strive against them with the outmost strenuousness, with the (Quran)”. As you see: Religion is not included when you strive against the “infidels”. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

208 25/54: “It is He (Allah*) Who created man from water - - -.” One thing that is absolutely sure, is that man is not made from water. Another thing that is as sure, is that Muhammad has made a lot of contradiction in his tales about how man – and the rest, too – was created.

This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tells man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapter about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 28 other verses. And here minimum 28 contradictions.)

209 25/59: “He (Allah*) Who created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth and all that is between, in six days - - -.” The Quran is totally wrong when it comes to the creation of the Universe and everything – it has till now taken 13.7 billion years. That aside: This verse contradicts with:

1. 41/9-12: These verses tell that Allah took 2 + 4 + 2 days = 8 days to create everything. (Muslims tries to tell that the first 2 days are included in the 4 days, but just read this yourself and see – what they wish you to believe is not what the Quran says. It says very clearly 2 days for one job, 4 for the next, and 2 for the last = 8 days. Some also wants

you to believe that day in Arab = aeon. For one thing it is not a correct translation, and for another read 2/117 and see if Allah should need 6 – 8 aeons.)

210 26/216: “I (Muhammad*) am free (of responsibility) for what ye (“infidels”*) do!” This was in Mecca ca. 615 – 616 AD. The tone rapidly grew more unfriendly after 622 AD when he grew military strong – and the teachings needed some “adjustments” to fit a war religion = contradictions and abrogations: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

211 27/91: “For me, I (Muhammad*) have been commanded to serve the Lord (Allah*) of this City (this was in 615 or 616 AD = Mecca*) - - -.” Once more Allah or Muhammad has forgotten something: It is Muhammad who is speaking – from the copy of the age old Mother Book (13/39), revered by Allah and the copy sent down from Heaven. Impossibility. (The translators Pikhall and Dawood both very dishonestly have added the word “Say” to hide the contradiction, but that word is not in the Arab text, according to Ibn Warraq: “Why I am not a Muslim”, p.175.)

212 27/92: “I (Muhammad*) am only a Warner”. That was in 615 – 616 AD. From 622 he fast became a strongman, warlord and dictator – and scriptures made contradictions and abrogations. Much was abrogates and contradicted when Muhammad grew military strong after 622 AD and the religion was changed to one of war and robbery and conquest.” This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

213 28/40: “So We (Allah*) sized him (Pharaoh*) and his host, and Flung them into the sea: now behold what was the End of those who did wrong!” Well, one thing is that according to the Bible, they were not flung into the sea. But more essential just here is this contradiction:

1. 19/92: “This day (the same day as 28/40*) shall We (Allah*) save thee (Pharaoh Ramses II*) in the body - - -.” Not “save the body” like many Muslims like to insist, but “in the body”.

214 28/46: “Yet (art thou (Moses*) sent) as a Mercy from thy Lord (Allah according to the Quran), to give warning to a people (Arabs according to Islam*) to whom no warner had come before thee - - -.” We remind you that if Moses has lived, he lived around 1300 – 1200 BC (if it is correct that Exodus was ca. 1235, and that Moses lived for another 40 years, he

died ca. 1195 BC. Islam wants him to have lived a bit earlier – to get away from well known pharaohs that did not drown – but even they stops at 1500+ BC) Contradiction:

1. 2/125-129: These verses reminds Muslims about that according to the Quran both Abraham and Ishmael lived and worked in Mecca (= among arabs long before Moses)– and both were prophets and messengers, still according to the Quran. But according to science: If Abraham has ever lived, he lived some 2000 – 1800 BC – which means warners in Arabia centuries before Moses.
2. 10/47: “To every people (was sent) a messenger - - -.” Homo Sapiens – also called Modern Man – developed most likely in East Africa some 160000 – 200000 years ago, and crossed – and occupied – the land bridge between Africa and Asia (= the Middle East) around 100000 years ago. If every people had got Messengers, how come that Sinai/Arabia/etc. had not got one for nearly 100000 years – not until Moses some 3300 years ago? (- or Abraham may be 4000 years ago?)
3. 16/36: “For We (Allah*) assuredly sent amongst every People a Messenger.” See 10/47 just above.
4. 35/24: “- - - and there never was a people, without a warner having lived among them (in the past).” A very clear contradiction.

Besides there were the prophets Hud and Shuyab working in Arabia who if we have understood it correctly, lived some generations after Noah – way before Moses.

(Min. 6 contradictions.)

215 28/50: But if they (“infidels”*) hearken not to thee (Muhammad*), know that they only follow their own lusts - - -.” This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

216 28/55: “To us (Muslims*) our deeds, and to you (“infidels”*) yours; peace be to you - - - .” Mecca 621 or 622 AD carried a much more peaceful tone than after Muhammad gained strength from 622 – 624 AD and needed a religion more fit for robberies, raids and war – and got it from Allah (or was it Allah who wanted more blood than before?) – resulting in contradiction with and abrogation of the old teachings. This verse is contradicted and often

“killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

217 29/18: “- - - the duty of the messenger is only to preach publicly (and clearly).” Well, as Muhammad grew more powerful, so did his wish for controlling the locals’ and later the Arabs’ lives and religious ideas - - - force and punishment became means to a goal. With the necessary changes in the religion, and contradictions and necessary abrogations compared to the more peaceful 12 years in Mecca. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

218 29/46: “And dispute ye not with the People of the Book - - -.” No comments – but read 9/29 and 9/5 once more. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

219 31/23: “But if any reject Faith, let his rejection not grieve thee - - -.” Guess if this is contradicted and abrogated when Muhammad grew strong some 8 - 10 years later!! This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

220 32/3: “- - - thou (Muhammad*) mayst admonish a people to whom no warner has come before thee - - -.” But:

1. 2/125-129: These verses reminds Muslims about that according to the Quran both Abraham and Ishmael lived and worked in Mecca – and both were prophets and messengers, still according to the Quran. But according to science: If Abraham has ever lived, he lived some 2000 – 1800 BC – which means centuries before Moses, and millenia before Muhammad.

2. 10/47: "To every people (was sent) a messenger - - -." Homo Sapiens – also called Modern Man – developed most likely in East Africa some 160000 – 200000 years ago, and crossed – and occupied – the land bridge between Africa and Asia (= the Middle East) may be 100000 years ago. If every people had got Messengers, how come that Sinai/Arabia/etc. had not got one for nearly 100000 years – not until Moses some 3300 years ago?
3. 16/36: "For We (Allah*) assuredly sent amongst every People a Messenger." See 10/47 just above.
4. 35/24: "- - - and there never was a people, without a warner having lived among them (in the past)." A very clear contradiction.

Besides there were the prophets Hud and Shuyab that lived some generations after Noah – before Moses, not to mention Muhammad.

(Min. 6 contradictions.)

221 32/4: "It is Allah Who has created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth, and all between them in six Days - - -."

1. 41/9-12: These verses tell that Allah took 2 + 4 + 2 days = 8 days to create everything. (Muslims tries to tell that the first 2 days are included in the 4 days, but just read this yourself and see – what they wish you to believe is not what the Quran says. It says very clearly 2 days for one job, 4 for the next, and 2 for the last = 8 days. Some also wants you to believe that day in Arab = aeon. For one thing it is not a correct translation, and for another read 2/117 and see if Allah should need 6 – 8 aeons.)

222 32/4b: "- - - ye (Muslims*) have none, besides Him (Allah*), to protect or intercede (for you) - - -." But:

1. 9/71: "The Believers, men and women, are protectors one of another - - -." Men and women hardly are in the same league as a god – but they are protectors according to the Quran.

223 32/5: "- - - on a Day, the space whereof will be (as) a thousand years of your reckoning." But:

1. 70/4: “- - - unto Him (Allah*) in a day the measure whereof is (as) fifty thousand years:” There is some difference between 50000 years and 1000 years.

224 32/11: “The Angel of Death (singular* and obviously a name – capital letters*) - - - will (duly) take your souls - - -.” But:

1. 39/42: “It is Allah who takes the souls (of men) at death - - -.”
2. 47/27: “- - - the angels (plural*) take their souls at death - - -.”

(2 contradictions.)

225 32/30: “So turn away from them and wait - - -.” When Muhammad grew more powerful, there was little waiting. The rest of the Arabian peninsula mainly was turned Muslim by the sword – and some by “gifts” and promises of looted riches – all of which demanded changes in the religion (or was it the other way around, initiated by a god who found his original religion was not good enough – or too little blood and human tragedy?) which caused contradictions between the old and the new version of Islam – and also abrogations naturally. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

00g 33/48: “And obey not (the behest) of the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and heed not their annoyances, but put thy Trust in Allah.” This may be seen as comforting and a pep-talk to Muhammad’s followers against the still strong non-Muslims, or as an advice not to mind the meanings of the non-Muslims too much and, not to take too much offence from what they said and did. If it is meant to cool down the strife between Muslims and non-Muslims in Medina, it is one of the last such verses, as it came in 627 AD or a little later – around the attack and obliteration of Khaibar or somewhat later, (but before the final symbol of the Muslims’ taking over the power; the conquest of Mecca) – and before some of the harshest verses like “The Verse of the Sword”, 9/5, that most likely came in 631 AD.

***226 33/61: “They (hypocrites - not good enough Muslims – or non-Muslims*) shall have a curse on them whenever they are found, they shall be seized and slain (without mercy).” If you are not good enough Muslims – and sometimes others – you are to be killed without mercy. A most clear order. Only do not mention it, because the propaganda line is: “The Religion of Peace” and “No compulsion in Religion”. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39,

50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

227 33/73: (Because man – the Arabs – undertook the Trust of the Quran/Islam -) “With the result that Allah has to punish the Hypocrites, men and women, and the unbelievers, men and women - - -.” And Muslims works on behalf of Allah. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

228 34/23: “No intercession can avail in His (Allah’s*) Presence (= Day of Doom*), except for those for whom He has granted permission.” With permission ok.

1. 2//123: “(The Day (of Doom) when) one soul shall not avail another - - - nor shall intercession profit her (the soul*) - - -.” An absolute law: No intercession possible.
2. 2/254: “- - - before the Day (of Doom*) comes when no bargaining (will avail), nor friendship, nor intercession.” Intercession is impossible.
3. 6/51: “- - - they will be brought to (Judgement (= Day of Doom*)) before their Lord (Allah*): except for Him they will have no protector nor intercessor - - -.”
4. 82/19: “(It will be) the Day (of Doom*) when no soul shall have the power (to do) ought for another - - -.”

No question about permission – simply impossible.

(4 contradictions).

229 34/25: “Ye (“infidels”) shall not be questioned as to our sins, nor shall we be questioned as to what you do.” This may mean something like “we prefer to live and let live” and was one of the many more peaceful verses that became overruled – contradicted and abrogated – when Muhammad gained more power (it is from ca. or a little after 622 AD.) This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

230 34/28: “We (Allah*) hawed not sent thee (Muhammad*) but as a universal (Messenger) to men giving them glad tidings - - -.” This was in 620 AD. 2 years later he slowly started to give his surroundings a lot more than glad tidings. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

231 34/44: “- - - nor sent them (the non-Muslims in/around Mecca – this surah is from late in the Mecca period*) messengers before thee (Muhammad*) as Warners.” Contradictions:

1. 2/125-129: These verses reminds Muslims about that according to the Quran both Abraham and Ishmael lived and worked in Mecca – and both were prophets and messengers, still according to the Quran. But according to science: If Abraham has ever lived, he lived some 2000 – 1800 BC – which means centuries and millenia before Muhammad. There also were Hud and Salih and others working in Arabia before Muhammad according to the Quran.
2. 10/47: “To every people (was sent) a messenger - - -.” Homo Sapiens – also called Modern Man – developed most likely in East Africa some 160000 – 200000 years ago, and crossed – and occupied – the land bridge between Africa and Asia (= the Middle East) not later than 100000 years ago (the year is debated - there exist scientists who say min. 70000 years ago). If every people had got Messengers, how come that Sinai/Arabia/etc. had not got one for nearly 100000 years (or say 60000+ years, as something - we do not know what - happened 60000 - 70000 (64000?) years ago that started Homo Sapiens on the road to civilisation) – not until Abraham and Ishmael - according to the Quran some 2500 years before Muhammad ago?
3. 16/36: “For We (Allah*) assuredly sent amongst every People a Messenger.” See 10/47 just above.
4. 35/24: “- - - and there never was a people, without a warner having lived among them (in the past).” A very clear contradiction.

Besides there were the prophets Hud and Shuyab that if we have understood it correctly, lived some generations after Noah, but before Moses.

(Min. 6 contradictions.)

232 34/50: “If I (Muhammad*) go astray, I only stray to the loss of my own soul - - -.”

Wrong to at least the 9. power (as there are better than a billion Muslims – or the 10. power or more if you reckon the ones through the times). If Muhammad was astray – ALL believing Muslims are astray – and all the mistaken facts, contradictions, invalid logic, etc., tell an ominous tale.

00h 35/11: There is no indication of that it is Allah who is saying this. Is it Muhammad who once more is speaking himself in the Mother Book?

233 35/23: “Thou (Muhammad*) art no other than a warner.” Well, around 615 – 616 AD he was may be only that. But it changed later – from a warner to an enforcer and a robber baron. With the corresponding changes of the religion – and abrogation of and contradictions to the old sayings, like this one. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

234 35/24a: “Verily We (Allah*) have sent thee (Muhammad*) in truth as a bearer of Glad Tidings and as a warner - - -.” As for glad tidings, that only goes for the Muslims, and for far from all of them even. But but for that: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

235 35/24b: “- - - there never was a people, without a warner having lived among them (in the past).”

1. 28/46: “Yet (art thou (Muhammad*) sent) as a Mercy from thy Lord (according to the Quran = Allah*) to give warning to a people to whom no warner (= prophet, messenger*) had come before - - -.” No messenger had they had, even though “every people” had had.
2. 32/3: “Nay, it is the Truth from thy (Muhammad’s*) Lord (Allah*), that thou mayest admonish to a people to whom no warner has come before thee - - -.”

3. 34/44: “But We (Allah*) had not given them (Arabs’*) Books which they could study, nor sent messengers to them before thee (Muhammad*) - - -.”
4. 36/6: “In order that thou (Muhammad*) mayest admonish a people (the Arabs*) whose fathers had received no admonition - - -.”

Which verse(s) is/are wrong?

(4 contradictions).

236 35/36: “But those who reject (Allah) – for them will be the Fire of Hell”. Not exactly compulsion on the surface, but at least a clear threat. We include it because this threat is repeated often, so it makes up a considerable psychological compulsion anyhow for anyone not sure Islam is wrong. It at least contradicts 2/256.

237 36/6: “- - - thou (Muhammad*) mayst admonish a people, whose fathers had received no admonition - - -.” = had had no warners/messengers before. But:

1. 2/125-129: These verses reminds Muslims about that according to the Quran both Abraham and Ishmael lived and worked in Mecca – and both were prophets and messengers, still according to the Quran. But according to science: If Abraham has ever lived, he lived some 2000 – 1800 BC – which means centuries before Moses and millenia before Muhammad.
2. 10/47: “To every people (was sent) a messenger - - -.” Homo Sapiens – also called Modern Man – developed most likely in East Africa some 160000 – 200000 years ago, and crossed – and occupied – the land bridge between Africa and Asia (= the Middle East) not later than 100000 years ago (though a few say min. 70000 years). If every people had got Messengers, how come that Sinai/Arabia/etc. had not got one for nearly 100000 years – not until Moses some 3300 years ago? And Hud and one or two others before him.
3. 16/36: “For We (Allah*) assuredly sent amongst every People a Messenger.” See 10/47 just above.
4. 35/24: “- - - and there never was a people, without a warner having lived among them (in the past).” A very clear contradiction.

Besides there were the prophets Hud and Shuyab that if we have understood it correctly, lived some generations after Noah – and before Moses.

(Min. 6 contradictions.)

238 36/17: “And our (Muhammad’s*) duty to proclaim the clear message.” Once more something from Mecca (ca. 615 – 616 AD), that was “killed” by “The verse of the Sword (9/5) and a number of others when later Muhammad also became – or decided that he also was – an enforcer. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

239 37/11: “Them (man*) have We (Allah*) created out of sticky clay.” But: This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly speaking this contradicts 30 different verses, but minimum 12 secure contradictions.)

240 37/66: “- - - they (the “infidels” in Hell*) will eat thereof (the disgusting fruits of the zaqqum tree in Hell*) and fill their bellies therewith.” But there is a small contradiction:

1. 69/36: “Nor hat he (the “infidel” in Hell*) any food except the corruption from the washing of wounds”.
2. 88/6: “No food will there be for them (the “infidels” in Hell*) but a bitter dari (a dry bush with needles*) - - -.”

(2 contradictions.)

241 37/45: “But We (Allah*) cast him (Jonah*) forth on the naked shore in a state of sickness.”

1. 68/49: “Had not Grace from his (Jonah’s*) Lord (Allah*) reached him, he would indeed have been cast off on a naked shore, in

disgrace.” An exactly 180 degree contradiction.

242 37/174: "So turn thou (Muslims/Muhammad*) away from them for a little while - - -". This was around 616 AD. Half a dozen years later Muhammad little by little stopped turning away from those who did not want him. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

243 37/178: Identical to 37/174 above.

244 38/70: "Only this has been revealed to me (Muhammad*): that I am going to give (peaceful*) warning plainly and publicly". This was around 614-615 AD. Later things changed: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

245 38/71: "I (Allah*) am about to create man from clay". Quite similar to 37/11 above. This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from "base material", and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistaken facts in the Quran.) (Strictly speaking this contradicts some 30 different verses, but minimum 12 sure contradictions.)

246 38/76: "- - - him (man/Adam*) thou (Allah*) createdst from clay." Quite similar to 37/11 above. This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from "base

material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly speaking this contradicts 30 different verses, but minimum 12 sure contradictions.)

247 39/12 (likely 615-617 AD): “And I (Muhammad*) am commanded to be the first of those who bow to Allah in Islam”. See 6/14.

(At least 6 contradictions).

248 39/15: "Serve ye (non-Muslims*) what ye will besides Him (Allah*)". Just you guess if this from ca. 615-617 AD was contradicted and abrogated later on!! This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

249 39/39: "O my (Allah's or Muhammad's*) people ("infidel" Aeabs in Mecca ca.616-617 AD*)! Do whatever ye can - - -". There was a 180 degree change of this point of view half a dozen years later - Allah changed his mind? This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

250 39/41: “Nor art thou (Muhammad*) set over them (“infidels”) to dispose of their affairs.” But 5 – 7 years later, when Muhammad started to gain power from 622 AD on, that changed – he became an overseer, enforcer and robber baron – and later a warlord - - - and rules/religion had to change. Or was it the other way around – that it was Allah who changed his mind and wanted more inhumanity, immoral action, and blood? Anyhow the result was contradictions and abrogations compared to the old. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

251 39/42: “It is Allah who takes the souls (of men) at death - - -.”

1. 32/11: “The Angel of Death (singular* and obviously a name – capital letters*) - - - will (duly) take your souls - - -.” But:
2. 47/27: “- - - the angels (plural*) take their souls at death - - -.”

(2 contradictions.)

252 40/25: Pharaoh said: “Slay the sons of those who believe with him (Moses*), and keep alive their females”. A new punishment for the Jewish slaves, and it is clear that this is to start fast. But contradiction:

1. 2/49: “- - - We (Allah*) delivered you from the people of Pharaoh (who*) - - - slaughtered your sons - - -”. This already was praxis.
2. 7/141: “- - - Pharaoh’s people - - - who slew your male children and saved alive the females - - -.” The Bible tells more than one place that the killing of male babies started long before the situation in 7/127. The Bible tells that those killings were the reason for why the baby Moses was set adrift on the Nile (the Quran gives no real reason - but the reason was that male babies were killed).
3. 14/6: “(Moses said about Pharaoh that he*) slaughtered your sons and let your women-folk live - - -.” This already was praxis.

(3 contradictions).

253 41/5: “- - - so do thou (non-Muslim Arabs*) (what you wilt) - - -”. The plain story later on: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

254 42/23: “No reward do I (Muhammad*) ask of you for this except the love of those near of kin”. Well, except 20% of all stolen goods and enslaved people - 100% if they gave in without a fight, 2.5% (average) of all your belongings each and every year, plenty of women and undisputed and total power over you, + lots of warriors to fight and may be die for me, among other things. One of the strongest contradicted and abrogated verse in all the Quran.

255 41/9-12: These verses tell that Allah created the Earth in 2 days, the mountains and everything on Earth in 4 days and finally the heaven (“the seven firmaments” – plural and wrong) in 2 days. $2 + 4 + 2 = 8$ days. But:

1. 7/54: “- - - Allah, Who created the heavens and the earth in six Days - - -.”
2. 10/3: “- - -Allah, Who created the heavens and the earth in six Days - - -.”
3. 11/7: “He (Allah*) is it Who created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth in six Days”.

4. 25/59: “He (Allah*) Who created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth and all that is between, in six days - - -.”
5. 50/38: “We (Allah*) created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth and all between them in six Days - - -.”
6. 57/4: “He (Allah*) is it Who created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth in Six Days”.

(6 contradictions.)

256 41/16: “So We (Allah*) sent against them a furious Wind through days (NB: Plural*) of disaster - - -.” (69/7 = 6 nights and 7 days.) But:

1. 54/19: “For We (Allah*) sent against them (the people of Ad*) a durious wind, on a Day of violent Disaster.” Here it lasted only one day.

257 41/31: “We (the angels*) are your protector in this life and in the Hereafter.” But contradicted at least by this:

1. 2/107: “And beside Him (Allah*) ye have neither patron nor helper.”

258 41/34: “Repell (Evil) with what is better (Good*); then will he between whom and thee was hatred become as it were thy friend and intimate”. This is like taken from Jesus’ words in the NT - - - but this was around 616 – 618 AD, and long before Muhammad and Islam started to change - to become an ethically and morally destroyed robber baron and his convenient religion of theft, rape, destruction and blood. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

259 42/6: “- - - thou (Muhammad*) art not the disposer of their affairs.” No, not around 614 – 618 AD. But after 622 AD he became quite a lot, included an enforcer – and verses like this were both contradicted and abrogated. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

260 42/10: “Whatever it be - - -.” Muhammad is praying to Allah, not quoting him. In a book at least 13.7 billion years old (from before creation of the Universe) – and copy of a Mother Book (13/39) revered by Allah in his own “home”.

261 42/15: “There is no contention between us (Muslims*) and you (“infidels”).” May be not in 614 – 618 AD. But later it was the power class = Muslims (with Muhammad as dictator), and non-Muslims “thoroughly subdued” - - - and with the religion a lot changed = contradictions and abrogations in the Quran. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

262 42/30: “Whatever misfortune happens to you, is because of the things your hand has wrought.” This is contradicted by the reality that things sometimes happen to you from other peoples’ acts that you are totally innocent of, or from f. ex. natural catastrophes, and it also is contradicted by all the verses in the Quran saying Allah decided everything.

(At least 10 contradictions.)

263 42/48: “Thy (Muhammad’s) duty is but to convey (the Message (the Quran* - or the peaceful parts that existed in 614 – 618 AD*))”. From some years later on, Islam found some of their duties to be more brutal enforcers, so among other verses this one and a lot more were contradicted and abrogated. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

264 43/83: “So leave them (“infidels”*) to babble and play (with their vanities) - - -.” Comments like 42/48 just above. And: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

265 43/86: “And those whom they invoke (“gods”, saints*) besides Allah have no power of intercession – only he (has*) who bears witness to the Truth - - -.” The word “he” cannot refer to Allah, because the Quran always use capital 1. letter (“His”) then. But according to the Quran the prophets and messengers are to be called forth “to witness to the truth”. “He” therefore must be referring to each and every prophet and messenger (or at least Muhammad) - - - who the according to this verse have power to intercede.

1. 2//123: “(The Day (of Doom) when) one soul shall not avail another - - - nor shall intercession profit her (the soul*) - - -.” An absolute law: No intercession possible.
2. 2/254: “- - - before the Day (of Doom*) comes when no bargaining (will avail), nor friendship, nor intercession.” Amount others: Intercession is impossible.
3. 6/51: “- - - they will be brought to (Judgement (= Day of Doom*)) before their Lord (Allah*): except for Him they will have no protector nor intercessor - - -.”
4. 82/19: “(It will be) the Day (of Doom*) when no soul shall have the power (to do) ought for another - - -.”

No question about permission – simply impossible.

(4 contradictions).

266 43/89: “But turn away from them, and say ‘Peace.’” Comments like 43/48 above. And: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

267 44/3: “We (Allah*) sent it (the Quran) down during a blessed night - - -.” This contradicts everything that is said other places in the Quran, and everything Islam says, about how the Quran was sent down (?): Piece by piece over 23 years.

268 44/59: “So wait thou (Muhammad*) and watch; for they (“infidels”*) (too) are waiting.” This was in the middle of the Mecca periode. When the Medina periode started in 622 AD, Muhammad started to change his mind about peaceful waiting. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

269 45/14: “Tell those who believe, to forgive those who do not look forward to the Days of Allah (Day of Doom*).” But the word “forgive” was slowly forgotten after 622 AD – when they also took on the duty of also being enforcers. With the result: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if

you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

270 46/9: “- - - I (Muhammad*) am but a Warner, open and clear.” Yes, in 620 AD he only was a self proclaimed warner. Things changed and verses were really abrogated when he got more power a few years later. Comments like for 46/135 just below. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

271 46/35: "Therefore patiently persevere - - - and be in no haste about the (unbelievers)." One more that was strongly contradicted and abrogated later on: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

272 46/135a: “(Muhammad*) - - - be in no haste about the (unbelievers) - - -.” When he gained power he got more haste – f. ex. the reluctant Arabs (and a lot of others) that were not won by gifts and free plundering/enslaving, were won by the sword – in stark contradiction to what Muslims like to tell. Become Muslim or fight and die! This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

273 46/135b: “(Thine (duty Muhammad is*) but) to proclaim the Message (the Quran*).” This was in 620 AD. The changes came in and after 622 AD This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions)

**274 47/4: “Therefore, when ye meet the Unbelievers (in fight (and remember the Muslims practically always were the aggressors – to gain riches and slaves and power - - - and some new proselytes*)), smite at their necks - - -.” Surah 47 is from 622 AD and Mecca, but some verses likely from Medina – and it is possible to see the change towards war already. It contradicts and abrogates a lot of peaceful verses. This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70,

6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

275 47/27: “- - - when the angels (plural*) take their (peoples’*) souls at death”. But:

1. 32/11: “The Angel of Death (singular* and obviously a name – capital letters*) - - - will (duly) take your souls - - -.”
2. 39/42: “It is Allah who takes the souls (of men) at death - - -.”

(2 contradictions.)

276 47/36: “- - - and He (Allah*) will not ask you (to give up) your possessions.” Just stop a little: Allah demands an average of 2.5% in zakat - tax - of all your possessions each and every year (if you are not too poor). VERY clearly contradicted and abrogated by many verses in the Quran.

277 50/38: “We (Allah*) created the heavens and the earth and all between them in Six Days - - -.” Which contradicts:

1. 41/9-12 that tell that the job took $2 + 4 + 2 = 8$ days. (Muslims tries to explain this away with that the 2 first days were included in the 4 ones. But read 41/9 yourself – it clearly state that this part of the job took 2 days, this part 4 days, and the rest 2 days.) Besides: In reality it took billions of years.

278 50/39: “Bear, then, with patience, all that they (“infidels”*) say - - -.” The patience became much less talked about from one year later on (622 AD). This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

279 50/45: “We (Allah*) know best what they (the “infidels”*) say; and thou (Muhammad*) art not one to overawe them by force.” Knowing the later history, this verse is a big, ironic or sardonic joke. This surah is from 614 AD: Just you guess if it was contradicted and abrogated from 622 AD on!! It was: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc.

compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

280 51/50-51a: “I (Muhammad*) am from Him (Allah*) a Warner to you (Muslims*), clear and open. And make not another (person/thing/idea*) an object of worship with Allah: I am from Him a Warner to you, clear and open!” Here is no “Say” or other indication for that Muhammad is quoting anything – he simply is speaking himself, forgetting he should pretend that he is quoting a copy of the Mother Book (13/39) made and revered in Heaven. Either that, or Allah has forgotten to say it – and how much else has he then forgotten? – or Muhammad forgot, and in case may have forgotten more.

281 51/50-51b: “I (Muhammad*) am from Him (Allah*) a Warner to you (Muslims*), clear and open. And make not another (person/thing/idea*) an object of worship with Allah: I am from Him a Warner to you, clear and open!” This is from Mecca 620. Muhammad is still military weak – and still only a warner. Later he became an enforcer (much of Arabia became Muslims on the point of the sword): This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

282 51/54: “So (Muhammad*) turn away from them (“infidels”*) - - -.” One more point that was contradicted and abrogated when Muhammad grew military strong from 2 years later on. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

283 51/56: “I (Allah*) have only created jinns and men, that they may serve Me.” But:

1. 7/179: “Many are the Jinns and men We (Allah*) have made for hell”.

A small contradiction “de luxe”. And what does 7/179 tell about Allah?

284 52/35: “Were they (people*) created of nothing, or were they themselves the creators?” A rhetoric question stating that man was created from nothing – 19/67 says the same. But: This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30,

24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material” (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 29 other verses. And in this case minimum 29 contradictions.)

285 52/45: “So (Muhammad/Muslims*) leave them (“infidels”*) alone until they encounter that Day - - -.” Leave them alone till the Day of Doom. But neither Muhammad nor his successors left them alone as soon as Islam was military strong enough. **And has Islam at any time ever after left their surroundings alone in periods when Islam was military strong?** This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

286 52/47: “And verily, for those who do wrong (“infidels”*), there is another punishment besides this (that in the long run they will loose – and meet the other punishment: Hell*)” A comforting thought at the difficult end of the Mecca period - so just leave them alone. (A confirmation of 52/45, really). But: 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 contradictions). This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

287 53/29: “Therefore shun those who turn away from Our (Muhammad’s*) Message - - -.” That was Muhammad’s words around 612 – 615 AD. 10 years later the “melody” changed. Comments like 52/47 just above. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

288 54/6: "Therefore, (O Prophet), turn away from them (the non-Muslims*)." Ca. 614 AD he had to turn away from them - but 10 years and more later? This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

289 54/19: “For We (Allah*) sent against them (the people of Ad*) a durious wind, on a Day of violent Disaster.” But other verses contradict; it lasted more than one day:

1. 41/16: “So We (Allah*) sent against them a furious Wind through days of disaster - - -.”
2. 69/6-7: “And the ‘Ad – they were destroyed by a furious Wind - - - He (Allah*) made it rage against them seven nights and eight days in succession - - -.”

(2 contradictions).

290 55/14: “He (Allah*) created man from ringing clay (= burnt clay*) like unto pottery”.
But:

This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing.

(Also see verse 6/2 in the chapter about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 30 other verses. But minimum 11 contradictions.)

291 57/4: “He (Allah*) is it Who created the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth in Six Days”. Contradicted by:

1. 00a 41/9-12 that tell that the job took $2 + 4 + 2 = 8$ days. (Muslims tries to explain this away with that the 2 first days were included in the 4 ones. But read 41/9 yourself – it clearly state that this part of the job took 2 days, this part 4 days, and the rest 2 days.) And reality says billiond of years.

292 57/22: “No misfortune can happen on earth or in your souls (minds*) but is recorded in a decree before We (Allah*) brings it into existence - - -.” In more plain words: **Nothing can happen unless in accordance with predestination - with what Allah has decided and written down on beforehand.** But this contradicts each and every verse saying man has free will and also that man is to blame when he does something wrong. And what about accidents and natural catastrophes – are they planned and executed – like in Calvin in Calvin and Hobbs – by a benevolent, good god?

(At least 10 contradictions).

293 66/98: “O Prophet! Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” Muslims will excuse Muhammad with that this is about war – but is that any excuse, when practically all raids and wars were initiated by the Muslims? This verse contradicts (and abrogates) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 91 contradictions).

294 67/26: “- - I (Muhammad*) am (sent) only to warn plainly in public.” But 3 - 4 years later (from 622 AD) he started to take on more dirty and inhuman jobs, too. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

295 68/49: “Had not Grace from his (Jonah’s*) Lord (Allah*) reached him, he would indeed have been cast off on a naked shore, in disgrace.” Contradiction:

1. 37/45: “But We (Allah*) cast him (Jonah*) forth on the naked shore in a state of sickness.” An exactly 180 degree contradiction.

296 69/5: “But the Thamud – they were destroyed by a terrible Storm of thunder and lightning.” But:

1. 7/78: “So the earthquake took them unawares, and they lay prostrate in their homes in the morning.” For a good measure: In “The Message of the Quran” – and for all we know also in other translations – this mistake simply is falsified when translating 69/5 and just a tiny reference to a comment with the correct text another place in the big book. They write (translated from Swedish): “The people of Thamud were buried by an earthquake”. Then 69/5 is in accordance with 7/78 – even though in the Arab scripture is said they were destroyed by a storm. We may add that the book is permitted printed by Al-Ahzar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy,

Cairo – one of the 2-3 foremost universities in all Islam.

Should there be honesty in a religion?

But then Islam have al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) and “Kitman” (the lawful half-truth) – and are ordered to use it if necessary to defend or promote Islam (and permitted to do it in other cases like cheating women and securing money – the last at least according to Ibn Ishaq and Al-Bukhari.)

When to trust Muslims and when not in serious cases?

2. 11/67: “The (mighty) Blast overtook the wrongdoers (the people of Thamud*), and they lay prostrate in their homes in the morning - - -.” A blast is something from f. ex. an explosion.

(2 contradictions.)

297 69/7: “He (Allah*) made it (the storm that destroyed Ad*) rage against them seven nights and eight days in succession - - -.”

1. 54/19: “For We (Allah*) sent against them (the people of Ad*) a durious wind, on a Day of violent Disaster.” But other verses contradict - it lasted more than one day:

00r 69/25: “And he (the sinner*) will be given his Record in his left hand (on the Day of Doom) - - -.” But:

1. 84/10: “But he who is given his Record behind his back - - -.” (We do not count this one, because it is possible to say he held his left hand behind his back - unlikely but possible.)

298 69/36: “Nor hat he (the sinner in Hell*) any food except the corruption from washing wounds.” A pertinent question: How and with what do you wash wounds – and how do fried wounds excrete corruption - in Hell? But that aside:

1. 37/66: “- - - they (the “infidels” in Hell*) will eat thereof (the disgusting fruits of the zaqqum tree in Hell*) and fill their bellies therewith.”

2. 88/6: “No food will there be for them (the “infidels” in Hell*) but a bitter dari (a dry bush with needles*) - - -.”

(2 contradictions.)

299 70/4: “- - - unto Him (Allah*) in a day the measure whereof is (as) fifty thousand years:”

1. 22/47: “Verily, a Day in the sight of thy Lord (Allah*) is like a thousand years of your reckoning.”
2. 32/5: “- - - on a Day, the space whereof will be (as) a thousand years of your reckoning.”

(2 contradictions)

300 70/39: “For We (Allah*) have created them (man) out of (base matter), they know.” But:

This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing.

(Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 30 other verses. But minimum 2 contradictions, as base matter can be said to be anything except nothing.)

301 70/42: "So leave them (non-Muslims*) to plunge in vain talk and amuse themselves - - -". Around 614-617 AD this was all Muhammad's power permitted him to say. When his power grew, he could say a lot more. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

302 73/10: “And have patience with what they say, and leave them with noble (dignity).” This is an early surah (611 – 614 AD). Muhammad has little or no real power, and is a peaceful preacher. Both he and the religion showed other faces when he gained power – or Allah wanted more blood and gore and suffering from 622 AD on. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123,

25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

303 73/11: “And leave Me (Allah*) (alone with those) in possession of the good things of life, who (yet) deny the Truth, and bear with them for a little while.” That little while lasted exactly till Muhammad gained military power – then he (or Allah*) went for a stricter regime. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

304 74/11: "Leave Me (Allah*) alone (to deal) with the (creature (man*)) whom I created (bare and) alone". Well, some years later Muhammad started to help Allah with managing those creatures - especially the ones that did not want him as their dictator. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

305 76/2: “Verily, We (Allah*) created man (used like this, it is clear that “man” represents the human race, Adam*) from a drop with mingled sperm, so We gave him (the gifts) of Hearing and Sight”. It is not said from where the sperm came, and the author obviously does not know about the egg cell, but that aside:

This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 16/4, 96/2 that tell man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing. (Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 27 other verses. And in this case also minimum 27 contradictions.)

306 76/21: “- - - and they (the inmates of Paradise*) will be adorned with bracelets of silver - - -” But:

1. 22/23: “- - - they (the Muslims in Paradise*) shall be adorned with bracelets of gold and pearls - - -” Very nice – except that:

2. 18/31: “- - - they (the Muslims in Paradise*) will be adorned therein with bracelets of gold - - -”.

Two minor, but clear contradictions.

307 76/29: "This is an admonition: whosoever will, let him take a (straight) Path to his Lord (Allah*)." Later it was not only whosoever will. Read Islamic history about forced conversions, not to mention treatment of persons wanting to leave Islam even today in many societies. And reas f. ex. 9/5.

308 76/30 (age unknown): “But ye (humans*) will not, except as Allah wills.” Total redestination. Contradicted by:

1. 8/30 (614-618 AD): “Whenever misfortune happens to you (humans*), it is because of the things your hands have wrought - - -”. Also see 6/22 (630-632 AD): “No misfortune can happen on earth or in your souls but is recorded in a decree before We (Allah*) bring it into existence.”

But also see all the places where Muhammad tells his people that battle is not dangerous - and refusing to do battle is to no avail - as Allah has long since decided the hour of their death, and nothing they do or not do, can change that hour. This point really goes to the heart of two facts in Islam:

2. Muhammad came to stress predestination more and more as the years went by in Medina - one can speculate if the reason was that he needed warriors.
3. And this is a serious point: THROUGH 1400 YEARS ISLAM HAS BEEN UNABLE TO EXPLAIN THE CONTRADICTING STATEMENTS THAT MAN HAS FREE WILL, AND THAT ALLAH DECIDES EVERYTHING. THE MORE OR LESS OFFICIAL POINT OF VIEW (THOUGH NOT AMONG THE UNEDUCATED ONES THAT HARDLY UNDERSTANDS THE PROBLEM) IS THAT THE TWO STATEMENTS ARE IMPOSSIBLE TO COMBINE, “BUT IT HAS TO BE TRUE AS IT IS SAID IN THE QURAN”(!!!). ALSO THE SENDING PEOPLE TO HELL FOR A FAIR PUNISHMENT COMBINED WITH THE “FACT” THAT ALLAH DECIDES

EVERYTHING BY PREDESTINATION, IS IMPOSSIBLE TO COMBINE WITH THE PRESUMPTION OF A BENEVOLENT AND/OR FAIR GOD. Some Muslims back paddle very much on the point of predestination and try to explain that it is not real predestination, though unable to explain what it then is - but the Quran is too clear on this.

309 79/45: "Thou (Muhammad*) art but a Warner - - -." And he stayed like that - - - until he grew powerful enough to do more than warning - f. ex. enforcing and empire-building. And it is a question who changed his mind around 622 AD - Allah or Muhammad? And who changed the religion - Allah or Muhammad? That change demanded that the religion from the 12 years in Mecca had to be both contradicted and abrogated on many a point. This verse is contradicted and often "killed" by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) - we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

310 80/12: "- - - let whoso will, keep it (the teachings of Muhammad*) in remembrance." Around 611-614 AD this was Muhammad's message. Some 10 years later he started to tell his fellow Arabians - and Jews and others: Become Muslims and be rich from stolen goods and slaves or die. (Much of Arabia - and other places were won for Islam by the sword. This verse is abrogated - made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) - we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

311 80/17-19: "Woe to man! What hath made him reject Allah? (Used like this it is clear that the word "man" here means the human race/Adam*.) From what stuff hath He (Allah*) created him? From a sperm-drop He hath created him - - -." But:

This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 96/2 that tells man/Adam was made from a clot of congealed blood, 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from "base material", and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing.

(Also see verse 6/2 in the chapters about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 27 other verses. And here minimum 27 contradictions.)

312 82/19: “(It will be) the Day (of Doom*) when no soul shall have the power (to do) ought for another - - -.” But:

1. 20/109: “On that Day (Day of Doom*) shall no intercession avail, except for those whom permission has been granted by (Allah) - - -.” Here it is possible if Allah permits.
2. 34/23: “No intercession can avail in His (Allah’s*) Presence (= on the Day of Doom*), except for whom He has granted permission.” Intercession ok if Allah permits.
3. 43/86: “And those whom they invoke (“gods”, saints*) besides Allah have no power of intercession – only he (has*) who bears witness to the Truth - - -.” The word “he” cannot refer to Allah, because the Quran always then use capital 1. letter (“He”). But according to the Quran the prophets and messengers are to be called forth “to witness to the truth”. “He” therefore must be referring to each and every prophet and messenger - - - who the according to this verse have power to intercede.

Intercession is not impossible in spite of 82/19 – it only takes permission. Hadiths also tell that Muhammad has the right of intercession.

3 contradictions.)

00s 84/10: “But he who is given his Record behind his back - - -.” But:

69/25: “And he (the sinner*) will be given his Record in his left hand (on the Day of Doom) - - -.” (We do not count this one, because it is possible to say he held his left hand behind his back. But in reality the left hand refers to the old Arab belief that left was the bad and unlucky side)

313 86/17: “Therefore grant a delay to the Unbelievers: give a respite to them gently (for a while)”. This is likely to be in 614 AD. The sound grew more sinister from 622 AD on: This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

314 88/6: “No food will there be for them (the “infidels” in Hell*) but a bitter dari (a dry bush with needles*) - - -.”

1. 37/66: “- - - they (the “infidels” in Hell*) will eat thereof (the disgusting fruits of the zaqqum tree in Hell*) and fill their bellies therewith.” But there is a small contradiction:
2. 69/36: “Nor hat he (the “infidel” in Hell*) any food except the corruption from the washing of wounds”.

(2 contradictions.)

315 88/22: “Thou (Muhammad*) art not to manage (men’s) (religious*) affairs - - -.” One more verse that was abrogated of the more powerful Muhammad – or Allah – later. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

316 96/2: Allah “Created man (used like this = the human race/Adam*), out of a (mere) clot of congealed blood - - -.” But:

This is contradicted by 6/2, 7/12, 17/61, 32/7, 38/71, and 38/76 that tell man/Adam was made from clay, 15/26, 15/26, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from sounding clay, 55/14 that tells man/Adam was made from ringing clay, 37/11 that tells man/Adam was made from sticky clay, 23/12, that tells man/Adam was made from essence of clay, 15/26, 15/28, and 15/33 that tell man/Adam was made from mud, 3/59, 22/5, 35/11, 40/67, that tell man/Adam was made from dust, 20/55 that tells man/Adam was made from earth, 16/4, 75/37, 76/2, 80/19, that tell man/Adam was made from semen (without explaining from where the semen came), 21/30, 24/45, and 25/54 that tell man/Adam was made from water (NB! NB! Not in water, but from water!), 70/39 that tells man/Adam was made from “base material”, and not to mention the greatest contradiction in this case: 19/9 and 19/67 which both tell that man/Adam was created from nothing.

(Also see verse 6/2 in the chapter about the 1000+ mistakes in the Quran.) (Strictly reckoned this contradicts 30 other verses. And in this case also minimum 30 contradictions.)

317 109/5-6: “- - - Nor will ye worship that which I worship. To you be your way, and to me mine.” This was early in Muhammad’s career – somewhere between 611 and 615 AD. His religion was peaceful and his military power zero. Everything changed after he started to gain real power from 622 AD on – science disagree as to if it was his real nature that emerged when he grew powerful enough, or if he was morally destroyed by the power – power often has that effect. This verse is contradicted and often “killed” by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 5/73, 8/12, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 29 contradictions).

317 contradictions + 19 likely contradictions. (And there are more).

And one extra contradiction: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam? Quite a contradiction to much of the text in the Quran!

PS: We repeat we have added some contradictions just before launching this on Internet. As we will add a few more when we finish this book in 2010, we wait with correcting the mathematics till then.

PART II, CHAPTER 8, Subchapter 2 (= II-8-2-0)

OTHER TYPES OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

100+ EXTERNAL CONTRADICTIONS IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(As most mistakes are contradictions to reality, there are many more external contradictions - many more.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

(NB: Contradictions to the Bible – see Part III, Chapter 2.)

The Quran claims there are no contradictions in the Quran. Muhammad claimed there were no contradictions in the Quran. Islam claims there are no contradictions in the Quran. Muslims claim there are no contradictions in the Quran.

They claim the same about no mistakes – and they are wrong. There are plenty.

And in reality all the mistaken facts in the Quran are contradictions in the Quran with reality – each and every mistaken fact is such a contradiction. **Yes, every single real mistake in facts, grammar, language, etc. is an external contradiction in the Quran to reality. That means there in reality are more than 2000 points that contradicts reality.**

But here we list most of the most frequently debated contradiction. Most of them we simply have taken from the list of mistaken facts – just because as said: Mistaken facts in reality are contradictions to reality. Even if you will find the majority also in chapters about “1000+ mistaken facts in the Quran”, we found it would be practical to gather the most used and most obvious contradictions in a separate shortlist here, so that they are easy to find - - - and easy to get an overview over. If you know the comments to these and to the internal contradictions (see previous chapter - II/8/A), you can meet 90% of the claims and statements concerning “no contradictions in the Quran”.

001 2/22a “- - - the heavens, your canopy - - -“. Plural and wrong – referring to 7 heavens. See 2/29 – 23/17 – 23/86 – 41/2 - 65/12 – 67/3 – 78/12, and also 10/6 – 31/10. The heaven is no canopy – just an optical illusion + vacuum. And no 7 ones. Contradicting true astronomy.

002 2/53: “- - - We (Allah*) gave Moses the scripture - - -“. The books named after Moses - the first 5 in the Bible - (the Torah) are not written by Moses. Moses lived (if he is not a fiction) around 1300-1200 BC (if the Exodus from Egypt really took place, it took place ca. 1235 BC during the reign of Ramses II according to science), and those books were written not earlier than ca. 800 BC - perhaps as late as 500 BC - also according to science. A god had known this, whereas Muhammad knew nothing about their real age, and had to guess. (To be exact: The Bible says that Yahweh told Moses the law – nothing material except the two stone tablets where the ten commandments were inscribed – and that he wrote it down afterwards. It also says that when Solomon moved the Ark of Covenant into the Temple in Jerusalem (1.Kings 8/9), it only contained the two stone tablets. There is nothing about “the Books of Moses” – science is unanimous that they are written much later. If Muslims claim something else, they will have to produce proofs.) The law really also only is part of the Torah/Book of Moses. Contradicting historical science.

003 2/99: “We (Allah*) have sent down to thee (people*) manifest Signs - - -“. The Quran is overloaded with what it says are “signs” (indicated to be proofs) and “Clear Signs” or like here “manifest Signs” (indicated to be strong proofs) – and not one single of them proves anything about Allah or Muhammad, as the book NEVER proves, only claims, that Allah did this or that which it then calls a “sign” or a “clear sign” or a “proof” (there may be some exceptions for signs taken from the Bible, but those in case prove Yahweh, not Allah – and only Islam claims that Yahweh and Allah is the same god (which they cannot be, unless the god is schizophrenic – they are too different, especially when Yahweh is acting according to the New Covenant from NT, which came some 580 years before Muhammad started his preaching, but which Muslims never mention). Especially claims for “Clear Signs” are so obviously wrong, that it is impossible not to include some of them also in the column “Mistaken facts” – they are no signs – and definitely no clear signs - for a god, and even if they were, they absolutely were no clear signs for Allah, because any priest in any religion can make just the same claims for his god or gods – words are that cheap - - - also for Muhammad. Contradicting reality and the laws of logic.

004 2/113a: “- - - they (Jews and Christians*) profess to study the (same) Book.” Wrong for two reasons: One: Jews only have the Old Testament (OT). Two: The Christian religion is

built on NT, with OT mainly as historical background – a fact that opponents often forget or “forget”. Contradicting reality.

005 2/213b: “Mankind was one single nation - - -.” Mankind never was a single nation. Some 160000-200000 years ago PERHAPS one tribe, but never one nation - and absolutely not within these last few millenniums. Contradicting all scientific knowledge concerning this.

006 2/216: “And on earth: everything renders worship to Him (Allah*)”. When the Quran says everything, it means everything – also inanimate matter. But there has never been shown one single clear indication for that even animals (not to mention inanimate things) – included slugs and flies and everything – renders worship to any god, not to mention that this claimed rendering of worship is directed towards Allah. Ans also no non-Muslims do so. Islam will have to prove it if they insist it is true.

007 2/119: “- - - glad tidings - - -”. This expression is repeated many places in the Quran, but the Quran only is glad tidings if it is not a made up book, and then only to Muslims, and then again only to some of the Muslims: The ones that likes to go to war to steal from others and become rich, the ones that wants to suppress others to become powerful, and the minority that needs a religion to lean to (there is such a minority in all cultures, and they lean as happily to any religion they happen to believe in). But it is a glad tidings in the religious meaning even to those only if it is proved a true religion - - - and so much indicate that the Quran is made up, that Islam will have to prove, not only claim, to be believed. Contradiction in the meaning it is bad tidings for the majority, not to mention for the victims (but Muhammad and Islam seemed - and at least partly seems - to be on a cultural level where one was/is unable to feel empathy and unable to understand what a catastrophe Islam's aggressions and wars meant to other human beings.

008 2/125: “- - - the Station of Abraham (Maqam-e-Ibrahim*)- - -”. This in praxis is a mark in a stone in Mecca. The Quran indicates and Islam says that it is a mark from Abraham's feet when he made the Kabah. No – absolutely no – worker building a house ever stood at one and the same place long enough to make a mark in a natural stone visible 1400 years later. It has never happened any time or any place in the world. This flatly is a fairy tale and strongly contradicted both by reality and by the intelligence of any human able to think for him-/herself. Now, Islam tells the mark (actually 2 - one for each foot) is a result of a miracle, as they claim the stone turned so soft that Abraham's feet sank into it. (They also claim that the stone is from Jannah - the gardens of Heaven). Well, Islam has till now even proved that Abraham even ever visited Mecca, a place that was very prohibiting for him and his big flocks of animals - "a barren desert" to quote Muslims, and his claimed first trip even before the Zamzam well even was found, according to Islam - laying behind forbidding desert lands through which he had to lead all his sheep, goats, cows, etc. and find food and water for them - and he had many as he was a rich man (Islam claims that later visits only were by camels. And on top of all a place very far from where he lived and a place without any attractions for a big owner of cattle, etc. Believe it whoever wants - but go to a doctor if you believe this and the rest of that story (big stone mosque built by 2 nomads, Ishamael bringing a big stone - far too big to lift (builders normally are more practical minded) - for his father to stand on, and a stone shining so strongly that Allah had to switch off its light) without reasonable proofs.

009 3/35: “Imran's wife said”. The Quran here is talking about the mother of Mary (see also 3/36 in the Quran: “I have named her Mary”). But Imran was the father of Aaron, Moses and

Miriam, who lived some 1200 years earlier. See 3/35 under chapter “Mistaken facts in the Quran”.

010 3/154: “Even if you had remained in your homes, those for whom death was decreed would certainly have gone forth to the place of their death”. In a battle or in your bed – you die at the time predestined by Allah. It is very easy to prove by means of statistics that this is wrong (Muslims also do not believe in it when they blame the Jews for their dead and not Allah, in spite of that Allah decides 5 months before (Hadith) you are born, when you are to die). That is: It is easy to prove if you need proofs: Contradicting even normal intelligence.

011 5/3: “Forbidden to you (for food) are: dead meat, blood, the flesh of swine (and some more*)- - -.” This pretends to be a complete list, but that is contradicted – and abrogated – by Hadith, even though that incidence happened some 5 years earlier than the release of this surah: Also the meat of donkey is most clearly forbidden “for ever” according to f. ex. Al-Bukhari.

012 4/78: “All things are from Allah.” From other places in the Quran one knows that “all things” means everything that happens, is given, is taken, and anything else. Then 4/78 is clearly contradicted by 4/79: “- - - but whatever evil happens to thee, is from thy (own) soul”. In addition this sentence from 4/79 is wrong – there are the natural and other catastrophes and accidents, and there are other peoples’ and animals’ actions. In addition every verse telling that man has free will, is contradicted by this (and many others) verses – free will for man and predestination, and even omniscience in the meaning total clairvoyance are absolutely impossible to combine. Even Islam admits that it is impossible to combine, “but it has to be true as Allah says so (in the Quran*)” (!!!!!) (“The Message of the Quran” 6/149, note 141).

013 44/11: “These are settled portions (of inheritance*) ordained by Allah; and Allah is All-knowing, All-wise”. A claim that is thoroughly contradicted by the fact that the portions does not always add up. There f. ex. are cases where the inheritors according to the mentioned rules in the Quran, all together are to inherit 112,5% and even 125% of the total inheritance - and other cases where the sum is less than 100%.

014 6/1: “Praise be to Allah, who created the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth - - -.” And how did he create it? In short (included Earth, the Universe and living beings): According to the Quran the “everything” is like this:

Seven heavens above – one on top of the other.

The heavens contains Paradise - and better the higher a Heaven (= closer to Allah).

In between them are the sun and the moon.

Also the sun moves across the sky. (It in reality is the Earth that spins around.)

It raises in east from the Earth, and sets in a pool of dirty water in west.

Sunrise and sunset was found by Dhu'l Quarnayn = Alexander the Great.

Under the sun and moon, and fastened to the lowest of the 7 heavens, are all the stars.

Stars also are used as shooting stars to chase away bad spirits and jinns spying on Heaven.

Under the heavens and stars are clouds.

The clouds Allah sometimes breaks to pieces (raindrops).

Under them the birds are kept aloof by only the will of Allah.

Then there is our flat Earth.

On the Earth mountains are set down - not grown up, but set down.

The Earth is kept steady by the mountains.

Without the steadying mountains Earth might start wobbling and tip around (today Islam points to earth-quakes, but that was not the original meaning according to Muslim scholars of the old).

On "our" Earth are rivers. Hadiths tell that 2 of them - the Nile and the Euphrates - starts in Paradise.

On Earth there further are roads - made by Allah.

On the Earth also all kinds of beings live – created from clay or something.

The first man was Adam - a single man created in somewhere between 5 and 13 different ways.

Under our Earth (Hadith) there are more flat Earths – 7 all together.

And at the bottom (Hadith) is Hell.

Just like what your teacher in primary school told you?

015 6/2: “He (Allah*) is it who created you from clay - - -“. But how man was created, is complicated in the Quran; the one and first man - Adam - was made in no less than 13 different ways (or 5-6-7 if you say that some of them were different names for the same raw material). This even though one man cannot be created in more than one way. The Quran says he was made:

From clay:	6/2	7/12	17/61	32/7	38/71	38/76.			
From sounding clay:	15/26	15/28	15/33.						
From ringing clay:	55/64								
From sticky clay:	37/11								
From essence of clay:	23/12								
From mud:	15/26	15/28	15/33.						
From dust:	3/59	22/5	35/11				40/67.		
From earth:	20/55								
From a clot of congealed blood:	96/2								
From semen:#	16/4	75/37	76/2	80/19.					
From nothing:	19/9	19/67.							
From water:	21/30	24/45	25/54.						
From base material:	70/39.								

#(It is not told where the semen came from).**

** Mostly when the book talks about semen, it is in connection with (making) children. But also children are not made from semen - it only is 50% of the truth. A child is made from

semen + an egg cell, but an egg cell is so small, that Muhammad did not know about it - human eggs can hardly be seen in the blood and gore in a carcass or a slaughtered animal. Actually at the time of Muhammad one did not know how conception happened - one theory was that semen was a seed that grew when placed in a woman - though far from each time. Strangely this is how the Quran explains it. Any god had known better.

Strictly speaking all the different ways of creating man/Adam means that the Quran tells that man/Adam was created in 13 different ways, even if Adam was created only once (in reality he never was created and never existed – man developed from earlier primates). If one lump similar “creations” together, there still remains at least 5-7 different creations. Only one can even according to the Quran be right (as Adam was created only once even according to the Quran and to the Bible) - and the irony is that science long since has shown that all alternatives are wrong, as man as said evolved from a prehistoric primate.

Some Muslims explain that Adam was created from a little clay, a little dust, a little earth, a little blood, a little semen, a little nothing and some water. But that is far from what the Quran tells - and even if it were true story of the Quran, it is wrong. For one thing man does not consist of clay, etc., and for another he as mentioned was not created, but developed from prehistoric beings.

(Yes, we know about the Eve from archaeology that lived in East Africa some 160000 - 200000 years ago, and the corresponding Adam that lived in Asia some 60000 - 70000 (64000?) years ago, but that is something different).

Contradicting even 3. form primary school knowledge.

016 6/11: “Travel through the earth and see what was the end of those who rejected the Truth”. Scattered around there were ruins of old houses, villages and towns. Muhammad told these were the remains left by people destroyed by Allah because of not believing in Allah - which hardly is true in most cases. To tell the truth: Not one serious professor of history believes in this. It will take heavy proofs from Islam to convince them. Contradicting historical science.

017 6/28: “But if they (sinners*) were returned (from Hell to Earth*), they would certainly relapse to the things they were forbidden”. This is one of the places an intelligent man with lots of knowledge about people, like Muhammad had, knew he was lying – most persons having seen and experienced a Hell like the one described in the Quran, would do practically anything not to end up there again if they got a second chance. This verse contradicts all normal psychology – and all normal intelligence.

018 6/91c: “- - - ye (Jews*) conceal much (of its (the Torah’s = first part of the OT*) contents - - -“. Science has ever so clearly shown that this Islamic claim is wrong - many really old documents have shown that the texts of today are like the really old ones. Islam will have to bring real proofs for the repeated claims in the Quran and elsewhere – till now they only have produces unproven claims and loose words, and we are back to the old fact: If Islam had found a hard fact showing that the Bible in any way was falsified, the world had been told quickly and with large letters. This because Islam does not have one single real proof for the existence of Allah, for Gabriel as a messenger, for Muhammad’s connection to a god or anything at all – everything rests only – only – on what Muhammad told, and the historical Muhammad (in contradiction to the Islamic glossy picture) was a man that would not have

been accepted in any serious court as a reliable witness. A proof for falsification of the Bible would be an indication for that the Quran was correct at least on this one point, and thus very welcome. But in 1400 hundred years no real proof has been found – only claims and words. Therefore a real proof for a falsified Bible had been big news in all Muslim media and for all Muslims debating religion forever after.

No such proof has ever been produced by Islam. They just go on claiming and contradicting historical science.

Also see 3/24, 5/13, 5/14, 5/15. Muslim scholars know this, but tell the uneducated this story anyhow. Al-Taqiyya? Blind faith? Wishful thinking? “It must be true, because it is said in the Quran”?

019 6/104: “Now have (the Quran*) come to you (Muslims*), from your Lord (Allah*), proofs - - -”. Wrong. In all the Quran there is not one single valid proof for Allah or for Islam - or for Muhammad being a real prophet. Not one single proof that proves any god at all. Also see 6/104b under “Mistaken facts in the Quran” (II/1/3). The problem is that all “signs” and “proofs” contradict the laws of logic – normally by using not proved facts as basis for conclusions. If the basic facts are not proved, according to all laws of logic the “conclusion” or claim is invalid.

020 6/151: “Come, I (Muhammad*) will rehearse what Allah hath (really) prohibited you from - - - (f. e. x. *) be good to your parents”. This very obviously is wrong and a bit of a contradiction compared to other places in the Quran – Muhammad very obviously meant exactly the opposite; that you were ordered to be good to your parents. A contradiction both to the book and to the stark reality that it is contradicting the very thinking of what Muhammad and what he said and meant on this. An omniscient god would not make such a mistake. Who made the Quran?

Also Muslim scholars agree that here the text is wrong – it is absolutely contrary to what the Quran says about this all other places. Which give you an unbeatable proof against any Muslim boasting that the book being without any mistakes at all. A proof and a fact sanctioned by Islam! (And besides: If here is a mistake, how many more are there?) Just remember: 6/151 (6 in Scandinavian = sex, and 151 has sex in both ends ($1 + 5 = 6$, and $5 + 1 = 6$). Easy to remember. (In the same verse you also are "prohibited from not to kill your children" if you are poor. Also very wrong according to Islam.) Also see chapter II/10.

021 6/154: “(The Quran*) is explaining all things in detail”. It is explaining far from all things, and definitely not in sufficient details - among other facts there are not enough laws in the Quran to run a society, which is why Muslims have had to make supplements. Contradicts reality.

022 7/54a: “- - - Allah, Who created the heaven and the earth in six Days - - -.” No comments.

023 7/54b: “He draweth the night as a veil o’er the day - - -”. The night is just the absence of light. Nothing. It is not possible to use nothing as a veil or to draw it over anything - and absolutely not over the light of day. Muhammad had this completely wrong: Daylight can influence the darkness, but the darkness of night cannot influence the daylight. Totally wrong.

024 7/80: “- - - lewdness (homosexuality*) such as no people in creation (ever) committed before you?” Wrong. Homosexuality is an integrated part of some peoples’ nature. Science has even found what gene it is connected to – and that the reason why it has not died out, is that the same gene gives a tendency to cause many children when the person is hetero- or bisexual (the gene does not always give homosexuality), without anybody can explain the mechanism. You even find homosexuality with some animals – there it sometimes is a proof of dominance. Contradicts scientific knowledge.

025 7/124: “- - - and I (Pharaoh Ramses II*) will cause you all to die on the cross”. In Egypt at that time crucifying was not used. Contradicts historical knowledge.

026 7/137: “- - - We (Allah*) levelled to the ground the great works and fine Buildings which Pharaoh and his people had erected - - -”. There is no trace neither in archaeology, nor in history, literature or art, of such a catastrophe around the year 1235 BC (some years before the end of the reign of Ramses II) when this should have happened - during the exodus from Egypt. On the contrary; Ramses II was one of the strongest and most successful of the pharaohs, leaving MANY great buildings behind after many years of - among other things - building. Has Muhammad put more drama to his story, believing it would be impossible to control if it were true? Contradicts all historical and archaeological knowledge.

027 7/157a: “- - - the unlettered Prophet (Muhammad*) whom they find mentioned in their own (Scriptures) – in the Law (a name often used for the Torah – the first part of the OT*) and the Gospel (in reality the first 4 parts of NT*) - - -.” Here is a solid contradiction of reality, as Muhammad does not exist anywhere in the Bible. Muslims claim so, but are backed only by wishful thinking and cherrypicking plus twisting of words. See the chapter: “Muhammad in the Bible”.

028 7/157b: “- - - for he (Muhammad*) commands them (Muslims*) what is just, and forbids them what is evil”. The last statement is solidly contradicted both by reality and by the Quran. The book – not to mention Muhammad and his successors - not only permitted but demanded murders and war, robbing and extortion, rape and enslavement, etc. It is possible to make such things “lawful” by sick laws. But there exists no way to make such inhumanities “good”, or “just” or “pure” – and this includes calling what in reality are raids for loot and slaves, or wars of aggression for “self defence” or jihad (like Muslims have done legion times throughout history – with a real detail or made up arguments as pretext).

029 7/189: “It is He (Allah*) who created you (man*) from a single person (Adam*) - - -”. Wrong. Adam never existed, as man developed from earlier primates. And even if it had started with Adam and Eve (her name is never mentioned in the Quran), the DNA pool had been too small to make the race viable. Contradicts among other scientific branches the zoological knowledge of science.

030 10/47: “To every people (was sent) a Messenger - - -.” If there really was, they should have left at least some traces in archaeology (temples), art, history, or at least in legends or folk tales – after all there according to Islam were 124000 or more of them – a few hundred a generation spread all over the world if you rely on the time scale of the Bible and the Quran (the Quran is vague, but follows the persons and lives of the OT mainly). Islam has a lot of proofs to produce to be believed here. Contradicts all knowledge about prehistory, history, archaeology, etc.

031 10/64: “Hereafter, no change can there be in the Words of Allah.” There were many changes in the teachings of Muhammad after 621 AD when this surah is said to have “arrived”. The great divide in Islam came in and after 622 AD – from peace to a religion of war and blood and inhumanity (the good thing is that most Muslims do not live according to these parts of Islam). Strongly contradicts the very real changes in Islam in and after 622 AD.

032 11/42: “So the Ark floated with them on waves (towering) like mountains, and Noah called out to his son (who was at the shore*) - - -”. When a boat is floating among waves like mountains, it is not possible to communicate with anyone ashore. Muhammad, living in a desert, may not have known. But no god had made a mistake like this - telling they could communicate. That kind of waves are too noisy, and so is the wind that normally accompanies that kind of seas. Dramatic fairy tale. Contradicts anything for anyone who has ever been close to the roaring sea.

033 12/94: “When the Caravan left (Egypt), their father (Jacob*) said (to his sons*) - - -“. But 12/87 says: “O my (Jacob’s*) sons! Go ye (to Egypt*) and enquire about Joseph and his brother - - -“. Jacob simply did not come along to Egypt at that trip – Jacob could not speak to his sons when they left Egypt. A mistake and a contradiction of the real situation. (This also is clear from 12/96: “When the bearer of the good news came (to Jacob’s home*) - - -“. Jacob could say nothing to his sons until they were back home with him.) Contradicts the laws of physics – Abraham only could call a few hundred meters, not many hundred kilometres.

034 12/104: “And no reward dost thou (Muhammad*) ask of them (people/Muslims*) for this (the new religion*) - - -“. No, nothing except 20% of all stolen/robbed values and slaves from raids and wars, 100% of all values taken from victims that surrendered without fighting, plenty of women and lots and lots of absolute and undisputed power/dictatorship, and lots and lots of free or cheap warriors – he only had to pay them with promises about paradise and promises about rich spoils of war stolen from humans, countries and rich towns. And the “poor-tax” – that he far from only spent for the poor – and the zakat – the tax from non-Muslims (though not all of it was for his personal use – much was spent for waging more wars and for “gifts”/bribes to make neighbouring Arabs good Muslims + some was given to the poor). Contradicting Islamic historical facts.

035 14/12a: “No reason have we (Muslims*) why we should not put our trust in Allah”. Wrong. All the mistakes, etc. in the Quran proves 100% that it is not from a god, and all the mistaken facts that are in accordance with wrong science in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad, strongly indicate that it is made by one or more humans in Arabia at the time of Muhammad. In both cases the religion is a made up one, and Allah may not even exist. Contradicts the fact that too much is wrong in the Quran, to make it reliable.

036 15/17: “- - - We (Allah*) have guarded them (the Zodiacal Signs*) from every evil spirit accursed:” According to the Quran, the stars – included the Zodiacal signs – are fastened to the lowermost of 7 (material – they have to be if the stars can be fastened to one of them) heavens. But jinns/bad spirits wanted to spy on the heavens, and had to be chased away by stars used as shooting stars – a guarded firmament/heaven. And then the Zodiacal signs were guarded at the same time. According to science this is utter nonsense to at least the fifth power. Any god had known – even baby ones – but Muhammad not. Then who made the Quran with all its mistaken facts, etc.? The only thing this does not contradict, are fairy tales.

037 16/49: “And to Allah doth obeisance all that is in the heavens (plural and wrong*) and on earth, whether moving (living) creatures or the angels - - -“. Wrong – if Islam does not prove the opposite. Animals, birds, insects, fish, etc. – they never are observed making obeisance to Allah (or to any other god). No rituals, no 5 prayers a day/night (even more so: Few animals are active both night and day), no servility except sometimes towards their own leaders, etc. And surely non-Muslim humans do not do obeisance to Allah – though sometimes to other real or made up gods. This contradicts nearly any branch of science – except legends and fairy tales.

038 16/90: “- - - and He (Allah*) forbids shameful deeds - - -.” A raped woman is to be strictly punished for indecency if she cannot produce 4 male witnesses who actually saw the rape – perhaps the most shameful and unjust law that ever existed in a religion that pretended to serve a good god. A woman who is really divorced from a man and all the same wants to remarry him, has to marry and have sex with another man in order to be permitted to do so. To steal and rob and rape and murder and suppress and enslave are “good and lawful” as long as it is done in the name of Allah – and practically all conflicts throughout the history of Islam, have been in the name of Allah. Simply a case of al-Taqiyya. Contradicts reality.

039 16/79: “Nothing holds them (the birds*) up but (the power of) Allah”. Wrong. What hold them up are the laws of aerodynamics. Muhammad would not know this, but all gods would. Contradicts the laws of nature.

040 16/103: “- - - this (the Quran*) is in Arabic, pure and clear”. Wrong in many ways: There are alien words, there are orthographical mistakes, there are grammatical errors and there are lots and lots of places where even today Islam does not know the exact meaning of words or verses (the last partly because the book originally was written by means of an unfinished alphabet). Contradicts reality.

041 16/115: “He (Allah*) has only forbidden you dead meat, and blood. And the flesh of swine, and any (food) over which the name of other than Allah has been invoked.” This one (from 622 AD) was contradicted and abrogated in the field some 5 years later by Muhammad, and an all-including list: Muhammad added the flesh of donkey as prohibited forever, according to Hadiths (f. ex. Al-Bukhari). It is one of the cases where facts from outside the Quran abrogated text in that book. This in spite of that the above quoted sentence is repeated in a much later sure (from 632 AD). And no doubt a contradiction of real life.

042 17/1: A contradiction with reality: “Glory to (Allah) Who did take His Servant (Muhammad) for a Journey by night from the Sacred Mosque (the Kabah Mosque in Mecca*) to the Farthest Mosque (the Al-Aqsa Mosque in Jerusalem*) - - -.” Muslims still disagree to whether this was a real trip or a dream. But the real trouble is that this Surah is said to be from 621 AD, whereas the Al-Aqsa Mosque – Masjid Al-Aqsa – was not built until ca. 685 AD. Contradicts historical facts - - - and needs proofs for not being a dream (Aishah according to Al-Bukhari told Muhammad did not leave her bed that night) or a fairy tale. (Muslims "explain" the lack of the Al-Aqsa Mosque with that that the Quran of course means the ruins of the old Jewish temple (destroyed by the Romans in 70 AD and thus nearly 600 years old ruins at the time of Muhammad), but that is not what the Quran says).

043 17/107: “Say: ‘Whether you believe it or not, it is true that those who were given knowledge beforehand (= Christians and Jews mainly*), when it (the Quran*) is recited to them, fall down on their faces in humble prostration’”. One word: Nonsense. And what is

worse: The one that composed this verse knew it was a lie – which also Muhammad knew when he made or recited it. A few Jews and Christians had converted by 656 AD when the Quran is said to be written, though very few if any in 621 when this surah was made, but as a general rule: Utter nonsense. Just look at the history of conflicts between Islam, Jews and Christians, not to mention all the Jews in and near Medina that rather became fugitives or were killed, than to accept Islam – f. ex. Khaybar - and no more is necessary to say. You sometimes meet dishonesty like this in new, emerging religions and sects. It is a way of gaining “weight” for their statements, especially when they have few facts or proofs to show for themselves. Just one small fact that disproves this fairy tale: The 700 Jews in Khaibar could have saved their lives and possessions by becoming Muslims in time. To a man they chose not to. Contradicts solid historical science and knowledge.

044 18/86b: “- - - when he (Alexander) reached the setting of the sun - - -”. Anyone who knows two millimetres about geography and astronomy knows this is wrong and ridiculous to the extreme: The sun does not set on Earth – and absolutely not in a pond of dirty water. Also see 18/86a and 18/86c just above and just below. Contradicts among others historical, geographical, and astronomical facts.

045 18/86c: “- - - he (Dhu'l-Qarnayn/Alexander the Great*) found it (the sun*) set in a spring of murky water”. This statement - or fairy tale - deserves a series of exclamation marks - anyone today who has finished primary school, knows among other facts:

1. The sun is too big to settle anywhere on Earth.
2. Not to mention that it is far too big to settle in a pond - murky or not.
3. And that if the sun ever came within a million kilometres or miles from the Earth, there would be no spring or pond any more.

Muhammad did not know the size or temperature of the sun, but an omniscient god had known. Who made the Quran?

Muslims try to “explain” it by f. ex. telling that what he saw was the reflexion of a sunset in a spring. Think of the great warrior king Alexander - riding west and west and west with his men, day after day and week after week to find the place where the sun set. Then one day he hits upon one more pond - even one with dirty water. When he stands so that that dirty spring is in the straight line between him and the sun, he sees the red and yellow mirror image of the sunset in the muddy surface - a sight he has seen time and again and again before on the surfaces of ponds and springs and rivers and lakes and seas - and he hails his men: “Now we have reached our goal!! Here is where the sun sets!! Now let’s go home and tell about our great discovery“.

Believe it whoever wants.

But whoever believes it needs to see a professor of history - or a psychologist to mend his brain. Contradicts historical, astronomical, and more facts.

Besides: We know from history that Alexander never went west.

Some Muslims also tries to explain that Dhu'l-Quarnayn was not Alexander the Great. For this see 18/83 in the "complete" list of mistakes.

046 18/95-97: A people that lived in a valley were terrorized by two other people - Gog and Magog. They (the locals*) asked Alexander the Great for help. He said: "I will erect a strong barrier between you and them: 'Bring me blocks of iron'". And he let build a wall of iron blocks produced by the locals straight across the valley, strong enough to be impossible for the people of Gog and Magog to get through, and tall enough to be impossible to get over even with the longest ladders.

But nowhere on the entire earth there existed that much iron blocks around 330 BC – blocks of iron the locals were asked to bring him. (Note here that 18/93 tells the wall had to cross "(a tract) between two mountains" under which mountains a people lived – the wall had to have some length to cross "a tract" big enough for a whole people to live – it took a lot of iron blocks.) Contradicts historical reality (and besides it is naïve – there exists no valley big enough to feed two big tribes with only one possible entrance. And if impossible to get over, then it was possible to dig under – fire and water could get you through even rocks if you had time.)

047 18/98: "This is a mercy from my Lord (Allah*)". Wrong. Alexander the Great was no Muslim, but a polytheist. Contradicting historical facts.

048 19/28: "(Mary*) O sister of Aaron!" This is the most famous mistake in the Quran. The likely reason is that in Arab the names Mary and Miriam (the sister of Moses and Aaron) both are written Maryam. Muhammad was not well versed in the Bible, and thought it was the same woman, even though some 1200 years separated them. For more see 19/28 in the chapter "Mistaken facts in the Quran" Contradicting historical (?) facts – it is not even denied by Islam that there were a long time between Moses and Jesus.

049 19/30-33: The baby Jesus is talking and discussing in his cradle. This is "borrowed" from apocryphal Child Gospels - in this case as far as we know via "The Arab Child Gospel" - also called "The first Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus Christ" – an apocryphal scripture from 2. century. Also see 19/30-33 in the chapter "Mistaken facts in the Quran". Contradicting historical, zoological, and physiological facts – and taken from a fairy tale (legend).

050 20/69-70: The magicians of the pharaoh all became Muslims when they saw Moses performing real miracles. All the same the Quran repeats and repeats and repeats that the reason why Muhammad was unable to perform miracles, included making real prophesies, was that nobody would believe anyhow. This is one if the scenes that make it clear that Muhammad knew he was lying each time he used those excuses and "explanations". That no-one would believe if they witnessed miracles, contradicts all psychological knowledge – strengthened by the fact that Muhammad himself told it worked. Contradiction both of Muhammad'a intelligence, of reality and of science.

051 20/85: "- - - the Samari had led them astray". But the Jews still had not arrived in Samaria and there existed no Samaritans (actually the name Samaria/Samaritans as far as we can find, was not coined until 722 BC - more than 500 years after the exodus that happened (if it happened) ca. 1235 BC.). Muslims try to "explain" the mistake by saying may be it is meant "shmeer" = stranger, or "shomer" = watchman = samara in Arab. But if the Quran means

some other thing than it says here – or is possible to misunderstand - how many other places in the book are there similar mistakes? Contradicting historical facts.

052 22/25: “- - - the Sacred Mosque (Kabah in Mecca*), which We (Allah*) have made (open) to (all) men - - -.” This is among the most solid contradicted and abrogated verses in all the Quran. Today it is death penalty for any non-Muslim to enter not only the Kabah, but the area many kilometres around it. Contradicting historical reality.

053 22/52b: “- - - Allah is full of knowledge and wisdom - - -.” Not if the Quran is representative for his knowledge and wisdom – Islam will have to produce real and reliable proofs if they insist that Allah has much knowledge and wisdom. Strongly contradicted by all that is wrong in the Quran.

054 22/54: “- - - (the Quran) is the Truth from thy Lord (Allah*) - - -.” No omniscient god ever made, or revered, or forwarded, or built his religion on a book with that many mistakes, contradictions, and doubtful, unproven claims, etc. A devil in disguise or a man craving for a platform of power might do so, but not an omniscient and omnipotent god. Contradiction of facts and reality.

055 22/67: “- - - thou (Muslims*) art assuredly on the Right Way”. That only is true if the Quran is correct - - - and the Quran contains lots of mistakes, twisted arguments, twisted logic, contradictions, some outright lies, etc. (all of which are hallmarks for cheats, deceivers and swindlers – persons normally looking for money, women and/or power in dishonest ways. Muhammad liked women and power – and money for “gifts” to possible followers). Contradicted by the reality of all that is wrong in the Quran, and of the moral/immoral rules for life in Islam as told in the Quran.

056 24/44: “It is Allah Who alternates the Night and the Day - - -”. It is nature that alternates night and day – but words are cheap, and any religion can tell it is their god(s) that do it. Islam will have to produce proofs for that it really is Allah that makes the sun shine and the Earth spin around – the reason for the alternation. But Islam rarely proves anything – only claims. Contradicted by astronomical realities.

057 24/45: “Allah has created every animal (also man is an animal*) from (not in, but from*) water - - -.” To say it with small letters and no laughing: No comments.

058 26/211: “- - - nor would they (non-Muslims*) be able (to produce it) (something similar to the Quran*)”. Wrong. In spite of all the glorious words Muslims use about the Quran, it is not good literature. There are lots and lots of mistake and contradictions. There are lots of wrong logic. There are numbers of linguistic mistakes. There hardly is anything original in the book - the stories are taken from the Bible and a few other old books, from made up (apocryphal) religious tales, from folklore and from fairy tales and just changed a little. Also in laws and morality there was little new - if any; there were a few changes compared to the old Arabia, but the ideas came from neighbouring cultures. And the same stories are told again and again - most boring. Also good writers - not the original composer - polished the Arab language in the book for some 250 years (until ca. 900 AD). Contradicted by the fact that judged as literature, the Quran is way below medium, with the possible exception of the use of the Arab language – which they had some 250 to polish before the alphabet was finished around 900 AD.

There would be no problem for a good or medium writer to write something similar - or better.

059 27/16 – 44: These stories about King Solomon are “borrowed” from the made up - apocryphal - scripture “Second Targum of Ester”. No god needs to steal old fairy tales and retell them with small – or big – twists to make them fit his religion/tales, and then call them facts. But Muhammad often did so. That is the reason why his contemporaries so often said that what he told just were old tales – they simply recognized the legends, fairy tales and stories. Contradicted by all known facts, by reality – and by the fact that the stories are “borrowed” from a known fairy tale.

060 27/4a: “- - - she (the Queen of Sabah*) thought it (the floor*) was a lake of water (though it was slabs of glass) - - -“.

1. They did not have the technology to make that quality of glass ca. 1000 BC.
2. They did not have the technology to make big slabs – and they had to be really big not to notice at once the cracks between the slabs - of glass ca. 1000 BC. Even today it is difficult, as it needs months of very exact and slow cooling for so big slabs not to crack. (Cfr. the making of large astronomical telescopes).

Contradicted by historical and technical facts.

061 28/52: “(Jews and Christians*) – they do believe in this (Revelation) - - -“. Flatly wrong. A few became Muslims according to Islam, but the overwhelming majority had to flee, were made slaves, or were killed/murdered/executed because they refused to believe in Muhammad’s tales. Cfr. f. ex. what happened in and around Medina in the years after this surah was told (in 621 AD or later). Contradicted by reality.

062 28/6: “- - - Haman - - -“. Science says this is the Haman from the book of Esther in the Bible. Haman was according to the Bibl, a powerful minister under the Persian king Xerxes (Hebrew: Ahasuerus) (486 - 465 BC) and a central person in the mentioned book - Muhammad may well have heard about him. In that case something is very wrong, because Ramses II naturally was king/pharaoh in Egypt, and on top of that lived some 800 years earlier. Haman could not be his top minister.

Here Islam has an explanation that just may be true: One of the main gods in Egypt at that time was Amon. According to “the Message of the Quran” the title of the high priest of Amon, was Ha-Amen - which could be understood as Hamon. Not very likely, especially as that is the kind of “explanations” one frequently finds when Islam has problems finding better stories. But after all possible. Except that a god does not make such mistakes either - a mistake like this means the surah is based on human fallability. And except for 28/38a: “Pharaoh said: ‘O Chiefs! No god do I know for you but myself - - -“. Pharaoh cannot at the same time be the only god in Egypt (very wrong as said) and have the high priest (Ha-Amen) of another god as his second in command. Contradicted by historical facts and by reality.

063 28/52: “(Jews and Christians*) – they do believe in this (Revelation) - - -“. Flatly wrong. A few became Muslims according to Islam, but the overwhelming majority had to flee, were made slaves, or were killed/murdered/executed because they refused to believe in Muhammad’s tales. Cfr. f. ex. what happened in and around Medina in the years after this surah was told (in 621 AD or later). Contradicted by historical facts.

064 30/26: “To Him (Allah*) belongs every being that is in heavens and on earth: all are devoutly obedient to Him.” This is an obvious contradiction to reality and to much of the texts in the Quran: No non-Muslim is devoted to Allah – not to mention devotedly so. And there are no animals – not one – that has ever been observed being devotedly obedient to any god, and the same goes even more so for insects, ants, slugs, worms, etc. (“every being”).

065 30/47: “We did indeed send, before thee (Muhammad*), messengers to their (respective) peoples - - -.” It is generally an accepted fact – among clergy and science alike, that Jonah was a stranger to Niniveh, the town to which he was sent. Joseph was a stranger in Egypt, Lot a stranger in Sodom and Gomorrah, Abraham a stranger in Canaan, and Moses a stranger in Sinai when he fled from Egypt. Most prophets worked among their own people, but in contradiction with the Quran not all.

066 31/10: “He created the heavens (plu ral and wrong) without any pillars that you can see - - -”. The Quran tells that the 7 heavens rest on invisible pillars (of course they need pillars - if not they would fall down!!!). Nowadays even Islam knows this is wrong, and the statements have to be explained away. We have f. ex been told from Islamic information centres on Internet that: “- everyone with an IQ more than 60 of course understand that that means the pillars do not exist”.

But we know well the difference between “invisible” and “not existing”.

We also remember that the Quran - and Islam and Muslims - says the book is to be understood literally, (if nothing else is said). Contradicted by geographical and astronomical facts.

067 32/7: “He (Allah*) Who made everything which He created most Good - - -“. Wrong. We could have had better resistance concerning illnesses, our bodies could have been able to make more of the vitamins themselves, our brain could have been better – f.ex. ability to think about 2-3 things at a time, or learning more easily – just to mention a few points. Good, but far from most good. Contradicted by among others zoological facts.

068 33/21: “Ye (Muslims*) have in the Messenger of Allah a beautiful pattern (of conduct) for anyone whose hope is in Allah and the final Day - - -“. Wrong. Thieving/robbing, womanizing, raping, lying, betraying, extorting, suppressing, murdering, hate mongering, war mongering, mass murder, raids for robbing and killing, and wars of aggression – that is no “beautiful pattern” according to any human moral or ethical philosophy, except in some war religions, included Islam, and it tells volumes about Islam that this man is their greatest hero and shining idol. Strongly contradicted by the realities in his teachings and his life, based on Islamic historical sources.

069 33/45: “O Prophet! - - -“. But was Muhammad really a prophet? He was not. Perhaps a messenger or an apostle, but not a real prophet, as he did not have the gift of prophesying. See

the 32/45a under “Mistaken Facts in the Quran”, and the chapter about Muhammad. Contradicted by fact.

070 34/9: “- - - We (Allah*) could cause a piece of the sky to fall upon them.” The sky as we see it is an optical illusion (Muhammad believed it was something material that the stars was fastened to). How can a piece of an optical illusion - a mirage so to say - fall down upon someone?

Muslims tend to “explain” this with that the Quran talks about a shooting star or similar. But the book other places it talks about such falling stars, and even though it believes it to be ordinary stars, it very clearly knows the difference between this and the sky. There is no doubt it is talking about a piece of the sky itself. Contradicted by astronomical facts.

****071 34/50: “If I (Muhammad*) go astray, I only stray to the loss of my own soul - - -.” Wrong to at least the 9. power (as there are better than a billion Muslims – or the 10. power or more if you reckon the ones through the times). If Muhammad was astray – ALL believing Muslims are astray – and all the mistaken facts, contradictions, invalid logic, etc., tell an ominous tale. Contradicted 100% by any religious knowledge and by logic. AND ONE MORE PLACE WHERE AN INTELLIGENT MAN LIKE MUHAMMAD HAD TO KNOW HE WAS LYING BECAUSE THE LOGIC WRONG.

072 35/25b: “- - - the Book of Enlightenment (the Quran*) - - -”. A book with so many mistakes and so many invalid “signs” and “proofs” is too unreliable to be any kind of enlightenment. Actually one single mistake or one single false “proof” or one single contradiction would prove the Quran was not from an omniscient god - and here are hundreds. Contradicted by realities – far too much is wrong in the book + it teaches an inhuman moral.

073 36/36: “- - - Allah, Who created in pairs all things - - -”. Wrong. Only multi-cellular plants and animals are in pairs - and far from all of those, too. No uni-cellular life exists in pairs - and they are far more abundant both in numbers and species. Besides there is quite a number of multi-cellular beings that propagates asexually and thus do not exist in pairs – up to and included sponges, etc., some fish and some reptiles. Contradicted by biological and zoological facts.

**074 37/6: “We (Allah*) have indeed decked the lower heaven (in) stars - - -”. The Quran tells this in some varieties some places in the book: The stars are fastened to the lowest of the 7 heavens (which also means that the heavens have to be made from something material - if not it was not possible to fasten the stars there). The stars also are lower than the moon, as other places in the Quran tell that the moon are in between the heavens = higher than the lowest heaven. It actually is borrowed from Greek and/or Persian astronomy at the time of Muhammad and before - any baby god had known better. Muslims generally tries to evade questions about this - or gives you a lot of diffuse words. We have hardly ever seen a reasonable – not correct, but at least logically reasonable - explanation of this, except the standard one when something is so wrong that even “explanations” are not possible: It is “figurative”, “allegorical” - or something similar - explanations or stories. Contradicted by astronomical facts.

075 37/142: “Then the big fish swallowed him (Jonah*)”. Wrong.

1. There exists no fish big enough to swallow a man whole. There is one exception, but that one does not eat large prey (the whale-shark). Besides there may be one or two of the whales, but even the orca does not swallow a seal (reasonably similar size) in one piece.
2. Even if he had been swallowed, he had not survived - he had died in minutes from lack of oxygen.
3. And had he had a supply of oxygen - which he obviously did not - the acid juices in the stomach of the “fish” had killed him in a short time.

A fairy tale, even if this story is “borrowed” from the Bible. (There are some mistakes also there). Contradicted by zoological and biological facts.

076 39/5: “He makes the Night overlap the Day, and the Day overlap the Night - - -”. Wrong. That is done by the sun, as the night really is just a shadow. If Islam pretends something else, they will have to produce real proofs - not just cheap words. “Strong statements demand strong proofs”. Any god had known this - not Muhammad. Yes, any god could have proved himself for the future just by telling things like that - no miracle necessary. Then who composed the Quran? Contradicted by astronomical facts.

077 39/6: “He (Allah*) sent down for you eight head of cattle in pairs - - -”. From other places in the Quran we know the cattle were: 2 cows, 2 sheep, 2 goats, 2 camels = 4 pairs = 8 heads. That is wrong, as the Quran is for the entire world: There also are water buffalo (Asia), reindeer (in the north), lama (S. America), the alpaca (S. America), the guamaco (S. America), the vicuna (S. America - the 4 from S. America are distantly related to the camel), the yak (Asia), and (Indian) elephant - and perhaps others (+ horse, donkey, etc. (and pig)). Any god knew this – Muhammad not. Who made the Quran? Contradicted by zoological facts.

078 39/23a: “(The Quran*) is consistent with itself”. Wrong – there are plenty of contradictions. Plenty! Islam even needs a special abrogation rule for deciding which paragraph is the correct one when two or more “collide” (the youngest one is normally the correct - that is one of the reasons why the age of the different verses counts in Islam and why the question of ages of the surahs are integrated parts of Islamic judicial procedures). Some Muslims tell this is not true - Allah just made the rules stricter. It may look like an ok explanation in some cases, f. ex. concerning alcohol. But what kind of omniscient god did not know from the very beginning what kind of rules was needed? – more strict rules also are abrogations. Contradicted by all the contradictions in the book.

079 39/23b: “Allah has revealed - - - the most beautiful Message - - -”. Incitement to hate, rape, suppression, extortion, taking slaves, murder, mass murder and war + full permission for raping any slave or prisoner and + 100% dictatorship by the warlord (Muhammad and his successors). Yes that is a beautiful message. Contradicted by the twisted ethics and the inhuman moral in the book – and by Muhammad’s life at least the last 10 years.

080 42/30: “Whatever misfortune happens to you, is because of the things your hand has wrought.” This is contradicted by the reality that things sometimes happen to you from other peoples’ acts that you are totally innocent of, and from f. ex. natural catastrophes, and it also is contradicted by all the verses in the Quran saying Allah decided everything.

(At least 10 contradictions.)

081 40/50: “- - - Clear Signs (= proofs of Allah*) - - -”. There exists no proof of Allah – not in the Quran, not anywhere else (even many Muslim scholars admit this). If a proof had existed, you can be 1000 – thousand - % sure all the world had been informed and with big letters. Contradicted by reality and by the laws of logic - and by Islam's inaction concerning proving this.

082 41/9-12: These verses tell that Allah created the Earth in 2 days, the mountains and everything on Earth in 4 days and finally the heaven (“the seven firmaments” – plural and wrong) in 2 days. $2 + 4 + 2 = 8$ days. In reality it took millions of years. Contradicted by reality and by mathematics. And also by the Quran.

083 41/11: “- - - it (the sky) had been (as) smoke - - -”. The sky according to Muhammad was something material (the stars were fastened to the lowermost heaven, f. ex.) and had to be made from something. But it is wrong. The sky as we see it, is just an optical illusion.

Some Muslims discover the Big Bang and the cloudlike state after that, and in triumph present you for this “proof” of Islam being scientific and correct. But the Big Bang happened 13.7 billion years ago according to science. The cloudy state lasted for 300000 – 380000 years. And our sun and earth did not coalesce until 9 billion years later - 4.6 billion years ago - and are on top of all 3. generation creations. The connection between the clouds after the Big Bang and Earth is highly feeble and has nothing to do with our sky. Besides: Those clouds were not smoke (= micro particles in gas), but unclear, ionized gas - mostly helium - (ionized like this hydrogen stops light and may look like clouds), but also some He.

More logical but less frequently quoted, are the tales saying the sky was made from the cloud of dust and gas slowly coalescing into the sun, the planets, etc. But that material for one thing ended as the sun, the planets, etc., and for another thing was not micro particles floating in gas (like smoke), but macro particles - very macro often. An optical illusion like our sky is not made from gas or dust or clouds. It is made from light only and/or from optical illusions. Contradicted by science.

084 41/12a: “And We (Allah*) adorned the lower heaven with lights (= stars*) - - -”. This is one of the points Muslims are very reluctant to try to explain, as it is obviously and impossibly wrong - and impossible to “explain” away. We know from old astronomy that the moon and the planets were fastened to different heavens, which means that the stars have to be between us and the moon - at less than some 384000 km distance - as the stars were fastened to the lowest heaven. (The Quran also says that the sun (?) and the moon are between the heavens). In addition to all the other impossibilities, humans would not be even crisps in a millisecond. Once more: Any existing god knew this, Muhammad not. Is Allah non-existing? Or who composed the Quran? Contradicted by almost anything – except as said: Not by fairy tales.

085 41/12b: “- - - and (provided it) (the lowest heaven*) with guard”. We know from other places in the Quran, that this “guard” is stars mistaken for shooting stars used against bad spirits and jinns wanting to spy on the heavens. The only place such “information” fits today, is in fairy tales. Who composed the Quran? Contradiction: See 42/12a just above.

086 42/24: “And Allah - - - proves the Truth by His Words.” Muhammad was asked many times to prove his - or presumably Allah’s words - but he never did. And the words of the Quran prove not a thing, among other reasons because:

1. Far too many mistakes pretended to be facts. (Swindle?)
2. Far too many loose statements pretended to be facts. (Swindle?)
3. Far too many invalid “signs” pretended to be documentation. (Swindle?)
4. Far too many invalid “proofs” pretended to be documentation. (Swindle?)
5. Some obvious lies – f. ex. that miracles would make no-one believe. (Swindle.)
6. Far too many contradictions. (Proofs for no omniscient god.)
7. Muhammad was unable to present anything but fast-talk when asked for proofs. (Swindle?)
8. Lots of invalid use of logic. (Swindle?)

These all are hallmarks of a crook and a cheat and a deceiver. Contradicted by the reality of all that is wrong in the Quran – and by the fact that a god ONLY can be proved by real supernatural means.

087 42/15: “There is no contention between us (Muslims*) and you (“infidels”).” Please tell that in the old Palestine and the modern Darfur. And in Sind/India, and in Armenia, not to mention in Africa and many other places in older times. Thoroughly contradicted by Islamic history of aggression, war and suppression.

088 43/63: “(Jesus said*): therefore fear Allah - - -”. If Jesus had been a missionary for a known polytheistic god from a not too far of country, he for one thing had got very few followers in the at that time strictly monotheistic Israel, and for another thing he had been killed by the clergy long before - especially if he all the same got a big following like he really got. This is a tale told by someone who knew the religious and political situation in Israel around year 30 AD badly. Contradicted by historical realities.

089 46/4: “Bring me (Muhammad*) a Book (revealed) before this (as a proof*) - - -”. Wrong. A book in itself proves nothing – it is as easy to falsify a book as it is to falsify speech. F. ex. the Quran can well be a falsification – made by Muhammad or someone. Contradicted by realities. Actually this verse is naïve.

090 46/9: “I (Muhammad) am no bringer of a newfangled doctrine - - -”. Muhammad pretended that Islam was the continuation of - or the uncorrupted - old religion of the Jews and the Christians. That is not true - especially in the NT it is clear that the teachings

fundamentally are so different, that it can not be the same god - at least if he is not mentally ill. See 29/46 under “Mistaken facts in the Quran.” Contradicted by the realities of the extreme and fundamental differences between Islam and the religions they claim to be the successors of – especially when you compare the Quran to NT.

091 50/45: “We (Allah*) know best what they (the “infidels”*) say; and thou (Muhammad*) art not one to overawe them by force.” Knowing the 22 – 24 surahs from Medina, and knowing the history of aggression that Islam has behind it, one knows that this is incorrect. A contradiction of reality and of Islamic history.

092 61/6: “- - - (Jesus said*) I am the Messenger of Allah - - -”. If Jesus had said something like this about the known polytheistic god from a not too distant foreign country, for one thing he had not got many followers, and for another: The clergy had at once had an excuse to have him killed. This verse is composed by someone not knowing the religious and political realities in Israel around 30 AD. Contradicted by historical facts.

093 61/9: “- - - the Religion of Truth, that may proclaim over all religion - - -”. See 40/75 and 41/12. It is also worth to remember that normal people of today - and earlier times – would be reluctant to trust or believe in a man with a CV like Islam tells Muhammad had: Robbery, extortion, women, lies, broken oaths, incitement to hate, incitement to suppression of all opponents, assassination of opponents, murder of opponents, mass murder, rape, betrayal, (30 opponents from Khaibar invited to debate under promise of safe return - but 29 murdered on a made up excuse, the last one managed to flee) incitement to war - and lust for power. We have met Muslims excusing him with that he was a hard man living in a hard time, and that he was no worse than others. May be so, but he definitely was no better either, and he pretended (?) to represent a good god.

The last part of the quotation also tells volumes about Islam.

***This is one of the many contradictions of the slogan “Islam is the religion of peace”.

094 61/13: “- - - Glad Tidings - - -”. Permission to steal/rob, suppress, rape, enslave, keep harems, murder, etc. which are central parts of the Quran – is that “Glad Tidings”? Direct orders to go to war and kill and suppress and enslave and loot and destruct – or be killed or mutilated yourself - is that “Glad Tidings”? Direct orders to concentrate only on religious knowledge (indirectly very clear in the Quran and directly and unmistakably very clear in Islam from very early – and totally dominant from 1095 AD) – is that “Glad Tidings”? Total destruction of all advanced countries and cultures they met in Africa, Europe and Asia at least as far east as what was then India – destruction it took the locals at least 200 years to overcome (if ever) – is that “Glad Tidings”? The inhumanity in the war religion – is that “Glad Tidings”? The reduction of women to third class citizens – if really citizens – (Islam’s claim that women were/are better off under Islam than before only is true for some parts of what is now the Muslim area, mainly in towns in parts of Arabia – and even there it had not necessarily been true today if it was not for the suppressing factor of Islam) – is that “Glad Tidings”? The enslavement and suppression and mass murders/slaughtering of non-Muslims – was and is (see Muslims at waging war and terror even today) that “Glad Tidings”? What a war religion did and does to the societies and the personal soul – is that “Glad Tidings”? The suppression of thinking – all non-religious philosophy, and all religious non-conform (to Islam) thinking – is that “Glad Tidings”? Well, yes, for some Muslims – the ones of the warriors that survived in good health and became rich from looting, and the ones of the

leaders that became rich in wealth and women from looting/slave taking and taxation plus became powerful, then and today.

For everyone else it was everything from “Bad Tidings” to terror – and still is (just look at the backward societies it resulted in once the riches from looting came to an end – and even worse when the hard taxation or pogroms of non-Muslim underlings, reduced the number and/or economy of those underlings. Look f. ex. at the development in India, China, Brazil of today – especially India and China were far behind the Islamic countries 60 years ago, but what has been happening during these years? Take away the oil, the money from outside the area and the ideas from outside, more or less forced on the clergy and the leaders from media and others – what has really happened in the Islamic area since f. ex. 1950 compared to many other places?

Yes: For everyone else it was and still is everything from “Bad Tidings” to terror.

Especially so if Islam is a made up religion. And even more so if there somewhere is a true religion that Islam blocks its members from even looking for.

The very best one can say about the Quran and “Glad Tidings”, is that for some parts of it partly were glad tidings, and that for some others parts of it brings peace to the soul – like strong believers gain from ANY of the main religions.

For all others – included the majority of Muslims – it was “Bad Tidings”. And as said especially so if Islam is a made up religion. Which it seems to be from the proofs of the Quran and the words and life of Muhammad.

Contradicted by stark and black reality and history.

00a 62/2: “It is He (Allah*) Who has sent amongst the Unlettered a Messenger from among themselves - - - “. All Muslim literature for lay-men say Muhammad was analphabetic, and use that for a proof for his inability to make up the Quran - not mentioning that many a good teller of tales in the old times were analphabetic.

But the statement is questioned: A man of good and not too poor family not knowing how to read and write? A rich widow marrying an analphabetic, knowing he was to run her business? An intelligent businessman with admission to learned men, with a drive for power, not learning how to read and write? It is not likely - but there are no neutral sources about Muhammad from that time. It will never be possible to find out for sure, one way or the other. There also at least one place is mentioned that Muhammad himself wrote parts of the Hudaibiyah treaty in 628 AD. Hadiths also tell that on his dying bed he wanted to write something, and that the Muslims around him later regretted they had not let him do so.

(In just this case the sentence instead may mean the people without a holy book).

Contradicted, but not proved wrong by the laws of probability and by Hadiths.

095 62/6: “- - - then express your desire for Death, if ye are truthful!” An impossible demand for pious Jews and Christians: For one thing life has its values. More essential: Life is a gift from Yahweh/God – to wish to end it is to diminish a gift from Him. Most serious: To (wish to) end your own life, is a sin so grave that it automatically sends you to Hell.

Any god had known this – Muhammad obviously not. Then who made the Quran?

(In a way worse: Muslim scholars today know this fact. But they never mention it, in spite of using this argument. Dishonesty.) The under laying claim contradicted by religious, historical and psychological facts.

096 65/12: “Allah is He Who created seven Firmaments (wrong*) and of the earth a similar number”. According to Muhammad Ibn ‘Abd Allah al-Kisa’I the last part means 7 layers down in the Earth according to one “explanation”. But what the Quran really says, is 7 Earths – 7 flat Earths. No matter if you believe the Quran/Hadith or one of the “explanations” for this somewhat special geology/geography/astronomy, the names of the “Earths” from top and down are: 1. Ramaka, 2. Khalada, 3. Arqa, 4. Haraba, 5. Maltham, 6. Sijjin, 7. Ajiba. According to f. ex Al-Bukhari they are placed one above the other – easy as the Quran tells Earth is/are flat. The lower down, the more devilish life on the respective "Earth" – and if you are a big enough sinner, you can fall down through them to Hell at the Day of Doom according to Haditha. It is not necessary to say it is all rubbish. No comments.

097 68/4: “And thou (Muhammad or Muslims*) (standest) on an exalted standard of character - - -”. Well:

Seen in the Quran and the Hadiths:

1. Lots of mistaken facts, and other mistakes. Not typical for an omniscient god.
2. Lots of invalid arguments - hallmarks for cheaters and deceivers.
3. Lots of "signs" - all invalid as proofs for Allah or for Muhammad's connection to a god.
4. A number of "proofs" - all invalid as proofs for Allah or for Muhammad's connection to a god. A few of the "proofs" even are scientifically wrong.
5. Lots of contradictions.
6. A man gluing himself to his god and his religion – his platform of power.
7. A prophet that in reality was no prophet – he had not the gift of prophesying. **Muhammad did not even pretend or claim to have that gift**, he just “borrowed” the distinguished title. (A few things he said, came true – but they were not given as prophesies.) A messenger ok – for someone or something or for himself – an apostle for the same, ok. But a person who does not have the gift of prophesying, is not a real prophet - Muhammad just “borrowed” an imposing title. Islam also claims that messenger is a more distinguished title prophet – but that title just means “one who is not implicated,

but just brings messages from one or more to one or more others”. He does not even have to understand what things really are about.

Besides: Why did Muhammad borrow the title “prophet” if the title “messenger” had been more distinguished? – simply because a prophet is something more: Messages like a messenger + prophecies - - - if it is a real prophet.

8. A messenger being the chief of highwaymen from Yathrib/Medina - even in holy months.
9. A messenger also living from extortion - (money for men kidnapped from f. ex. caravans).
10. A messenger whose due was 100% of the robbed things if the victim gave in without a fight (albeit not all for personal use).
11. A messenger permitting to take “spoils of war” - and 20% for him (albeit not all for himself).
12. A messenger permitting to take slaves - and 20% for him (albeit not all for personal use).
13. A messenger who received ca. 2.5% (from 0% to 10 %) of what you owned each and every year (if you were not too poor) – for the poor, but also for f. ex. war and for “gifts” to attract followers.
14. A messenger using betrayal (f. ex. promise of safe return of a delegation from Khaybar broken).
15. A messenger with special agreement with the god for having many women.
16. A messenger teaching hate against non-followers.
17. A messenger teaching and inciting war against non-followers.
18. A messenger personally raping female prisoners/slaves.
19. A messenger and his men - all with permission from their god to rape any female prisoner or slave that was not pregnant. It was “god and lawful”.
20. A messenger that initiated assassinations of opponents.
21. A messenger that initiated murders on opponents.
22. A messenger that initiated mass murder.
23. A messenger teaching suppression of women and non-followers.

24. A messenger with lust for power (easy to see from f. ex. Hadith, but even more so from f. ex. the way he glues himself to his platform of power, his god, also in the Quran).

And not least: All this is from Muslim sources - what Islam itself tells about him, though in more glossy words. There is no excuse for becoming angry, because it is 100% true according to Islam itself.

Yes, many will call that “an exalted standard of character”. But not many of those would be non-Muslims. And how many of the Muslims can say it and fell honest?

098 71/15-16a: “- - - Allah has created the seven (material - see the Mega Mistakes*) heavens, one above the other - - -.” It is not possible to be more wrong. Who above 3. grade primary school needs a comment here? 7 (material) heavens and the moon in between them somewhere (and remember the stars are fixed to the lowest heaven, so they are below the moon!) We do not bother to comment this.

099 71/15-16b: “- - - Allah has created the seven (material- see the Mega Mistakes*) heavens, one above the other, and made the moon a light in their midst - - -.” The moon is in between them somewhere (and remember the stars are fixed to the lowest heaven, so they are below the moon!)!! We do not bother to comment this - except that the moon is not behind and beyond the stars.

100 78/7: “(Allah made*) the mountains as pegs - - -”. Some Muslims say: "Hip, hurray – here is a proof for Muhammad and the Quran: The science talks about the “roots” of the mountains – the mountains are like pegs! How could Muhammad know?!" But mountain “roots” are not like pegs, but like wide bulges or rafts - and long and narrow as the mountains and chains of mountains are long and narrow often. There exist deep pegs – or really sheets – pointing far down into the mantel (melted stone), but not in connection with mountains or mountain ranges: They exist some places where large pieces of the Earth’s crust – tectonic plates – are forced downwards because of movements or the crust (tectonic movement). But that has nothing to do with mountains (even though mountains may be secondary results of the movement and at the same place) – it is something entirely different. Contradicted by geological facts.

101 81/19: “Verily, this is the word of a most honourable Messenger (Muhammad*) - - -.” If a man that is a thief/robber, extorter, womanizer, child molester (Aishah through many years from she was 9 years old), rapist, betrayer, torturer, murderer, mass murderer, war monger and more is a “most honourable Messenger” - - - well, in that case we will not like to meet a normal messenger, not to mention an un-honourable one. It may seem that Islam have a somewhat special standard for ethics and moral. Contradicted by reality and normal moral and ethics for non-war religions, societies and cultures, and normal points of view concerning human and inhuman behaviour (but we stress that far – very far – from all Muslims live according to the Quran on this point.)

All together 100+ clear contradictions with reality - and it is easy to find more: Most of the many mistakes in the book simply are contradictions to reality. May be 2000+ contradictions with reality?

PART II, CHAPTER 9, (= II-9-0-0)

OTHER TYPES OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

CORRECTIONS OF SOME OF ALLAH'S MISTAKES AND ERRORS: 150+ ABROGATIONS IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

(The explaining away of serious contradictions. Or Allah must try and fail and learn?).

+ the Satanic Verses

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Abrogation - to make verses invalid - is a strange fact and praxis in the Quran. (163 are cited below, but there are many more – only 9/5 abrogates 124 milder verses according to some Muslim scholars). Allah is not clever enough to make the best rules without trying and failing sometimes, or he changes his mind - or forgets? - now and again, and has to change the verses in the Quran. (Thus abrogations also are mistakes in the Quran - Allah made the mistake of not making the perfect solution first, and had to correct himself later. (Some Muslims say it is not mistakes, but times that changed. But all this happened in 23 years - 23 years simply is not time for a god).

The Arab word for abrogation - "naskh" - literally means "the removal of something by something else (and) annulment" (Ibn Manzur, "Lisan al-'Arab", 1994, 3:624). There is and always have been much "noise" among Islamic scholars about abrogation. The underlying, but rarely mentioned reasons are that Muhammad and Islam needed and need the abrogation rules - if not the Quran ends up with a lot more and very obvious contradictions that abrogations now explain away - but on the other hand: Abrogations show that Allah is far from perfect - he has to change his mind now and then, or may be he is trying and failing. There is no agreement as to what abrogates what, or validity, meaning, or applicability. Also the numbers for how many verses that are abrogated, varies wildly - S.W.A. Dehlawi "Al-Fawz al-Kibir fi 'Usul al-Tafsir" mentions some 500 verses, others have lower numbers.

Islam clasifies the abrogations like this (Farid Esack: "The Quran - a user's guide", 2005, p.126):

1. Quranic abrogation of (normally earlier) verses with devine scriptures in the Quran. Most of the abrogations we quote, belong to this or to the 3. group.
2. Repeal of some Quranic texts which are said to have been blotted out of existence. There are 2 groups of these: Verses which are omitted from the Quran and the contents (mainly) forgotten, (f. ex. both Ans Ibn Abdel Malik and Ibn Abdullah Ibn Massoud tells about such verses), and verses that were omitted, but the text still practised at least by some Muslims (f. ex. Omar (or Umar) Ibn Al Khattab (2. caliph) told about a verse about stoning). (Source: <http://www.islamreview.com/articles/qutandoctrineprint.htm>)
3. Abrogation of earlier commandments in the Quran (but the text remaind in the book).
4. Abrogation of a Hadith (sunnah) by the Quran.
5. Abrogation of a verse or point in the Quran by a Hadith (sunnah).

The basis for the praxis one find in these verses:

2/106 “None of Our (Allah’s*) revelations do We (Allah*) abrogate or cause to be forgotten, but We substitute for something better or similar - - -”. (Surah 2 was the first complete in Yathrib/Medina - the time when Islam changed from peaceful to a religion of war and living from robbery and extortion and aggression, and Muhammad needed changes in his teachings.)

There may be reasons for questions when Allah has to abrogate his own words - sometimes even shortly after they are said. Did he not know better? Did he change his mind after thinking things over? Did he change his mind after learning how Muhammad’s congregation reacted to his words? Did he have to fail and learn? This tells something fundamental about Allah - if he exists. Because of this you will meet Muslims telling you that nothing is abrogated in the Quran - abrogation is not worthy an omniscient god (very right), and that what he sometimes did, was to make his words more specific. In some cases they may be right - - - but also that is to abrogate!! Besides it is wrong, as it far from always is possible to explain it away that way. Or to explain it away at all. It is completely clear from the Quran itself that abrogation is accepted and practised (see the 3 verses quoted), and the texts prove it is a reality. It also is very clear that abrogations is an integrated reality in f. ex. Islamic law.

16/101 “When We (Allah*) substitute one revelation for another - and Allah knows best what He reveals (in stages) - they (non-Muslims*) say, 'Thou art but a forger': but they understand not” (surah 16 is from 622 AD - the time when Muhammad was busy changing Islam from

peaceful to living from killing, robbing and extortion - and later to a full religion of hate and war).

22/52 “Never did We (Allah*) send a messenger or a prophet before thee (Muhammad*), but, when he framed a desire, Satan threw some (vanity) into his desire: but Allah will cancel anything (vain) that Satan throws in, and Allah will confirm (and establish) His Signs - - -”. (from the middle of the Mecca period mainly - ca. 614 - 617 AD (perhaps 616 AD) and shortly after the infamous “Satanic Verses” Muhammad quoted in 53/19-22 in a situation where he had much to gain from becoming friends with the rulers and ruling class in Mecca: “Have ye seen al-Lat, al-Uzza, And another, the third (goddess), Manat (the three daughters of the main god in Mecca at that time, al-Lah*)? These are exalted idols whose intercession is hoped”. Muhammad afterwards changed the 4 short verses to: “Have ye seen al-Lat, al-Uzza, And another, the third (goddess) Manat? What! For you male sex, and for Him, the female (for children*)! Behold, such would be indeed most unfair!” (Muhammad was an Arab and was sure a god would look down on women as much as Arabs did*). This episode made a lot of “noise”, and it was most convenient for him to receive (?) a verse telling all prophets had had experiences like that, and that he was not to be blamed).

It may be ok to abrogate words of Satan, but how could an omniscient and omnipotent god permit Satan to do it? - something is wrong here. And how could a perfect prophet not notice that 3 goddesses was something way out of his former teachings? - if there was not a reason for him to do it? And how many other verses are inspired by whispering from Satan? (- the brutal verses from Medina may make one think this and that.)

53/3-4 "Nor does he (Muhammad*) say (aught) of (his own) Desire. It is no less than inspiration sent down to him". This means that everything Muhammad said, in reality was inspired by Allah, and thus Allah's words - which means that also sunnah (Hadiths) can abrogate the Quran and the other way around.

It seems that abrogation is/was used mainly in these cases:

1. **When Muhammad or Allah had said (Quran mainly) or done (Hadith) something he/they later found was not wise - like the Satanic Verses.**
2. **When Muhammad found that he had forgotten verses** - this happened according to Hadith. F. ex. al-Bukhari (3/223 and 8/91): "Aisha (one of Muhammad's wives*) said: '(Muhammad said*): - - - he (a man*) reminded me of such and such verses I had dropped from Surah so and so".
3. **When Muhammad/Allah found that what he/they had ordained for the Muslims was more than they would accept:** Spoils of war belonged to Allah - but it was changed to 20% for Allah and 80% for his men. Praying much of the night, was reduced to less. And each Muslim capable to fight 10 “infidels”, was reduce to 2 “infidels”, etc. But why did

not an omniscient god know this from the start?

4. **When Muhammad/Allah wanted a rule changed-** f. ex. less and less alcohol, or more and more war. (According to Ibn 'Arabi "The verse of the sword' has abrogated 124 verses" - mainly all the peaceful ones.) But why did not an omniscient god know the best rule from the very beginning?
5. **When Muhammad himself did things differently from his own teachings, his deeds became an abrogation of the Quran.** The Quran f. ex. prescribes whipping of adulterers, whereas the praxis some places even today is stoning - at least of the woman. The reason is said to be that Muhammad himself practised stoning - even took part in it personally. His praxis of murdering opponents also made good examples for the future. (There also is a rumour in Islam that there was a verse demanding stoning for illegal sex, but that this verse in case was one of those which were omitted when Uthman had the official Quran made.) The same goes for torture. And murder - though that also was prescribed. There also is f. ex. the case of donkey meat - it is not prohibited by the Quran, but Muhammad prohibited it during a war campaign - and for ever - according to Hadiths.

The praxis of abrogation forever was - and is - a problem for Islam. The reason is that with the exception of the "satanic verses" and possible other verses which were abrogated and told by Muhammad to be forgotten - and verses forgotten or for other reasons omitted from the Quran by caliph Uthman and his men when making the "final" Quran in the 650s AD - the abrogated verses remain in the book, and much worse: As mentioned above it is often highly unclear which verses are abrogated and invalid, and which are not. Some Muslims say nothing is abrogated (which is a dream made up because abrogation really is not worthy an omniscient god, and raises serious doubts about the god Allah, his omniscience and his powers and his existence, and perhaps also because many people needs something absolute to believe in or rely on) but it only is a dream. The reality is abrogation. The only question is how many verses? As mentioned there are numbers from 5 to 500, but realistic may be 200 - 300 or some more (up to 500) - it depends on how strict the reader is. Muslims tend to find fewer abrogated verses than others - 100 or more are not uncommon - perhaps because they are reluctant to admit unnecessary weaknesses in their god and their religion - - - though Ibn Arabi said about the famous and infamous "verse of the sword" (surah 9/5 "- - - fight and slay the Pagans wherever ye find them - - -"): "The verse of 'the sword' has abrogated 124 verses" - all the peaceful ones about non-Muslims and about no compulsion in religion. And that was only the "sword verse" - there are many more.

The problem with not knowing which verses are abrogated and which not, are exacerbated by the fact that even the verses Muslim scholars agree on are abrogated, remains in the Quran - they cannot be taken out, because Allah sent it down like that, and men cannot correct his mistakes or what to call it.

Some of the serious results of the uncertainty around abrogated verses are:

1. It is difficult to be a judge when you do not for sure know which verses relevant for a case are abrogated and invalid, and which not. How to judge when you know the dictator of your country has nullified paragraphs in the constitution of the country, but without it is possible for you to know for sure which ones and how many?
2. Many Muslims honestly believe Islam is a peaceful religion because they do not know or do not believe/accept that the peaceful verses are abrogated. They even may be insulted when being told the opposite. And we non-Muslims should remember that this honest belief leads to a praxis of their religion that makes these groups of Muslims no danger for their surroundings (but of course our problem is to know who of them are honest and who not).
3. It is easy to defend - or make propaganda for - Islam by pointing to peaceful verses without even mentioning the word "abrogation". Many non-Muslims look at the verse and – not knowing the abrogating rule and verses – believes it.
4. A lot of naïve non-Muslims with superficial knowledge about Islam easily accepts and tells about the peaceful real Islam - often as opposed to other religions. They simply do not know that Islam started as a mainly peaceful religion, but was transferred to one of hate, stealing, rape, suppression, blood and war around and after 622 AD when Muhammad became strong enough and in addition needed first highwaymen and then warriors.
5. Many non-Muslims - and Muslims - say that terrorists and others pick and choose and disuse the Quran when they hate and kill. **But in reality it is the militants and the terrorists that are right: According to the surahs from Medina it is the peaceful Muslims that are not good Muslims - and**

the surahs from Medina mostly override the peaceful ones from Mecca, as mentioned before. (As this book is meant to be one to open and find out things – an “encyclopedia” - we sometimes repeat essential information, so that readers do not have to search too much - in addition to that many things are repeated because the Quran often repeats and repeats and repeats itself, and we have to give the answers). But some mullahs, militants and terrorists really do know how to read the Quran correctly. **And the horrible fact is: The militants do read it correctly (with the possible exception of self murder).**

6. Most of the verses telling about peaceful coexistence with and treatment of non-Muslims are found in the some 86 surahs from Mecca (610-622 AD). Practically all the bloody, suppressing, hate, rape, robbery (“good and lawful”) and war verses are from Medina (622-632 AD). That means that many or most of the peaceful ones are nullified by the much harsher ones from Medina, a fact that turned the religion into one of hate and war and robbing and conquest - a religion that fitted the warring desert Arabs (by far the majority in Arabia at that time) most well (it is symptomatic that Muhammad did not start getting large quantities of followers until he stopped preaching peace, and started preaching robbing, stealing, slave taking, ”good and lawful” rape, suppression, war and riches and power also in this life - - - and abrogated the peaceful verses to get a warriors‘ and robbers‘ religion.)
7. You will see that in many cases it is the same verses/points that are abrogated (and thus at the same time are contradicted) by many other verses, and the other way around. The reason simply is that many or most of the harsh verses – mainly from Medina where Islam changed to a war religion – each abrogates and contradicts many or most of the same softer verses – mainly from the earlier time (the Mecca periode).

Beware that each and every abrogation normally also is a contradiction (and you will also find them in our list of contradictions), but a contradiction is not necessarily an abrogation. Further: Many of the mistaken facts at the same time are contradictions to reality - some of them are listed here, but you will find many more in the chapters about the mistaken facts.

To give you a visual impression of how bad the situation in the Quran regarding mistakes and errors really is, we have chosen to show "all" the abrogations for each contradicting verse/point, (and the same for internal contradictions) instead of just writing a sum. Each and every number in this list show one verse abrogating or being abrogated by another – and if you add all together, you will see that this list contains 4556 collisions between verses. As each collision takes 2 verses, that means that in this list there are 2278 abrogations = 2278 mistakes or errors made by the god because of trying and failing or because he changed his mind now and the. AND IN REALITY THERE ARE MANY MORE. (But remember that as many of the verses are contradicted many or very many times by different verses, less than 150 verses are involved in this list – but as said: There are more, as we have found far from all. (Only 9/5 abrogates 124 milder verses according to some Muslim scholars - and may be as many as 500 verses all together are abrogated according to some Muslim scholars).

If this book is ever printed, one will save paper and expenses by just writing the numbers - how many and which ones.

PS: We have added some abrogations just before launching this on Internet. As we will add a few more when we finish this book in 2010, we wait with correcting the matematics till then.

The abrogations (163 + the Satanic Verses):

001 2/62: “Those who believe (in our Quran), and those who follow the Jewish (scriptures) and the Christians – any who believe in Allah (=God/Yahweh here*) and the Last Day, and work righteousness, shall have their reward with their Lord (go to Heaven*); on them shall be no fear, nor shall they grieve“. Abrogated - by

1. 3/85: "If anyone desires a religion other than Islam - - - never will it be accepted of him - - -".
2. 5/17: “In blasphemy (and will be punished according to 5/73* - that Jesus is divine is to put another god by Allah’s side, which is the ultimate and unforgivable sin according to 4/48 and 4/116) are those who say that Allah is Christ, son of Mary.” This had omitted the Christians – like Muhammad obviously intended - - - if it was not because Christians do not say God = Jesus. Muhammad did not understand the Trinity.
3. 5/72: “Whoever joins other gods with Allah – Allah will forbid him the Garden - - -.” This blocks the road at least for Christians, as according to Islam Jesus (and Maria!) are made "other" gods (and parts of the Trinity – Muhammad never understood neither the Trinity, nor the Holy Spirit (though he used the Holy Spirit a few times in the Quran).
4. 5/73: “They do blaspheme who say/Allah (God/Yahweh) is one of a Trinity - - - a grievous penalty will befall the blasphemers

- among them.” Which sentences the Christians to Hell.
5. 9/17: “It is not for such as join gods with Allah (= God/Yahweh here*) - - -. - - in Fire shall they dwell”. No hope for Christians with their Jesus – in spite of 2/62.
 6. 3/85 (625 AD): “If anyone desires a religion other than Islam (submission to Allah), never it will be accepted of him (Allah*) - - -”. It may be worth mentioning that surah 2 “arrived” at a time when Muhammad for one thing hoped to have the Jews accept his religion, and for another thing still were not military strong. In 625 AD he had given up the hope of being accepted by the Jews (there were few, if any Christians or Sabians in Medina), and his group of warriors were bigger and more trained in combat = he was military stronger.

(6 abrogations)

002 2/106: “None of our revelations do We (Allah*) abrogate or cause to be forgotten, But We substitute something better or similar”. Well, this is a contradiction – and an abrogation – in itself. (Note: Some Muslims prefer – like here in the Quran – the word “substitute”, as it is a less “loaded” word for them, but in this case the meaning is exactly identical – only one word is from daily English, the other is derived from Latin). This actually is one of the verses behind the praxis of abrogation in Islam. It may also be one of the reasons – may be the main reason – why abrogated verses were included in the Quran originally – they should not be abrogated in the meaning that they should be forgotten. **But abrogation is absolutely necessary in Islam, because there is so much contradiction, that the situation would be impossible unless they could be eliminated by making a number of them invalid.** The Quran actually contradicts this point by:

1. 6/115: “- - - none can change His (Allah’s) Words - - -”. Well, he is contradicting himself, as he clearly changes it himself when something forces him to – try and fail? Or change his mind about more blood and injustice from 622 AD? – or because of other problems or things he has learnt?
2. 10/64: “Hereafter, no change can there be in the Words of Allah.” For one thing this sentence has serious effect for the ones who say that predestination in the Quran is not real predestination – if Allah has said/thought/written something, no free will of man can change anything - - - which means there is no free will in that connection, and it is predestined as sure as carved in stone. But

more relevant just here is that there were many and sometimes large changes in Allah's words after this "revelation" in 621 AD.

(2 abrogations).

003 2/109: "- - - but (Muslims*) forgive and overlook (Jews and Christians*) - - -." But that was long before 9/5 and other hard verses. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threat, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

004 2/139: "- - - we (Muslims*) are responsible for our doings and ye (non-Muslims*) for yourd - - -". But that was long before 9/5 and other hard verses. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threat, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

00a 2/180: "It is prescribed, when death approaches any of you, if he leave any goods, that he make a bequest - - -." But why? - this in reality is abrogated by the sharia laws about inheritance.

005 2/190: "- - - but do not transgress limits (in war*) - - -." This "do not transgress limits" is contradicted – and mostly abrogated – rather strongly: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threat, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

006 2/191: "And slay them (your opponents*) wherever you catch them, turn them out from where you have turned them out (be careful Spain, Sicily, South Italy, Malta and others that turned them out*); for tumult and oppression (from them*) is worse than slaughter (of them*) - - -". This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

007 2/193: “And fight them on until there is no more tumult or oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah (no compulsion in religion, 2/256*) - - -.” This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

008 2/215: "Whatever ye spend (in charity/alms*) that is good, is for parents and kindred and orphans and those in want and for wayfarers". Abrogated in the meaning "wider" - may be by a god not able to make the perfect rules at his first try? - by:

1. 9/60: Alms are for the poor and the needy, and those employed to administer the (funds); for those whose hearts have been (recently) reconciled (to Truth (Muhammad often used "gifts" to keep new followers)); for those in bondage and in debt; in the cause of Allah; and for the wayfarer - - -".

009 2/219: “They ask thee (Muhamma*) concerning wine and gambling. Say: ‘In them is great sin, and some profit, for men; but the sin is greater than the profit.’” It still (622 – 624 AD) was permitted, though. It was later prohibited - - - and this verse thus abrogated.

010 2/221: “Do not marry unbelieving women (idolaters (is this word in the Arab text?)), until they believe”. Contradicted – and abrogated – by: 00a 5/5: “(Lawful unto you in marriage) are (not only) chaste women who are believers, but chaste women among the People of the Book”. Then at least Christian women should not be accepted according to 2/221, as they use religious icons, etc. If the word “idolaters” are not in the Arab text (text in () sometimes is explanation, sometimes specification – and sometime a “help” to the text to sound more correct) this goes for all Christian and Jewish women.

011 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion”. **This is the flagship for all Muslims who wants to impress non-Muslims about how peaceful and tolerant Islam is. But NB! NB! The surah says: “Let it be - - -.” It is an order or – judging also from 2/255 – more likely a wish, it is not something that they had or have.** It is a hope or a goal for the future, it is not something that exist – and all the same most Muslims quote it like this: “There is no compulsion in Religion” - - - a small, little “Kitman” (lawful half-truth – an expression special for Islam together with “al-Taqiyya, “the lawful lie) makes the Quran and the religion sound much more friendly and tolerant. But not one single of them mention the fact that this verse is contradicted and in most cases abrogated by at least these verses:

1. 2/191: “And slay them (your opponents*) wherever you catch them, and turn them out from where they have turned you out; for tumult and oppression (from them*) is worse

- than slaughter (of them*) - - -." (Surah 2 is from 622-624 AD - early Medina.)
2. 2/193: "And fight them (your opponents*) on until there is no more tumult or oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah ("No compulsion in religion"!*) - - -."
 3. 3/28: "Let not the believers take for friends or helpers unbelievers rather than believers: if any do that. In nothing will there be help from Allah - - -." Social pressure, but may be meant defensive originally, as it is from 625 AD - the Mecca period. Therefore not counted, even if it is offensive today.
 4. 3/85: "If anyone desired a religion other than Islam (submission to Allah), never will it be accepted of him - - -." Yes: "No compulsion in religion." (Also from Mecca, but hardly defensive – see 3/28 just above)
 5. 3/148: "- - - and help us against those who resist Faith". This is from 625 AD – the Mecca period. It could have been meant as defensive help, but then one would have used "from", not "against".
 6. 4/90: "If they ("infidels"*) withdraw not from you, and nor give you guarantees of peace (remember that in nearly all the conflicts, the Muslims were the aggressors*) besides restraining their hands, seize them and slay them wherever you get them - - -." No comments about "No Compulsion in Religion".
 7. 5/33: "The punishment for those who wage war against Allah and his Messenger (Muhammad*) - - - is: execution, or crucifixion, or the cutting off of hands and feet from the opposite sides, or exile from the land." Remember that this surah is from 632 AD, and that all wars were wars of attack from the Muslims – even the battles of Badr, Uhud and Medina/the Trench were battle in a war of aggression started and kept alive by Muhammad – so mostly the victims who "fought war against Allah and his Messenger" were fighting in desperate and sheer self defence to defend themselves against the onslaught of Islam - - - and to defend themselves obviously was a great sin. Muslims attacked for gaining loot, land, slaves, power - - - and force Islam on their neighbours. In spite of Islam's peaceful

- words, the local Arabs only got two choices: Become Muslims or fight/die. “No compulsion”.
8. 5/72: “They (Christians*) do blaspheme who say: ‘God is Christ the son of Mary.’ - - - and the Fire will be their abode.” A serious warning also is a compulsion.
 9. 5/73: “They do blaspheme who say: Allah is one of three in a Trinity - - -.” This was to put two other gods at the side of Allah – two times the ultimate sin. Also a warning about blasphemy is a compulsion.
 10. 8/12: “I (Allah*) will instil terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers: smite ye above their necks and smite ye all their fingertips off them (making them unable to use a bow*).” Remember: Nearly all skirmishes, battles and wars at least for 110 years (till the Battle of Tours, France, against Carl Martell in 732 AD) and actually much longer, were wars of aggression started by the Muslims): “No compulsion in religion.”
 11. 8/38-39: “Say to the Unbelievers, if (now) they desist (from Unbelief (become Muslims*)), their past would be forgiven them, but if they persist, the punishment for those before them is already (a matter of warning for them). And fight with them until there is no more tumult and oppression, and there prevail justice (sharia?*) and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere”. Well, this to say the least of it contradicts and abrogates and kills.
 12. 8/39: “And fight them (the Unbelievers) until there is no more tumult and oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere - - -.” Is it possible to get a more direct order about wars of religion and of suppression of the vanquished “infidels”? And if “justice” means sharia, that is not too good for the non-Muslims, to be polite.
 13. 8/60: “Against them (the unbelievers*) make ready your strength to the outmost of your power - - - to strike terror into (the hearts of) the (attacked – the Muslims nearly always were the aggressors in spite of peaceful words today*) enemies of Allah - - -.” War for the religion – and riches and slaves and power – but “no compulsion in religion.”

14. 9/3 (631 AD): “And proclaim a grievous penalty to those who reject Faith”. Muslims may say it is meant figuratively and for the next life. But it is said in connection to 9/5, which indicates this life.
15. 9/5: “But when the forbidden months are past, then fight and slay the Pagans (unbelievers*) wherever ye find them, and size them, beleaguer them, and lay in wait for them in every strategy (of war)” **This is the famous and infamous “Verse of the Sword”** – from the “Religion of Peace” that at least preaches “No compulsion in religion”. But then to preach peace often is a good strategy of war.
16. 9/14: “Fight them (the “infidels”*), and Allah will punish them by your hand (you are fighting on behalf of Allah*), cover them with shame, help you (to victory) over them - -.” No comments necessary. A peaceful religion with no religious overtones in their wars and plans, stratagems and teachings?
17. 9/23: “Take not for protectors your fathers, your sons, your brothers, your mates, or your kindred if they love infidelity above Faith (Islam*): if any of you do so, they do wrong.” Social pressure – and for that case economical pressure (often used against non-Muslim underlings in the form of high taxes, etc.) – also is “Compulsion in Religion” – which does not exist in Islam (?)
18. 9/29: “Fight those who believe not in Allah, nor the Last Day, nor holds that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and his Prophet (Muhammad*), not acknowledge the religion of the Truth (even if they are) of the People of the Book (Jews and Christians mainly), until they pay the jizya (“infidel”-tax where Islam has set no upper limit, and that frequently through the history has been very high*) with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued”. Conquer the infidels and then let them live like Negroes under apartheid in South Africa or in the southern states in USA in the early 1900s - - - the ones that were not taken into slavery – especially the women. Yes, no compulsion – neither by the sword first, nor by destroyed economy and social life, etc. after the defeat.
19. 9/33: “It is He (Allah*) Who hath sent his Messenger with Guidance and the Religion of

- the Truth, to proclaim it over all religion, even though the Pagans may detest it”. With plain words: Accept it whether you like it or not – as there is “no Compulsion in Religion.”
20. 9/73: “O Prophet! Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” The highest leader and of course his followers should strive hard against the “infidels” – but “No Compulsion in Religion”. Well, at least it is good propaganda that proves how peaceful the Quran is.
 21. 9/123: “O ye who believe! Fight the Unbelievers who gird you about and let them find firmness in you - - .” If you are a good Muslim, then fight the non-Muslims – but “no compulsion” – not in religion.
 22. 25/36: “Go ye both (Moses and Aaron*) to the people who have rejected our Signs’. And those (people) We (Allah*) destroyed with utter destruction.” A clear message.
 23. 25/52: “Therefore listen not to the Unbelievers, but strive against them with the utmost strenuousness, with the (Quran)”. As you see: Religion is not included when you strive against the “infidels”.
 24. 33/61: “They (hypocrites - not good enough Muslims – or non-Muslims*) shall have a curse on them whenever they are found, they shall be seized and slain (without mercy).” If you are not good enough Muslims – and sometimes others – you are to be killed without mercy. A most clear order. Only do not mention it, because the propaganda line is: “The Religion of Peace” and “No compulsion in Religion”.
 25. 33/73: (Because man – the Arabs – undertook the Trust of the Quran/Islam -) “With the result that Allah has to punish the Hypocrites, men and women, and the unbelievers, men and women - - .” And Muslims works on behalf of Allah.
 26. 35/36: “But those who reject (Allah) – for them will be the Fire of Hell”. Not exactly compulsion on the surface, but at least a clear threat. We include it because this threat is repeated often, so it makes up a considerable psychological compulsion anyhow for anyone not sure Islam is wrong.

27. 47/4: “Therefore, when ye meet the Unbelievers (in fight), smite at their necks; at length, when ye have thoroughly subdued them, bind a bond firmly (on them) - - -.” Yes, unbelievers have to be subdued.
28. 66/9: “O Prophet. Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” No pardon for “infidels” – compulsion makes more Muslims than no compulsion - - - and loot is loot if Muhammad has to be firm against the ones that are stubborn in their infidelity.

(2/256 is abrogated by at least these 28 points – and in reality by more).

2/256 is the most disused verse in all the Quran – and all the worse as each and every educated Muslim, and a large percentage of the uneducated know it is totally abrogated and totally invalid.

012 2/272: “It is not required of thee (O Messenger (Muhammad*)) to set them on the right path - - -.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threat, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

013 3/20: “If they (“infidels”*) do (become Muslims*), they are in right guidance,, but if they turn back, thy duty is to convey the Message - - -.” This – that his duty was to convey the message (only), was deeply contradicted – and abrogated by at least those of these verses that came after number 3 (in 625a), and we add the ones of them that came before, too, because Islam says an older verse in clear cases can abrogate a younger one (it is the one exception from the standard rule that the newest abrogates the older ones). Anyhow it is a clear-cut contradiction – and abrogated by many verses. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

014 3/28: “Let not the believers take for friends or helpers unbelievers rather than believers: if any do that. In nothing will there be help from Allah - - -.” Social pressure, but may be meant defensive originally, as it is from 625 AD - the Mecca period. Therefore not counted, even if it is offensive today. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, ++++++8/57*, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39,

20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, ++++++51/55* 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

015 3/85: “If anyone desires a religion other than Islam (submission to Allah), never will it be accepted of him - - .” This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

016 3/148: “- - - and help us against those who resist Faith”. This is from 625 AD – the Mecca period. It could have been meant as defensive help, but then one would have used “from”, not “against”. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

017 4/15: “If any of your women are guilty of lewdness (adultery*) - - - confine them to houses until death do claim them, or Allah ordain for them some (other) way”. 626 AD. (The last part of the sentence must be understood as a “way” metered out especially for each woman who gets such an “ordain”.) But contradiction - and abrogation - 1-2 years later – in 627 or 628 AD:

1. 24/3: “The woman and the man guilty of adultery or fornication – flog each of them with a hundred stripes - - - “. (627 or 628 AD).

It also must be added that in Islam there is a persistent rumour that about 100 verses disappeared and never made it into the Quran when Caliph Uthman had the official Quran made, and that one of the verses was one that demanded stoning for this crime. The fact that stoning for it is prescribed for it in Hadith, and that Hadith (Al-Bukhari) tells that at least once Muhammad himself took part in such a stoning.

(As mentioned tradition tells that a verse demanding stoning for this sin, was left out of the Quran - true or not. But Hadith tells that Muhammad ordained - and personally took part in - stoning for this - - - a fact that abrogated both those verses, though only some places use stoning today).

018 4/43: “Approach not prayers with a minf befogged (drunk*) - - -.” Abrogated by making stricter by f. ex.:

1. 5/90: “Intoxicants and gambling - - - (are*) of Satan’s handiwork: eschew such (abomination) - - -.”

019 4/63: “Those men (not good Muslims or apostates*) - - - keep clear of them, but admonish them, and speak to them a word to reach their very soul.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

020 4/81. “- - - so (Muslims*) keep clear of them (“infidels”/hypocrites*) - - -.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

021 4/90: “- - - if they withdraw from you but fight you not, and (instead) send you (guarantees of) peace, then Allah hath opened no way for you (to war against them.)” That this verse both is contradicted and abrogated is very clear from among other facts, the fact that all the 4 law schools of Islam agreed on that the fact that “infidels” were not Muslims, was reason enough for declaring not only war, but holy war – jihad. This law was not even disputed until during the 1. half of the 20th century. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

022 4/91: “If they (“infidels”*) withdraw not from you, and nor give you guarantees of peace (remember that in nearly all the conflicts, the Muslims were the aggressors*) besides restraining their hands, seize them and slay them wherever you get them - - -.” No comments about “No Compulsion in Religion”. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54,

52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

023 4/140: "- - - when ye hear the Signs of Allah held in defiance and ridicule, ye are not to sit with them - - -". Abrogated by being made much harsher by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threat, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

024 5/3: "Forbidden to you (Muslims*) (for food) are: dead meat, blood, the flesh of swine - - -." This is abrogated by Sunna (Hadith), as Muhammad made a very clear rule during the besiege of Khaibar in 628 AD: Meat of donkey is forever forbidden to eat. Remember that also to make a rule of law stricter is an abrogation – the god had to try and fail to find the best laws. Or he forgot or changed his mind. That Sunna abrogates the Quran, is not the normal rule, but far from unheard of (veils for women are f. ex. not introduced in the Quran – but is so in some Hadiths). A more special case here is that this verse was abrogated (by being made stricter) before it was released in 632 AD. The main rule is that the youngest verse abrogates the older one(s), but after a hard debate in the old times Islam agreed on that in some cases the older one can abrogate the younger - - - and as far as we have been able to find out, the prohibition against eating donkey meat still stands (if we are wrong here, we would appreciate very much to be corrected). Also see 6/145.

025 5/5: "(Lawful unto you in marriage) are (not only) chaste women who are believers, but chaste women among the People of the Book". Contradicts – and abrogates:

1. 2/221: "Do not marry unbelieving women (idolaters (is this word in the Arab text?)), until they believe". Then at least Christian women should not be accepted according to 2/221, as they use religious icons, etc. If the word "idolaters" are not in the Arab text (text in () sometimes is explanation, sometimes specification – and sometime a "help" to the text to sound more correct) this goes for all Christian and Jewish women.

026 5/28: 00fa 5/28: "If thou ("infidels", Cain*) dost stretch thy hand against me (Muslims, Abel*), it is not for me to stretch my hand against thee to slay thee - - -." This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

027 5/33: “The punishment for those who wage war against Allah and his Messenger (Muhammad*) - - - is: execution, or crucifixion, or the cutting off of hands and feet from the opposite sides, or exile from the land.” Remember that this surah is from 632 AD, and that all wars were wars of attack from the Muslims – even the battles of Badr, Uhud and Medina/the Trench were battle in a war of aggression started and kept alive by Muhammad – so mostly the victims who “fought war against Allah and his Messenger” were fighting in desperate and sheer self defence to defend themselves against the onslaught of Islam - - - and to defend themselves obviously was a great sin. Muslims attacked for gaining loot, land, slaves, power - - - and force Islam on their neighbours. In spite of Islam’s peaceful words, the local Arabs only got two choices: Become Muslims or fight/die. A cleat contradiction – and abrogation of:

1. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion - - -.” But “Allah and his Messenger” were waging war against them all the same – and at least Allah meant religion. (Also at this time Muslims mainly were fighting Arabs – and pagan Arabs only got two choices: Become Muslims or fight and die and have your women and children become slaves. Lay Muslims will protest wildly against this information, but not the educated ones – it is the plain and bloody truth that most of the Arabs were made Muslims by the sword.

028 5/48: “- - - so strive as in a race in all virtues.” In a race you strive peacefully. 8/12 is terror and war and inhumanity. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

029 5/72: “They (Christians*) do blaspheme who say: ‘God is Christ the son of Mary.’ - - - and the Fire will be their abode.” This clearly contradicts – and abrogates:

1. 2/62: “- - - those who follow the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians any who believe in Allah and the Last Day, and work in righteousness, shall have their reward with their Lord - - -.”
2. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion - - -.”
3. 5/69: “Those who (believe in the Quran), and those who follows the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians – any who believe in Allah (here included Yahweh/God*) and the Last day, and work righteousness – on them shall be no fear, nor shall they grieve.”

(3 abrogations)

030 5/73: “They do blaspheme who say: Allah is one of three in a Trinity - - -.” This was to put two other gods at the side of Allah – two times the ultimate sin. This contradicts – and abrogates:

1. 2/62: “- - - those who follow the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians any who believe in Allah and the Last Day, and work in righteousness, shall have their reward with their Lord - - -.”
2. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion - - -.”
3. 5/69: “Those who (believe in the Quran), and those who follows the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians – any who believe in Allah (here included Yahweh/God*) and the Last day, and work righteousness – on them shall be no fear, nor shall they grieve.”

(3 abrogations).

031 5/90: “Intoxicants and gambling - - - (are*) of Satan’s handiwork: eschew such (abomination) - - -.” Abrogates elegantly – by being stricter:

1. 4/43: “Approach not prayers with a mind befogged (drunk*) - - -.” Abrogated by making stricter by f. ex.:

032 5/99: “The Messenger’s (Muhammad’s*) duty is but to proclaim (the Message) - - -.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

033 6/60: “Leave alone those who take their religion to be mere play and amusement - - -.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

034 6/66: “Not mine (Muhammad’s*) is the responsibility for arranging your (religious*) affairs.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38,

3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

035 6/70: “Leave alone those who take their religion to be mere play and amusement - - -.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

036 6/91: “- - - then leave them (non-believers*) to plunge in vain discourse and trifling.” But to leave them was what they had to do before they became strong enough to react strictly. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

037 6/104: “- - - I (Muhammad*) am not (here) to watch over your doings.” One year later Muhammad started to watch everybody’s’ doing, too – and strictly so little by little. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

038 6/106: “- - - and turn aside from those who join gods with Allah.” But to turn aside was what they had to do before they became strong enough to react strictly. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

039 6/107: “- - - but We (Allah*) made thee (Muhammad*) not to watch over their (‘infidels’) doing - - -.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4,

66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

040 6/108: "Reveile not ye those ehom they call upon besides Allah, lest they out of spite reveile Allah in their ignorance." This you could forget when Islam became strong enough to punish instead of being polite. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

041 6/112: “- - - so (Muhammad*) leave them (opponents*) and their inventions (gods*) alone.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

042 6/121: "Eat not of (meats) on which Allah's name hath not been pronounced: that would be impiety." Contradicted and abrogated 11 years later (632 AD) by 5/5: "The food of the People of the Book (here the Bible = Jewa and Christians*) is lawful unto you - - -".

043 6/137: "- - - but leave alone them (polytheists*) and their inventions." That was in peaceful 621 AD. It soon changed: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

044 6/145: “I (Muhammad*) find not - - - any (meat) forbidden to be eaten - - - unless it be dead meat, or blood poured forth, or the flesh of swine - - - or - - - (meat) on which a name has been invoked, other than Allah’s”. This surah is from 621 AD. Some 6 years later Muhammad abrogated this and added the prohibition of eating meat from donkey. This prohibition never entered the Quran, and this is one of the cases where the sunny – the Hadiths – abrogate a point in the Quran. (Remember that also adding to a rule, is an abrogation – Allah did not do it perfect from the start, and had to change his words). Also see 5/3.

045 6/149: ”With Allah is the arguments that reaches home - - -”. Which in plain language means that Allah has the final say in everything. Which means: Allah decides everything. “The Message of the Quran” explains this in its comment 141 to this surah (translated from Swedish): “With other words: **the real connection between Allah’s knowledge about the**

future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man's relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true.

This argument is the ultimate defeat for the very meaning behind the word “the Truth”. A man of the morally depraved character like the historical real Muhammad has told an unproven and undocumented tale - - - and that is the ultimate truth also in the face of the absolutely impossible!! The ultimate contradiction!! And the ultimate abrogation of any claim about free will in case.

046 6/158: “Wait ye (“infidels”*) : we (Muhammad*) too are waiting.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

047 6/159: "Ad for those who divide their religion and break up into sects, thou hast no part in them in the least: their affair is with Allah - - -." Very strongly contradicted by reality - through the Islamic history many a sect have been persecuted, some even drowned in blood. Also contradicted and abrogated by the Quran itself: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

048 7/87: “- - - hold yourselves (“infidels”*) in patience until Allah doth decide between us: for He is the best to decide.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

049 7/182-183: "Those who reject Our (Allah's*) Signs - - - Respite will I grant unto them - - -". But be sure; Muhammad did not always grant them respite after he became strong enough. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

050 7/188: “I (Muhammad*) am but a warner, and a bringer of glad tidings – to those who have faith.” A warner and a warrior. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

051 7/193: “- - - for you (Muhammad*) it is the same whether ye call them or ye hold your peace!” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

052 7/199: “(Muhammad*) Hold to forgiveness (towards the “infidels”*)”. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

053 8/1 (624 AD): “They ask thee (Muhammad) concerning (things taken as) spoils of war. Say: ‘(Such) spoils are at the disposal of Allah and the Prophet Muhammad*)’”. This is abrogated already in the same surah:

1. 8/41: “And know that out of all the booty that you may acquire (in war), a fifth is assigned to Allah”. The warriors obviously wanted their share - and there also at times were talk about Muhammad not sharing fairly. Muslims try to tell that here is no abrogation, as Allah really has the disposition of everything, but grandly gives the warriors 80%. But the reality is that the rule was - had to be - changed. (Muslims explain it with that it still belonged to Allah and Muhammad, but the moment it became a right the warriors owned as part of the rules for war, it no longer belonged to anyone but the warriors).

054 8/12: “I (Allah*) will instil terror in the hearts of the Unbelievers: Smite ye above their necks and smite all their fingertips off them (make them unable to use a bow*)”. Simply: Let us fight the bad unbelievers. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272,

3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

055 8/39: “And fight them (the Unbelievers) until there is no more tumult and oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere - - .” Is it possible to get a more direct order about wars of religion and of suppression of the vanquished “infidels”? And if “justice” means sharia, that is not too good for the non-Muslims, to be polite. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

056 8/38-39: “Say to the Unbelievers, if (now) they desist (from Unbelief (become Muslims*)), their past would be forgiven them, but if they persist, the punishment for those before them is already (a matter of warning for them). And fight with them until there is no more tumult and oppression, and there prevail justice (sharia?*) and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere”. Well, this to say the least of it contradicts and abrogates and kills.

1. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in Religion” and some other older, “soft” verses.
2. 5/28: “If thou (“infidels”, Cain*) dost stretch thy hand against me (Muslims, Abel*), it is not for me to stretch my hand against thee to slay thee - - .” When you read this, remember that Muslims have few if any over all codexes. What they have to do is to look for “What did Muhammad say about such things?” If he has said something, they take that as a codex – good moral or not. If not, they have to look in the book: “Is there a parallel situation somewhere?” If they find – sometimes by stretching imagination – that is the way to act, or the alibi for how one wishes to act. Mind also that this verse is one of the very few in all the Quran that is in accordance with the teachings of Jesus – one of the very few. And it is totally “murdered” by abrogations. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191,

2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

057 8/41: “And know that out of all the booty that ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah - - -.” This contradicts and abrogates 8/1 a little above – see that one.

058 8/60: “Against them (the unbelievers*) make ready your strength to the outmost of your power - - - to strike terror into (the hearts of) the (attacked – the Muslims nearly always were the aggressors in spite of peaceful words today*) enemies of Allah - - -.” War for the religion – and riches and slaves and power – but “no compulsion in religion.” This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

059 8/61: “But if the enemy incline towards peace, do thou (Muhammad*) (also) incline towards peace - - -.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

000 8/65: You often see claimed that this is abrogated by 8/66. But 8/65 is generally speaking, whereas 8/66 is "For the present - - -". An exception, not an abrogation. If you hunt for abrogations, beware of this: An exception that belongs to the meaning there and then, is an exception, not an abrogation (but if the exception is found another place and from another time, it is an abrogation). There are plenty of both contradictions and abrogations - you do not have to use the ones that in reality only is an exception meant as part of the meaning there and then.

060 9/3 (631 AD): “And proclaim a grievous penalty to those who reject Faith”. Muslims may say it is meant figuratively and for the next life. But it is said in connection to 9/5, which indicates this life. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out

of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

061 9/5: “But when the forbidden months are past, then fight and slay the Pagans wherever ye find them, and seize them, beleaguer them, and lay in wait for them in every stratagem (of war.)”

This is “the Verse of the Sword” – the single verse in the Quran of all the harsh and inhuman and bloody verses from the Medina period, that is reckoned to contradict and to abrogate most peaceful verses (mainly from the Mecca periode). Muslim scholars say it abrogates 124 verses in the book, We have seen no numbers for how many it contradicts, but it is not fewer (also all abrogations in reality are contradictions – that is why they are deemed abrogations: to make contradicting points invalid so that it is possible to live and behave according to the book - - - and to claim there is no contradictions in it as the contradicting points are abrogated, though this last fact Muslims never mention). And as all abrogations also were contradictions before one or more of the contradicting verses were abrogated (which is one of the reasons why it is nonsense when some Muslims say abrogations do not exist – as that means Allah is not omniscient, but had to try and fail and to change his mind every now and then – in the Quran: Without abrogations you have a lot of serious contradictions in the book, and which is worst of contradictions that make the book impossible to follow, or abrogations that at least makes you wind your way through life?). Surah 9, including 9/5, came in 631 AD and according to the Islamic rules for abrogations, that means that it overrides nearly everything in the Quran.

Here are about half of the ones 9/5 abrogates:

1. 2/109 abrogated by 9/5 “- - - but (Muslims*) forgive and overlook (Jews and Christians*) - - -.” But that was long before 9/5 and other hard verses.
2. 2/190: “Fight in the cause of Allah those who fight you, but do not transgress limits - - -.” 9/5 does not care much about limits.
3. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in Religion - - -.” This is the flagship for all Muslims who wants to impress non-Muslims about how peaceful and tolerant Islam is. But NB! NB! The surah says: “Let it be - - -.” It is an order or – judging also from 2/255 – more likely a wish, it is not something that they had. It is a hope or a goal for the future, it is not something that exist – and all the same most Muslims quote it like this: “There is no

compulsion in Religion” - - - a small, little “Kitman” (lawful half-truth – an expression special for Islam together with “al-Taqiyya, “the lawful lie”) in addition to the obvious al-Taqiyya as there in many a Muslim country are compulsions towards non-Muslims, makes the Quran and the religion sound much more friendly and tolerant.

Do not tell that to 9/5.

1. 2/272: “It is not required of thee (O Messenger (Muhammad*)) to set them on the right path - - -.”
2. 3/20: “If they (“infidels”*) do (become Muslims*), they are in right guidance, but if they turn back, thy duty is to convey the Message - - -.” This – that his duty was to convey the message (only), was deeply contradicted – and abrogated by at least those of these verses that came after number 3 (in 625a), and we add the ones of them that came before, too, because Islam says an older verse in clear cases can abrogate a younger one (it is the one exception from the standard rule that the newest abrogates the older ones). Anyhow it is a clear-cut contradiction – and abrogated by many verses.
3. 4/62: “Those men (not good Muslims or apostates*) - - - keep clear of them, but admonish them, and speak to them a word to reach their very soul.”
4. 4/81: “- - - so keep clear of them (hypocrites, “infidels”) - - -.” 9/5 instead wants you to kill them.
5. 4/90: “- - - if they withdraw from you but fight you not, and (instead) send you (guarantees of) peace, then Allah hath opened no way for you (to war against them.)”
6. 5/3: “Forbidden to you (for food) are: dead meat, blood, the flesh of swine (and some more*- - -.”
7. 5/28: “If thou (“infidels”, Cain*) dost stretch thy hand against me (Muslims, Abel*), it is not for me to stretch my hand against thee to slay thee - - -.”
8. 5/48: “- - - so strive as in a race in all virtues.” In a race you strive peacefully. 8/12 is terror and war and inhumanity.

9. 5/99: "The Messenger's duty is but to proclaim (the Message) - - -." Oh??
10. 6/60: "Leave alone those who take their religion to be mere play and amusement - - -."
11. 6/66: "Not mine (Muhammad's*) is the responsibility for arranging your ('infidels'*) affairs." No, his responsibility only is to kill you or suppress you or force you to become a Muslim.
12. 6/70: "Leave alone those who take their religion to be mere play and amusement - - -." No comment necessary.
13. 6/104: "I (Muhammad*) am not (here) to watch over your doings". (Islamic scholars report that this verse abrogates 124 milder verses – we not at least 20 abrogations/contradictions).
14. 6/107: "- - - but We (Allah*) made thee (Muhammad*) not to watch over their ('infidels') doing - - -."
15. 6/112: "- - - so (Muhammad*) leave them (opponents*) and their Invention (gods*) alone."
16. 6/158: "Wait ye ('infidels'*): we (Muhammad*) too are waiting."
17. 7/87: "- - - hold yourselves ('infidels'*) in patience until Allah doth decide between us: for He is the best to decide."
18. 7/188: "I (Muhammad*) am but a warner, and a bringer of glad tidings – to those who have faith." A warner and a warrior.
19. 7/193: "- - - for you (Muhammad*) it is the same whether ye call them or ye hold your peace!"
20. 7/199: "(Muhammad*) Hold to forgiveness (towards the 'infidels'*).
21. 8/61: "But if the enemy incline towards peace, do thou (Muhammad*) (also) incline towards peace - - -."
22. 9/68: "- - - therein (Hell*) shall they (hypocrites and 'infidels'*) dwell: sufficient is it for them - - -."
23. 10/41: "My work to me (Muhammad*), and yours to you! Ye are free from responsibility for what I do, and I for what you do."
24. 10/99: "Wilt thou (Muhammad*) then compel mankind, against their will, to believe!"
25. 10/102: "Wait ye 'non-Muslims*' then: For I (Muhammad), to, will wait with you."

26. 10/108: “- - - those (“infidels”*) who stray, do so for their own loss, and I (Muhammad*) am (not set) over you to arrange your affair.” Muhammad did not want them to arrange their own affairs later when he became stronger – then he wanted them to become Muslims and soldier, so that they could strengthen his own affairs of war and power.
27. 11/12: “But thou (Muhammad*) art only there to warn”. And then some more – at least after 622 AD.
28. 11/121: “Say to those who do not believe: ‘Do what ye can: we shall do our part’”. This was in 621 AD. Muhammad/Allah was/were still speaking peace – but not for much longer.
29. 13/40: “- - - thy (Muhammad’s*) duty is to make (the Message) reach them (“infidels”): It is Our (Allah’s*) part to call them to account.” Well, from 622 AD it also became the part of Muhammad and his men.
30. 15/3: “Leave them (the disbelievers*) alone, to enjoy (the good things of this life) and to please themselves - - -.” This was in 621 AD. It did not take long before Allah needed to change and contradict his word, when he started to change his rather peaceful religion to one of inhumanity and blood (luckily many Muslims do not live according to those parts if the Quran).
31. 15/94: “- - - turn away from those who join false gods with Allah.”
32. 16/35: “But what is the mission of the Messengers but to preach the Clear Message?” Surah 16 is one of the very last surahs from Mecca – months later the contents started to change, and contradictions – and abrogations – were necessary for the changes to a war religion.
33. 16/82: “- - - thy (Muhammad’s) duty is only to preach the clear Message.” This was just months before Muhammad fled from Mecca in 622 AD. But this a little after he came to Medina and started to gain power:
34. 16/125: “Invite (all) to the Way of thy Lord (Allah*) with wisdom and beautiful preaching; and argue with them in ways that are best and most gracious - - -.”
35. 16/126: “And if ye do catch them out, catch them out no worse than they catch you out:

- but if ye show patience, that is indeed the best (course) for those who are patient.”
36. 16/127: “And do thou (Muhammad*) be patient, for thou patience is but from Allah; nor grieve over them: and distress not thyself because of their plots.”
 37. 17/54: “We (Allah*) have not sent thee (Muhammad*) to be a disposer of their (“infidels”*) affairs for them”. Allah or Muhammad started to change his mind about this one year later – in 622 AD – when Muhammad started to gain enough military power to decide “their” religion for them. (In spite of what Islam likes to tell, Islam to a large degree was introduced by the sword – and by the wish for taking part in the looting/robbing/stealing and slave taking – in Arabia).
 38. 18/29: “- - - let him who will, believe, and let him who will, reject (it) - - -.” Guess if this peaceful line from the last year in Mecca was short-lived before it was abrogated by bloodier ones from Medina!
 39. 18/56: “We (Allah*) only sent the messengers to give glad tidings - - -.” At least the self proclaimed messenger started to change his mind shortly after he came to Medina:
 40. 19/39: “But warn them of the Day of Distress - - -.” Here in ca. 615 AD Muhammad should just warn them. The picture changed somewhat as he gained more power some years later.
 41. 20/130: “Therefore (Muhammad/Muslims*) be patient with what they (“infidels”) say - - -.” That was Muhammad’s tone in Mecca 615 AD or before – and until his flight to Medina in 622AD. It changed quite a lot from 622 AD and onwards and contradicted quite a lot of the mild words from the Mecca period.
 42. 21/107: “We (Allah*) sent thee (Muhammad*) not, but for a Mercy for all creatures.” Muhammad was not much of a mercy to the world – read the surahs from Medina. Neither was he a Mercy for all Muslims – read the surahs from Medina + the verses about women, law, slavery, not to mention the to a large part inhuman ethical and moral codexes.
 43. 21/112: “(Allah*) is the One Whose assistance should be sought against

- blasphemies.” Well, that was in 621 (?) AD. After 622 AD the sword was handier – blasphemy soon carried a death penalty - - - and there came a number of contradicting texts.
44. 22/49: “I (Muhammad*) am (sent) to you (men*) only to give a Clear Warning - - -.” Well this was ca. 616 AD. But from some 6 years later it was no longer only a warning, but the sword - - - and a lot of contradictions and abrogations in the texts and the teaching.
 45. 22/68: “If they (“infidels”) do wrangle with thee, say. ‘Allah knows best what it is ye are doing’ – and leave them alone. This was ca. 616 AD. But from some 6 years later and more came lots of contradictions and abrogations.
 46. 23/54: “But leave them (“infidels”*) in their confused ignorance for a time”. This was in 621 or 622 AD, shortly before his – Muhammad’s – flight to Medina. When he started to become military strong enough, it was finish with leaving them alone – and a lot of contradictions and abrogations in the teachings and in the religion – from peace to inhumanity and war.
 47. 23/96: “Repel evil with what is best”. Later it became: Repel evil with evil – do against “infidel” like they do against you – at least when it comes to the bad things. Further comments identical to 23/54 just above.
 48. 24/54: “- - - if ye (people*) turn away (from Muhammad*), he is only responsible for the duty placed on him, and ye for that place on you.”
 49. 26/216: “I (Muhammad*) am free (of responsibility) for what ye (“infidels”*) do!” This was in Mecca ca. 615 – 616 AD. The tone rapidly grew more unfriendly after 622 AD when he grew military strong – and the teachings needed some “adjustments” to fit a war religion = contradictions and abrogations:
 50. 27/92: “I (Muhammad’) am only a Warner”. That was in 615 – 616 AD. From 622 he fast became a strongman, warlord and dictator – and scriptures med contradictions and abrogations. But much was abrogates and contradicted when Muhammad grew military strong after 622 AD and the religion was changed to one of war and conquest.”

51. 28/50: But if they (“infidels”*) hearken not to thee (Muhammad*), know that they only follow their own lusts - - -.”
52. 28/55: “To us (Muslims*) our deeds, and to you (“infidels”*) yours; peace be to you - - -.”
Mecca 621 or 622 AD carried a much more peaceful tone than after Muhammad gained strength from 622 – 624 AD and needed a religion more fit for robberies, raids and war – and got it from Allah (or was it Allah who wanted more blood than before?) – resulting in contradiction with and abrogation of the old teachings.
53. 29/18: “- - - the duty of the messenger is only to preach publicly (and clearly).” Well, as Muhammad grew more powerful, so did his wish for controlling the locals’ and later the Arabs’ lives and religious ideas - - - force and punishment became means to a goal. With the necessary changes in the religion, and contradictions and necessary abrogations compared to the more peaceful 12 years in Mecca.
54. 29/18: “- - - the duty of the messenger is only to preach publicly (and clearly).” Well, as Muhammad grew more powerful, so did his wish for controlling the locals’ and later the Arabs’ lives and religious ideas - - - force and punishment became means to a goal. With the necessary changes in the religion, and contradictions and necessary abrogations compared to the more peaceful 12 years in Mecca.
55. 29/46: “And dispute ye not with the People of the Book - - -.” No comments – but read 9/29 and 9/5 once more.
56. 32/30: “So turn away from them and wait - - -.” When Muhammad grew more powerful, there was little waiting. The rest of the Arabian peninsula mainly was turned Muslim by the sword – and some by “gifts” and promises of looted riches – all of which demanded changes in the religion (or was it the other way around, initiated by a god who found his original religion was not good enough – or too little blood and human tragedy?) which caused contradictions between the old and the new version of Islam – and also abrogations naturally

57. 34/25: “Ye (“infidels”) shall not be questioned as to our sins, nor shall we be questioned as to what you do.” This may mean something like “we prefer to live and let live” and was one of the many more peaceful verses that became overruled – contradicted and abrogated – when Muhammad gained more power (it is from ca. or a little after 620 AD.)
58. 34/28: “We (Allah*) hawed not sent thee (Muhammad*) but as a universal (Messenger) to men giving them glad tidings - - -.”
59. 35/23: “Thou (Muhammad*) art no other than a warner.” No, around 615 – 616 AD he was may be only that. But it changed later – from a warner to an enforcer and a robber baron. With the corresponding changes of the religion – and abrogation of and contradictions to the old sayings, like this one.
60. 35/24a: “Verily We (Allah*) have sent thee (Muhammad*) in truth as a bearer of Glad Tidings and as a warner - - -.” As for glad tidings, that only goes for the Muslims, and for far from all of them even.
61. 36/17: “And our (Muhammad’s*) duty to proclaim the clear message.” Once more something from Mecca (ca. 615 – 616 AD), that was “killed” by “The verse of the Sword (9/5) and a number of others when later Muhammad also became – or decided that he also was – an enforcer.
62. 39/41: “Nor art thou (Muhammad*) set over them (“infidels”) to dispose of their affairs.” But 5 – 7 years later, when Muhammad started to gain power from 622 AD on, that changed – he became an overseer, enforcer and robber baron – and later a warlord - - - and rules/religion had to change. Or was it the other way around – that it was Allah who changed his mind and wanted more inhumanity, immoral action, and blood? Anyhow the result was contradictions and abrogations compared to the old.
63. 41/34: “Repel (Evil) with what is better (Good*); then will he between whom and thee was hatred become as it were thy friend and intimate”.
64. 42/6: “- - - thou (Muhammad*) art not the disposer of their affairs.” No, not around 614

- 618 AD. But after 622 AD he became quite a lot, included an enforcer – and verses like this were both contradicted and abrogated.
65. 42/15: “There is no contention between us (Muslims*) and you (“infidels”).” May be not in 614 – 618 AD. But later it was the power class = Muslims (with Muhammad as dictator), and non-Muslims “thoroughly subdued” - - - and with the religion a lot changed = contradictions and abrogations in the Quran.
66. 42/48: “Thy (Muhammad’s) duty is but to convey (the Message (the Quran* - or the peaceful parts that existed in 614 – 618 AD*))”. From some years later on, Islam found some of their duties to be more brutal enforcers, so among other verses this one and a lot more were contradicted and abrogated.
67. 43/83: “So leave them (“infidels”*) to babble and play (with their vanities) - - -.”
Comments like 42/48 just above.
68. 43/89: “But turn away from them, and say ‘Peace.’” Comments like 43/48 above.
69. 44/59: “So wait thou (Muhammad*) and watch; for they (people*) (too) are waiting”. Here in the peaceful religion from the middle of the Mecca periode, Muhammad should wait and see. He became stricter some 10 years later – much stricter.
70. 45/14: “Tell those who believe, to forgive those who do not look forward to the Days of Allah (Day of Doom*).” But the word “forgive was slowly forgotten after 622 AD – when they also took on the duty of also being enforcers.
71. 46/9: “- - - I (Muhammad*) am but a Warner, open and clear.” Yes, in 620 AD he only was a self proclaimed warner. Things changed and verses were really abrogated when he got more power a few years later.
72. 46/135a: “(Muhammad*) - - - be in no haste about the (unbelievers) - - -.” When he gained power he got more haste – f. ex. the reluctant Arabs (and a lot of others) that were not won by gifts and free plundering/enslaving, were won by the sword – in stark contradiction to what Muslims like to tell: Become Muslim or fight and die!
73. 46/135b: “(Thine (duty Muhammad is*)) but) to proclaim the Message (the Quran*).” This

- was in 620 AD. The changes came in and after 622 AD:
74. 50/39: “Bear, then, with patience, all that they (“infidels”*) say - - -.” The patience became much less talked about from one year later on (622 AD).
 75. 50/45: “We (Allah*) know best what they (the “infidels”*) say; and thou (Muhammad*) art not one to overawe them by force.”
Knowing the later history, this verse is a big, ironic or sardonic joke. This surah is from 614 AD
 76. 51/50-51b: “I (Muhammad*) am from Him (Allah*) a Warner to you (Muslims*), clear and open. And make not another (person/thing/idea*) an object of worship with Allah: I am from Him a Warner to you, clear and open!” This is from Mecca 620. Muhammad is still military weak – and still only a warner. Later he became an enforcer (much of Arabia became Muslims on the point of the sword):
 77. 51/54: “So (Muhammad*) turn away from them (“infidels”*) - - -.” One more point that was contradicted and abrogated when Muhammad grew military strong from 2 years later on.
 78. 52/45: “So (Muhammad/Muslims*) leave them (“infidels”*) alone until they encounter that Day - - -.” Leave them alone till the Day of Doom. But neither Muhammad nor his successors left them alone as soon as Islam was military strong enough. And has Islam at any time ever after left their surroundings alone in periods when Islam was military strong?
 79. 52/47: “And verily, for those who do wrong (“infidels”*), there is another punishment besides this (that in the long run they will loose – and meet the other punishment: Hell*)” A comforting thought at the difficult end of the Mecca period - so just leave them alone. (A confirmation of 52/45, really).
 80. 53/29: “Therefore shun those who turn away from Our (Muhammad’s*) Message - - -.” That was Muhammad’s words around 612 – 615 AD. 10 years later the “melody” changed.
 81. 67/26: “- - - I (Muhammad*) am (sent) only to warn plainly in public.” But 3 - 4 years

- later (from 622 AD) he started to take on more dirty and inhuman jobs, too.
82. 73/10: “And have patience with what they say, and leave them with noble (dignity).” This is an early surah (611 – 614 AD). Muhammad has little or no real power, and is a peaceful preacher. Both he and the religion showed other faces when he gained power – or Allah wanted more blood and gore and suffering from 622 AD on.
 83. 73/11: “And leave Me (Allah*) (alone with those) in possession of the good things of life, who (yet) deny the Truth, and bear with them for a little while.” That little while lasted exactly till Muhammad gained military power – then he (or Allah*) went for a stricter regime.
 84. 79/45: “Thou (Muhammad*) art but a Warner - - -.” And he stayed like that - - - until he grew powerful enough to do more than warning – f. ex. enforcing and empire-building. And it is a question who changed his mind around 622 AD – Allah or Muhammad? And who changed the religion – Allah or Muhammad? That chance demanded that the religion from the 12 years in Mecca had to be both contradicted and abrogated on many a point.
 85. 86/17: “Therefore grant a delay to the Unbelievers: give respite to them gently (for a while). Guess if this one from 614 AD was abrogated when Muhammad grew more powerful!!
 86. 88/22: “Thou (Muhammad*) art not to manage (men’s) (religious*) affairs - - -.” One more verse that was abrogated of the more powerful Muhammad – or Allah – later.
 87. 109/6: “To you (non-Muslim*) be your ways (in religion*), and to me (Muhammad or Muslims*) mine.” It is typical that Muhammad and Islam were peaceful in Mecca – they were not strong enough for anything else. And besides it is possible Muhammad meant it like that, but was destroyed morally by his success in Medina later, like many scientists believe.

062 9/14: “Fight them (the not good Muslims*), and Allah will punish them by your hands (why was he unable to do it himself?*), cover them with shame - - -.” This at least contradicts – and abrogates:

1. 2/256: "Let there be no compulsion in Religion."
2. 5/28: "If thou ("infidels", Cain*) dost stretch thy hand against me (Muslims, Abel*), it is not for me to stretch my hand against thee to slay thee - - -." When you read this, remember that Muslims have few if any over all codexes. What they have to do is to look for "What did Muhammad say about such things?" If he has said something, they take that as a codex – good moral or not. If not, they have to look in the book: "Is there a parallel situation somewhere?" If they find – sometimes by stretching imagination – that is the way to act, or the alibi for how one wishes to act. Mind also that this verse is one of the very few in all the Quran that is in accordance with the teachings of Jesus – one of the very few. And it is totally "murdered" by abrogations. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

063. 9/23: "Take not for protectors your fathers, your sons, your brothers, your mates, or your kindred if they love infidelity above Faith (Islam*): if any of you do so, they do wrong."

Social pressure – and for that case economical pressure (often used against non-Muslim underlings in the form of high taxes, etc.) – also is "Compulsion in Religion" – which does not exist in Islam (?) This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30,

34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

064 9/29: “Fight those who believe not in Allah, nor the Last Day, nor holds that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and his Prophet (Muhammad*), not acknowledge the religion of the Truth (even if they are) of the People of the Book (Jews and Christians mainly), until they pay the jizya (“infidel”-tax where Islam has set no upper limit, and that frequently through the history has been very high*) with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued”. Conquer the infidels and then let them live like Negroes under apartheid in South Africa or in the southern states in USA in the early 1900s - - - the ones that were not taken into slavery – especially the women. Yes, no compulsion – neither by the sword first, nor by destroyed economy and social life, etc. after the defeat. But all this contradicts – and abrogates – at least:

1. 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion”. This is the flagship for all Muslims who wants to impress non-Muslims about how peaceful and tolerant Islam is. But NB! NB! The surah says: “Let it be - - -.” It is an order or – judging also from 2/255 – more likely a wish, it is not something that they had. It is a hope or a goal for the future, it is not something that exist – and all the same most Muslims quote it like this: “There is no compulsion in Religion” - - - a small, little “Kitman” (lawful half-truth – an expression special for Islam together with “al-Taqiyya, “the lawful lie) makes the Quran and the religion sound much more friendly and tolerant.
2. 5/28: “If thou (“infidels”, Cain*) dost stretch thy hand against me (Muslims, Abel*), it is not for me to stretch my hand against thee to slay thee - - -.” When you read this, remember that Muslims have few if any over all codexes. What they have to do is to look for “What did Muhammad say about such things?” If he has said something, they take that as a codex – good moral or not. If not, they have to look in the book: “Is there a parallel situation somewhere?” If they find – sometimes by stretching imagination – that is the way to act, or the alibi for how one wishes to act. Mind also that this verse is one of the very few in all the Quran that is in accordance with the teachings of Jesus – one of the very few. And it is totally “murdered” by abrogations.

3. 29/46: “And dispute ye not with the People of the Book - - -. “ No comments – but read 9/29 once more. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

065 9/33: “It is He (Allah*) Who hath sent his Messenger with Guidance and the Religion of the Truth, to proclaim it over all religion, even though the Pagans may detest it”. With plain words: Accept it whether you like it or not – as there is “no Compulsion in Religion.” This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

066 9/68: “- - - therein (Hell*) shall they (hypocrites and “infidels”*) dwell: sufficient is it for them - - -.” No, according to some verses in the Quran, they also deserve punishment by the Muslims of their world. Contradicted and abrogated by a number of the verses under 2/256 - see this. More about this verse under verse 9/5 in next chapter: Abrogations.

(Abrogated by several verses – at least 5 abrogations.)

067. 9/73: “O Prophet! Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” The highest leader and of course his followers should strive hard against the “infidels” – but “No Compulsion in Religion”. Well, at least it is good propaganda that proves how peaceful the Quran is. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3,

15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

068 9/123: “O ye who believe! Fight the unbelievers who gird you about, and let them find firmness in you - - -.” One more clear order for blood, suppression and war. But it contradicts – and abrogates most of the “soft” verses – mainly from Mecca - f. ex: most of the ones listed under 9/5 (see this) – included f. ex.: This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

069 10/41: “My work to me (Muhammad*), and yours to you! Ye are free from responsibility for what I do, and I for what you do.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many blood threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

070 10/64: “Hereafter, no change can there be in the Words of Allah.” For one thing this sentence has serious effect for the ones who say that predestination in the Quran, is not real predestination – if Allah has said/thought/written something, no free will of man can change anything - - - which means there is no free will, and it is predestined as sure as carved in stone. But more relevant just here is that there were many and sometimes large changes in Allah’s words after this “revelation” in 621 AD and just one year later Muhammad/Allah(?) started to change the religion totally and fundamentally (from peace to a war religion).

The main change was that the entire religion was changed from something peaceful, to a religion of hate, suppression, inhumanities and blood. But there were a lot of other changes in what Allah (?) had said – all the contradictions that had to be adjusted for, and all the abrogations (sorry substitutions – another, but nicer name for just the same). So many abrogations, that they demanded explanations:

1. 2/106: “None of our revelations do We (Allah*) abrogate or cause to be forgotten, But We substitute something better or similar”. Well, this is a contradiction – and an abrogation – in itself. This actually is one of the verses behind the praxis of abrogation in

Islam. It may also be one of the reasons – may be the main reason – why abrogated verses were included by Caliph Uthman in the Quran originally – they should not be abrogated in the meaning that they should be forgotten. But abrogations are absolutely necessary in Islam, because there are so many contradictions, that the situation would be impossible unless they could be eliminated by making a number of them invalid. See separate chapter about abrogation. NB: Surah 2 from which this verse is taken “arrived” in 622-624 AD. Allah’s words from 621 AD had to be dismissed rather quickly and on a large scale – the change to a robber baron and later war religion had started.

2. 16/101: “When We (Allah*) substitute one revelation for another - - -.” Yes, to “substitute” definitely sounds better than to “abrogate” – but the only difference is that “substitute” is daily English, whereas “abrogate” derives from Latin. The meaning is just the same. And this verse proves that Allah had to start “substituting” his proud words from 621 AD, maximum one – 1 – year later, because surah 16 is from ca. 622 AD. Allah’s (?) words are for the eternity – but not for long eternities when it is a tempting idea to start stealing and robbing, and it may be wise to have a war religion instead of a peaceful one.

It also totally abrogates the claim about free will in case.

071 10/99: “Wilt thou (Muhammad*) then compel mankind, against their will, to believe!”

Of course he would as soon as he was military strong enough! This peaceful verse from Mecca 621 AD soon was abrogated! This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

072 10/102: “Wait ye “non-Muslims*) then: For I (Muhammad), to, will wait with you.” Similar to 10/99 just above.

(At least 21 contradictions/abrogations + 5 and many more contradictions).

1. 10/99: “Wilt thou (Muhammad*) then compel mankind, against their will, to believe!”
2. 10/102: “Wait ye “non-Muslims*) then: For I (Muhammad), to, will wait with you.”

073 10/108: “- - - those (“infidels”*) who stray, do so for their own loss, and I (Muhammad*) am (not set) over you to arrange your affair.” Muhammad did not want them to arrange their own affairs later when he became stronger – then he wanted them to become Muslims and soldier, so that they could strengthen his own affairs of war and power. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

074 11/12: “But thou (Muhammad*) art only there to warn”. And then some more – at lest after 622 AD. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

075 11/121: “Say to those who do not believe: ‘Do what ye can: we shall do our part’”. This was in 621 AD. Muhammad/Allah was/were still speaking peace – but not for much longer. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

076 13/40: “- - - thy (Muhammad’s*) duty is to make (the Message) reach them (“infidels”): It is Our (Allah’s*) part to call them to account.” Well, from 622 AD it also became the part of Muhammad and his men. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

077 15/3: “Leave them (the disbelievers*) alone, to enjoy (the good things of this life) and to please themselves - - -.” This was in 621 AD. It did not take long before Allah needed to change and contradict his word, when he started to change his rather peaceful religion to one of inhumanity and blood (luckily many Muslims do not live according to those parts if the

Quran). This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

078 15/85: "So overlook (any human faults) with gracious forgiveness". Among human faults according to Islam, are disbelief in Allah or even leaving Islam - and you bet Muhammad left this 621 AD point of view when he grew strong enough. F. ex. Arabia to a large degree was made Muslim at the point of the sword, and the wish to leave Islam soon carried - and carries - death penalty. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

079 15/94: “- - - turn away from those who join false gods with Allah.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

080 16/35: “But what is the mission of the Messengers but to preach the Clear Message?” Surah 16 is one of the very last surahs from Mecca – months later the contents started to change, and contradictions – and abrogations – were necessary for the changes to a war religion. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

081 16/67: "And from the fruit of the date-palm and the vine (other translation; grapes*), ye get out wholesome drink and food - - -". This surah is one of the last ones from Mecca, and in the old Mecca like in the rest of Arabia at that time sex and alcohol were "the two delicious things". But you bet that Muhammad little by little prohibited at least this "wholesome drink". Abrogated and contradicted by the verses against alcohol - f. ex. 5/90: "Intoxicants and gambling - - - are an abomination - of Satan's handiwork - - -".

082 16/82: “- - - thy (Muhammad’s) duty is only to preach the clear Message.” This was just months before Muhammad fled from Mecca in 622 AD. But this a little after he came to Medina and started to gain power: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these

verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

083 16/125: “Invite (all) to the Way of thy Lord (Allah*) with wisdom and beautiful preaching; and argue with them in ways that are best and most gracious - - -.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

084 16/126: “And if ye do catch them out, catch them out no worse than they catch you out: but if ye show patience, that is indeed the best (course) for those who are patient.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

085 16/127: “And do thou (Muhammad*) be patient, for thou patience is but from Allah; nor grieve over them: and distress not thyself because of their plots.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

086 16/101: “When We (Allah*) substitute one revelation for another - - -”. (“Substitute” is an English word that here has exactly the same meaning as the word derived from Latin “abrogate”). Allah tells he is changing his instructions now and then. But:

1. 6/115: “- - - none can change His (Allah’s) Words - - -”. Well, he is contradicting himself, as he clearly changes it himself when something forces him to – try and fail?
2. 10/64: “Hereafter, no change can there be in the Words of Allah.”

Also read 10/64 above.

Surah 16 came in 622, surahs 6 and 10 both came in 621. Allah thus abrogates himself, 6/115 and 10/64 with 16/101.

(2 abrogations.)

087 16/115: “He (Allah*) has only forbidden you dead meat, and blood. And the flesh of swine, and any (food) over which the name of other than Allah has been invoked.” This one (from 622 AD) was contradicted and abrogated in the field some 5 years later by Muhammad. To get an all-including list: Muhammad added the flesh of donkey as prohibited forever, according to Hadiths (f. ex. Al-Bukhari). It is one of the cases where facts from outside the Quran abrogated text in that book. This in spite of that the above quoted sentence is repeated in a much later sure (from 632 AD).

088 17/54: “We (Allah*) have not sent thee (Muhammad*) to be a disposer of their (“infidels”*) affairs for them”. Allah or Muhammad started to change his mind about this one year later – in 622 AD – when Muhammad started to gain enough military power to decide “their” religion for them. (In spite of what Islam likes to tell, Islam to a large degree was introduced by the sword – and by the wish for taking part in the looting/robbing/stealing and slave taking – in Arabia). This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

089 18/29: “- - - let him who will, believe, and let him who will, reject (it) - - -.” Guess if this peaceful line from the last year in Mecca was short-lived before it was abrogated by bloodier ones from Medina! This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

090 18/56: “We (Allah*) only sent the messengers to give glad tidings - - -.” At least the self proclaimed messenger Muhammad started to change his mind shortly after he came to Medina. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

091 19/39: “But warn them of the Day of Distress - - -.” Here in ca. 615 AD Muhammad should just warn them. The picture changed somewhat as he gained more power some years later. See 18/29 above.

092 19/84: "So make no haste against them (non-Muslims*) - - -". This was around 615 AD. But when Muhammad started to gain real strength, he also started to make haste against non-Muslims. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

093 20/130: "Therefore (Muhammad/Muslims*) be patient with what they ("infidels") say - - -." That was Muhammad's tone in Mecca 615 AD or before – and until his flight to Medina in 622AD. It changed quite a lot from 622 AD and onwards and contradicted quite a lot of the mild words from the Mecca period. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

094 21/107: "We (Allah*) sent thee (Muhammad*) not, but for a Mercy for all creatures." Muhammad was not much of a mercy to the world – read the surahs from Medina. Neither was he a Mercy for all Muslims – read the surahs from Medina + the verses about women, law, slavery, not to mention the to a large part inhuman ethical and moral codexes. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

095 21/112: "(Allah*) is the One Whose assistance should be sought against blasphemies." Well, that was in 621 (?) AD. After 622 AD the sword was handier – blasphemy soon carried a death penalty - - - and there came a number of contradicting and abrogating texts. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

096 22/25: "- - - the Sacred Mosque (Kabah in Mecca*), which We (Allah*) have made (open) to (all) men - - -." This is among the most solid contradicted and abrogated verses in all the Quran. It was prohibited for non-Muslims shortly after 630 AD. Today it is death penalty for any non-Muslim to enter not only the Kabah, but the area many kilometres around it.

097 22/49: “I (Muhammad*) am (sent) to you (men*) only to give a Clear Warning - - -.” Well this was ca. 616 AD. But from some 6 years later it was no longer only a warning, but the sword - - - and a lot of contradictions and abrogations in the texts and the teaching. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

098 22/68: “If they (“infidels”) do wrangle with thee, say. ‘Allah knows best what it is ye are doing” – and leave them alone. This was ca. 616 AD. But from some 6 years later and more came lots of contradictions and abrogations. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

099 23/54: “But leave them (“infidels”*) in their confused ignorance for a time”. This was in 621 or 622 AD, shortly before his – Muhammad’s – flight to Medina. When he started to become military strong enough, it was finish with leaving them alone – and a lot of contradictions and abrogations in the teachings and in the religion – from peace to inhumanity and war. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

100 23/96: “Repel evil with what is best”. Later it became: Repel evil with evil – do against “infidel” like they do against you – at least when it comes to the bad things. Further comments identical to 23/54 just above. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

101 24/2: “The woman and the man guilty of adultery of fornication – flog each of them with a hundred stripes”. A contradiction and abrogation of 4/15:

00a 4/15: “If any of your women are guilty of lewdness (adultery*) - - - confine them to houses until death do claim them, or Allah ordain for them some (other) way”. (The last part of the sentence must be understood as a “way” metered out especially for each woman who

gets such an “ordain”.) But contradiction - and abrogation - 1-2 years later – in 627 or 628 AD.

It also must be added that in Islam there is a persistent rumour that about 100 verses disappeared and never made it into the Quran when Caliph Uthman had the official Quran made, and that one of the verses was one that demanded stoning for this crime. The fact that is stoning for it is prescribed in Hadith, and that Hadith (Al-Bukhari) tells that at least once Muhammad himself took part in such a stoning.

102 24/54: “- - - if ye (people*) turn away (from Muhammad*), he is only responsible for the duty placed on him, and ye for that place on you.” Contradicted and abrogated at least by 9/5. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

103 25/36: “Go ye both (Moses and Aaron*) to the people who have rejected our Signs’. And those (people) We (Allah*) destroyed with utter destruction.” A clear message. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

104 25/52: “Therefore listen not to the Unbelievers, but strive against them with the outmost strenuousness, with the (Quran)”. As you see: Religion is not included when you strive against the “infidels”. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

105 26/216: “I (Muhammad*) am free (of responsibility) for what ye (“infidels”*) do!” This was in Mecca ca. 615 – 616 AD. The tone rapidly grew more unfriendly after 622 AD when he grew military strong – and the teachings needed some “adjustments” to fit a war religion = contradictions and abrogations: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36,

47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

106 27/92: “I (Muhammad*) am only a Warner”. That was in 615 – 616 AD. From 622 he fast became a strongman, warlord and dictator – and much was abrogates and contradicted when Muhammad grew military strong after 622 AD and the religion was changed to one of war and conquest.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

107 28/50: But if they (“infidels”*) hearken not to thee (Muhammad*), know that they only follow their own lusts - - -.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

108 28/55: “To us (Muslims*) our deeds, and to you (“infidels”*) yours; peace be to you - - - .” Mecca 621 or 622 AD carried a much more peaceful tone than after Muhammad gained strength from 622 – 624 AD and needed a religion more fit for robberies, raids and war – and got it from Allah (or was it Allah who wanted more blood than before?) – resulting in contradiction with and abrogation of the old teachings. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

109 29/18: “- - - the duty of the messenger is only to preach publicly (and clearly).” Well, as Muhammad grew more powerful, so did his wish for controlling the locals’ and later the Arabs’ lives and religious ideas - - - force and punishment became means to a goal. With the necessary changes in the religion, and contradictions and necessary abrogations compared to the more peaceful 12 years in Mecca. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

110 29/46: “And dispute ye not with the People of the Book - - -.” No comments – but read 9/29 and 9/5 once more. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

111 31/23: "But if any reject Faith, let his rejection not grieve thee - - -." Guess if this is contradicted and abrogated when Muhammad grew strong some 8 - 10 years later!! This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

112 32/30: “So turn away from them and wait - - -.” When Muhammad grew more powerful, there was little waiting. The rest of the Arabian peninsula mainly was turned Muslim by the sword – and some by “gifts” and promises of looted riches – all of which demanded changes in the religion (or was it the other way around, initiated by a god who found his original religion was not good enough – or too little blood and human tragedy?) which caused contradictions between the old and the new version of Islam – and also abrogations naturally. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

113 33/61: “They (hypocrites - not good enough Muslims – or non-Muslims*) shall have a curse on them whenever they are found, they shall be seized and slain (without mercy).” If you are not good enough Muslims – and sometimes others – you are to be killed without mercy. A most clear order. Only do not mention it, because the propaganda line is: “The Religion of Peace” and “No compulsion in Religion”. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

114 33/73: (Because man – the Arabs – undertook the Trust of the Quran/Islam -) “With the result that Allah has to punish the Hypocrites, men and women, and the unbelievers, men and

women - - -.” And Muslims works on behalf of Allah (why? - if Allah is omnipotent, why?) This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

115 34/25: “Ye (“infidels”) shall not be questioned as to our sins, nor shall we be questioned as to what you do.” This may mean something like “we prefer to live and let live” and was one of the many more peaceful verses that became overruled – contradicted and abrogated – when Muhammad gained more power (it is from ca. or a little after 620 AD.) This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

116 34/28: “We (Allah*) have not sent thee (Muhammad*) but as a universal (Messenger) to men giving them glad tidings - - -.” This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

117 35/23: “Thou (Muhammad*) art no other than a warner.” No, around 615 – 616 AD he was may be only that. But it changed later – from a warner to an enforcer and a robber baron. With the corresponding changes of the religion – and abrogation of and contradictions to the old sayings, like this one. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

118 35/24a: “Verily We (Allah*) have sent thee (Muhammad*) in truth as a bearer of Glad Tidings and as a warner - - -.” As for glad tidings, that only goes for the Muslims, and for far from all of them even. But but for that: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you

protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

119 35/36: “But those who reject (Allah) – for them will be the Fire of Hell”. Not exactly Compulsion on the surface, but at least a clear threat. We include it because this threat is repeated often, so it makes up a considerable psychological compulsion anyhow for anyone who is not sure that Islam is wrong. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

120 36/17: “And our (Muhammad’s*) duty to proclaim the clear message.” Once more something from Mecca (ca. 615 – 616 AD), that was “killed” by “The verse of the Sword (9/5) and a number of others when later Muhammad also became – or decided that he also was – an enforcer. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

121 37/174: "So turn thou (Muslims/Muhammad*) away from them for a little while - - -". This was around 616 AD. Half a dozen years later Muhammad little by little stopped turning away from those who did not want him. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

122 37/178: Identical to 37/174 above.

123 38/70: "Only this has been revealed to me (Muhammad*): that I am going to give (peaceful*) warning plainly and publicly". This was around 614-615 AD. Later things changed: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

124 39/15: "Serve ye (non-Muslims*) what ye will besides Him (Allah*)". Just you guess if this from ca. 615-617 AD was contradicted and abrogated later on!! This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

125 39/39: "O my (Allah's or Muhammad's*) people ("infidel" Aeabs in Mecca ca.616-617 AD*)! Do whatever ye can - - -". There was a 180 degree change of this point of view half a dozen years later - Allah changed his mind? This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

126 39/41: "Nor art thou (Muhammad*) set over them ("infidels") to dispose of their affairs." But 5 – 7 years later, when Muhammad started to gain power from 622 AD on, that changed – he became an overseer, enforcer and a robber baron – and later a warlord - - - and rules/religion had to change. Or was it the other way around – that it was Allah who changed his mind and wanted more inhumanity, immoral action, and blood? Anyhow the result was contradictions and abrogations compared to the old. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

127 41/5: "- - - so do thou (non-Muslim Arabs*) (what you wilt) - - -". The plain story later on: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

128 41/34: "Repel (Evil) with what is better (Good*); then will he between whom and thee was hatred become as it were thy friend and intimate". To be friendly towards enemies was good when this was told around 616 – 618 AD - - - but that was before Medina and blood. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28,

3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

129 42/6: “- - - thou (Muhammad*) art not the disposer of their affairs.” No, not around 614 – 618 AD. But after 622 AD he became quite a lot, included an enforcer – and verses like this were both contradicted and abrogated. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

130 42/15: “There is no contention between us (Muslims*) and you (“infidels”).” May be not in 614 – 618 AD. But later Islam was the power class = Muslims (with Muhammad as dictator), and non-Muslims “thoroughly subdued” - - - and with the religion a lot changed = contradictions and abrogations in the Quran. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

131 42/23: "No reward do I (Muhammad*) ask of you for this except the love of those near of kin". Well, except 20% of all stolen goods and enslaved people - 100% if they gave in without a fight, 2.5% (average) of all your belongings each and every year, plenty of women and undisputed and total power over you, + lots of warriors to fight and may be die for me, among other things. One of the strongest contradicted and abrogated verse in all the Quran.

132 42/48: “Thy (Muhammad’s) duty is but to convey (the Message (the Quran* - or the peaceful parts that existed in 614 – 618 AD*))”. From some years later on, Islam found some of their duties to be more brutal enforcers, so among other verses this one and a lot more were contradicted and abrogated. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

133 43/83: “So leave them (“infidels”*) to babble and play (with their vanities) - - -.” Comments like 42/48 just above. And: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

134 43/89: “But turn away from them, and say ‘Peace.’” Comments like 43/48 above. And: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

135 44/59: “So wait thou (Muhammad*) and watch; for they (people*) (too) are waiting”. Here in the peaceful religion from the middle of the Mecca periode, Muhammad should wait and see. He became stricter some 10 years later – much stricter. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

136 45/14: “Tell those who believe, to forgive those who do not look forward to the Days of Allah (Day of Doom*).” But the word “forgive” was slowly forgotten after 622 AD – when they also took on the duty of also being enforcers. With the result: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

137 46/9: “- - I (Muhammad*) am but a Warner, open and clear.” Yes, in 620 AD he only was a self proclaimed warner. Things changed and verses were really abrogated when he got more power a few years later. Comments like for 46/135 just below. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

138 46/35: "Therefore patiently persevere - - - and be in no haste about the (unbelievers)." One more that was strongly contradicted and abrogated later on: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

139 46/135a: “(Muhammad*) - - - be in no haste about the (unbelievers) - - -.” When he gained power he got more haste – f. ex. the reluctant Arabs (and a lot of others) that were not won by gifts and free plundering/enslaving, were won by the sword – in stark contradiction to what Muslims like to tell: Become Muslim or fight and die! This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

140 46/135b: “(Thine (duty Muhammad is*) but) to proclaim the Message (the Quran*).” This was in 620 AD. The changes came in and after 622 AD: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

141 47/4: “Therefore, when ye meet the Unbelievers (in fight (and remember the Muslims practically always were the aggressors – to gain riches and slaves and power - - - and some new proselytes*)), smite at their necks - - -.” Surah 47 is from 622 AD and Mecca, but some verses likely from Medina – and it is possible to see the change towards war already. It contradicts and abrogates a lot of peaceful verses. This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations). Another thing: According to our sources, the words “(in fight)” does not exist in the Arab text. That makes this verse a lot more sinister - a lot more.

142 47/36: “- - - and He (Allah*) will not ask you (to give up) your possessions.” Just stop a little: Allah demands an average of 2.5% in zakat - tax - of all your possessions each and every year (if you are not too poor). VERY clearly contradicted and abrogated by many verses in the Quran.

143 50/39: “Bear, then, with patience, all that they (“infidels”*) say - - -.” The patience became much less talked about from one year later on (622 AD). This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

144 50/45: “We (Allah*) know best what they (the “infidels”*) say; and thou (Muhammad*) art not one to overawe them by force.” Knowing the later history, this verse is a big, ironic or sardonic joke. This surah is from 614 AD: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

145 51/50-51b: “I (Muhammad*) am from Him (Allah*) a Warner to you (Muslims*), clear and open. And make not another (person/thing/idea*) an object of worship with Allah: I am from Him a Warner to you, clear and open!” This is from Mecca 620. Muhammad is still military weak – and still only a warner. Later he became an enforcer (much of Arabia became Muslims on the point of the sword): This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

146 51/54: “So (Muhammad*) turn away from them (“infidels”*) - - -.” One more point that was contradicted and abrogated when Muhammad grew military strong from 2 years later on. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

147 52/45: “So (Muhammad/Muslims*) leave them (“infidels”*) alone until they encounter that Day - - -.” Leave them alone till the Day of Doom. But neither Muhammad nor his successors left them alone as soon as Islam was military strong enough. And has Islam at any time ever after left their surroundings alone in periods when Islam was military strong? This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

148 52/47: “And verily, for those who do wrong (“infidels”*), there is another punishment besides this (that in the long run they will loose – and meet the other punishment: Hell*)” A comforting thought at the difficult end of the Mecca period - so just leave them alone. (A confirmation of 52/45, really). But: This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36,

47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

00x 53/19-23: The famous and infamous “Satanic Verses” Muhammad quoted in 53/19-22 in a situation where he had much to gain from becoming friends with the rulers and ruling class in Mecca: “Have ye seen al-Lat, al-Uzza, And another, the third (goddess), Manat (the three daughters of the main god in Mecca at that time, al-Lah*)? These are exulted idols whose intercession is hoped”. Muhammad afterwards changed - abrogated - the 4 short verses to: “Have ye seen al-Lat, al-Uzza, And another, the third (goddess) Manat? What! For you male sex, and for Him, the female (for children*)! Behold, such would be indeed most unfair!” (Muhammad was an Arab and was sure a god would look down on women as much as Arabs did*). This episode made a lot of “noise”.

149 53/29: “Therefore shun those who turn away from Our (Muhammad’s*) Message - - .” That was Muhammad’s words around 612 – 615 AD. 10 years later the “melody” changed. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

150 54/6: "Therefore, (O Prophet), turn away from them (the non-Muslims*)." Ca. 614 AD he had to turn away from them - but 10 years and more later? This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

151 66/9: “O Prophet! Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” Muslims will excuse Muhammad with that this is about war – but is that any excuse, when practically all raids and wars were initiated by the Muslims? This verse abrogated (and contradicts) at least these verses (here are 88 out of the 124 Muslim scholars say are abrogated by 9/5): 2/109, 2/190, 2/256, 2/272, 3/20, 4/62, 4/81, 4/90, 5/3, 5/28, 5/48, 5/99, 6/60, 6/66, 6/70, 6/104, 6/107, 6/112, 6/158, 7/87, 7/188, 7/193, 7/199, 8/61, 9/68, 10/41, 10/99, 10/102, 10/108, 11/12, 11/121, 13/40, 15/3, 15/94, 16/35, 16/82, 16/125, 16/126, 16/127, 17/54, 18/29, 18/56, 19/39, 20/130, 21/107, 21/112, 22/49, 22/68, 23/54, 23/96, 24/54, 26/216, 27/92, 28/50, 28/55, 29/18, 29/46, 32/30, 34/25, 34/28, 35/23, 35/24a, 36/17, 39/41, 41/34, 42/6, 42/15, 42/48, 43/83, 43/89, 44/59, 45/14, 46/9, 46/135a, 46/135b, 46/135b, 50/39, 50/45, 51/50-51, 51/54, 52/45, 52/47, 53/29, 67/26, 73/10, 73/11, 79/45, 86/17, 88/22, 109/6. They are all quoted under 9/5. (At least 88 abrogations).

152 67/26: “- - - I (Muhammad*) am (sent) only to warn plainly in public.” But 3 - 4 years later (from 622 AD) he started to take on more dirty and inhuman jobs, too. This verse is

abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

153 70/42: "So leave them (non-Muslims*) to plunge in vain talk and amuse themselves - - -". Around 614-617 AD this was all Muhammad's power permitted him to say. When his power grew, he could say a lot more. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

154 73/2-4 (Ca. 611-614 AD): "Stand to (prayer) in the night, but not all the night - or a little less, Or a little more - - -". Abrogated already by 73/20 reducing it to minimum 1/3 or reading the Quran "as much as may be easy (for you)".

155 73/10: "And have patience with what they say, and leave them with noble (dignity)." This is an early surah (611 – 614 AD). Muhammad has little or no real power, and is a peaceful preacher. Both he and the religion showed other faces when he gained power – or Allah wanted more blood and gore and suffering from 622 AD on. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

156 73/11: "And leave Me (Allah*) (alone with those) in possession of the good things of life, who (yet) deny the Truth, and bear with them for a little while." That little while lasted exactly till Muhammad gained enough military power – then he (or Allah*) went for a stricter regime. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

157 74/11: "Leave Me (Allah*) alone (to deal) with the (creature (man*)) whom I created (bare and) alone". Well, some years later Muhammad started to help Allah with managing those creatures - especially the ones that did not want him as their dictator. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many

bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

158 76/29: "This is an admonition: whosoever will, let him take a (straight) Path to his Lord (Allah*)." Later it was not only whosoever will. Read Islamic history about forced conversions, not to mention treatment of persons wanting to leave Islam even today in many societies. And reas f. ex. 9/5.

159 79/45: "Thou (Muhammad*) art but a Warner - - -." And he stayed like that - - - until he grew powerful enough to do more than warning – f. ex. enforcing and empire-building. And it is a question who changed his mind around 622 AD – Allah or Muhammad? And who changed the religion – Allah or Muhammad? That chance demanded that the religion from the 12 years in Mecca had to be both contradicted and abrogated on many a point. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

160 80/12: "- - - let whoso will, keep it (the teachings of Muhammad*) in remembrance.". Around 611-614 AD this was Muhammad's message. Some 10 years later he started to tell his fellow Arabians - and Jews and others: Become Muslims and be rich from stolen goods and slaves or die. (Much of Arabia - and other places were won for Islam by the sword. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - and contradicted by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

161 86/17: "Therefore grant a delay to the Unbelievers: give respite to them gently (for a while)". Guess if this one from 614 AD was abrogated when Muhammad grew more powerful!! This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

162 88/22: "Thou (Muhammad*) art not to manage (men's) (religious*) affairs - - -." One more verse that was abrogated of the more powerful Muhammad – or Allah – later. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but

also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

163 109/6: “To you (non-Muslim*) be your ways (in religion*), and to me (Muhammad or Muslims*) mine.” It is typical that Muhammad and Islam were peaceful in Mecca – they were not strong enough for anything else. And besides it is possible Muhammad meant it like that, but was destroyed morally by his success and power in Medina later, like many scientists believe. For further comments, see 88/22 just above. This verse is abrogated – made invalid - by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 3/38, 3/85, 3/148, 4/90, 5/33, 5/72, 8/12, 8/38, 8/38-39 (the warning), 8/39, 8/60, 9/3, 9/5, 9/14, 9/23, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 25/52, 33/61, 33/73, 35/36, 47/4, 66/9. This includes many bloody threats, but also verses advising or permitting political, social, economical, etc. compulsion (with the sword in the background if you protest) – we mention a few here: 3/28, 3/85, 3/148, 4/81, 5/72, 5/73, 9/23, 14/7, 15/3, 33/73, 35/36. They are all quoted under 2/256. (At least 28 abrogations).

PS: Remember: We have added some abrogations just before launching this on Internet. As we will add a few more when we finish this book in 2010, we wait with correcting the matematics till then.

PART II, CHAPTER 9, (= II-9-0-0)

OTHER TYPES OF MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

(PART II, CHAPTERS 1 - 10 included subchapters = MEGA MISTAKES, MISTAKES, ERRORS, CONTRADICTIONS, INVALID LOGIC, ABROGATIONS, ETC. IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH. AT LEAST 100% PROOF FOR THAT SOMETHING IS WRONG - NO OMNISCIENT GOD MAKES MISTAKES)

For the fact mistakes and errors based on themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16.

15 MISTAKES AND ERRORS IN THE QURAN ACCEPTED BY ISLAM AND BY MUSLIM SCHOLARS

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Normally the claim is that there is not even a single comma wrong in the Quran (the comma did not exist in Arab when the Quran was written). But there are some exceptions where most Muslim scholars admit that things must be wrong - though they never talk about it and never tell their congregations about it. Here are some of them + a few of the ones which only some Muslim scholars admit must be wrong. (A = Azad "The Message of the Quran", YA = Yusuf Ali's comments to the Quran.):

001 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong.

4/29 (A38): “Eat not up your property among yourselves in vanity”. But is that the correct meaning? “The Message of the Quran” has: “Do not devour one another’s possessions wrongfully – not even by way of trade based on mutual agreement” – which roughly says the same (something like “do not cheat each other” or worse) in a few more words. But the Arab word “illa” in front means “except” or “unless it be”, which means that the literal meaning in reality is “do not eat up one another’s possessions wrongfully, unless it be (an act of) trade based on mutual agreement” - - - which means that wrongful profit is ok if the parts agree on it – f. ex. by sheer a swindle where the buyer believes he/she gets a fair deal. This strongly contradicts other Islamic laws. It takes some highly advanced verbal gymnastics to explain it away. Every scholar agrees that the literal meaning must be wrong, but words have to be used in special meanings to make that meaning disappear. At very best very unusual use of the language – in spite of that the Quran itself boasts of that the language it to be understood literal, and that it is easy to understand. More likely it simply is a big mistake a la 6/151.

002 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong by.

5/1 (A2): “Lawful (as food*) for you (Muslims*) are all four-footed animals, with the exceptions named - - -”. But the literal meaning of Arab “bahimat al-an’am” is “four-footed cattle” or “beast of cattle”. But “cattle” is something very different to “all four-footed animals”. To add to the mystery Rezi and others say: “- - - all animals that resembles (domesticated) cattle insofar as it feeds on plants and is not a beast of prey.” (One essence of this is that Muslims cannot eat marine mammals – not all are 4-footed and most of them are beasts of prey). The main essence of this verse is that no Arab scholar really is sure how to understand exactly what it means, but that they agree on that “four-footed cattle” is a tautology that must be wrong – one more case where the majority agrees on that some text in the Quran is wrong (there are a few like this - and when not even the greatest Muslims scholars understand what the text really means, it is not “a clear and easily understood language”).

It must be added to the defence of the Muslims scholars who try to “adjust” the meaning of this verse, that the Quran clearly permits hunting, and mostly they did go hunting for food - - - and you do not go hunting for cattle. It thus is very clear that they are right when they say this tautology is wrong.

003 - generally by Islam accepted to be wrong.

6/151a: “Come, I (Muhammad*) will rehearse what Allah hath (really) prohibited you from - - - (f. e x. *) be good to your parents”. This very obviously is wrong and a bit of a contradiction compared to other places in the Quran – Muhammad very obviously meant exactly the opposite; that you were ordered to be good to your parents. An omniscient god would not make such a mistake. Who made the Quran?

Also Muslim scholars agree that here the text is wrong – it is absolutely contrary to what the Quran says about this all other places. Which give you an unbeatable proof against any Muslim boasting that the book being without any mistakes at all. A proof and a fact sanctioned by Islam!

And besides: If here is a mistake, how many more are there?

Just remember: 6/151 (6 in Scandinavian = sex, and 151 has sex in both ends (1 + 5 = 6, and 5 + 1 = 6). Easy to remember.

004 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong.

6/151b: This also is a really strange contradiction: “Come, I (Muhammad*) will rehearse what Allah hath (really) prohibited you from – - (to*) - - kill not your children on a plea of want (poverty*) - - -.” Read it once more: You are prohibited from not to kill your children if you are poor!! – also this (see 6/151a just above) is the opposite of what is said everywhere in the book. The Muslim sources we have found, all agree on that also this is wrong – and actually we agree with them – this is so far out of the Quran’s normal points of view concerning children, that it must have been an accident.

But this means that here you have another clear mistake in the Quran – certified by Islam as a mistake – to serve for free to any Muslim or non-Muslim claiming that the book is perfect and without mistakes “to the last comma”. Just ask them if they have ever read 6/151? (And ask if they are aware of that the comma did not exist in Arab when the Quran was written around 650 AD).

And: When this is wrong – how much more is wrong in the book? Also see 6/151a just above.

005 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong.

9/30: "The Jews call 'Uzayr (the Jewish prophet Ezra*) a son of God - - -". Muslim scholars today admits this is wrong. (But they claim that some Jews in Arabia said so, and that is why it ended up in the Quran. This in case tells very much about who made the Quran).

006 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong.

11/40b (YA1533): “- - - and the fountains of the earth gushed forth - - -.” But the Arab expression “far al tannur” has two literal meanings (see also 11/40a just above): The one already mentioned in 11/40a and “- - - the oven (of Allah’s Wrath) boiled over - - -.” Which one do you like best? And is the language as clear as Islam claims? Also this literal meaning cannot be true according to the Muslim sources we have found.

007 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong.

11/40a (A58 – in 2008 edition A62): “- - - and the fountains of the earth gushed forth - - -.” Literal meaning (also see 11/40b just below) in the Arab text: “- - - the face of the earth boiled over - - -.” To quote “The Message of the Quran”: “This phrase has been subject to several conflicting interpretations” = the literal meaning cannot be true. And it really is a confusing sentence, among other reasons because only liquids can boil. And to make the confusion complete, modern Islam even in 2008 once more resort to the filling up of the Mediterranean basin, which happened 4-5 million years ago – nearly at the time of the first traces of the first possible forefathers of Homo Sapiens 5 – 6 million years ago, and LONG before Homo Sapiens (modern man) ever existed, not to mention some million years before a possible Noah a few thousand years ago. To be impolite: What the in Islam respected “The Message of the Quran” writes about this, is goblydegogh and as wrong and meaningless as that word. **But when texts in the Quran are so confusing that even the top Islamic thinkers often are at a loss understanding and agreeing on what the texts really mean, and other times only agree that it cannot mean what it says – how then can Muslims repeat and repeat and repeat that everything in the Quran is clear and easy to understand, and demand to be believed?**

And how can top learned men in Islam use so wrong facts – to seduce naïve and uneducated people? – that are so well known and so easy to check and even not too infrequently mentioned in media, and then demand to be believed when they claim to have written it in good faith? Especially so as it just is not the first – and neither the last – time they “bend” scientific and other facts to fit their wishes.

008 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong.

12/100 (A95 – in 2008 edition A98, A99): “- - - and they Jacob and his family*) fell down in prostration, (all) before him (Joseph*) - - -.” Here is a big conundrum inside a riddle surrounded by a puzzle for Islam. A pious prophet like Jacob impossibly could prostrate himself before a human. And an as pious prophet like Joseph impossibly could have accepted it. Something has to be wrong in the text. This even though the Arab text “wa-kharru lahu sudjdjah” literally means “- - - and they fell down before him in (alternatively “like in”) prostration (or “praying to him” according to the Swedish copy)”. Islam has no good explanations that we have found. According to ‘Abd Allah ibn ‘Abbas the “him” in “before him” must refer to Allah – which it most clearly does not do. Razi explains that Joseph’s dream was not fully fulfilled, etc. Actually here the text is very clear – and the only thing Muslim scholars agree on, is that the literal meaning must be wrong, and this without having a good alternative meaning.

009 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong.

18/50 (A53 – omitted in 2008): “Behold, We (Allah*) said to the angels, ‘Bow down to Adam’: they bowed down except Iblis. He was one of the Jinns - - -.” But here is a clear mistake – or more likely; A. Yusuf Ali’s religion and al-Taqiyya may have suppressed his honesty: The original Arab text here do not say he was a jinn: It says something like (translated from Swedish): “He (Iblis*) belonged to the multitude of invisible beings”. The text here honestly and clearly indicates that he was an angel before he became the Devil. On the other hand the Quran other places tells he was made from fire, which in case means he according to this book means he in reality was a jinn. This is one more place where the Muslim scholars agree that the text in the Quran is wrong (though they never say this in clear words) as it here most clearly indicates that Iblis was an angel.

**010 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong.

19/30: “(Allah has*) given me revelations and made me (the baby Jesus*) a prophet - - -”. Even Islam (f. ex. the learned Ikrimah, quoted by Tabari) accepts the impossibility that a baby is a prophet, but the explaining it away is vague and hypothetical. A very clear mistake. This even more so as there is not one single chance that this wonder had been forgotten in or omitted from NT if it had been true. Actually this is one of the points where many Muslim scholars accept there is a mistake in the Quran. Also see 19/30-33 just below.

**011 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong.

19/30-33: The baby Jesus is talking and discussing in his cradle. Also this is “borrowed” from apocryphal Child Gospels - in this case as far as we know via “The Arab Child Gospel” - also called “The first Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus Christ” – an apocryphal scripture from 2. century. There is not a single chance that a wonder like this had been omitted from the Bible, as it would have strengthened Jesus’ position quite a lot. This even more so as there are not

many tales about Jesus as a child, and this story would have made that part of his life less blank. Once more a fairy tale used like a true story by Allah or Muhammad. Even a book like "The Message of the Quran" is not able to defend this as a true story, but it only offers speculations and presumptions to explain away the impossibility. Also see 19/30b just above.

A very clearly not true story - a clear mistake. We have never met a Muslim explaining why the Quran often took its stories from well known, but made up legends and fairy tales, and then explained the differences from the Bible by insisting that the Bible is faked.

"The Message of the Quran" (A24 – in 2008 edition A23): As baby Jesus impossibly could be a prophet, there has to be other explanations, according to the Muslim scholars. As said: ONE MORE PLACE WHERE MUSLIM SCHOLARS AGREE THAT SOMETHING MUST BE WRONG IN THE QURAN.

00a - Accepted by a number of Muslim scholars to be wrong.

27/18: An ant spoke to other ants and in a way possible for King Solomon to hear. Wrong. Ants do not have the brainpower for composing complicated (for non-human terrestrial beings) sentences - see 27/16 just above - and they do not have organs for pronouncing words - not even "ant -language" words. Not to mention that they lack the power to speak loud enough for humans to hear. A fairy tale. (It is worth mentioning that Islam to a degree admits this. "The Message of the Quran calls it a legend – comment 17. But if this is a legend told like a truth, how many more are there like that in the Quran?)

012 - generally accepted by Islam to be wrong.

27/37 (A29): "Go back to them, and be sure we shall come to them (Sabah*) with such a host (army*) as they will never be able to meet: we shall expel them from there in disgrace, and they will feel humbled (indeed)." It is very clear from both the previous and the following verse that here it is Solomon speaking. But this is no logical answer to a peaceful embassy. The Quran also formally says that a war of attack is never permissible (though Muslims have found many ways around that formality.) So Muslim scholars have found it must be something wrong - the good Muslim king Solomon could not talk like that.

00b - Accepted by a number of Muslim scholars to be wrong.

105/3+4: "- - - Han (Allah*) sent against them Flights of Birds, Striking them with stones of baked clay." This refers to an attack from Abyssinia in 570 AD. The vice king Abrhah or Abrah, lost much of his army because of a virulent illness - perhaps smallpox - and had to return home without attacking Mecca. The troops were NOT killed by stones from birds. Muslim scholars often agree this is unlikely, but sometimes try to "explain" the clear text and the as clear mistake away by some linguistic gymnastics that includes that the Arab word for stone and the one for writings are not dissimilar, and if they think that these words have been mixed up (in a holy book sent down by Allah, and without mistakes), and then say the meaning is metaphorical (in a book the Allah says shall be understood as it is written), it may not mean stones, but hard physical strikes. Muslims frequently have to use far out "explanations" like this to try to camouflage mistakes. But if there is a linguistic mistake here, according to Muslims – how many more linguistic mistakes are there in the Quran?

00c - Accepted by a number of Muslim scholars to be wrong.

111/1-5: "Perish the hands of the Father of Flames! Perish he! No profit to him from all his wealth, and all his gains! Burnt soon will he be in a Fire of blazing Flame! His wife shall carry the (crackling) wood - as fuel! - A twisted rope of palm-leaf fibre round her (own) neck." This is said against Muhammad's uncle Abdul Uza - called Father of Flame because of reddish skin. The scholars say that for one thing a sura like this does not belong in a holy book, and for another is not worthy of a god, and consequently must be wrong. (Also f. ex. some verses concerning Muhammad's private affairs have a doubtful reputation among some scholars.)

013 - Generally accepted by Islam to be impossible.

Muslim scholars of today admits it is impossible to prove Allah. (Actually it long time ago was proved that it is impossible for humans to prove a god - any god.) Consequently all the "proofs" and "signs" - indicated to be proofs - in the Quran are invalid - - - but that this is an inevitable consequence few of them will admit, as the claimed "signs" and "proofs" are too central in the religion.

Actually: In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him.

014 - Generally accepted by Islam to be impossible. Predestination vs. free will of man.

Islam admits that it is impossible to understand how it is possible to combine Allah's predestination with man's claimed free will. (Actually this is a version of the "time travel paradox" - a paradox that is proved unsolvable.) But as this also is a - or really two - most central principles in the religion, it is impossible directly to admit something is wrong. But the only and very lame "explanation" is that "all the same it has to be true, as Allah says so in the Quran".

6/149: "With Allah is the arguments that reaches home - - -". **Which means: Allah decides everything. But what then about the claimed free will of man? "The Message of the Quran" explains this in its comment 141 to this surah (translated from Swedish): "With other words: The real connection between Allah's knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man's relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true".**

015 - Generally accepted by Islam to be impossible. Free will of man vs. predestination.

Islam admits that it is impossible to combine predestination by a good and benevolent god with desiding even before they are born to send them to Hell (Islam tells your future is predestined when the foetus is 4 months = 5 months before you are born). Because of this one tells that to end in Hell is because of bad deeds because of wrong use of your free will - - - but free will and predestination is impossible to combine.

6/149: "With Allah is the arguments that reaches home - - -". **Which means: Allah decides everything. But what then about the claimed free will of man? "The Message of the Quran" explains this in its comment 141 to this surah (translated from Swedish): "With**

other words: The real connection between Allah's knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man's relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statement are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true”.

PART III, CHAPTER 1, (= III-1-0-0)

MORE MISTAKES AND ERRORS PLUS UNDOCUMENTED CLAIMS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

For the fact mistakes and errors arranged by themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16 (= II-1-3-1 through -16). About the Mega Mistakes see II-1-2-1 through -4. For the "complete" list of mistaken facts see II-1-4-1 through -9).

CLAIMS ABOUT FALSIFIED BIBLE ACCORDING TO THE QURAN

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Is the Bible falsified?

As you will see also other places in this book, the answer according to science is a clear NO. There are many thousands of old scriptures and fragments – the number varies some, but may be around 13000 relevant ones that are older than 610 AD (when Muhammad started his mission and started quoting or telling from Biblical legends, apocryphal stories, etc., but little from the Bible proper - and before 610 AD there also was no reason for falsifying a Muhammad out of the Bible, as he could have been a genuine prophet) – of those some 300 from the Gospels. In addition there are some 30000 other manuscripts older than 610 BC with references to verses in the Bible. Islam has found not one single of them containing proofs for that the Bible is falsified. If they had found the slightest proof for this, you bet they had told about it, and in huge letters. And science clearly says that the New Testament of today is the same as the original - the undocumented claims from Islam about falsifications in the NT clearly are wrong. The same goes for the Old Testament as far back as it has been possible to trace it – which means that if there are falsifications, they have to have been made at least 1000 years before Muhammad, and consequently at a time when there was no reason to falsify it to discredit a Muhammad.

There may be some mistakes – though much less than in the Quran. But no falsifications.

But Muhammad – and Islam – needed and need this (undocumented) claim to “explain” the differences between what the Quran tells that the Bible says, and what it really says.

One of the standard claims from Muslims and from Islam, is that Injil - the Gospels - were changed at the Council of Nicea in 325 AD. A lot of not documented claims about falsification and about destruction of the older and correct Gospels during this council has been put forth by Islam. Not one of them are proved or in other ways documented - and we again are back to the fact that Islam is the best proof for that the claims are not true: If any proof or document or even strong indication only of any falsification had existed, Islam had produced it with music and flowers. It has never been produced, simply because such documentation is totally unknown to science. Claims, yes, as claims are cheap. Proofs never.

The background for this meeting was a savage debate between a certain Arius (and his followers) and the traditional national churches.

Arius (256 - 336 AD) was a clergyman who lived and worked in Alexandria, but he had his own ideas about some topics. One of his main topics for disagreement was that Jesus was created before anything else and took part in the creation of the world (collides both with Christianity and Islam). Another was that Jesus was a demi-god, neither fully human, nor fully divine (collides with both Christianity who says he was both fully divine and fully human, and with Islam which claims he only was human).

It was to settle this dispute the Council of Nicea was arranged by the Roman Emperor Constantin (the first Christian emperor in Rome).

The dispute was settled - though it took long time before the case was finally finished - as the some 250 bishops after thorough study of the scriptures and the 4 Gospels (these 4 Gospels from the very beginning of organized Christian churches had been the ones one used by the main body of the Christians - simply because these were the ones which (one believed) were written by apostles and thus the really reliable ones), practically unanimously agreed on that Arius was wrong.

The agenda of the Nicaea Council, year 325 AD (according to Wikipedia):

1. The Arian Question regarding the relationship between God the Father and Jesus; i. e. are the Father (God*) and the Son (Jesus*) one in purpose only or also one in being.
2. The date of celebration of the Paschal/Easter celebration.
3. The Meletian schism.
4. The validity of baptism by heretics.
5. The status of the lapsed in the persecution under Licinius.

In addition these were added during the council:

1. Jesus is described as "God from God, Light from Light, true God from true God."
2. Jesus is said to be begotten, not made.
3. Jesus were said to be "from the substance of the Father (God*)" or "of the same substance".

(These additions just were clarifications of the old points of view of the church.)

In addition to this they used the opportunity to discuss some other topics - the agenda is well known:

1. Eunucks in the clergy.
2. Recent converts and sexual sin in the clergy.
3. Living companions for clergy.
4. Ordination of bishops.
5. Excommunicarion and synods to hear cases.
6. Jurisdiction for Alexandria, Rome and Antioch.
7. Honour to the province of Jerusalem.
8. The assimilation of the Cathari into the Church.
9. The removal of clergy.
10. The removal of clergy - other aspects.
11. Those who denied their faith in Christ.
12. Those returning to military service.
13. Communion for the dying.
14. Sins of new believers.
15. Clergy assignments.
16. Clergy assignments - other aspects.
17. Clergy and usury.
18. Restrictions on the diconate.
19. The assimilation of Paulianists into the Church.
20. Prayer on Sunday and Pentecost.

The only place you find any word about large changes - any changes at all - in the religion, is in never documented Islamic claims.

.

Besides: How do you make a congregation of 250 top leaders of a religion - any religion - suddenly and dramatically change their own religion? Such a group will always be very conservative and always oppose big dogmatic changes - once more; this goes for any religion (just sak 250 ayatollahs make dramatic changes in their religion - the resistance will be as instinctive and more or less as strong in any of the big religions).

Not to mention: How do you arrange such a change in a way that makes it impossible for professors and scientists of history to find any change? - because the only ones who have ever claim they have found it, are Muslim clergy and some scholars (not scientists, but scholars). Islamic clergy and scholars who have claimed a lot, but never proved or documented one single of those claims.

This chapter will be completed later, and not later than 2010 AD. There is a lot more. The essence is that science have proved beyond any reasonable and unreasonable doubt that there may be mistakes in the Bible - though much fewer than in the Quran - but no falsifications.

PART III, CHAPTER 2, (= III-2-0-0)

MORE MISTAKES AND ERRORS PLUS UNDOCUMENTED CLAIMS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

For the fact mistakes and errors arranged by themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16 (= II-1-3-1 through -16). About the Mega Mistakes see II-1-2-1 through -4. For the "complete" list of mistaken facts see II-1-4-1 through -9).

CONTRADICTIONS COMPARED TO THE BIBLE IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Roughly speaking what the Quran tells that the Bible says often has elements of truth compared to what the Bible really says. But far from always – and the details often are completely wrong compared to the texts in the Bible. This because Muhammad took his tales from legends, apocryphal scriptures, etc. – twisting even them a little to make them fit his religion – and not really from the Bible.

And we repeat that science has shown that Muhammad's easy and never documented claims that whenever there was divergence from the Bible in his "Biblical" stories and tales, the reason was that the Bible was falsified, was and is wrong. **The best proof for that science here is correct, is that if Islam had found a single proof for falsification among all the thousands of old manuscripts, they had told about it clearly, often and in big letters.**

There are so much that differs from what the Bible really says, that we only intend to give a number of samples. This will be done not later than 2010 AD.

PART III, CHAPTER 3, (= III-3-0-0)

MORE MISTAKES AND ERRORS PLUS UNDOCUMENTED CLAIMS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

For the fact mistakes and errors arranged by themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16 (= II-1-3-1 through -16). For the Mega Mistakes see II-1-2-1 through -4. For the "complete" list of mistaken facts see II-1-4-1 through -9).

LOTS OF MISTAKES AND NO PROOFS IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH - BUT STRONG DEMANDS FOR PROOFS FROM ALL OTHER RELIGIONS

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

The reason for this chapter is to show that Muhammad had (and Islam has) very different demands for proofs from Islam compared to from anyone else.

From Islam and the Quran, neither Muhammad, nor Islam, nor Muslims demand any proof at all - and refuse vehemently even obvious mistakes and wrong proofs/signs.

From all others there are strict demands for valid proofs.

That there is no standard for or demand for proofs for Islam is already shown in earlier chapters. We therefore here just quote some samples of how Muhammad/Islam treat all non-Muslims here.

001 2/258: “But it is Allah that causeth the sun to rise from the east: do thou (non-Muslims*) the cause him to rise from the west”.

In a way this is a star example. The Muslim starting point is resting on a natural process not and the underlaying claim that it is not necessary to prove it is a work of Allah - it just is a statement that is presumed that of course is true. Whereas the opponent has to reverse natural law to bring a good enough proof. The argument is without value - any priest in any religion can say the same about his god(s) as long as he has to prove nothing. Words are that cheap.

002 6/19: “Can ye (non-Muslims*) possible bear witness that besides Allah there is another God?” Muslims do not have to prove anything about the existence of Allah - that is a matter of course on basis of nothing but words from Muhammad - a hard warlord of disputable character. But the others have to bring proofs. Not one single fact about Allah or about Muhammad's connection to a god has ever been proved - everything is just based on claims from Muhammad, and he far from was the glossy picture Islam likes to show. This even according to central Islamic literature.

003 6/148: “Have ye (non-Muslims*) any (certain) knowledge? If so produce it before us. Ye follow nothing but conjecture: ye do nothing but lie.” As typical as the two just above: No documentation necessary for the never proved Allah - he is treated like a fact. But strict proofs are demanded from everybody else.

Another trait you frequently meet when discussing with Muslims, is that some of them are quick to tell that other people - you included - lie. Just like here. Still another trait they often have, is that they are quick to word statements and say it is up to you to disprove them - which may be difficult because the statements are wrong or twisted to give the answers Muslims like. Before you even try to answer, demand proofs of their statements first (very often that is impossible for them).

004 10/34: "Of your (non-Muslim*) "partners" (gods*), can any originate creation and repeat it?" This needs proof. But to continue the verse: "It is Allah Who originates creation and repeats it - - -". This easy statement needs no proof even if absolutely nothing is proved during - at the time of writing this - 1399 years since Muhammad started his work as self proclaimed prophet, and also nothing was ever proved about Allah under his former name al-Lah (Muhammad changed the name slightly) when he for hundreds and may be more years was the top god of the pagan Arab religion.

005 10/35: "Of your (non-Muslims*) "partners" is there any who can give any guidance towards Truth?" This demands proof from the "infidels" - But the Muslim "truth" is just a statement - even in a book with so many mistaken facts, etc. that it maximum is partly the truth. To continue the verse: "It is Allah who gives guidance towards the Truth". A clear and rock solid statement taken out of the air - not to say out of proven vacuum. Absolutely invalid logically.

006 18/15: "- - - why do they (non-Muslims*) not bring forward an authority (proof*) clear (and convincing) for what they do (having other god(s) than Allah*)". Allah should be proved before they demand proofs from others. There does not exist one single proof for Allah - a fact learned Muslims reluctantly admit - - - but do not tell their audiences (and many lay Muslims therefore really believe Allah and Muhammad's connection to him both are fully proved).

007 21/24: "Bring your (non-Muslims*) convincing proof (for other god(s) than Allah*)". The proof has to be convincing. For Allah just unproved claims and statements are more than enough to establish him as a fact - no matter how questionable the Quran and Muhammad are.

008 27/64: "(Can there be another) god besides Allah? Say: Bring forth your argument, if you are telling the truth!" Not only is Allah a made a fact by statements, and statements only. Allah exists no matter - and if there are other gods, they exist beside Allah. But good proofs have to be produced for other gods. It is a good thing that the Quran proves that Allah is not the same god as Yahweh - their teachings are fundamentally too different for that to be possible, and funny that it proves that if Allah exists he at least can not be omniscient - too many mistakes, etc.

009 28/75: "- - - We (Allah*) shall say: 'Produce your proof (for other god(s)*)'. See 27/64.

010 31/11: "- - - now show Me (Allah*) what is there that others (other god(s)*) besides Him (Allah*) have created - - -". But very first: Prove that it is Allah - if he exists, which is not proven - has created at least something of it.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER III/3.

Ten samples should indicate the problem. There is a great difference between what Muslims demand of proofs for Allah and his deeds - nothing (though they try to claim that this and this are proofs, as they clearly feels the need for proofs) - and what they demand from everybody else. It often crosses the border of the impossible. But as long as you discuss other peoples' - or religions' - shortcomings, the others may forgot to look at your own religion's shortcomings. Efficient demagoguery, but unfair play.

But then the rules for fair play crystallised in England, not in Arabia.

PART III, CHAPTER 4, (= III-4-0-0)

MORE MISTAKES AND ERRORS PLUS UNDOCUMENTED CLAIMS IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

For the fact mistakes and errors arranged by themes, see Part II, Chapter 1, Subchapter 3, Sections 1 through 16 (= II-1-3-1 through -16). For the Mega Mistakes see II-1-2-1 through -4. For the "complete" list of mistaken facts see II-1-4-1 through -9).

MISTAKES IN THE QURAN AND ISLAM ABOUT THE HISTORY OF JESUS AS COMPARED TO THE BIBLE AND TO HISTORICAL FACTS

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents in this chapter:

1. The prehistory of Jesus according to the Quran.
2. The baby and child Jesus according to the Quran.
3. The prophet Jesus according to the Quran.
4. The death and disappearance of Jesus according to the Quran.
5. The Holy Spirit according to the Quran.

NB! NB! NB! This part is more or less identical in "Mistakes in the history of Jesus" in the parts about mistaken facts in the Quran, and in the separate chapter about Jesus. (The reason very simply is that so much which the Quran writes about him, is wrong. Not wrong only compared to the Bible, but wrong compared to what we know for facts about the time and the situation). In the separate chapter about Jesus, there just is added a little for the benefit of those who do not know history and/or the Bible.

We have chosen to include this material both places – like we frequently have done when material is relevant for more than one theme, so as to make it easier for readers to find all they search on the topic they look for. But if this should ever become a printed book, it will be bad economy to print it twice – it may be better to print just the separate chapter, and then refer the reader of the shortlist of the mistaken facts, to that chapter.

1. The prehistory of Jesus according to the Quran:

There was a man who prepared Israel for Jesus – John the Baptist – half a year older than Jesus only, but as Jesus only started his preaching 30 years old, John anyhow had time to talk about one who was to come shortly. The Quran does not tell much about him, but there is a little: His father was told by an angel that he was to have a son, and that -

001 19/7: “- - - his name shall be Yahya (John*): on none by that name We (Allah*) have conferred distinction before”. But Johanan (John), son of Kareah, was a distinguished man in 2. Kings, 25/23. In addition our sources say that the word “distinction” is not in the Arab edition, but added by Yusuf Ali to circumvent an obvious mistake, as the name John was far from unknown in Hebrew. (Yusuf Ali’s comment 2461). Other translators – f. ex. Muhammad Azad in “The Message of the Quran” – say in his comment to the point that the exact translation is (translated from Swedish): “We (Allah*) have never before named anybody with his (John the Baptist’s*) name before”. But the name John (Johanan in Hebrew) is mentioned 27 times in OT = before John the Baptist – it was a quite common name. From relevant history also were the priest-king John Hyrcanus and the general John the Essene. There both were many Johns and men of distinction named John before John the Baptist. Simply wrong.

*002 3/35: “Imran’s wife said”. This is the most famous mistake in the Quran together with the one where Mary, mother of Jesus is called sister of Aaron. The book here is talking about the mother of Mary, the future mother of Jesus (see also 3/36 in the Quran: “I have named her Mary”). But Imran was the father of Aaron, Moses and Miriam, who lived some 1200 years earlier. Muhammad did not know the Bible very well, and it is clear that he thought Mary was the sister of Aaron and Moses. In 19/28 this is directly said, when talking about Mary: “O sister of Aaron”. (It also is mentioned in 66/12) It is likely that the reason for this mistake is that in Arab Mary and Miriam are written the same way: Maryam. With his limited knowledge of the Bible he believed it was the same woman. Any god had known better. We may add that some Muslims say it is not the same Imran, but scientists agree on that Muhammad meant the same man - the Imran that was chosen by Allah like Adam, Noah and Abraham (see 3/33 in the Quran) - the father of Aaron, Moses - - - and Maryam/Miriam/Mary. That Muhammad really was wrong here, and thought Mary was the sister of Aaron and Moses, is documented by the fact that according to Hadith (the other Muslim source of information about their religion and about Muhammad) Muhammad was corrected, and he tried to find explanations to repair the mistake (without success). He also did not add information showing that he and Allah for some reason was right in his mistaken statement.

You will meet Muslims telling that the Quran does not mean that Mary really was the sister of Aaron (they say it was meant figuratively - one of the two standard Muslim ways of explaining away things; the other is that you cannot judge from only one or a few verses, you have to judge from the whole surah or the whole Quran, this in spite of that they themselves often makes much out of one or a few words), and that the book does not mean that she was the daughter of Imran - only a descendant of him. Islam should after so many hundreds of

years have found better “explanations” - “explanations” that on top of all is said to be contradicted by the fact that already Mohammad himself tried to correct the mistake, but without success as mentioned. But there is no other explanation they can try to use. Also see 19/28 in the full overview over the mistaken fact.

It may be added that what the Quran tells about this grandmother of Jesus, is unknown to the Bible, which was written some years after Jesus died, but known to Muhammad 600 years later - - - end also known to the legends that “lived” in the Middle East. If it had been true, it had guaranteed not been forgotten in the Gospels, as it had made the link between Jesus and God/Yahweh stronger. Islam accuses – without the slightest documentation as normal for Islam – Christians for falsification of NT (in stark contradiction to all science), but the accusation is that they have made Jesus more holy, not less, which is the case if this story had been omitted from the Bible (it never was there according to science).

The Quran also tells that Mary served in the temple of Jerusalem, under the tuition of Zachariah (father of John the Baptist - and a relative of Mary according to the Bible), and - -

003 3/37: “Every time he (Zakariyya*) entered (her) chamber to see her, he found her supplied with sustenance. He said: ‘O Mary! Whence (comes) this to you?’ She said: “From Allah: for Allah provides sustenance to whom He pleases without measure’ “. This means that she by a miracle got her food from the god. This is a made up fairy tale. There is not one single chance that a miracle like this had been omitted from the NT - this even more so if Islam had been right in their statements that Christians (and Jews) had falsified the Bible (Muhammad was not well versed in the Bible, and frequently made mistakes when he referred to it or took stories from it. He always explained such mistakes with that he was right, and that the unholy Jews and Christians had falsified the Bible. Actually just this story is one of those the Quran has not “borrowed” from the Bible at all, but from one of the made up religious legends that flourished at that time. These mistakes were the reason why the Jews did not accept him when he came to Yathrib/Medina - the Jews said his teachings were wrong and that he consequently was a false prophet. His teachings were so different from the Mosaic one, that it was heresy to them. (Muslims have a tendency not to mention this fact, but to instead tell a, to Muhammad, more flattering story: He was not accepted because the Jews were angry because Allah had called a non-Jew for a prophet.)) But if Christians had falsified the Bible, their main object would have been to strengthen Jesus’ position and his connections to Yahweh - the Jewish and Christian god. There is no chance at all that they had omitted a wonder connected to his mother, telling about a direct connection between Yahweh and her. (That she served in the Temple, which also is told in the Quran, also is new to the Bible – and had never been omitted there if it was true). Another question: How do you make the Jews and the Christians make just the same falsifications in the Bible?

It also tells something that when Muhammad differs from the Bible, his/the Quran’s stories mostly correspond with proven untrue religious fables and legends (often apocryphal scriptures – and often Gnostic). This tells it is not the Bible that is wrong, but that the Quran may have used fairy tales as sources.

Then came the time for the pregnancy with Jesus. As in the Bible the first information came from an angel, but the story got a twist (to back up Muhammad’s new religion?). Mary grew frightened and said:

*004 19/18: “- - - I seek refuge from thee to (Allah) Most Gracious: (come not near) if thou dost fear Allah”. It is highly unlikely that a Jew should seek refuge from a then highly polytheistic god from another country. As one see from what happened to Jesus, the monotheism and Yahweh were strong in Israel at that time. If the Quran tells the truth when it tells that Mary was working in the Temple, it is absolutely impossible - she had got into serious troubles if she addressed any other god than Yahweh (but then the Quran most likely is wrong also on this point - We have found nothing about Mary working in the Temple in the Bible or any other source, and if it had been true, most Christian sources had mentioned it, as it would mean one more connection between Jesus and Yahweh. (Actually it is taken from the apocryphal - made up - “Proto gospel’ after Jacob” - - - but Muslims tell without documentation that the difference between the Quran and the Bible is because the bad non-Muslims have falsified the latter one – not because Muhammad ever so often used twisted fairy tales as basis for stories in the Quran.) Our Muslim sources also do not mention if there exists any other reliable source for this statement in the Quran - which Islam frequently does not do when they have no sources, only statements built on nothing. Simply a fairy tale shined up and used as a true story. By Allah or by Muhammad, and presumably sent down from Allah and copy from the revered Mother Book in Heaven, a book perhaps made by Allah, but most likely - according to Islam - never made, but existed from eternity (which is not possible, as angels are speaking at least one place in the Quran according to Islamic scholars, and the Quran then consequently must be younger than at least the first angels).

According to the Quran, Mary also was told her future son’s name:

*005 3/45: “- - - his name will be Christ Jesus - - - “. His name was only Jesus. The word Christ was not even a name, but a title of honour, and it only emerged years after his death - originally in what is now Turkey. But Muhammad did not know the Bible well. (Christ or Christos in Greek means the same as Messiah in Hebrew – the anointed one (which indicates “king”, because new kings in the old Israel were anointed). Because of this some editions of the Bible use Christ instead of Messiah in NT, but the name - or title really - Christ in reality did not exist connected to Jesus, until well after his death).

Well, Mary got pregnant and nobody noticed it throughout her whole periode of pregnancy. How big is the chance for that to happen? – it do happen - especially to very fat women - but the chances are slim. And when her time came, she went out and Jesus was born in the field under a palm tree – quite different from the story in the Bible. Mary was depressed and afraid, but then the newborn baby Jesus talked to her and comforted her.

2. The baby and child Jesus according to the Quran.

006 3/48: “And Allah will teach him (the child Jesus*) - - - the Gospel”. One thing is that the word “Gospel” is in singular - there are 4 Gospels. It is not uncommon to use “Gospel” in singular, but it seems that Muhammad did not know there were more than one. **But the real screamer is that the Gospels did not exist at that time - could not exist, as they are the story of Jesus’ life, death and resurrection. The oldest one is written some 25 years after his death (or may be a little earlier, according to new science - source: New Scientist). Show me one single god that did not know that. But as said before: Muhammad did not know the Bible well. Also see 3/3.

We may add to 3/48 that many a Muslim will tell you that the Quran is not talking about the 4 known Gospels, but about an older one they claim has disappeared. And they may be partly right on one point - it may be that once there was another and older Gospel, though not so old

that Jesus could read it, neither as a child, nor as an adult. 3 of the Gospels are so similar, that it is clear there is a connection, and one of the possible explanations is that they all took material from an older Gospel. But strangely Muslims never mention the other possible explanation: That the two youngest simply took material from the oldest of the 3. And as strangely the Imams never tell their congregation what a Gospel really is. The for Muslims damaging points are:

1. A Gospel is the history of Jesus' life, death and resurrection, with the main point being his death and resurrection – the final proof for his connection to something supernatural. There had been lots of proofs before according to both the Bible and to the Quran – his many miracles. But his resurrection made any dispute about or denial of the involvement of something supernatural impossible. But as the main points in all Gospels are his trial, his death, and his resurrection, no Gospel could exist until after his death. **And no tale not including his trial, death and resurrection is a Gospel (with capital G), because the very point that makes it a Gospel – his resurrection and thus the final proof and the final victory over the dark forces – is not there.** (Also see below).

It is known that Muhammad did not really know the Bible, and especially not NT, and it seems like he used the word “Gospel” without really knowing what it meant. Also modern Muslims – at least the ones with little education – have vague ideas about what a Gospel is, and just states that there must have been an older one that Jesus read, which as you see is an impossibility. (Of course some then try the all-conquering argument that Allah knew and could tell - - - but then we once more are up against the fact that full clairvoyance for Allah combined with free will for man, also is an impossibility, a fact that even Islamic scholars admit, though most reluctantly, and with the very lame addition that “all the same it must be true, because it is told by Allah in the Quran” (!!!))

2. We know that if there ever existed an older Gospel, we also automatically know that also this was written after Jesus' death (see point A just above), so Jesus could not have studied

- it. This because a Gospel is the story of Jesus' life, death and resurrection (which most Muslims do not seem to know), and thus cannot have been written until after his death and resurrection - and thus we know it in case was not written until after the year 33 AD.
3. If there ever was such an older Gospel, that means that it was even closer in time to what happened, and thus makes the 3 mentioned Gospels even more reliable as they in case took their material from a Gospel written very shortly after Jesus died, and thus at a time when what happened was even more fresh in the minds of people and society and the writer. But still impossible for Jesus to study, as it did not - could not - exist until after his death.

(We may add that "Gospel" means "good news" or "glad news" or "glad tidings". You meet the word used like that in some Bibles and other literature, but then it normally is written "gospel" not "Gospel".) Similar claims in 5/46 – 5/110 – 57/27.

***007 19/24+25: "But (a voice) (the new-born baby Jesus*) cried from beneath the (palm-tree): 'Grieve not! For thy Lord hath provided a rivulet beneath thee; 'And shake towards thyself the trunk of the palm-tree (normally date palms are some 50 cm or more wide and strong – impossible for a human to shake*): it will let fall fresh ripe dates upon thee". This story is "borrowed" from chapter 20 in an apocryphal "proto gospel" said to be after some Mathew. "Borrowed" by Muhammad or Allah, but presumably sent down as a copy from the Mother Book in Heaven. Believe the last if you want. There are few if any original stories in the Quran - mostly they are "borrowed" from different sources, but often changed a little. In this special case one also finds the story in "The Childbirth of Mary and the Salvador's Childhood" if we remember the name correctly, and it has perhaps entered the Quran via "The Arab Childhood Gospel" (source; among others Ibn Warraq. We are well aware of that Muslims use bad words about Ibn Warraq, but he is one of our few non-Muslim sources simply because we till now never have seen Muslims able to document him wrong on any point). As said before: Muhammad took stories from such fairy tales, and then accused the Bible of being falsified when it did not tell the same made up legends and tales.

Mary came home and her family was negative, to say the least of it (19/27). The absolutely newborn Jesus – a few hours old at most – had to defend his mother:

**008 19/30a: "I (baby Jesus*) am indeed a servant of Allah, - - -". See 3/51. And he continued:

009 19/30b: "(Allah has*) given me revelations and made me (the baby Jesus*) a prophet - - -". **Even Islam (f. ex. the learned Ikrimah, quoted by Tabari) accepts the impossibility that a baby is a prophet, but the explaining away of it is vague and hypothetical. A very clear mistake. This even more so as there is not one single chance that this wonder had been forgotten in or omitted from NT if it had been true.

****010 19/30-33:** The newly born baby Jesus is continuing talking and discussing in his cradle. Also this are “borrowed” from apocryphal Child Gospels - in this case as far as we know via “The Arab Child Gospel” - also called “The first Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus Christ” – an apocryphal scripture from 2. century. There is not a single chance that a wonder like this had been omitted from the Bible, as it would have strengthened Jesus’ position quite a lot. This even more so as there are not many tales about Jesus as a child, and this story would have made that part of his life less blank. Once more a fairy tale used like a true story by Allah or Muhammad. Even a book like “The Message of the Quran” is not able to defend this as a true story, but it only offers speculations and presumptions to explain away the impossibility.

There is mentioned only one more miracle - and what is really told about his childhood in the Bible, is not mentioned in the Quran - (in addition to his talking and arguing when he was just hours old) from his childhood:

***011 3/49:** “I have come to you, with a Sign from your Lord, in that I make for you out of clay, as it were, the figure of a bird, and breathe into it, and it becomes a bird by Allah’s leave”. Also this wonder had never been omitted from NT if it had been true - see 3/37. But actually it is taken from the made up legends in one of the “fairy tale” Child Gospels (this one came from the Thomas Child Gospel - also called “The Thomas’ Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus Christ” - an apocryphal (= made up) one from 2. century). A god had known the Child Gospels were made up – Muhammad obviously not. Besides: What does it tell the world that the Quran uses a made up story as an indirect proof for Allah? And what does it tell about the reliability of Muhammad’s many statements when there is divergence between the Bible and the Quran that the reason is that the Bible is falsified, when it is clear that the reason is that the Quran is quoting from fairy tales?

A very clearly not true story - a clear mistake. We have never met a Muslim explaining why the Quran often took its stories from well known, but made up legends and fairy tales, and then explained the differences from the Bible by insisting that the Bible is faked.

Not much is said about the childhood of Jesus neither in the Bible nor in the Quran. In the Bible his childhood mostly seems to have been a normal childhood, but in the Quran he was prepared early for being or becoming a prophet by studying the Gospel:

3. The prophet Jesus according to the Quran.

012 2/136: “We (Allah*) make no difference between one or another of them (prophets*) - - - “. There is one distinction at least Yahweh makes: Between real and false prophets. The criterion for being a real prophet, is that you make prophesies – and that the prophesies come true. If not he is a false prophet (5. Mos. 18/21). Muhammad made during all his life not one real prophesy. (There were a few sayings that were remembered because they happened to become true – the others were forgotten like normal in such cases – but no real prophesies. He never – no place in the Quran and hardly in any of all the Hadiths – even claimed to have the gift of making prophesies). Was he then really a prophet – or did he simply “borrow” an impressive title? He simply was not a prophet. A messenger for someone or something perhaps – or an apostle, but no prophet. But if either the Quran or the Bible or both speak the truth concerning this, Jesus clearly was. The Quran, though, reduces Jesus as much as possible, and simply skips the question of Muhammad’s right to the title – **the book often treats things for a fact without the slightest proof or documentation.**

Finally there is the death and resurrection of Jesus. If that really happened, Jesus clearly was at least one division higher up than Muhammad. So according to the Quran it did not happen.

013 3/59: "The similitude of Jesus before Allah is as that of Adam; He created him from dust, and then said to him: 'Be' and he was." According to the Quran Jesus is just a human. This is essential to Muhammad for two reasons: His understanding of monotheism, and his demand or craving for being the greatest of divine representatives - if Jesus is the son of the god, he clearly is something more and greater than Muhammad. We may add that the Bible more than 20 times states that God is one (f. ex. in the Torah (f. ex. Deut. 6/4), Psalms (f. ex. 86/10), Prophets (f. ex. Is. 44/6-8) and in the Gospels).

014 4/171: "Christ Jesus, the son of Mary, was no more than a messenger to Allah." See 3/59 just above in this chapter.

015 4/172: "Christ disdaineth not to serve and worship Allah." In 3/51 is implicated in the explanation why this is wrong. The only possible exception is if Yahweh and Allah really is the same god. But only Islam states that, and the teachings of Yahweh (especially in NT) are so different at essential points from the teachings of Allah, that they cannot be the same god unless that god is schizophrenic. Islam will in case have to prove it.

And of course he according to the Quran wanted good Muslims for disciples.

00a 5/46: "We (Allah*) sent Jesus the son of Mary, confirming the Law (of Moses*)". **According to the Bible Jesus was not sent to change the old laws – that was not his main purpose. All the same that was what he did – changed some and even nullified some of them,** especially of all the additions made through the times by Jewish religious thinkers and leaders. This was more or less formalized his last Easter, when the new covenant was introduced. (This covenant is never mentioned by Islam, and most Muslims without religious education have not even heard about it. This even though it is one of the main and most central facts in the Christian religion. Similar claim in 61/6.

When it comes to foretelling about Muhammad, which is mentioned in the Quran, but it seems that just this was and is more essential to Islam and Muslims, than to Muhammad himself, because he did not return to that topic often. For Islam and Muslims it is an essential question, however, because Islam has not one single valid proof neither for Allah nor for Muhammad's connection to a god – a real foretelling had been if not a proof, then at least a good indication. Besides the Quran tells that Muhammad is easy to find both in OT and NT, and then Islam has to find him "come Hell or high water" – if not the Quran is wrong and then something is wrong with the religion. An indication of how essential this claim is to the Muslim clergy, is that in Hadiths – f. ex. Al-Bukhari – you find "quotations" about Muhammad presumably taken from the Bible, presumably quoted from the Bible at about the time of Muhammad, that are not from the Bible, but the commentators do not whisper one word about that it is wrong, just letting readers who do not know the Bible (= f. ex. 99.9% of the Muslims) believe it is a "bona fide" and correct quote).

00b 5/75: "Christ, the son of Mary, was no more than a messenger; - - -". The Bible says something else – that Jesus called Yahweh his father, and far from always only his spiritual father - and as the Bible is written relatively short time after Jesus' death, and on this point on the basis of thousands of witnesses that could tell what Jesus said, and protest if the narrators quoted Jesus falsely, it is likely that the Bible is more reliable here, than the Quran. The

Quran is written 600 years later, and offers only unfounded statements without any proof or even indicia backing up the statements. This even more so as the only Islamic source for the statements, was a man who demanded to be the greatest prophet of all times, something he definitely could not be if Jesus was a relative of Yahweh, and a man of very disputesble morality.

Also Jesus himself frequently called Yahweh his father - and Jesus is reliable also according to the Quran (but as said the Quran/Mohammad cannot accept that Jesus may be the son of Yahweh, because then Muhammad is not the greatest of “prophets” – and the defence of Muhammad also is essential, as he in reality was a dubious and immoral character). Similar claims in 3/59 - 4/171 – 19/34.

016 5/111: “(the Disciples*) said: “We have faith, and do thou bear witness that we bow to Allah as Muslims”. Made up story - see 3/51 for explanation. Similar claims in 3/52 -61/14.

But also the disciples wanted proofs (in addition to all the miracles Jesus did according to both the Bible and the Quran):

017 5/114: “Send us (Jesus and the Disciples*) from heaven a Table set (with viands), - - -”. A made up story - there is no chance that such a miracle that clearly shows Jesus’ connection to Yahweh, would be omitted from the Bible. Not one single chance. Even if Muhammad had been right and Christians had falsified the NT, this is the kind of stories they had added, not omitted. Some Muslims say this may refer a little to “The Prayer of God” - give us our daily bread - in the Bible. Much more likely it is a contorted version of the last Easter dinner.

The Quran tells nearly nothing about Jesus as a preacher or about his teachings. The main points for Muhammad were that he was a good Muslim and even though a great prophet – and a real prophet – he in reality was no match to the greatest: Muhammad.

018 5/116a: “Didst thou (Jesus*) say unto men, ‘worship me and my mother as gods in derogation of Allah’?” Jesus was not involved with Allah - see 3/51 for explanation. As for a divine Jesus, that is not explicitly said in the Bible, but many places it is understood that he was (f. ex. if Yahweh really was his father in some way). But when it comes to Mary, Islam is right - saints are not a part of the teaching of the Bible (on the other hand also some Muslims have saints, notably the Shiites). And all the same the Quran is extremely wrong here: Mary never was part of the Trinity. See 5/116b just below.

019 5/116b: Mohammad believed the Trinity consisted of God/Yahweh, Jesus and Mary. Wrong. Both Muhammad and the Quran were wrong in the extreme when he/they thus believed Mary was part of the Trinity. (It consists (?) of God/Yahweh, Jesus and the Holy Spirit – also called “the Holy Ghost”, “the Spirit of God”, “the Spirit of truth”, or only “the Spirit” - it had many names, just like Allah). Muhammad never understood the Holy Spirit, even though he used it a few times in the Quran – and some Muslims refer to the Holy Spirit in the Quran as another name for the arch angel Gabriel (!). Also see 5/117. Similar claim in 61/6

020 6/101: “- - - how could He (Allah*) have a son when He had no consort?”

1. The Quran has given one answer itself - the god may have said "Ba a son. and Jesus was."

2. Or - if Allah is another name for Yahweh: In the very old Hebrew religion there was a female deity - Yahweh's Amat (source New Scientist and others). In the very masculine culture the Amat was forgotteh, but Jesus may have been made "the normal way". (But why should a god have to do it the same way as humans?)

22 6/102: "There is no god but He (Allah/Yahweh*) - - -." Muhammad here once more refer to the trinity dogma. He never understood that Christians have just one god, even though this god (to oversimplify) has a helper - Jesus - and a servant or messenger "boy" - the Holy Spirit. We may add that the Bible more than 20 times states that God is one (f. ex. in the Torah (f. ex. Deut. 6/4), Psalms (f. ex. 86/10), Prophets (f. ex. Is. 44/6-8) and in the Gospels).

00c 9/30: "The Jews call Usayr (the prophet Ezra*) the son of God, and the Christians call Christ the son of God - - - (in this) they but imitate what the Unbelievers of old used to say. Allah's curse be on them: - - - - - how they are deluded away from the Truth!". This is the level of "proofs" for that Jesus is not the son of Yahweh in the Quran. Also see 3/59, 6/101, and 72/3 in this chapter. We also are back to the old facts: Jesus himself called God "father". There were lots of witnesses to this. It was written down a few years later. The Quran vehemently denies it. The Quran has neither witnesses nor any other proofs. The Quran was written more than 600 years later and all the same offers only claims and statements. Muhammad had a lot to gain if Jesus is not the son of God - if Jesus is closely related to God, Muhammad obviously is not the greatest of prophets, and though Muslims may be right that Muhammad personally did not care all too much about money, there is no doubt that he liked power and that he spent large sums for "buying" followers (his lust for power is easy to see from the texts in the Quran and the Hadits). The end of the quote is rather sympathetic (?!). Similar statements in 2/116 – 4/171 – 10/68 – 17/111 – 18/4 – 18/5 -19/88-89 – 23/91 – 25/2.

The reason for this may be three-fold:

1. Muhammad's obsession with that there only was one god.
2. The fact that if Jesus in some way was the son of God/Yahweh, Muhammad very obviously was not the greatest of prophets.
3. It would be difficult to make people listen to him and not to the Bible – tales about falsified Bible or not.

If Muhammad partly believed in his own religion, point 1 may have been the main one. If he did not – and very clearly he knew that parts of it were not true (f. ex. the explanations why he could not make miracles) – parts 2 and 3 were the main ones (minding his platform of power).

Because of that it was very essential that Jesus was not as great as Muhammad.

But there is an extra point here: Even Islam today admits that the Jews as such never reckoned Ezra to be the son of Yahweh, but they argu that the Quran all the same is right as Jews in Arabia at that time said he was so. If this claim is true, it proves that the maker of the Quran

were someone living in Arabia at the time of Muhammad, but who had little knowledge about the rest of the world and about other times. Any god had known that this claim was wrong - even if locals in Arabia at the time of Muhammad perhaps believed or at least said so. Then who made the Quran?

021 18/4: "Further He (Allah*) may warn those (also) who say 'Allah hath begotten a son'". See 3/59, 6/101, and 72/3 in this chapter.

022 19/88: "They (Christians*) say: '(Allah) Most Gracious has begotten a son!'" See 3/59, 6/101, and 72/3 in this chapter.

023 25/2: "- - - no son has He (Allah) begotten, nor has He a partner in His dominion - - -." See 3/59, 6/101, and 72/3 in this chapter.

**00d 43/59: "He (Jesus*) was no more than a servant - - -". Possible. But there is still the funny fact that thousands heard him call Yahweh "father". Whereas only one man - and a man of very questionable character and ethics, says the opposite - and that even a man who had much to gain from Jesus being not the son of God. And that even as much as 600 years later without any kind of documentation.

- - - who said things like:

**024 43/63a: "(Jesus said*): therefore fear Allah - - -". As said before If Jesus had been a missionary for a known polytheistic god from a not too far of country, he for one thing had got very few followers in the at that time strictly monotheistic Israel, and for another thing he had been killed by the clergy long before - especially if he all the same got a big following like he really had. This is a tale told by someone who knew the religious and political situation in Israel around year 30 AD badly.

025 43/63b: "(Jesus said*): fear Allah and obey me - - -." This is really is Muhammad's slogan - he wanted power, that much is easy to see from the Quran, and religion/Allah was his Platform of Power. And many places in the Quran it becomes clear that Muhammad wants everyone to believe he was a "normal" (but top) prophet (actually he was no real prophet, as he did not have the gift of making prophesies - see chapter about Muhammad), and then it was nice if Jesus used the same words like Muhammad and showed this was a normal way for prophets to talk. But one of the really - and one of many - fundamental differences between Jesus and Muhammad (and for that case between f. ex. Buddha and Muhammad), was that **Jesus was absolutely not interested in power on this Earth. Consequently this slogan that Muhammad very frequently used to secure his power, would have been meaningless for Jesus.** (The Quran does not oppose this fact: That Jesus preached, but he did not seek power on Earth.)

Also the verse below can be taken as part of a strategy for reducing Jesus from something special to something ordinary - at least an ordinary prophet - to make it easier for Muhammad to be number one (another obvious example: During Muhammad's claimed trip to heaven, Jesus lived in the lowest of the prophets' heavens - heaven number 2. Whereas other known prophets from the Bible or the Quran lived higher up and closer to the god, and Muhammad was to be given place in the 7. heaven, the closest one to the deity):

026 43/64: “(Jesus said): For Allah, He is my Lord and your Lord - - -”. See 43/63. We may add that starters of new religions or sects often try to “high-jack” well known persons or situations to use it in their teachings. This may look like such a case.

00e 43/81: “If (Allah) Most Gracious had a son, I (Muhammad) would be the first to worship”. Some proof!! But for that: There still is Jesus calling Yahweh father. And any neutral professor of history would say that according to all normal rules, the Bible should be more reliable than the Quran as a source of correct history: Very much closer in time to Jesus, thousands of witnesses, many narrators, versus one single narrator without good sources and 600 years later - and even a man of dubious character and with strong motif to reduce Jesus to become the greatest prophet himself - and a man clearly lusting for power (just read the Quran and the Hadits - it is clear to see.). A man that definitely had not been accepted as a reliable witness in any country with a reliable judicial system. **(The real and historic Muhammad was something quite different from the glossy semi-saint Islam and Muslims claims – a claim made necessary because all Islam is built only on this not too reliable man’s words).**

***027 61/6a: “- - - (Jesus said*) I am the Messenger of Allah - - -”. **If Jesus had said something like this about the known polytheistic god from a not too distant foreign country, for one thing he had not got many followers, and for another: The clergy had at once had an excuse to have him killed – and long before they really did.** This verse is composed by someone not knowing the religious and political realities in Israel around 30 AD. Similar claims in 4/157 -5/72 – 5/117.

All the same Jesus – according to the Quran – was a servant of Allah.

***028 61/6b: “- - - (Jesus said: I am*) giving the Glad Tiding of a Messenger to come after Me, whose name shall be Ahamad (another form of the name Muhammad*) - - -”. This is quite a funny verse, as you meet Muslims that insists it is from the Bible. But there is not anything remotely like this in the Bible, and neither in the some 13000 relevant scriptures or fragments found through the times older than 610 AD – included some 300 from the Gospels. It is only to be found in the Quran. **And if Islam has ever found one micrometre of proof for that Muhammad is mentionend in the Bible, they have kept very quiet about it - a lot of claims, but not one single proof in 1400 years.**

And it is worth remembering that it is quite common for makers of new sects or religions to connect themselves to a mother religion and bend that - or even hijack (parts of) it. The founder of the Amaddijja-Muslims is really one of the latest examples, and Mormons tell Jesus visited America during his last days on earth. Such things give roots, credence and weight to a movement.

Jesus told The Holy Spirit (as said; also named the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of God, the Spirit of truth, or only the Spirit – like Allah and like Muhammad it has more than one name) should come in some days - which it did. And he told he himself should return once upon a time “to judge the living and the dead“. But not a single word about any other - and not to mention not one with a foreign name the Jews would question - that should arrive 600 years later. One more thing that proves this claim untrue, is the way the first Christians organized their lives while they waited for the quick return of Jesus - it is very clear that they did not expect any prophet in a distant future.

We know of one place where Muhammad is mentioned: In the Barnabas Gospel - a most apocryphal book - according to one of our sources it may even be written at the caliph's court in Baghdad (not very strange if it then mentions Muhammad). You need to make up proofs only if you have no real ones. Muslims sometimes tell you this "gospel" is a real one. But the standard explanation Muslims follow - without proofs: The Bible is falsified and the name Muhammad taken out by bad conspiracies - people in that area has a strong tendency to look for and believe in conspiracy theories (we have a private theory that the reason is that they never in their history have been used to relatively reliable information). But in that case:

1. The life of the first Christians had been entirely different - and their time scale had been entirely different if any of them had heard about another prophet to be expected before the return of Jesus "to judge the living and the dead". (They would know the return of Jesus would take much longer time than they now believed, to give the "prophet" time to work).
2. The contents of the NT had been different - not least the letters had been different. It simply is a fairy tale made up to strengthen Muhammad's claim to be a prophet - like some other self-proclaimed prophets. (Rather ironic, as he did not have the gift of being able to make prophecies - he did not even claim he had it - he was no real prophet. Messenger perhaps for someone or something perhaps, but not a real prophet).
3. The Muslims only backs their claim on one Greek word used in the Bible: "parakletos" which means "helper" - Jesus before he left Earth, promised to send his disciples a helper - the Holy Spirit (which arrived some days later - at Whitsun - according to the Bible (a story that is not negated in the Quran)).
 1. Islam claims "parakletos" is a misspelling for another Greek word "periklytos", which means "the highly praised". In Aramaic "the highly praised" means "Mawhamana" of which the second part of that word as a verb is "hamida" (= to praise) and as a noun "hamd" (law or praise). If you then continue to Arab the names Muhammad and Ahmad (another version of the name Muhammad) both derives from "hamida" or "hamd" according to Islam. Which to Islam and all Muslims is a strong proof for that "parakletos" in reality is

misspelled and means “Muhammad” in the Gospel after John (f. ex. John 14/16). Not a very convincing proof to say the least of it – and in addition:

2. The word “periklytos” that Islam claims is misspelled – the only possibility they have to get the answer they want and desperately need (they need it desperately, because the Quran clearly tells that Muhammad is foretold also in the NT - - - and he is not there - THERE IS NOT ONE SINGLE CLEAR MENTIONING OF SOMEONE LIKE MUHAMMAD IN THE BIBLE, a fact Islam proves every day by not telling where to find it) – does not exist at all in the Bible, not to mention in the NT. It is not used one single time.
3. The word “periklytos” also is not found one single time in all the some 13000 relevant manuscripts and fragments science knows from before 610 AD. Neither in one single place or time, nor in one single of the many manuscripts.
4. Worse: Neither is it found in any of the some 300 copies or fragments of Gospels older than 610 AD.
5. The word “periklytos” simply never was used in the old scriptures that became the Bible. The word that is used everywhere is “parakletos” – “helper” (and a helper was what the disciples needed). This goes for each and every known copy.
6. The word "periklytos" also never is mentioned in quotationd from the Bible one finds in some 30000 other old manuscripts and fragments.
7. Beside: How could it be possible to falsify – as Islam claims – the same word the same way in hundreds and thousands of manuscripts – and how to find each and every “periklytos” in each and every of the many different manuscripts – spread over all those countries? – and on top of all: In a time with little travel and hardly any media!? Islam has a tough job proving

their claim – and remember: It is the ones making claims that have to prove them, not others to disprove it. This often is forgotten when Muslims throw loose claims and statements around.

8. And: How do you erase the word "parakletos" from an old scripture in a way not possible for modern science to see? And how do you find a new ink so similar that modern chemistry cannot find a difference? And how do you falsify the handwriting of "periklytos" in each and every of so many thousands of scriptures - and remember there were MANY more than today, because the falsifiers had to falsify absolutely everyone that existed because they could not know which ones that would survive till today - so perfectly that modern graphologists are unable to see the falsifications? - even if you only take the manuscripts and fragments older than 325 AD (= Nicaea) Islam will need a new H. C. Andersen or von Muhchausen to explain this.
9. Muslims tries to explain that it could not be a question of the Holy Spirit, because the Holy Spirit already was present. And the Holy Spirit was present or visited Jesus. But it was not part of the disciples – and that was what happened at Whitsun according to the Bible: They each got personal contact with the Spirit, and that is quite a change of a situation.
10. Muslims also say that as two different names for the Spirit is used (the Spirit of Truth and the Holy Spirit (you actually also have the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of God (1. Mos. 1/2) and only the Spirit)) it proves that John does not mean the Holy Spirit, when he uses the name “the Spirit of truth” – “the Spirit of truth” must mean the Muhammad that lies to his followers in the Quran (“miracles will make no-one believe”, f. ex.) and advises his people to use al-Taqiyya or even break

their oaths if that gives a better result. In addition to all the other wrong logic here, this claim is just as logical as to claim that the 99 names of Allah means there are 99 different gods, or the 5-6 or more names of Muhammad means there were 5-6 or more of him. The spirit simply is named by different names – and in addition it is absolutely clear that in the whole Bible there only is one spirit with a special connection to Yahweh.

11. There only is one conclusion – the conclusion science has made long ago – possible to make from this: This Islamic claim – like many others – either is a lie (an al-Taqiyya?) or wishful thinking. And still “the raisin in the sausage” is not mentioned:
12. **Jesus promised his disciples a helper – a parakletos. If he had meant Muhammad, how could Muhammad be their helper when they were all dead 500 years before he was even born??**

Wishful thinking? – or a bluff? – or a lie/al-Taqiyya? At least science long ago has proved from the old manuscripts that it is not true – the Bible never was falsified on this point either. (But Islam HAS to find him somewhere there, if not the Quran is wrong on this for Islam very essential point). Also see 7/157.

(We should mention that also the apocryphal (made up) “Gospel of Barnabas” sometimes is used as an argument, because there Muhammad is clearly mentioned (no surprise if the theory that it is made at the caliph's court in Bagdad is correct). The sorry fact, though, is that a made up gospel is a made up gospel (there are a number of them) – and it tells something about Islam's lack of arguments that they continue to insist that may be it is not made up, and therefore is a proof for Muhammad, when science is unanimous: It is one of the false ones. The only thing the “Gospel of Barnabas” in reality proves, is that Islam has no real documentation for their claim that Muhammad is mentioned in the NT, as they have to resort to that kind of argumentation).

But the most solid proof for that the Bible is not falsified, comes from Islam itself. If they had found one single solid proof for falsification of the Bible among all the many thousands of old manuscripts that exist THEY HAD SCREAMED TO HOLY HEAVEN ABOUT IT – and no-one has till now heard such a scream – not even after 1400 years!!!.

But one question that was very central to Muhammad was: Was Jesus the son of God?

029 61/14: “- - - said Jesus - - - to the Disciples, ‘ Who will be my helpers to (the work of) Allah?’” See 61/6 + 61/6 (2 pieces). Similar claim in 3/52.

And of course they – also here according to the Quran - were good Muslims.

030 72/3: "He (Allah*) has taken neither a wife nor a son." As for a wife: See 6/101 in this chapter. As for son: The Quran is up against the fact that Jesus himself often called Yahweh his father and he himself the son of God - - - and against the fact that in spite of all the Quran's undocumented claims that the Bible is falsified, science very clearly had found that the Bible is not falsified - a find that is 100% confirmed by Islam's silence in the question of proving the claims (had they found one single such proof, they had told about it to all and everyone often and in big letters.

031 112/3 "He (Allah*) begettet not - - -." See f. ex. 3/59, 6/101, and 72/3 in this chapter.

4. The death and disappearance of Jesus:

032 2/136: "We (Allah*) make no difference between one or another of them (prophets*) - - -". There is one distinction which at least Yahweh makes: Between real and false prophets. The criterion for being a real prophet, is that you make prophesies – and that the prophesies come true. If not he is a false prophet (5. Mos. 18/21). Muhammad made during all his life not one real prophesy. (There were a few sayings that were remembered because they happened to become more or less true – the others were forgotten like normal in such cases – but no real prophesies. He never – no place in the Quran and hardly in any of all the Hadiths – even claimed to have the gift of making prophesies). Was he then really a prophet – or did he simply "borrow" an impressive title? He simply was not a prophet. A messenger for someone or something perhaps – or an apostle, but no prophet. But if either the Quran or the Bible or both speak the truth concerning this, Jesus clearly was. The Quran, though, reduces Jesus as much as possible, and simply skips the question of Muhammad's right to the title – **the book often treats things for a fact without the slightest proof or documentation.**

Finally there is the death and resurrection of Jesus. If the resurrection really happened, Jesus clearly was at least one division higher up than Muhammad. So according to the Quran it did not happen:

033 4/156: "- - - they uttered against Mary a grave false charge (that Jesus was crucified and dead)". There were so many witnesses, included many that knew Jesus, and included so many that hated him and definitely had made revolt if he was not executed – the Jewish clergy and scholars were powerful - that this charge was definitely not false. If Islam says something else, they will have to provide good proofs, not only bring forth lofty statements taken out of thin air 600 years later. Because that is all the Quran has got to offer: A few lofty statements backed by nothing - no proofs and not even any indicia indicating that all those witnesses - and the rulers and the hateful Jewish clergy - were wrong. Words are very cheap - - - and the only fact Islam can produce is that neither Muhammad nor Islam can accept that Jesus died and was resurrected - in that case he clearly was a greater prophet and/or had closer connections to the god than Muhammad, and that is taboo for Muslims. It simply is unacceptable.

*034 4/157: "- but they killed him not, nor crucified him, but so it was made to appear for them - - -". See 4/156. In addition: If no one else made sure that it was no impostor and that the killing really took place, the angry and spiteful Jewish clergy and their helpers would see to that. This claim is made up by someone that could not accept that Muhammad was not the greatest prophet (even though Muhammad in reality was not really a prophet – he did not have the gift of being able to make prophesies). If Islam wants to say something else, they

will have a lot of explanation and proving to do - this even more so as the Quran always demands proofs for what non-Muslims say about their religion, but it NEVER itself offers any real proofs for Islam or Allah. In spite of all the “signs” it boasts of, not one single of those “signs” - with the possible exception of some taken from the Bible - proves any god at all, and definitely not one single one proves anything about Allah or the teachings of Muhammad. Words are very cheap, and there is not one single of those “signs” that can not as well and as easy be used by priests or believers or prophets of other religions: Manito did this, Thor did that, Kali made something, Osiris something else, Baal created the Earth, and al-Uzza is great. Islam always only claims that Allah did this and this and that this is a “sign” or a “proof” for Allah. But they NEVER prove that it really was Allah that did this and this. Because of this each and every such “sign” and “proof” are intuitively and logically and even judicially invalid as an indication or a proof – and for the same reason any priest in any religion can say exactly the same valueless words about his god(s). The claims are totally invalid as indications or indicia, not to mention as proofs. There is not one single valid proof for Allah or for the teachings of Muhammad anywhere - - - or for that Jesus was not crucified and died. And was resurrected.

Similar claims f. ex in. 4/156 (above).

No matter – it is reckoned as a fact that Jesus really was a historical person (he shall f. ex. have been mentioned by Josephus Flavius – a Jew not too well liked by his contemporaries because he was too good friends with the Romans, but respected today as a reliable writer of his contemporary history). Jesus was heard and seen by so many after his death and resurrection, that it is possible the story may be true – that he really existed after his execution.

But he was never heard or seen after his final farewell to his disciples. Which Islam explains with that he was taken up to Heaven by the god.

And honestly: If the god took him up to himself bodily and alive, like some Muslims explains this seemingly fact with, that is as good a proof for his connection to something supernatural as anything else.

5. The Holy Spirit and the trinity.

It is very clear from the Bible that there only is one spirit with this special relationship to Yahweh, but it is known under at least 5 names: "The Holy Spirit", "The Holy Ghost", "The Spirit of God (Yahweh*)", "The Spirit of Truth", and only "The Spirit" (Just like Allah according to the Quran has 99 names and still only is one and the same god, and Muhammed has half a dozen names and still is one and the same man).

035 5/17: "In blasphemy indeed are those that say that Allah is Christ." Muhammad had troubles with understanding the trinity. No Christian believes that Yahweh = Jesus or Jesus = Yahweh.

037 5/73: "They do blaspheme who say: Allah is one of a Trinity." To a degree the Quran may be right here - though "blaspheme" may be a too strong word. The word "trinity" or similar is never used in the Bible, and the dogma of the trinity is a dogma made by humans. On the other hand there is no doubt that according to the Bible Jesus is more than a human and the Holy Spirit also is something supernatural, and that these two + Yahweh are closely connected.

039 5/116: "O Jesus the son of Mary! Didst thou say unto men, 'Worship me and my mother as gods - - -?'" Muhammad never understood the trinity - he believed it consisted of the god + Jesus + Mary. In reality it consists of Yahweh + Jesus + The Holy Spirit. Right or wrong any god had known this - then who made the Quran.

040 "Believe in Allah and his apostles and say not three." See 5/73 in this chapter.

NB: If you find any mistakes anywhere, please inform us. If it is a real mistake, it will be corrected

PART IV, CHAPTER 1, (= IV-1-0-0)

JIHAD - HOLY WAR (THOUGH MOSTLY UNHOLY WAR FOR RICHES, BOOTY, SLAVES, POWER AND THE SPREADING OF ISLAM) - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

INCITEMENTS AND ORDERS TO WAGE JIHAD - "HOLY WAR" IN THE QURAN.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Also see chapters "Muslims are better", "Make no friends with non-Muslims", and "Incitement to dislike, hate and suppression". This chapter overlap with those, but the others are about promotion of general dislike, distance from others and own superiority - making an "enemy-picture" - whereas this chapter mainly is about the direct incitement and motivation for war.

For some men power and riches (as a goal in itself or as a means to more power) and sometimes women, have been the essence of life – the only value in life. If they are cold enough, scheming enough, and inhuman enough or clean psychopaths, they go for it with any means – any means at all. The price and cost for other humans means nothing as long as they themselves gain power, etc. There have been millions of such men – and some women – through history. Mostly small gang leaders, robber barons, warlords, etc. – sometimes backed by an ideology/religion and sometimes not, but very often by an “enemy picture” of “we good against them bad” impressed on the “foot soldiers” of the leader. Sometimes there have been a good reason for an enemy picture, but ever so often it was – and is – artificially impressed on the underlings, because warriors/soldiers are more willing to fight, fight harder, and are more willing to inhumanities and atrocities – augmenting the chances for victory and for more power for the leader – if the “enemies” are bad and preferably detestable and sub-human. The very best is if your enemy is something disgusting, de-humanized and to be hated. And by the way: Possibilities for personal gain and riches for your warriors is an extra motivation for them – especially for the less human of them.

In the process of priming your subjects and underlings for wars of attack and aggression and the inhumanities that are parts of such raids and war, there are several steps. The main ones are to make a distance between your group and other groups, and then to make the other group or groups look like enemies, preferably because of some faults of theirs, and then further to make the distaste and then the hate for the “enemy” strong enough so that to use the inhumanities of terror and war against him are “just” and “right”, and finally there is to build up the motivation for actually attacking the “enemy” – raids and wars after all have its dangers for the warriors. Robbing, raping and free enslavement are good motivations for primitive souls unable of feeling empathy with the victims.

This receipt is universal – but the “foot soldiers” or “- warriors” and “the blind masses” time and time again are unable to see the strategy and the manipulation. That is the fact today and that was the fact yesterday: The manipulation works – you have to outwit or kill or imprison some opponents, but then the road to power is open. The strategy works again and again and again for charismatic leaders that are cold enough, scheming enough, psychopathic enough – the gang leader, the warlord, the aggressive king. And mostly the “useful idiots” do not look through the “mirage” - - - or they do not want to see the reality and the real truth, because they, too, have gains from what is going on. They simply may be parts of the “pyramid of power” or “pyramid of gains” (often money) or “pyramid of honour and respectability” (often the cheapest pyramid for the leader/dictator, except that promises of religious gains may be even cheaper and far stronger for him, if religion is included).

Then sometime giants emerges – the cold or psychopathic genius, or sometime a semi genius with luck. And as it is much easier to destroy than to build, a number of the “most influential men” in history, were – and are – destructors and leaders of destructive forces. Alexander the Great (though the aftermaths of his conquests were not only bad), Attila the Hun, Muhammad, Gjingis Khan, The Zulu kind Shaka (or Chaka), Timur Lenk/Tamerlane, Hitler, Stalin, Mao, Pol Pot, to mention some. Most of the most "successful" ones were backed by an ideology – call it a religion or call is Nazism or Fascism, or Communism, the name does not really matter to a cold leader as long as it backs him up. Though a religion is better than other ideologies, because promises of rewards in the next life cost the leader exactly nothing except some cheap words – like many a self proclaimed “prophet” and other religious or “religious” leaders have found out - and it often is very efficient.

Especially Nazism and Djingis Khan are relevant to mention, as the fundamental ways of thinking and the ideology behind them are rather similar to Islam’s. Djingis Khan and his Mongols are extra relevant, partly as their religion to a large degree was built on the same kinds of thoughts as Islam, but not less because these two political religious powers met in war. In the start the Muslims lost – and the Mongols treated them the same way Muslims had treated their own victims. But whereas Muslims being murderous robbers and suppressors = heroes according to Islam, Mongols doing just the same to Muslims were inhuman devils. But then Mongols became Muslims. They still behaved in the same totally ruthless and inhuman way, but now – wonder over all wonders – they had became big heroes, because now they did it against the sub-human “infidels”.

The Quran itself proves 100% is not made by a wise god – not to mention by an omniscient one: No god had made a book with that many mistakes, contradictions, twisted arguments and even more wrong and invalid logic - not to mention kept it in his own heaven and home to revere it as “the Mother Book”, like Islam and the Quran itself claims (as normal without documentation). And if there were some supernatural forces – a minor god or whatever -

involved, they were not good forces: The religion as you find it in the Quran is far too inhuman, immoral, brutal and bloody (just read the some 22 – 24 surahs from Medina – and as they are the youngest, they on top of all are the ones that counts, according to Islam's rule of abrogation (= to make older verses invalid if there are collisions")).

One theory is that the Quran is made by dark forces – f. ex. a devil in disguise (Muhammad would have no chance to see through the Devil dressed up like Gabriel f. ex.). The religion – and especially the diabolic and discriminating, brutal and bloody war religion that emerges from the surahs from Medina – may give food to such thoughts and suspicions. But we ourselves honestly do not believe so, as not even a devil would be imbecile and stupid enough to make a book with that many mistakes, knowing it would be looked through sooner or later and all credibility lost – it in case had to be a small one wanting to prove himself and throw his weight around in a small corner of the universe.

But Muhammad was a man who wanted power. This is easy to see from the way he glues himself to the god and the religion – his platform of power - and also from the way he makes a distance between himself and the common Muslims/people. If you read the surahs in the Quran in chronological order, and from the point of view that may be this was written by someone or some ones going all out to get power no matter what price others had to pay, you will see how well it fits the pattern, and how psychologically cleverly it is done – like the proverbial hand in the glove and even better. Just read and see for yourself! Remember that many of the mistakes that are easy for educated people today to see, Arabs of that time did not have the knowledge to know were wrong.

Below we include some of the incitements to become ferocious and merciless warriors – you may find more ones. And remember that the verses about bad or detestable non-Muslims and about Muslims being better and their religion being superior and all other religions no good and consequently that believers of those religions are sub-humans, all these argue the same way as these incitements for war – they only are on different places on the psychological ladder of brainwashing and incitements for war.

Year 614 – 618 AD. Surah 42 (medico Mecca):

00a 42/39: “- - - those who, when an oppressive wrong is inflicted on them, (are not cowed but) help themselves.” Self defence was permitted (actually that was a self-evident part of old Arab culture).

Year 615 – 617 AD. Surah 37 (medio Mecca):

00b 37/173: “- - - And that Our (Allah's) forces – they surely must conquer.” This is in a tale about messengers, and seems to talk about mental and intellectual fighting. At this time Muhammad also had not started using weapons as a means – he was not powerful enough, and his religion preached peace. But you bet it has been uses as a pep-talk to warriors through the centuries.

Year unknown, but most likely Mecca. Surah 100:

00c 100/5: “By the (steeds) that run, with panting (breath), and strike sparks of fire, and push home the charge in the morning, and rise the dust in the clouds the while, and penetrate forthwith into the (midst of the foe) an masse - - -.” Muslim scholars are sure this verse is not

about real war, but figuratively meant – though they do not agree on the exact meaning (“The Message of the Quran”, note 2 to this surah). But good verses for romancing war and battles anyhow.

Year 621 AD. Surah 7 (late Mecca):

00d 7/28: “Allah never commands you to anything shameful - - -.” This originally was said in a peaceful context – as quite normal for the Mecca period. But it was and is a good argument for anything a leader wants done – f. ex. terrorism. We also should remark that it is very wrong.

Year 622 AD. Surah 47 (the first partly in Medina?):

001 47/4a: “Therefore, when ye meet the Unbelievers (in fight), smite at their necks - - -.” A clear order.

002 47/4b: “But those who are slain in the way of Allah - He (Allah*) will never let their deeds be lost.” Make war and go to Paradise.

003 47/5: “Soon will He (Allah*) guide them (Muslims slain for Allah/Muhammad*) and improve their conditions, And admit them to the Garden which He has announced for them”. Vague promises - it can of course be no less than Paradise, but you will find much more epic - and lyric - descriptions not much later. Allah quickly had found a good receipt - or perhaps Muhammad. Nice promises for the warriors and cheap promises for Muhammad.

004 47/7: “O ye who believe! If ye will aid (from the context it is clear it means in war*) (the cause of) Allah, He will aid you, and plant your feet firmly.” A strengthening of the morality of Muhammad's warriors.

005 47/21: “- - - it were best for them (the ones not strong in belief*) if they were true to Allah (= war).” That also is best also for you.

006 47/35: “Be not fainthearted (when fighting in war*) - - -”. A clear message.

007 47/38: Do not be niggardly when you spend “in the Way of Allah” – included for helping financing wars. Then and today – and in the future. A nice verse for terrorists needing money for their deeds.

Year 622 - 624 AD. Surah 2 (early Medina):

008 2/154: “And say not of those who are slain in the way of Allah: ‘They are dead’. Nay, they are living (and enjoy a luxury life with lots of sex in Paradise*) - - -.” Go to war – the worst that can happen is a quick way to a royal life with a royal harem. (The possibility of becoming an invalid is never mentioned - never).

009 2/191: “- - - tumult (from non-Muslim underlings against Muslims*) and suppression (of Muslims*) are worse than slaughter (of non-Muslims*) - - -.” Plain speech: Kill the non-Muslims if they want to (stay in) ruling positions or try to suppress you. (The just situation is that Muslims shall rule and non-Muslims be suppressed underlings, according to the Quran - a future for the world?). Kill them if they will not let you be the rulers.

010 2/193: “And fight them (non-Muslims*) until there is no more tumult or oppression (a state not obeying sharia – Muslim – laws, or a state prohibiting hijab, etc. according to many Muslims are oppressing and suppressing Muslims and Islam*), and there prevail justice and faith in Allah”. See 2/191 just above.

011 2/216a: “Fighting is prescribed for you - - -.” A more direct verbal incitement is difficult to find, unless it is accompanied by threats and/or promises of wealth, power/status and women – in this or the next life.

012 2/216b: “- - - it is possible that ye dislike a thing (fighting*) which is good for you - - -.” Go to war – it is good for you whether you like it or not (implicit meaning; at least Allah will favour you in the next life.) Incitement with added incentive of looting, etc.

013 2/216c: “- - - Allah knoweth (what is good for you and whether you went to war or not*) - - -”. Incentive for war with added threat of punishment in the next life if not.

014 2/217: “Tumult and oppression is worse than slaughter.” See 2/191 above.

015 2/218: “- - - those who suffered exile and fought - - - in the path of Allah – they have the hope of the Mercy of Allah - - -.” Fight and be favoured by Allah. (This verse refers to a special situation. But like in so many other cases, Islam extrapolates the meaning and the value of things that are said. Islam has few general rules given by the god, and therefore to a large degree has to rely on such extrapolations of similar happenings or sayings – one more indication on that the religion is not planned and made by an omniscient god. Such a god for one thing had planned better and had given advice before things happened instead of having to sort things out afterwards, and he had informed also in general ways, instead of forcing his subordinates to guess most of the time – “this may be the correct thing to do, because in a not too different case Muhammad said this and this”.)

016 2/244: “Then fight in the cause of Allah, and know that Allah heareth and knoweth all things.” Incitement + hint about reward for war and hint about punishment if you do not go to war.

017 2/245: “Who is he that will loan Allah a beautiful loan, which Allah will double unto his credit and multiply many times?” In the Quran the expression “loan Allah a beautiful loan” normally means to risk your life – or loose it – in war, but sometimes it also may mean to give money to Muhammad, mainly for war purposes. In both cases no repayment is promised in this world – only in the next. A most cheap way in this world for Muhammad to finance his wars and get willing warriors – especially if the religion is made up and Allah does not exist or if there is a god, but different from the god you meet in the Quran, and who consequently is not bound by Muhammad’s words.

018 2/249: “How oft, by Allah’s will, hath a small force vanquished a big one? Allah is with those who steadfastly persevere.” May be not exactly an incitement, but quite a pep-talk.

019 2/251a: “By Allah’s will they (the Jews) routed them (the Philistines); and David slew Goliath and his forces”. A story borrowed from the Bible - with a twist - used as pep talk for Muslim warriors - telling it was their own god, Allah, that did the work.

020 2/251b: “And did not Allah check one set of people by means of another, the earth would be full of mischief - - -.” In addition to the religion (and the loot), there are other "rationale" reasons for why Allah and Muhammad calls you to go to war. We should point to that there are other ways for an omniscient god to run a world peacefully.

021 2/262: “Those who spend their substance in the cause of Allah (mainly financing the spreading or consolidation of the religion or – mostly in that time – financing war*) - - - for them their reward is with their Lord (Allah*) - - -.” At least paying back only with such words was a very cheap way for Muhammad to finance his wars and the growth of his power. If there then is a god – or a devil – repaying in the next world, the givers got their money’s value in their next life. If not one have to quote Muhammad in Ibn Ishaq “Life of the Prophet”: “War is betrayal” - - - also behind the lines.

022 2/265: “And the likeness of those who spend their substance, seeking to please Allah (the best is to spend it for financing war or for spreading or consolidating Islam in other ways*), is a garden, high and fertile: heavy rain falls on it but makes its yield a double increase of harvest - - - Allah seeth whatever we do.” Financing war is half the war – also here incitements are valuable.

Year: Mainly 624 AD. Surah 8:

023 8/12: “I (Allah*) will instil terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers: smite ye above their necks and smite all their fingertips off them (making them unable to use a bow*) - - -.” A good incitement to the warriors.

But is this really “The god of Peace” heading “the Religion of Peace”? To call this religion “the Religion of Peace” is an insult to the world – and the reason why the world does not laugh at the claim, is lack of knowledge.

024 8/16: “If any (Muslim warrior*) turns his back on them (the enemy*) on such a day (during battle*) - - - he draws on himself the wrath of Allah, and his abode is Hell - - -.” Make battle and win and most likely go to Paradise. Make battle and be killed and be sure to go to Paradise. Make battle and flee and go to Hell. What choice do a believer in Islam really have? It is possible to find a stronger incitement to go on fighting for a really religious person belonging to this “Religion of Peace”?

025 8/24: “Oh ye who believe” Give your response to Allah and His Prophet, when He calleth you to that which will give you life - - -.” To follow the call for war, will give you a beautiful next life – - - and Muhammad a cheap and committed warrior.

026 8/39: “And fight with them (the Unbelievers who will not convert to Islam*) on until there is no more tumult or oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere”. Comments should be unnecessary. **Fight war till Islam dominates everywhere. An order and an incitement.**

027 8/40: “If they (the enemy*) refuse (to stop fighting – and remember that for hundreds of years the Muslims mostly were the aggressors*), be sure that Allah is your Protector - - -.” Allah helps you in any fight against “infidels”.

028 8/41: “And know that out of all the booty that ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah (/Muhammad*) - - -.” Which means that 80% is for the warriors and leaders in the war – **an economical incentive that for many a poor man counted much more than the religion – war and terror = good business.** Many became well-to-do, many became rich, and some became very rich – and were dream models for new generations of robber warriors and robber barons. But Muslims and Islam never mentions the cost in destruction and destroyed lives that was the price many had to pay for this unjust prosperity of the robbers and destroyers. It frequently took (the surviving) locals 200 years and more just to regain their standard of life, not to mention freedom. The warriors of the good and benevolent god of “the Religion of Peace” frequently mass murdered and massacred and enslaved “en gross” – and stole everything. Jerusalem f. ex. got a hunger catastrophe after being occupied in 638 AD - the Muslims stole everything, included the food.

029 8/44: “And remember when ye met (in battle at Badr*), He (Allah*) showed them to you as few in your eyes, and He made you appear as contemptible in their eyes - - -.” Remember – because this Allah may do the next time, too, and you will win like at Badr. Comforting to know.

030 8/45: “When ye meet a force, be firm - - -.” Order and pep-talk.

031 8/60b: “Whatever (money, time, your helth, or your life*) ye shall spend in the Cause of Allah, shall be repaid unto you, and ye shall not be treated unjustly”. A good deal. (But for whom? – that depends on if Islam is a made up religion or not.)

032 8/65a: “O Prophet! (Allah says*) Rouse the Believers to the fight.” Some words for a presumably good and peaceful god. And some task for a god and perfect kind man representing a religion insisted to be a good, benevolent and peaceful one. And terrorism is war, they say.

033 8/65b: “If there are twenty amongst you (Muslim warriors*), patient and persevering (this - to be patient and persevering - is impressed and impressed on Muslim warriors and terrorists - a fact non-Muslims tends not to know or to forget*), they will vanquish two hundred (non-Muslims*), if a hundred, they will vanquish a thousand of the Unbelievers: for these are people without understanding”. A good pep talk, at lest to blind believers. But the Quran is right on one point: Non-Muslims tends not to understand the fanatic and fatalistic way of thinking impressed on Muslim warriors and terrorists - they go on and on and refuse to give in. Something to remember for all Islam’s “enemies”, too.

034 8/66a: “- - - but (even so (even if Allah has lightened the fight for you - by sending angle warriors?*)) if there are a hundred of you, they will vanquish two hundred, and if a thousand, they will vanquish two thousand, with the leave of Allah - - -”. Another pep talk, and somewhat more realistic than 8/65. Besides: If you loose, know that is was not the enemy that was too strong for you, but Allah in his unfathomable wisdom who wanted it like that. (And Allah always has a good reason leading to a final victory). But why cannot an omnipotent god just decide how he wants things to be and make it like that? Why do a presumably good and kind and loving god have to let humans live through so much blood and murder and hate and rape and misery? Something in the Quran just does not add up.

035 8/66b: “- - - for Allah is with those who patiently persevere.” This is a pep talk all non-Muslims should forever remember - fanatic Muslim warriors never give in and never stop.

And also: How many soldiers in the future did Spain kill by pulling out their few men from the East, and proving to Muslims just these words that are repeated and repeated in the Quran? - a proof that now may be strengthened by Australia pulling out.

But a good and efficient pep-talk for Muslim warriors? Yes.

*****036 8/69: “But (now) enjoy what ye took in war, lawful and good - -”. **This is one more of the moral and ethical pinnacles in the Quran: Wage war, and then it is “lawful and good” to steal and rob and plunder - and rape and take slaves. Go to war and steal and enslave and murder yourself rich – and rape and rob and enjoy life to the pleasure of Allah – the good and benevolent god of “the Religion of Peace”. One of the strongest incitements Muhammad had for making poor and virile men – young and not young - go to war.**

037 8/73: “The Unbelievers are protectors of one another: unless ye do this (protect each other) there would be tumult and oppression on earth, and great mischief”. The outsiders are against you – stick together and resist them.

038 8/74: “Those who believe, and adopt exile, and fight for the Faith, in the cause of Allah as well as those who give (them) asylum and aid – these are (all) in very truth the Believers - - - .” A good verse also for terrorists.

039 8/75: “And those who accept Faith subsequently, and adopt exile, and fight for the Faith in your company – they are of you.” And if you do the same and go to war for Muhammad, you are of them and a good Muslim.

Year 624 – 625 AD. Surah 59:

040 59/6: “What Allah has bestowed on His Messenger (and taken away from them (the victims*)) – for this ye made no expedition with either cavalry or camelery - - -.” All spoils of war were for Muhammad (nominally Allah), when the victims gave in without a fight.

041 59/7: “What Allah has bestowed on His Messenger (and taken away) from the people of the townships (the victims*), belongs to Allah - - “. See 59/6 just above

042 59/13: “Of a truth ye (Muslim warriors*) are stronger (than they (the “infidel” Jews in this case*)) because of the terror in their hearts, (sent) by Allah.” It is good psychology that your warriors believe the enemy is weak and also that the enemy is frightened. This claim that non-Muslims have a terror or an illness “in their hearts” often is repeated by Muhammad – partly as pep-talk to his warriors, and partly to stigmatize non-Muslims as low quality in the eyes of all his followers.

Year: Likely 625 AD, though a little 631 AD. Surah 3:

043 3/122: “Remember two of your parties (from the clan Banu Salamah of the tribe Al-Aws, and from the clan Harithah of the tribe Khazraj*) mediated cowardice (just before the battle of Uhud in 625 AD*); but Allah was their protector, and in Allah should the Faithful (ever) put their trust”. A mighty example and pep-talk forever after. (That these parts did not desert Muhammad before the battle, might have meant the difference between a battle the Muslims in reality lost, but at a price that made Mecca withdraw, and a military catastrophe.)

044 3/124: “(In the battle of Uhud Allah helped*) you with three thousand angels (specially sent down”. And then it is no danger to go to war, as Allah is likely to help Muslims that way in later battles, too.

045 3/125: “Yea – if you remain firm - - - your Lord (Allah*) would help you with five thousand angels making a terrific onslaught”. Go to war in the secure knowledge that Allah sends large battalions of soldiering angels fighting beside you. It is not explained why an omnipotent god had/has to send angles and by the thousands to strain your enemy’s forces to give you a better chance to win. But a mighty pep-talk for believers – especially the uneducated and/or naïve ones.

046 3/142: “Did ye think that ye would enter Heaven without Allah testing those of you who fought hard (in His cause) and remained steadfast?” You can come to heaven without being a warrior. But for one thing it is much easier for warriors – and a sure way if you are killed – and for another thing; if you were a brave warrior in this life, you ended in the best parts of Paradise with most luxury, plenty of women (Islam is mainly occupied with men) – and closer to Allah. Mighty incitements. But why does an omniscient god have to test his followers to find out what they are worth?

047 3/146: “And Allah loves those who are firm and steadfast (in battle*)”. Another mighty incitement to primitive warriors – and terrorists - with strong belief.

048 3/148: “And Allah gave them (and will consequently give you if you fight bravely*) a reward in this world (loot and may be women, slaves and power*), and the excellent reward of the Hereafter.” The same strong incitements in new wrapping. Riches, women and Paradise – no wonder primitive or simpleminded men flocked – and flock - to his armies, raids and terrorist groups.

049 3/151: “Soon shall We (Allah*) cast terror into the heart of the unbelievers - - -.” Of course. One more good pep-talk.

050 3/154: “Even if you had remained in your homes, those for whom death was decreed would certainly have gone forth to the place of their death (anyhow*).” **This is one of the points where Muhammad knew he was lying.** It is so obviously wrong, that an intelligent man like him knew this was not true. But he was a clever manipulator (“is it more worth that I live among you, and spend the booty on the people not sure in their belief to make them stay in Islam?” f. ex.) and he understood people. Lies like this worked because his followers were primitive and in addition wanted to believe. And for primitive, uneducated souls really believing mish-mash like this (it is easy to prove by statistics that it is untrue, if one are un-intelligent enough not to see at once that it is a lie) it was a mighty incitement – fighting in battles was not dangerous, because Allah had decided when you were to die, and at that time you would die whether you were in a battle or sleeping in your bed. But it is worth noticing that even today this is the official point of view of Islam. To quote footnote 119 to this surah in “The Message of the Quran”:

“(The) incorrect, heathen belief that humans by acting in special ways can avoid death (even for a time*)”.

051 3/155: “Those who turned their back (in the battle of Uhud*) - - - it was Satan who caused them to fail - - -.” And you are not going to obey Satan in your next skirmish or battle?

052 3/156: “Be not like the unbelievers, who say of their brethren - - -: ‘If they had stayed with us, they would not have died or been slain’”. A clear message: Be of better quality and go to war.

053 3/157: “And if ye are slain, or die, in the way of Allah, forgiveness and mercy from Allah are far better than all they could amass (of loot*)”. Why be afraid of war? – the best thing that can happen to you, is to be killed for Allah and Muhammad. So there is no reason not to go to war – on the contrary it is the best for you: Loot in this world and/or Paradise in the next.

054 3/158: “And if ye die, or are slain, lo! It is unto Allah that ye are brought together.” See 3/157 just above.

055 3/165: “What! When a single disaster smites you, although ye smote (your enemies) with one twice as great, do ye say, ‘Whence is this?’” Unbelievable! You doubt because of a defeat (Uhud)! Ups and downs are inevitable, and here is a pep-talk against that.

056 3/167a: The hypocrites were no good and refrained from fighting. But you are better?! And you want to make a better impression on Allah?!

057 3/167b: “The Message of the Quran” has this remark to this verse (nr. 128 to this surah): “Only war in self defence – in the widest meaning of the word – can be reckoned to be a fight for the cause of Allah”. But as “the widest meaning of the word is very wide”, each and every war where one part is Muslim and the other not – and most where both parts are Muslims – are in “self defence” or for other reasons are just wars and always are declared jihad, this simply is hypocrisy. All wars, included wars of aggression, and there have been plenty of those through the history, have been declared “jihad” – at least we have not been able to find exceptions from this rule. **Actually for centuries all the four law schools in Islam agreed on that the fact that the opposite part in a conflict were non-Muslims was good enough reason for to attack them and to declare the attack/war for jihad (holy war).** It was not until in the 1920s or 1930s that some Muslim scholars started to question this “law” – and it still only is questioned and only by parts of the Muslims, though nowadays these questions normally makes the Muslim parts, included terrorists, blame the other part so as to give the claim of jihad at least a demagog’s made up reality of being a just war. Very convenient for anyone who needs warriors/soldiers – and a convenient incitement to war: All wars against “infidels” are “jihad” – with permission to rape and steal and murder - - - and guarantee for going to Paradise if you are killed.

058 3/168: “Say, ‘Avert death for yourselves (not good Muslims*) - - -.” Do not mind death for Allah and Muhammad (and his successors) – it is a good way to die. Also see 3/169 just below.

059 3/169: “Do not think of those who are slain in Allah’s way as dead. Nay, they live (remember that Muslims according to the Quran are resurrected bodily*), finding their sustenance in the presence of their Lord (Allah*).” See 3/168 just above.

060 3/170: “They (the ones killed in war*) rejoice in the Bounty of Allah (an Earth-like luxury life + plenty of women – how that life is for the women, is of no consequence*) - - - (and*) the (martyrs) (all Muslims killed in war, are martyrs, no matter if it is a war of aggression or not, or simply a raid for money and slaves – Muhammad made many such ones*) glory in the fact that on them is no fear, nor have they (cause to) grieve.”

Psychologically a very good way for a leader to tackle and to prepare his followers for the fact that some warriors were going to die in the wars. There were little cause for sorrow and little cause for blaming the leader.

061 3/172: “Of those who answered the call of Allah and the Messenger even after being wounded, those who do right and refrain from wrong have a great reward.” Muhammad was good at pep-talks – he clearly understood people and how to manipulate them.

062 3/174: “And they (brave warriors*) returned with Grace and Bounty from Allah - - -.” Merit in heaven for the fighting and loot from the vanquished victims. You should do the same! The terrible price the victims had to pay, was of no consequence - the religion and the culture was (ans is?) at an ethical, moral and cultural level that made (make?) its followers unable to see such facts - or not developed or civilized enough to care or even think about it. Just think about the many murderous Muslim heroes f. ex. in Sind and in Africa. They are shining heroes even today.

063 3/195: “- - - those who have - - - fought or been slain – verily, I (Allah*) will blot out from them their iniquities, and admit them into Gardens - - -.” **An unbeatable and cheap incitement for recruiting real believers to war: Be killed in war and go directly to Paradise no matter what great a sinner you have been before.**

Year 625 – 626 AD, surah 61:

064 61/4: “Allah truly loves those who fight in His Cause in battle arrays as if they were a solid cemented structure.” A mighty incitement and war propaganda mixed with romancing of war – and everyone at this time knew about spoils of war and slaves and free women to rape, etc.

065 61/11: “That ye believe in Allah and His Messenger, and that ye strive (your outmost) in the cause of Allah, with your property and your persons: that will be best for you, if ye but knew!” War agitation. The real “Religion of Peace.”

066 61/12: “(If you go to war/are killed for Muhammad*) He (Allah*) will forgive you your sins, and admit you to Gardens beneath which rivers flow, and to beautiful mansions in Gardens of eternity - - -.” There once was a cheap book named “All this and Heaven too”. It is similar here: all the rape and stealing and slaves you can manage – and for those good, benevolent deeds for your as benevolent god: The Paradise with more luxury and more women. Nice and attractive for naïve, poor and virile – not to say virulent – uncivilised young and not young men.

067 61/13: “(And in war you will get*) help from Allah and a speedy victory.” See 61/12 just above – and in addition you will not have to fight much, for the victory will be easy. Yes, the religion of Peace*.

Year 625 (627?) – 628 AD (not likely before 627 – Battle of the Trench). Surah 33.

068 33/16: “Running away will not profit you, if you are running away from death or slaughter (war*); and even if (ye do escape), no more than a brief (respite) will ye be allowed to enjoy!” Allah has decided when you are to die – according to Hadiths that happens 5

months before you are born. Because of that there is no reason to flee from battle – you will not live any longer (or shorter) anyhow.

069 33/19: “Such men (who do not want to fight*) have no faith - - -.” – and are not good. You of course are better?

070 33/22: When the really believing Muslims in Medina saw the attacking force in 627 AD (Battle of the Trench) “it only added to their faith and their zeal in obedience”. Tales of heroism induces lust for heroism – a psychologically right story.

Year 626 mainly. Surah 4.

071 4/74: “To him who fightet in the cause of Allah – whether he is slain or gets victory – soon shall We (Allah*) give him a reward of great (value).” Cheap words for Muhammad – especially if Allah was/is a fiction. For all the ones who were killed – or in a way worse; mutilated – we will have to hope that Muhammad told the truth. (But too much in the Quran is not true, and Muhammad was not unknown to lying and even breaking an oath to get the best possible result – and he needed warriors, cheap warriors).

072 4/75: “And why should ye not fight in the cause of Allah and those who, being weak, are ill-treated (and oppressed)? Men, women and children (crying for help and rescue*) - - -.” Muhammad’s version of the glorious hero on the white horse. Another inciting dream.

073 4/76: “- - - fight ye against the friends of Satan - - -”. Of course you want to do that – and of course all non-Muslims are friends of Satan.

074 4/77: “Short is the enjoyment of this world: the Hereafter is best for those who do right - - -.” You may flee from war in this short life – but those who go to war will have a much better next and everlasting life. Become a warrior and fight for Muhammad – and for Allah (if he exists).

075 4/78: “Wherever you are, death will find you, even if ye are in towers built strong and high!” Allah has decided when you are to die. You can as well go to war and do good things for Allah – and get rewards and spoils of war from him – because you will die no sooner and no later all the same. No comments should be necessary.

076 4/84: “Then fight in Allah’s cause - - -.” A clear and direct message.

077 4/91: “- - - if they (your opponents*) withdraw not from you nor give you (guarantees) of peace besides restraining their hands, seize them and slay them whenever ye get them - - -.” A clear and nice order. Not even an incitement, but an order.

078 4/95: “Not equal are those believers who sit (at home) and receive no hurt, and those who strive in the cause of Allah with their goods and their person. Allah hath granted a grad higher to those who strive with their goods and their persons”. Clear words: Go to war or terrorism and end in a better part of Paradise - there are at least 4 different qualities of Gardens there. Incitement “de luxe”.

079 4/95 + 96: “- - - those who strive hath Allah distinguished above those who sit (at home) by a special reward – Ranks specially bestowed by Him (Allah*), and Forgiveness (for

anything if you are killed in battle*) and Mercy.” No doubt who is the best Muslim and what is the best deed in Islam – the warrior and the war are most pleasing to Allah. (To call Islam “The religion of peace” is an insult to the intelligence of everybody that has read the Surahs from Medina.)

080 4/104: “He who forsakes his home in the cause of Allah - - - should he die as a refugee from home for Allah and His (Allah’s) Messenger (Muhammad*), his reward becomes due and sure with Allah - - -.” Die for Muhammad – and for Allah if he exists – and be sure to go to heaven and the (mainly) sensual pleasures and good food there. What more can a primitive – often brutalized from war and his own inhuman deeds – poor, young warrior dream of? Incitement of high class.

081 4/141: “- - - and never will Allah grant to the unbelievers a way (to triumph) over the believers”. Go to war – in the long run you are sure to win.

Year 627 – 629 AD. Surah 33:

082 33/19: “- - - when fear comes, thou (Muslim warrior*) wilt see them looking to thee, their eyes revolving, like (those of) over one whom hovers death: but when the fear is past, they will smite you with sharp tongues, covetous of goods.” They – the bad ones – are no good. You certainly are of better stuff? Pep-talk.

Year 628 AD. Surah 28:

083 28/16: “Ye (Bedouins who had been reluctant to fight before*) shall be summoned to (fight) against a people given to vehement war. (If you then fight bravely*) Allah will grant you a goodly reward - - -.” If you only are willing to fight, forgiving of your old misdeeds are possible.

084 28/22: “If the Unbelievers should fight you, they would certainly turn their backs - - -”. Pep-talk of medium quality.

Year 629 AD. Surah 66:

085 66/9: “O Prophet (Muhammad*)! Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them. Their abode is Hell - - -.” A clear order and a clear explanation why they are sub-human, and thus deserve to die. “Untermench” always are ok to kill – they deserve it. It also is the right of the “Ubermench” to do so – and in the Quran no doubt the Muslims are the “Ubermench”.

Year 630 – 632 AD (not earlier than 630 AD). Surah 57:

086 57/10: “Not equal among you (Muslims*) are those who spent (freely) and fought, before the Victory (conquest of Mecca 630 AD*), (with those who did so later). Those are higher in rank than those who spent (freely) and fought afterwards. But to all has Allah promised a goodly (reward).” Spend money and fight in war for Muhammad (and his successors) and Allah, and Allah will give you a rich reward. Another mighty incitement for getting money and warriors for war. (But does an omniscient and omnipotent god really need war? – he knows everything and can do everything in better ways. The Quran says it is to test you – but does an omniscient god need to test you, when he knows everything and all the answers

before? And can a god really be a good and benevolent one, when the main thing he wants from his underlings is that they behave like devils against each others? – when someone says something, but gives instructions for or does something very different, we believe in the instructions and deeds, not in the cheap words.)

087 57/11: “Who is he that will loan to Allah a beautiful loan (this expression in the Quran normally means to risk or give your life (in war)*)? For (Allah) will increase it manifold to his credit, and he will have (besides) a liberal reward.” A good promise for attracting or pepping up warriors – or perhaps for attracting resources for waging war. A good deal for the warriors and for the givers of resources if the words are true. But if Muhammad is the cold and scheming manipulator going all out for power no matter what the cost will be to others, like he looks like in history, and not the saint religion has made him, the only one that really gained from this, were Muhammad himself plus his co-operators and later his successors. The sobering fact here is that history all too often is more clear-eyed than religion, especially compared to religions built only on blind faith mixed with obvious mistakes and worse like Islam.

088 57/18: “- - - loan to Allah a Beautiful loan - - -.” See 57/11 just above.

Year 631 AD likely. Surah 9:

Verse 9/5 is the famous and infamous “Verse of the Sword”. And as if this verse was not bloody enough, it is strengthened by at least these verses: 2/191, 2/193, 4/91, 8/39, 8/60, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 33/61, 33/73, 47/4, and 66/9. Which means that f. ex. a central verse in the pro-Islamic not always honest (al-Taqiyya? – and Kitman?) propaganda, like verse 2/256: “Let there be no compulsion in religion” is killed and made invalid – abrogated – by at least these 15 different verses (**actually 2/256 is abrogated by at least some 30 harsher verses**).

089 9/5 **“But when the forbidden months are past, then fight and slay the Pagans wherever ye find them, and size them, beleaguer them, and lay in wait for them in every stratagem (of war).”** Not even an incitement, but a clear order. (The old Arabia had 4 months a year when it was forbidden to wage war – though the Muslims at least made one raid during such a month. Islam took over this pagan custom like so many other pagan customs. These months are what “the forbidden months” refer to.

090 9/13: “Will ye (Muslims*) not fight people who violated their oaths (like Muhammad did himself*), plotted to expel the Messenger, and took the aggressive lead by being the first (to assault) you?” The Quran says the best thing is to forgive - - - but why live according to one’s own words? To wage war and gain power for Muhammad and Islam is reality, the words are just words. And if you do not want to go to war, it is incredible! - you then are no good Muslim!!

091 9/16: “Or think that ye (Muslims*) that ye shall be abandoned (by Allah and not be rewarded for going to war*), as though Allah did not know those among you who strive with might and main - - -.” Just go to war – it is sure that Allah will reward you for it (as he is the good and benevolent god of “the Religion of Peace”).

092 9/19a: “Do ye make the giving of drink to pilgrims, or the maintenance of the Sacred Mosque (Kabah in Mecca*), equal to (the pious service of) those who believe in Allah and the

Last Day and strive with their might and mind (wages war - see 9/16 just above*) in the cause of Allah?”

Of course the active warriors – or terrorists - are best. F. ex. the warriors in Darfur and terrorists anywhere think they are doing a pious service by killing and murdering - - and gang raping like in Darfur and other places where it is more like normal wars, not hit and run - and of course stealing and robbing for “good and lawful” reward also in this world.

Yes, a good and human and benevolent religion.

And some future for non-Muslims - one place in the Quran, the warriors are reminded that there are places with rich spoils of war not taken yet. In the West? – or other places? It does not matter – this verse tells once more that the warriors are the best Muslims - - - and spoils of war are tempting.

**110 093 9/19b: “They (Muslims doing good deeds*) are not comparable in the sight of Allah (with the ones killing/waging war for Allah*)”. Terrorists and others using weapons and murdering for Allah, are the best Muslims.

Guess if verses like these do their work on some single-minded or fanatic Muslims. Who said “there are verses in the Quran that can be disused for war and terror”? Wrong: It hardly is possible to be a really pious Muslim without using weapons against non-Muslims.

094 9/20a: “Those who believe, and suffer exile and strive with their might and main (= fight with weapons*), in Allah’s cause, with their goods and their persons (= fighting personally in war or terrorism - “any stratagem of war”*), have the highest rank in the sight of Allah - - -”.

Terrorists and other warriors are doubtlessly the very best Muslims. Become one of them!

095 9/20: “- - - they (terrorists/warriors*) are the people who will achieve (salvation)”. At least the Quran is honest about some things - just like Hitler was in “Mein Kampf” - - - and few did believe Hitler until it was too late.

And not least: It is repeating one of the main incitements for war for Muhammad/Allah.

096 9/21: “Their (terrorists/warriors*) Lord (Allah*) doth give them glad tidings of a Mercy (that terrorists/warriors for Allah are forgiven practically any sin*) from Himself (from Allah personally*), of His good pleasure (for the fighting and murdering they have done for the good and kind god*), and the Gardens for them, wherein are delights (earth-like riches and women*) that endures”. The ultimate pep talk for war, terror and murder? In the name of a presumably peaceful religion and a kind and good god?

097 9/22: “They (terrorists/warriors*) will live therein (in Paradise) for ever. Verily in Allah’s presence is a reward, the greatest (of all)”. Warriors/terrorists are in so high esteem in the eyes of Allah, that they will be invited to live in the parts of paradise that has Allah’s presence. (In the Muslim paradise some parts are even better than others - Jesus f. ex. only lives in the 2. heaven, whereas Moses was a better prophet and lives in heaven number four, and top prophets like Abraham and Muhammad of course in number seven, closest to Allah, who

resides above number seven. In addition some regions of the heavens have better gardens than others.

Compared to 9/21 this may be an even more ultimate pep talk.

098 9/25: “Assuredly Allah did help you in many battlefields - - -” – and consequently he can be expected to do the same in future battlefields.

099 ***9/29: “Fight those who believe not in Allah - - -” Not even an incitement, but a most clear order - - - in spite of the wish “Let there be no compulsion in religion” (2/256). And NB: Here is no demand for self defence - just an order to fight with no reservations.

100 9/36: “Fight the Pagans all together as they fight you all together - - -” An order and an incitement – at this time (631 AD) Muhammad finally had got the upper hand, and from now on and for centuries to come, most wars the Muslims fought, were wars of aggression and wars of looting and plunder and enslavement + expansion and enlargement of power and the religion.

101 9/38: “O ye who believe! What is the matter with you, that, when ye are asked to go forth in the Cause of Allah (= to go to war*), ye cling heavily to the earth. Do ye prefer the life of this world to the Hereafter?” A very rhetoric question it is very difficult for a really pious Muslim not to answer “no” to – a good incitement for Muhammad. And also a question that tells a lot about Islam.

102 9/39: “Unless ye go forth (in war/battle*), He (Allah*) will punish you with a grievous penalty (normally in the Quran a synonym for Hell*) - - -”. An order not possible to misunderstand for a pious - or fanatic - Muslim.

Yes, a religion built on peace, goodness and heavenly ethics.

103 9/40: “If ye help not (your Leader (then Muhammad - today any religious leader?*)), (it is no matter (for Allah helps*)).” This is said partly on a special background, but like so many places in the Quran, it may mean all Muslims at any time. Help your leaders in war and atrocities – just like what Muhammad needed help for.

104 9/41: “Go ye forth (whether equipped) lightly or heavily, and strive and struggle (= fight*), with your goods and your person (money and life*), in the Cause of Allah. That is best for you, if you but knew”. Any comment necessary?

105 9/42: “If there had been immediate gain (in sight), and the journey easy, they would (all) without doubt have followed you – (but it was not, and they did not) - - -” You surely are of better quality?!

106 9/44: “Those who believe in Allah and the Last Day ask for no exemption from striving with their goods and persons (= waging war*)”. If you are a real Muslim, you do not refrain from going to war.

107 ***9/44: “And Allah knowet well those (the Muslims going to war*) who do their duty”. It is not possible to deny - like most Muslims and many politically correct others try to do

today - that war (against “unbelievers”) is a duty for Muslims. It is impossible to say it more directly than the Quran does here.

108 9/45: “Only those ask for exemption (from doing battle*) who believe not in Allah and the right day”. Terrorists and fanatical mullahs/imams are right and do right according to the Quran.

109 9/46: “If they (the ones reluctant to go to war*) had intended to come out (to the battlefield*), they should certainly have made some preparations therefore; but Allah was averse to their being sent forth, so he made them lag behind - - -”. Pep talk about the ones not wanting to go to war ‘do not mind them - they are bad quality Allah did not want’ - no reason to lose your nerve.

110 9/47: “But Allah knoweth well those who do wrong (= those not wanting to go to war*)”. The terrorists are right: It is not the terrorists who do wrong, it is the ones reluctant to murder and kill and fight. Really a religion for peace and goodness run by a benevolent god.

111 9/52: “Can you (warriors and potential warriors*) expect for us (Muslims*) (any fate) other than the two glorious things – (martyrdom or victory)?” As glossy as a slogan for Nazism in Germany in 1939. And like there the crippled are not mentioned. Nor the victims.

112 9/73 “Strive (fight*) hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” Straight words for your money – go to war.

113 9/81: The ones not willing to do battle may end in hell. Do you want end there?

114 9/83: The ones not willing to do battle are to be socially despised. No comments necessary.

114 9/84: “Nor do thou ever pray for any of them (those that did not want to go to war*) that dies, nor stand at his grave, for they rejected Allah and (not least?*) His Messenger (Muhammad*), and died in a state of perverse rebellion.” It is perverse not to obey when Islam wants war. If not the carrot of stealing riches, taking slaves and raping women should attract the ones reluctant to go to war, then use heavy social pressure to force them. War is very essential for the Quran – and for Muhammad. And “is”, not only “was” - it “is” for all future. Make war or become an outcast in your village/town.

115 9/85: “Allah’s plan is to punish them (the ones not wanting to go to war*) with these things in this world, and that their souls may perish in their (very) denial of Allah”. Refusing war means no trip to Paradise for you – or at best a much more difficult way there - and ending in the simplest part of Paradise.

116 9/88: “But the Messenger, and those who believe with him, strive and struggle (fight*) with their wealth and their persons: for them are (all) good things: and it is they who will prosper.” And you will like to prosper, too?

117 9/89: “Allah hath prepared for them (the ones helping Muhammad*) Gardens - - -.” Help Muhammad (= go to war) and go more or less directly to Paradise.

118 9/94: Do not believe the excuses from the ones not wanting to go to war - the real reason is that they are bad Muslims.

A good Muslim goes to war whenever his leaders call.

119 9/95: “So leave them (the ones not wanting to wage war*): for they are an abomination, and Hell is their dwelling-place - a fitting recompense for the (evil) they did”. **Not to hate and kill and steal and rob and rape and enslave and murder is an abomination and evil, which ends you in Hell.** Your not wanting to go to war will make the raise of power for Muhammad and Islam go slower.

To wage war is the absolute duty for any fit Muslim who can afford it. No misunderstanding possible.

Brave future world.

Do you still believe that Allah is the same god as the Yahweh in NT?

But it is a weighty incitement to naive, uneducated primitives.

120 9/111a: “Allah has purchased of the Believers their persons and their goods; for theirs (in return) is the Garden (of Paradise): they fight in His (Allah’s) Cause, and slay and are slain (and go to Paradise afterwards*) - - -.” It may be a good, if de-humanizing (war mostly is) deal - - - if Allah and the Paradise exists. If not the only person gaining anything was Muhammad (and all the mistakes, etc. in the Quran proves absolutely that at least something is seriously wrong).

121 9/111b: “Then rejoice in the bargain which ye (Muslim warriors*) have concluded: that is the achievement supreme”. A good bait – but see 9/111a just above.

122 9/120: “It was not fitting for the people of Madinah (Medina*) and the Bedouin Arabs of the neighbourhood, to refuse to follow Allah’s Messenger, nor to prefer their own lives to his - - -.” No comment to this incitement – and especially not to the last part of it.

123 9/123: “Fight the Unbelievers who gird you about, and let them find firmness in you - - - “. A no nonsense and clear order.

Year 631. Surah 49:

124 49/15: “Only those are Believers who have believed in Allah and His Messenger (Muhammad*), and have never since doubted, but have striven with their belongings and their persons (= made war*) in the Cause of Allah - - -.” Use your wealth and your life in war for Muhammad/Allah – only then you are a real Muslim. A clear message.

Year 632 AD mainly. Surah 5.

125 5/12: “- - - and loan to Allah a beautiful loan - - -.” This normally is “Quran-speak” for “risk or loose your life in battle for Muhammad aka Allah”. In just this case it is claimed to be said to the Jews of old times, which gave it double value: A good pep-talk and “documenting” that messengers wanting war, was nothing new.

126 5/35a: “- - - strive with might and main (normally in the Quran this means “fight in war”*) in His (Allah’s*) cause.” A clear order.

127 5/35b: “- - - strive with might and main (see 5/35 just above*) in His (Allah’s*) cause: that you may prosper.” Fight for Allah (and Muhammad) – then you may get a prosperous life.

128 5/64: “Amongst them (the Jews*) We (Allah*) have placed enmity and hatred till the Day of Judgement. Every time they kindle the fire of war, Allah doth extinguish it; but they (ever) strive to do mischief on earth. And Allah loveth not those who do mischief”. And why should you love them when Allah obviously did dislike them? Allah’s dislike is a good motif and explanation for ruthlessness against them. (Muhammad treated the Jews in and around Medina very ruthless – chased away (because too strong opposition did that he could not kill in the beginning) a large part, enslaved big groups of women and children and murdered the rest of the survivors – except some that for some years were permitted to live as semi slaves on what used to be their own farms for a very stiff price. Plus he personally raped and enslaved for his own harem at least two of the women – Raihana bint Amr and Safijja bint Huayay (he later married Safijja)). Well, the verse is good hate propaganda – and hate is a good background for incitements to war, and for explanations for atrocities.

Year: Not known. Surah 64:

129 64/17: “If you loan to Allah, a beautiful loan, He will double it to your (credit), and he will grant you Forgiveness - - -“. Very similare thinking to the worst medieval excesses made by the Roman Catholic Church once upon a time. But good slogans for recruiting warriors – and money.

Raw manuscript finished: Jan. 16. 2009.

POST SCRIPTURE TO CHAPTER IV/1.

Also see the post scripture to next chapter – chapter IV/2.

There is one striking fact about the hate and the war verses: They are all from after the flight from Mecca to Medina. For 12 years Islam had been a rather peaceful religion. But suddenly it changes from peace to a brutal religion of inhumanity, hate and war. (We have written the surahs according to age, so as to make it easy to see this very sincere change.)

There is nowhere given any explanation for why the religion so radically was changed in a short time. You hardly will even find a lay Muslim willing to admit the extreme change.

Was it Allah that found he had been wrong for 12 years and wanted more inhumanity, misery, blood and gore? – at least it was an abrogation of the fundamental thinking during those 12 years. An abrogation that seriously questions the foresight and omniscience of Allah – why was such a change necessary in a timeless religion and why was it not foreseen and foretold and incorporated in the teaching before the flight in 622 AD? This tells something about Allah’s ability to foresee things (The fact that Allah practically never foresaw anything that happened unexpectedly, and thus warned and helped his followers, included Muhammad – he in stead in such situations always sent “explanations” (?) afterwards, strengthen this fact.)

Or was it Muhammad who needed cheap and ruthless warriors? – first simply to make a living, as he started as a chief highway-man, robber and extorter shortly after he arrived in Medina, not to mention that he later needed even more warriors to enlarge his own power?

You never meet Muslims who mention this change in the religion – a total change from a religion mainly benevolent and peaceful, to a full-fledged war and suppression religion.

You also nowhere in Islam or from Muslims find any explanation for why it happened. And you never will find any Muslims admitting this change from peace to blood and war, or that still claiming it is the same religion is the greatest of all contradictions in the Quran and in Islam.

But from the majority of non-religious scientists doing research on Islam, the explanation simply is: Muhammad had to make a living for himself and for his followers in Medina. Robbing caravans was one of the few easy opportunities (and was in a warlike culture like the old Arabia not condemned in the same way as in more civilized cultures – though if Islam really had been a benevolent “Religion of Peace”, and Allah a good and benevolent god, stealing and robbing, rape and extortion, hate and murder, blood and war as a service to the god had been impossible to explain or justify). But to have warriors and to justify the robberies and killings, the religion had to be changed to fit the new life – and it turned out to be a lucky change for Muhammad: All the riches stolen and extorted attracted lots of new followers wanting parts of those riches and slaves – especially the riches and the female slaves. And power.

They also add that the riches and the power Muhammad in a short time gained, either made moral weaknesses in him surface, or destroyed him morally. Power has destroyed many a man morally.

PART IV, CHAPTER 2, (= IV-2-0-0)

JIHAD - HOLY WAR (THOUGH MOSTLY UNHOLY WAR FOR RICHES, BOOTY, SLAVES, POWER AND THE SPREADING OF ISLAM) - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

WAR AND "HOLY WAR" - JIHAD - IN THE QURAN

188 QUOTES

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Also see chapters “Muslims are better”, “Make no friends with non-Muslims”, and “Incitement to dislike, hate and suppression”. This chapter overlap with those, but the others are about promotion of general dislike, distance from others and own superiority - making an

"enemy-picture" - whereas this chapter mainly is about the direct incitement and motivation for war.

Like the OT in the Bible, but unlike the NT (where the commandment is: You shall not kill), war is an integrated part of the Quran - hardly anything during the Mecca (first) period, but far more than sufficient in the Medina years. There are two distinct differences, though, between also the OT and the Quran:

1. ONE: In the Quran war for Islam in many cases is a holy duty - jihad - to wage war and to kill for Allah and for Muhammad (and his successors). In OT it is simply historical facts that it happened like that and that the idea was to make space for Israel - except that Yahweh gave orders and some places was not satisfied with that Israel did not kill or evict enough of the people that lived in the country before the Jews (we know this name in reality is younger) arrived, which would cause troubles later, he said - - - which it did, according to the Bible.

There is one presumably strict limit to jihad: It can only be declared for a war in self-defence. What Muslims do not often mention, is that "it is to be understood in the widest meaning of the word" to quote "The Message of the Quran" - - - and that even this small reservation frequently was "overlooked" in wars of conquest. That makes this often touted limit meaningless - nearly anything can be defined as "self defence" when you go for "the widest meaning of the word" - especially when fanatics themselves decide what is to be reckoned as an attack (mainly anything they themselves dislike or disagree with - no matter if it is true or not) and who are guilty (f. ex. "anyone in the west (and other non-Muslim countries in at least slightly opposition to Muslims), because they pay tax and thus help to finance the "war" against Islam", is a definition used by fanatics and terrorists - no matter that it is not possible for most people in most countries not to pay tax if you at all make a somewhat normal living.). In the hands and minds of fanatics there hardly is any limitation - which is proved by the situation many places today. Remember f. ex. that the Quran says that murder/war is better (for Islam) than suppression. If some

fanatics in London or Amsterdam or Oslo or Copenhagen or Paris or Madrid or Moscow or in any town in my dear Germany - or for that case here in Cairo (2007 AD) or in Algeria or Casablanca - think that Muslims there in some ways are suppressed, or they simply want to “resist against the attacking West”, or “infidels should not rule over Muslims - politically or at work” (there have been many an “intifada” in Muslim countries through the centuries because a non-Muslim got a job with Muslim underlings), that is good and valid reason for “jihad”. As we have seen time and again. (All the 4 Islamic law schools also accepted that if the opponents were non-Muslims, that was reason enough to declare jihad - holy war. Not until in the 1920's - 1930's this rule was even questioned).

2. TWO: In the Bible it is told about wars 2000 to 4000 years ago. In the Quran it is not only told about old wars, but given strong incitement and strict orders that to wage war for the belief often is a holy duty - and a sure way to a Paradise with lots of Earth-like luxury and lots of wonderful houries - in the old time, now, and in all future till Islam is the dominant religion, and all non-Muslims are suppressed and powerless underlings obeying Muslim regimes and paying extra taxes.

It is said that Islam is a religion for peace. That may be true among Muslims - though history has shown, and shows today - that it is easy for Muslim leaders to start even a holy war against other Muslims. It just is to declare that the enemies are not real Muslims of the correct belief any more - and of course it is “our” side that represents the correct belief.

As for Muslims and “infidels” it is a most open question - some would say a sure thing, not open - how peaceful or not Islam is towards non-Muslims in Muslim societies and countries.

But remember that also Muslims are humans. Most of them just want to live in peace and quiet with their family and friends and neighbours, and want no war at all.

On the other hand terrorists do not have to disuse the Quran to find verses telling them to fight and kill non-Muslims - - - in a way it is easy to see why some terrorists say peaceful Muslims are wrong. This even more so, as not all verses in the Quran are reckoned to have the same value - some even are reckoned to be without value, when younger verses say something else. There are contradicting points in the Quran - Allah has had to change his mind some times - and the general rule in Islam then is that the youngest verse is what counts. Because of this, we include the year (AD) when Muhammad told the surahs, if the year is known (in many

cases the year is approximately only). But beware that in some cases not all the verses in a surah are of the same age - it can vary wildly, as the verses “came down” a few at a time, and not necessarily were put together in chronological order. We also may mention that surahs from the early period (in Mecca, from where he fled to Yathrib, later named Medina, in 622 AD) tends (to say the least of it) to be milder than later ones in Medina where Muhammad quickly became more powerful and strongly tended to be harder and more warlike.

The first number is year, as said, followed by the surah and the surah number - these are in a separate line on top. Then follows the number of the quote in this chapter, whereas 000 refers to fighting of no concerns to Muslims. Finally follows the surah and verse numbers from the Quran in Yusuf Ali’s translation to English.

Year 611-614 AD, Surah 73.

001 73/20: “He (Allah*) knoweth that there may be (some) among you - - - fighting in Allah’s cause”. This is the only mentioning of fighting up to around 616 AD, in a period where Muhammad had brought nearly 50 - fifty - surahs, not one of which even mention the word fighting. And as is shown below there is no other unmistakeable mentioning of fighting in all the 12 years before Muhammad had to flee to Yathrib (now Medina) - and even this verse may simply mean mental fighting by means of words and deeds, not by means of the sword. This in spite of how central the war for Allah and for Muhammad became. Did Allah change his mind after some years? Or was it Muhammad who changed as he gained power? This early Muhammad did not have enough followers to really fight with weapons. But in case it at this time only meant fighting with words it is even more strange that Allah later so totally changed his mind, from a peaceful religion to one of war and teaching hate with so much stress on the duty to fight and die for Allah and Muhammad. If he was omniscient, he should from the very beginning have known what a gift it was to Allah that humans fought and killed each others in reverence to him - not to mention how unfair it was not to tell his first followers about this sure way to Paradise and the houries, etc., and deny them this easy way to the Next Life. Not to mention how unfair it was against the bad ones of his followers not to tell them that they really had a chance to come to Paradise: Be killed in war for Allah, and everything was forgiven, nearly no matter how bad a man you had been. But the really great mystery is: Why did and does an omnipotent god need humans to fight for him? There is no logic in this claim. (But there is a logic if Muhammad wanted them to fight for himself.)

Year 616 AD approximately (except verse 39 – 48 = 622 or 623 AD), surah 22.

002 22/39: “To those against whom war is made, permission is given (to fight), because they are wronged”. Remark the difference in the restricted wording here on the transition (remember this verse is from 622 or 623 AD) between the rather peaceful Mecca period and the bloody and inhuman Medina period afterwards.

003 22/78: “And strive in His cause as ye ought to strive, (with sincerity and under discipline)”. The word “strive” frequently is used as a synonym for “fight”, but in this case it is unclear if it is meant “fight” or mental striving to get more Muslims or “work hard”. This early may be it means “work hard”, as the religion was quite peaceful till 622 AD.

Year 622 AD or later, surah 17.

000 17/5: “- - - We (Allah*) sent against you (the Jews*) Our servants (attackers from the east*) given to terrible warfare - - -”. Israel was attacked some times during the time of OT but for natural reasons no Muslim was involved (1000 years and more too early).

Year 622 AD Mecca, surah 16.

0004 16/110. “But verily thy Lord - to those who leave their homes after trials and persecutions - and thereafter strive for the Faith and patiently persevere - thy Lord, after all this is Oft-Forgiving, Most Merciful.” Comments; see 22/78. We may add that this one is written shortly before the Muslims had to flee from Mecca - perhaps even after the first ones had fled to East Africa (Ethiopia). The Muslims are told that Allah will be good to the ones who have to flee for Allah.

Year unknown, likely Mecca, surah 100.

005 100/5: Age unknown but likely Mecca. At least the 6 first verses (all the part we quote) is an oath, not talk about war. Verses or parts of a surah in the Quran starting with “by” means the following text is an oath or quotation of an oath - Allah or Muhammad swears by something - in this case by the magnificent war horses the Arabs had plenty of for use in all the wars and fighting between tribes. “By the (Steeds) that run, with panting (breath), And strike sparks of fire, And push home the charge in the morning, And raise the dust in clouds the while, And penetrate forthwith into the midst (of the foe) en masse - Truly Man is, to his Lord (Allah*), ungrateful - - -” This verse is not about war, but about the ungrateful men – or perhaps about war all the same (Muslim scholars say no)? – or about the unruly inner self of man? – but anyhow: Allah or Muhammad swears by the respected war horses that man is ungrateful to Allah.

Now we have reached the time of Muhammad’s flight from Mecca. In the 12 years of his religious work in Mecca between 85 and 90 Surahs are (perhaps 86) told by Muhammad - presumed to be quoted from the arch angel Gabriel (some are said to be received directly and some in dreams). And remember that the Quran only has 114 surahs - there are just some 25-30 (exact numbers are not known, as one does not know the age of some surahs) left for the 10 years in Medina - though among these are a number of the longest ones. May be some 22 in Medina, and then some of unknown age.

You will find that Muhammad and his teachings were much milder in Mecca, than later in Medina - may be because in Mecca he was the underdog, whereas in Medina in a short time he gained power and the upper hand (power corrupts, a proverb says). But few tell how great and terrible the change was - hate, suppression, blood, and war soon became an integrated part of the religion. And to be killed for Allah/Muhammad became at least as strong a sacrament (to use a Christian word) as the “last oil” in Catholicism - at least.

In Medina the Muslims were in a desperate situation - they had nothing to live from. Even if they got a lot of help and some work from the locals, they HAD to make a living in some way. And Muhammad turned to robbery and became the boss of a group of highwaymen living from attaching peaceful businessmen mainly from the rich Mecca and their caravans. (Muslims like to tell this was because there was a war between Mecca and Medina. This is flatly wrong - and the reason why we do not call it a lie, is that some Muslims really believe it. It really was the other way round: The war started because of the robberies - without the robberies Mecca had happily left him and his small group alone.

For the highway activities Muhammad needed warriors - not to mention he needed them later when Mecca attacked to make an end to the robberies, and even more so when Muhammad started attacking his surroundings firstly to secure his life in Medina and to gain riches and power, and then to attack people further away to gain more power and slaves and wealth and to spread his teaching to gain more power. Muslims like to pretend that they did not force Islam on anyone. That is not true. Even this early they behaved very inhuman against pagans, even pagan Arabs - and sometimes also against the “people of the book”, in this case mainly Jews. Pagans mostly were given the choices: Become Muslims, become slaves or die. The same frequently were the choices for all other pagans they met on their war paths - and sometimes also for the Peoples of the Book (Christians, Jews and Sabians - the last ones were Christians living in approximately what is now Yemen, and who had become Christians via the then Christian Egypt and Ethiopia - though Islam also has other explanations for whom the Sabians were) who were granted special status in the Quran.

Up till then the teachings of Muhammad had been relatively mild and far from warlike. In the 85-90 (perhaps 86) surahs from the Mecca period there is almost no talk about fighting, and not one single verse talking for sure about fighting with weapons. Neither is there one single mentioning of waging war for Allah (or for Muhammad, which is the same thing), not to mention the duty of doing so. There is not even a single mentioning of the “fact” that being killed for Allah/Muhammad was a sure way to Paradise with lots of earth-like luxury and women, nearly no matter what kind of rascal you were. If Allah knew this earlier, he was quite unjust towards his early followers not telling them this.

As you will see, this now changes totally over a short time.

Whether the reason for this is that Allah found he had not been right - or changed his omniscient mind - or if it was Muhammad who changed something, is not for us to say, as final proofs are impossible to find when you may be deal with the supernatural. But some circumstances may point in a special direction.

What is absolutely sure, is that Muhammad found himself a very cheap way of recruiting warriors - promises of payment - luxury and women - in the next life, cost no gold and no money. It also of course helped that Allah not only promised Paradise, but told it was just to steal and rob and take slaves (20% for Muhammad - though not all for personal use) - not to mention that the good and kind god Allah very clearly stated ok for raping any non-Muslim not pregnant girl or woman (a praxis you see even today - f. ex. during the war between Pakistan and Bangladesh a generation ago, not to mention Darfur now). The promise of big riches to steal and women to rape and keep as slaves also made special kinds of men fierce warriors - the first one to grab something often was the new owner. And the promise of a glorious Next Life helped + it made also other kinds of men fierce warriors - or terrorists today.

But the deep and serious question you NEVER hear Muslims discuss is: Who changed his mind - Allah or Muhammad? And the as fundamental question: If it was Allah who changed his mind: Why did not he - a presumably omniscient god - know before that war and hate was a good thing? - and that being killed for Muhammad and Allah was a sure way not only to Paradise, but also to the better parts of Paradise? (Yes, some parts of the Muslim Paradise are better than the rest).

If we understand Ibn Ishaq (the first and best Muslim biography about Muslim), Muhammad personally lead at least these raids:

1. The raid on Waddan (his first raid according to Ibn Ishaq).
2. The raid on Buwat.
3. The raid on al-Ushayra (Quraysh)
4. The first raid on Badr.
5. The raid on the caravan (that became the second raid on - and the battle of - Badr).
6. The raid on B. Sulayman in al-Kudr.
7. The raid on al-Sawiq
8. The raid on Najd. (Dhu Amarr).
9. The raid on Bahran near Al-Furu (Quraysh)
10. The raid of Dhatu`l-Riqa.
11. The raid of Dumatu`l-Jandal (in 627 AD).
12. The raid on B. Lihyan.
13. The raid on B. Mustaliq.

Also see Part XI, chapters 12 and 13 about more raids, etc.

There were more (like Khaybar), but Muhammad's position slowly changed from a thief, highwayman, and extorter, to a warlord - doing the same things, but on a grander scale.

This should mean he personally lead something like a dozen raids. Another of our sources says "at least 3 raids" (the first one seems to be more correct than the second one - and even that number seems too low), but more about this in chapters XI/12 and XI/13.

To continue we mention that surah 47 or surah 2 most likely is the first surah Muhammad dictated in Medina (it is likely that 47 was partly from Medina, whereas 2 was the first complete in that town) closely followed by surah 3 (may be really no. 2 in Medina). You will see a most sudden and most striking difference - Allah certainly changes his mind "in a wink". In 2 years or something like that Islam had changed from something peaceful to a fullfledged war religion.

Year 616 AD approximately (except verse 39 – 48 = 622 or 623 AD), surah 22.

006 22/39 (622 or 623 AD): "To those against whom war is made, permission is given (to fight), because they are wronged". Remark the difference in the wording here on the transition between the rather peaceful Mecca period and the bloody and inhuman Medina period afterwards.

According to Islam this is the first time the theme war for Muslims is mentioned in the Quran.

007 22/40 (622 or 623 AD): "Did not Allah check one set of people by means of another, there would surely have been pulled down monasteries, synagogues, and mosques - - -". He is not adverse to fighting and war – but not as inhuman, immoral and bloody as later. Also see 22/39 just above. (Scientists believe his success and power destroyed him morally – not an unusual phenomenon for absolute dictators and others).

Year ca. 621 – 624 AD. Surah 29:

008 29/6: “And if any strive for (with might and main (an expression that in the Quran normally means fight in war*)), they do so for their own souls - - -“. If you go to war, you gain merit with Allah.

009 29/69: “And those who strive in Our (Allah’s*) (Cause) – We will certainly guide them to Our Path - - -“. See 29/6 above.

Year 622 AD Medina, surah 47 .

010 47/4a: “- - - when ye meet the unbelievers (in fight), smite at their necks; at length, when you have thoroughly subdued them, bind a bond firmly (on them): thereafter (it is time for) either generosity or ransom (often the real choices were ransom or slavery - or sometimes execution) - - -. Thus (are ye commanded) - - -“. As we said: A brutal transformation of the religion most suddenly.

011 47/4b: “But those who are slain in the way of Allah - He (Allah*) will never let their deeds be lost.” This may be the first ever mentioning of being killed for Allah/Muhammad. The promise of reward still is vague. One possible reason may be that Allah - or Muhammad - has not yet seen what will be the best - and most alluring (?) - ideas.

012 47/5: “Soon will He (Allah*) guide them (Muslims slain for Allah*) and improve their conditions, And admit them to the Garden which He has announced for them”. As we said; vague promises - it can of course be no less than Paradise, but you will find much more epic - and lyric - descriptions not much later. Allah quickly had found a good receipt - or perhaps Muhammad found it (Muhammad was intelligent and understood people - do not underrate him).

013 47/7: “O ye who believe! If ye will aid (from the context it is clear it means in war*) (the cause of) Allah, He will aid you, and plant your feet firmly.” Strengthening the morality of his warriors.

014 47/20: “But when a surah of basic or categorical meaning is revealed, and fighting is mentioned therein, thou wilt see those in whose heart is a disease looking at thee with the look of one in swoon at the approach of death.” A mark of the ones not liking to fight was that they were sick - had a disease in their heart.

015 47/21: “- - - it were best for them (the ones “in whose hearts is a disease*) if they were true (were willing to fight*) to Allah”. Soft - or may be not so soft - pressure on the reluctant ones to go to war when Muhammad said so.

Year 622-624 AD, surah 2 .

016 2/190: “Fight in the cause of Allah those who fight you, but do not transgress limits; for Allah loveth not transgressors”. This may be seen as order to fight in self-defence, and to be not too inhuman in war. The questions may be: Who defines the limits? And who defines what to call self-defence? Terrorists use the word “attack” for everything, and when Muslim interests are “attacked”, terror is self-defence. Also Islam says that the expression is to be “understood in the widest meaning of the word (f. ex. “The Message of the Quran”) - which is

very wide and covers almost any excuse to go to war - - - and to call that war jihad (holy war). Words are very cheap, and it is possible to call anything Muslims do not like, or at least what they dislike, “an attack on Islam”. Not to mention how little it takes to call it an attack or an insult against Muhammad, which is even worse (because Muhammad is the weak link in Islam, and not the slightest doubt can stick to him - then the very foundations of Islam crumbles. This because all the religion rests on only one presumption: That Muhammad was perfect in everything, and consequently that everything he said and did was true. But the real Muhammad far from was a reliable man.)

**017 2/191a: “And slay them (the non-Muslims*) wherever ye catch them - - -”. A straight, no-nonsense order - not to be misunderstood. Very good words for terrorists.

018 2/191b: “- - - and turn them (the ones that fights you - it is not said who are the initial attackers*) out from where they have turned you out - - -”. A sentence ordering revenge or to retake what is lost to the enemy before - it may be a dilemma for f. ex. Greece, Balkan and Spain, which for centuries were under Islam. And a good verse for f. ex. terrorists in Spain (but also for others).

*019 2/191c: “- - - tumult and oppression is worse than slaughter; - - -” - but only oppression of Muslims (non-Muslims should and shall be oppressed and subdued - - - by Muslims). It is better to kill the non-Muslims than to live suppressed by them - even though the Quran clearly states that in Muslim states the non-Muslim of course have to accept suppression, lack of power of all kinds, and to pay extra and often heavy head tax - jizya. But then the Quran as clearly states that Muslims are better beings than non-Muslims. (See separate chapter).

There also is the question of who defines what is suppression.

020 2/191d: “- - - fight them (non-Muslims - in this case originally the old regime that still ruled in Mecca most likely*) not at the Sacred Mosque (Kabah*), unless they (first) fight you there, but if they fight you there, slay them.” Even at sacred ground it is just to kill. Of course if there really is no way to calm down a situation, what has to be done has to be done. But as a general order as the only answer to use, it tells something about Islam.

Honest words for your money.

021 2/191e: “- - - such is the reward (to be killed) for those (non-Muslims*) who suppress faith (Islam*)”. Honest words - and sugar for terrorists, especially as the terrorists themselves decide who are suppressors “in the widest meaning of the word”. Anyone who tells you the Quran has to be disused to incite to hate, war and terrorism - tell them to read the book just once (but without religious or political blindness). Strictly speaking: It is the ones who do not want war - included terrorism - who are wrong according to the Quran.

022 2/195: “And spend of your substance in the cause of Allah, - - - “. This may or may not mean: Give money to the war for Islam. It is likely that it means this, as the same text translated from a Swedish Quran (NB: Certified by Al-Azahr Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy, Cairo) reads: “Give to (the fight for) the cause of Allah”. (It is no secret that many - very many - Muslims give money and help to such fight, included to terrorist organisations.)

023 2/207: "And there is the type of man who gives his life to earn the pleasure of Allah; and Allah is full of kindness to (His) devotees". The ideal way of living is to die for Allah/Muhammad.

And at the same time Muhammad and any later Muslim leaders or terrorist leaders have a cheap, ferocious fighter.

**024 2/216a: "Fighting is prescribed for you - -". Not possible to misunderstand. Muhammad (and his successors) needed warriors, and the good god and the religion ordered the "believers" to go to war. A most good verse for terrorist leaders and some others - f. ex. terrorists. Is there anyone who said one had to disuse the Quran to start fighting and killing?

025 2/216b: "Fighting is prescribed for you, and ye dislike it." Still not possible to understand: You should go to war when Muhammad calls you, whether you like it or not.

026 2//216c: "- - - But it is possible that ye dislike a thing which is good for you (to fight in war*), and that you love a thing (peace*) that is bad for you." No comments except a question: Did you ever meet a person telling that Islam is a peaceful religion?

027 2/217a: "Fighting therein (in holy months - the old Arabs had 4 holy months a year in which fighting and war was prohibited and a grave sin*) is a grave (offence); but graver is it in the sight of Allah to prevent access to the Sacred Mosque - -". Muhammad's highwaymen had attacked and plundered a caravan from Mecca during a holy month and Muhammad received a storm of critic. But his/Allah's reply was that as Mecca denied the Muslims access to the Kabah (this was before Muhammad had taken Mecca), they were bad people. And then even a grave sin made by Muhammad was no sin. Convenient. Read by a terrorist today: Whatever you do against someone not obeying Islam - or worse; oppose it - is no sin.

This logic that seems ok on the surface, but which is deeply wrong, you often meet: "What I do wrong is not wrong if also you do something wrong". But what you do wrong, is as wrong no matter what good or bad things your opponent does - and this even more so if you pretend to represent a benevolent god. (Another thing is that it may be easier to defend your own bad behaviour, if you can blame the opponent for something - but your own bad deeds are just as bad all the same).

Verse 216 and 217 tell that very few things are holy if the interests of Muhammad/Islam can be strengthened.

And a question: The holy months were a pure Arab tradition which Islam took over. How come that an universal god - at least for whole Earth - so often found traditions from the heathen Arabia to be just what he wanted, and not too often had ideas himself - not to mention nearly never found good ideas from other places in the world except from Arabia and its neighbours? - f. ex. also the traditions of Hajj and the traditional celebrations around Kabah is practically identical to the superficial and honestly pretty childish traditions from before Islam.

*028 2/217b: "Tumult and oppression are worse than slaughter". Unrest and oppression against the Muslims are no good - it is better that the Muslims in case kill the opponents (in this case it was about the old regime in Mecca - still not overrun - but other verses in the Quran make the order general. See the first comment under 2/191). Nice "food" for terrorists -

the West is suppressing Muslims they say, and as everybody there pay taxes (not true - and the ones that do, have to) to their Islam-suppressing regimes, everybody is guilty, and deserves to be killed.

029 2/218: “- - - those (Muslims*) who suffered exile and fought (and strove and struggled) in the path of Allah - they have the hope of the Mercy of Allah: - - -”. Fight for Allah and be likely to go to Paradise (and if you are killed for Allah, you are sure to go there).

030 2/244: “Then fight in the cause of Allah, and know that Allah heareth and knoweth all things”. - - - And Allah rewards and punishes all things.

031 2/245: “Who is he that will loan Allah a beautiful loan, which Allah will double unto his credit and multiply many times?” Some say the “beautiful loan” is good deeds, others that it is warriors offering Allah and Muhammad their lives in war (actually this is the normal meaning), even others say it means lending money to the warring prophet Muhammad - and later to his successors - as war cost money. No matter it was good for Muhammad - and his successors: What was to be repaid in next life, did not have to be repaid - or paid - by Muhammad or his successors (or terrorist leaders) in this life. A cheap way of getting warriors.

032 2/246: “How could we refuse to fight in the cause of Allah.” The Quran pretends Jews are saying this to one of their prophets (most likely Samuel*), but it really is included as a pep talk to Muslims inspiring them to war. The text is somewhat changed compared the one in the Bible, from where it comes.

033 2/249: “How oft, by Allah’s will, hath (not*) a small force vanquished a big one? Allah is with those who steadfastly persevere”. The start is pep talk for the warriors. The last is pure psychology - you meet similar sentences time and again and again in the Quran. The warriors, terrorists and others are inoculated that: Fight on, and you may win in the end. Something the Soviet Union should have remembered before they invaded Afghanistan. And something Bush should have been told, when his general told him it would take 700000 troops to conquer and pacify Iraq - Bush instead choose another general and sent only ca. 1/3 as many troops - - - and ended in a quagmire. It also is something all non-Muslims should remember. In their own home country and outside.

034 2/251: “By Allah’s will they (the Jews) routed them (the Philistines); and David slew Goliath and his forces”. A story borrowed from the Bible - with a twist - used as pep talk for Muslim warriors - it was their own god, Allah (in reality Yahweh according to the Bible), that did the work.

035 2/262: “Those who spend their substance in the cause of Allah, - - - for them their reward is with their Lord (Allah*)”. 2/195 and 2/245.

036 2/265: “- - - those who spend their substance, seeking to please Allah and to strengthen their souls - - -. Allah seeth well whatever ye do”. See 2/195 and 2/245.

Year 622 AD early Medina, surah 8.

037 8/1: “They (the warriors*) ask thee (Muhammad*) concerning (things taken as) spoils of war (riches and slaves*). Say: (Such) spoils are at the disposal of Allah and the Prophet

(Muhammad*)". This is one of the rules Muhammad or the omniscient Allah had to change later (and not much later) - in the end Muhammad only got 20%, except if the victim gave in without a fight (then Muhammad still got 100%). (Islam has another explanation - all belongs to Allah, but 80% may be given to the warriors and to their leaders). You meet Muslims saying Allah/the Quran never changed anything, but here is one point that not much later was changed. Often Muslims explain changes with that the rules really were not changed, only made stricter or clarified (why should that be necessary for an omniscient god?) Here is an absolute rule that later had to be changed - the warriors demanded their share of the spoils.

038 8/5: "Just as thy Lord (Allah*) ordered you out of your house in truth (to make war against the Quraysh – battle of Badr 624 AD*) - - -." The Muslims went out to raid a military weak caravan - - - and met a small army instead, "according to Allah's will". Allah likes warriors – and he needs war to promote his power and religion, even if he is omnipotent.

039 8/5 + 6: "- - - even though a party of the Believers disliked it (to do battle against the Quraysh at Badr 624 AD*). Disputing with thee (Muhammad*) concerning the truth after it was manifest - - -". See 8/5 just above. Some Muslims refused to take part in the battle against the seemingly much stronger small army and fled before it started "even after it had been made clear that it was Allah's will that they should do battle against the Quraysh" according to "The Message of the Quran". War seems to be a pleasure for Allah, and necessary to augment his power and promote his religion, even though he is said to be omnipotent. Or may be it only is to test his followers and find out their quality – even though he is omniscient and knows everything before. The unsolvable contradiction made by the claims: Allah decides everything vs. man has free will, are also put to a test? But at least it is clear that Allah wants war.

040 8/7: "Allah promised you one of the two (enemy) parties (either the caravan or the small army at Badr) - - -". Allah promised the Muslims a nice little fight – very nice of him. "The Religion of Peace"???

041 8/9: "I (Allah*) will assist you (Muslim warriors in battle*) with a thousand of angles, ranks on ranks". A good pep talk for naïve, uneducated believers.

042 8/12: "I (Allah*) will instil terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers: smite ye above their necks and smite all their fingertips off them (making them unable to use a bow*) - - -". "The god of Peace heading the Religion of Peace". To call this religion "the Religion of Peace" is an insult to the world – and the reason why the world does not laugh at the claim, is lack of knowledge about the Quran.

043 8/15: "When ye (Muslim warriors*) meet the Unbelievers in hostile arrays, never turn your backs to them". Fleeing warriors were of no value to Muhammad and other leaders.

044 8/16: "If any (Muslim warrior*) do turn his back to the (the enemy*) on such a day (during battle) - - - he draws on himself the wrath of Allah, and his abode is Hell - - -". Fight for Allah and Muhammad or end in Hell. One verse is the carrot, this one the whip. War is a central part of the life and the religion.

045 8/19: "Not the least good will your (the enemy's) forces be to you even if they were multiplied: for verily Allah is with those who believe". Perhaps discouraging the enemy, but surely encouraging his own warriors. "Gott mit uns." Just this one is as old as the oldest

religion - and still valid for everyone who believes it, and the uneducated, naïve early followers did believe - - - as do many Muslims even today.

046 8/38-39: “Say to the Unbelievers, if (now) they desist (from Unbelief (= becomes Muslims)) their past will be forgiven them; but if they persists - - - fight with them - - -”. Muslims like to quote the Quran saying that there shall be no forcing others to become Muslims - it is used as a proof for that such things never happen (f. ex. 2/256). But here it is said just the opposite: Become Muslims or fight. This in reality was often the choices the other part had: Come to Islam or die - though it should be mentioned that this mostly were the case when Islam waged war on pagans, not so often when the other part were Jews or Christians - though this, and also pogroms, happened. Some Muslim wars of conquest were rather bloody - included mass murders after the victory.

These two verses are interesting because:

1. They oppose - contradicts - another verse (2/256: "Let there be no compulsion in religion") - which the Quran, Islam and Muslims say never happen).
2. They prove that force may be used to convert people to Islam.
3. They tell that refusing to convert to Islam is a valid reason for attack according to the Quran.

**047 8/39: “And fight with them (the Unbelievers who will not convert to Islam*) on until there is no more tumult or oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere”. Comments should be unnecessary. Fight war till Islam dominates everywhere.

We may add that “The Message of the Quran adds (remark 41 to surah 8) that only war in self defence is permitted, but self defence in “the widest meaning of the word”. And the “widest meaning” is a very wide expression – absolutely anything can (and is) explained as being done in self defence, as the non-Muslims are the guilty ones for everything. One striking sample you may meet, is the “fact” that “all Americans are guilty of aggression against Islam and can be killed, because they pay tax to the state of USA”. No concession because they after all are forced to pay tax – few do it gladly. No concession to the millions that do not pay tax. No concession to the ones that oppose the war in the Middle East. Not even concession to the - still some millions (f. ex. youths) - that do not pay tax and in addition oppose that war. Everybody is guilty – slay them. That is how “in the widest meaning” sometimes is used.

048 8/41: “And know that out of all the booty ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah”. One more good reason for waging war- the warriors and their leaders get 80% of riches and slaves - and they can rape almost anyone they like. And this Quran tells confirms the Bible!!! - who has found anything like this in NT? Stealing and plundering to make yourself and Allah/Muhammad (or his successors) rich and powerful also in this life. It simply does not exist. But this verse is interesting also for another reason: Allah/Muhammad already has had to change the words of Allah; In 8/1 (Remember here that the surahs were not “sent down” as complete surahs, but a few verses now and a few verses then, and only later puzzled together - to a large part not until the Quran was constructed around 650 - 656 AD -

some 20 to 46 years after Muhammad told them. Also according to Yusuf Ali 8/1 belongs to an entirely other section (section 1) than does 8/41 (section 5) for what that information is worth.) In 8/1 everything stolen belongs to Allah, but the warriors wanted their sizable share. An omniscient god - that also has full knowledge of the future - should have known this and not have had to change the rules because of failing and learning.

049 8/45: “When ye meet a force, be firm - - -.” Order and pep-talk.

050 8/57: “If you gain the upper hand over them in war, disperse, with them those who follow them, that they may remember.” Many a Muslim warrior and warlord followed this order thoroughly – they certainly were remembered many places - - - except by all those who were dead.

051 8/58: “If thou fearest (“fearest” not “understandest” or “knowest”*) treachery from any group, throw back (their Covenant) to them, (so as to be) on equal terms: for Allah loveth not the treacherous.” – except Muslims - - - remember Muhammad’s betrayals and his words “War is betrayal” (Ibn Ishaq).

052 8/60a: “Against them (the unbelievers*) make ready your strength to the outmost of your power, included steeds of war, to strike terror into (the hearts of) the enemies of Allah and your enemies (and Muhammad’s enemies*) - - -.” Inside information from “the Religion of Peace”?

053 8/60b: “Whatever (money, time or your life*) ye shall spend in the Cause of Allah, shall be repaid unto you, and ye shall not be treated unjustly”. Recourses counts – for you to go to Paradise, for Muhammad (and Allah) to make war. And soldiers like you count – for you to gain loot or be sure to go to Paradise, for Muhammad (and Allah? – an omnipotent god really should not need war and mass murder and inhumanities? – especially not a good god.) to be able to make war and gain riches for f. ex. “oiling”, and power and followers for his religion and platform of power.)

054 8/61: “But if the enemy inclines towards peace, do thou (also) incline towards peace.” Well, that was what was said. All too often it was not heeded if the Muslims were strong enough – or it was a peace of terror or at best suppression.

055 8/65a: “O Prophet! (Allah says*) Rouse the Believers to the fight.” Some words for a presumably good and peaceful god. And some task for a god and perfect kind man representing a religion insisted to be a good, human and peaceful one.

056 8/65b: “If there are twenty amongst you (Muslim warriors*), patient and persevering (this (to be patient and persevering*) is impressed and impressed on Muslim warriors and terrorists - a fact non-Muslims tends not to know or to forget*), they will vanquish two hundred (non-Muslims*), if a hundred, they will vanquish a thousand of the Unbelievers: for these are people without understanding”. A good pep talk, at least to blind believers. During the civil war in USA the South said something similar - but lost the war. But the Quran is right on one point: Non-Muslims tends not to understand the fanatic and fatalistic way of thinking impressed on Muslim warriors and terrorists - they go on and on and refuse to give in. On the other hand Japanese soldiers were just like that during WWII, but they all the same totally lost the war - even Americans were able to learn.

057 8/66: “- - - but (even so (even if Allah has lightened the fight for you - by sending angel warriors?*)) if there are a hundred of you, they will vanquish two hundred, and if a thousand, they will vanquish two thousand, with the leave of Allah - - -”. Another pep talk, and somewhat more realistic than 8/65. Besides: If you loose, know that it was not the enemy that was too strong for you, but Allah in his unfathomable wisdom who wanted it like that. (And Allah always has a good reason leading to a final victory).

But why cannot an omnipotent god just decide how he wants things to be and make it like that? Why does a presumably good and kind and loving and omnipotent god have to let humans live through so much blood and murder and hate and rape and misery? Something in the Quran just does not add up.

***058 8/66: “- - - for Allah is with those who patiently persevere.” This is a pep talk all non-Muslims should forever remember - fanatic Muslim warriors never give in and never stop.

And also: How many soldiers in the future did Spain kill by pulling out their few men from the East a few years ago, and "proving" to Muslims just these words that are repeated and repeated in the Quran? - a proof that now (2007) may be strengthened by Australia.

***059 8/67: “It is not fitting for a Prophet (Muhammad*) that he should have prisoners of war until he hath thoroughly subdued the land”. One of the moral and ethical real pinnacles in Islam. It takes an effort - and resources - to take care of prisoners. This Muhammad did not like - and voila! - Allah ordered him to kill all prisoners (of course with the exception of the ones one wanted as slaves or wanted to keep for extorting money for from their families).

No doubt at all: A morally and ethically superior god and religion, both with lots of empathy - not to forget the perfect and good and kind and good-hearted Muhammad who was free from sins. (Actually there never were philosophers thinking on morality and ethics in Islam like f. ex. in the old Greece or later in the West. Muhammad just picked from the contemporary traditions - in some cases he picked good ideas, in other cases he chose rather inhuman ideals, and that was it, as it never later has been permitted to think about whether his rules are good - or the best - or not.)

Does anybody wonder why Muslim warriors and terrorists murder prisoners - guilty or not?

060 8/69: “But (now) enjoy what ye took in war, lawful and good** - - -”. This is one more of the moral and ethical pinnacles in the Quran: Wage war, and then it is “lawful and good” to steal and rob and plunder - and rape the women and take slaves.

But of course this made it easy and cheap for Muhammad and his successors to get warriors. That such behaviour is a catastrophe for any and all victims - and in some cases set back the civilization may be some hundred years like in Persia - does not count, as non-Muslim “untermenchen” do not count.

This even more so as for fanatics nearly every situation they do not like, can be defined as war against Islam “in the widest meaning of the word” - not to mention that according to Islam’s definition all areas not dominated by Islam are “land of war”. Really a morally and ethical superior religion. And a peaceful one.

And honestly the word “good” in “lawful and good” classifies Muhammad, the Quran and Islam. Laws can be twisted and remade and it is no problem for an absolute dictator to make what laws he wants and thus make things “lawful” – quotation marks used on purpose. But the word “good” is an absolute – flexible “borders”, but fundamentally an absolute. Allah’s/Muhammad’s real rules for behaviour against all outsiders is way outside “good” and the hypocrisy in the using of abrogated verses in the Quran to make outsiders believe something else, makes this aspect of the religion and the hypocrites even more disgusting.

061 8/71: “- - - if they (prisoners of war) have treacherous designs against thee - - -”. How can an enemy prisoner “have treacherous designs” against someone. Per definition an enemy - even a prisoner - can fight you with different means, but that is fighting, not betrayal. (To betray someone there has to be some sort of positive connection between the two parts - if not it is not betrayal or “treacherous designs”).

**062 8/72: “Those who believed - - - and fought for the Faith, with their property (= gave money - like many Muslims do even today*) and their persons (= went to war personally*), in the cause of Allah, as well as those who gave them (warriors, terrorists*) asylum and aid (like what a large numbers of Muslims do today also - at least giving money and sympathy and aid to “the cause” included terrorism*) - all these (are good Muslims*)”. Comments unnecessary.

063 8/73: “- - - unless you do this, (protect each others (included warriors and terrorists*)), there would be tumult and oppression on earth, and great mischief (because of the bad non-Muslims*)” No comments - especially since there is neither suppression of, nor mischief against non-Muslims in Muslim countries (?).

**064 8/74: “Those who believe, and adopt exile, and fight (and here it is meant by weapons*) for the Faith, in the cause of Allah as well as those who give (them) asylum and aid - these are (all) in very truth the Believers - - -”. Adolph Hitler was rather young when he wrote “Mein Kampf”, describing his plans and how he worked for to conquer the world in his future - the world did not believe him (no other comparisons intended). The Quran describes how Muslims have to behave, work and fight to dominate the world - does the world believe it and them?

065 8/74: “- - - for them (warriors, terrorist and all their helpers and those giving them aid*), for them is the forgiveness of sins and a provision most generous”. This sentence tells a lot about Islam. And it also is a good pep talk.

It also may explain some of all the help terrorists get from “normal” Muslims.

066 8/75: “And those (non-Muslims*) who accept Faith (become Muslims*) subsequently, and fight for the Faith in your company - they are of you”. If you become Muslim and wage war for Islam, you have proved you are a real Muslim. A good kind of proof for any Muslim leader who needs warriors or terrorists.

Year 624 – 625 (?). Surah 59:

067 59/2: “It is He (Allah*) Who got out the unbelievers (the Jewish tribe Banu al-Nadir*) amongst the People of the Book (= Jews and Christians*) from their homes at the first gathering (of the forces).” This was an expulsion of non-Arabs from Medina, after Muhammad found an excuse to act against them – Muhammad actually wanted to kill them,

but one of the Arab tribes who had a covenant with Banu al-Nadir made that impossible for him – he still was not strong enough militarily. This happened shortly after the battle of Badr in 624 AD. It was one of Muhammad's first step towards becoming economically really self-sufficient as he "took over" all their land, etc., and an essential step towards absolute power in Medina.

068 59/5: "Whether ye cut down (O ye Muslims!) the tender palm-trees - - -." To cut down palm-trees – the very basis for life in the desert – was reckoned to be such a "low" deed, that it was almost unheard of. But Muhammad did so – in an act of sheer terrorism and psychological warfare. (Muslims today tries to explain away this terrorist act or worse, by telling that he made an open place for a battlefield. This "explanation" is just so much rubbish. For one thing: If there is one thing there is enough of in an arid and desert land, it is open space – it was just to agree on where to meet. For another: Who have in all the history of war ever heard of primitive warriors felling the forest to make opening for a fight? For a third: Anyone – absolutely anyone, included any Muslim – who has ever seen a plantation of date palms, knows very well that there is plenty of space for fighting between the trees. But the main fact: Who the heck would believe that a weak force – the Banu al-Nadir – would come out from behind reasonably secure walls to fight an open battle against a much stronger enemy? – and on top of all leave their families undefended? No sane man knowing two millimetres about warfare would believe so – and Muhammad already knew a lot about warfare. You have to be very naïve to believe this "explanation". Muslim terrorism is nothing new.

069 59/6: "What Allah has bestowed on His Messenger (Muhammad*) (and taken away) from them (Banu al-Nadir*) – for this ye (the Muslim warriors*) made no expedition with either cavalry or camelery - - -." Which was very nice for Muhammad, because when there was no fight and the enemy just gave in, all the spoils of war was called "fay" and was for Allah/Muhammad alone. Muhammad in a short time got a good economy.

070 59/7: "What Allah has bestowed on His Messenger (Muhammad*) (and taken away from) the people of the townships (= robbed from vanquished people*) belongs to Allah – to his Messenger and to kindred and orphans, the needy and the wayfarer" – and what is not mentioned here: For Muhammad himself and his big family (though it is likely to be true that he did not live in luxury), for payment to the ones who distributed the riches, for "gifts" to make undecided persons becoming or staying Muslims, for in other ways promoting the religion, and not least to financing more wars. Also the fixed "poor tax" all Muslims that are not too poor have to pay, also are for all these purposes, and as often a lot did go to fighting and other things, it is a question if the name "poor tax" is quite correct. Also see 59/6 just above.

Year ca. 625 AD most of it. (It may be no. 2 in Medina, but 625 is late for that), surah 3.

*071 3/111: "- - - if they (the People of the Book = mainly Jews and Christians) come out to fight you, they will show you their backs, and no help will they get". Pep talk for warriors. Not always true as history has shown and shows.

072 3/122: "Remember the two of your parties mediated cowardice, but Allah was their protector - - - (and they went to battle*) - - -". Pep talk.

073 3/123: “Allah helped you at Badr - - -”. This was a battle against the Meccans that the Muslims won, in spite of being outnumbered 3 : 1 (according to Muslim sources - there are no others). Pep talk - he helped you then, we believe, and it is likely he will help you in other battles, too.

074 3/124: “Is it not enough for you (Muslims in battle*) that Allah should help you with three thousand angles (specially) sent down?” Pep talk to warriors - Allah helps you in battle with angle warriors. Morals counts in battle, and battle was essential for Muhammad at this time, though in 625 AD he might also think about defence against Mecca - they might again attack to stop his robbing their caravans.

075 3/125: “Yea - if ye (Muslim warriors) remain firm, and act aright, even if the enemy should rush here on you in hot haste, your Lord (Allah) would help you with five thousand angels making a terrific onslaught”. Pep talk to warriors - “Gott mit uns”. The primitive, uneducated masses of Muhammad’s first followers were likely to believe this - especially as they the first more than 100 years (till 732 AD) often won the battles and the wars. What is worse; some fundamentalists or fanatics may still believe it today.

076 3/140: “If a wound hath touched you (Muslim warrior*), be sure a similar wound hath touched the others (the enemy*)”. Keep the warriors bent on fighting on. A peaceful religion.

077 3/141: “Allah’s object also is to purge those that are true in Faith (true Muslims) and to deprive of blessing those that resist Faith (the ones not believing or not believing strongly enough in Muhammad*).” An answer to the obvious question: Why do Muslims have to wage war and risk their lives, when Allah just could say “Be” and it was? But the answer is not a good one - an omniscient god had known without mass slaughtering (but Muhammad had not gained power without war, if Allah does not exist). Some sort of explanation at least, why war was “necessary”.

078 3/142: “Did you think that ye would enter Heaven without testing those of you who had fought hard (in his Cause) and remained steadfast (- they deserve a good place in heaven*)”. No comments necessary excep: Why - why - does an omniscient god have to test his followers?? - he knows everything before, and even decides everything before! There is no logic in this. (But there clearly is a logic if the religion was made up by a Muhammad wanting power.)

*079 3/146: “How many of the Prophets fought (in Allah’s way), and with them fought large bands of godly men? But they never lost heart if they met with disaster in Allah’s way, nor did they weaken (in will) nor give in. And Allah loves those who are firm and steadfast.” A pep-talk to warriors of all times - never give in, never give up, retreat if you have to, but go on and you will win like the prophets, because Allah will help - - - and sooner or later the lover of religious warriors, Allah, will give you Paradise. Like in the Old Norse religion.

As for prophets, Islam maintains that they have existed to all times and all places - Hadith mention the number 124000, but even that is just a symbol for uncountable many. This is not true, because it is not possible to find a single trace of monotheistic prophets (except the few in the Bible) anywhere or any time in any form - history, literature, art, architecture, archaeology, or even in folk tales. It is not possible that so many prophets should leave not a single trace - especially the warring ones should leave traces, even if they had no success with spreading the religion.

And not many of the prophets we know about from other sources - mainly the Bible - did actually wage war (NB: The Bible does not recon f. ex. Saul and David - and not even Abraham, Isaac, or Jacob, not to mention Ishmael - as prophets.)

But Mr. Bush and others should have remembered this with being steadfast.

080 3/147: “- - - help us with those that resist Faith”. Allah will help if you fight for Islam - or for Islamic leaders telling they defend Ummah and Islam.

Psychologically a mighty incitement and a strength for upping the fighting spirit, especially with uneducated, primitive warriors or fanatics.

081 3/148a: “And Allah gave them (the warriors) a reward in this world and the excellent reward of the Hereafter”. 80% of the spoils of war - included slaves and women - were for the warriors and their leaders (the remaining 20% were for Allah/Muhammad/the religious leaders - which soon also became political leaders). Women made slaves were fun, because to rape female slaves was your right and no sin. In addition to do battle for Islam was - and is - a “heavy” application for Paradise, and a sure way to get there no matter what kind of life you have led, if you are killed in battle for Islam (which soon also meant - and means - for the leaders). But it is a strang fact that leaders never become suicide bombers.

082 3/148b: “For Allah loveth those who do good (in this case: To wage war for Allah and Muhammad*)”. To do battle for Allah - to burn and kill and murder and destroy and rape for the good deity - is a good thing that Allah loves. Did anyone say that modern terrorists have to twist the words of the Quran to find incitements to their deeds? And also: Muhammad got cheap warriors and gained wealth and power - in wars and robberies that really were illegal according to the Quran, as they in reality were wars of aggression, not really of defence. And they gave him the possibility to rape at least two women - Raihana bint Amr and Safijja bint Huayay.

083 3/152: “Allah did indeed fulfil His promise to you when ye with His permission were about to annihilate your enemy - until you flinched and fell to disputing about the order, and disobeyed it- - -”. This refers to the battle of Uhud - the second battle between the forces of Muhammad and Mecca - 5 km from Medina. In this battle the Meccans had greater forces, but it is a military truth that it is easier to defend than to attack - compare f. ex. to Napoleon vs. Wellington’s inferior forces at Waterloo until Blucher and his Germans arrived, or Malta in 1565 - some 40000 Muslim warriors vs. a little better than 1000 defenders + some 8000 locals, where the attackers had to withdraw after many weeks of battle (from May 18. to September 8.), and partly because of this lost the hegemony in the Mediterranean Sea. Or for that case Malta during WW2: Small British forces plus the locals vs. nominally hugely superior Italian and some German forces - but Malta never fell.

At Uhud Muhammad’s forces seemed to be winning. But the archers did want to get their parts of the spoils, and left their defending positions - - - and the battle turned. Politely said it ended in a draw (Muhammad in reality lost the battle), and Muhammad lost lots of men. It got no further serious consequences, though, as Mecca did not come back until much later, and then Medina and Muhammad were prepared (the Battle of the Trench, which Muhammad won - the last real battle with Mecca. Well, actually it was no real battle, just a siege, from which the Meccans had to withdraw in the end).

But in this case the lesson seemed to be: Allah kept his word as long as the warriors obeyed Muhammad - and Allah. When they stopped doing that, things went wrong. Lesson: Allah keeps his words and Allah helps in battle, as long as you obey his - the religious (often the same as the political) leader's - orders. Terrorists and warriors: Obey Allah and your leaders.

084 3/154: "Even if you had remained in your homes, those of you for whom death was decreed would certainly have gone forth to their place of their death". Predestination is a mighty incitement to do battle - you can as well fight for your leader and Allah, because it is not dangerous, as you will not die until your destined time anyhow, and you have a lot to gain in a war - booty, slaves and Paradise. See also "Mistakes in the Quran", 3/154.

085 3/155: "Those of you who turned back on the day the two hosts met (300 men left Uhud before the battle, leaving 700 to fight, according to Islamic sources) - it was Satan who caused them to fail, - - -." It is Satan that makes you not want to or too afraid to take part in battles - do you want to be a friend of Satan? A mighty incitement for fighting for Muhammad and Islam (or sometimes for leaders using or disusing the religion for personal gain or power).

086 3/156: "Be not like the Unbelievers, who say of their brethren, - - - 'If they had stayed with us (out of battle*), they would not have died, or been slain". It is not worthy a Muslim to think like that - to do battle for Muhammad is a holy duty - - - and a way to riches and Paradise. Good to know for a terrorist - - - if he really is not misled when he believes he fights for Allah, and if the Quran is true. (The many mistakes in the Quran make one wonder about how true it is also on this point - especially as it is easy by means of statistics to prove that the battlefield is far more dangerous than your bed.)

**087 3/157: "And if ye are slain, or die, in the way of Allah, forgiveness and mercy from Allah are far better than all they could amass". Nearly no matter how bad a man (nothing is said about women) you have been, to be killed for Allah, is the sure way to go to Paradise.

The old Vikings "knew" that if they were killed in battle, they went to Valhalla - that made them ferocious warriors. In Islam Paradise with its lazy luxury life and plenty of women, had and has the same effect.

There are two questions, though: Who decides which wars are wanted by Allah? - if you look at history, it seems that a lot of the wars Muslims have fought, in reality was for wealth and power, but mostly they have been declared Holy Wars, a few times even by both sides when both parts were Muslims. And: What if the Quran is invented or not telling the full truth? - all the mistakes and contradictions, etc. in the book make one wonder.

In the wars between Sunni and Shi'a and in power struggles among leaders, a lot of warriors have been cheated - both parts in a war between Muslims cannot be fighting for Allah and the "right" belief, but all warriors often were told they fought against enemies of Allah. If at least one part was right, the warriors from the opposite part had a rude awakening in Hell, even if they were told and believed they were fighting enemies of Allah. Not to mention when all was a struggle for power among leaders, and Allah did not agree at all that any of them were fighting for him - they only were sinning by killing fellow Muslims "without a good reason", which is a grave sin worthy of Hell.

And even worse: If the Quran is made up or does not tell the truth on this point, no comment is necessary. Especially not if there exists another, true religion somewhere - a religion Muslims are prohibited to look for.

**088 3/158: “And if ye die, or are slain, lo! It is unto Allah that ye are brought together”. Be killed in war for Allah, and go to paradise - good “knowledge” for a warrior or terrorist. Whoever said the Quran has to be disused to justify terrorism, hardly ever read the Quran. See also 3/157.

089 3/168: “If only they had listened to us (and not gone to war*), they would not have been slain”. That is what hypocrite Muslims say, according to the Quran - and who wants to be a hypocrite? Good pep talk.

**090 3/169: “Think not of those who are slain in Allah’s way as dead. Nay, they live - - - in the presence of their Lord (Allah*)”. What better can a warrior ask for? - and thinking like that, they made - and make - cheap soldiers for Muslim leaders. But what if Muhammad made it all up?

**091 3/170: The family and others left behind by dead warriors, have no reason to grieve - their dear one - the warrior - is in Paradise. If it really was a war for Allah, and not for power or riches for some leader. And if the Quran speaks the truth on this point at least.

092 3/171: “They (the “martyrs”*) glory in the grace and the Bounty (silver and brocade and women*) from Allah - - -”. The ultimate pep talk: Your son or husband or father is dead and you will never see him again, and he will never help you if you need - but he is in heaven according to a book with hundreds of mistakes.

093 3/172: “Of those who answered the call of Allah and the Messenger even after being wounded - - - have a great reward.” No comments, except that Muhammad needed only fighting warriors, and he seems to want to use his resources to the outmost.

094 3/173: “Men said to them: ‘A great army is gathering against you’: - - - but it (only) increased their Faith - - -”. Pep talk. Real Muslims are not frightened - and Allah will help. Pep talk incites the warriors to keep fighting, and to wage war, that was of course necessary for Muhammad to gain security, power and riches. Like for many warlords at that time.

095 3/174: “And they returned (from war) with Grace and Bounty from Allah: no harm ever touched them - - -”. A fairy tale picture of war and easy riches. A good pep talk for recruiting new warriors if the men are uneducated and naïve. Glorifying war and spoils of war attracts new warriors. The larger the “army” the better chance of success and power for leaders.

096 3/175: “It is only Satan that suggests to you the fear (of battles*)”. Who wants to be the subject of Satan - it is better to fear Allah and Muhammad and not shy away from fighting.

**097 3/195: “- - - those who have left their homes, or been driven out therefrom, or suffered harm in My (Allah’s*) cause, or fought or been slain - verily, I will blot out from them their iniquities, and admit them into (Paradise*)”. This has two messages: Persons who are persecuted for being Muslims and also warriors that go out to fight for Islam - they are the same, and both will end in Paradise. Many a terrorist has left his home, he may intend to fight - or call what he does a fight. With a bit of luck - or on purpose - he may die for the “cause”.

Excellent - next stop is paradise, nearly no matter what sins you have done before. Did anyone say that the Quran had to be disused to incite to hate, killing, rape, war and terrorism?

Year 625 - 626 AD, surah 61:

**098 61/4: “Truly Allah loves those who fight in His Cause in battle array as if they were a solid cemented structure”. The ones who say the Quran is as good as the Bible, not to mention NT, have never read the Quran - which we can say even if we are not very Christian.

1. A god loving mass slaughter and murderers!!!
2. If that is a good god, I do hope I never meet a bad one.
3. And this is the icon and ideal of Islam!
4. Will you like to live in a Muslim society in a world ruled by such a religion?
5. And remember: War and hate is only one part of Islam.

Year 625 (627?) - 629 AD, (most likely not earlier than 627, as there are references to the last battle with Mecca - the Battle of the Trench), surah 33:

099 Surah 33: The Muslims got the warning a long time before Mecca and some allied attacked. Advised by a man from Persia (according to the tradition), Salman al-Farisi, the Muslims had time to dig a deep trench all around Medina. As this was a kind of defence never used in the area before, the attackers were totally unprepared for this, and were unable to cross it under fire. They had to withdraw after some weeks - partly because of ice-cold weather. According to the Quran, the Muslims were saved by Allah.

The first 20 verses are mostly a tale about the battle. Then after the battle things happened - Muhammad suspected some Jews to be not reliable, even though they had not participated in the attack. Muslims like to insist the Jews had helped the attackers, but according to others this was not true, and all that happened was because of Muhammad's suspicion. The Muslims attacked these Jews and made them prisoners. Then Muhammad simply let murder all the men - we have seen numbers from 600 to 900, but the most likely number is some 700. Helpless prisoners. Muslims have a tendency to pass over or gloss over this and later similar incidents. Not less do they “explain” or simply skip the rest of that story: Muhammad took all the women and children as slaves - we have never seen a reliable number here, but some 2000 are likely. One of the women, Safijj bint Huayay, Muhammad personally “took to his bed” = he raped her and later married her - what choice did she have? Earlier he had raped Raihans bint Amr under similar circumstances. Seh refused to marry him, and he kept her as his personal harem slave (concubine). And of course the Muslims took over all their possessions and fields. Mass murder sometimes is good business. (These attacks on the Jews also made Muhammad economically self sufficient.)

And that was the end of the Jewish tribe of Quraiza in Khaybar.

All this aided by the good and peaceful god Allah: No surprise that Muslim terrorist murder prisoners even today - they have a good and infallible teacher.

100 33/16: “Running away will not profit you, if you are running away from death or slaughter (war*); and even if (ye do escape), no more than a brief (respite) will ye be allowed to enjoy!” Allah has decided when you are to die – according to Hadiths that happens 5 months before you are born. Because of that there is no reason to flee from battle – you will not live any longer (or shorter) anyhow.

**101 33/26: “And those of the People of the Book (the Jews of the Quraiza tribe - see above*) who had aided them - Allah did take them down from their strongholds and cast terror in their hearts. (So that) some ye (the Muslims/Muhammad) slew, and some ye made prisoners.” Very simple and “good and lawful” - to quote another verse - mass murdering, enslavement and robbery.

A good and loving god and a peaceful religion - and this was far from the only pogrom in Muslim countries through the times. But it is typical that Allah sanctified the attack only afterwards.

102 33/27: “And He (Allah) made you heirs of their land, their houses, and their goods, and of a land which ye had not frequented (before). And Allah has power over all things”. Some rich spoils of war can justify much, and quiet many a man’s conscience - especially when a god sanctifies it. Could such things happen today or in the future? - we do not mention names like Darfur or Indonesia or East Timor or the Turks against Christian underlings around 1900 AD - f. ex. in Armenia and Smyrna.

***103 33/61: “- - - whenever they (hypocrites and bad non-Muslims, whoever decides whom they are*) are found, they shall be sized and slain (without mercy)”. A good verse for many a mullah and imam - and for starting pogroms. What disturbs us is that this may be the future many places.

Year most likely 626 AD most of it, surah 4:

**104 4/66: “If We (Allah*) had ordered them to sacrifice their lives or to leave their homes, very few of them would have done it (also most Muslims do not like war*): but if they had done what they were (actually) told, it would have been best for them - - -”. Allah had liked them better if they went to war, and willingly. Like the Old Norse religion, Islam glorifies war, at least war for Allah and Muhammad (and his successors). And like the Old Norse religion, to die in battle meant to go to Paradise. That makes ferocious warriors - and today: Ferocious terrorists. Terrorists often do not qualify for Paradise even according to the rules of the Quran (their “war” is not really jihad, even if their leaders have told them so, and/or they practise their “war” in ways not accepted by the Quran - killing the not guilty, f. ex. - even though the terrorists “define” the victims as guilty - intended self murder, really is said not to be according to Islam, etc.), but as long as terrorists believe they are right, the effect is the same.

105 4/67: “And We (Allah) should have given them (the ones reluctant to give their lives, see 4/66) from Our Presence a great reward (if they went to war*)”. One of the many incitements to war for a good and peaceful god or religion or prophet. Sometimes we feel that the ugly West may be after all have a better philosophy and ethics. And may be a more human god, even though it is politically correct to dismiss and slander him.

106 4/68: “And We (Allah) should have shown them (see 4/67 just above) the Straight Way (= the way to Paradise*) (if they went to war)”. War was essential for Muhammad - and still is for some Muslims - and there are lots of incitements to go to war. Like it or not - NT is far, far more peaceful. Yes, even OT, as that tells about old wars, but does not incite to war forever. And it is far from true when Muslims tell Islam is a peaceful religion (except towards fellow Muslims of the same sects).

107 4/71: “O ye who believe! Take your precautions, and either go forth (in war*) in parties or go forth all together”. Be strong when you attack.

*108 4/74a: “Let those fight in the cause of Allah who sell the life of this world for the Hereafter”. If you are willing to exchange your life here on Earth for a future life in Paradise, you should be permitted to - and qualified to - wage war for Allah. (Only let us hope you are not cheated. If something is wrong in the Quran - and at least a number of facts are wrong - you may be in for quite a surprise. Not to mention what a rude awakening you will have if Islam is a dreamed-up religion, and there is another religion that is true. But in the meantime Muslim leaders have a cheap source for warriors and terrorists).

109 4/74b: “To him who fighteth in the cause of Allah - whether he is slain or gets victory - soon shall we give him a reward of great (value) (= Paradise*)”. What can be a better reward? And what can be a cheaper way for leaders to get warriors, than promises of payment in the next life?

**110 4/75: “And why should ye not fight in the cause of Allah - - -?” This sentence tells more about Islam, than explanations longer than the Quran could do. Actually if the Quran had been published today, it had qualified for lawsuits for incitement to hate, incitement to terror, incitement to war, slander, and incitement to discrimination. Actually in the Netherlands the question of prohibiting the book for just these reasons, have been aired. They will not succeed, of course, as it is religion, but just the proposal tells volumes about how “peaceful” the book is. (The Quran is peaceful inside a Muslim society, but not towards non-Muslims - not even towards all Muslim societies, see the strife between Sunni Muslims (that in a little way can be likened to Protestants among Christians) and Shiia Muslims (that in a little way can be likened to Catholics)).

***111 4/76: “Those who believe (Muslims*) fight in the cause of Allah, and those who reject Faith (non-Muslims*) fight in the cause of Evil: so fight ye against the friends of Satan - - -”. To say the least of it: The words are not to be misunderstood: Fight the non-Muslims, because they are the friends of Satan.

*112 4/77: “Our Lord! Why hast Thou ordered us to fight?” This is a question from a Muslim not wanting to fight - and we should remember that after all they are the majority (but the trouble for non-Muslims is that it is difficult and impossible to know who are terrorists, who are helping terrorists, who give money to terrorists, who has sympathy with terrorism and who are just plain - and often sympathetic - humans). But the verse makes it very clear - as do many other verses in the Quran - that war for the religion is a duty and an order. Who said that terrorists have to disuse the Quran to find incitement and reasons?

113 4/77: Said on behalf of Allah to those who do not want to go to war for Islam: “Short is the enjoyment of this world: the Hereafter is the best for those who do right: - - -”. And the ones doing right, are those who fight wars for Allah.

*114 4/78: “Wherever you are, death will find you, even if ye are in towers built up strong and high!” This is one side of the Quran’s and Islam’s predestination: No matter where you are, you will die when the time Allah has allotted you are up. Therefore it is no more dangerous for you in a battle than in your bed - you will not die before your time is up anyhow. Of course it is wrong - if not your intelligence tells you that, it is easy to prove by statistics. But naïve or religiously blind persons may believe it - and then Muhammad got (and gets) the effect he wanted.

115 4/84: “Then fight in Allah’s cause - - -”. Once more a clear order. See f. ex. 4/78.

116 4/89: “But if they (the ones not strongly enough believing in Islam and in Muhammad) turn renegades, size them and slay them: and (in any case) take no friends or helpers from their rank”. Two quotes from the New Testament: “Love your enemies”, “You shall not kill”. The ones believing Allah and Yahweh is the same god, has to study psychology.

117 4/90: “- - - if they withdraw from you but fight you not, and instead send you (guarantees of) peace, then Allah hath opened no way for you (to war against them).” Positive, if very limited. But it is a question how often even this limited order for peace is respected. How many kidnapped persons fought the terrorists? - They were kidnapped anyhow, and some cold-bloodedly murdered. This not to mention what has happened through the history.

118 4/91: “- - - if they (the non-Muslims) withdraw not from you nor give you (guarantees) of peace, size them and slay them wherever you get them: - - -”. NT: “Turn the other cheek”, “Love your enemy”, “You shall not kill”. The god of the New Testament definitely has a totally different mentality. If Yahweh and Allah are not a case of Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde, it is not possible it is the same god.

119 4/94: “Say not (warrior during raid/war*) to anyone who offers you solution: ‘Thou art none of a believer!’” – coveting the perishable goods of this life - - -.” Most of Arabia and many other places were turned Islamic in this way – people became Muslims to save life and what they owned. And some were not believed or pretended not to be believed, so that the warriors could steal – or kill or rape – as they wished.

120 4/95a: “Not equal are those believers who sit (at home) and receive no hurt, and those who strive in the cause of Allah with their goods and their persons. Allah hath granted a grade higher to those who strive with their goods and persons (remember; in the Quran strife normally means physical fighting) than those who sit (at home).” A very clear incitement for going to war. Anyone believing the Quran is peaceful towards any outsider after having read the book, needs help.

121 4/95b: “Unto all (in Faith (= all Muslims)) hath Allah promised good: but those who strive hath He distinguished above those who sit (at home) by special reward -.” Are any comments necessary? - except f. ex. compare this to NT. The Quran is far more warlike and murder-like than NT or f. ex. Buddhism - far more.

And what a nice verse for a terrorist!

122 4/100: “He who forsakes his home in the cause of Allah - - - should he die as a refugee from home for Allah and his Messenger, his reward becomes due and sure with Allah - - -”. Even if you do not die in battle, just die in war - f. ex. from illness or accident - it seems like

you go directly to Paradise. War for Allah really is valuable for Muslims. A fact any terrorist "knows" - most of them are Muslims.

123 4/104: "He who forsakes his home in the cause of Allah - - - should he die as a refugee from home for Allah and His (Allah's) Messenger (Muhammad*), his reward becomes due and sure with Allah - - -." Die for Muhammad – and for Allah if he exists – and be sure to go to heaven and the (mainly) sensual pleasures there. What more can a primitive – often brutalized from war and from his own inhuman deeds - young warrior dream of? Muhammad got many and for him cheap warriors.

**124 4/141: "And never will Allah grant to the unbelievers a way to triumph over the believers". The development seemed to justify this verse for Muslims for 110 years - they lost battles, but hardly ever a war, at least not as they stormed westwards. Not until they lost the war in France against Carl Martell in 732. That made an impression - at least for some - and for a time made some doubt the infallibility of the Quran. The same effect - and stronger - one got over the losses against the west during the 1800s and early 1900. Allah - why did he not let them win?

But it is meant as pep talk. And an efficient pep talk it really is. Most active Muslims expect to win total power on Earth sooner or later in accordance with Allah's/Muhammad's word.

Will it be like living in Saudi Arabia, Algeria, Turkey, North Pakistan, Libya, Ethiopia, or Iran? Or Afghanistan?

Year: Late 628 AD. Surah 48 (100):

**125 48/15: "Those who lagged behind (did not take active part in the battle or fight*) (will say), when ye (the "real" warriors*) (are free to) march and take booty (in war) - - -". The stealing and robbing and taking of slaves and women, are for the active warriors only - a huge incentive for poor, uneducated ruffians. Is it partly the same effect we see in Darfur and saw f. ex. in Bangladesh and East Timor? - easy to find warriors, and most inhuman behaviour. May such things happen other places if Islam grows strong enough and fanatics - or the "right" kind of mullahs or imams - take over the leadership? There are tendencies in London, and many of the 9/11 terrorists came from Hamburg - not to mention the unrest in many cities in France in 2006, and for that case in some a little liberal countries in (North) Africa where fanatics want to take over power (one exception may - just may - be Turkey, which has been secular since Ataturk in the 1920s. There may be a chance. Strangely enough also Bangladesh and Malaysia have a small chance to become human democracies - and perhaps Jordan and a couple of the emirates benevolent dictatorships. But the rest of all the Muslim world either is in the grip of fanatics or is drifting that way at present - towards what some call Muslim radicalism, others Muslim conservatism - likely the most correct expression - and even others Islamism, but which all just are different names for fanatics).

What had the world looked like today if the Muslim world had not petrified into stony fundamentalism and stagnated? - if it was the Muslim world who had developed industry and superior weapons and ways of fighting? You can bet against very heavy odds that the world now had been ruled by imams, and without any realistic chance of ever becoming a free world.

****126 48/16a:** “Say to the desert Arabs (but most of the Quran is valid for all people and all times according to Islam*) who lagged behind: ‘Ye shall be summoned (to fight) against a people given to vehement war: then shall ye fight, or they shall submit. Then if ye show obedience (fight*), Allah will grant you a goodly reward (rich spoils of war and Paradise*) - -’”. A huge carrot to make fighting attractive - but what peaceful religion goes far out to make war attractive?

*****127 48/16b:** “- - - but if ye (see first part of 48/16a just above*) turn back (refuse to fight*) as ye did before, He (Allah*) will punish you with a grievous Penalty”. Very clear words from Muhammad and then Islam: Do battle or end in Hell. Some nice "Religion of Peace".

When you see the verse 48/16 as a whole, there is only this conclusion possible to draw: A man who says the Quran teaches a human and peaceful and friendly religion towards non-Muslims and some fractions of Muslims, either has not read the Quran, or is deceiving himself, or is lying - and knows he is lying. And any man believing him either has not read the Quran, or is deceiving himself or is naïve.

The same is the only conclusion possible after reading the whole Quran, and especially the surahs from Medina - which on top of all dominates over the more peaceful ones from Mecca according to Islam's own rule abrogation, as the ones from Medina are younger.

If a book about politics or any other subject than religion (actually for Muslims religion is politics), inciting so strongly to hate, (religious) racism, suppression (of women and of all outsiders), rape, murder and war, it had been prohibited in all civilized and most little civilized countries. (It is proposed to prohibit it in the Netherlands, but it will not be politically possible to do it).

*****128 48/17a:** “- - - but he (the Muslim*) that obeys Allah and His Messenger (Muhammad*) - (Allah) will admit him to Gardens beneath which rivers flow - - -”. The great War God (Allah) and his representative and High Commander on Earth (Muhammad) will admit any dead warrior (aka robber, murderer, rapist, etc., “good and lawful” according to the Quran) to their warriors' paradise with riches and women. Also see 48/16a and 48/16b just above.

128 48/17b: “and he (see first part of 48/17a just above*) who turns back (from doing battle*) (Allah) will punish him with a grievous Penalty.” No more comments necessary - but see 48/17a just above + 48/16a and 48/16b above.

Year 630 - 632 AD, surah 57:

****130 57/10:** “And what cause have ye why ye should not spend in the cause of Allah? - For to Allah belongs the heritage of the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth.” Scattered many places in the Medina part of the Quran you will find incitements to giving money or spending recourses for Muhammad and the faith. Some places it simply may mean spending for charity to the poor. But mostly it refers to spending for war (in this verse there is little doubt that this is what is meant, as this is a verse glorifying war). Also - and especially when Muhammad talks about “giving a nice gift to Allah”, “a beautiful loan” or something similar, it means that you/the Muslims should give their lives for Allah “and his Messenger” (if the Quran is made up, the “gift” is just for Muhammad) and be repaid in the next life - cheap for

Muhammad and later Muslim leaders at least, especially if the religion is a made up one, like all the mistakes, contradictions, etc. may indicate.

We have skipped these verses. But thinking it over they are verses meaning much for Muslims giving money to among others terrorists and terrorist organisations. May be we will include some more of those verses if this is ever revised. But remember that there exist a number of sentences like this in the Quran - also economy counts for a leader trying to gain more political or military power.

****131 57/10:** “Not equal among you are those who spent (freely) and fought before the Victory (before taking Mecca 630 AD*), (with those who did so later. Those are higher in rank - - - “. It is typical for Islam after 622 AD in Medina, that what counts is not what kind of man (women counts little) you are, what good things you have done - though charity counts some - how you care for your fellow citizens, what is in your heart, etc. What really counts is how eager a warrior you are - and here Muhammad/Allah is giving the early warrior followers some extra praise.

Compare this to NT and see if Allah = Yahweh. Impossible unless Yahweh - or Allah - is mentally ill.

***132 57/10:** “Those (the early warrior followers*) are higher in rank than those who spent (freely) afterwards. But to all has Allah promised a goodly (reward).” War - and spending from your possessions for war - is what really counts (this is especially clear as the Quran other places in words not possible to understand says that the ones just giving to and helping, are not equal to the real warriors).

Did somebody say something about a peaceful religion or about a good and peaceful and kind Muhammad?

***133 57/11:** “Who is he that will loan to Allah a beautiful loan (= give Allah his life in war*)? For Allah will increase it manifold to his credit, and he will have (besides) a liberal reward.” Be killed in war and the god will reward you. This may remind one of the Old Norse religion or of Gengis Khans's religion - but it DEFINITELY is no confirmation of the Bible, and especially not NT. And is this a good god?

134 57/18: “For those who give to Charity, men and women, and loan to Allah a Beautiful Loan, it shall be increased manifold (to their credit), and they shall have (beside) a liberal reward.” Well, here at last also the not warriors are included - warriors and all others will get rewards in the next life - with no expenses for the warlord Muhammad. (Muhammad in Medina was a typical warlord of his time - like some you even today find in uncivilised parts of Pakistan and Afghanistan. It may be said that he was not worse than other warlords in Arabia at that time, but it definitely is true that he neither was any better, more human, nor less bloody. Which he should have been if he had represented a good god).

***Year 631 AD, Surah 9:**

Verse 9/5 is the famous and infamous “Sword Verse”. And as if this verse was not bloody enough, it is strengthened by among others these verses: 4/91, 8/39, 8/60, 9/29, 9/33, 9/73, 9/123, 25/36, 33/61, 33/73, 47/4, and 66/9. Which means that f. ex. a central verse in the pro-Islamic not always honest (al-Taqiyya?) propaganda, like verse 2/256: “Let there be no

compulsion in religion” is killed and made invalid – abrogated – by at least these 13 different verses (and actually a good number more - at least some 30.).

135 9/5a: The Muslims and Muhammad had treaties with some pagan tribes who had kept their part of the treaties as promised. Muhammad therefore could not attack them before the time/months of agreement were over, “for Allah loveth the righteous”.

“But when the forbidden months are past, then fight and slay the Pagans wherever you find them, and seize them and beleaguer them, and lie in wait for them in any stratagem of war”.

1. Do you see the sick irony? Your partners - kill them as soon as permitted because they are not Muslims. Can the same happen to others they have treaties with?
2. Pagans - also Arab pagans - got a very rough treatment often (though modern Muslims never mention that when talking about how well past Muslims treated non-Muslims); frequently they only got two choices: Die or become Muslims - in open violation of the Quran’s word about no compulsion in religion. A word they often like to quote today even though they know it is dead - abrogated by 2 -3 dozen later verses.
3. This verse may be one of the reasons for the Muslims’ behaviour f. ex. when conquering India (now Pakistan + India + Bangladesh) and in Africa. There were large-scale mass murders.
4. It also is a very nice word for terrorists today, as words from one situation in the Quran, normally can be used in similar situations - and as Muslim terrorists have no treaties with non-Muslims, and especially not in the West, it is just to start killing, because even if Europeans are not pagans, they are not Muslims. The same goes for their behaviour in f. ex. Darfur.

***136 9/5b: “- and lie in wait for them with any stratagem of war”.

1. The laws of war have labourously been agreed on little by little since the battle of Solferino and before, to make war a little less inhuman. Terrorists accept not one single of the rules. The more inhuman the better often. A good religion.
2. This sentence is a clear “go ahead” for terrorists for absolutely any atrocity - in Europe or anywhere.

Nice neighbours (but just remember that only a few Muslims are that kind of neighbours - though it may at times be difficult to know which is which, and that is a problem for any non-Muslim).

***137 9/5c: Pagans - and sometimes others - often got a rough deal from Muslims. See the other parts of 9/5 just above. The choice often was: Fight and be killed or become Muslims: “But if they (the pagans, etc.) repent, and establish regular prayers (= become Muslims) and practise regular charity, then open the way for them (= let them live*)”. Muslims say that according to the Quran there is no force or compulsion used by Islam to change religion (except pressure, economy, etc. and some pogroms). But this verse says the straight opposite - though we never hear it quoted by Muslims, for some reason or other. But this verse is from as late as 631 AD. According to Islam’s rules if two or more verses “collide”, the newest of them is the right one and the older ones are invalidated. And this verse preaches: Kill them unless they become Muslims. What is a poor non-Muslim to believe? Especially as we know Muslims under some circumstances are permitted to lie for non-Muslims.

138 9/12: “But if they (the leaders in Mecca*) violate their oath after their covenant - - - fight ye the chiefs of the Unfaithful - - -”. Well, for once Muhammad has a valid reason according to the laws of war: A broken covenant may be a reason for resumed war. This is shortly after taking Mecca, and he does not quite trust the old rulers (but the covenant was not broken, and there was no more war over Mecca).

**139 9/14a: “Fight them (the “unbelievers”*), and Allah will punish them by your hands, cover them with shame, help you (to victory) over them - - -”. When you fight non-Muslims, you are doing the work of the good and benevolent god Allah.

Some religion: Hating, fighting, stealing, plundering, raping, enslavement, and murdering are the work of the god.

And remember: The “ethics” in the Quran was for then, for now and for ever - for us and for our children and our descendants for all future.

“What a wonderful world!” to quote Louis Armstrong.

But why does an omnipotent god need humans for doing the killing and suppression?

140 9/14a: “(Allah will) help you (to victory) over them, heal the breasts of the Believers”. To murder or mass murder non-Muslims in battle or other ways - remember that Muslims are ordered to “lie in wait for them with any stratagem of war” (9/5) - will heal the breasts of the good Muslims.

It seems us that Muslims living according to the Quran may be not the best of neighbours. (But again: Remember that only a minority live according to these parts of that book - though our dangerous difficulty is that it often is impossible to know who is who).

141 9/16: “Or think ye that ye shall be abandoned, as though Allah did not know those among you who strive with their might and main (“strive with your might and main” is an expression you meet many times in the Quran - it simply means fight in war*)”. Rest assured; Allah will help you in war - and give you Paradise when you die. War religions often have this kind of pep talks and of “happy end” - just think about Thor and the Valhalla.

142 9/19a: “Do ye make the giving of drink to pilgrims, or the maintenance of the Sacred Mosque (Kabah in Mecca), equal to (the pious service of) those who believe in Allah and the Last Day and strive with their might and mind (see 9/16 just above*) in the cause of Allah?”

Warriors in Darfur and terrorists anywhere think they are doing a pious service by killing and murdering - - and gang raping like in Darfur and other places where it is more like normal wars, not hit and run - and of course stealing and robbing for “good and lawful” reward also in this world.

Yes, a good and human religion.

And some future for non-Muslims - one place in the Quran the warriors are reminded that there are places with rich spoils of war not taken yet.

**143 9/19b: “They (Muslims doing good deeds*) are not comparable in the sight of Allah (with the ones killing/waging war for Allah*)”. Terrorists and others using weapons and murdering for Allah, are the best Muslims.

Guess if verses like these do their work on some single-minded or fanatic Muslims. Who said “there are verses in the Quran that can be disused for war and terror”? It hardly is possible to be a really pious Muslim without using weapons against non-Muslims.

***144 9/20a: “Those who believe, and suffer exile and strive with their might and main (= fight with weapons*), in Allah’s cause, with their goods and their persons (= fighting personally in war or terrorism - “any stratagem of war”*), have the highest rank in the sight of Allah - - -”.

Terrorists (- “any atrategm of war” -) and other warriors are doubtlessly the very best Muslims.

In possible future times of troubles - remember that Muslims are ordered to make Islam the dominant religion and to suppress the members of all other religions - the few (? - 30% of Muslims “understands why terrorists do what they do“ according to international polls, tough that number varies some*) Muslims living according to the highest “ethics” for Muslims, will make a powerful and efficient 5. column in the West and other places. That is a simple military and security fact.

145 9/20b: “- - - they (terrorists/warriors) are the people who will achieve (salvation)”. At least the Quran is honest about some things - just like Hitler was in “Mein Kampf” - - - and few did believe Hitler until it was too late.

146 9/21: “Their (terrorists/warriors) Lord (Allah*) doth give them glad tidings of a Mercy (that terrorists/warriors for Allah are forgiven practically any sin*) from Himself (from Allah personally*), of His good pleasure (for the fighting and murdering they have done for the good and kind god*), and the Gardens for them, wherein are delights (earth like riches and women*) that endures”. The ultimate pep talk for war, terror and murder? In the name of a presumably peaceful religion and a kind and good god?

147 9/22: “They (terrorists/warriors) will live therein (in paradise) for ever. Verily in Allah’s presence is a reward, the greatest (of all)”. Warriors/terrorists are in so high esteem in

the eyes of Allah, that they will be invited to live in the parts of paradise that has Allah's presence. (In the Muslim paradise some parts are even better than others - Jesus f. ex. only lives in the 2. heaven, whereas Moses was a better prophet and lives in heaven number four, and top prophets like Abraham and Muhammad of course in number seven, closest to Allah, who resides above number seven. In addition some regions of the heavens are better than others.

Compared to 9/21 this may be an even more ultimate pep talk.

***148 9/24: "If (your closest family or closest relatives*) are dearer to you than Allah, or His Messenger (Muhammad*), or the striving (waging war*) in His (Allah's*) cause - then wait until Allah brings his Decision (- he will punish you*)". Islam is a religion of extremes. Nothing - NOTHING - can be permitted to mean more for you than Muhammad - and war for Islam - on this earth, and Allah in the possible next.

149 9/25-26: "And Allah did help you in many battlefields and on the day of the Hunayn - - -". Of course a god of war helps his warriors in battle - good pep talk. The battle at Hunayn in 630 AD is specially mentioned. This battle the Muslims were on the point of loosing in spite of heavily outnumbering the enemy, when the battle-hardened core of Muhammad's army plus the reality of 3 times as many warriors, finally manage to turn the tide of the battle - but for the morals of the large number of fresh warriors, it of course was better psychology to explain that it was Allah who - as usual - wanted the Muslims to win, and sent down an army of invisible angels fighting together with the Muslims.

150 ***9/29: "Fight those who believe not in Allah - - -." A most clear order - - - in spite of "no compulsion in religion" (2/256).

151 9/37: "- - - and fight the Pagans all together as they fight you all together (even in the four holy months of old Arabia and of Islam*)". As long as Muhammad talked about real self-defence, this is ok. When they started wars and fought in holy months (or plundered caravans as highway men) - even at pretexts - they broke their own rules. (Muslims reckon a year to be 12 moons - not 12 months. Because of that, the Muslim year is shorter than an international year (ca. 11 days shorter - and 100 normal years = ca. 103 Muslim years)), and consequently the Muslim months drift compared to the international calendar. Because of that also the time of the holy months vary from one normal year to the next - though in the old time the pagan Arabs had leap months. Another effect is that the years run a little quicker in Islam than in the rest of the world. F. ex. there will be more than 1400 (ca. 1442) Muslim years between 622 AD and 2022 AD.)

152 9/38: "O ye who believe! What is the matter with you, that, when ye are asked to go forth in the Cause of Allah (= to go to war*), ye cling heavily to the earth. Do ye prefer the life of this world to the Hereafter?" A very rhetoric question it is very difficult for a really pious Muslim not to answer "no" to. And also a question that tells a lot about Islam.

***153 9/39: "Unless ye go forth (in war/battle*), He (Allah*) will punish you with a grievous penalty (normally in the Quran a synonym for Hell*) - - -". An order not possible to misunderstand for a pious - or fanatic - Muslim.

Yes, a religion built on peace, goodness and heavenly ethics.

154 9/40: “If ye help not (your Leader (then Muhammad - today any religious leader?*)), (it is no matter (for Allah helps*)).” This is said partly on a special background, but like so many places in the Quran, it may mean all Muslims at any time.

**155 9/41: “Go ye forth (in war/battle*) (whether equipped) lightly or heavily, and strive and struggle (= fight*) with your goods and your person (= personally*), in the cause of Allah”. An order not possible to misunderstand - not even in as peaceful a religion as Islam.

**156 9/44: “Those who believe in Allah and the Last Day ask for no exemption from striving with their goods and persons (= waging war*)”.

If you are a real Muslim, you do not refrain from going to war.

157 9/44: “And Allah knowes well those (the Muslims going to war*) who do their duty”. It is not possible to deny - like most Muslims and many politically correct others try to do today - that war (against “unbelievers”) is a duty for Muslims. It is impossible to say it more directly than the Quran does here.

***158 9/45: “Only those ask for exemption (from doing battle*) who believe not in Allah and the right day”. Terrorists and fanatical mullahs/imams are right and do right according to the Quran.

159 9/46: “If they (the ones reluctant to go to war*) had intended to come out (to the battlefield*), they should certainly have made some preparations therefore; but Allah was averse to their being sent forth, so he made them lag behind - - -”. Pep talk about the ones not wanting to go to war; ‘do not mind them - they are bad quality Allah did not want’ - no reason to lose your nerve.

160 9/47: “But Allah knoweth well those who do wrong (= those not wanting to go to war*)”. The terrorists are right: It is not the terrorists who do wrong, it is the ones reluctant to murder and kill and fight. Really a religion for peace and goodness.

161 9/49: “(Some say*) ‘Grant me exception (from going to war*) and draw me not into trial’. Have they not fallen into trial already?” The Muslims not wanting war are already judged and doomed.

162 9/51: “Nothing will happen to us except what Allah has decreed for us - - -”. To do battle is not more deadly than sleeping in your bed, as Allah already has decided your hour of death. Naïve and uneducated people and religious fanatics may really believe this - in those cases it is one terrific piece of pep talk for doing battle.

***163 9/52: “Can you expect for us (any fate) other than one of the two glorious things - (martyrdom or victory)?”

A warrior or terrorist can only win.

Well, he may become an invalid f. ex. and live a long life in misery - but that is never mentioned. Also his family may live in misery - also never mentioned.

Also the Quran NEVER mentions that the non-Muslims are humans or what the devastation of their culture and lives means to them - it is of absolutely no consequence and without the slightest interest. The destruction of Persia - and for that case the East Roman culture or the terror in Pakistan/India - represented long series of terrible dramas and catastrophes for people and culture and science, but the only things that counted - and still counts - for Islam, was a lot of spoils of war - and power and riches for their leaders, and like it or not: Frequently forcing people to become Muslims - frequently by weapons, and always by social and other pressure and by extra tax, often high. Even today we have never met a Muslim able to see that side of their wars or murders or suppression, not to mention what rape and enslavement meant to millions of victims - never to this day, not one single time. Only in the western culture the ability to see that is widespread - a military weak spot, but one of the few points that perhaps makes the western culture better than some others of the big ones. (To say anything good about the West is politically incorrect, but we do not care for what is politically correct - we are able to think ourselves, and what counts is what is correct, not what is politically correct).

***164 9/73: “Strive (fight*) hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” Straight words for your money.

165 9/81: The ones not willing to do battle may end in hell.

166 9/83: The ones not willing to do battle are to be socially despised.

157 9/84: “Nor do thou ever pray for any of them (those that did not want to go to war*) that dies, nor stand at his grave, for they rejected Allah and (not least?*) His Messenger (Muhammad*), and died in a state of perverse rebellion.” It is perverse not to obey when Islam wants war. If not the carrot of stealing riches, taking slaves and raping women should attract the ones reluctant to go to war, then use heavy social pressure to force them. War is very essential for the Quran. And “is”, not only “was” - “is” for all future.

**168 9/85: “Allah’s plan is to punish them (the ones not wanting to go to war*) with these things in this world, and that their souls may perish in their (very) denial of Allah”. Refusing war means:

1. Social contempt.
2. To most likely end in hell.
3. To deny Allah.

Is it possible to put more social and religious pressure on a man to make him go to war - willing or not? Anyone saying Islam is peaceful, either has not read the Quran, is repeating “correct” words but wrong meanings, or is a Muslim (who believes it or not believes it).

169 9/86: “When a surah comes down, enjoining them to believe in Allah and strive and struggle (= make war*) with His Messenger (Muhammad*) - - - (some do not want to go to war - they prefer to stay with the women, not a nice reputation for an Arab in 631 AD, not to mention for a Bedouin warrior*)”. But after all not every Muslim liked – or likes – war.

170 9/88: “But the Messenger (Muhammad*), and all those who believe with him, strive and struggle with their wealth and their persons (= wage war*): for them are (all) good things (like spoils of war, slaves, women to rape, etc.*): and it is they who will prosper”

To say the least of it: Incitement to wage war for Muhammad/Islam.

171 9/89: “Allah hath prepared for them (his warriors/terrorists) Gardens under which rivers flow, to dwell therein: that is the supreme felicity”.

Once more - to say the least of it: Incitement to wage war for Muhammad - and for Allah if he exists.

172 9/93: “The ground (of complaint (for not wanting war*)) is against such as claim an exemption while they are rich - - -”. If you can afford to go to war - or if someone, included the leaders (see 9/92) can help you - then it is a valid reason for complaint and contempt if you do not want to go to war.

A stark contrast to NT: “Thou shalt not Kill”.

173 9/94: Do not believe the excuses from the ones not wanting to go to war - the real reason is that they are bad Muslims.

A good Muslim goes to war whenever his leaders call.

***174 9/95: “So leave them (the ones not wanting to wage war*): for they are an abomination, and Hell is their dwelling-place - a fitting recompense for the (evil) they did”. Not to hate and kill and steal and rob and rape and enslave and murder is an abomination and evil. In that way the raise of power for Muhammad and Islam would go slower?

To wage war is the absolute duty for any fit Muslim who can afford it. No misunderstanding possible.

Brave future world.

Do you still believe that Allah is the same god as the Yahweh in NT?

175 9/96: “- - -Allah is not pleased with those who disobey (and refuse war)”. The good, kind, wise god.

176 9/111a: “Allah hath purchased of the Believers their persons and their goods; for theirs (in return) is the Garden (of Paradise) - - -”. This may be a good deal for you and for Muhammad if Allah exists and really is a bloody enough god to want this. If Allah does not exist, it ONLY is a good deal for Muhammad and his successors - and for a lot of religions there is every reason to believe the gods are made up - and the only ones who profit are the religious leaders. (For Islam this is in reality proven - no omniscient god makes that many mistakes, contradictions, etc. like what you find in the Quran).

177 9/111b: “- - - they (warriors/terrorists*) fight in His (Allah’s (or Muhammad’s?*)) cause, and slay and are slain: (and get great reward in Paradise*)”. Can anyone really read the Quran - even a Muslim - and afterwards believe the Quran represents a good, peaceful, perfect god? One is reminded of the blood orgies of the Mayas and Incas, except Islam mostly kills at the spot - like the Assyrians.

****178 9/111c:** “- - - they fight in His (Allah’s*) cause, and slay and are slain: a promise binding on Him in truth, through the Law, the Gospel, and the Quran - - -”. This is not even wrong - it is nonsense, and can only be made up by someone not knowing the Gospels. There is nothing like this in the Gospels - this even if Islam pretends the text refers to a Gospel that has disappeared (there are references to the word “sword“, but not as part of war or incitement to war). There is a theoretical possibility for that there existed an older Gospel, but this fairy tale or nightmare is not taken from that one either. Because if it ever existed, we know the contents of it, as three of the present Gospels in case used that one as their main source (the other theoretical possibility is that two of those Gospels used the oldest one as their source - in that case there is no reason to believe there ever was older Gospel, but it is to be hoped there was, because that gives an even older written source for the Bible - and makes it even more reliable according to all rules for study of history and for such science. By the way: No serious student or professor of history use the Quran as a source for happenings older than 610 AD - which tells volumes about how they evaluate the reliability of this book presumably sent down by an omniscient god).

But the real reason why it is not the slightest doubt that this is made up, is that the sentence so totally and 180 degrees oppose the very teachings of the NT - and the entire NT.

179 9/120a: “It was not fitting for the people of Medina and the Bedouin Arabs of the neighbourhood, to refuse to follow Allah’s Messenger (to wage war*) - - - “. But it is fitting for Islam to steal and rob and kill end enslave and suppress. What then about “let there be no compulsion in religion”? - or about religious wars?

And it was “fitting” to make Muhammad a powerful warlord.

180 9/120b: “It was not fitting for (them - see first part of 9/120*) to refuse to follow Allah’s Messenger, nor to prefer their own lives to his - - -”. Incitement to war. What does just this tell about Islam? And what it does tell about Muhammad and Islam is that the Quran is - not a fairy tale, but a demon tale?

And: make Muhammad a powerful warlord! Hitler said similar things (actually some intellectuals compared Nazism to Islam before WW2.)

181 9/120c: “- - - (not*) to refuse to follow (in war) Allah’s Messenger (Muhammad*), nor to prefer their lives to his: because nothing could they suffer or do, but was reckoned to their credit as a deed of righteousness - - - in the cause of Allah - - -”. A testimony of religious blindness and darkness worthy any of the most bloody pagan religions - or modern sects praying to the Devil for a god. Or the darkest sides of Nazism or communism.

182 9/120d: “- - - (suffered*) in the Cause of Allah, or trod paths to raise the ire (not in self defence*!!!) of the Unbelievers, or received any injury whatever from an enemy. For Allah suffered not the reward to be lost to those who do good - “. To wage war, with all the destruction and suffering that means, is to do good things in the eyes of Allah and Islam/the Quran.

Make your own comments. Think your own thoughts.

Allah = Yahweh in NT? Only if the god is schizophrenic.

183 9/123: “Fight the Unbelievers who gird you about, and let them find firmness in you: and know Allah is with those who fear Him (= the Muslims*)”. If "I" do not like what you do - or if "I" use a little conspiracy theories, like Muslims and especially the ones in the Middle East often do, and think you are up to bad things, you surely are girding me about, and it is "my" right according to the Holy Quran to kill you.

We do hope none of the Muslims in our neighbourhood thinks like that. The problem is that many proven Muslim terrorists also were thought to be good persons - it is not possible to know who the few are. How do you see the difference between a human and an inhuman Muslim? Like the ones who filmed victims they burned alive.

Year 632 AD, surah 5:

184: 5/33: “The punishment of those who wage war against Allah and His Messenger (Muhammad – the one they in praxis made war against on Earth*) - - - is execution, or crucifixion, or cutting off hands and feet (one hand and one foot*) on opposite sides, or exile from the land”. This was no paper decition – all too frequently mass murder of vanquished victims took place. The women and children often were made slaves, and the med killed – by the hundreds and thousands and ten thousands. Delhi in India was one of the places where the mass murder reached 100ooo. But still today Muslims talk about the brutality of the Crusaders.

185 5/35: “Do your duty to Allah, seek the means of approach unto Him, and strive with might and mind (= make war*) in His Cause: that ye may prosper”. You prosper if you do like this. Actually Islam after a few centuries (ca 1100 AD - or actually 1095 AD in the eastern and central Muslim area and ca. 1198 in the western) found that there was no prosperity in thinking and researching and studying - except studying and repeating the religion and related subjects.

186 5/54: “- - - fighting in the way of Allah - - -”. Unlike when you fight for Jesus and Yahweh with your brain and words and good deeds, when you fight for Muhammad and Allah you fight with weapons and wage war and terror - a laudable thing to do. Or?

187 5/64: “Amongst them (the Jews*) We (Allah*) have placed enmity and hatred till the Day of Judgement. Every time they kindle the fire of war, Allah doth extinguish it; but they (ever) strive to do mischief on earth”. Well, the times Muhammad fought against Jewish tribes, it was Muhammad who used or made up a reason to start the fight. The same when the Muslims 6 years after Muhammad conquered Palestine (and stole/robbed it so clean that there was extensive hunger f. ex. in Jerusalem).

Year not known, surah 13:

188 13/41: “See they (non-Muslims*) not that We (Allah/Muslims*) gradually reduce the land (in their control) - - - “.

A fitting and thought provoking last verse about war and Islam/the Quran.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER IV/2.

Also see the post scripture to the chapter before – chapter IV/1.

Reading the Quran's thoughts, orders, incitements, glorifying, and threats concerning Muslims' duty to wage war, is very thought provoking.

Islam and Muslims tell and tell and tell what a good and peaceful and perfect religion Islam is. Many Muslims even honestly believe it - they have never read the whole Quran, or they are religiously blind, seeing only what they want to see. Or may be they rationalize all of it as being self defence, no matter what aggression it really was from Muslims that started it (like the war with Mecca) - words are cheap and things can be "explained". Though from 622 AD the Quran impresses and impresses hate and stealing and rape and war.

But it is impossible that the leaders and the ones that have studied the Quran do not know it - though some weak souls may have glossed it over or let only the verses they want to see, count.

But the real, intelligent leaders know it:

The Quran does not tell about a peaceful, good, and benevolent god or religion. It tells about a religion founded on and bent on power and war and blood and the devil take - in a double meaning - the ones not strong enough to resist "our" armies and terrorists. Any tactic of war is permitted and glorified. Not only that: War is a holy duty for all Muslims - a duty that is impressed and impressed and impressed, and sweetened with promises and excuses painted black with heavy threats. Not to mention the attractive promises of "good and lawful" stealing, plundering, raping, slaves, concubines and riches in this world.

All this for a god Part II and others each proves with mathematical certainty cannot be an omniscient god. No - NO - omniscient god makes that many small and big mistakes. Absolutely no omniscient god - not even a baby dwarf god hidden somewhere in the Aldebaran region, does that. An omniscient god simply makes no mistakes at all - and in the Quran lots and lots of mistakes are plain for anyone to see, except for the religious blind.

Worse: No omniscient god would use wrong facts as "signs" or "proofs" for his existence - and especially not wrong facts or other logically invalid arguments (which there are lots and lots of in the Quran) which he had to know sooner or later would be looked through and destroy his credibility.

The same goes for all the contradictions.

No, Allah - if he exists - is proven by the Quran to be a not omniscient god. But he may be a minor one - not omniscient nor omnipotent (if he had been omnipotent he could easily have proved it, instead of using a lot of fast talk - and even fast talk that at points were psychologically wrong (f. ex. that to make a wonder or two would impress nobody)) - trying to bluff and bully his way to prominence.

He may even make it, thanks to ruthless, inhuman methods.

But a far more likely explanation is that the religion is not from a god at all. The fact that so many of the mistakes are in total accordance with what the science at that time believed, indicates very strongly that Islam is made by someone living at that time - be it Muhammad himself or some accomplice.

More facts strongly indicate the same: Surahs often appeared after a crisis had happened. A god had sent it in time to avoid the crisis. Family problems Muhammad had also were in the “much revered Mother Book” in Allah’s heaven. Think of a holy book - so holy that it is highly revered by a god - that is made by a god or even has existed from eternity - discussing Muhammad’s problems with his women, or scolding his personal petty enemies (surah 111) - - - and laugh.

And according to Islam there have been 124000 prophets and messengers throughout time. But nearly all the Mother Book is made for Arabia and Muhammad. What about all the others? - only a very few have got any guidance, or are mentioned at all. Normally the first prophets would need most guidance. In the presumed Mother Book nearly only Arabia and Muhammad matters.

But if Muhammad or a helper made it up - like many other makers of new sects or religions - everything suddenly fit and make sense.

The same if it is made up by dark forces - except that not even a devil would make all those mistakes, etc., simply because he had to know he sooner or later would be found out and lose his - or her - credibility.

Muhammad was intelligent enough for such a job, and as a former salesman he knew a lot about people. Add enough ruthlessness and desire for power + some luck, and that is it.

But if that is the explanation, what then about all the Muslims if there exists another, true, religion?

At least these chapters (the mistakes, the Mega Mistakes, the war/incitements to war, and the incitements to dislike/hate and discrimination) should be printed, as a book or a booklet reaches much farther in some societies than Internet - too many have no access. For “normal” print shops it may be too dangerous to do it. But underground printers - or printers with special themes - it should be possible. The danger by printing it tells volumes about Islam: Their arguments are not stronger than they have to terrorize and kill to “defend” what they want to believe - and especially to deny and refuse any questions about the religion’s weak spot: Muhammad - a rather dubious man who proclaimed himself to be an infallible prophet (like many others have done). And it tells many more volumes about a possible future where Islam dominates Earth - a black, bleak, stagnant earth dominated by brutal suppression from “big brother” - or like under Hitler or Stalin or Mao or Genghis Khan - at times with pogroms even like under Pol Pot - or under al-Qaida or under Turkey for the Armenians.

If you run the risk, just go ahead and print it - parts of the book (f. ex. these first chapters or the chapters about Jihad or the mistakes) or add the chapters about Muslim points of view on unbelievers or the entire book. But be careful and take precautions. Like Hitler and Stalin and Mao, Islam is goodness worn like a thin disguise sometimes.

“EIN UMMAH, EIN BELIEF, EIN FUHRER!”. (Ummah = Muslim nation).

There also is one fact people should beware of: This perfect religion is trying to make the United Nations make it an international law that it is prohibited to “insult other religions’ prophets” - we think they also include religious symbols. Of all prophets and religious symbols in the world, only Muhammad needs such a law - and that law must be so wide and

so vague that also full truths about him will be prohibited - he was really a rather dubious character, but on this dubious character all Islam rests.

If we permit such a law to pass UN, you can be 100% sure that anything that is not flattering the - according to the propaganda - saintly Muhammad, will be defined as insult or slander to Muhammad and prohibited by Islam. Even if you like us just quote Islam itself (but without the glossing over the harsh reality).

Resist that law whatever religion you belong to.

Added 2009: Too late - Islam succeeded in UN.

Raw manuscript finished: Jan. 16. 2009.

PART IV, CHAPTER 3, (= IV-3-0-0)

JIHAD - HOLY WAR (THOUGH MOSTLY UNHOLY WAR FOR RICHES, BOOTY, SLAVES, POWER AND THE SPREADING OF ISLAM) - IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

TERRORISM IN THE NAME OF ISLAM: JIHAD - HOLY (OR UNHOLY) WAR - IN THE QURAN AND IN ISLAM

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Also see chapters “Muslims are better”, “Make no friends with non-Muslims”, and “Incitement to dislike, hate and suppression”. This chapter overlap with those, but the others are about promotion of general dislike, distance from others and own superiority - making an "enemy-picture" - whereas this chapter mainly is about the direct incitement and motivation for war.

As shown in this book, Islam like it is thought in the Quran is a religion of hate, robbery, enslavement, discrimination and suppression, blood and war - in some ways good for male Muslims, but in principle at war with everyone else - at war to such an extent that according to Islam the world is split in just two parts, the area of Islam and the area of war. Believe it or not, but that is the official name in Islam for all area not dominated by Islam. This should give reason for thinking - we had an as honest book in the 1930s that too many did not want to believe in. That cost some 50 million lives and enormous destructions. “Mein Kampf” by Adolph Hitler.

It also should be reason for deep thinking why it suddenly changed from relatively benign and peaceful, to war and murder and rape and terrorism - not to forget discrimination and hate

against all outsiders, and especially the Pagans – in and after 622 AD. Was it Allah who found he had made a mistake, or was it Muhammad who needed warriors?

As for the situation today, no Muslim country or combination of countries can meet the world in “normal” war - though a few would like to be able to do so, at least for “killing” Israel - a thing that is not possible today, because neither USA nor Europe can accept that Israel is destroyed. Like it or not.

There are at present only two ways of warfare open for them except for in local wars: Atomic weapons/weapons of mass destruction and terrorism.

Pakistan has atomic weapons - and is unstable. If militants are able to grab the power in Pakistan, atomic weapons may be used. If Hitler had had atomic weapons in 1944 and 1945, he had used them. A number of Muslim militants are more fanatic than Hitler - and are in addition sure they are fighting for a god that will take them directly to Paradise if they are killed. May be they would not bomb the west first - Europe is not essential enough for them, and USA far off for their rockets. But perhaps India. Or Israel after all. Or explode an atomic bomb in the hull far below all cargo in a ship in the harbour of New York or London or somewhere. Iran is aspiring for atomic weapons. They have huge reserves of oil - no. 5 in the world, as far as we know - and do not need atomic electricity. May be they would like to make it, but they do not need it. Besides: If they honestly were going only for electricity, there was no reason for not cooperating with the international communities. They have rockets to reach parts of Europe - and Israel. They at present may or may not be working towards that goal - at least for once in the future.

And then there are the terrorists - the other way of war open to them. The popular and politically correct theory is that terrorism derives from poverty. Get rid of poverty, and you get rid of terrorism.

Wrong. Terrorism derives from idealism - idealism run amok. Run by political and/or religious idealists and fanatics.

Neither is it mostly the poor that become terrorists. That simply is propaganda and wrong - they are youths from the middle class and sometimes the upper class. Often well-educated youths with one-tracked minds. This even more goes for self-murder terrorists - though for “local work” also others are recruited. Actually the leaders recruit any such terrorist they can find - some places even the poor and uneducated can bomb and kill. They even have schemes and psychology for how to handle possible prospects - youths one-tracked enough to do it, and others. Strangely enough the leaders - even at lower level - never practise self-murder bombing themselves (we have heard about just one exception and not high up).

One will never get rid of terrorism as long as:

1. There are ideologies preaching hate, suppression, murder and war.
2. As long as ideologies are strong enough to make some persons believe terrorism is a fair solution.
3. As long as ideologies are strong enough to make people support terrorists.

End of poverty may help, but only help - and hardly when it comes to the religious terrorism, that even may grow stronger as they get more money from richer supporters.

In the short run, one has to fight terrorism with what weapons one has got. In the long run one can only become safe from Muslim terrorism by weakening the hate and killing side of the religion. Communist terrorism f. ex. was only reduced when it became clear that something was wrong with communism.

There is no other way for the world to really get rid of Muslim terrorism than to try to reduce the fanatic and hateful way of thinking many Muslims represent. It will take years and generations, but there will always be single-minded Muslim warriors and terrorists if not. Most likely it will never come to an end before the day Muslims start thinking about the reality behind their religion and the obvious fact they refuse to face: All that is wrong with and in the Quran - mistaken facts, other mistakes, invalid "signs" and "proofs", invalid logic, contradictions, etc. - prove 110% that something is seriously wrong with the religion.

But there is a good side to the problem: No one has to tell the Muslims anything but the full truth: There are so many mistaken facts, so many contradictions, and so many invalid statements, "signs", and "proofs", and so much else which is wrong in the book, that it is absolutely obvious that something is wrong with the Quran and hence with the religion. Especially many of the mistaken facts and the contradiction are easy to show. But also how logically invalid many of the "signs" and "proofs" are, and how illogical it is for an omniscient god to use such ones. That and the question: Why the fast and very marked change of the teaching around 622 AD from a peaceful religion to a religion of hate, suppression, rape, robbery, and blood? Was there perhaps a benevolent Allah leading Muhammad for 12 years, but then Muhammad had to hijack the religion to gain enough warriors to stay alive and gain power?

At least at one point there is no discussion: There are lots and lots mistaken facts and contradictions in the book. Every devout Muslim protest, but the mistakes are easy to see anyhow for anybody with some education and able to read. That should do some job, if one could only get it through the religious blindness of many Muslims.

But also beware of what George Bush did not take notice of: The fanatic minds. And the fatalism towards setbacks. Not to mention the imprinted and imprinted statement: Persevere and the enemy give in. F. ex. Spain killed many humans in the future by backing out of Iraq - it "proved" that terrorism works against soft western regimes and people.

And the fact that it sometimes works, sometimes seems to work, and sometimes can be claimed works (f. ex. the economical depression in 2008 and onwards was because of the expenses the West had had because of the Muslim warriors and terrorists according to some claims), is one of the reasons why it continues.

But do not be naïve enough to believe poverty is the main reason – neither for the way of thinking, nor for Islam's ability to produce "normal" terrorists or self murderer ones.

And also remember the word Muslims are impressed and impressed and impressed: Persevere, persevere, persevere, persevere – and the opponent or enemy gives in in the end.

Below we add some of the incitements behind the terrorists' ways of thinking. If you look in the chapters about incitements to war and war, you will find more.

001 2/45: "Nay, seek (Allah's) help with patient perseverance - - -". Then you win in the end.

002 2/153: "Seek help with patient perseverance and Prayer, for Allah is with those who patiently persevere." Keep struggling and the enemy gives in.

003 2/191: "- - - tumult (from non-Muslim underlings against Muslims*) and suppression (of Muslims*) are worse than slaughter (of non-Muslims*) - - -". Plain speech: Kill the non-Muslims if they want (to stay in) ruling positions or try to suppress you. (The just situation is that Muslims shall rule and non-Muslims be suppressed underlings, according to the Quran). Kill them if they will not let you be the rulers.

004 2/193: "And fight them (non-Muslims*) until there is no more tumult or oppression". (A state not obeying sharia – Muslim – laws, or a state prohibiting hijab, etc. according to many Muslims are oppressing and suppressing Muslims and Islam). See 2/191 just above.

005 3/15 + 17: "His (Allah's*) servants (Muslims*) - - - who show patience, firmness, and self-control - - -". They are good people and Allah will help them in the end.

006 3/146: "And Allah loves those who are firm and steadfast (in battle*)". Another mighty incitement to primitive warriors – and terrorists - with strong belief.

007 3/151: "Soon shall We (Allah*) cast terror into the heart of the unbelievers - - -". Of course. One more good pep-talk.

008 3/186: "You shall certainly be tried and tested - - -". Difficulties are just Allah's way of testing you, and you do not pass the test unless you keep fighting. (But why does an omniscient god need to test you - he knows everything already?)

009 3/186: "But if ye persevere patiently - - - then that will be a determining factor in all affairs". Keep fighting and you will win.

010 3/200: "Persevere in patience and constancy; vie in such perseverance; strengthen each other; and fear Allah; that ye may prosper". If George Bush had read this, he had listened to his generals and used more troops - for once USA used too few troops in an invasion when they invaded Iraq.

011 4/76: "- - - fight ye against the friends of Satan - - -". Of course you want to do that – and of course all non-Muslims are friends of Satan.

012 4/95: "Not equal are those believers who sit (at home) and receive no hurt, and those who strive in the cause of Allah with their goods and their person. Allah hath granted a grad higher to those who strive with their goods and their persons". Clear words: Go to war or terrorism and end in a better part of Paradise. Incitement "de luxe".

013 4/95 + 96: "- - - those who strive hath Allah distinguished above those who sit (at home) by a special reward – Ranks specially bestowed by Him (Allah*), and Forgiveness (for anything if you are killed in battle*) and Mercy." No doubt who is the best Muslim and what

is the best deed in Islam – the warrior and the war are most pleasing to Allah. (To call Islam “The religion of peace” is an insult to the intelligence of everybody that has read the surahs from Medina.)

014 8/12: “I (Allah*) will instil terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers: smite ye above their necks and smite all their fingertips off them (making them unable to use a bow*) - - -.” A good incitement to the warriors and terrorists.

But is this really “The god of Peace” heading “the Religion of Peace”? To call this religion like it emerges from the Quran, for “the Religion of Peace” is an insult to the word and to the world – and the reason why the world does not laugh at the claim, is lack of knowledge.

015 8/39: “And fight with them (the Unbelievers who will not convert to Islam*) on until there is no more tumult or oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere”. Comments should be unnecessary. **Fight war till Islam dominates everywhere. A never-ending order and incitement.**

016 8/41: “And know that out of all the booty that ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah (/Muhammad*) - - -.” Which means that 80% is for the warriors and leaders of the war – **an economical incentive that for many a poor man counted much more than the religion – war and terror = good business.** Many became well-to-do, many became rich, and some became very rich – and dream models for new generations of robber warriors and robber barons. But Muslims and Islam never mentions the cost in destruction and destroyed lives that was the price many had to pay for this unjust prosperity of the robbers and destroyers. It frequently took (the surviving) locals 200 years and more just to regain their standard of life, not to mention freedom. The warriors of the good and benevolent god of “the Religion of Peace” frequently mass murdered and massacred and enslaved “en gross” – and stole everything. The price the victims had and have to pay is totally uninteresting - Islam and its Muslims have never reached a cultural level where the suffering of the victims have counted one iota. Perhaps because empathy, moral philosophy, and philosophy of ethics was and is totally absent - the only moral codex is: What did (the morally degenerated highwayman, rapeist, and robber baron) Muhammad do?

017 8/46: “- - - be patient and persevering, for Allah is with those who patiently persevere.” Keep fighting, keep fighting - sooner or later you win. Or the enemy tires and pulls out.

018 8/65: “If there are twenty of you (Muslims*) patient and persevering, they will vanquish two hundred (non-Muslims) - - -”. Well, sometimes it is right.

019 8/65: “O Prophet! (Allah says*) Rouse the Believers to the fight.” Some words for a presumably good and peaceful and benevolent god. And some task for a god and perfect kind man representing a religion insisting to be a good, human and peaceful one. And terrorism is war, they say.

020 8/74: “Those who believe, and adopt exile, and fight for the Faith, in the cause of Allah as well as those who give (them) asylum and aid – these are (all) in very truth the Believers - - - .” A good verse also for terrorists.

021 8/66: “- - - Allah is with those who patiently persevere”. With or without Allah, the tactic may succeed if the opposition consists of soft persons.

022 9/19 “Do ye make the giving of drink to pilgrims, or the maintenance of the Sacred Mosque (Kabah in Mecca*), equal to (the pious service of) those who believe in Allah and the Last Day and strive with their might and mind (= make war*) (see 9/16*) in the cause of Allah?”

Of course the active warriors – or terrorists - are best. F. ex. the warriors in Darfur and terrorists anywhere think they are doing a pious service by killing and murdering - - and gang raping like in Darfur and other places where it is more like normal wars, not hit and run - and of course stealing and robbing for “good and lawful” reward also in this world.

Yes, a good and human and benevolent religion.

And some future for non-Muslims - one place in the Quran, the warriors are reminded that there are places with rich spoils of war not taken yet. In the West? – or other places? It does not matter where – this verse tells once more that the warriors are the best Muslims - - - and spoils of war are tempting.

023 9/20a: “Those who believe, and suffer exile and strive with their might and main (= fight with weapons*), in Allah’s cause, with their goods and their persons (= fighting personally in war or terrorism - “any stratagem of war”*), have the highest rank in the sight of Allah - - -”.

Terrorists and other warriors are doubtlessly the very best Muslims. Become one of them!

024 9/20 “- - - they (terrorists/warriors*) are the people who will achieve (salvation)”. At least the Quran is honest about some things - just like Hitler was in “Mein Kampf” - - - **and few did believe Hitler until it was too late.**

And not least: It is repeating one of the main incitements for war for Muhammad/Allah.

025 9/21: “Their (terrorists/warriors*) Lord (Allah*) doth give them glad tidings of a Mercy (that terrorists/warriors for Allah are forgiven practically any sin*) from Himself (from Allah personally*), of His good pleasure (for the fighting and murdering they have done for the good and kind god*), and the Gardens for them, wherein are delights (earth-like riches and women*) that endures”. The ultimate pep talk for war, terror and murder? In the name of a presumably peaceful religion and a kind and good god?

One question though: How do the women like to be sex toys for often primitive, uneducated, and "raw" warriors? We know Islam does not care for underdogs - only for the rough and brave good Muslims, and mainly Muslim men, but anyhow; how do those women and houries like this paradise?

026 9/22: “They (terrorists/warriors*) will live therein (in Paradise) for ever. Verily in Allah’s presence is a reward, the greatest (of all)”. Warriors/terrorists are in so high esteem in the eyes of Allah, that they will be invited to live in the parts of paradise that has Allah’s presence. (In the Muslim paradise some parts are even better than others - Jesus f. ex. only lives in the 2. heaven, whereas Moses was a better prophet and lives in heaven number four, and top prophets like Abraham and Muhammad of course in number seven, closest to Allah, who resides above number seven. In addition some regions of the heavens are better than others. (This mainly according to Hadiths.)

Compared to 9/21 just above this may be an even more ultimate pep talk.

***027 9/29: “Fight those who believe not in Allah - - -.” Not even an incitement, but a most clear order - - - in spite of “no compulsion in religion” (2/256).

028 9/40: “If ye help not (your Leader (then Muhammad - today any religious leader?)), (it is no matter (for Allah helps*)).” This is said partly on a special background, but like so many places in the Quran, it may mean all Muslims at any time. Help your leaders in war and atrocities – just like what Muhammad needed help for.

029 9/95: “So leave them (the ones not wanting to wage war*): for they are an abomination, and Hell is their dwelling-place - a fitting recompense for the (evil) they did”. Not to hate and kill and steal and rob and rape and enslave and murder is an abomination and evil. In that way the raise of power for Muhammad and Islam would go slower?

To wage war is the absolute duty for any fit Muslim who can afford it. No misunderstanding possible.

Brave future world.

Do you still believe that Allah is the same god as the Yahweh in NT? Or that "Islam is the Religion of Peace?"

But it is a weighty incitement to naive, uneducated primitives.

030 11/49: “So persevere patiently: for the End is for those who are righteous.” The Muslims will win in the end if they keep fighting.

****031 47/4: “Therefore, when ye meet the Unbelievers (in fight), smite at their necks - - -.” A clear order. AND BEWARE: ACCORDING TO OUR SOURCES THE WORDS "IN FIGHT" DOES NOT EXIST IN THE ARAB TEXT. IN THAT CASE THE REAL TEXT IS FAR MORE OMNIOUS AND "PEACEFUL": KILL THE UNBELIEVERS WHENEVER YOU CAN.

032 66/9: “O Prophet (Muhammad*)! Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them. Their abode is Hell - - -.” A clear order and a clear explanation why they are sub-human, and thus deserve to die. “Untermench” always are ok to kill – they deserve it. It also is the right of the “Übermench” to do so – and in the Quran no doubt the Muslims are the “Übermench”. (Quite like the Nazi philosophy - except that according to the Nazis, Arabs were "Untermench". (Übermench = super humans, Untermench = subhumans.)

033 64/17: “If you loan to Allah, a beautiful loan, He will double it to your (credit), and he will grant you Forgiveness - - -.” Very similare thinking to the worst medieval excesses made by the Roman Catholic Church once upon a time. But good slogans for recruiting warriors – and money.

There is a lot more like this - much of it just with other words. Add this to all the other pep talk for warriors in the Quran, and you get something that should never be forgotten - not even by USA.

And of course there is the problem of never knowing who the few are who will turn terrorists, and the not few who are willing to help them - with money at least. The 5. column. Some 30% of Muslims at least have sympathy or “understands why” terrorists are at work, international polls show - more and much more in some places.

And all the same: Never forget that the majority of Muslims absolutely do not want anything but peace and a quiet family life. The ideology of hate and war and suppression is detestable, but not so all the ones of them who are normal people.

It is too late to keep Islam at a distance - too many have immigrated to the west. The absolute majority have moved west for economical reasons, but for Muslims to move into “enemy” territory and then later to try to take control, is a strategy of war frequently advocated in the Quran. That f. ex. was what happened in Indonesia. Besides a scattered few may move west because of or partly because of that ideology - but then it only takes a few to wreak havoc. May be one should not make the problem bigger until we see how the ones already here will develop - how the integration and the culture will develop. Though Paris and other places may be sinister warnings.

POST SCRIPTUM TO CHAPTER IV/3.

There are sides with Islam that are not good - and also with Muslim culture and societies. Sides that derive from the hate, rape, blood, and not least the suppression and discrimination that is propagated in the Quran and in the religion towards all outsiders. (If the Quran had been a normal book, it had been prohibited long time ago - and with a reason. One good reason - or many good reasons.)

For now one will have to fight those sides or aspects - and perhaps try to reduce or stop the immigration till one gets more knowledge and experience. Honestly speaking the experience till now is mixed, and the teaching of the Quran is as honest as in “Mein Kampf”, which also too many did not want to believe until it was too late. (In 1938 the world famous psychologist Carl Gustav Jung compared the Nazi ideology with just Islam, and Nazism was quite popular in Muslim countries - though partly because they fought the British and the French, who both had colonies in Muslim area).

But for the future: To eliminate the risk of terrorism - or at least reduce it - the only way is to reduce the bloody sides of Islam. And one of the few ways to do it, is to make them understand that there really are lots of mistakes and other wrongs in the Quran, and that that means that something is wrong in the religion.

The good thing is that we as mentioned only need to tell them the very plain truths:

1. There are lots of mistaken facts in the book - no omniscient god would make all those mistakes, especially as he had to know they would be found out sooner and later with loss of confidence, and he as easily could use correct facts.
2. There are lots of unproven - and even some directly wrong - statements, “signs” (= indications or proofs in the Quran’s lingo) and “proofs” in the Quran - no omniscient

- god would use lots of invalid “proofs” that he knew sooner or later would be looked through. Not to mention wrong ones.
3. There are lots of contradictions in the book – and Islam uses the claim that there are no contradictions as part of its only proof for that the Quran is from a god: It is claimed to be so perfect that it has to come from a god. The contradictions proves the opposite.
 4. There are lots of places with unclear language – and the wrongly claimed clear language is another “proof” for a divine origin Islam claims. All the unclear language then proves the opposite.
 5. There is a lot of invalid logic, as conclusions are based on claims, not on proven facts. No god would use such ones.
 6. And why the change in the religion around 622 AD? Read the surahs from Mecca and the ones from Medina separately, and the shift from peaceful to bloody is very easy to see - why this shift? - and was it Allah who had done a mistake by being peaceful for 12 years, or Muhammad? Or did Muhammad simply change Allah’s religion to get enough warriors to stay alive and later to gain power?

It will at best take time - one have to work and “patiently persevere”. And perhaps in the meantime “hurry slowly” with immigration.

That was the optimistic side. The pessimistic and likely realistic one, is that it is not likely that the problems will disappear until Muslims really see that something is wrong with the book and the religion – not only start asking questions, but understand. And that will not happen with the educational system Islam and Islamic nations have today.

Cairo, 17. April 2009.

PART V, CHAPTER 1, (= V-1-0-0)

NON-MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

“GOOD” VERSES IN THE QURAN FOR NON – MUSLIMS.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Also see chapters “Muslims are better”, “Make no friends with non-Muslims”, and “Incitement to dislike, hate and suppression”. This chapter overlap with those, but the others are about promotion of general dislike, distance from others and own superiority - making an "enemy-picture" - whereas this chapter mainly is about the direct incitement and motivation for war.

There are two aspects of this point: Islam and non-Muslims and - not to say versus - Islam and Muslims.

Islam and non-Muslims is a dark history - not quite black, but nearly - in spite of the good points used as glossy flagships in official speeches and propaganda. But after all not entirely black, especially if you “forget” that the softer rules from Mecca often became overridden by the much harder ones from the new, much changed religion from Medina - partly because some laws and rules were at least theoretically the same for everybody.

Islam and Muslims is a more human story - but also here: Especially if you forget that the soft rules from Mecca often is overridden by the new and much harsher and inhuman Islam from Medina.

But beware that few, if any, of the ethic and moral codexes – codexes, not philosophy - behind even this part of Islam were Muhammad’s ideas. They were taken mainly from neighbouring cultures - Jewish, Christian, and Persian mostly, but also a little from others included old Arab ones and then adjusted for a war religion.

To mention two of Islam’s “flagships” concerning Islam and Muslims:

1. Muhammad put an end to killing girl babies (6/51). This is true, but a very enlarged truth. It today is clear - and agreed to also by Muslims scholars - that even if such killing was permitted, it seldom happened. May be only during rare religious ceremonies. Muhammad simply disused the fact to “sanctify” his teachings.
2. The situation for women became much better under Islam, Muslims like to tell. Also this is far less than half the truth.

It may be true for women living in towns at least in parts of Arabia - but how many lived in towns and how many lived in rural areas around 610 - 632 AD?

In some towns (f. ex. Medina), in rural areas and also among the Bedouins the situation was quite different. The woman was on a much more equal footing to the man, and even could be leaders - yes, in some cases even leaders in war. For all Arab women except some of the relatively few city dwellers Islam was a large step the wrong way.

The same was the situation for women in other cultures that became Muslim - they very often had a better, freer and safer life before Islam. Remember that below there are mentioned only the positive rules and laws - included religious points.

A. ISLAM AND NON-MUSLIMS.

001 (616-620 AD - no. 053) 45/14: “Tell those who believe (Muslims*), to forgive those who do not look forward to the Days of Allah (non-Muslims*): it is for Him to recompense (for good or ill) each People according to what they have earned”. A mild and human verse - nearly like the NT. But overridden by the bloody and hateful ones in the surahs from Medina.

002 (622-624* AD - no. 88) 2/62: “Those who believe (in the Quran), and those who follow the Jewish (scriptures), and the Christians and the Sabians - any who believe in Allah and the Last Day, and work righteousness, shall have their reward with their Lord (Allah*)- - -”. A nice verse. (The first time in Medina Muhammad hoped to merge with the rather large Jewish congregations, and talked accordingly.)

003 (622 AD - no. 88) 2/256: “Let there be no compulsions in religion - - -”. This is an often-quoted alibi by Muslims. But this was the very first full surah in Medina. Muhammad tried to make friends with the Jews and merge with them - perhaps as at least one of the leaders. Later surahs were harsher - and reality sometimes much harsher. This verse in reality is abrogated - mad invalid - by at least some 30 later harsh verses.

004 (632 AD - no. 107) 5/69: Here 2/62 (see above) is repeated nearly unabridged in meaning, and here it should carry more weight, as it is one of the last surahs, and consequently not abrogated.

005 (632 AD - no. 107) 5/82: “- - - nearest among them (non-Muslims*) in love to the believers (Muslims*) wilt thou find those who say: We are Christians - - -”. A positive quotation for Christians - especially since this is a surah so late, that it hardly is abrogated. There are limitations to the quotation, though.

There is a sad addition to this – or two:

1. We have been asking around, included among Muslims, to see if we have overlooked any verses good to non-Muslims, but in vain. There may be a few more, but we have been unable to find them.
2. Of these pitifully few, two are clearly abrogated and made invalid by the harsh surahs from Medina – f. ex. by the “Sword Verse” (9/5). Verse no. 45/14 and no.2/256 – one of the “flagships” for some Muslims claiming that Islam is benevolent towards non-Muslims - very obviously are not valid any more. Besides no. 2/62 may be abrogated, and in any case have no value in this life for non-Muslims living in a Muslim society. And finally no. 5/82 may also be invalid, as it was written from a special situation – the fact that many of the scattered Christians in the area were learned and tolerant persons. Besides the verse is of little value for Christians, as it

talks about the situation for Muslims, not for Christians.

Only these few verses out of more than 6000 in the Quran may be - may be - of value especially for non-Muslims. (But whether it always is respected by all Muslims - or even if they are abrogated (made invalid) is another question). So pitifully few (if we have found all) in the whole book – in a religion insisting that it is the most benevolent in the world also for non-believers.

B. GENERAL RULES - THEORETICALLY ALSO FOR NON-MUSLIMS.

007 (614-615 AD - no. 035) 19/76: “- - - Good Deeds, are best in the sight of thy Lord - - -”. For non-Muslims this of course only counted if they came to Paradise (there was a possibility for this for Jews and Christians, but not for Pagans).

008 (614-617 AD - no. 038) 31/17: “- - - enjoin what is just, and forbid what is wrong - - -”. This is said to Muslims, but at least theoretically also non-Muslims should be treated justly. (Though in reality that far from always was the case - not even in Muslim laws.)

009 (615-616 AD - no. 042) 26/181-183: “Give just measures, and cause no loss (to others by fraud). And weigh with scales true and upright. And withhold not things justly due to men, and do no evil in the land, working mischief.” Very obvious rules, but ok to have them stated.

010 (616 – 618 AD – no. 49) 41/34: “Nor can Goodness and Evil be equal. Repel (Evil) with what is better: then will he between whom and thee was hatred become as it were thy friend and intimate.” **Look at the difference between this – nearly like Jesus – in ca. 616 – 618 AD, and compare it to what Islam became after 622 AD. Can a god have changed his mind this enormously much?** – or is it a man with a mission that found a more brutal mission worked better and gave more power?

011 (621 AD – no. 062) 7/85: “Give just measure and weight, not withhold from people the things that are their due.” In business Muhammad preached “fair deal”, and mostly that included also non-Muslims – though the expression “Arab salesman” represented the very bottom of honesty and reliability among sailors – and was used that way – at least up to a few decades ago. (One should here not mention that Muhammad was an Arab salesman till he started his religion.)

012 (621 AD - no. 063) 6/151: “- - - take not life, which Allah hath made sacred, except by way of justice and law”. Nice and good - but overridden by the bloody surahs from Medina.

013 (621 AD - no. 063) 6/152: “And come not neigh to the orphan’s property - - - give measure and weight with (full) justice - - - speak justly, even if a near relative is concerned.” Ok rules except that towards non-Muslims it was/is permitted to be dishonest under certain circumstances.

014 (621 AD - no. 064) 28/77: “Allah loveth not those who do mischief”. But how much of this sentence was overridden by surahs from Medina when it comes to treatment of non-Muslims?

015 (621 AD? - no. 065) 17/31: “Kill not your children for fear of want - - -”. Girl babies were sometimes killed in the old Arab society - though to a much less degree than Muslims sometimes say.

016 (621 AD? - no. 065) 17/33: “Nor take life - which Allah hath made sacred - except for just cause”. The problem is that the surahs from Medina made so many causes just - or possible to sound just.

017 (621 AD? - no. 065) 17/34-35: “Come not neigh to the orphan’s property - - - (and*) give full measure when ye measure, and weigh with a balance that is straight - - -”. Deal honestly.

018 (622 AD, Mecca - no. 078) 16/90: “Allah commands justice, doing the good, and liberty to kith and kin, and He forbids shameful deeds, and injustice and rebellion - - -”. Good enough, except for slaves, women, and after coming to Medina also except non-Muslims when mild verses were substituted for harsher.

019 (622 AD Mecca or Medina unsure - no. 086) 83/1-3: “woe to those who deal in fraud - - - (and*) give less than due.” Be honest in business.

020 (622-624 AD - no. 88) 2/193: “- - - if they (non-Muslim Arabs*) cease (to fight*), let there be no hostility except to those who practise oppression.” Well, this surah is reckoned to be the first full one in Medina - it became harsher later. And practise often was MUCH harsher - and for non-Muslims it is the Muslims’ practice that counts when they kill or rape or suppress you - not nice words.

021 (622-624 AD - no. 88) 2/280: “If the debtor is in a difficulty, grant him time till it is easy for him to repay.” Business laws were ok up to a point.

022 (624 AD - no. 90) 8/61: “But if the enemy (non-Muslim Arabs*) incline towards peace, do thou (Muslims*) (also) incline towards peace”. Nice words - and may be Muhammad meant it at that time. But surahs and reality changed as Muhammad gained strength and power - changed also for non-Muslim Arabs (many were murdered if they did not want to become Muslims).

023 (629-630 AD - no. 102) 60/7: “It may be that Allah will grant love (and friendship) between you and those you whom you (now) hold for enemies.” This was written about the time Muhammad took Mecca. There was no real fighting at the take over, and he mostly treated the people of Mecca very lenient (nearly the only time he did so).

024 (629-630 AD - no. 102) 60/8: “Allah forbids you (Muslims*) not, with regards to those who fight you not for (your) Faith (Islam*) - - - from dealing kindly and justly with them”. See 60/7 just above.

025 (631 AD – no.) 49/9: “If a wicked person comes to you with any news, ascertain the truth, lest you harm people unwittingly - - -.” But this is not practised 100% to use an understatement, when it comes to “infidels”.

026 (631 AD - no. 105) 9/7: “How can there be a league - - - with the Pagans, except those with whom ye made a treaty near the sacred Mosque”. In 628 Muhammad made a treaty in Huddaybiyyah near Mecca with the leaders of the town - he was not strong enough to take

Mecca yet. It was an agreement that should last for 10 years, but in 630 AD Muhammad entered Mecca with a great army and took it without real fighting. As this is written one year after he broke the agreement and took the town, we are not convinced this really is a statement positive for Islam. Especially as it tells there can be no other agreements with pagans than this - - - and even this he broke. (Muslims blame the leaders of Mecca - it always is good policy to blame others.)

027 (632 AD - no. 107) 5/8: “Stand out firmly for Allah, as witnesses to fair dealing, and let not the hatred of others to make you swerve to wrong and depart from justice”. Had this been kept rigorously, it had meant a lot for the communities.

028 (632 AD - no. 107) 5/42: “If thou (Muslim) judge, judge in equity between them (non-Muslims)”. Fair principle. But this is only when both parts are non-Muslims.

029 (Year unknown - no. 110) 55/9: “So establish weight with justice and fall not short in the balance”. Be honest in business

.

C. ISLAM AND MUSLIMS

These we omit here, as they are so obvious. There are a number of verses good for Muslims, but nothing very special, neither morally nor ethically, as most of it Muhammad took from the old Arabs or from neighbouring cultures. Laws/rules concerning women and slaves are in the respective chapters. Laws and rules concerning war are in chapter IV, though not mentioned explicit.

POST SCRIPTURE FOR CHAPTER V/I.

As you see, there are some verses “soft” on non-Muslims, too. But so pitifully few compared to the harsh - and often hateful - ones. It is unlikely we have all such verses here, but at least many of them of them, except the ones that are not directly part of the laws.

There also is the problem of “Taqiyya” - the lawful lie to non-Muslims. F. ex. surah 4/142 tells that Allah will overreach them - and then of course Muslims, too, are permitted to overreach them. Which sometimes made the “good” verses invalid for non-Muslims in the past. And in the future -?

PART V, CHAPTER 2, (= V-2-0-0)

NON-MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

MUSLIMS ARE BETTER THAN OTHERS - DOGMATIC

DISCRIMINATION AND APARTHEID IN THE QURAN

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Also see chapters “Muslims are better”, “Make no friends with non-Muslims”, and “Incitement to dislike, hate and suppression”.

It is normal that in some kinds of totalitarian systems the ruling group of people is said to be better quality people than all the others. In the Quran this is systemized to an extreme degree - only Muslims are worth much. The irony is that the Arabs for hundreds of years reckoned themselves to be of even better quality than other Muslims, and demanded to be the tops - which made some noise from the other Muslims. (More irony: How would they be reckoned by the Nazi? - they are closely related to Jews.)

Year 611-614 AD, surah 68:

001 68/8: “- - - So hearken not to those who deny (the Truth).” – do not mind the lowly infidels.

002 68/35+36: “Shall We (Allah*) treat the people of Faith (Muslims*) like the People of Sin (non-Muslims*)? What is the matter with you? How judge you?” Yes, “how judge ye” to believe non-Muslims can be of as good quality humans as Muslims?

Year 612-615 AD, surah 53:

003 53/29: “- - - shun those who turn away from Our (Allah’s) Message - - -”. Shun the non-Muslims.

Year 614, surah 50:

004 50/24: “- - - throw into Hell every contumacious Rejecter (of Allah!)” Good Muslims do not end in Hell, so they clearly are much better.

Year 614-615 AD, surah 25:

005 25/44: “They (non-Muslims*) are only like cattle - nay, they are worse astray in the Path.” Non-Muslims less worth than cattle. Well, we have heard that in some madrasas (Muslim religious schools*) they discuss if non-Muslims have half the value of Muslims or less. It may be true?

Year not later than 614 – 615 AD, surah 20:

006 20/16: “- - - let not such as believe not therein (in the Quran*) but follow their own lusts, divert thee (Muslim*) therefrom - - -”. No, it is much better to be a Muslim.

Year 614-615 AD, surah 35:

007 35/8: “Is he, then, to whom the evil of his conduct is made alluring (non-Muslims*) - - - (equal to one who is rightly guided*)?” Of course not - Muslims are much better, of course. This even though the famous Muslim al-Ghazali (1058 - 1111 AD) - "the greatest Muslim after Muhammad", according to Islam - marked the end of any new thinking in any science in the eastern and central Muslim world with his book "The Incoherence of the Philosophers" against philosophy in 1095 AD. For more than 900 years there have not come one single new thought or idea bringing humanity forward in any kind of science, "humanoria" included, from all the Muslim world, Mahgreb/Spain excluded. (There the ability and freedom to think yourself lasted another ca. 100 years - the death of Ibn Rushd (Averroes) in 1198 can arbitrarily be said to mark the end there). Not one single new thought or new idea in over 900 years!!! Among now some 1.2 BILLION people!!! Yes, it has really to be said: It is difficult to match Muslims and Islam.

Actually new ideas some places for long times meant punishment or even death penalty, though after some time it was agreed on that new ideas building on the Quran and the Hadiths could be accepted, but all other ideas were “Bad new thoughts” and negative or punishable.

008 35/19: “The blind (non-Muslims*) and the seeing (Muslims*) are not alike - - -”. No, a blind man - like Stevie Wonder - has no value. Also see 35/8 just above.

009 35/20: “Nor (alike*) are the depths of Darkness (meaning non-Muslims*) and the Light (meaning Muslims*) - - -”. Logically as true as a number of other loose statements in the Quran.

But is a religion and a culture not bringing one single new thought or idea benefiting man in more than 900 years – and that is literally speaking - really representing the light?

010 35/21: “Nor (alike*) are the (chilly) shade (non-Muslims*) and the (genial) heath of the sun (Muslims*) - - -”. Is it here symbolic that in Paradise there is shade according to the Quran?

011 35/22: “Nor (alike*) are those who are living (Muslims*) and those that are dead (non-Muslims*).” In the stagnant civilisation of the intellectually living dead (see 35/8) that is likely to be true of course. (But there have been some small tendencies to new intellectual life the last some 60 years - though not enough to bring forth new ideas, only to question some of the old ones).

Is it symbolic that intellectual life in medieval Islam lasted longest in the West (Mahgreb/Spain?)?

But Muslims are best according to this verse and many more in the Quran.

Year 614-615 AD, surah 38:

012 38/28: “Shall We (Allah*) treat those who believe and work deeds of righteousness (Muslims*) the same as those who do mischief on earth (non-Muslims*)?” Of course not - Muslims are best and deserves best treatment. Just like the Nazi in Germany, the Chinese in Mao's China, the Serbs under Milochewich or communists under Pol Pot, to mention a few.

013 38/28: “Shall We (Allah*) treat those who guard against evil (Muslims*), the same as those who turn away from the right (non-Muslims*)?” Above we have commented the quotations - and will comment more. But read them alone and pretend you are a believing Muslim. Lots of such statements make an impression, and build up something inhuman inside a person who comes to believe it. (May be a reason why the humanitarian organisations mainly originate in the West, not f. ex. in the rich Saudi Arabia? - a fundamental difference somewhere deep down?)

Year 615-617 AD, surah 39:

014 39/9: “Is one (Muslim*) who worship devotedly during the hours of the night - (like one who does not (non-Muslim*)) - - -?” According to the Quran there is big difference. And who in a primitive or brain washed audience or in an audience WANTING to believe, understand they are manipulated?

015 39/9: “Are those equal, those who know (Muslims*) and those (non-Muslims*) who do not know?” Statements and questions like this is a psychological efficient way to build up a belief in “our” own superiority and the opponents’ inferiority and badness. Many dictators frequently use similar technique - be it countries or organisations. The not too intelligent and/or those with limited education mostly do not understand they are manipulated.

016 39/9: “It is those (Muslims*) who are endued with understanding that receives admonition”. This is another efficient way of manipulating: Flatter. Especially the ones not endued with too much understanding - moderate intelligence and/or education (and in this case religious education and other education that does not include education in critical thinking do not count) - easily like to believe things like this. It makes their self esteem swell. And if other groups in addition are said to be inferior to them, that surely does not hurt their self esteem either.

017 39/19: “Is, then, one against whom the decree of Punishment is justly due (non-Muslim*) (equal to one who eschews evil (Muslims*))”. Still another technique for manipulation, and in this case partly to induce really discrimination - “they” are bad people and cannot be compared to “us”. May be we even had better shun them - or worse?

018 39/22: “Is one whose heart Allah has opened to Islam (Muslims*) - - - (no better than one hardhearted (non-Muslim*))”. Of course Muslims are the best - at least according to the Quran. Good for the self esteem, at least for the ones needing a boost of their self-esteem - which often includes the rubble in a society.

019 39/24: “Is, then, one (non-Muslim*) who has to fear the brunt of the Penalty (Hell*) on the Day of Judgement - - - (like one (Muslim*) who guarded therefrom)?” Like 39/22.

020 39/29: “- - - most of them (non-Muslims*) have no knowledge.” But Muslims of course have, and are much better people. This included not one single new thought promoting the life of man in some 900 years in all the Muslim society. Actually there is a well-known Hadith saying something like ‘All new ideas (“bida” in Arab*) are heresy (“wrong teaching“*), all heresy is wrong, and everything that is wrong leads to hell’. Islam found a way to moderate it a little, though: If a new idea - a “bida” - is in accordance with the Quran, with all Hadiths (there are some thousands accepted ones) and all decisions made by consensus among the

leading learned Muslims through the times (such decisions are called “ijma” in Arab*), the new idea may - may - be a good “bida”.’

Under such conditions it is not strange that few new ideas emerges - and none that is not meant to question (or at least not conflict with) old ideas, not to mention with the religion.

Year 616-618 AD, surah 40:

021 40/58: “Not equal are the blind (non-Muslim*) and those who (clearly) see (Muslims*)”. According to the Quran the answer is obvious - and the self-esteem of Muslims increased. There is a feeble feeling, though, that something is wrong. On the one side a book relying only on the words of a somewhat special man, and a book containing lots and lots of the hallmarks of cheating, swindling and deceiving. On the other a culture that in spite of mistakes - f. ex. taking colonies like each and every empire and even smaller powers have done throughout all times, included Arabia and other Muslim states - has brought most humans a very much better life the last few hundred years - just in medicine the poor today often are better off than the richest king was just 100 years ago.

As far as we know not one single of those medicines originated in the Muslim world. Neither did one single of the other new ideas.

But in a society where only the next world (real or imagined or wrong? - as it is built on a book with lots of mistakes) really counts, the Muslims after all are the elite. Of course - - -?

022 40/58: “- - - nor are (equal) those who believe and work deeds of righteousness (Muslims*) and those who do evil (non-Muslims*)”. This is obvious.

Year 616-618 AD, surah 41:

023 41/33: “Who is better in speech than one who calls (men) to Allah, works righteousness, and (is Muslim*)?” Of course “we” - the Muslims - are best. Muhammad did not know the word psychology, we think, but he understood people and how to pep them up.

024 41/40: “Which is better? - he (the non-Muslim*) that is cast into the Fire, or he (the Muslim*) that comes safe through - - -”. Does anyone feel a wisp the word “discrimination”? - or lack of proof?

Year 616-618 AD, surah 45:

025 45/21: “What! Do those who seek after evil ways (non-Muslims*) think We (Allah*) shall hold them equal with those who believe (Muslims*) and do righteous deeds - - -?” Except for that there is a question whether it is a righteous deed, for a thousand years to give their believers education only in Muslim sciences - all knowledge that was needed to study Islam, mainly religion and Arab and related knowledge - but block as many believers as possible from all “foreign sciences” = all other knowledge, which was the case at least from al-Ghazali (“The Incoherence of the Philosophers”) at the end of the 11th century (in Spain about 100 years later) - except for things like that, may be the Quran is right: Muslims are the top of qualities.

Year 618-619 AD, surah 67:

026 67/22: “Is then one who walks headlong, with face grovelling (non-Muslims*), better guided - or one who walks evenly on a Straight Way (Muslim*)?” A rhetorical question with an obvious answer - Muslims of course are best. Especially when they obey Allah and make war or in other ways kill or suppress non-Muslims - they are the top of the social and moral and human pyramids.

Year 620 earliest, surah 34:

027 34/33: “We (Allah*) shall put yokes on the necks of the Unbelievers: it would only be a requital for their (ill) Deeds.” That is what those bad people deserve. They are not better.

Year 621 AD, surah 6:

028 6/21: “Who doth more wrong than he (non-Muslim*) who invented a lie (said something else than Muhammad*) against Allah and His Signs (none of whom is logically valid proofs*)?” No, it is not possible to be more wrong than the non-Muslims. “We” (the Muslims) are morally and in other ways far superior. But: Do Islam really have a moral or ethical philosophy? - in that case we have never met it. They have some commandments and codexes from Muhammad - and some of them are horrible - and that is all we have found.

Year 621 AD, surah 28:

029 28/61: “Are (these two) alike? - one to whom We (Allah*) have made a goodly promise (Muslim*) - - and one (non-Muslim*) who We have given the good things of this life, but who (will go to Hell*)?” Another rhetoric question with an obvious answer enlarging “our” self-esteem and feeling of righteousness. But with an addition: Many religions have a problem with non-believers or believers who are not really good people who have a good life in this world. So also Islam. But the Quran explains it very simple - like some other religions: It is Allah who in his unfathomable wisdom has decided it like that - to try the bad person or for some other reason only Allah understands - but Allah is going to punish him in the next life. A fulfilling explanation that leaves the others' envy half satisfied, and their gloating also half satisfied - and our self esteem at least on par or a little in plus.

You find this argument in variations time and again and again in the Quran.

Year 621 AD, surah 11 - verses 12, 17, and 113 may be from the Medina period (067):

030 11/17 (= Medina?): “Can they (non-Muslims*) be like those (Muslims*) who accepted a Clear (Sign) (there are many signs mentioned in the Quran, but not one single one is a valid proof of Allah, as it is nowhere proved that they are initiated by Allah*) from their Lord (Allah*)?” For building up his followers' feeling of being superior to “the masses”, this is good psychology. This even more so if you want your followers to become a separate group, felling distance to other people or groups.

Year 621 – 622 AD, surah 23:

031 23/28: “Praise be to Allah, Who has saved us (Noah's people who the Quran claims were good Muslims*) from the people who do wrong”. The best were saved – the claimed Muslims. But was it rightly done by a good god to kill all the others? – not even the smallest children got a chance to learn and perhaps later convert. And did the bad people end in

Paradise? – people killed by other people ends there for the case that they might have converted if they had got time to think or learn (and the murderer must take over the victims burden of sins – this according to Islam).

032 23/117: “- - - the Unbelievers will fail to win through!” – but not the Muslims, as they are much better quality.

Year 621 - 622 AD, surah 32:

033 32/18: “Is then the man who believes (Muslim*) no better than the man who is rebellious and wicked (the non-Muslim*)? Not equal are they.” This really is an obvious answer that gives itself. Do you not agree?

034 16/75: “Allah sets for thee a Parable (of two men: one) a slave (non-Muslim*) under the dominion of another (a Muslim*); he has no power of any sort; and (the other) a man on whom We (Allah*) have bestowed goodly favours from Ourselves, and he spends thereof (freely), privately and publicly: are the two equal? (By no means;) praise be to Allah.” This verse speaks not volumes or books, but gigabytes about how Muslims - the superior class and the superior religion - look down on non-Muslims: Even their holy book tells them that non-Muslims are like slaves to them - praise be to Allah. See also 16/76 just below. Actually the verse also tells a lot about how Muslims looked (looks?) on slaves. And they do right, “praise be to Allah”. (These last words really give insight in a way of thinking).

035 16/76: “Allah sets forth (another) Parable of two men: one of them (non-Muslim*) dumb, with no power of any sort; a wearisome burden is he to his master (Allah*) - - - is such a man equal with one (Muslim*) who commands justice, and is on a Straight Way?” If possible this strengthens the comments about 16/75 just above.

Now we are at the flight from Mecca to Yathrib/Medina in 622 AD. There are 80 surahs that quite surely + 6 that likely belong to the Mecca periode. In addition there are 22 surahs that quite surely belong to the Medina periode, and finally 6 where the age has not been possible to find out or guess - 114 all together. (One reservation: Our list is not a canonized one - if we find a better one, we will correct mistakes, if any. But as the huge divide and the huge change of the religion appears when Muhammad fled from Mecca to Yathrib/Medina in 622 AD, and as one knows the period (Mecca or Medina) for nearly all surahs, such corrections will have little consequences for the comparison of Islam before 622 AD and Islam after 622 AD, and also for the enormous questions: Why this change? - did the omniscient god change his mind and want a much more bloody religion all of a sudden? - or did Muhammad suddenly need warriors/highwaymen to survive with his followers and get power - he and his group survived on robbery/thieving and extortion for several years after 622 AD. And: Who made these deep changes in a teaching that originally and for 12 years had been quite peaceful? Allah or Muhammad?

As you see, when it comes to “we are the best”, there is not such a striking difference between “we are best” before and after 622 AD, as it is for murder and blood and war and hate and suppression in chapter III – it has been there all the time. This is entirely like expected. Any “normal” new sect or religion has a need to mark the difference between “us” and “them” - and preferably in a way that makes “us” better than “them”. It is when one comes to the more abnormal sides for new sects or religions Islam marks itself in a very “inhuman” way - and to a most striking extent - from 622 AD onwards. The comparison between effects of “normal”

wishes for marking one selves and for wishes for power, compared to the abnormal glorifications of murder, robbery, rape, suppression, hate and killing, is in this religion very striking. The same goes for how fast and how totally the religion was changed in and shortly after 622 AD - it sticks out like a sore thumb. Allah simply is not the same god before and after 622 AD.

Year 622 AD, surah 18:

036 18/80-81: Moses and a wise man that in Islam (not in the Quran) is named Al-Kadir or Al-Khidr are in a legend retold in the Quran on a long walk. They meet a young man that the wise man without being provoked slays. Later he explains that the reason was that “we feared that he would grieve” his good Muslim parents. If that is enough to kill their son, it is no doubt that Muslims – his parents – were much better than non-Muslims, and perhaps that apostates – at least bad ones, deserve to be killed?

Year 622 AD, surah 16:

037 16/75: “Allah set forth the Parable (of two men: one) a slave (= “infidel”*) under the dominion of the other - - - and (the other) a man (= Muslim*) on whom We (Allah*) have bestowed goodly favours from Ourselves, and he spends thereof (freely), privately and publicly: are the two equal?” A rhetoric question with only one answer – and of course we are better than those who are slaves under pagan gods. (Though it is an open question who really were blind slaves under their religion – the Pagans or the Muslims? In intense and extreme sects the followers frequently are informal slaves of the leaders – not of the god(s) but of the leader(s)).

Year 622 AD, surah 17:

038 47/14: “Is then one (Muslim*) who is on a clear (Path) from his Lord, no better than one (non-Muslim*) to whom the evil of his conduct seems pleasing - - -”. Like more or less all such questions in the Quran, this is rhetorical and with seemingly one possible logical answer only - one that is pleasing and flattering for all believers - - - and seemingly sobering for all proselytes.

039 47/15: “(Can those (Muslims*) in such Bliss) be compared to such (non-Muslims*) as shall dwell for ever in the fire - - -”. See 47/14 just above.

Year 622 Medina - likely to be the first complete surah from Medina, surah 2:

040 2/11: “Of a surety, they (non-Muslims*) are the ones who make mischief”. A clear distinction – and the raids Muslims already were making – and more to follow – was no mischief?

041 2/18: “Deaf, dumb, and blind – they (“infidels”, apostates*) will not return (to Islam*)”. Yes, they have to be deaf, dumb, and blind if they question all that is wrong in the Quran. A reason for looking down on them.

042 2/99: “- - - none (no Muslim*) reject them (the signs of Allah - though not a single one of them are logically valued proofs for Allah, as there nowhere is proved that Allah is behind them*) but those (non-Muslims*) who are perverse”. The distance between the good Muslims

and the bad non-Muslims grows - the latter ones even are perverse!!! (Not to mention the situation if they do not even belong to the People of the Book - Jews, Christians and Sabeans (Sabah was a Christian country approximately in what now is Yemen - they had become Christians via East Africa, and perhaps a little different from the Greek Catholic the Arabs met other places - Islam often uses other explanations for the Sabeans, though).

There is little reason not to suppress or rob or rape or kill perverted “animals”!?!?

043 2/212: “But the righteous (good Muslims*) will be above them (all others*) on the Day of Resurrection - - -.” Of course – as the Muslims of course are the best.

044 2/254: “ Those (non-Muslims*) who rejects Faith (Islam*) - they are the wrongdoers”. If you read the Quran, you many, many times will find the non-Muslims called wrongdoers. No comment necessary. Except that it builds up under an enemy picture - an enemy often so base, that he is vermin better killed.

045 2/257: “Of those who reject faith (non-Muslims*) the patrons are the Evil Ones (the Devil and his helpers*)”. Can human vermin be worse?

Year 624 AD, surah 8:

046 7/55: “For the worst beast in the sight of Allah are those who reject him - - -.” Not strange that all Muslims are better than everybody else – it is not difficult to be better than the worst beasts.

Year 624 – 625 AD, surah 59:

047 59/20: “Not equal are the Companions of the Fire (“infidels”) and the Companions of the Garden (Muslims*); it is the Companions of the Garden (Paradise*) that will achieve Felicity.” There is no doubt: In the eyes of Allah the Muslims are best. And in the eyes of Muhammad they surely were best - - - because they gave him power and warriors?

Year 625 AD, surah 3:

048 3/110a: “Ye (Muslims*) are the best of peoples, evolved for mankind - - -”. It is not possible to disbelieve that Muslims are the top of existing humans and human societies, as the Quran says so - and not one believing Muslim is aware of that words are cheap. It also is strengthening the picture of non-Muslims as vermin or at least sub human.

049 3/110b: “- - - most of them (non-Muslims*) are perverted transgressors”. Is it possible to add: - that may deserve suppression and extermination - at least sometimes?

050 3/156: “Oh ye who believe! Be not like the unbelievers - - -”. Unmistakeable order.

051 3/162: “Is the man who follows the good pleasure of Allah like the man who draws on himself the wreath of Allah - - -?” Of course not – the Muslims are better.

052 3/192: “Our Lord (Allah*). Any (non-Muslim*) - - - truly Thou coverest with shame, and never will wrongdoers find any helpers”. Of course not – they are of so bad quality, that they do not deserve any help.

Year 625 - 626, surah 61:

053 61/9: “- - he (Muhammad or Allah*) may proclaim it (Islam*) over all religion, even though the Pagans (= not Muslim, not Jew, not Christian*) may detest (it)”. What the pagans mean, counts exactly nothing (and in spite of what the Quran says in 2/256 about no compulsion in religion, thousands and thousands of them have been murdered through the times only because they refused to become Muslims - even pagan Arabs in the first years of expansion). (Something Muslims never mention, is that 2/256 is abrogated and made invalid by at least some 30 later verses in the Quran. All educated Muslims know this, but all the same they use 2/256 as a proof for how friendly Islam is).

Year 625 – 629: AD, surah 33:

054 33/58: “And those who annoy believing men and women undeservedly bear (on themselves) a calumny and a glaring sin.” But for Muslims to steal from, rape, suppress, and murder non-Muslims without being provoked, was/is “good and lawful” as long as you can name it jihad – and anything is called jihad as long as the opponent is non-Muslim, not to mention Pagan. No doubt Muslims are something much better, yes.

Year 626, surah 4:

055 4/76: “Those who believe (Muslims*) fight in the cause of Allah, and those who reject Faith (Islam*) fight in the cause of Evil: so fight ye against the friends of Satan - - -.” So all we who are not Muslims, are friends of Satan. Though we personally – and as far as we know also the others – just wonder: Some great force that teaches stealing, destruction, rape, enslavement, suppression, murder, hate, war – is that a god, benevolent something or not? – or is it a devil? – perhaps a devil in disguise?

056 4/92: “Never should a believer kill a believer - - -”. In the NT in the Bible the corresponding order is: “You shall not kill”. But non-Muslims of course count less and really do not matter.

Every inch of building up discrimination may count for the leader of highwaymen and warriors. Inferior beings it is not bad to kill. In this case the surah simply may have been meant what it says - though even then it tells a lot about the mentality of the god - or man - behind Islam. And of course about Islam itself. But an intelligent man like Muhammad may have intended the implications, too.

057 4/93: “If a man kills a believer intentionally, his recompense is Hell - - -”. Not a word is said about killing a non-Muslim. Compare NT. But then there is the difference that Muslims are valuable, non-Muslims less so.

058 4/141: “And never will Allah grant to the unbelievers a way (to triumph) over the believers”. The Muslims always will win over non-Muslims, according to this verse. At least in the end.

Year 627 – 628 AD, surah 58:

059 58/22: “Thou wilt not find any people who believe in Allah and the Last Day, loving those who resist Allah and his Messenger, even though they were their fathers or their sons, or

their brothers, or kindred.” Really bad people it seems. This sentence deserves no comment, but it is an all too common one in sects where the leaders want full control over their followers.

Year 627-628 AD, surah 24:

060 24/3: “Let no man guilty of adultery or fornication marry any but a woman similarly guilty, or an Unbeliever - -”. An unbeliever can make up for a depraved Muslim. It tells the Muslims something about the difference between themselves and others.

Year 631 AD, surah 9:

061 9/8: “- - - most of them (pagans*) are rebellious and wicked.” Of course - they are not even Jews, nor Christians, which are bad enough. Far below Muslim moral standard.

062 9/28: “Truly the Pagans are unclean - -”. They are the lowest caste - even lower than Jews and Christians.

063 9/67: “Verily the Hypocrites are rebellious and perverse.” No doubt the Muslims are better – and definitely not perverse, not even when robbing or raping or suppressing or killing, for that is “good and lawful” according to the book. And perverse people are to be despised of course.

064 9/95: “So leave them (the not good Muslims*) alone: for they are an abomination - - -.” No comments.

065 9/109: “Which then is best? - he (Muslim*) that layeth his foundation on piety to Allah - - - or he (non-Muslim*) that layeth his foundation on an undermined sand-cliff ready to crumble to pieces?” If there existed a small proof for Allah’s existence, there was no doubt about the answer.

Year 632 AD, surah 5:

066 5/67: “For Allah guideth not those (“infidels”) who reject Faith (Islam*).” No, only good Muslims are worth guiding.

Year unsure, surah 13:

067 11/24: “These two kinds (of men (non-Muslims vs. Muslims*)) may be compared to the blind and deaf, and those who can see and hear well. Are they equal when compared?” Of course not – the Muslims are much better.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER V/2.

It may seem that the talk about Muslims being better than non-Muslims far from accelerated after 622 AD. But in addition to these verses there are the often far stronger verses in the chapters about war and about incitements to war, so all the same there is not the slightest doubt that the building of intolerance accelerated in Medina. Muhammad - or Allah - turned to stronger words.

Psychologically this is pep talk and a systematic building up of a little megalomaniac belief about oneself, and a lot of discrimination - and stronger - towards the inferior rubble named Jews and Christians, not to mention the ones at the bottom of the ladder, called Pagans.

For people that really believed the Quran spoke the truth - and for warriors going more for riches and women, and for terrorists today - it was and is sugar to hear they are the wise ones, they are the best ones, they do right, that stealing and enslaving and murder is "lawful and good" - and the non-Muslims are somewhere between second class and vermin. If it had been a normal book, it had been prohibited in most countries for hate mongering and worse.

And it helped to give Muhammad and his successors strong armies.

And it often made - and make - Muslims forget 2/256: "Let there be no compulsion in religion" - a lot of pagans and sometimes others were forced to become Muslims at the point of a sword. Not to mention by the force of discrimination, social stigma or economical extortion/taxation. But then 2/256 in reality is dead and invalid - abrogated by at least some 30 harsh verses, a fact Islam normally never mentions.

And not the least effect of pep-talk and propaganda like this, is that it makes the foundation for the belief that "we" are good and "they" are subhumans and on top of that bad subhumans. It is ok to suppress them and rob them and kill them - it simply is what they deserve!. A good start for making you followers highwaymen and warriors. Muhammad is not the only religious leader of extreme sects using this receipt.

PART V, CHAPTER 3, (= V-3-0-0)

NON-MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

"MAKE NO FRIENDS WITH NON-MUSLIMS - DISCRIMINATION AND APARTHEID IN THE QURAN AND IN ISLAM"

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Also see chapters "Muslims are better", "Make no friends with non-Muslims", and "Incitement to dislike, hate and suppression". This chapter overlap with those, but the others are about promotion of general dislike, distance from others and own superiority - making an "enemy-picture" - whereas this chapter mainly is about the direct incitement and motivation for war.

This is the softest of the groups of orders/advises on how Muslims are to think and feel and behave about non-Muslims. But except for the chapter about hate, rape, robbing, enslavement, murder and war - it is may be the most sad and heartbreaking.

And it is a foundation for a superhuman/subhuman and war religion to build on.

Leaders of ideological groups not infrequently find it wise to keep a distance between their members and the rest of the population - if not the ideology may be influenced - or corrected - by other meanings or by reality. This especially goes for groups on the fringe of the truth or extreme groups - too much contact with "the rest of the world" may disclose that may be the teachings of the groups are questionable or may be the world and people outside the group are not as bad as their leaders pretends.

Just look for the (former) communist countries - contact with non-communists were made difficult or even prohibited. And the more rabid the regime, the more dangerous was/is contact with non-communists. The believing, pure communist of pure Faith, could learn that may be other ideas were not bad - perhaps even more correct than their Faith. And that even everyday life outside in the hell of non-communism frequently was better than the future Communist Paradise.

In some countries "outside" people even were permitted to think for themselves!!

Islam is one of those groups strongly discouraging their members to have contact with people from "the outside". This includes discouraging - strongly discouraging - ordinary daily contact and friendship with non-Muslims. In the real world it is not possible to omit such things. But keep it at a minimum and only as acquaintances and distant friends. And do not discuss things too much - you can learn facts that make you question "facts" - especially wrong or questionable "facts" in Islam. Or in points of the ideology - f. ex. the bloody or suppressing or spoils-of-war sides of the ideology. Or that the hate or rape ideology may be questionable.

The Quran 5/101: "Ask no questions about things which, if made plain to you, may cause you trouble". Do not talk about things where the truth may conflict with Islam or the not always correct tales of your religious authorities. Muslim imams f. ex. made three of the Muhammad cartoons worse as Muslims in the beginning did not react strongly enough (source: Al Jazeera or El Arabiya - Muslim TV sender!! We saw the program ourselves - a program about Western media and if Muslim media could learn anything from them, in spite of the Muhammad cartoons (the conclusion from the Muslim journalists was an unanimous YES.)) .

BUT: Make no friends with non-Muslims - at least no close friends - or Allah will punish you.

Year 614-615 AD. surah 25:

001 25/28: "Ah! Woe is me (Muslim*)! Would that I had never taken such a one (non-Muslim*) for a friend!" It took 4-5 years from Muhammad started his teachings, till the first time appeared that we can find in the Quran, where Muhammad wants to segregate his group in this way.

002 25/43: “Seest thou (Muslim*) such a one (non-Muslim*) as taketh for his god his own passion (or impulse)? Couldst thou be a disposer of affairs for him?” Of course not.

Year 621, surah 6:

003 6/68: “- - - sit not thou (Muslim*) in the company of those who do wrong (non-Muslims*)” A very clear order. And a warning of possible social reactions from fellow Muslims.

Year 621 AD, surah 28:

004 28/86: “- - - lend not thou support in any way to those who reject (Allah’s Message).” As clear an order as 6/68 just above.

Year 621 AD, surah 11:

005 11/113: “- - - and incline not to those who do wrong (non-Muslims*), or the Fire (Hell) will size you - - -”. To incline towards non-Muslims is so bad a sin that it gives worse than death penalty.

Year 625-626 AD, surah 63:

006 63/4: “They (hypocrites/non-Muslims, fake Muslims*) are the enemies - - -”. Definitely you should not be friends with people who have said “no, thank you” to Islam.

Year 626 AD, surah 4:

007 4/89: “- - - take no friends from their (hypocrites’/non-Muslims*) ranks”. Direct order.

008 4/139: “Yea, to those who take for friends Unbelievers rather than Believers (you will not get honour from Allah*)” You are permitted to have non-Muslims as friends - in the real world it is impossible to prohibit it - but not close friends. Beware of possibility of social extrication and of punishment from Allah.

009 4/144: “Take not for friends unbelievers rather than believers: do ye wish to offer Allah an open proof against yourselves?” This is really a strong one: To make good friends with non-Muslims is a clear proof that you are not a good Muslim - this even for Allah, not to mention all the Muslim society. This verse may give a strong social pressure NOT to associate with non-Muslims.

Year 627-628 AD, surah 58:

010 58/14: “Turnest thou (good Muslim*) not thy attention to those (bad or careless Muslims*) who turn (in friendship) to such who have the Wrath of Allah upon them (non-Muslims*)?” That is something you should not do. A good verse for a mullah, a fanatic, an enemy of yours seeking to hurt you, for anybody wishing to launch extrication against anyone - a strong social threat: Do not mingle too much with the bad non-Muslims. A very likely reason - among others - why Muslims in many cultures do not fit in.

Year 629-630 AD, surah 60:

011 60/1: “Take not my (Allah’s or Muhammad’s - “my” is written with “m”, not “M”, so likely Muhammad’s*) enemies (non-Muslims*) as friends (or protectors) - - -”. To mingle with non-Muslims may result in ideas or knowledge that is not good for Muslims to have - f. ex. that there are lots of mistakes and contradictions in the Quran, or that not all non-Muslims are bad.

012 60/1: “And any of you (Muslims*) that does this (making friends with non-Muslims*) has strayed from the Straight Path (Islam*)”. To make friends - at least good friends - with non-Muslims is a sin and a deviation from Islam. How do you think a verse like this works on the possibility of integration of Muslims in other societies? - or for non-Muslims trying to be integrated in a Muslim society? - or even for contact between Muslims and other people?

013 60/9: “Allah only forbids you - - - from turning to them (non-Muslims striving against you for your faith*) to turn to them (for friendship or protection):” Do not become friends with your opponents and the opponents of Islam.

014 60/13: “Turn not (for friendship) to people on whom is the Wrath of Allah (non-Muslims*):” Plain words for your money.

Year 631, surah 9:

015 9/16: “- - - take none (no non-Muslim*) for friends and protectors - - -”. It is hardly possible to forbid close contact between Muslims and others in more direct words.

016 9/23: “Take not for protectors your fathers or your brothers if they love infidelity above Faith (= are not good Muslims*)”. Our comments under 9/16 just above are wrong. This one is even more direct and strict - - - and heartless.

017 9/24: If you love anybody or anything - absolutely anyone - more than Allah and Muhammad (!), you are at the risk of punishment. This is as bad as Stalin, Hitler, Mao and Pol Pot at their most extreme. And friendly contacts - not to mention friendship - with non-Muslims are gigabytes on the way in direction of Hell.

018 9/114: “- - - he (Abraham - said by Islam to be a Muslim*) dissociated himself from him (Abraham’s pagan father*)” Beware that everybody of any positive consequence that the Quran “borrows” from the Bible, is transformed to be a Muslim in the Quran - anyone, even Jesus and Noah and Joseph, and Moses and all the prophets - and Abraham.

Be no friend even with your parents if they are not Muslims!

This is one of the rather sad points of the Quran - even a central point: Islam shall mean so much to you, that if even your closest family - children or parents - do not obey you and become Muslims: Leave them and forget them. Fanatism shall be the norm in Islam. Similar things are said more places in the Quran - Noah should f. ex. let his son drown, because the son was no Muslim (which Noah was said to be!!). A nice and benevolent and human religion.

Only Islam really can be your closest friend. Nothing and nobody else - except Muhammad - counts.

Year 632, surah 5:

019 5/51: "Take not the Jews and the Christians (pagans are not even mentioned*) for your friends and protectors." If people a leader looks upon as (possible) enemies or as possible subjects for attacking and suppression, are made up to look for you like something bad and deprived and kept at a distance personally, it is much easier for that leader to make his followers believe that "that vermin" deserves to be attacked and killed and raped and suppressed and to have their possessions stolen - especially if the warriors among his followers are permitted to rob and rape and enslave and steal himself valuables and women "justly and right".

And with no intermingling from the outside the leader also greatly reduces the risk of that his subjects meets unwanted ideas or facts. Then: No friendship, thank you.

020 5/57: "Take not for friends and protectors those who take your religion for a mockery and a sport - - -". The words are reasonable - but the main thing is: Do not mingle with people who have other ideas or who know things.

021 5/80: "Thou seest many of them (Muslims?*) turning in friendship to the unbelievers. - - - (with the result) that Allah's wrath is on them - - -". Stay away from friendship with non-Muslims. If not Allah will be angry with you. A clear message. Did anyone say integration in the west is difficult?

022 5/81: "If only they had believed in Allah, in (Muhammad*) - - - never would they (Muslims*) have taken them (non-Muslims*) for friends - - -". It is just tragic - most religions are today able to live together in peace and reasonable harmony. But Islam is so bent on distaste against all subhuman others and on conquering all other religions and suppressing its peoples, that cohabitation is difficult. The only position Islam really wants is superiority.

A fitting last word in this list.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER V/3.

Also in this chapter one sees a marked change of the religion in 622 AD. One thing is that there are only five places discouraging friendship with non-Muslims in the some 86 surahs from Mecca covering the first 12 years of Muhammad's teaching, compared to 17 (if we have found all) in the ca. 22 surahs from Medina covering the last 10 years.

Much more essential is the fact that on average the discouraging became much stricter. Much stricter.

One possible reason is Muhammad's starting robbing and stealing from caravans and preparing for war. A group believing every outsider is a subhuman enemy is much easier to incite hate in - and willingness to go to war or terrorism or robbery.

Can a strongly believing conservative Muslim ever really become a good and trusted German citizen? - even if many Muslims liked - and like - Hitler.

7. March. 09

NON-MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE
HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

**INCITEMENTS IN THE QURAN TO
DISLIKE AND DISCRIMINATE NON-
MUSLIMS - DOGMATIC
DISCRIMINATION, APARTHEID AND
WORSE IN THE QURAN AND HENCE IN
ISLAM**

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Also see chapters “Muslims are better”, “Make no friends with non-Muslims”, and “Incitement to dislike, hate and suppression”.

We stress two things:

1. This is what the Quran says - not what governments of some Muslim states say today.
2. Most Muslims we have met, do not live according to the strictest rules. They simply want to live and let live just like you and me. (But the old problem of course is: How to know who is good and who is a (potential) terrorist - or the helper of terrorists?) I - the writer of just this chapter - have at least a few friends and a number of acquaintances who are Muslims, and they are just as human as you and me.

These four chapters are all arranged according to the approximate age of the surahs, partly because it is interesting to see if there are any developments in Muhammad's/Islam's point of view over those 23 years, and partly because according to the abrogation rule in Islam, if there are two or more verses in the Quran that say different things, the oldest is invalidated and the youngest is what counts - therefore it is essential also for non-Muslims to know which are the real rules, this even more so as the youngest rules often are the strictest.

There is a lot slander about how bad the non-Muslims are who do not live according to Islam and how they will be punished for that - a good reason for looking down on them. We skip

most of that, and also most of the happy endings for (those who become) Muslims and the very bad endings that always catch up with non-Muslims - tales intended for strengthening moralities of Muslims and their proselytes - and concentrate mainly on the more direct relationship between non-Muslims and Muslims.

Year 610 to 622 - some 86 surahs:

It is a striking fact that in all the years before Muhammad fled to Medina there hardly is a single word about that non-Muslims were a danger to Muslims, or that there was a reason to hate them or anything like that. Non-Muslims were bad in the meaning that they wanted you to leave Muhammad and stupid as they did not see that Muhammad was speaking the truth - not to mention that they were stupid who had to ask for proofs. There is one slight mentioning of the possibility to meet them in strife (16/110), but that may as well mean intellectual strife. That is all we find. (If we have overlooked anything, please inform us). Non-Muslims should also not be chosen for friends, and of course Muslims are much better quality. But in this period there are no incitements to hate or suppression or slaying or enslaving or rape or murder or war.

But as soon as Muhammad arrived in Medina, the religion all of a sudden changes on these points. Already in verse no. 4 in surah 47 - no. 47 is likely to be partly from Medina and no. 2 the first wholly from there. It is all blood ("smite at their necks") and war and terror and the possibility of extorting money.

Muhammad needed highwaymen and later warriors.

(Muslims frequently explains away the years as robbers and murderers and extorters praying on caravans mainly to and from Mecca, with that this was part of the war Mecca forced on the Muslims in Medina. To be polite that is wrong. Mecca was only too glad to be rid of Muhammad and his followers, and had happily left them alone in Medina if not Muhammad time and again and again robbed their caravans, killed some of the men from the caravans and took others prisoner and extorted money for releasing them alive. The robberies were not because of a war - the war started because of the bloody and thieving behaviour of the Muslims.)

Year 622 AD (Medina), surah 47:

***001 47/4: "Therefore (because Allah wants it!! - see 47/3*), when ye meet the Unbelievers (in fight), smite at their necks; at length, when ye have thoroughly subdued them, bind a bond firmly (on them), thereafter it is time for either generosity or ransom - -". No comments - none necessary. Except that the words "(in fight)" are added by the translator accorsing to our aources - in Arab the order simply is to kill when one meets non-Muslims.

00a 47/23: "Such are the men (non-Muslims*) whom Allah has cursed - - -". And when Allah has cursed them, they of course are worthy of only despise.

00b 47/29: "- - - those in whose hearts is a disease (= non-Muslims*) - - -". With a disease they of course are worth very little.

Year 622-624 AD mainly (verses 275-281 ca. 632 AD), surah 2:

002 2/11: “Of a surety, they (non-Muslims*) are the ones who make mischief”. A clear distinction – and the raids Muslims already were making – and more to follow – was no mischief?

003 2/18: “Deaf, dumb, and blind – they (“infidels”, apostates*) will not return (to Islam*)”. Yes, they have to be deaf, dumb, and blind if they question all that is wrong in the Quran. A reason for looking down on them.

004 2/99: “- - - none (no Muslim*) reject them (the signs of Allah - though not a single one of them are logically valid proofs for Allah, as there nowhere is proved that Allah is behind them*) but those (non-Muslims*) who are perverse”. The distance between the good Muslims and the bad non-Muslims grows - the latter ones even are perverse!!! (Not to mention the situation if they do not even belong to the People of the Book - Jews, Christians and Sabeans (Sabah was a Christian country in South Yemen - they had become Christians via East Africa, and perhaps a little different from the Greek Catholic the Arabs met other places. Islam often uses other explanations for the Sabeans).

There is little reason not to suppress or rob or rape or kill perverted “animals”!??

005 2/191: “- - - and slay them (non-Muslims*) wherever you find them, and turn them out from where they have turned you out.” That Muslims retake land they have once conquered seems to be right and just - no matter that they, themselves, once took it from someone else. But that Hindus in India wants their now “small” country - remember that India once (until 1947) was what was now are Pakistan, India and Bangladesh - instead of being ruled and misruled by Muslims is very bad; hate the Hindus. And most of the Middle East, included Egypt and Turkey once were Christian. But when Christians for a hundred years once more dominated parts of the area, Christians were the worst people ever. Hate them and kill them. And the Buddhists in Indonesia: When they do better than “we” - hate them and kill them (pogroms in Indonesia especially against Chinese have cost more than 200000 lives last century). And when the Christians in East Timor had had too much of Muslim suppression and demanded to become free: Murder thousands (estimated 102.800 included dead from hunger and "overdeath" from illness according to Wikipedia) of them - it is lawful and just. And when Muslims in Indonesia do not kill in East Timor, they bomb Hindus and Christians in Bali and other places. Not to mention the not too secret dreams of retaking Spain and Southeast Europe “because it used to be Muslim land, and we should turn out the ones who took it from us” - oh, yes, We have heard it.

As for East Timor that history is rather thought provoking. Indonesia is a quite civilized country, and all the same they behaved like that - and similar against their own Chinese who had been Indonesians for generations. Worse: Some of it was done by the regular army or could have been stopped by the army (the same goes for the Pakistanese inhumanities in Bangladesh in that war). That means we cannot expect agitated Muslims or Muslim regular armies to behave much better other places even today.

And it hardly is strange that this is a dream, as the official goal for Islam is to dominate the entire world, and suppress and tax all non-Muslims. Just read the Quran and see - it is said there.

If non-Muslims dominates Muslim area - hate them and kill them, so Muslims can dominate and suppress and tax the survivors fair and just. The Muslim way of fair play?

007 2/217: “- - - Tumult and oppression (of Muslims*) are worse than slaughter (of non-Muslims*)”. It is correct that in this verse it originally was said about the rulers of Mecca. But as Islam nearly always says: What is said in the Quran, mostly goes for all similar situations everywhere and any time.

An impressive point is that the Quran orders all Muslim to suppress and tax and subdue ALL non-Muslims in the entire world if and when they are able to. See 8/39 just below.

Year 624 AD, surah 8:

008 8/39: “And fight with them (non-Muslims) until there is no more tumult or oppression, and there prevail justice and faith in Allah altogether and everywhere - - -”. We include this from the chapter about war, to stress that also incitement to war is incitement to hate. You will thus find a lot of incitement to hate, detesting and discrimination against non-Muslims in that chapter in addition to what is mentioned in this. The same goes for the chapters “Muslims are better” and “Make no friends with non-Muslims”.

009 8/73: “The Unbelievers are protectors, one of another - - -”. Stick together against the non-Muslims.

Year 625 AD mainly (some verses 631 AD), surah 3:

010 3/75: “They (pagans/non-Muslims*) tell lies against Allah”. No comments necessary.

011 3/110: “Most of them (Jews and Christians*) are perverted transgressors.” Yes, one has to be perverted to believe in the god of the old - a god that according to their holy book has manifested his power many times, like the Jews, or in a book backed by thousands of witnesses (though in both these cases something or details may be wrong), compared to believe in a medium large businessman liking power much, and who in addition is a highway man, extortioner, womaniser, rapist, torturer, enslaver, slave dealer (selling or giving away for bribes his 20% of the slaves taken), assassin, murderer, mass murderer, breaker of his words (f. ex. murdering people he had guaranteed safety during peace talks) and inciter to hate, discrimination and war - a man in no way able to do more than to tell unfounded tales backed by invalid and even wrong “signs” and “proofs” - tales that on top of all shows a number of the hallmarks of a swindler, cheater and deceiver. (Muslims: This is no slander - the facts are taken from Islam’s own books telling about and praising Muhammad - it only lacks the sugar coat of explaining away and heroism. There is no reason of being angry when meeting the very plain truth from your own books).

Yes, Jews, Christians and for that case Pagans have to be perverted not to believe on basis on such - unproven - words. And for not to kill and terrorize on his orders.

012 3/118: “- - - they (non-Muslims*) will not fail to corrupt you (Muslims*)”. No good people.

013 3/118: “They (non-Muslims*) only desire your (Muslims*) ruin - - -”. There is a good reason for despising such people.

014 3/118: “- - - rank hatred (of Muslims*) has already appeared from their (non-Muslims') mouths - - -”. Is it possible to find worse human beings?

015 3/118: “- - - what their (non-Muslims*) hearts conceal is far worse (than rank hatred against Muslims*) - - -”. No, worse than non-Muslims is not possible to find.

016 3/119: “- - - they bite off their very fingertips at you in rage (if you are lucky in some way or other*)”. Despicable people.

017 3/120: “If aught that is good befalls you (Muslims*), it grieves them (non-Muslims*) - - -”. Unkind fellows.

018 3/120: “- - - but if some misfortune overtakes you (Muslims*), they (non-Muslims*) rejoice at it”. There is a good reason to dislike - or worse - them.

019 3/149: “If ye (Muslims) obey the unbelievers, they will drive you back on your heels - - -”. No good.

Year 625-626 AD perhaps, surah 6:

020 61/7: “Their (the wrongdoers‘*) intention is to extinguish Allah’s Light - - - “ Hateable.

Year 625-629 AD - most of it likely 627-629 AD, surah 33:

021 33/60: “Truly, if the Hypocrites, and the ones in whose hearts is a disease (non-Muslims) - - - desists not - - - they will not be able to stay in it (a city) as thy neighbours for any length of time - - -”. Bad people are to be chased away.

***022 33/61: “(Hypocrites and non-Muslims and those who make unrest*) shall have a course upon them: wherever they are found, they shall be sized and slain (without mercy)”. More plainly it is difficult to tell it - and who is to decide what is unrest and who starts and continues it? Pogroms happen - f. ex. in Indonesia and Malaysia against Chinese not long ago - they were richer than the Muslims (Chinese work more and often have more education). Will there be unrest against others because they are richer than Muslims somewhere in the future? - or simply because non-Muslims never should be the boss of a Muslim (not likely to be a rule today, except perhaps in conservative areas, but it used to be a rule during for hundreds of years many places)?

023 33/62: “Such (to kill non-Muslims not living according to Islam’s laws of suppression of non-Muslims*) was the practice (approved) of Allah among the ones that lived aforetime: no change wilt thou find in the practice (approved) of Allah (now or in the future*)”. If Islam/Muslims grow strong enough some time, this is what to expect, according to their holy book. How had the world looked today, if the industrial revolution with its superior weapons, ships and economic and military superiority had happened in the Muslim area? - Islam has no moral, ethical, empathetical, ideological or philosophical ideas against suppressing other people - on the contrary it is a religious duty. Actually Islam has no moral or ethical philosophy at all - that was decided once and for all before 1100 AD by the religion, with al Ghazali - "the greatest muslim after Muhammad" - as the grave digger with his book "The Incoherence of the Philosophers". (There were a few thinkers for some more time - about 100 more years - in Spain, but they got little influence on the mainstream Islam).

Year 629 AD, surah 66:

024 66/9: “O Prophet (Muhammad*)! Strive hard against the Unbelievers and Hypocrites, and be firm against them.” And Muhammad is the example for all Muslims.

Year 629-630 AD - most likely early 630 AD, surah 60:

021 60/4: “There is for you (Muslims*) an excellent example (to follow) in Abraham and those with him, when they said to their people: ‘We are clear of you and of whatever you worship besides Allah: we have rejected you, and there has arisen, between us and you, enmity and hatred forever - unless you believe in Allah and him alone’ - - -”. Remember that Islam tells often and clearly that what is told in one context in the Quran, nearly always has general and universal meaning and value. Words not possible to misunderstand.

An extra point: To have another religion is enough for the good Muslim (according to Islam) to feel "enmity and hatred forever". Rather a thought provoking piece of information.

025 60/6: “There was indeed for them (Abraham and his people in 60/4*) an excellent example for you (Muslims*) to follow - - -”. See 60/4 just above. No further comments necessary.

Year 631 AD - age not quite sure though, surah 9:

026 9/8: “- - - most of them (non-Muslims*) are rebellious and wicked”. It is normal to dislike and stay away from wicked people. A god piece of advice.

027 9/28 (118): “Truly the Pagans (people neither Muslims nor Jews nor Christians) are unclean - - -” And what is said in the Quran is valid also today and forever. During the Muslim military expansion, pagans frequently were very harshly treated - killed by the thousands and even by the tens of thousands f. ex. in Sind and the rest of India. Also from Africa there are bad rumours, but we have been unable to find reliable numbers.

****028 9/29: “Fight those who believe not in Allah - - - until they pay the jizya (extra tax*) with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued”. The softest word possible: Discrimination. And the jizya frequently was high.

029 9/32: “Fain would they (Christians*) extinguish Allah’s Light with their mouths - - -”. Bad and detestable people.

Year 631:

030 49/7: “- - - He (Allah*) has made hateful to you (Muslims*) unbelief - - -”. To hate unbelief for most people means to hate the unbelievers/non-Muslims.

Year 632, surah 5:

031 5/52: “Those in whose hearts is a disease - - -”. If you are not a good Muslim, there is a disease in you.

032 5/62: “Evil indeed are the things they (Jews and Christians*) do.” No comments, except that you should detest and hate such people.

033 5/80: “Evil indeed are (the works) which their (Muslims seeking contact/friendship with non-Muslims*) souls have sent forward before them, (with the result that Allah’s wrath is on them - -*)“. Just to seek contact/friendship with non-Muslims makes Allah angry with you. No comments.

Year uncertain, surah 98:

034 98/6: “They (non-Muslims*) are the worst of creatures”. A fitting last quotation?

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER V/4.

In this chapter we have listed some verses - but far from all - of the incitements to dislike, discrimination, hate, etc., that do not fit in other chapters (and some that fit). You will find more if you read the book, especially if you also include the so-called hypocrites, which we mostly have omitted.

In addition you will find lots and lots about it in the chapters about war - which has much incitement to detesting, discrimination, and hate - discrimination (“Muslims are better”, “Make no friends with non-Muslims”), and the small one about non-Muslims in Muslim states. And not to forget all the incitements there are to dislike, detest, discriminate and look down upon non-Muslims that is to be found in all the hundreds of loose statements about how bad non-Muslims are according to Allah, and what a punishment he will give them. Of these there are so many, and many are so easy to see, that we have not bothered to list them - anyone can make a long list already the first time they read the Quran. An impressingly friendly religion.

The Quran talks a lot about nice words and peace and such things. To a degree it is true inside Muslim communities - as long as “the others” do not belong to a sect too different from your own.

But towards non-Muslims Islam teaches detesting, discrimination, suppression, hate and war. And enslavement according to the Quran, if not the West had made official slavery impossible. (The last Muslim state to abolish officially accepted slavery was Mauritania as late as in 1982 AD - and it did not become a punishable crime until in unbelievable 2007 AD!!). We say official, because according to the United Nations there are today (numbers released early 2007 AD) some 24 million slaves or people living under slave-like conditions in the world - quite a large lot of them in Muslim countries (but the West - and a lot other places - are not “white” either: Some 700.000 of them live in Europe (included East Europe) - we have not seen the numbers for USA.)

Muslims talk nice words - but teach and preach and most places where they are in power, also live according to the far more sinister sentences in the Quran about discrimination, looking down on, segregation (in countries where they do not have power they often do not want to mingle with the bad “infidels”), and worse. Possible exceptions to a degree are countries like Malaysia and some countries where the conservative Islam is not too strong. But teaching of hate against non-Muslims is far from infrequent in the madrasas (religious schools) in many Muslim communities.

And what for? In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on

such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

26.2.09

PART V, CHAPTER 5, (= V-5-0-0)

NON-MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

ISLAM AND SLAVES ACCORDING TO THE QURAN

(Islam was the greatest slave owner and slave trader people in the world, perhaps except Negroes in some African Negroe states.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Also see chapters "Muslims are better", "Make no friends with non-Muslims", and "Incitement to dislike, hate and suppression".

Today you meet many Muslims back-peddalling with much energy when one mentions the word "slave". They may tell you that Muhammad and Islam always intended to stop slavery. They may tell you that black slavery meant America, and that only few ended in Muslim countries. They may even boast that the Muslims made Europe finish the use of slaves. Or that slaves were so well treated, that they did not want to flee or go home.

The sad truth is that neither Muhammad, nor the Quran, nor Hadith and definitely not Islam or Muslims ever showed the slightest intention to stop slavery or to abolish it as an institution. - Oh, no!!! Allah accepted it and Muhammad practised it and Islam said it was good and lawful and Muslims made money on it. Yes, slavery is so accepted in the Quran and in Hadith, and was so accepted in Islam, that in conservative Muslim societies even today the ending of slavery may be seen as a "new idea" - and remember that with some exceptions ("good new ideas" in accordance with the Quran) - even today "new ideas" are reckoned to be un-Islamic and heresy in conservative areas.

Slavery in Europe died out a thousand years ago or a bit less, depending on where in Europe. And it did not die out because of the Muslims between Africa and us. For one thing trade is always possible also among different cultures if both parts make money on it, and for another thing our slaves came not from south, but from east - why do you think they were called slaves if not? (They were of the Slavic race.) It died out partly because it did not pay well to feed slaves all the year just to work a few months each summer - especially as there were

plenty of cheap workers around - and partly because very obviously it was at odds with the religion.

Real abolition started in England around 1800 mainly for humanitarian and Christian based reasons and from empathy with the victims, and was quickly accepted as laws in Europe (f. ex. Denmark/Norway 1803, England 1807), but somewhat later in the Americas (1864 in USA).

As far as we know no Muslim country abolished official slavery except after pressure from the outside, and many not until far into 1900 - Mauritania as unbelievably late as 1982 (and even more unbelievable: Not until the year 2007!!!! - did it become a punishable crime to have slaves there). In addition we have heard, but not found confirmation for, that in Niger slavery was not prohibited until 2003.

To the Americas reliable numbers of the import of slaves from Africa vary from 7 to 12 million (Encyclopaedia Britannica says 7-10 millions, but 12 or max 13 millions is more often seen) from ca. 1550 AD - a part of this number ended in USA.

As for Negro slaves in Muslim area, the numbers are varying more - up to 140 million from ca. 650 AD till they were forced to abolish it in the 1900s. Encyclopaedia Britannica say 9 million brought across Sahara + 5 million brought by boat from East Africa (but here are included only the ones who arrived alive). In addition there were 1.5 million slaves from Europe, included many from around the Black Sea. Some 15.5 million slaves from Europe and Africa together, the ones who died under transport not included - and they were many. Then there were the slaves from Asia - as far east as China actually. Here we have been unable to find reliable numbers, but quite a lot of millions. It must be added that the death rates in Muslim black slave trade were very high, especially among the ones that were forced to walk all the way from central Africa to the Mediterranean coast - across Sahara - to be sold in those states. Numbers as high as 80-90% are mentioned, but we have problems with believing that high numbers - the slavers after all lost money when slaves died. But it should be mentioned that if a death rate of 80 – 90 % during transport (compared to some 10% on the Atlantic crossing) is correct, the number 140 million taken or bought from black Africa through the centuries, starts making sense compared to 14 million arrived according to the careful Encyclopaedia Britannica (many others have higher numbers).

Finally there were the Negro slaves in black Africa (Africa south of Sahara). It is difficult to find reliable numbers here, but a may be reliable estimate says that on average 30% of the inhabitants in Africa at any given time were slaves. That in case means that at any given time there were more black slaves inside Africa, than “exported” to the Americas and the Muslim area through all times. Some hundreds of millions through the times if one reckon from 650 AD till today.

The great enslaver and slave owner was black Africa – the tribes had slaves from other tribes. And remember that large parts of Africa became Muslim over the years. Number 2 was the Muslim area – and Muslim slave traders were the sole supplicants here. Number 3 was the Americas (North, Middle and South put together) – also here with Muslim slave traders as big supplicants (an often “forgotten” fact).

And only in Europe and some parts of USA the idea of abolishing slavery arose.

Further: According to the United Nations there are some 24 million people TODAY (numbers published in 2007 AD) living as slaves or in slave like conditions in the world - a large percentage of them in Muslim countries.

Muslims as mentioned also were deeply involved in the export to the Americas. (Took slaves and bought slaves for selling them to slave ships for the Americas). The slave captains in the slave trade to the Americas mainly bought the slaves in Africa - they rarely went slave hunting themselves.

In addition there as mentioned was the slave taking in all areas Islam conquered or raided in Asia, and slave trade in Asia as far east as China - one of the Muslim "kings" in India f. ex. is said to have had 180000 slaves just in his capital. Also here we have been unable to find any reliable total number. As for slaves taken along the Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea, one reckon some 1.2 million - if you add the rest of Europe (as far away as Iceland and may be Greenland) and captured ships one ends at some 1.5 - 1.6 millions. All not too small towns in Muslim areas had official slave markets. In Europe people collected money to buy slaves free from Muslim countries at least into the 1880s.

It is also said that slaves in Muslim countries fared much better than in USA. This is only partly true.

What is true is that the social distance between a poor Muslim and a slave was not quite as great as in America. A Muslim f. ex. could marry a slave girl, or a Muslim poor woman could marry a Muslim slave. Such marriages hardly happened in the Americas.

It also is true that it was reckoned to be a good deed to set a slave free, especially if he or she was a Muslim. Actually this was reckoned in the same way among many in the Americas, but not always socially accepted all the same.

Further it is true that the house slaves often did not fare badly in Muslim countries. But this was also true for the Americas - it was not the house slaves that were much mistreated.

Then it was the question of the other slaves. Here the story is entirely different, in spite of what Muslims try to gloss over. Slaves working in the fields, in mines, and other places - and also during slave transports - were often extremely mistreated and worked to death.

And finally there were the glorious and romantic harems.

Women there were for work and sex or only for sex. And as a main thing was sex, what happened if a man got bored with a slave girl? - or simply wanted some new and more exciting "meat"? She simply was sold - and resold - and resold. A large number was passed from man to man to man to man, and ended up as destroyed wrecks and caricatures of human beings, with their lives utterly ruined. For the pleasure of Muslim men - who according to Muhammad and the Quran and Islam was 100% right to behave like that according to the human and good religion Islam, and according to the benevolent Allah. Also if she was not sold and resold, rape is rape. But Islam never expressed second thoughts about morality, neither concerning war, stealing/spoils of war, enslavement, nor rape of prisoners/slaves. We may add that 2 of 3 slaves imported to Muslim countries were women or children - imported for sex (whereas to the Americas 2 of 3 slaves were adult males - imported for work (sex with Negro female slaves was practised, but never socially accepted in USA).

There also should be some explanation why the slaves in the Americas have many descendants today - but where are the black descendants from the millions and millions of slaves in the Muslim countries? Why are they so few? What kind of treatment is the explanation for this? We have not found the answers. That is to say we have been told, but not found verified that blacks slaves were prohibited from marrying.

It may sound strange, but until very recently some Muslim universities thought slave law - the slaves were things and laws were necessary for protecting the owners' rights, trading, etc. The slaves themselves had absolutely no rights or protection.

001 2/221: "(To marry*) a slave woman who believes (in Islam*), is better than to marry an unbelieving woman, even though she is alluring to you." Well, this may tell as much about the value of non-Muslims.

002 4/3: "- - - marry women of your choice, two or three or four - - - or (a captive) that your right hand possesses - - -." The distance between a poor Muslim and a slave was less than in a similar situation in the Americas – it was acceptable to marry a slave woman. The woman then formally often was given her freedom and was no more a slave – though as the more or less forced wife of a man, her freedom was not necessarily very real. The social position was better though, and she kept her freedom if there was a divorce.

003 4/24: "Also (prohibited (to Muslims*) are) women already married, except those whom your right hand possess (= slave women*)". This question surfaced for a special reason: The warriors wanted to know if they were permitted to rape ("to have sex with" to express it more polite) also married female captives when they were waging war. Not married captives they knew they could treat just as they wanted, but what about married ones? - the married Muslim ones were prohibited for sex except for their husband. And the answer was as you see: You also are free to rape married non-Muslim women, (but with one exception; not if they were pregnant). And this was given as a general statement and rule - any slave or female captive could be raped (except when pregnant), husband or no husband.

004 4/25a: "If any of you have not the means wherewith to wed free believing women, they may wed believing girls from those whom your right hand possess - - -". "The ones whom your right hand possess", is an Arab expression for slave. To marry a slave girl is far down socially, but acceptable. Willing or not willing slave girl - the question is never asked in the Quran (besides it is likely that many would be willing, as it often meant they formally became free women, not slaves any more).

005 4/25b: "- - - their (slave women married to a free Muslim*) punishment (for crime or indecency*) is half of that for free women." This was softer than in the Americas, as far as it was practised (slaves in the house often fared not too bad, whereas slaves in the fields, mines, etc. could have a terrible life – not unlike the worst situations in the Americas).

006 4/36: "- - - do good to parents, kinfolks, orphans, those in need, neighbours who are near, neighbours who are strangers, the Companion by your side, the wayfarer (ye meet), and what your right hand possess - - -". Allah likes people who do good - even to slaves. Your slaves are mentioned after even wayfarers you happen to meet, but they are mentioned.

007 8/70: "O Prophet! Say to those who are captives in your hands: 'If Allah findeth any good in your hearts, He will give you something better than what has been taken from you, and He

will forgive you - - -." Muhammad was a great taker of slaves, and he promises the slaves a better life in Paradise – the always cheap words. It also is a bit ironic that Muhammad had attacked and stolen and raped and murdered and enslaved - - - but it was his victims that needed forgiving. Some religion.

008 16/75: “- - - a slave under the dominion of another - - - a man on whom We (Allah*) have bestowed goodly favours - - - are the two equal? (By no means); praise be to Allah.” This “praise be to Allah,” tells many pages about the Muslims’ evaluation of slaves.

009 23/5+6: “(Those Muslims are good*) Who abstains from sex, Except with those joined to them in the marriage bond, or (the captives) whom your right hand possess (= slaves) - for (in their case) they are free from blame”. Catch a girl and make her captive - and you are free from any blame if you rape her - or gang-rape her - or make more women your captives and rape them, too - or exchange girls with your mates every half hour or day or week. A slave is a slave - and spoils of war you take “just and good”, according to the Quran and Islam. We sometimes wonder if this is the reason for so much mass rape sometimes when Muslims wage war - Darfur and Bangladesh are/were examples to remember. Forget that the women are humans - take them captive and you are free to rape them without any blame. Really good religion.

But it brought Muhammad cheap highwaymen and later warriors.

010 24/32: “Marry those among you who are single, or the virtuous ones among your slaves, male or female - - -“. Also for male slaves marriage to a free woman was possible – and with luck to marry himself out of bondage. We have seen no numbers, but would guess the chances for such a marriage were far better for a female slave than for a male.

011 24/33a: “And if any of your slaves ask for a deed in writing (to enable them to earn their freedom for a certain sum), give them such a deed if you know any good in them- - -“. This mostly was a sleeping paragraph, but as far as we have heard, it did happen now and then. We do not know of any western law saying the same, but slaves sometimes were given their freedom and at least a few times got a chance to work themselves free also in the west. (Actually it happened among the vikings that slaves were given the chance to work themselves free.)

012 24/33b: “But force not your maids (female slaves*) to prostitution when they desire chastity (notice the choice of words – if the slave woman is willing, it seems to be ok*), in order that ye may make a gain in the goods of this life.” We have not found out how widespread such forced – and not forced – prostitution was and still is in some Muslim societies. But it is thought provoking that Arab is said to have 26 words for prostitute; It is a general tendency in languages that frequently used expressions have many varieties and synonyms.

013 24/58: “Let those whom your right hand possess (= slaves*), and the (children) among you who have not come of age, ask your permission - - -“. Further down on the social ladder in a house it is not possible to place a slave if he at all shall be able to do the work you demand from him - or her.

014 30/28: “- - - do ye (Muslims*) have partners among those your right hand possess (= slaves), to share as equals in the wealth We (Allah*) have bestowed on you? Do you fear them as ye fear each other?” Oh, no - Muslims do not.

015 33/55: “There is no blame (on the ladies if they appear (indecently dressed*)) before their fathers or their sons, their brothers or their brothers’ sons, or their sisters’ sons, or their women, or the (slaves) whom their right hands possess.” It is unclear what the two last groups really cover. “Their women” may mean their slave women – literally “their”. Or their close female friends or relatives. Or – if this verse is directed mainly to Muhammad’s wives like some commentators mean – Muslim women in general. As for “the (slaves) whom their right hands possess” that simply means the slaves they owns, but it is not clear if it means of both sexes – that male slaves counted so little that it was ok – but commentators mostly wants it to mean “female slaves.”

016 33/52: “It is not lawful for thee (Muhammad*) (to marry more) women after this - - - except any thy right hand should possess - - -”. Slave women did not count, so Muhammad still had a way out if he wanted more women.

017 58/3: “But those who have divorced their wives by zihar (a very simple ceremony*), the wish to go back on the words they uttered - (it is ordained that such a one) should free a slave before they touch each other - - -”. Some sins one could repair by freeing a slave. If one was not rich enough to do that, one often could fast (not eat or drink or have sex during the day) for some time - in this case two months. To months fast = the real value of a human if he or she was a slave.

018 70/29-30: “And those who guard their chastity, except with their wives and the (captives) whom their right hands possess (= slaves*) – for (then) they are not to be blamed - - -”. There is no blame for raping your slave women – just how and how often you want. A good and benevolent god (at least for the Muslim free man).

019 90/12-13: “And what will explain to thee (Muhammad/Muslims*) the path that is steep (in the meaning ascending fast, not that it is hard to walk*)? – (It is): freeing the bondman, or giving of food in a day of privation (and some other things*) - - -”. To set free a slave was a good thing – but the main argument and the main moral (?) reason was not to help a fellow human, but to gain merit with Allah. With other words: To help yourself in your next life.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER V/5.

This is about all that the Quran says about slaves - slaves is not a big topic in the book, as slaves were of little consequence for Muhammad and his men, except as a source for money, for cheap labour and for sex. Islam is talking to YOU, the man and (potential) warrior - the central person in your own world - and only the main person in your own world counts. Others are just things whose happiness and feelings and life is of little or no consequence - just things for you to use and discard - not even treated as real humans in the Quran. Children are status and pride to you, women are mainly the same + sex, old men are hardly mentioned except as your parents, war invalids are not mentioned – bad for war moral - and slaves are just property and a source for easy life – and sex – for YOU, the man.

We should add that Muslims of today say that slaves one only were permitted to take during jihad - holy war, and consequently there were not many slaves in Muslim countries. This could have been true if not:

1. According to Islam jihad can be declared in any war of self-defence IN THE WIDEST MEANING OF THE WORD. The result is that any war can be, and was and is explained and declared as a war in self-defence = jihad.
2. Muslim warriors - and leaders - did not care one hoot about whether a war in reality was a war of conquest - they took and stole what they could of riches and slaves anyhow.
3. And good and less good Muslims raided and hunted for slaves on three continents - it was their divine right from Allah, as the objects were non-Muslims an American diplomat was told in the late 1800s.
4. According to all the 4 schools of law in Islam, one accepted the fact that the opponents were non-Muslims as a sufficient reason for declaring jihad – holy war – and attacking. Second thoughts about this only started in the 1920s - 1930s, after influence from western thinking and education.
5. And it was possible to buy slaves. Muslims had a slave trading network alt least from beyond Timbuktu in West Africa to China, and from South Russia (Black Sea) to way down on the African and the Indian continents - not to mention some islands.

And we have never heard about Muslim thinkers or clergy or other learned men before the 1930s debating the moral and ethical sides of slave keeping, slave trading, slave taking, (or slave rapeing) - moral questions that around 1800 started the abolishing movement in what Islam calls the bad West and worse. A curiosa: Already some Greek philosophers had problems with the moral question of taking slaves - but Muslim thinkers never even discussed such a problem. It simply was no problem for Muslims or for Islam as the Quran said ok and as the great idol Mohammad took and used and sold slaves himself. When Muslims tell Islam prepared for stopping slavery, it is not even wrong - it is hypocrisy.

Actually Islam's hypocrisy, dishonesty, brazenness, and "holiness" about this claim is a main source for me personally – me who are writing just these lines - of disgusted feelings from Islam and from any Muslim saying such directly untrue al-taqiyyas with a straight face. In Europe slavery as mentioned died out as an accepted institution, mainly because it collided with fundamental religious ideas (but helped by the fact that labour grew cheap, and thus slavery did not pay well for the owner). And when it started again in the Americas – in South and Central America with local Indians shortly after the Spaniards and Portuguese arrived around 1500 (1492) AD and later with imported Negroes, and in what became USA in some scale in the late 1600s and in the 1700s (it came to an end in 1864 AD), the West in the end had the ethics and morality and not least the backbone to stop it. Islam was pulled and pushed and forced backwards and protesting into abolishing it.

And even now they do not have the moral backbone to face reality, but serves lame claims about planned abolishing so easy to see through, that the only possible reaction from people who know something about it, is disgust or stronger.

To quote the honourable and respected “The Message of the Quran”, 2008 edition:
“According to many Islamic scholars of our time (for good reasons they have found no heavy-weights to quote from older times, as the theme was not even debated*) (e.g. Rashid Rida’), this (to set free slaves as expiation for own sins*) relates, in the first instance, to circumstances in which ‘slavery will have been abolished in accordance with the aim of Islam”.

At least the brazenness is impressive.

But this way to bluff and flee from moral questions, and even to lie about them to gain respect, bodes badly for the future.

And where do you find the majority of “de facto” slaves today – with lax laws and even more lax law enforcement?

Hypocrisy, rubber moral backbone, and lies – “lawful” and religiously accepted al-taqiyyas or not – produces very little respect.

This even more so when it comes from the leading scholars of Islam. And after having made no progress in the case in 1300 - 1400 years until they were forced backwards into abolishing it by forces and badly liked ideas from the outside.

One final question: You frequently meet Muslims justifying the taking of slaves with that it only is permitted during jihada - holy war. But one thing is that the excuse is valueless as any strife where Muslims are involved, is named jihad. Another and far more damning for Islamic moral codexes, is the question: How can fighting religious wars for a good and benevolent god sanctify enslaving, stealing and rape? - especially when each and every fight and raid is called jihad?

The answer will tell a lot about Muslim and Islamic moral codexes (moral philosophy has never existed in Islam - only moral codexes based on what Muhammad said and did.)

PART V, CHAPTER 6, (= V-6-0-0)

NON-MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

NON-MUSLIMS IN A (FUTURE?) MUSLIM WORLD; DOGMATIC SUPPRESSION AND APARTHEID ACCORDING TO MUHAMMAD AND

THE QURAN AND HENCE TO ISLAM AND MUSLIMS.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Also see chapters “Muslims are better”, “Make no friends with non-Muslims”, and “Incitement to dislike, hate and suppression”.

Muslims and some non-Muslims tell that for non-Muslims to live in Muslim countries is no problem - peaceful, safe and quiet.

What they do not tell is that according to the Quran, the deal is: Some safety in exchange for:

1. No political power - none at all.
2. Discrimination in all levels of life.
3. Strongly reduced legal security - a Muslim can enter your house and rob you, and laugh at you afterwards, if you have no Muslim witness, even if you have 10 others, as non-Muslims cannot witness against a Muslim, just to take one example.
4. Real risk for becoming victim of pogroms - they happen infrequently, but they do happen. A few years ago there was one with 2000 (?) dead in Indonesia and one FAR worse 1-2 generations ago (at least 200000 dead, mostly ethnic Chinese). Also some years ago there was a bad one in East Timor with thousands of dead (estimated 102.800 dead according to Wikipedia) - murdered non-Muslims in connection with that East Timor got their freedom. Coptic Christians have problems in Egypt. And places like north Nigeria, north Pakistan and Darfur (terrorism, rape, robbing and murder based on Muslim judicial bodies) not to be mentioned. But we can mention 1.2 million murdered Armenians - a story even the relatively modern Turkey has not got the backbone to face even today.
5. At least in older times and with no guarantee for the future, prescription to deliver your children to the Muslims - f. ex. the Janissaries under the Ottomans (strictly speaking not part of the Quran, except that slavetaking was/is "lawful and good", but the effect was the same for the victims).

6. Paying extra tax - some places and times so high and ruthless that the victims were unable to pay, and had to flee from their homes and often from the country.

Really the Quran does not say much about the life for non-Muslims in Muslim countries. Most of it one has to guess from verses talking only indirectly about such things. F. ex. verses talking about war, suppressing of non-Muslims, the superiority of Muslims, marriage, etc.

Also beware that there is a huge differences - after all - between “the People of the Book” (mainly Jews and Christians) and all other non-Muslims, called pagans (some places and times the Zoroastrians of Persia was reckoned to be a little better than other pagans). The pagans are the bottom.

If it was not for the verse telling there should be no compulsion in religion in 2/256, the situation might have been worse - though the Muslims frequently have forgotten that through history, especially when dealing with “pagans” - and for a good reason; 2/256 is abrogated and made invalid by some 30 different later and more bloody verses - a fact Muslims always “forget” to mention. Surah no. 2 was the first one in Medina and the other harsh ones were introduced after the arrival there, and so the hard surahs about war and hate take precedence over it - the rules of abrogation (when two or more verses conflict, the newest one normally is the valid one).

But there are a few verses from where one can get direct information.

001 8/39: “- - - there (shall*) prevail justice and faith in Allah all together and everywhere - - -”. There shall be the rule of law - but the Muslim rule of law, where f. ex. a non-Muslim cannot witness against a Muslim. But to be fair, the Quran several places tells that judges shall judge correctly, so if there is no special reason, if the judge is not against non-Muslims, if he is not corrupt, etc., there was a reasonable chance to get a fair decision - fair according to Muslim laws. At least as long as your opponent was not a Muslim, or worse, a powerful Muslim. In that case the law was/is not fair.

But Islam should - and shall - be the dominant religion.

002 8/58: “If thou fearest treachery from any group, throw back (their Covenant) to them - - -”. It was enough to “fear treachery” - or say they did - then the situation for non-Muslims suddenly became more unsafe. This has happened many times throughout history - sometimes resulting in pogroms and massacres. A late one was in Indonesia a few years ago where some 2000 Chinese inhabitants were murdered and a huge ransacking spree took place against ethnic Chinese Indonesian citizens (and that was not even because of fear, but because of the Chinese worked more and often were better educated and had become richer than Muslims), and one where at least 200000 ethnic Chinese were killed 1 - 2 generations ago. A much worse story was against Armenians around 1900 AD. Some 1.2 million killed and no one knows how many girls/women were kidnapped for rape and/or harems. This story was in the news in 2007, and Turkey still does not have the backbone to face it. Darfur with at least 200000 slaughtered civilians - takes place today. And there is no guaranty for the future.

There have been more of the same kind - even though Muslims never talk about other facts than that at times - sometimes even long times - Muslim countries were relatively safe for Jews, etc. if they accepted inferior status, no power, little legal security and extra taxes.

003 9/29: "Fight those who believe not in Allah - - until they pay jizya (extra tax - sometimes heavy) with willing submission and feel themselves subdued." Comments on how it is to live under such helpless apartheid conditions is not necessary - the Negroes in South Africa at least did not have to pay an extra tax on top of all.

Also see other chapters - f. ex. "Muslims are better than other people", like 68/35 + 36 or 25/44, and "Age Golden Age of Coexistence.

(More will be added not later than 2010).

PART V,

NON-MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

CHAPTER 7 (= V-7-0-0)

THE CLAIMED GOLDEN AGE OF CO- EXISTENCE BETWEEN RULING ISLAM AND ITS MUSLIMS AND NON- MUSLIMS.

Also see chapters "Muslims are better", "Make no friends with non-Muslims", and "Incitement to dislike, hate and suppression". This chapter overlap with those, but the others are about promotion of general dislike, distance from others and own superiority - making an "enemy-picture" - whereas this chapter mainly is about the direct incitement and motivation for war.

It is true that there were places and times - even long times - where Jews and others were permitted to live in Muslim countries. Just like there were places and times - even long times - where Jews and others were permitted to live in Europe. And it is true that when the Jews had to leave Spain (the star Muslim proof) they to a large degree ended in Muslim areas - but also f. ex in Portugal and France, a fact Muslims never mention).

But it is not true that there any time existed a Golden Age where Muslims and non-Muslims were equal and where there was no distance between Muslims and "infidels". Neither is it true that "infidels" really were safe and accepted anywhere in the Muslim world. They always were second-rate inhabitants, and come hard times or hard caliphs or hard mullahs, etc., then

there was danger for non-Muslims, and especially for Jews and Pagans, but you bet: Also for Christians.

Beware: “All believing Muslims are and always were conservative 'Islamists'. It is only that those you in the West today call conservative, are - and were - conservative to a larger degree. The fanatics, so to say”. Even in peaceful times and places, the situation could change in a short time. Other times and places the situation was difficult and dangerous for long periods.

Some examples of “the Golden Age”, but remember: Just some examples - there are much more:

001 622 - 628? AD: Muhammad is the leader of highwaymen robbing and murdering caravans (the reason for the wars with Mecca, who naturally wanted to protect their caravans from thieves, extorters, and murderers). Muhammad personally led a number of the raids himself - most of the so-called battles below in reality simply are raids for money, valuables and slaves (see XI/12 and XI/13). Simply stealing, extortion and enslavement. Some "Golden Coexistence"!!!

002 622 - 632 AD: Raids on surrounding tribes to steal and rob - some 60 raids during the Medina years of Muhammad. Ibn Ishaq names 43, and there were more - see chapter XI/12 and XI/13 - (some lead by Muhammad himself), included some mainly to murder opponents.

003 623 AD: Battle of Waddan.

004 623 AD: Battle of Safwan.

005 623 AD: Battle of Dul-'Ashir.

006 624 AD: Muhammad and his followers begin raids for booty regularly to fund the movement.

007 624 AD: Battle of Badr - Mecca tries to put an end to Muhammad's attacks.

008 624 AD: Battle of Bany Salim.

009 624 AD: Battle of Aid-ul-Fitr and Zakat-ul-Fitr.

010 624 AD: Medina: Banu Quaynuka (Jewish tribe) evicted from Medina. Muhammad wanted to kill the men, but the Khazray clan protested, and Muhammad was not yet strong enough - he had to let them leave, but robbed them for possessions and all houses and land (20% for Muhammad). The corresponding surah: 3/12 - received afterwards of course - told that Muhammad had done the right as always.

011 624 AD: Battle of Sawiq.

012 624 AD: Battle of Ghatfan.

013 624 AD: Battle of Bahran.

014 624 - 632 AD and later: Pagan Arabs around Medina and later in the rest of Arabia are forced by weapons to become Muslims - in stark contradiction of the words in the Quran. (The Quran got new surahs that overrode the mild ones: Kill the infidels, and especially the Pagans).

015 625 AD: Battle of Uhud - Mecca tries once more to put an end to Muhammad's stealing, robbing and murdering.

016 625 AD: Battle of Humra-ul-Asad.

017 625 AD: Medina: Banu l'Nadir (Jewish tribe) - evicted from Medina, but had to leave most of their possessions. (May settled in Khaybar - - - and were murdered later). Muhammad's 20% from these two evictions made him economically self-sufficient. Surah 59 tells it was Allah who willed it.

017 625 AD: Battle of Dhatur-Riqā.

019 626 AD: Battle of Badur-Ukhra.

020 626 AD: Battle of Banu Mustalaq Nikah.

021 627 AD: Battle of the Trench (Medina). Mecca's last try to stop the stealing, extortion and murdering of Muhammad.

022 627 AD: Battle of Ahzab.

023 627 AD: Banu Qurayzah (the last big Jewish tribe) in Medina partly slaughtered or made semi slaves (evicted a few years later under caliph Omar). - one woman (Raihana bint Amr) for Muhammad himself. She was raped by Muhammad personally, and kept her in his harem. He later wanted to marry her, but she refused - which tells something. Surah 33/26-27 made all this a good deed - it happened to "arrive" shortly afterwards.

024 627 AD: Battle of Bani Lahyan.

025 627 AD: Battle of Ghaiba.

026 628 AD: The Khaybar oasis: Muhammad first murdered the leader Abi 'l Huqayk in his sleep. Later he sent a delegation to Khyber and promised the new leader Usayr b. Zarim safe return for discussions in Medina about solutions to their problems in peace, and with sure guarantees for safe conduct and safe return. Zarim left with 29 unarmed men - - - and all except one that managed to escape, were murdered by Muhammad's men. "War is betrayal," Muhammad later said. Then there was war. The Banu Nadir Jews were massacred; - and all men in Khaybar, some 700 Jewish men (all) murdered, some 2000 women and children made slaves. From the fort Khamus the leader Kinana b.al-Rabi was tortured to death, because Muhammad wanted to steal riches he thought Kinana knew where were hidden. Muhammad lit a fire on his chest until he died. Then Muhammad personally raped Kinnan's newlywed wife - the 17-year-old Safijja - the same night, while his man Abu Ayub kept watch outside in case she should offer so much resistance that it became dangerous for Muhammad. Muhammad then was nearly 60 and surely was a pleasure for the young woman - especially

just after he had in a bestial way had murdered her husband. (He later married her). Golden age for co-habitation?

027 630 AD: Conquest of Mecca - and the murder of a few opponents.

028 630 AD: Battle of Hunsin.

029 630 AD: Battle of Tabuk

THERE WERE A LOT MORE - LITERATURE TELLS THAT MUHAMMAD INITIATED MORE THAN 60 RAIDS MAINLY FOR STEALING/ROBBING/EXTORTION (IBN ISHAQ NAMES 43 OF THEM). WE HAVE MENTIONED LESS THAN HALF OF THEM.

(xxx 633 AD: Attacking Bahrain, Oman, Mahra Yemen, and Hadramaut. Raids in Irak. (Raids mainly were to steal/rob and enslave. All this under Caliph Abu Bakr.))

030 633 AD: Battle of Oman.

031 633 AD: Battle of Hadramaut.

032 633 AD: Battle of Kazima.

033 633 AD: Battle of Walaja.

034 633 AD: Battle of Ulleis.

035 633 AD: Battle of Anbar.

036 634 AD: All the land between Gaza and Caesarea sacked and destroyed by Caliph Abu Bakr. At least 4000 farmers were simply killed - in addition to the others.

037 634 AD: Battle of Basra.

038 634 - 635 AD: Attacking and conquering Damascus.

039 634 AD: Battle of Ajnadin.

040 635-642 AD: During attacks - not self defence jihad, but attacks in direct violation of the Quran - in Mesopotamia there was full plundering, destruction, rape, slave taking, forced changing of religion, and destruction - wanton destruction in the name of Allah like so many other places. This is under Caliph Umar

041 634 AD: Battle of Damascus.

042 634 AD: Battle of Namaraq.

043 634 AD: Battle of Saqatia.

044 635 AD: Battle of the Bridge.

045 635 AD: Battle of Buwaib.

046 635 AD: Conquest of Damascus.

047 635 AD: Battle of Fahl.

048 635 AD: Battle of Yermuk.

049 635 AD: Battle of Qadsiyia.

050 636 AD: Attacking and conquering Madain.

051 637 AD: Conquering Syria and Israel in wars of attack.

052 637 AD: Battle of Jalula.

053 637 AD: Battle of Yarmouk.

054 638 AD: Battle with the Romans - sucessfull.

055 638 AD: Attac and conquest of Jazirah.

056 639 AD: Attack and conquest of Khuzistan. Attacking Egypt.

057 639 AD: Hunger catastrophe and plague in Jerusalem and Israel after destructions, included blaspheming of Jewish and Christian symbols, and robbing and plunder done by Muslims. Can this be the start of the fabled Golden Age?

058 640 AD: Attacks in Persia - conquering Shustar and Jande Sabour. More attacks in Egypt.

059 641 AD: Battle of Nihavand.

060 641? AD: Amr b. al-As attacks Egypt:

1. The town Behnesa: All inhabitants murdered after they surrendered.
2. The town Fayum: All inhabitants murdered.
3. The town Aboit: All inhabitants murdered.
4. Kilikia: The inhabitants made slaves.

061 641 AD: Caliph Omar (Amr b. al-As) murdered and destroyed whole cities and towns around this time - and in 641 AD destroys the bibliotheque in Alexandria (totally unique in the ancient world - only this piece of stupidity and terrorism destroyed more ancient knowledge than the old scriptures all the Muslims put together ever saved for the future from f. ex. Greece) because books either were unnecessary or bad, depending on if they agreed with the Quran or not. Golden age for co-habitation or culture?

062 641 AD? Eukhaita, Armenia: All inhabitants murdered.

063 641 AD? The population of Assyria decimated. A standard example of Muslim co-habitation?

064 642 AD: Battle of Aryy in Persia.

065 642 AD: Conquest of Egypt after years of war of aggression.

066 642 AD and again in 643 AD: The city of Dvins sacked.

067 643 AD: Tripoli thoroughly sacked. The Jews and the Christians in addition were forced to give all their women and children to the Arab army - guess for what.

068 643 AD?: Carthage sacked, destroyed and most of the inhabitants murdered.

069 643 AD: Attacking and conquering Asarbaijan and Tabaristan in later Russia. The "religion of peace" at work?

070 644 AD: Attacking and conquering Fars, Kerman, Sistan, Mekran, and Kharan - attack, not war of defence. At least up to 732 AD Islam was the constant aggressor.

071 645 AD: Attacks and raids in Fars. Now under caliph Uthman, later followed by caliph Ali.

072 646 AD: Attacks and raids in Khurasan, Armenia and Asia Minor (later Turkey).

073 646 AD: Conquest of the island of Cyprus.

074 648 AD: Attacks and raids against the Byzantines.

075 650 AD: Many monks and ascetics murdered along the border of the Byzantine by the armies of Sa'd.

076 651 AD: Naval battle against the Byzantines.

077 652-1276 AD: For more than 600 years the Nubians had to send slaves of both sexes to Cairo of each and every year. (Nubians were far from the only ones having to pay such tributes to Muslim rulers.) Slaves officially only were permitted to take during jihad (holy war) Muslims say (though how good is a god who not only permits enslavement even during war of self defence, but uses it as incitement to war for his warriors? But as everything was called jihad, there was no problem or reason for qualms over robbing, raping or enslavement).

078 658 AD: Battle of Nahrawan.

079 659 AD: Conquests in Egypt.

080 666 AD: Sicily is attacked.

081 666 AD: Mu'awiyah sacks Cyprus, included large massacres. Similar things happened in Mesopotamia, Persia, Syria and Anatolia.

081 670 AD: Attacks westwards in North Africa.

083 670 AD: Attacks eastwards and conquest of Kabul (in Afghanistan).

084 672 AD: Attacking and conquering the island of Rhodes.

085 674 AD: More attacks in the east and Islam crosses the river Oxus and into Transoxania.

086 677 AD: Attacking and conquering Samarkand and Timiz.

087 677 AD: Attacking Constantinople (now Istambul).

088 687 AD: Battle of Kufa.

089 691 AD: Battle of Deir ul Jaliq.

090 695 AD: Attacking Kahina in North Africa.

091 695 AD: Further attacks in Transoxania and occupy Kish.

092 700 AD: Attacking the Berbers in western North Africa.

093 Ca. 700-900? AD: Shu'ubiya - an organisation formed by non-Arab Muslims as a reaction to Arab Muslims arrogance, discrimination and demands to be the top bosses of everything, even though they still mainly were barbarians. The organisation was at its strongest during 2. and 3. century after Muhammad. A proof of a Golden Age of co-habitation?

094 702 AD: Rebellion in Iraq and the Battle of Deir ul Jamira. Rebellions are such nice proofs for Golden Co-existence.

095 704-705 AD: Caliph Walid I collected a large part of the male aristocrats of Armenia (Christians) in churches in Naxcavan and Araxis and burnt them alive. The rest were crucified or murdered in other ways and their women and children taken for slaves. Really a hearty expression of co-habitation - but a Golden Age? - well Walid I got a lot of gold.

096 711 AD: Attacking Spain.

097 711 AD: Attacking Sind (on the Indian sub-continent - now roughly Pakistan).

098 711 AD: Renewed attacks in Transoxania. 711 AD seems to have been marked by active "defence" for the "religion of peace".

099 712 AD: War of conquest against Sind (west India - now Pakistan) ordered by the Muslim governor of (now) Iraq, Hajjaj, and executed - in the double meaning of the word - by Muhammad b. Qasim.

1. City of Debal: The Muslim army needed 3 days to murder the inhabitants.

2. City of Braminabad: The men - around 10000 (one do not know for sure, but between 6000 and 16000) were murdered.

And there were more.

100 713 AD: Conquest of Multan.

102 716 AD: New attacks on Constantinople - this time even invasion.

103 725 AD: Attacking France - occupying f. ex. Nimes.

104 732 AD: The Battle of Tours in France marks the end of the Muslim expansion in the west. After 110 years of wars of aggression and conquests. (Though they tried again in 737 AD, but were beaten at Avignon in France. And again in 792 AD in south of France.)

105 737 AD: Caliph Mahdi burns all churches built in Assyria after the Muslim conquest. Forced Islamization. (Become Muslim or be killed).

052 aa 737 Battle of Avignon - Islam attacked France once more, but was beaten.

106 740 AD: Shia revolt.

107 740 AD: Berber revolt in North Africa - revolts really proves friendly co-existence.

108 740 AD: Battle of the Nobles.

109 741 AD: Battle of Bagdoura in North Africa. (Many a tragedy was forced on people in Africa, but we have little information about it.)

110 744 AD: Battle of Ain al Jurr.

111 745 AD: Kufa and Mosul occupied by the Khawarjites - the time of Golden Co-existence?

112 746 AD: Battle of Rupa Thutha.

113 746-748 AD: Revolt in Bihafirid, Persia.

114 747 AD: Revolt in Khurasan. Golden times of co-existence?

115 748 AD: Battle of Rayy.

116 749 AD: Battle of Isfahan.

117 749 AD: Battle of Nihawand.

118 750 AD: Battle of Zab.

119 755 AD: Sinbad's revolt in Khurasan (Persia). More golden times?

- 120 762 AD: Another Shia revolt.
- 121 763 AD: War in Spain - defeat of the Abbasides (a ruling Muslim dynasty).
- 122 767 AD: One more revolt in Khurasan.
- 123 772 AD: Battle of Janbi in North Africa.
- 124 777 AD: Battle of Saragossa in Spain.
- 125 792 AD: Attacking south of France, but were beaten.
- 125 781 AD: Ephesus sacked and 7000 Greeks taken for slaves.
- 127 799 AD: Revolt of the Khazars suppressed - nice proof for Golden Co-existence.
- 128 Ca. 800-850 AD: The Khurramis and Babak: Opposition and then 20 years of civil war against the Arab domination. (The movement started early in the 700s AD, and remnants existed at least till around 1000 AD). The Golden age of cohabitation?
- 129 805 AD: Attacking the Byzantines - the "religion of peace" in friendly co-existence?
- 130 805 AD: Attacking and conquering Rhodes.
- 131 805 AD: Attacking and conquering Cyprus.
- 134 814 AD: Civil war - no golden co-existence even among Muslims? (Through the times there were several coups, assassinations of leaders (of the first 11 caliphs only one - Abu Bakr - died naturally), and even internal wars.
- 135 815 AD: Shia revolt.
- 136 816 AD: Shia revolt in Makkah (= Mecca).
- 137 816 AD: Attacking and conquering Corsica.
- 138 818 AD: Attacking and conquering Ibiza, Majorica, and Sardinia.
- 139 837 AD: Revolt of the Jats.
- 140 838 AD: Revolt of Babek in Azarbaijan.
- 141 838 AD: Amorium sacked - many thousands of slaves taken - symbol for intimate Golden Age?
- 142 839 AD: Revolt of Maziar in Tabaristan.
- 143 839 AD: Attacking and occupying South Italy.
- 144 839 AD: Attacking and conquering Messina (Sicily).

145 843 AD: Revolt of the Arabs.

146 870 AD: Turks revolt.

147 874 AD: Zanj revolt in South Iraq.

148 898 AD: Qarmatians sack Basra - some Golden Co-existence!

149 903 AD: Thessalonica sacked - 22000 Christians taken for slaves.

150 908 AD: Samanid annexation of the Saffarid territories. Peaceful times for Golden Co-existence?

151 909 AD: More civil strife: The Fatimids overthrow the Aghlabids.

152 924 AD: The Church of St. Mary and the Cloister of St. Mary and other churches in Damascus were sacked and burned. A friendly deed in a Golden Age?

153 929 AD: Qarmatians sack Mecca and carries away the Black Stone of Kabah. (It was returned in 951 AD.)

154 938 AD: By coup Bagdad is captured by Bajkam. (There were a number of coups different places around this time and later. Golden times for peaceful co-existence?)

155 969 AD: The Fatimids (a Muslim ruling dynasty) conquer Egypt.

156 973 AD: "Disturbances" between Sunni and Shia in Baghdad (what happens today is nothing new - it started some 300 years before even this).

157 979 AD: Forced change of religion followed by tumults in Shiraz, Persia.

158 1000 AD: Mahmud from Gahzni sacks parts of India. Destruction, robbery, massacres, forced changes of religion. He was no saint.

159 1001 AD: Mahmud from Gahzni (Mahmud Gahzanavi) the Hindu Shahis during his attack on India.

160 1004 AD: Mahmud from Gahzni captures Bhatiya in India.

161 1004-5 AD: Mahmud from Gahzni invades Multan and Ghur (states in India). Mahmud behaves like before - the main things are to kill non-Muslims and to steal valuables. Simply a professional bandit cum mass murderer in the name of Allah.

162 1004? AD: Mahmud from Gahzni's men kills some 50000 at Somnat. Surely the eastern start of the Golden Age of co-habitation?

163 Ca. 1000-1200 AD: Insurrections and civil wars in the west - the Berbers in Northwest Arabia and Spain pulls free from the Arabs because of Arab dominance, arrogance and discrimination (one of the reasons why somewhat free thinking lasted another 100 years there). Golden Age of co-habitation?

164 1010 AD and a little onwards: Hundreds of Jews murdered in Muslim Spain - many in and around Cordoba.

165 1019 AD: Mahmud from Ghazni captures Punjab.

166 1020-25 AD: Attacks at Edessa - killing, robbing and taking 3000 slaves.

167 1033 AD: Some 6000 Jews massacred in Fez, Morocco.

168 1040 AD: Internal war once more - Battle of Dandanqan. The Seljuks defeat the Gaznavids.

169 1060-1070 AD: Asia Minor (now Turkey): Turkish invasion - extermination, stealing, robbing, killing, massacres, taking slaves in large quantities. Destroying the Christian culture in all the area.

170 1064 AD: Sultan Alp Arslan sacked Georgia and Armenia. All prisoners were either made slaves or killed.

171 1066 AD: All Jews - some 4000 - murdered by Muslims in Granada. Some sort of Golden Age.

172 1071 AD: Once more attacking the Byzantines. Battle of Manzikert - the Byzantine emperor is captured.

173 1082 AD: The al Moravides (a Muslim ruling dynasty) conquer Algeria.

174 1086 AD: War in Spain - the Christians are defeated in the Battle of Zallakha.

175 1091 AD: Sicily is lost to the Christian Normans.

176 1095 AD: The first crusade. Islam reckons the time of the crusades to be a terrible time, because they experienced a little - just a little - of the bestiality Muslim warriors often practised against their victims. Not one single Muslim we have met have ever compared the deeds of the crusaders with the often much worse deeds of Muslim warriors - because the Muslim warriors of course were heroes, whereas the crusaders were beasts. Compare the Muslim behaviour in f. ex. Sind or Armenia or parts of Africa and draw your own conclusions.

177 1137 AD: After conquering Andalusia still the hate between north (Quaysite) and south (Yemenite) Arabians were so strong, that the armies had to be kept at separate places, trying (unsuccessfully) to avoid civil war. Golden Age?

178 1145 - 1155 AD: Strong anti-Jewish persecutions included forced change of religion.

179 1165 AD: Forced change of religion for Jews in Yemen. Become Muslim or die.

180 1174 AD: Salah ul Din (also known as Saladin) captures Syria. Saladin has a reputation for not behaving too inhuman towards his victims. It may or may not be true, but in case he was one of the few Muslim rulers and generals with such a reputation.

181 1175 AD: Internal war - the Ghurids defeats the Guzz Turks.

182 1179 AD: Peshawar is captured.

183 1191 AD: First battle of Tarain.

184 1193 AD: Second battle of Tarain.

185 1193 AD: Qutb ud din Aibak and his general Muhammad Khilji put a final end to Buddhism in Bihar - state in North India - by murdering practically all Buddhist monks. Actually Buddhism was entirely rooted out in India between 712 and 1200 AD (mainly between 1000 and 1200 AD). Yes, Golden co-habitation between people and between religions.

186 1194 AD: Delhi is occupied by the Muslims.

187 1198 AD: Forced change of religion for Jews in Aden.

188 1199 AD: Conquest of Northern India and Bengal by the Muslim Ghurids.

189 1232 AD: Massacre of Jews in Marrakesh, followed by hard persecution in all Morocco.

190 1244 AD: Internal war - the Al Mohads defeats the Marinids in the battle of Abu Bayash (there is much internal strife and assassinations, etc. during these centuries - we only mention some of the cases).

191 1250 - 1517 AD: Many times tumults in Egypt because non-Muslims got good jobs.

192 1258 AD: The Mongols sack Baghdad. The Mongols were another war culture behaving like the Muslims - - - and were terrible animals according to Islam. Then they became Muslims and continued just like before, but now against non-Muslims, and then they of course were good and heroes - - - according to Muslims and Islam. Islam has no moral philosophy and no empathy.

193 1268 AD: Antiokia overrun by Baibar and his Muslims and "even Muslims were shocked by all the murdering and massacres".

194 1275 AD: Tumults in Fez, Morocco - a Jew had got a high job.

195 1280 AD: Battle of Hims.

196 1289 AD: Attack on 70+ Assyrian towns (mainly Christian). 500 killed, 1000 children made slaves.

197 1291 AD: Forced change of religion for Jews in Tabriz (and again in 1318 AD).

198 1295 AD: Forced islamization of assyrians - mainly Christians.

199 1297 AD: New attack on Christian Assyrians (under "benign" Muslim rule) - churches burned, 12000 made slaves in the city of Amedia. The attack was led by Ala Al-Din.

200 1310 AD: Once more attack on Assyrians: Arabs helped by Mongols took Arbela. All inhabitants were massacred or made slaves.

201 1315 AD: Internal war in Tunisia. As mentioned there is a lot of internal strife, coups, assassinations, and other kinds of internal power struggle during these centuries - we only mention some of the cases.

202 1327 AD: The city of Nicaea attacked and captured.

203 Ca. 1330-1656 AD: Started by sultan Orkhan 20% of the Christian boy children between 14 and 20 years in Southeast Europe had to be turned over to Muslims to become slaves or soldiers (the indicated total numbers per year, shows though that most likely not 20 % were sent - but on the other hand the Muslims doing the work, often picked some extra children for themselves or for extorting money from the parents). A similar "tax" for younger children (6 - 10 years) lasted till into the 1700s.

204 1333 AD: Forced change of religion for Jews in Baghdad (and again in 1344).

205 1350 AD: Revolt against Abdur Razaq.

206 1351 AD: Firuz Shah entered the throne in North India - and became a terror and a slave-taking ruler. It is told he had 180000 slaves just in his capital.

207 1353 AD: The Turks attacks and captures the fortress of Tympa on the western side of the Hellsepont = on the continent of Europe.

208 1361 AD: Parts of Thrace is conquered.

209 1365 AD: The battle of Matiza - the Christians are defeated.

210 1369 AD and onwards: The fanatic Muslim Timur Lenk, also known as Tamerlane or Timurlane. He was Turkish, but claimed to be a descendant of Genghis Khan. He and his men became rich on stolen property - - - and had literally hundreds of thousands of non-Muslims massacred if they did not want to become Muslims. A notable ruler for "the religion of peace" and the Golden Age.

211 1371 AD: Invading Bulgaria.

212 1381 AD: Annexation of Seestan, capture of Qandahar (Afghanistan).

213 1384 AD: Conquest of Astrabad and more.

214 1386 AD: Annexation of Azardbaijan, Georgia overrun.

215 1394 AD: The Duke of Moscow is defeated.

216 1395 AD: Annexation of Iraq by Amir Temur. As mentioned there is a lot of internal wars, coups, assassinations, "depositions", and other forms of power struggle during these centuries - we only mention some of it.

217 1398 AD: "Campains" in India.

218 1400 AD: Timur Lenk (also known as Tamerlane) and his Muslim hordes sacked Tbilisi (Georgia) and the surroundings. (Christians mostly). (Source for information about Timur Lenk mainly the book "Zafer Nameh").

219 1403 AD: Timur Lenk returned to Tbilisi and Georgia. His hordes - you cannot call them soldiers - destroyed 700 towns, massacred the inhabitants, robbed what they could take with them and burnt all churches in Tbilisi.

Some of the other "heroisms" by the pious Muslim Timur Lenk and his men:

1. Captured Delhi (India) - ordered 100000 - one hundred thousand - Hindu prisoners murdered because "they could be a danger to his armies".
2. Sacked Miraj (town in India) and skinned the Hindu inhabitants alive.

He also systematically murdered all Christians he could lay his hands on:

1. In Sivas: 4000 Christians buried alive.
2. In Tus: Some 10000 Christians murdered.
3. In Saray: Some 100000 Christians murdered.
4. In Bagdad: Some 90000 Christians murdered.
5. In Isfahan: Some 70000 Christians murdered.
6. And there were so many other towns and cities.

Christians killed in battle are not included - only the intentionally murdered ones (For comparison: How many did the crusaders murder totally during all the years in Israel? The crusaders were bad people, Muslims say, whereas Muslim murderers were heroes?)

220 1446 AD: The second battle of Kossova - Serbia annexed to Turkey, and Bosnia became Turkish vassal. The Golden Co-existence?

221 1453 AD: Constantinople taken by the Muslim sultan Mehmet who promised his armies 3 days of completely free stealing, plundering, raping and killing - and he kept his words. The soldiers killed everyone they met in the street - everyone. But after some time they found that stealing, robbing and taking slaves paid better.

222 1456 AD: Attacking and capturing Serbia.

223 1465 AD: Tumults in Fez, Morocco - a Jew had gotten a high job. Golden Age of co-habitation?

224 1461 AD: Bosnia and Herzegovina taken by Turkey - - - in self defence by the "religion of peace"?

225 1462 AD: Albania conquered - another piece of self defence from "the religion of peace" during the time of Golden Co-existence?" Do not laugh when Muslims tell you that Islam only fights in self defence - to laugh is impolite.

226 1473 AD: War between Turkey and Persia.

227 1475 AD: Turkey attacks and conquers Crimea.

228 1475 AD: War between Turkey and Venice. Turkey dominates the Aegean Sea.

229 1499 AD: Battle of Lepanto - the Venetian fleet defeated by the Turks (but the situation in the Mediterranean Sea starts to change some decades later with the battle of Malta. Also Europe now slowly - very slowly - starts its climb towards the industrial revolution that is going to turn the tables later).

230 Ca. 1500 - early 1900s AD: Several times forced change of religion for Jews, Christians, and others.

231 1526 AD: Battle of Mohacs. Louis of Hungary is killed by the Turks.

232 1526 AD: Battle of Panipat in India.

233 1528 AD: Buda (part of Budapest) in Hungary is taken by the Turks.

234 1529 AD: Attacking Vienna in a war (unsuccessful) of conquest.

235 1565 AD: Attacking Malta - unsuccessfully, mainly because of intern rivalisation in the Muslim invasion army, but also because of a strong fortress and a fanatic defence from the just over 1000 defenders + some 8000 locals (against some 25000 - 40000 Muslim attackers). This defeat and the defeat in the naval battle of Lepanto in 1571 broke the Muslim dominance in the Mediterranean.

236 1571 AD: A new battle of Lepanto - the Turks defeated and their dominance of the Mediterranean ended.

237 1600 - 1699 AD: Also this century is marked by much internat strife in the Muslim area - a luck for its neighbours outside. But definitely not an atmosphere for "the Golden age of Co-existence".

238 1617 AD: Forced change of religion for Jews + persecution (and again in 1622).

239 1641 AD: Attacking and capturing Azow (later part of Russia).

240 1653-1666 AD: All Jews in Persia had to become Muslims.

241 1678 AD: New forced change of religion for Jews in Yemen.

242 1683 AD: New attack on Vienna. No success. The tide also in East Europe starts to turn. This even though the loss was more because Turkish inefficiency (they were unable to get

their heavy guns to the battlefield) than local strengt. They were finally beaten by the Austrians in 1687. Golden time of Golden Co-existence?

243 1679-80 AD: Attack war against Hindus under Aurangzeb - perhaps the worst Muslim ruler ever in India, and that means something - at least 252 temples destroyed. This in addition to all the other hero's work. The Golden Age of co-habitation between Islam and other peoples and religions?

244 1711 AD: War against Russia. Russia defeated in the battle of Pruth.

245 1718 AD: New war against Austria - unsuccessful. Turkey looses Hungary to Austria.

246 1761 AD: Battle of Panipat in India. The Muslim area is in a slow decline, whereas Europe slowly is raising - but in the east Muslims still is stronger than the locals.

247 1770-1786 AD: The Jews evicted from Jeddah.

248 1790 AD: The Jews of Tetuan, Marocco, massacred.

249 1805 AD: As in the earlier centuries a lot of strife inside the Muslim area, and in 1805 ibn Saud captured Medina from the Turks.

250 1807 AD: Darqawi revolt against the Turks - another proof for Golden Co-existence.

251 1812 AD: Medina conquered by Egypt. One may wonder what had happened to the world and what the world had been today, if it was not for all the interanl wars and strife in the Muslim area through the centuries under "the Religion of Peace". A religion which the propaganda tells only permits war in self defence - a piece of al-Taqiayya (the lawful lie)? Still now - nearly 1200 years after Muhammad - Muslims normally are the aggressors like they always have been through these nearly 12 centuries.

But the Muslim expansion now definitely is over for this time and its power in decline, at the same time as the West is at full speed forwards. But inside its own area Muslims still rule over the Golden age of Co-existence (?):

252 1828 AD: The Jews of Bagdad massacred.

253 1834 AD: Killing and plundering of Jews in Safed.

254 1839 AD: Forced change of religion and massacre in Meshed.

255 1840 AD and later: A long series of killings and plundering of Jews in Damascus. (And also in Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Libya and the Arab countries of the Middle East).

256 1842 AD: Tries led by Badr Kham Bey to exterminate the Assyrian race (mainly Christians).

257 1860 AD: Some tens of thousands Christians in Lebanon slaughtered by Druze and Kurdish forces.

258 1894 AD: Christians in Armenia massacred.

259 1895 AD: Christians in Armenia massacred.

260 1895 AD: Christian Assyrians massacred (12000 slaughtered only in the city of Urfa).

261 1896 AD: Massacres on Christian Assyrians continue. It is estimated that more than 100000 all together were killed. Muslims made good money, though, on such attacks.

262 1896 AD: Christians in Armenia massacred. During 1894 - 1896 some 250000 Christians were murdered in Armenia.

263 1904 AD: Christians in Armenia massacred.

264 1909 AD: Christians in Armenia massacred - some 30000.

265 2. - 10. Jan. 1915 AD: Some 70 villages in Urmia attacked and sacked. The number of victims is not known, but at least some thousands (Christians).

266 1915 AD: The terrible massacres in Armenia - some 1.2 MILLION Christians murdered. And parallel to all the murdering during all Muslim massacres there were destruction, stealing, rape and slave taking. Just during the 1915 massacres at least 80000 Christian Armenian girls and young women ended in Muslim harems, mainly in Turkey.

267 1918 AD: Some 6000 Christians refugees mass murdered in 48 hours (in a French mission).

268 1923 AD: The monastery of Dair Al-Salib demolished and the inhabitants murdered by the Turkish army.

269 11. - 16. Aug. 1933: The massacre of the Christian village Simele - included rape and torture. It is estimated that in this and other massacres during August 1933 some 3000 Christians were murdered - included burnt alive.

270 1936 AD: Increased Jewish immigration in Palestine provokes fighting. It should be remembered that it was Muslims who sold land to the Jews - the Jews did not take land at this time, they bought it.

271 1945 - 1946 AD: Hundreds of Christians massacred in Azerbaijan and other regions of Northern Iraq.

272 1965 AD: Between 250000 and 600000 non-Muslims (many of them ethnic Chinese) massacred in Indonesia by rampant - or actually not rampant - Muslims and the army.

273 Ca. 1975 AD: The war in Bangladesh. Even though this were Muslims against Muslims, in addition to all the other inhumanity, so many girls and women were raped by Pakistani soldiers, that we have seen in later newspaper reports that some 200000 children were born as result of all those rapes - and how many rapes on average to make one child?. And that were a regular soldier, not irregular forces like in f. ex. Darfur - and they raped fellow Muslim girls

and women, not Pagan or Jewish or Christian ones. Not Golden but at least Diamon Coexistence.

274 1981 AD: Muslim countries force the United Nations to change the text of #18 in the Human Rights - it is a human right to have a religion, but it is no human right to convert from one to another religion.

275 24. Sept. 1988: Some 250 Assyrian and Caldean Christians in Iraq "disappeared" after being ordered to go to the police station.

276 Very many thousands of non-Muslims murdered by Muslims and Muslim Indonesian army when East Timor became free. (18600 killed/murdered + estimated 84200 "excess deaths" from hunger and illnesses.)

277 Darfur: Some 200000 non-Muslims killed - mostly murdered - in Darfur, Africa. Nobody knows how many women and girls are raped and how much is stolen

278 Indonesia: Some 2000 - 4000 non-Muslims murdered in upheavals in Indonesia. Mostly Chinese.

THESE ARE FAR FROM ALL. FAR FROM. ADD JUST THE ONES WE HAVE NOT INCLUDED INITIATED BY MUHAMMAD (some 40 not mentioned), AND WE HAVE MORE THAN 300 CASES - PRACTICALLY ALL OF THEM WARS OF AGRESSION AND PRACTICALLY ALL OF THEM NAMED JIHAD - HOLY WAR. EVEN THAT WOULD NOT BE BAD FOR "THE RELIGION OG PEACE", BUT THERE ARE MANY MORE.

AND THERE ARE THE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OR MILLIONS WHO DIED FROM HUNGER OR EXCESS DEATHS FROM ILLNESS DURING AND AFTER THE ATTACKS.

AND WHEN DURING THIS TIME WAS THE TIME OF "GOLDEN CO-EXISTENCE"?

2007 AD: Muslim countries try to force the United Nations to make an international law prohibiting insulting the prophets of other religion - not the gods, but the prophets. Guess which is the one and single and only prophet on this Earth and in all history who needs such protection, and guess how such a law will be used.

Fight it.

(2009: Islam succeded. On the other hand one does not have to insult anyone - to tell the plain truth about Muhammad and about Islam is more than damning enough).

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER IV/4.

THESE ARE JUST SOME OF THE ATROCITIES, AND JUST THESE FEW EXAMPLES REPRESENTS MORE THAN 3 MILLION MURDERED "INFIDELS" - THE ONES KILLED IN BATTLE OR BY HUNGER OR EXCESS ILLNESSES ARE NOT

INCLUDED, ONLY THE ONES MURDERED, EXECUTED AND TORTURED TO DEATH. PAGANS, CHRISTIANS AND JEWS. AND THEN THE INHUMANITIES IN AFRICA SOUTH OF SAHARA IS NOT EVEN TOUCHED (because of lack of reliable information).

We have not found how many were murdered because of their religion in Europe during the same period, but they were in the tens or hundreds of thousands, not in the millions.

The period from around 1900 till today is extra serious for us and for the future, because this happens now and it continues to happen. And there is no reason not to believe it will happen again when and if Muslim fanatics become strong enough. Just read the Quran.

“When idealists say they are going to kill you, you had better believe them”.

So much for the Golden Age of co-habitation of peoples and religions under the benevolent Islam.

And so much for the chances for the future if Islam is not changed from a religion of hate, rape, robbery, suppression and war, to something more peaceful. If that at all is possible - which it hardly is.

But remember: Some 70% of Muslims in no way want or like or support this side of Islam - or terrorism - according to international polls.

BUT ONE MAY WONDER WHAT HAD HAPPENED AND HOW THE WORLD HAD BEEN IF THE INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION THAT GAVE THE WEST ITS POWER (TOGETHER WITH BETTER ORGANISATION OF F. EX. ITS MILITARY FORCES) HAD HAPPENED IN THE MUSLIM AREA. A POWERFUL CENTER THAT SUPPRESSED THE REST OF THE WORLD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE QURAN'S MEDIEVAL IDEALS AND SOMEWHAT NAZI-LIKE WAY OF THINKING AND AS NAZI-LIKE LACK OF MORAL PHILOSOPHY.

28. March 2009.

NB: If you find any mistakes anywhere, please inform us. If it is a real mistake, it will be corrected.

PART VI, CHAPTER 1, (= VI-1-0-0)

MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

LIFE FOR MUSLIM MEN ACCORDING TO THE QURAN AND HENCE TO MUSLIM MEN AND TO ISLAM

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

The life for Muslims men is easily described: You are the top of the family, and the only one that really counts for the Quran. You decide everything that the religion and your leaders do not decide. And the world and the family rotate around you.

But for that: Read the Quran – nearly everything that do not concentrate on Muhammad or on Muhammad's god, is about you, the man (and preferably a warrior).

(More will be added not later than 2010).

PART VI, CHAPTER 2, SUBCHAPTER 1 (= VI-2-1-0)

MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

LIFE FOR MUSLIM WOMEN ACCORDING TO MUHAMMAD, THE QURAN, AND ALLAH, AND HENCE TO ISLAM (women as human beings).

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

A woman is a second class human being in Islam. Her life is strictly regulated – in order to take care of them the men explain, declare, and boast - - - and not one single of them had themselves accepted the life they forces their women into.

Also the religion is a man's religion. Of more than 6000 verses in the Quran, only these few in this and the next chapter + the chapter about slaves, and a few more verses (with similare contents) are about or partly about women. So what is below in these 2-3 small chapters (included the chapter about slaves) is more or less all there is about women in the Quran. (There also is some in some other chapters, but more or less the same as here). We especially mention, though, that we have gone little into laws on inheritance, as they are very complicated, because Muhammad did not get his mathematics correct when he made the rules (no god had stumbled in such an in reality easy mathematics). The result is that often the shares of the inheritors are less than the inheritance, and sometimes more – in the worst cases up to ¼ more (125%) of the inheritance. It has proved good food for lawyers). But to be short and concise about that: Women do inherit, and what they inherit is their personal property. But they only inherit half of what a man in the same position inherits. (You will meed Muslims saying that this is reasonable, as men has to "buy" wives. There are arguments both for and against that point of view).

001 2/26: “- - - they (Muslim men deserving Paradise*) have therein companions pure (and holy) (houries*) - - -.” Houries are a bit special kind of women, but the “fact” that they are given to the men arriving in Paradise as repayment for good (?) deeds, tells kilometres about Islam’s view on women.

2/221: “Do not marry unbelieving women (idolaters), until they believe - - -”. According to Sharia - Muslim law - a man is permitted to marry a non-Muslim woman, but a woman cannot marry a non-Muslim man. (Not even a Muslim slave girl can be married to a non-Muslim - the marriage is void and automatically annulated.)

002 2/222: “They (Muslims*) ask thee (Muhammad*) concerning women’s courses (menstruation*). Say: They are a hurt and a pollution: keep away from women in their courses, and do not approach them until they are clean.” Menstruating women are ritually unclean.

003 2/223: “Your wives are as a tilth (field, garden*) unto you; so approach your tilth when and how ye will - - -”. Approach your “tilth”, not approach your woman/women or fellow human being(s) or dear one(s). And approach her/them when and how you will - when and how she/they will is not mentioned.

004 2/228a: “Divorced women shall wait concerning themselves for three monthly periods”. This to be sure who is the father if there is a child.

005 2/228c: “And the woman shall have rights similar to the rights against them, according to what is equitable; but men have a degree (of advantage) over them“. Which means that women are one step – small or big – below men as judicial persons (in reality also as human beings).

006 2/231: “If ye divorce women (not irrevocably*) - - - either take them back on equitable terms or set them free on equitable terms - - - (do not make life difficult for them*)”. On this point the Quran is ok.

007 2/233b: “No mother shall be treated unfairly on account of her child.” If the mother does her part of the upbringing ok, and the child all the same turns out to be a rascal, the mother (and also the father on the same conditions) cannot be held accountable for what an offspring does. One point in plus for both parents.

008 2/234: “If any of you die and leave widows behind (remark the passive position of the women – one or more*), they shall wait concerning themselves four months and ten days (before remarrying*) - - -.” Wait to make sure one knows who the father is if she should happen to be pregnant. (One may react to the short time, but remember that marriages seldom were marriages from passion, and that there were few ways for a woman to make a living for herself).

009 2/236: “There is no blame on you if ye divorce women before consummation (= sex*) or the fixation of their dower; but bestow on them (a suitable gift) - - -.” A marriage may be a casual affair – at least for the man. But remember: The Quran nearly always debates from the man’s point of view.

010 2/240: “Those of you who die and leave widows behind should bequeath for their widows a year’s provision and residence - - -.” A widow has the right to stay in her home and have food, etc. for at least one year - - - if her husband has prepared things so.

011 2/241: “For divorced women provision (should be made) on a reasonable (scale). This is the duty of the righteous.” Positive for the woman as far as it goes in reality.

012 3/14: “Fair in the eyes of men is the love of things they covet: women and sons; heaped-up hoards of gold and silver; horses - - - and cattle and well-tilled land”. Does this lining up of a man’s glorying in his possessions tell something about women’s position and kind of value in Muslim societies? Also see 3/15 just below.

013 3/15: “(In Paradise Muslim men*) find their eternal home; with Companions (houries*) pure (+ their wives if they qualify for Paradise*), and the good pleasure of Allah.” Does this sentence tell something about how women are valued and looked upon in Islam? Also see 3/14 just above.

014 4/3: “- - - marry women of your own choice, two or three or four, - - - “. In addition you can have as many concubines/slave women as you want. There is a limitation for wives (but not for slave women): You have to treat them equal and justly - but in most cases that is a “forgotten” sentence when a man wants another wife. It is also worth noticing that it is far from unusual that the founder of polygamous religions or sects get a special licence from the god(s) to have extra many women – like Muhammad in Islam.

015 4/4: “And give the woman (on marriage) their dower as a free gift.” The dower formally is for the woman only to spend. Sometimes it works like that, sometimes it in a way works like that, as the realities of life forces the woman to spend it on her family and daily tasks. Sometimes it does not work like that, as there are many ways to pressure a woman in a family to spend it for other things than she herself really wishes.

016 4/15: “If any of your women are guilty of any lewdness - - - confine them to houses until death do claim them”. There is no corresponding rule for men. A contradicting verse says they are to be whipped a hundred lashes - half if she was a slave when she married. It also is worth mentioning that stoning as a punishment for this is not mentioned in the Quran. But there is a strong rumour in Islam that a number of verses (may be 100) “did not make it” into the Quran, and that one verse about stoning for “unlawful sex” was one of them – but in the book there is nothing like that. There is in Hadith, however – and Hadiths also tell that Muhammad personally took part in at least one such stoning. A primitive and inhuman kind of punishment – and even more so at frequently only the woman is stoned in such cases.

017 4/24: “Also (prohibited (for Muslims to marry*) are) women already married, except those whom your right hands possess (= slave women*) - - - “. You can rape or marry slave women even if they were/are married before”. No comments. In this connection remember that not until in unbelievable 1982 AD was official slavery abolished in the last Muslim country – Mauretania. (And not until even more unbelievable 2007 did it become a punishable crime there). That is to say; we have heard that Niger was even later, but we have found no confirmation on this. Also remember that according to UN some 24 million humans today “live as slaves or under slave like conditions” – a good percentage of them in Muslim areas. And not least: According to old Islamic laws (later than Muhammad though), all so-called “new ideas” became prohibited and punishable early in the Islamic period. That meant

everything not in the Quran or traditions (Hadith). This turned out to be too harsh, and they were forced to accept some changes: Changes that could be said to build on the Quran or Hadith were called “good new ideas” and permitted, whereas all other were called “bad new ideas” and still prohibited. No matter how Muslims boast about that abolishment of slavery was/is an integrated part of Islam, that only is rubbish to be very polite. Islam was forced backwards and fighting into abolition by the west. In addition Muhammad both took, raped (at least Raihana bint Amr and Safiyya bint Huwayy), used as gifts, accepted as gift (at least his black concubine Mariah, who bore him his son Ibrahim, who died as a baby, though) and sold slaves – and Muhammad is the great icon in Islam: Everything he did is permissible, good, and morally and ethically fine. If Islam gains the upper hand and the pressure and ideas from the outside comes to an end, will then slavery be thought to be a “good new idea” or a “bad new idea” – and in the latter case: Will slavery then little by little be reinstated? – at least as long as the slaves are not Muslims? There are many who would not be surprised. Especially slave women is a temptation.

018 4/25a: “If any of you have not the means wherewith to wed free believing women, they may wed believing girls from among those whom your right hands possess (= slave girls*) - - wed them with the leave of their owners”. The leave of their owners, not of the woman.

019 4/25b: “- - - and give them (the women you wed*) their dowers - - -.” The dowers formally became the woman’s personal belonging. If she was a slave girl, often her dower was her formal release from bondage – like Safiyya with Muhammad. He took her as slave in Khaibar, tortured her husband to death, murdered the rest of her male family, enslaved all women and children, raped her the same night as her husband was murdered – and married her shortly after. And her dower was her formal release from slavery. A cheap wife for Muhammad.

020 4/34a: “Men are the protectors and maintainers of women, because Allah has given the one more (strength (only the physical strength counts*)) than the other, and because they support them from their means (because the women had hardly any other choice*). Therefore, the righteous women are devoutly obedient (and chaste*)”. As for support: In many societies before Islam, the woman was working and doing her share of supporting the family and herself. In those many cases the reason why she needs support, is that Islam denies her the possibility to do it herself. (Actually one reason why a war religion like Islam may be will not succeed in conquering the world, simply is the inefficiency of the women workforce - and another that Muslims can not be trained to think for themselves (and be innovative) because then they may start asking questions about Islam - among other things about all the mistakes, contradictions, and invalid proofs - and some aspects with its morality, ethics and humanity - or the lack of such. As for empathy it is so far from Islam, that it is an open question to what degree an average believing Muslim is able to feel empathy, at least for anything not Muslim - it is too foreign to the ideals of Islam).

021 4/34b: “As to those women on whose part ye fear (!!) disloyalty and an ill-conduct - - - (if not words help*) chastise them (lightly) - - -.” We are told that the word “lightly” is not in the original Arab texts.

022 4/43: “- - - (if*) ye have had contact (in this case; had sex*) with a woman (you are unclean*)”. We have found no corresponding statement about a woman having had sex with a man becomes unclean - but then women from nature are more unclean. Sex is nice and necessary according to Islam, but it also is unclean. Not only physically unclean, but also

ceremonial unclean. The same goes for the juices from a woman, and hence partly to the woman herself, at least when she has her monthly period. Therefore it is essential for the man to clean himself afterwards – and if real washing is not possible, then at least ceremonial cleansing, if by no other means then by dust or some kinds of earth. The woman is ceremonially restricted when she has her periods – she is unclean – and has to do a thorough ceremonial cleaning each time the period is finished. This even though according to Islam it is Allah who has made also the women, and created the humans perfect.

023 4/57: “- - - therein (Paradise*) shall they (deserving Muslim men*) have companions pure and holy (houries*) - - -.” Houries are a bit special kind of women, but the “fact” that they are given to the men arriving in Paradise as repayment for good (?) deeds, tells kilometres about Islam’s view on women.

024 4/127: “They ask thy (Muhammad’s*) instruction concerning women. Say: Allah doth instruct you about them - - -”. Treat them like the Quran instructs you. The Quran has no instruction the other way round, though. Besides: Even if the Quran is not too bad against woman in some ways, in other ways the “instructions” in the Quran are such that no man would accept it for himself - - - and no human should demand that other humans have to live under conditions he will refuse himself, and especially not that his closest family - wife and daughters - should be forced to live like that.

025 4/128: “If a wife fears cruelty or desertation on her husband’s part, there is no blame on them if they arrange an amicable settlement between themselves (husband and wife*); and such settlement is best - - -.” Muhammad was not very fond neither of family troubles nor of divorces, so that even though he made divorces easy, especially for men, he – and Islam – favours settlements and stability (stability also makes administration and ruling easier for the ruler).

026 4/129: “Ye are never able to be fair and just between women (wives*) - - -”. This sentence is often used by Muslims as “proof” for that polygamy (many wives) is not much used in Muslim societies. The trouble is, though, that this paragraph is a most sleeping one for men who can afford and really wants more wives. (Also serial monogamy - frequent divorces and marriages - exists. Media have reported up to more than 60 marriages for a man. Among Shiia Muslims also short time/fixed time marriages exist - marriage for a month, f. ex.)

027 5/5: “(Lawful unto you in marriage) are (not only) chaste women who are believers, but chaste women among the (Jews and Christians*) - - -.” This is told to men – women are too insignificant for such debates. But it concerns women. It seems that Muslim men can marry women that are Jews, Christians (though the Quran other places seems to be against it) or Muslims, but not Pagan ones (though there is no prohibition for having them as concubines or similar). Muslim women can marry only Muslim men – the prohibition is so strict that if a woman marries a non-Muslim, or her Muslim husband converts to another religion, her marriage is invalid.

028 5/6: “When ye prepare for prayer, wash (face, hands, lower arms, feet till ankles, and rub your head*) - - - if you are in a state of impurity, bathe (in praxis wash – Hadiths tell Muhammad used 3-4 litres of water for a “bathe”, and that only means wash) your whole body. If - - - you have been in contact (= had sex*) with women, and ye find no water, then take for yourselves clean sand and earth, and rub therewith your faces and hands.” The rules for cleanliness did make the Muslims a somewhat cleaner people than many others, but that

was a side effect, not the intention. The main thing was the formal, ceremonial cleanliness, not the physical cleanliness. And one normal source of becoming formally unclean, was – and is – women.

029 16/58: “When news is brought to one of them (Arabs at the time of Muhammad*), of (the birth) a female (child), his face darkens, and he is filled with inward grief! With shame does he hide himself from people, because of the bad news he has had!” It hardly is as bad today, but only a boy is a boy in many Muslim societies.

030 23/6: “(Good Muslims*) abstain from sex except with those joined to them in marriage bonds, or (the captives) whom their right hands possess (= slave women*) – for (in their case) they are free from blame - - -.” To rape captive women and slave women was/is completely ok (with one exception; it was prohibited if they were pregnant – but most likely not if they were pregnant with your child). Take a woman captive in a “just” war – and anything was named “just war” – jihad - as long as the victims were non-Muslims, not to mention if they were Pagans – and you could freely rape her with Allah’s blessing, because it was “good and lawful”. And as even slave raids could be defined as holy war - “jihad” - as the victims were non-Muslims, and all the 4 Islamic law schools accepted the fact that the “enemy” were non-Muslims as “bona fide” reason for declaring jihad. It was – and is (rape is very common in armed conflicts where Muslims are involved) – a nice life for the warriors.

031 24/23: “Those who slander chaste women, indiscreet but believing, are cursed in this life and in the Hereafter - - -.” The chaste women deserved a good reputation (also because it counted for the men of her family and her husband, and their reputation), but spreaders of slander often are difficult to catch, so some help/threat from the god had value.

032 24/31: “And say to the believing woman that they should lower their gaze and guard their modesty; that they should not display their beauty and ornaments except what (must ordinarily) appear thereof; that they should draw their veils over their bosoms and not display their beauty except to their husbands - - - and that they should not strike their feet in order to draw attention to their hidden ornaments”. THIS IS ALL THAT IS SAID IN THE QURAN ABOUT HOW A WOMAN SHOULD DRESS, WITH ONE EXCEPTION: SHE ALSO SHALL COVER HER HAIR. Things are mentioned other places, but the same as here. (There is talk of a veil one place, but that only concerns the wives of Muhammad, and it is a veil used as a partition of a room, not a veil covering the face). Everything else about a woman’s clothes is NOT from the Quran. (But in the Hadith veils are mentioned).

033 24/33: “But force not your maids to prostitution when they desire chastity - - -”. This was a rule that was much broken - and even today are much broken (and not only among Muslims) as a huge part of the 24 million slaves UN rapports about, are women in forced prostitution or sexual abuse.

034 24/60: “Such elderly women as are past the prospect of marriage – there is no blame on them if they lay aside their (outer) garments, provided they make not a wanton display of their beauty (female body parts*); but it is best for them to be modest - - -.” The strict codex is somewhat relaxed when she is not attractive any more.

035 25/74: “And those who pray, ‘Our Lord (Allah*) Grant unto us wives and offspring who will be a comfort to our eyes - - - (and some other prayers, are good Muslims and will end in Paradise)” No comments, except it tells not a little about how the Quran looks on women.

036 33/28-29: “O Prophet (Muhammad*)! Say to thy Consorts: ‘If it be that ye desire the life of this World, and its glitter – then come! I will provide for your enjoyment and set you free in a handsome manner. But if ye seek Allah and His Messenger, and the Home of the Hereafter, verily, Allah has prepared for the well-doers amongst you a great reward’”. Muhammad had his women problems – and Allah (?) helped him – this is not the only time. Look at this text, take into account the intense religious fervour of Medina at that time, the impression and one-mindedness that is the normal effect on people in intense, one-sided religious hotpots – Islam is just not the only one through history or recent history - plus the naivety that follows lack of education and one-sided propaganda, plus the fact that the women knew what they had, but had every reason to fear the future in a society with small possibilities for earning their own living, and in a society where they would forever be stamped for leaving “the Prophet” if they were “set free” - - - there is no doubt that Muhammad knew his psychology and people. He used one of the age-old strategies the powerful and resourceful through ages has used, and uses, to manipulate his surroundings – includes his women. And at places in the Quran he is backed up by his god – is that really a task for a god? – and is it something that belongs in a “Mother Book” revered by the omniscient and omnipotent god in his Heaven? (And if man – and women – has free will, so that the future is not locked – how could these details be written in the book billions of years before it happened? – that could only be done if man and animals all are puppets on a string totally without a free will.)

Muhammad was intelligent and manipulating.

And to the degree this story could be a model for others, this was how life were and is for Muslim women for all future, for Muhammad was and is the great idol and moral ideal.

Read 33/28-29 through 33/33 together to get a picture of his – and very many other dominant religious persons in strongly and dark religious societies – technique. One of the much used – and proven efficient – ways of manipulating dependant persons. Even the use of the god.

037 33/30: “O Consorts of the Prophet. If any of you were guilty of evident unseemly conduct, the Punishment would be doubled for her, and that is easy for Allah”. For comments see 33/28-29 just above – and also note that the god is easy to use, for Muhammad like for every self centred human manipulator in societies where religion is a dominant presence.

Read 33/28-29 through 33/33 + 33/50 and 33/51 together to get a picture of his – and very many other dominant religious persons in strongly and dark religious societies – technique. One of the much used – and proven efficient – ways of manipulating dependant persons. Even the use of the god, is typical for such persons. All this formally is about Muhammad’s private intimate life, but as what he said and did was and is the correct ethical and moral codex in Islam – and hence the norm for all women concerning this aspect of life, we include it also in this chapter about women’s life under Islam.

038 33/31: “But if any of you is devout in the service of Allah and His Messenger (also to solve such problems he glues himself to his platform of power – the god*), and works righteousness – to her shall We (Allah*) grant her reward twice: and We have prepared for her a generous sustenance.”

As said above: Read 33/28-29 through 33/33 + 33/50 and 33/51 together to get a picture of his – and very many other dominant religious persons in strongly and dark religious societies –

technique. One of the much used – and proven efficient – ways of manipulating dependant persons. Even the use of the god, is typical for such persons. All this formally is about Muhammad's private intimate life, but as what he said and did was and is the correct ethical and moral codex in Islam – and hence the norm for all women concerning this aspect of life, we include it also in this chapter about women's life under Islam.

039 33/32: "O Consorts of the Prophet! Ye are not like any of the (other) women: if ye do fear (Allah), be not too complaisant of speech lest one in whose heart is disease should be moved with desire: but speak ye a speech (that is) just.

Once more: Read 33/28-29 through 33/33 + 33/50 and 33/51 together to get a picture of his – and very many other dominant religious persons in strongly and dark religious societies – technique. One of the much used – and proven efficient – ways of manipulating dependant persons. Even the use of the god, is typical for such persons. All this formally is about Muhammad's private intimate life, but as what he said and did was and is the correct ethical and moral codex in Islam – and hence the norm for all women concerning this aspect of life, we include it also in this chapter about women's life under Islam. Here Muhammad puts extra pressure on his women to make sure they "behave".

040 33/33: "And stay quietly in your houses, and make not a dazzling display, like that of the former Times of Ignorance; and establish regular Prayer, and give regular Charity; and obey Allah and His Messenger (!!*). And Allah only wishes to remove all abomination from you, ye Members of the Family, and to make you pure and spotless." Yes, this is how the omnipotent, mighty god speaks to solve Muhammad's daily family life according to the revered Mother Book in his own home in his Heaven (of which the Quran is a copy, according to Islam).

As said before: Read 33/28-29 through 33/33 + 33/50 and 33/51 together to get a picture of his – and very many other dominant religious persons in strongly and dark religious societies – technique. One of the much used – and proven efficient – ways of manipulating dependant persons. Even the use of the god, is typical for such persons. All this formally is about Muhammad's private intimate life, but as what he said and did was and is the correct ethical and moral codex in Islam – and hence the norm for all women concerning this aspect of life, we include it also in this chapter about women's life under Islam. Allah orders his wives to be good girls - a nice help for Muhammad. But is it a job for a god? - and is it text worthy a Mother Book that an omniscient god reveres - reveres - in his Heaven?

041 33/50: "O Prophet! We (Allah*) have made lawful (for sex*) to thee (it is not unusual that the god "permits" this towards the founder of a religion or a sect – it happens not infrequently*) thy wives to whom thou hast paid their dowers: and those to whom thy right hand possesses out of the spoils of war (which was quite a huge number*) whom Allah has assigned to thee; and the daughters of thy parental uncles and aunts, and the daughters of maternal uncles and aunts, who migrated (from Makkah (= Mecca*)) with thee; and any believing woman who dedicates her soul to the Prophet if the Prophet wishes to wed her – this is only for thee, and not for the Believers (at large); we know that We have appointed for them (permitted sex*) as to their wives and those whom their right hands possess – in order that there should be no difficulty for thee." As for slaves, a huge number passed through Muhammad's hands – perhaps 2000 or more only from Khaibar. We do not know if and in case how many of them he personally raped, except Raihana bint Amr and Safiyya bint Huayay (which we know about because the first later became one of his concubines, and the

other one of his wives), but the casual way and the minimal fuzz with which two rapes happened and made, makes it easy to think that they neither were the first, nor the only ones – to rape one's captives and slaves was (and formally still is) completely ok in Islam. That just was the way life was/is for women under Islam.

And once more: Read 33/28-29 through 33/33 + 33/50 and 33/51 together to get a picture of his – and very many other dominant religious persons in strongly and dark religious societies – technique. One of the much used – and proven efficient – ways of manipulating dependant persons. Even the use of the god, is typical for such persons. All this formally is about Muhammad's private intimate life, but as what he said and did was and is the correct ethical and moral codex in Islam – and hence the norm for all women concerning this aspect of life, we include it also in this chapter about women's life under Islam.

042 33/51: “Thou (Muhammad*) mayst defer (the turn (of having sex*) of) any of them that thou pleasest, and thou mayst receive any thou pleasest (for your bed/sex*): and there is no blame on thee if thou invite one whose (turn) thou hast set aside.” **It is the man's wish and pleasure that counts, and here this fact is confirmed by the almighty god in his Mother Book** – though written only to Muhammad and nothing similare is said in that book to any of the thousands of former prophets (124000 ?) or to ordinary men. But as Muhammad is the great idol, everything he said and did was and is the correct thing to do if nothing special is said that prohibits it.

Once more: Read 33/28-29 through 33/33 + 33/50 and 33/51 together to get a picture of his – and very many other dominant religious persons in strongly and dark religious societies – technique. One of the much used – and proven efficient – ways of manipulating dependant persons. Even the use of the god, is typical for such persons. All this formally is about Muhammad's private intimate life, but as what he said and did was and is the correct ethical and moral codex in Islam – and hence the norm for all women concerning this aspect of life, we include it also in this chapter about women's life under Islam.

043 33/53: “And when ye (Muslim men*) ask (his (Muhammad's*)) ladies for anything ye want, ask them from before a screen - - -.” Note: A screen, not a veil. This is all that is said about the hiding of women in the Quran. Nothing more: A screen, not a veil, and only concerning the wives – and likely also his other women – of Muhammad. (But you find veils in the Hadiths – which is written 200 – 250 years later, and where it is very clear that a lot is made up stories (the Quran f. ex. proves that all the stories in the Hadiths about miracles around Muhammad are made up)).

Further: Read 33/28-29 through 33/33 + 33/50 and 33/51 together to get a picture of his – and very many other dominant religious persons in strongly and dark religious societies – technique. One of the much used – and proven efficient – ways of manipulating dependant persons. Even the use of the god, is typical for such persons. All this formally is about Muhammad's private intimate life, but as what he said and did was and is the correct ethical and moral codex in Islam – and hence the norm for all women concerning this aspect of life, we include it also in this chapter about women's life under Islam.

044 33/59: “O Prophet! Tell your wives and daughters, and the believing women, that they should cast their outer garments over their persons (when abroad) - - -.” What is “outer garments”? – the veil at least is neither mentioned nor indicated. And what is “when abroad”? – his wives never left Arabia.

045 37/48: “And besides them (Muslim men in Paradise*) will be chaste women, restraining their glances, with big eyes (of wonder and beauty).” These are the famous houries that are to comfort Muslim men in Paradise. The women are solely for man’s pleasure in Paradise, too (well, on Earth they also are for giving him sons). Nothing is said in the Quran about where the houries come from, nothing is said about how they like to be in the harem of often rough, uneducated and primitive – and naïve? – warriors - - - and also nothing is said about how the wives enjoy the competition. Only what the man likes counts.

There is another mystery: From where did the houries come? – and what were they. A theory that the early Muslims – f. ex. Hasan al-Basri - believed, is that they were women who had lived a pious and good life on Earth. Of necessity they could not have been married (or married to men that ended in Hell?), because married women followed their husbands – it is not said which one if they had been married more times, but perhaps the last one). But how many such single women existed compared to the millions of warriors that had a right to up to 70 each? And how did such women like to be sex slaves for often rough and self centred and primitive men – was it a Paradise for them too, or - - -? Another theory was/is that they were girls that died as babies or unmarried children - but then they in case should belong to their father's household in Paradise. And the same question: How many died compared to many houries to each warrior and terrorist?

046 41/25: “And We (Allah*) have destined for them (Muslim men in Paradise*) intimate companions (of like nature) (the houries*) - - -.” See 37/48 just above.

h047 44/54: “- - - and We (Allah*) shall join them to Companions with beautiful, big, and lustrous eyes.” Houries are a bit special kind of women, but the “fact” that they are given to the men arriving in Paradise as repayment for good (?) deeds, tells kilometres about Islam’s view on women. Also see 37/48 above.

048 52/20: “- - - and We (Allah*) shall join them to Companions, with beautiful big and lustrous eyes.” Like 37/48 and 42/25 above – see them.

049 52/39: “Or has He (Allah*) only daughters and ye have sons?” Most unbelievable and treated as a proof for it being a lie that Allah has descendant(s). It tells a lot about Islam’s view concerning women. This argument is never even mentioned when it comes to Jesus – he after all was male and should fit in the picture Muhammad painted.

050 53/21: “What! For you (Muslims*) the male sex (sons*) and for Him (Allah*), the female (daughters)?”. In praxis like 52/39 just above.

051 55/56a: “In them (the Gardens of Paradise*) will be (Maidens (houries)), chaste, restraining their glances - - -.” See f. ex. 37/48 above.

052 55/56b: “In them (the Gardens of Paradise*) will be fair (Companions (houries*)), good, beautiful - - -.” Houries are a bit special kind of women, but the “fact” that they are given to the men arriving in Paradise as repayment for good (?) deeds, tells miles about Islam’s view of women. The servile nature of the houries – the ideal women – in the descriptions, also tells volumes about Islam’s point of view on how women shall behave.

053 55/56c: “In them (the Gardens of Paradise*) will be fair (Companions (houries*)), good, beautiful - - -.” Houries are a bit special kind of women, but the “fact” that they are given to

the men arriving in Paradise as repayment for good (?) deeds, tells fathoms and miles about Islam's view of women.

054 56/22: "And (there will be) Companions with beautiful, big, and lustrous eyes - - -." In praxis like 37/48 above – see that.

055 56/24: "(The houries is*) A reward for the Deeds of their past (life)." **How do women like to simply be a reward to some previously unknown – and often uncultivated – man? – and to be his sex slave or sex doll for eternity? A Paradise?**

056 56/35-37: "We (Allah*) have created (their Companions (houries*)) of special creation. And made them virgin-pure (and undefiled) – beloved (by nature), equal in age (for good Muslim men in Paradise*) - - -." Houries are a bit special kind of women, but the "fact" that they are given to the men arriving in Paradise as repayment for good (?) deeds, tells miles and square miles about Islam's view of women. The servile nature of the houries – the ideal women – in the descriptions, also tells volumes about Islam's point of view on how women shall behave.

056 60/10: "When there come to you believing woman refugees - - -." Quite a number of women during the first generations fled to Islam and (said they had) converted to the new religion, to get away from disliked husbands. A marriage between a Muslim woman and a non-Muslim man is automatically nullified (even if both want it to continue) and the fleeing woman suddenly and unceremoniously was divorced - and free from her unwanted husband.

057 65/1: "O Prophet! When ye (also all other Muslims*) divorce women, divorce them in their prescribed period, and count (accurately) their prescribed periods - - -." Not on account of the welfare of the woman, but to make sure who is the father if there is a child afterwards.

058 70/30: "(Muslim men should have no sex*) Except with their wives and the (captives) whom their right hands possess - - -." To force captive women or slave women to have sex – to rape them – was "good and lawful"! What a benevolent religion and what a benevolent god!!

PART VI, CHAPTER 2, SUBCHAPTER 2 (= VI-2-2-0)

MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

MUSLIM WOMEN AND THE LAW (SHARIA) ACCORDING TO MUHAMMAD, THE QURAN, ALLAH, AND HENCE TO ISLAM

(Also see "Women as human beings" and "Slaves").

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

001 2/226 + 226: “For those who take an oath for abstention from their wives (preparing for divorce*), a waiting for four months is ordained; if they then return (all is ok and the marriage goes on*)”. If the man still wants divorce after the 4 months, it takes place. Divorce is very simple for men in Islam (but possible, though more complicated, also for women).

002 2/228a: “Divorced women shall wait concerning themselves (having sex*) for three monthly periods”. This to be sure who is the father if there is a child.

003 2/228b: “And the woman shall have rights similar to the rights against them, according to what is equitable - - -“. But beware: This is said in connection with a possible restart of a marriage where there is separation.

004 2/228c: “And the woman shall have rights similar to the rights against them, according to what is equitable; but men have a degree (of advantage) over them“. Which means that women are one step – small or big – below men as judicial persons (in reality also as human beings).

005 2/229: “A divorce is only permissible twice (from the same man*) - - -.” Twice should be enough, but divorce is very easy in Islam, and may be it happens in fits of anger – and the regret afterwards. (If they happened to want together again, that only was possible if the woman married another man, had sex with him, and the divorced him).

006 2/230: “If a husband divorces his wife (irrevocably), he cannot, after that, remarry her until she has married another husband and he has divorced her.” This is one of the more rotten laws in sharia (Islamic law). If a woman wants to go back to her former husband after a binding divorce – and he wants her to – she is not permitted to do so unless she prostitutes herself with another man (a formal marriage is not accepted in this case).

007 2/233a: “A woman shall give suck to their offspring for two whole years, if the father desires to complete the term”. A long time – and the husband or slave owner if she is a slave or concubine decides, not the woman.

008 2/233b: “No mother shall be treated unfairly on account of her child.” If the mother does her part of the upbringing ok, and the child all the same turns out to be a rascal, the mother (and also the father on the same conditions) cannot be held accountable for what an offspring does. One point in plus for both parents.

009 2/240: “Those of you who die and leave widows behind should bequest for their widows a year’s provision and residence - - -.” A widow has the right to stay in her home and have food, etc. for at least one year - - - if her husband has prepared things so. And what about widows that cannot remarry – f. ex. because of age or illness?

010 2/241: “For divorced women provision (should be made) on a reasonable (scale). This is the duty of the righteous.” Positive for the woman as far as it goes in reality. Nothing is said about what is and who decides what is “reasonable”.

011 2/282: “And get two witnesses, out of your own men, and if there are not two men, then a man and two women.” Women have half the value of the man – or even less, as there has to be at least one man.

012 4/11: “Allah (thus) directs you as regards to your children’s (inheritance): to the male, a proportion equal to that of two females.” One man = two women, like in other cases in Islam (in some cases less - f. ex. men decide and men can do what they want).

013 4/19: “Ye are forbidden to inherit women against their will.” If your brother dies, you cannot inherit his wife unless she agrees. This of course does not go for slave women, as they are property/things.

014 24/2: “The woman and the man guilty of fornication flog each of them with a hundred stripes - - -”. This is the punishment for unlawful sex according to the Quran. Stoning - normally only of the woman - as one hear about f. ex. from Nigeria and North Pakistan, is not part of the Quran. (There are rumours, though, that verses - perhaps as many as 100 - were omitted from the Quran, and that stoning for such sex was ordered in one of them. But this just is rumours - just like rumours saying that verses were added, though surahs like 111 and verses like those where Allah fixes domestic problems or women for Muhammad neither is worthy a god, nor belong in a veneered Mother Book in an omniscient god’s home: Paradise.)

015 24/6: “And those who launch a charge (of indecency or worse*) against chaste women, and produce not four witnesses (to support their allegations) – flog them with 80 stripes - - -.” Also in defence of a woman’s (and her family’s) reputation the punishment can be draconian.

016 24/6-8: “And those (men*) who launch a charge against their spouses, and have (in support) no evidence but their own - their solitary evidence (can be received) if they bear witness four times (with an oath) by Allah that they are solemnly telling the truth; And the fifth (oath) (should be) that they solemnly invoke the curse of Allah on themselves if they tell a lie”. (But in such cases the wife can avert punishment - not clear herself, but avert punishment - by using the same kind of oaths for that her husband is lying).

017 24/26: “Women impure is for men impure - - -.” If a woman destroys her reputation, the punishment is harsh – if she survives. Sharia is strict.

018 33/49: “When you marry believing women, and then divorce them before ye have touched them, no period of Iddah (= waiting) have ye to count in respect of them: so give them a present.” As mentioned further up: Marriage may be quite a casual affaire. And note that the information about not having to wait before the next man is permitted, is given to the (formal) husband or to men in general, not to the woman. This is a religion centred on the men and warriors.

019 58/1: “Allah has indeed heard (and accepted) the statement of the woman who pleads with thee (Muhammad’) - - -and Allah (always) hears and sees the arguments between both sides among you - - -.” Among others this verse makes it impossible not to hear also the woman/women in a conflict. But it is no secret that her judicial position is weaker than the man’s.

020 60/10: “They (Muslim women*) are not lawful (wives) for the Unbelievers - - -”. One consequence is that if a man leaves Islam, his marriage(s) is/are automatically void. But Muslim men can marry at least Jewish and Christian women.

021 65/2: “Thus when they fulfil their term appointed (connected to a revocable divorce*), either take them back on equitable terms or part with them on equitable terms - - -.” The law is clear, and often it functions. But especially when it comes to contact with their children – and especially boys – the woman is in a weak position.

022 65/4a: “Such of your women as have passed the age of monthly courses (when divorcing them*), for them the prescribed period (of waiting*) is 3 months if ye have any doubt, and for those who have no courses (it is the same).” A clear law – and should there have happened something in spite of all – pregnancy – one will know the father.

023 65/4b: “- - - for those who carry (life within their wombs), their period (in divorce*) is until they deliver their burdens (till the baby is born*) - - -.” Also a clear law.

024 65/6a: “Let the women live (in ‘iddah (the waiting period around divorce*)) in the same style as ye live.” Also formally a clear law.

025 65/6b: “- - - if they carry (life in their wombs) (at divorce*), then spend (your substance) on them until they deliver their burden: and if they suckle your (offspring), give them recompense - - -.” Another formally ok law. But all these laws are told to the man – his desides mostly. Not to the woman. Or to both.

POST SCRIPTURE FOR CHAPTER VI/2.

These two chapters and the chapter about slaves mainly are what is said about women in the Quran - yes, and the houries, but the houries you will find in the chapters about Heaven and Hell. There is a little extra about Muhammad’s many wives, but that does not concern normal women. And: Also believing Muslim women go to Paradise. Little and nothing is said about their existence there, except as parts of their husband’s family, included his new women - the beautiful and attractive houries. And absolutely nothing is said about their existence in Heaven, if they die unmarried or divorced. (Also nothing is said what happens to babies or children that dies, and their life in Heaven.)

All other discrimination Islam makes against women, either (some) are from Hadith or from Pagan tradition and religion before Muhammad (f. ex. female circumcision) taken over by Islam in many countries, plus some things have been added - may be by men more Muslim than Muhammad. (It is a paradox in religion and some other idealistic communities, that if a little is prescribed, there always are extremists believing that more is better, and extremely much is extremely good - even though the god only prescribes a little, and even though the extreme is destroying other good ideas from the god or ideology).

What is sure is that women are second quality humans, ans at least mainly made for the men.

PART VI, CHAPTER 3 (= VI-3-0-0)

MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

LIFE FOR MUSLIM CHILDREN ACCORDING TO THE QURAN AND HENCE TO ISLAM

Also see the chapter about slaves - a considerable per cent of slaves imported to Muslim areas were children.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Very little is said about children in the Quran, except as valuable assets for a man – at least sons were and are of value to the main person in the Quran except for Muhammad: The man. In addition it is stressed time and again and again that one has to take care of especially orphans – which may be connected to the fact that Muhammad himself became an orphan at 6 (and lost his father even before he was born).

But for that very little is said about children – children were of little consequence to a warlord. We are told that the foetus gets its soul and thus becomes human four months after conception (that is why Islam is liberal with treatment of early foetuses). Then the child preferably behaved well, and preferably grew up to become a good Muslim and warrior. We are not even told what happen to babies or children that die. Well they go to Heaven – but as eternal babies or children? – or as (young) adults? It is not said. Frequently it is mentioned that a good Muslim who dies, goes to Heaven and there will sooner or later have his family around himself – but his children, are they still children? It is said nothing clearly about it.

It also should be mentioned that slaves imported to the Americas consisted of 2/3 men for work, and the rest mainly women for work (sex with negro slaves were frowned at, though practised to some extent) and some children. To Muslim areas, however, the ratio was 1/3 men for work and 2/3 women and children, to a large degree for the harems. Children also easily were converted to Islam - f. ex. the janizars - Christian children taken by force to f. ex. Turkey to become Muslim soldiers.

001 4/2: “To orphans restore their property (when they reach their age).” If you have taken care of the valuables of an orphan, give it back to him or her when they come of age. If you are poor, you can have taken from it for the child’s necessities, but preferably not – and if you have made it grow, then even better.

002 4/3: “If ye fear that ye shall not be able to deal justly with the orphans, marry women of your choice – two or three or four - -“. An ok excuse for a marriage? At least it is from this verse that Muslims know they can have up to 4 wives (+ concubines and slave women of course).

003 4/6: “Make a trial of orphans until they reach the age of marriage; if then ye find sound judgement in them, release their property to them.” See 47” above.

004 4/9: “Let those (disposing an estate (for an orphan or a widow/children*)) have the same fear in their minds as they would have for their own if they had left a helpless family behind -

- -“. This part of Islam – care for orphans – is one part where the ethics and the moral may be did not wither and die after 622 AD.

005 4/10: “Those (guardians*) who unjustly eat up the property of orphans, eat up Fire into their own bodies - - -.” It was – and is – a grave sin to disuse the property of an orphan.

006 4/127: “And (remember) what hath been rehearsed unto you in the Book, concerning the (girl*) orphans of women to whom ye give not the portions prescribed, and yet whom ye desire to marry, as also concerning the children who are weak and oppressed; that ye stand firm for justice to orphans.” If you had a young orphan girl in the house, the temptation to make her an additional wife for you could be considerable.

007 6/151: “- - - kill not your children - - -.” In pre-Islamic times in Arabia it happened that parents killed baby daughters (by burying them alive). This Muhammad put an end to. But to be fair both ways: Muslims often pretends that this was a normal thing to do by the Pagans, and that Islam thus has saved huge numbers of girls through the centuries. Realities – realities admitted also by modern Muslim scholars - are that it only happened infrequently, and perhaps in connection with ceremonies mainly. (All the same it is a plus that he put an end to it).

008 6/152: “And come not nigh to an orphan’s property, except to improve it - - -.” Muhammad did his best to protect orphans – one of the (too few) pluses for him.

009 25/74: “O Lord (Allah*)! Grant unto us wives and offspring who will be the comfort to our eyes, and give us (the grace) lo lead the righteous.” I pray for good things and reputation for myself, not primarily for a good life for the child, here in the Quran. The only person in the centre for the Qurans interest – except Muhammad – is the man and actual or potential warrior.

010 90/15-16: “(A good deed is among others*) the giving of food in a day of privation to the orphans with the claims of relationship.” Take care of the orphans.

There are speculations among some Muslim scholars if the houries and the male servants in Paradise are humans that have died as babies or children. It in case is some Paradise for them - eternal sex slaves or eternal servants just because Allah did not let them live longer on Earth. But we stress that this is not said in the Quran - it just is educated speculations within Islam.

PART VI, CHAPTER 4 (= VI-4-0-0)

MUSLIMS UNDER ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

MUSLIM LAW – SHARIA. SOME GLIMPSES.

(Also see “The Woman and the law”.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

(Not ready yet – will come not later than 2010 AD)

In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proofs for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, the "bottom" disappears from under sharia.

PART VII, CHAPTER 1 (= VII-1-0-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

IS ALLAH A BETTER OR MORE BENEVOLENT GOD THAN YAHWEH/GOD?

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

It has for a long time been politically correct to talk bad about Christians and the Bible, and sometimes say the Islam is a far less bloody religion with a less bloody history and a less brutal god.

There are three levels for such a discussion:

1. To compare the teachings as given in the holy books (+ Hadiths for Islam).
2. To compare how the teachings are thought from pulpits, etc. - i.e. how is the real teaching today.
3. How is the religion disused for economic or political purposes or for gaining power?

As for Christianity there is one more point that often is pushed aside: The religion is built on the New Testament of the Bible, with the far harder Old Testament mainly as historical background (it is the Jews that builds on the Old Testament). A fact that often is forgotten or "forgotten".

And finally: Most fighting and wars throughout history and pre-history have been for riches and power, NOT for religion. Religion has often been disused for pepping up people and soldiers, and not infrequently the priests and mullahs have followed shortly behind. Also religion has sometimes been used for atrocities - extreme examples are the Spaniards in South and Middle America, and Muslims in Arabia, the Middle East and Egypt during and after the conquests not to mention in south Asia - especially in Sind and the rest of old India, plus in Armenia, etc., and in parts of Africa. But mainly the real reasons have been riches, included slaves and power and power policy.

Often the purpose of a discussion is not to find the truth, but to win the discussion or to defend one's point of view. Then the exchange of arguments often gets dirty with a real mixing up of arguments. Islam is said to be a good and peaceful religion because most people in the west knows nothing about the mass murders, pogroms, wars, enslavement, suppression, etc. in Islamic parts of the world - and the Jews could live in parts of Muslim area when they had to leave Spain. The Christian religion is bad, because Yahweh let Jews fight wars 2700 - 4000 years ago. But Yahweh presented a much milder side of his teachings when he sent Jesus 2000 years ago according to the Christians. And most likely they speak the truth, for the NT really is a lot milder and more human than the OT. Jesus also initiated the "new pact" that came instead of the "old pact", and he nullified a number of the old mosaic laws.

Christians also are bad because they around 1700 or a bit before started to become better organized and more inventive so they also got better weapons and richer and got the upper hand, and did what all empires through history and pre-history have done: Set out to gain wealth and more power - just like f. ex. the Muslims before them. But the conquering Muslims are forgotten and the bad Christians remain - even though it was the businessmen and the politicians that took colonies, not the religion.

Yes, if you read the holy books of the big religions, you will find that the teachings of Jesus and the teachings of Buddha are the most pacifistic, the most human and the most peaceful ones.

Oh, yes, we hear the scream from the ones not able to think outside what is politically correct. We are un-normal enough to search for what is the truth, and do not care about what is politically correct - we have knowledge, books and brains ourselves. But mind you: We are talking about the contents of the holy books, not about how the religions have been used or disused.

And here we finally come to the point: When many "combatants" mix the ideals with disuse, and wars for money and power with the "theoretical" religions in one unholy soup, the solution frequently can be used to kill the stupid opponent that does not understand that "I" am right.

If one is to compare religions or gods, one has to compare the same level: Ideals with ideals, the teachings of today with the teachings of today, disuse with disuse. If one compares the ideals of Islam with disuse of the Bible, one can get any result one wants, except the truth. This especially if one use the peaceful, but to terrorists and other militants often outdated surahs from Mecca, and conveniently (?) "forget" that they often are abrogated (made invalid and void) by newer and bloodier ones from Medina. As for use and disuse, Islam has not more to boast of than Europe. Many of the conquests were lessons in inhuman terror. The ruling frequently were no better than the worst European - Sind (now approximately Pakistan) can

easily be compared to Belgium's Congo f. ex. In Muslims' history the Muslim rulers were greater heroes the worse they treated the locals, and the more non-Muslims they murdered. The only one of those rulers in India who treated the locals somewhat human - Akbar the Great (1542-1605) - Muslims recon to be at best mediocre. Europe colony history has to look far and wide to find the like of Armenia - 1.2 mills. systematically murdered in a few years by their Turkish masters (Turkey and Islam still do not have the moral stamina to meet that part of their past). Also the original conquering of Armenia - and many other places - was an orgy in blood and slaughtering. There were excesses also in Africa, f. ex. the conquest of Egypt, with city after city murdered to the last baby - and if Islam has ever had the backbone to make an estimate of how many slaves Muslims have kidnapped or bought out of Africa - and Asia - we at least have never seen that number. As the enslavement continued from the very start of Islam and before, and lasted far into the 1900s and even 2000s, the number is rather high in the millions.

I think we will find one Muslim excess for each European - at least. But the behaviour and atrocities of the Muslims are much less known, and it is not politically correct to speak about them. When it comes to the daily teachings of today, there is little doubt that some madrasas and mosques have a seriously and highly more hateful teaching than any Christian church or school. And rhe same compared to most other religions.

Then remain the ideals compared to the ideals - the Holy Quran compared to the Holy Bible.

What many people do not know is that the Quran consists of two parts - the surahs from Mecca (some 80-90 surahs - as one do not know the age of all of them, it is not possible to say the exact number, but perhaps 86) and the ones from Medina (at least 22 surahs). As they are placed in one melee in the book, it is not easy to see which is which, and that may be a reason why this fact is not well known.

But there are great differences between the surahs from Mecca and the ones from Medina. The ones from Mecca are mainly peaceful and human. Than suddenly, as Muhammad fled to Medina and needed warriors to start his highwayman career and later his warlord career, the religion changed dramatically to one of hate and suppression, murder, rape and war. Muslims will tell you it is all about war of defence, but read it yourself, and you will find that that is rubbish - the texts from Medina are mainly incitement to war and plunder and rape and enslavement and killing other good things for Allah, and becoming rich by stealing "good and lawfully", but little about self defence. Whether it was Allah who found that a peaceful and quite good religion was not according to his taste come to think about it, and wanted more hate and rape and blood, or if it simply was Muhammad who needed the hate and the warriors to make a living from stealing and extortion and killing - and later as a hard warlord - is not for us to say.

The result is dramatic, though, because according to surah 2/106 (saying that surahs/verses may be substituted for something similar or "better") and according to Islam, when two or more surahs differ in meaning, the youngest one normally is the right one. Because of this the sometimes benevolent surahs for Mecca often are void and invalid if the far harsher and more inhuman ones from Medina say something else.

Just read the surahs yourself in chronological order and be shocked - about the final religion and about the gruesome warrior god behind it.

One may wonder: How can the god behind Islam of Mecca be the same god as the god behind Islam of Medina? - there are huge differences. Too huge if not something is wrong or the god ill.

That also the Bible is made up of two main parts, is better known - the Old Testament (a bit more than $\frac{3}{4}$) and the New Testament (a bit less than $\frac{1}{4}$ - in our book some 1170 pages compared to some 320 pages for OT).

The main thing for Christians is the NT, whereas the OT as said before mostly is historical background (but the Jews do not believe in NT at all, and teach according to OT). And the Yahweh/God you meet in NT and its new covenant is a far more benevolent and good god than the strict one in OT, though the difference is not comparable to the change of Allah of Medina vs. Allah of Mecca. Muslims tell that the reason why the god has given new teachings now and then, is that the world has been changing, and because of that new rules have had to be made. Perhaps they are right and Yahweh/God found it was high time and conditions on parts of the Earth for a change to a more peaceful religion and sent Jesus? Because as an ideal there is no doubt whatsoever that the teachings of Jesus and those of Buddha are the two most peaceful, pacifist, and benevolent of all the large religions. (Before you go into a political or other fit of rage: Remember we talk about the teachings, not about the disuse or the slander - blaming religion for what f. ex. was done from greed or hunger for power).

To ask for which god - Yahweh/God as we meet him in NT, or Allah as we meet him in Medina (and that is where we have to meet him, as those surahs are the youngest and thus the most correct ones according to Islam - not the peaceful god, but the hate and war god) - is the best and most benevolent of the two, is to waste time and energy. The difference is colossal - just read the ca. 22 surahs from Medina and the NT one single time, and you will ask not one question. Neither will you make one single protest. There is not one doubt.

But then there is the question of the Yahweh of the OT - from before Jesus changed laws and rules from the old. Many when they are discussing are not honest enough, fair enough or have too little knowledge to distinguish between before and after Jesus and the change to Yahweh's and Jesus' much milder teaching. They use wars or killings from OT as examples for the bad Christian religion, even though that is not part of that religion, except as part of the history Jesus left behind in his teachings of "the New Pact". OT has meanings to Christians, but like local football a far off place compared to Premier League, or may be a better comparison is history lessons at school in your youth compared with daily life today.

Therefore, let us compare also the Yahweh of the OT with Allah in his final and present and everlasting image: The excesses Yahweh did, or let the Jews do, in OT was mostly to make room for Israel - place for a national country, big or small - in the area east of the Mediterranean Sea 2000-4000 years ago. The Quran talks about hate and war and suppression today, tomorrow and forever. The excesses of OT are so well known, that we concentrate on the far less known excesses of Allah, as told in the Quran - and remember: In contrast to OT they are not only some pieces of history, but ideals and orders for all the future:

001 3/137: "- - - travel through the earth, and see what was the end of those (non-Muslims*) who rejected the Truth (Islam*)". In and around Arabia there were scattered ruins. Muhammad told that all those were remnants from cities and towns and hamlets punished by Allah, because they would not become Muslims. Science has other explanations, but if the Quran tells the truth, Allah killed the people in all these places. May be more here than

Yahweh in his entire career, because even if the ruins were scattered, the area was wide, making many ruins all together. After all Yahweh mainly made space for Israel, and Israel is a small country - and the Jews killed far from all the inhabitants from the times before they came. In addition there were former ruins that were fixed or rebuilt and used for living at the time of Muhammad when this was told - also the former users of those places had been killed by Allah for their sins, according to Islam.

002 4/6: “See they (people*) not how many of those before them We (Allah*) did destroy? - generations We had established on earth, in strength such as We have not given you (Muslims*) - --”. An unspecified number killed, but obviously many - generations in plural and each more populous - or strong - than the Muslims (this was most likely said in 625, and the Muslims had started to gain many followers and strength). May be Yahweh should hurry up, not to be too far behind in the competition of who killed most?

003 10/13: “Generations before you (non-Muslims*) We (Allah*) destroyed when they did wrong - -”. Obey Allah or die - and many did die. At least that is what the Quran tells.

004 11/60: “Removed (from sight (= killed*)) were ‘Ad (large and rich Arabian tribe, “borrowed” from Arabian folk tales*)”. Some thousands more killed by Allah.

005 11/67: “The (mighty) Blast overtook the wrongdoers (Thamud - another large and rich tribe “borrowed” from Arabian folk tales*), and they lay prostrate (and dead*) in their homes before the morning - - -”. Another some thousands killed.

006 11/95: “- - - the Madyan (still another tribe - see 11/60 and 11/67*) were removed (killed*) - - -”. Some hundreds or thousands corpses more on Allah’s score.

007 12/109: “Do they (non-Muslims*) not travel through the earth, and see what was the end of those before them?” Mecca was a business centre with connections from at least Egypt and Constantinople to black East Africa and to India and even China. There were many ruins in all that wide area, even if they were scattered. All ruins were empty of people, because Allah had killed them because they refused to become Muslims, according to Muhammad, and the same with all the places which had become empty but where now new tribes lived. If Muhammad was right, Yahweh had better hurry up not to end too far behind Allah in the field of killing - not to say the killing fields.

008 15/74: “And We (Allah*) turned (the Cities (Sodom and Gomorrah - remember that the Quran claims that Allah = Yahweh*) upside down, and rained down on them brimstones hard as baked clay”. Some more dead victims.

009 15/80+83: “The people of the Rocky Tract (Al-Hidjr in northern Hidjaz in Arabia*) - - - the (mighty) Blast sized them in the morning (and killed them*)”.

010 16/26: “- - - Allah took their (folks of the older times*) structures from their foundations, and the roof fell down on them from above (and killed them*)”. The score is growing.

011 17/16: “When We (Allah*) decide to destroy a population - - -”. No comments.

012 !7/17: “How many generations have We (Allah*) destroyed after Noah?” Allah has killed so many generations that he is not sure of the number. And it is a question of killing

(destroying), not of natural death. Yahweh who just made room for Israel/the Jews to let them have their own small country + helped them a little now and then, hardly is even in the same league as Allah.

013 19/98: “But how many (countless) generations before them (Muhammad’s followers*) have We (Allah*) destroyed?” See 17/17 just above. The situation for Yahweh: Even further behind in the competition than we thought.

014 20/128: “Is it not a warning to such men (to call to mind) how many generations before them We (Allah*) destroyed, in whose haunts they (now) move? Verily, in this are Signs for men endued with understanding.” It seems "Islam" was then like now (at least some parts of Islam): Huge acts of terror and murder is a warning: Do as we want or we will murder more people. A shining example for terrorists. And the count of corpses reaches high numbers.

015 21/6: “- - - not one of the populations which We (Allah*) destroyed believed (were Muslims*)”. Well, there is not known one single population of Muslims before Muhammad, in spite of what the Quran and Islam say. And of monotheists (which Islam claims were Muslims in older times, but without one single trace of this ever found) there were mainly the Jews and later the Christians. At the time of Muhammad there also was a small sect of Arab monotheists - perhaps inspired by the Jews and the Christians.

016 21/9: “- - - We (Allah*) destroyed those who transgressed bounds (did not obey the teachings of his prophets*)”. Remember that according to Hadith there had been at least 124000 prophets before Muhammad, and hardly a trace of monotheism from old times except the Jews and later the Christians. Allah must have “destroyed” an uncountable number of people. Poor Yahweh - he is in kindergarten in this competition.

017 22/48: “In the end I (Allah*) punished them (killed the wrongdoers/non-Muslims*). To Me is the destination (of all)”. F. ex. it is for Allah to decide whom to kill.

018 23/41: “And the Blast overtook them (people some generations after Noah*) with justice (and killed them*), and we made them as rubbish of dead leafs - - -”. Yahweh caused little killing between Noah and Abraham, but Allah seems to have been busy most of the time.

019 25/36: “Go ye both (Moses and Aaron - remember that Islam claims Allah is the same god as Yahweh - what Yahweh did, consequently Allah did*), to the people (the people of Egypt*) who have rejected our Signs’. And those (people) We destroyed with utter destruction”. Some more mass murder.

020 25/37: “And the people of Noah - - - We (Allah*) drowned them - - -”. See 25/36 above.

021 25/38 + 39: “- - - also 'Ad and Thamud and the People of the Rass (3 large tribes “borrowed” from Arab folklore*), and many generations between them - - - and each one We (Allah*) broke to utter annihilation - - -”. It is not easy for Yahweh/God to compete with all this wholesale slaughter.

022 28/43: “We (Allah*) revealed to Moses the Book (wrong - the books of Moses were written centuries later according to science*) after We had destroyed the earlier generations - - -”. More generations between Noah and Moses killed off - Allah was a far keener worker than Yahweh, at least in the killing business.

023 43/6 - 8: "But how many were the prophets We (Allah*) sent (a rhetoric question as Hadith tells there were at least 124000*) amongst the peoples of the old? But never came there a prophet to them but they mocked him. So we destroyed them - -". With this many prophets, the expression "wholesale slaughter" is insignificant in the extreme. Yahweh is hardly a baby in this competition.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER VII/2.

If you read the Quran you will find many more places where the book tells about - nearly boasts about - large scale atrocities and murder. But we stop here, not to make Yahweh lose face too badly.

Actually Yahweh had no chance in this competition. As the Quran claims Allah is the same god as Yahweh, Allah has done all the things Yahweh did according to the old book. But in addition Allah has a long list of grave atrocities and large-scale murder, where Yahweh was not involved.

There is little doubt who is the hardest god. This even more so as Allah evolved to the present war god from Medina. Whereas Yahweh became milder - perhaps because times changed (like Islam explains) for the better some places on Earth and it was time for a milder religion?

16. 2. 09

PART VII, CHAPTER 2 (= VII-2-0-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

IS ALLAH A GOOD GOD? (HE AFTER ALL DEMANDS BLOOD AND JIHAD/HOLY WAR INCLUDED TERRORISM, WHEN HE IN HIS OMNIPOTENCE COULD FIX EVERYTHING HIMSELF JUST BY SAYING A FEW WORDS)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

It has for a long time been politically correct to talk bad about Christians and the Bible, and sometimes say the Islam is a far less bloody religion with a less bloody history and a less brutal god.

There are three levels for such a discussion:

1. To compare the teachings as given in the holy books (+ Hadiths for Islam).
2. To compare how the teachings are thought from pulpits, etc. - i.e. how is the real teaching today.
3. How is the religion disused for economic or political purposes or for gaining power?

As for Christianity there is one more point that often is pushed aside: The religion is built on the New Testament of the Bible, with the far harder Old Testament mainly as historical background (it is the Jews who builds on the Old Testament). A fact that often is forgotten or "forgotten".

And finally: Most fighting and wars throughout history and pre-history have been for riches and power, NOT for religion. Religion has often been disused for pepping up people and soldiers, and not infrequently the priests and mullahs have followed shortly behind. Also religion has sometimes been used for atrocities - extreme examples are the Spaniards in South and Middle America, and Muslims in Arabia, the Middle East and Egypt during and after the conquests, not to mention in south Asia - especially in Sind and the rest of old India, plus in Armenia, etc., and in parts of Africa. But mainly the real reasons have been riches, included slaves and power and power policy.

Often the purpose of a discussion is not to find the truth, but to win the discussion or to defend one's point of view. Then the exchange of arguments often gets dirty with a real mixing up of arguments. Islam is said to be a good and peaceful religion because most people in the west knows nothing about the mass murders, pogroms, wars, enslavement, suppression, etc. in Islamic parts of the world - and the Jews could live in parts of Muslim area when they had to leave Spain. The Christian religion is bad, because Yahweh let Jews fight wars 2700 - 4000 years ago. But Yahweh presented a much milder side of his teachings when he sent Jesus 2000 years ago according to the Christians. And most likely they speak the truth, for the NT really is a lot milder and more human than the OT. Jesus also initiated the "new pact" that came instead of the "old pact", and he nullified a number of the old mosaic laws.

Christians also are bad because they around 1700 or a bit before started to become better organized and more inventive so they also got better weapons and richer and got the upper hand, and did what all empires through history and pre-history have done: Set out to gain wealth and more power - just like f. ex. the Muslims before them. But the conquering Muslims are forgotten and the bad Christians remain - even though it was the businessmen and the politicians that took colonies, not the religion.

Yes, if you read the holy books of the big religions, you will find that the teachings of Jesus and the teachings of Buddha are the most pacifistic, the most human and the most peaceful ones.

Oh, yes, we hear the scream from the ones not able to think outside what is politically correct. We are un-normal enough to search for what is the truth, and do not care about what is politically correct - we have knowledge, books and brains ourselves. But mind you: We are talking about the contents of the holy books, not about how the religions have been used or disused.

And here we finally come to the point: When many “combatants” mix the ideals with disuse, and wars for money and power with the “theoretical” religions in one unholy soup, the solution frequently can be used to kill the stupid opponent that does not understand that “I” am right.

If one is to compare religions or gods, one has to compare the same level: Ideals with ideals, the teachings of today with the teachings of today, disuse with disuse. If one compares the ideals of Islam with disuse of the Bible, one can get any result one wants, except the truth. This especially if one use the peaceful, but to terrorists and other militants often outdated surahs from Mecca, and conveniently (?) “forget” that they often are abrogated (made invalid and void) by newer and bloodier ones from Medina. As for use and disuse, Islam has not more to boast of than Europe. Many of the conquests were lessons in inhuman terror. The ruleing frequently were no better than the worst European - Sind (now approximately Pakistan) can easily be compared to Belgium’s Congo f. ex. In Muslims’ history the Muslim rulers were greater heroes the worse they treated the locals, and the more non-Muslims they murdered. The only one of those rulers in India who treated the locals somewhat human - Akbar the Great (1542-1605) - Muslims recon to be at best mediocre. Europe colony history has to look far and wide to find the like of Armenia - 1.2 mills. systematically murdered in a few years by their Turkish masters (Turkey and Islam still do not have the moral stamina to meet that part of their past). Also the original conquering of Armenia - and many other places - was an orgy in blood and slaughtering. There were excesses also in Africa, f. ex. the conquest of Egypt, with city after city murdered to the last baby - and if Islam has ever had the backbone to make an estimate of how many slaves Muslims have kidnapped or bought out of Africa - and Asia - we at least have never seen that number. As the enslavement continued from the very start of Islam and before, and lasted far into the 1900s and even 2000s, the number is rather high in the millions.

I think we will find one Muslim excess for each European - at least. But the behaviour and atrocities of the Muslims are much less known, and it is not politically correct to speak about them. When it comes to the daily teachings of today, there is little doubt that some madrasas and mosques have a seriously and highly more hateful teaching than any Christian church or school. And rhe same compared to most other religions.

Then remain the ideals compared to the ideals - the Holy Quran compared to the Holy Bible.

What many people do not know is that the Quran consists of two parts - the surahs from Mecca (some 80-90 surahs - as one do not know the age of all of them, it is not possible to say the exact number, but perhaps 86) and the ones from Medina (at least 22 surahs). As they are placed in one melee in the book, it is not easy to see which is which, and that may be a reason why this fact is not well known.

But there are great differences between the surahs from Mecca and the ones from Medina. The ones from Mecca are mainly peaceful and human. Than suddenly, as Muhammad fled to Medina and needed warriors to start his highwayman career and later his warlord career, the

religion changed dramatically to one of hate and suppression, murder, rape and war. Muslims will tell you it is all about war of defence, but read it yourself, and you will find that that is rubbish - the texts from Medina are mainly incitement to war and plunder and rape and enslavement and killing other good things for Allah, and becoming rich by stealing “good and lawfully”, but little about self defence. Whether it was Allah who found that a peaceful and quite good religion was not according to his taste come to think about it, and wanted more hate and rape and blood, or if it simply was Muhammad who needed the hate and the warriors to make a living from stealing and extortion and killing - and later as a hard warlord - is not for us to say.

The result is dramatic, though, because according to surah 2/106 (saying that surahs/verses may be substituted for something similar or “better”) and according to Islam, when two or more surahs differ in meaning, the youngest one normally is the right one. Because of this the sometimes benevolent surahs for Mecca often are void and invalid if the far harsher and more inhuman ones from Medina say something else.

Just read the surahs yourself in chronological order and be shocked - about the final religion and about the gruesome warrior god behind it.

One may wonder: How can the god behind Islam of Mecca be the same god as the god behind Islam of Medina? - there are huge differences. Too huge if not something is wrong or the god ill.

That also the Bible is made up of two main parts, is better known - the Old Testament (a bit more than $\frac{3}{4}$) and the New Testament (a bit less than $\frac{1}{4}$ - in our book some 1170 pages compared to some 320 pages for OT).

The main thing for Christians is the NT, whereas the OT as said before mostly is historical background (but the Jews do not believe in NT at all, and teach according to OT). And the Yahweh/God you meet in NT and its new covenant is a far more benevolent and good god than the strict one in OT, though the difference is not comparable to the change of Allah of Medina vs. Allah of Mecca. Muslims tell that the reason why the god has given new teachings now and then, is that the world has been changing, and because of that new rules have had to be made. Perhaps they are right and Yahweh/God found it was high time and conditions on parts of the Earth for a change to a more peaceful religion and sent Jesus? Because as an ideal there is no doubt whatsoever that the teachings of Jesus and those of Buddha are the two most peaceful, pacifist, and benevolent of all the large religions. (Before you go into a political or other fit of rage: Remember we talk about the teachings, not about the disuse or the slander - blaming religion for what f. ex. was done from greed or hunger for power).

To ask for which god - Yahweh/God as we meet him in NT, or Allah as we meet him in Medina (and that is where we have to meet him, as those surahs are the youngest and thus the most correct ones according to Islam - not the peaceful god, but the hate and war god) - is the best and most benevolent of the two, is to waste time and energy. The difference is colossal - just read the ca. 22 surahs from Medina and the NT one single time, and you will ask not one question. Neither will you make one single protest. There is not one doubt.

But then there is the question of the Yahweh of the OT - from before Jesus changed laws and rules from the old. Many when they are discussing are not honest enough, fair enough or have too little knowledge to distinguish between before and after Jesus and the change to Yahweh's

and Jesus' much milder teaching. They use wars or killings from OT as examples for the bad Christian religion, even though that is not part of that religion, except as part of the history Jesus left behind in his teachings of "the New Pact". OT has meanings to Christians, but like local football a far off place compared to Premier League, or may be a better comparison is history lessons at school in your youth compared with daily life today.

Therefore, let us compare also the Yahweh of the OT with Allah in his final and present and everlasting image: The excesses Yahweh did, or let the Jews do, in OT was mostly to make room for Israel - place for a national country, big or small - in the area east of the Mediterranean Sea 2000-4000 years ago. The Quran talks about hate and war and suppression today, tomorrow and forever. The excesses of OT are so well known, that we concentrate on the far less known excesses of Allah, as told in the Quran - and remember: In contrast to OT they are not only some pieces of history, but ideals and orders for all the future:

001 3/137: "- - - travel through the earth, and see what was the end of those (non-Muslims*) who rejected the Truth (Islam*)". In and around Arabia there were scattered ruins. Muhammad told that all those were remnants from cities and towns and hamlets punished by Allah, because they would not become Muslims. Science has other explanations, but if the Quran tells the truth, Allah killed the people in all these places. May be more here than Yahweh in his entire career, because even if the ruins were scattered, the area was wide, making many ruins all together. After all Yahweh mainly made space for Israel, and Israel is a small country - and the Jews killed far from all the inhabitants from the times before they came. In addition there were former ruins that were fixed or rebuilt and used for living at the time of Muhammad when this was told - also the former users of those places had been killed by Allah for their sins, according to Islam.

002 4/6: "See they (people*) not how many of those before them We (Allah*) did destroy? - generations We had established on earth, in strength such as We have not given you (Muslims*) - --". An unspecified number killed, but obviously many - generations in plural and each more populous - or strong - than the Muslims (this was most likely said in 625, and the Muslims had started to gain many followers and strength). May be Yahweh should hurry up, not to be too far behind in the competition of who killed most?

003 10/13: "Generations before you (non-Muslims*) We (Allah*) destroyed when they did wrong - - -". Obey Allah or die - and many did die. At least that is what the Quran tells.

004 11/60: "Removed (from sight (= killed*)) were 'Ad (large and rich Arabian tribe, "borrowed" from Arabian folk tales*)". Some thousands more killed by Allah.

005 11/67: "The (mighty) Blast overtook the wrongdoers (Thamud - another large and rich tribe "borrowed" from Arabian folk tales*), and they lay prostrate (and dead*) in their homes before the morning - - -". Another some thousands killed.

006 11/95: "- - - the Madyan (still another tribe - see 11/60 and 11/67*) were removed (killed*) - - -". Some hundreds or thousands corpses more on Allah's score.

007 12/109: "Do they (non-Muslims*) not travel through the earth, and see what was the end of those before them?" Mecca was a business centre with connections from at least Egypt and Constantinople to black East Africa and to India and even China. There were many ruins in all that wide area, even if they were scattered. All ruins were empty of people, because Allah had

killed them because they refused to become Muslims, according to Muhammad, and the same with all the places which had become empty but where now new tribes lived. If Muhammad was right, Yahweh had better hurry up not to end too far behind Allah in the field of killing - not to say the killing fields.

008 15/74: “And We (Allah*) turned (the Cities (Sodom and Gomorrah - remember that the Quran claims that Allah = Yahweh*) upside down, and rained down on them brimstones hard as baked clay”. Some more dead victims.

009 15/80+83: “The people of the Rocky Tract (Al-Hidjr in northern Hijaz in Arabia*) - - - the (mighty) Blast sized them in the morning (and killed them*)”.

010 16/26: “- - - Allah took their (folks of the older times*) structures from their foundations, and the roof fell down on them from above (and killed them*)”. The score is growing.

011 17/16: “When We (Allah*) decide to destroy a population - - -”. No comments.

012 17/17: “How many generations have We (Allah*) destroyed after Noah?” Allah has killed so many generations that he is not sure of the number. And it is a question of killing (destroying), not of natural death. Yahweh who just made room for Israel/the Jews to let them have their own small country + helped them a little now and then, hardly is even in the same league as Allah.

013 19/98: “But how many (countless) generations before them (Muhammad’s followers*) have We (Allah*) destroyed?” See 17/17 just above. The situation for Yahweh: Even further behind in the competition than we thought.

014 20/128: “Is it not a warning to such men (to call to mind) how many generations before them We (Allah*) destroyed, in whose haunts they (now) move? Verily, in this are Signs for men endued with understanding.” It seems "Islam" was then like now (at least some parts of Islam): Huge acts of terror and murder is a warning: Do as we want or we will murder more people. A shining example for terrorists. And the count of corpses reaches high numbers.

015 21/6: “- - - not one of the populations which We (Allah*) destroyed believed (were Muslims*)”. Well, there is not known one single population of Muslims before Muhammad, in spite of what the Quran and Islam say. And of monotheists (which Islam claims were Muslims in older times, but without one single trace of this ever found) there were mainly the Jews and later the Christians. At the time of Muhammad there also was a small sect of Arab monotheists - perhaps inspired by the Jews and the Christians.

016 21/9: “- - - We (Allah*) destroyed those who transgressed bounds (did not obey the teachings of his prophets*)”. Remember that according to Hadith there had been at least 124000 prophets before Muhammad, and hardly a trace of monotheism from old times except the Jews and later the Christians. Allah must have “destroyed” an uncountable number of people. Poor Yahweh - he is in kindergarten in this competition.

017 22/48: “In the end I (Allah*) punished them (killed the wrongdoers/non-Muslims*). To Me is the destination (of all)”. F. ex. it is for Allah to decide whom to kill.

018 23/41: “And the Blast overtook them (people some generations after Noah*) with justice (and killed them*), and we made them as rubbish of dead leaves - - -”. Yahweh caused little killing between Noah and Abraham, but Allah seems to have been busy most of the time.

019 25/36: “Go ye both (Moses and Aaron - remember that Islam claims Allah is the same god as Yahweh - what Yahweh did, consequently Allah did*), to the people (the people of Egypt*) who have rejected our Signs’. And those (people) We destroyed with utter destruction”. Some more mass murder.

020 25/37: “And the people of Noah - - - We (Allah*) drowned them - - -”. See 25/36 above.

021 25/38 + 39: “- - - also 'Ad and Thamud and the People of the Rass (3 large tribes “borrowed” from Arab folklore*), and many generations between them - - - and each one We (Allah*) broke to utter annihilation - - -”. It is not easy for Yahweh/God to compete with all this wholesale slaughter.

022 28/43: “We (Allah*) revealed to Moses the Book (wrong - the books of Moses were written centuries later according to science*) after We had destroyed the earlier generations - - -”. More generations between Noah and Moses killed off - Allah was a far keener worker than Yahweh, at least in the killing business.

023 43/6 - 8: “But how many were the prophets We (Allah*) sent (a rhetoric question as Hadith tells there were at least 124000*) amongst the peoples of the old? But never came there a prophet to them but they mocked him. So we destroyed them - - -”. With this many prophets, the expression “wholesale slaughter” is insignificant in the extreme. Yahweh is hardly a baby in this competition.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER VII/2.

If you read the Quran you will find many more places where the book tells about - nearly boasts about - large scale atrocities and murder. But we stop here, not to make Yahweh lose face too badly.

Actually Yahweh had no chance in this competition. As the Quran claims Allah is the same god as Yahweh, Allah has done all the things Yahweh did according to the old book. But in addition Allah has a long list of grave atrocities and large-scale murder, where Yahweh was not involved.

There is little doubt who is the hardest god. This even more so as Allah evolved to the present war god from Medina. Whereas Yahweh became milder - perhaps because times changed (like Islam explains) for the better some places on Earth and it was time for a milder religion?

An extra PS: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If Allah does not exist, it does not matter if he "is" good or bad. But in that case: What about Muhammad's tales?

PART VII, CHAPTER 3 (= VII-3-0-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

FROM WHERE DID MUHAMMAD (OR ALLAH?) "BORROW" THE STORIES IN THE QURAN? - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUSLIMS AND ISLAM.

PLAGIARISM IN THE QURAN

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

There is very little in the Quran which is original thinking. Practically all of it is "borrowed" from older times, or from surrounding cultures. The laws mainly are from old Arab traditions, added by some ideas from mainly the Jews, the Christians and Persia. Also ethical and moral ideas came from the same sources - partly originally from Hellas. (But then Islam never really had a philosophy of moral or ethics - they never were interested in knowledge for the sake of knowledge and actively fought against things like philosophy - a war Islam won in 1095 with a book ("The Incoherence of the Philosophers") attacking just philosophy and written by "the second greatest Muslim after Muhammad" Abu Hamid Muhammad ibn Muhammad al-Ghazali (1058 - 1111), actually not an Arab, but from Persia. They instead followed the few and sometimes inhuman orders in the Quran). And the same goes for knowledge and science - mainly from Hellas and Persia and some from India. But actually knowledge and science was in short supply at the times of Muhammad - translation of the old books had started, f. ex. in Persia - a country the Arabs had a lot of contact with, but much of the translation to Arab came much later (from around 820 AD). All the same some of the old knowledge from Greece, Persia and India was known also in Arabia, and was the science the Arabs believed in at the time of Muhammad.

At the times of Muhammad Arabia simply was in a permanent barbaric state with little education except the basic learning - and that mostly for the "better" families - and with the tribes constantly fighting each other in a real anarchism. The only form of art that existed was storytelling and poetry. The country was even more barbaric than the worst places in north Pakistan and Afghanistan and Africa today. (This may be a reason why Islam had success in Arabia after it was changed to a war religion - the Arabs were by culture - or rather by anarchism - warriors by heart because that was they had been brought up to become, and a war religion fitted their not-culture. Barbarism is not a culture, no matter what semi-intellectuals say).

In such situations Muhammad was not to blame for stealing good ideas from the surroundings - actually not to do so would just be stubborn stupidity - like what you see some places even today: Everything from the outside, and especially from the west, is bad, instead of picking the good ideas and leaving the bad ones. Muhammad - or Allah - was wise enough to try to pick the known ideas from where he found them.

But Muhammad was no thinker himself (sorry, but hardly any real scientist today believes the Quran was made by a god - nothing points towards a god, everything towards Muhammad and/or human helpers). And also in the Quran there is little or nothing of original stuff. The stories are all taken from other sources known in Arabia at that time (why should a god do so?)

Much is taken from the Bible, but “adjusted” to fit Muhammad’s teaching, though much of this really is not from the real Bible, but from religious fairy tales and folk tales or from texts made up by different fringe sects - Gnostics f. ex. made up quite a number of “gospels” and other “holy” stories. If we say that Muhammad made up the Quran himself, it is easy to see why he frequently missed here:

1. He did not know much about Jewish religion, until after he came to Medina, and then it was too late.
2. He never knew much about the Christian religion.
3. Perhaps he did not know how to read, and for sure he never had a Bible.
4. There was a myriad of stories and poems also about religious subjects in Arabia - as we said storytelling (and story making) and poetry were the only arts in Arabia, and also one of the few pastimes in such cultures during long evenings.

It often was impossible for him to know what really was a true story and what not.

Besides he had to mix divinity into the stories, if it was not there before - it may as well be there from before, because then an intelligent but rather short of fantasy brain like Muhammad’s only had to name the god behind the stories “Allah“. Actually he used both religious and non-religious stories and changed them enough to fit his teaching.

But as for stories presumably from the Jewish and even more so from the Christian religion some of them were just twisted a little or a lot, whereas others were plainly from made up folk and fairy tales, legends and myths known in Arabia at that time.

We do not comment the places the Quran twists the Bible - most of our readers will recognize them. We only add that in Syria and Arabia there were many from the partly Christian Gnostic sects living, and actually many of the stories pretending to be from the Bible in the Quran, are from made up Gnostic tales. But we will take some of the others - and a couple of Gnostic ones (sources: Among others Slot-Henriksen: Comments on the Quran):

001 Moses and the fish - from Arabic folk tales about search for eternal life. May be originally from Babylon - the Babylonian Ugarit texts has a very similar story about the god El (not unknown in and around Arabia).

002 Solomon listening to the ants - fairy tale perhaps inspired by the Bible, Sol. 6/6.

003 Jinns working for Solomon - from local fairy tales, folk tales and myths (jinns were beings in Arab folklore that are incorporated in Islam). Anyone who has read f. ex. 1001 Nights knows that supernatural beings sometimes worked for humans.

004 Mary working in the temple (in Jerusalem) as child/youth - from "The Proto Gospel after Jacob", part 8,1 and 8,1b.

005 Jesus talking in the crib - from the religious legend "The Egyptian Child Gospel" perhaps via the as made up "The Arab Child Gospel" (originally from Syria) - both fairy tales about fantastic things concerning the child Jesus. "The Arab Child Gospel" also got stories from "The Proto Gospel after Jacob" and "The Thomas' Child Gospel" - both made up religious legends or myths.

006 Jesus making birds from clay - read the heretical Gnostic "Child Gospel after Thomas" verse 1 - 4, a very made up child gospel, and you find it there. (Actually none of the storeys about Jesus in the Quran is reckoned by scientists to be true ones. Most of what is said in the Quran about Christian religion, is from apocryphal - made up - sources. Not unnatural as there f. ex. were many Gnostics and other semi-Christian and semi-Jewish sects in the fringes of Christianity. Arabia definitely were in - and outside - those fringes).

007 Mary and the palm - from the made up religious fable "The Proto Gospel after Mathew", chapter 20.

008 Muhammad's Night Journey to Heaven - read about different such travels in Jewish Merabah mysticism or even more the Gnostic "Enoc's Journy to Heaven" (nearly identical to Ibn Ishaq's by Islam accepted story after Abu Said al-Chudri).

009 The sleepers in the cave - the even today well known religious fable from Ephesus, about the 7 youths that fled from emperor Decius (a real emperor reigning only 2 years around 250 AD) and went to sleep in a cave, and then woke up maximum 196 years later (the Quran says 300 or 309 years) under the more well known emperor Theodosius). Just read it - it normally even is better told than in the Quran.

010 Alexander the Great/Duh'l Quarnayn - anyone knowing the story of Alexander; read this part oft Surah 18 and weep - or laugh. Copycatting at its most naïve. (He f. ex. was no Muslim, he never went west - this we know from history - there no place in the world was enough iron blocks to close off a whole valley around 330 BC, etc.).

011 The story about Ad (many times repeated) - copied from Arab folk tales, presumably a story from more than 2000 years before Muhammad (before Moses (around 1230 BC) according to the Quran), and Muhammad had no written sources. Besides all the other: How big is the chance that a folk tale is true and identical with the reality after 2000 years?

012 The stories about Thamud - copied from Arab presumably 2000 years old folk tales. See the tales about Ad just above.

013 The stories about Median - copied from Arab folk tales.

014 The stories about the jinns - copied from Arab folk tales and legends.

If you look, maybe you will find more copycatting.

015 The 7 heavens. Originally from Greek and Persian wrong astronomy, but quite likely via the Zohar and/or the Hagigah.

016 The 7 hells (7 gates to different parts - and different sinister - of Hell): Likely from the Zohar and/or the Hagigah.

017 2/55-56: Moses and his people: Taken from Talmud (Jewish).

017 2/59: A man died for 100 years and woke up. This is taken from an old Jewish fable well known in Arabia at the time of Muhammad.

018 2/60: 12 springs gushing forth - copied from pre-Islamic legends.

019 2/65: People transformed to apes - copied from a popular Arab legend of those times.

020 2/102: The evil ones. See "The Midrash Yalkut", chapter 44 to find this.

021 2/260: 4 birds cut to pieces come alive and fly to Abraham. This also is taken from an old Jewish fable well known in Arabia at the time of Muhammad.

022 3/35-37: The birth of Mary. Taken from the fanciful book "The Protoevangelion's James the Lesser".

023 5/30-31: The raven and Cain. This is to be found in "The Targum of Jonathan ben Uzziah" and also in "The Targum of Jerusalem". Muhammad "borrowed" from many places.

025 7/73-77: The camel "proof". Taken from an old Arab legend well known in Arabia at the time of Muhammad: A camel came out from a cliff and became a prophet.

026 7/163-166: People transformed to apes - copied from a popular Arab legend of those times.

027 7/171: Mount Sinai is lifted over the Jews. Taken from the Jewish book "Abodah Sarah".

028 18/9-26: The sleepers in the cave - the even today well known religious fable from Ephesus, about the 7 youths that fled from emperor Decius (a real emperor reigning only 2 years around 250 AD) and went to sleep in a cave, and then woke up maximum 196 years later (the Quran says 300 or 309 years) under the more well known emperor Theodosius). Just read it - it normally even is better told than in the Quran. "The 7 sleepers" is a well known Christian and perhaps Greek fable well known also in Arabia at the time of Muhammad.

Some Muslims want it to be inspired from an even older Jewish legend, but that in case does not help the "reliability" of the Quran when it tells this is a true story - which it is not.

029 21/51-71: Abraham delivered from Nimrod's fire. Taken from "Midrash Rabbah". And another point: Abraham and Nimrod did not live at the same time (if they ever lived). Muhammad had a strong tendency to mix persons from different times (f. ex. Haman and Ramses II - some 800 years wrong. Or Mary and Miriam - some 1200 years wrong.)

030 21/96: Gog and Magog - 2 peoples or tribes. Originally this may be from OT which tells about King Gog from the country Magog. But Muhammad seems to have it from Arab (mainly oral) literature - perhaps the "Saba Moallaquat of Imra 'ul Cays".

031 27/17-44: King Solomon and the Queen of Sabah. Taken from the old book "The Second Targum of Esther".

032 29/16: Abraham delivered from Nimrod's fire. Taken from "Midrash Rabbah". And another point: Abraham and Nimrod did not live at the same time (if they ever lived). Muhammad had a strong tendency to mix persons from different times (f. ex. Haman and Ramses II - some 800 years wrong. Or Mary and Miriam - some 1200 years wrong.)

033 29/31: The spider building himself a house. From Arab (mainly oral) literature - also this (and some other places) perhaps from the "Saba Moallaquat of Imra 'ul Cays".

034 35/1: The moon is cleft asunder. Likely from Arab (mainly oral) literature - also this (and some other places) perhaps from the "Saba Moallaquat of Imra 'ul Cays".

035 37/97-98: Abraham delivered from Nimrod's fire. Taken from "Midrash Rabbah". And another point: Abraham and Nimrod did not live at the same time (if they ever lived). Muhammad had a strong tendency to mix persons from different times (f. ex. Haman and Ramses II - some 800 years wrong. Or Mary and Miriam - some 1200 years wrong.)

036 42/17: The scale to weigh the good and the bad deeds. From the made up "The Testament of Abraham".

037 54/27-29: The camel "proof". Taken from an old Arab legend well known in Arabia at the time of Muhammad: A camel came out from a cliff and became a prophet.

038 87/19: The books of Abraham. Taken from the made up book "Testament of Abraham".

039 91/13-14: The camel "proof". Taken from an old Arab legend well known in Arabia at the time of Muhammad: A camel came out from a cliff and became a prophet.

040 101/6-9: The scale to weigh the good and the bad deeds. From "The Testament of Abraham".

MORE MAY BE ADDED.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER VII/2.

Just a few questions:

1. Would an omniscient god need to copy old stories?
 2. Would he in case tell them in much more boring ways than the originals?
 3. Would he in case tell them with historical wrong facts?
 4. If the Quran is only the truth and the full truth; why then is it hardly ever used as source in real science of history? And never for anything that happened before 610 AD !
-

PART VII, CHAPTER 4 (= VII-4-0-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

REQUESTS FOR PROOFS FOR ALLAH IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MYHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

It should be unnecessary to document questions for proofs for Allah in the Quran in a book commenting the Quran. But we find it necessary for two reasons:

1. In order to show that Muslims and non-Muslims alike asked for, wished for, and wanted proofs.
2. To show why Muhammad needed so much fast-talk, and so often to be light-footed and fast-mouthed - because he was unable to produce any proof.

We will not quote too many - you can find more yourself. Also beware that from the texts in the book it is clear that the question about proofs frequently was asked. But no proof was ever given - not then and not in the following 1400 years. Only words - and words are cheap.

001 2/108: "Wouldst ye (Muslims*) question your Messenger (Muhammad*) as Moses was questioned of old?" The Quran says that if it was wrong to ask Moses to show people their god, then it is wrong to ask Muhammad for proofs. Muhammad's logic is not perfect - there is a difference between asking to see a god and asking for proofs of his existence - but it was a way to evade the questions.

002 2/118: “Say these without knowledge (the ones stupid enough to ask questions Allah of course will not answer*): ‘Why speaketh not Allah unto us? Or why cometh not unto us a Sign?’” As said first in this quotation: So say those without knowledge - and who wants to be said to be without knowledge?

003 3/183: “Allah took our promise not to believe in a Messenger unless he showed us a sacrifice consumed by Fire (from heaven)”. The underlying question: Then where is the proof?

004 6/8: “They (people*) say: ‘Why is not an angel sent down to him (to Muhammad to prove his religion*)’”. So many angels that Allah is said in the Quran to send up and down each day (even though it says differently later in this verse - inconsistency), that would be an easy proof.

005 6/37: “They (people*) say: ‘Why is not a Sign sent down to him (Muhammad*) from his Lord (Allah*)?’” Many questions and requests - not one single unmistakeable sign. But lots of fast-talk.

006 8/32: “- - - they said: ‘O, Allah if this is indeed the truth from Thee, rain down on us a shower of stones from the sky or send us a grievous Penalty’”. No doubt that proofs really were needed and would have had effect - but never an answer.

007 10/20: “Why is not a Sign sent down to him (Muhammad*)”. Well, that really is a question.

008 13/27: “The Unbelievers say: ‘Why is not a Sign sent down to him (Muhammad*) from his Lord (Allah*)?’” Signs were dearly needed and would clearly have meant something - but Muhammad was unable to deliver one. Did not Allah want? Or was Muhammad not really his prophet? Or was Allah a fiction? Who knows as long as nothing is proved? - it is possible to believe, especially if one wants to, but there is no knowledge without a proof.

009 14/10: “Ye (Muhammad*) wish to turn us (pagan Arabs*) away from the (gods) our fathers used to worship: then bring us some clear authority.” These pagans did not say no - they asked for proof. Proofs would have made an impression, but like always Muhammad could prove nothing. But no doubt: People asked for proofs.

010 17/90-93: “They (non-Muslims*) say: ‘We shall not believe in thee (Muhammad*), until thou cause a spring to gush forth for us from the earth (or give us some other proof*)’”. Strong requests - and a positive answer would have had effect. But there never was any proof for anything - not for Allah, not for Gabriel, not for being a prophet, not for Islam.

011 In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.

POSTE SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER VII/4.

This should be plenty for showing that there really were requests for proofs - you can find more such ones by reading the Quran yourself.

But Muhammad was never able to deliver.

1. Did not Allah want to prove his existence?
2. Did not Allah care enough about humans to save the ones who would have reacted to a proof?
3. Was Allah unable to prove anything?
4. Was Muhammad not really a prophet of Allah? - perhaps an impostor or a cheat?
5. Was Muhammad really no prophet at all and the Quran made up?

Who knows?

All the mistakes and even more the contradictions and the invalid statements, “signs” and “proofs” give us a bad feeling.

PART VII, CHAPTER 5 (= VII-5-0-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

NATURAL PHENOMENA (DIS)USED AS (INVALID) "SIGNS" AND "PROOFS" IN THE QURAN

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

When reading the Quran, one is stricken by its use of natural phenomena as “signs” or “proofs” for Allah. Beware that in the Quran “sign” often is synonymous with “proof” or at least “strong indication”. What really strikes you is that not a single of them is a valid proof of any god a (with the possible exception of some taken from the Bible, which in case ptrove Yahweh), and even less of Allah.

To be a valid proof of a god, religion first have to prove that the phenomenon really is made or caused by a deity - but till the date of today not one single such proof has been produced, though many religions tries to say so (again with the possible exception of some in the Bible - if the Bible is true, there are proofs for Yahweh and for Jesus, if it is made up, there are no valid proofs).

And if such a proof should one day be brought forward, there still remains to prove that the real creator or god is Allah.

Until then any priest in any religion can say just the same as the Quran: Shiva did this, Iblis did that, Jupiter created, Thor divided, Baal makes the sun rise in the east - Allah can not make it rise in the west, ergo Baal is the real god and Allah an impostor or something made up. Words are that cheap. Without real proofs, they are utterly without value.

Yes, worse: No god would use invalid “signs” and “proofs” - that is the hallmark of cheaters and deceivers. Such use simply destroys the credibility of any god trying to cheat in that way, when he is found out.

This even more so as it had been as easy for the god to tell the truth - - and in that way the future had found proofs for his existence by discovering that what the god told before any human had found out, was true - any omniscient god had known this and had no reason for not doing this when asked.

Anyone using his brain and not only wishful explaining away knows this to be true.

But it is strange how difficult it is to face facts - or to face ones beliefs and ask if they are true. It is much easier to deny facts than to ask: Is my religion based on superstition and fairy tales - not to mention demon tales? It is much better and easier to be sure and “safe” in the old ways, true or not, than to have to - or have the chance to - look for the real and true religion (if such one exists).

It is worth knowing that science has found that a small percentage of the people have an inbred - in the genes - longing for the supernatural - for a god. And perhaps that is all there is to it: Some people need a god and invent one. Then in some cases the belief spreads, an organized belief or church grows mighty - and voila: There is on earth at least a mighty god - and his messengers - that people have to give riches to and to obey.

Such an explanation can well explain a “god” making lots of mistakes and using invalid “proofs” and “signs” and statements.

Another possibility is that a devil posed as Gabriel. With that kind of ethics and lust for war, suppression and terror like in Islam, that is much more likely explanation than that there is a good, benevolent god behind it all. But we personally do not believe this - even a devil would not have used such obviously wrong and stupid mistakes, statements and proofs, because he had to know he sooner or later would be found out and lose his credibility.

What is absolutely sure is that an omniscient god would not make such and so many stupid mistakes - and that consequently Allah either is not omniscient or is a made up god.

And another thing that also is sure: If Muhammad made up the Quran; he had one heck of a success and a glorious life with power, women and plenty. But in that case Muhammad also is the worst demon ever to have lived on Earth - worse than Djingis Khan, worse than Hitler, worse than Mao, worse than Pol Pot just to mention some dwarfs in comparison - for all the death and misery he has created on Earth through nearly 1400 hundred years, compared to the few years the “dwarfs” terrorised parts of the world.

We quote just some of the claims and statements the Quran mentions without proofs - there are a lot more. But we mention the better part of the places where natural phenomena are used as “signs”, “proofs” etc. without any proof for that it really is Allah who is behind it - mostly the statements are just loose statements hanging on nothing, or they are built on other unproved facts. NOT ONE SINGLE proves anything about Allah. You will meet Muslims trying to “prove” things - use your own knowledge and brain to judge. (Actually it is impossible for humans to prove a god - that only a god can do - but Muhammad needed arguments and was unable to produce real proofs, so he tried.) And do you think a god had used invalid proofs - proofs he had to know man would sooner or later look through and the god lose his credibility because of bluffing?

At least all statements tried to be used as “signs”, “proofs”, etc. - the ones we number with numbers - adds to the number of mistaken “facts” in chapter II, because they are tried to be used or disused as facts.

Quotations numbered by letters are loose - and invalid - statements, whereas quotations numbered by numbers are invalid signs (which in the Quran just is a softer word for proof) or similar, and numbers in addition marked + are invalid so-called proofs. Quotations numbered 000 are relevant, but outside the theme.

00a 2/21: “(Allah*) created you - - -” Reality shows that you exist. But the rest is an unproven statement.

00b 2/22: “(Allah*) has made the earth - - -”. The Earth is there, but nothing is proved about who made it - it just is part of nature.

00c 2/22: “(Allah*) made - - - the heavens (plural and wrong*) your canopy - - -”. The heaven just is a natural illusion made up by the bending of light - it is not even a canopy.

00d 2/22: “(Allah*) brought forth therewith fruits for your sustenance - - -”. Nature brings forth fruits - but nowhere it shows that a god - not to mention Allah - is involved.

00e 2/28: “(Allah*) will cause you to die - - -”. To die is a natural process - unless Islam proves anything else.

00f 2/29: “(Allah*) hath created for you all things that are on earth - - -”. All things on Earth are either natural or manmade - there is no proof for it being made by a god, and thus it proves no god - not to mention Allah.

001 2/164a: “In the creation of the heaven - - - are Signs for a people that are wise.” Wrong. Without a proof for that it really was the work of Allah, the sign is invalid. And about some flattery of the reader - see 2/164c below.

002 2/164b: “- - - in the alternation of the night and the day - - - indeed are Signs for a people that are wise”. Wrong. That alternation is a natural effect of the rotation of the Earth. It is no sign of Allah - or any other god - unless Islam first proves that the shining of the sun and the rotation of the Earth are initiated by Allah. Proves, not just states or claims, because words and statements are ever so cheap, and can be used by any priest, any “prophet” and any “god” - yes, by any believer.

003 2/164c: “- - - in the sailing of the ships across the ocean - - - indeed are Signs for a people that are wise.” Wrong - and indeed no god ever built a ship, and no god ever proved he or she made the winds - though “prophets” of some gods said the first and many - included Muhammad - said the last. Words are cheap also for prophets. Pepped up by some flattery of the listener/reader; - he is wise if he believes! The reality is that he is naive if he believes without documentation.

004 2/164d: “- - - in the rain which Allah sends down from the skies - - - are Signs for a people that are wise.” It had been - if Allah or Muhammad or Islam had proved it really was Muhammad that did it. Rain is a very simple phenomenon made by evaporation and condensation - and no god ever proved he makes it. Some flattery added - see 2/164c just above.

005 2/164e: “- - - in the beasts of all kinds that He (Allah*) scatters through the earth - - - indeed are Signs for a people that are wise.” Indeed, this people from different religions have tried for x years to prove what their god (s) - not just Allah - did. Till this day none has proved one iota of it - perhaps with the exception of in the Bible - - - if it is true. Included some flattery - see 2/164c above.

006 2/164f: “- - - in the change of the winds - - - are Signs for a people who are wise.” Actually it is no bad slogan - who wants to admit he is not wise? But it is all just and only words. Where are the proofs? Change of the winds is very natural - deriving from differences in the air pressure, temperatures, and from hindrances - but it costs nothing to say “my god did it”. Flattery added - see 2/164 above.

007 2/164g: “- - - (in) the clouds which they (the winds*) trail like their slaves between the sky and the earth - (here) indeed are Signs for a people that are wise”. And here also are possibilities to bluff naïve, uneducated people - especially if they are susceptible to flattering. Or if they are blind from religion and wishful thinking. But bluff is one of the hallmarks of deceivers and cheaters. Added some flattery – it often works (see 2/164c above).

00g 2/172: “Eat of the good things that We (Allah) have provided for you - - -”. In many religions their god(s) provide(s) the ways of producing the food, but no god or priest or prophet has ever proved the claim. Neither does Muhammad. And why should Allah be more reliable than other gods - he was asked for proofs, but was unable to give anything but fast words - - - he has not even proved his existence, which would be very easy for him to do. Did Muhammad create the Quran?

008 2/258: “But it is Allah that causeth the sun to rise from the east - - -”. Abraham is said to use this as a (also for other reasons totally invalid) proof for Allah. But for one thing it is not the sun that rises, but the Earth that turns. For another thing this is a totally natural process that in no way is proved made by a god - not to mention by Allah. But any priest of Baal - or others - could say as much.

00h 2/267: “- - -the fruits which We (Allah*) have produced for you - - -”. A statement hanging in the air from lack of proofs.

00i 2/269: “He (Allah*) granteth wisdom to whom He pleaseth - - -”. Wisdom is a result of genes/intelligence and knowledge. Many gods say they provided it - not one single of them has proved it till this date. Words and loose statements are much cheaper than proofs.

00j 3/6: “He (Allah) is it Who shapes you in the womb as He pleases.” Conception is a most natural process - one that even Muslims like very much to indulge in, sometimes whether the woman is willing or not - and if the woman is your slave or prisoner, rape is a right, “just and god”, for you - just ask Muhammad, who according to Islam (among others Ibn Ishaq) practised that himself - f. ex. Raihana bint Amr and - at nearly 60 himself - the 17 year old Safijja, just after he had tortured her husband to death (for Safijja one of his men, Abu Ayub, waited outside in case she should resist so much that it became dangerous for Muhammad and he needed help - but he managed the rape without help). No god ever proved he makes the conceptions - or initiated the natural processes.

00k 3/27: “Thou (Allah) causest the Night to gain on the Day, and Thou causest Day to gain on the Night - - -”. This really is caused by the sun and the rotation of the Earth. No god ever proved differently. But as usual: Words and lose statement cost nothing - a fact that is good for all cheats and deceivers.

00l 3/27: “Thou (Allah*) gives sustenance to whom Thou pleases - - -”. Perhaps - but many gods say the same, and like them neither Allah nor Muhammad ever proved one single millimetre.

009 3/190a: “Behold, in the creation of the heavens (plural and wrong) - - - there are indeed Signs for men of understanding - - -”. The heaven is an optical illusion caused by natural refraction (bending of the sunlight) by day, and by our inability to see in 3 dimensions at too long distances without references, at night. There is nothing but boasting, until Islam proves that Allah really did it. Flattery is cheap – but often works (see 2/164c above and 3/190b below).

010 3/190b: “- - - (and in) the alternation of Night and Day, there are indeed Signs for men of understanding - - -”. Who - especially of uneducated people - does not like to hear they are persons of understanding? - or are reluctant to be classified as one of not understanding? But all the same: No god has anything to do with night and day unless the opposite is proved - and statements of the opposite need documentation. Add some flattery – it is cheap and often works well, especially if the audience consists of a little naïve persons.

00m 5/88: “Eat the things which Allah hath provided for you - - -”. A lose statement only built on the unproven presumption that Allah really has provided it, and not nature itself.

00n 6/1: “(Allah*) created the heavens (plural and wrong - like at least 199 places in the Quran) - - -”. See 2/22.

00o 6/1: “(Allah*) created - - - the earth - - -”. See 2/22.

00p 6/2: “(Allah) created you from clay - - -”. For one thing science has long since found out that man was not created - he developed naturally from former primates. But any “prophet” or lowly priest in nearly any religion gives their god(s) the credit for creating man - just like Muhammad. A strong statement needs a strong proof, it is said. Muhammad never offered any proof, only words so cheap that they have no value as proofs - especially as it was just parroting what most “prophets” say: “My god made it”. Besides: Allah according to the Quran created Adam in 13 different ways if you count them all - maximum 1 could be right as there could be only one Adam, and science has found that all are wrong.

011 6/59: “Not a leaf doth fall but with his knowledge - - -”. (A sign according to 6/97-99). Well, then he is very busy with what are not even trifles. But that aside: Any leaf fall sooner or later - that is according to nature. No god has ever proved he - or she - causes it.

*012 6/95: “It is Allah Who causeth the seed grain and the date stone to split and sprout.” (A sign according to 6/97-99). Once more a natural phenomenon - or two - that Muhammad gave Allah the credit for, without one single proof. It is impressive to give a god so much credit - - - especially if the audience is uneducated and naïve enough to believe without proof, or really in spite of invalid proofs. Easy victims for cheaters and deceivers f. ex.

**013 6/97: “It is He (Allah*) Who maketh the stars (as beacons) for you - - -” - and fastened to the lowest heaven, as we know from other places in the Quran - and also used as shooting stars to chase away bad spirits, according to the same book. That the stars are lights and weapons fastened to the lowest heavens - below the moon according to the astronomy of that time - destroys the credibility of Muhammad concerning this unproven statement about a natural phenomenon like the stars. Even worse: It is not just a statement; it is a sign for Allah - invalid like all the others.

014 6/98: “It is He (Allah*) Who hath produced you from a single person - - -”. According to science it takes two to make a baby. But that aside: Where is the proof for that any god - not to mention Allah - is involved at all? One more invalid sign.

015 6/99a: “It is He (Allah*) Who sendeth down rain from the skies - - - in these things there are Signs for people who believe”. Yes, but only if Islam proves it really is Allah who causes it or if they believe blindly and has no education about how the water cycle works - without any traces from an involved god and absolutely no sign specific for Allah. Flattery added is cheap oil.

**016 6/99b: “- - - with it (rain) We (Allah*) produce vegetation of all kinds - - - in these things there are Signs for people who believe.” Well vegetation grows no matter in the nature. We only have the words of one single man for that Allah is involved - a man who Islam itself admits is a highwayman, an extorter, an assassin of opponents, a mass murderer of helpless prisoners, a womaniser, a rapist, a breaker of his words (f. ex. by killing 29 men - 1 got away - he had promised safe conduct), an enslaver en masse, etc., aspiring for power - all in all a perfect and absolutely reliable witness according to himself and to Islam. And not to mention his slogan according to Ibn Ishaq: “War is betrayal” - betrayal and even breaking of one's oaths was ok. Well, flattery feels good at least – especially for people naïve enough to believe everything they want to believe.

017 6/99c: “- - - from some We (Allah*) produce green (crops) - - - in these there are Signs for people who believe”. See the 6/99a and 6/99b above.

018 6/99d: “- - - out of which (green crops*) We (Allah*) produce grain - - - in these there are Signs for people who believe”. See 6/99a and 6/99b above. Flattery is cheap.

019 6/99e: “- - - out of the date palm and its sheaths (or spathes) (come) clusters of dates hanging low and near: and (then there are) gardens of grapes, and olives, and pomegranates, each similar (in kind) yet different (in variety) - - - in these things are Signs for people who believe”. See the others from 6/99 just above.

00q 6/101a: “To him (Allah*) is due the primal origin (= creation*) of the heavens (plural and wrong*) - - -”. Proof?

00r 6/101b: “To him (Allah*) is due the primal origin (= creation*) of - - - the earth”. Proof?

*00s 6/136: “Out of what Allah hath produced in abundance in tilth and in cattle - - -”. One more a loose statement just hanging in the air for want of proof or documentation. It is not strange that Islam glorifies blind belief without proofs, or tells that it is primitive not to be able to see from the texts in the Quran that it is from a god, in spite of all mistakes and invalid “signs” and “proofs” and lose statements - hallmarks of cheats and deceivers and manipulators of men - and as primitive to ask for proofs. Even though what really is primitive is to believe anything and everything without proofs - primitive and naïve.

00t 6/141a: “It is He (Allah*) Who produceth gardens - - -”. Proofs? Documents?

00u 6/141b: “It is He (Allah*) Who produceth - - - tilth with produce of all kinds - - -”. Profs?

**020 7/54 + 58: “- - - Allah, Who created the heavens (plural and wrong - in part of 6 days*) - - - thus do We explain the Signs - - -”. A wonderful symbol “borrowed” from the nature and even so with no less than 2 serious mistakes - really a glorious proof for Allah. A nice “sign” and explanation. See 7/190.

021 7/54 + 58: “- - -Allah, who created - - - the earth in (part of) six days - - - thus do We explain the Signs - - -”. Nearly as beautiful a wrong proof of Allah as the one just above - creation of Earth took millions of years, and there is no trace of Allah or any other god neither in the sun, nor in the Earth. Oh, we know many religions included Islam are reluctant to admit it, but till date there is not one single valid proof. A nice “sign” and a nice explanation. But invalid.

**022 7/54 + 58: “He (Allah*) drawet the night as a veil o’er the day - - - thus do We explain”. A new wonderful wrong proof of Allah from nature: The night can in no scientific way be described as a veil, as the night is simply nothing - it only is absence of light. If you really want to be specific, in addition Muhammad has gotten it all totally wrong - it is the daylight that rules over the night, not the other way round. Another nice “sign” - not to mention the “explanation”.

023 7/54 + 58: “He (Allah*) created the sun - - - thus do We explain the Signs - - -”. Proofs, please - if not we believe the sun is from nature.

024 7/54 + 58: “He (Allah*) created - - - the moon - - - thus do We explain the Signs - - -”. See the one just above.

025 7/54 + 58: “He (Allah*) created - - - the stars - - - thus do We explain the Signs - - -” See the 2 just above. In this case even more so, as the stars are NOT fastened to the lowest heaven, and not identical to shooting stars like the Quran tells in another verse.

026 7/54 + 58: “- - - (all cosmos is*) governed by laws under his Command - - - thus do we explain the Signs - - -”. All gods like to say that, and it is very easy to say. Well, words and statements costs nothing more than a big mouth - where are proofs for that Allah really initiated this “sign” for Allah?

027 7/57-58: "It is He (Allah*) Who sendeth the winds - - - thus do We explain the Signs - - -". As this is a natural phenomenon moved by air pressure and temperature - which Muhammad did not know - we will like Islam to produce proofs if they say Allah does it.

028 7/57-58: "- - - We (Allah*) drive them (clouds) to a land that is dead - - - thus do We explain the Signs - - -". The winds do that. See the unproven "sign" just above.

029 7/57-58: "- - - (Allah) make rain to descend - - - thus do we explain the Signs - - -". Easy words, words - only words. It would be impressive if Allah made rain in the desert - but did he? - or did nature? - or perhaps another - a real god - if Allah is invented, because NOTHING ever proved Allah.

030 7/57-58: "- - - (Allah*) produce every kind of harvest (with rain*) - - -thus do we explain the Signs - - -". Normally this is produced from roots and seeds + earth and water. If Allah demands the credit, Islam should prove it with more than just words - especially since they themselves demand proofs from everyone else for everything.

031 7/58: "From the land that is clean and good, by the will of its Cherisher (Allah*), springs up produce - - -". It is easy to take credit for all and everything, when you evade all questions about proofs.

00v 7/158a: "- - - it is He (Allah*) that giveth - - - life - - -". A very nice thing to claim credit for - and claims come easy if you never have to prove it.

00w 7/158b: "- - - it is He (Allah*) that giveth - - - death - - -". Another natural event to claim credit for - true or not.

032 10/4a: "It is He (Allah) who made the sun to be a shining glory - - - (Thus) doth He explain His Signs in detail, for those who understand". Those who understand know that the sun is a shining glory because of radioactive activity inside it - a fact Muhammad did not know. If Islam insists it is Allah - not just a god, but Allah - who causes it, they will have to prove it, not just use megalomaniac, but lose statements. Added flattery often gives good effect for cheap money when talking to uneducated, naive listeners.

033 10/4b: "It is He (Allah*) who made - - - the moon to be a light (of beauty) - - - (Thus) doth He explain His Signs in detail, for those who understand". In this case those who understand understands that it is the sun that makes the moon shine (beautifully or not), as the moon is no light (it only reflects sunlight. If Allah - or any god - is involved, it has never been proved. But it is a nice thing for any priest of any religion to claim responsibility for, of course. That is to say: As long as you do not have to prove it. Muhammad also knew the value of flattery. Also beware of another thing here: You will meet the claim that the Quran one or two places tells the moon shine with reflected light. But not one single place in the whole Quran will you find an Arab word that means "reflected" or something similar. The claim simply is an "al-Taqiyya" - a lawful lie - to defend the religion and claim that it knew that moonlight was reflected sunshine. An easy bluff as most people does not know enough Arab to see that it is a bluff.

034 10/6a: "Verily, it the alteration of Night and Day - - - are Signs for those who fear Him (Allah*)." It had perhaps been, if it was not because we know that it is all made by nature, and

we have never - not anywhere - found a proof for Allah's involvement. That also is the reason why Islam glorifies blind belief - there is nothing but blind belief behind the religion.

035 10/6b: "- - - in all that Allah has created - - - are Signs for those who fear Him". First Muhammad and Islam have to prove Allah has created anything at all - there is till now not a single proof for his creation of even a virus, or an atom - even if Muhammad got the question several times. He only had fast talk and childlike boasting ("if Allah willed - - -") for an answer.

036 10/6c: "- - -in the (creation or existence of) heavens (plural and wrong*) - - - are Signs for those who fear Him." Some sign, as science has long ago found that the Quran's picture of the heaven is totally and utterly wrong. Bad business in the long run to claim credit for that natural phenomenon.

037 10/6d: "- - - in the (creation or existence of*) the earth - - - are Signs for those who fear Him." Even when it comes to a thing as close as Earth, Muhammad - and Islam - was and is unable to produce any proofs - only big, cheap claims.

00x 10/34: "Say: 'It is Allah Who originates creation and repeats it - - -'". That is very easy to say - why not for once try to prove it is Allah and not nature? It costs nothing to just say something. Any priest in any religion can say just the same about his god(s) - just as cheaply.

038 10/67a: "He (Allah*) is it that hath made you the Night - - - Verily in this are Signs for those who listen." Proof?

039 10/67b: "He (Allah) is it that hath made you the Night that ye may rest therein - - - Verily in this are Signs for those who listen - - -". What is the value of a loose claim or statement of signs without value because they are not proved? Both night and sleep - and even day - are natural phenomenon occurring all the time. Of course it is nice to claim credit for it - but you have to be pretty naïve and primitive to believe it without even a reasonable indication for its being true.

040 10/67c: "He (Allah*) is it that hath made you - - - the Day - - -". About as well proved as 10/67b just above - see that one.

041 13/2: "Allah is He who raised the heavens (plural and wrong) without any pillars that we can see (= invisible pillars*) - - - (Allah is*) explaining the Signs in detail - - -". As said before: There is not the ghost of a proof for that Allah - or any god - had anything with the creation of heaven to do. But it is a bit special to talk about pillars one cannot see, when there exist not even invisible pillars, and even more so: There is no need for pillars, as the heaven as we see it just is an optical illusion. (Muhammad believed the heaven(s) was/were made from something material, and then of course they/it would fall down without pillars). But it seemed - in double meaning - a nice natural phenomenon to claim credit for perhaps. Not a good choice for a "sign" for Allah: Totally wrong.

042 13/2: "He (Allah*) has subjected the sun and the moon (to his law!) - - - explaining the Signs in detail - - -". Natural laws are integrated parts of the nature, until a god proves that is wrong. No god or prophet has ever proved that - it remains to be seen if Islam is able to do so.

043 13/3: “And He (Allah) it is Who spread out the earth - - - verily in these things there are Signs for those who consider”. Allah or the nature - most likely the nature, because the nature has made the Earth round, whereas Muhammad/the Quran believes it is flat. Verily we do consider that to make such a mistake makes it a very suspect “sign” for Allah.

044 13/2: “(Allah set on the Earth*) mountains standing firm - - - verily in these things are Signs for those who consider”. Mountains are not set, but grow - and they grow from tectonic or volcanic activity, not from the work of a god, unless a god proves this wrong.

045 13/2: “He (Allah*) draweth the Night as a veil o’er the Day - - - verily in these things are Signs for those who consider.” See 7/54 + 58. Besides: The night just is lack of light. Lack of something cannot be a veil.

046 13/3: “- - - yet some of them (fruits, etc., etc.*) We (Allah*) make more excellent than others to eat. Behold, verily in those things there are Signs for those who understand!” At least it is very easy to understand that Allah/Muhammad takes credit for a lot of natural things that happens by itself in nature, fields and orchards without proving that he has even one finger in the game. Flattery is among the cheapest of means to influence and cheat people – as cheap as lies and invalid “signs” and “profs”, and easier to use, as it needs less thinking for the cheater.

00y 13/12: “It is He (Allah*) Who doth show you the lightning - - -”. An impressive natural phenomenon that many gods like to be the masters of - but Allah proves not any more than the other pretenders, like f. ex. Thor (the Old Norse god of war - like Islam the religion of the old vikings was a religion of war).

***00z 15/16: “It is We (Allah*) Who have set out the Zodiacal signs in the heavens (plural and wrong*)”. Actually we think there is nothing in the universe that Muhammad knew about, that Allah does not claim credit for. Only a pity he was limited to what Muhammad believed he knew - which produces extra doubt when things on top of lack of proofs, sometimes are really wrong.

On thinking it over: It really is strange that Allah makes no claims of credit for things Muhammad did not know about - in Muhammad’s and Islam’s future that would have made elegant proofs for Allah. There are none - no true foretelling at all and also no scientific or other facts that was unknown at the time of Muhammad, which would have proved Allah later. (This in stark contradiction to such claims from lay Muslims. But check those claims - til now each and every of them have been wrong. And another curious fact: You meet those claims from lay Muslims without much education, and you meet them in media meant for lay Muslims or sometimes for non-Muslims without too much education - often run by educated Muslims, but aiming at "the masses". You seldom find it in media meant for really educated persons. Strange, don't you think so?)

0ab 16/5: “And cattle He (Allah) has created for you (men) - - -”. Cattle were not created for man - they were wild animals “created” for wild life, that man tamed, some 15000 years ago the first ones. Actually they were not even created, but developed from earlier animals. What happens when a god takes credit for good things and man later discovers it is not true? - especially when the god and his representatives are able to produce only fast talk instead of proofs?

Oac 16/8: “And (He (Allah*)) has created horses, mules, and donkeys - - - “. See 16/5 just above.

047 16/10-11: “It is He (Allah*) Who sends down rain from the sky - - - verily in this is a sign for those who give thought”. Giving it some thoughts, we find this is part of the water cycle in the nature - if no god proves something else, which neither Allah nor any other has done till now. Like many other places: A small sentence of flattery of the reader in the end.

048 16/10-11: “- - - out of it (rain) (grows) the vegetation on which ye feed your cattle. - - - verily in this is a Sign for those who give thought.” Giving it thought, we understand that this is just what nature is like - and that Allah has not proved it is his work - even though Muhammad said so - but only said so. And some more flattery of the reader.

049 16/11: “With it (rain) He (Allah*) produce for you corn, olives, date palms, grapes and every kind of fruit: verily in this is a Sign for those who give thought”. Still giving it a thought, we think it is much easier to claim credit for natural processes than to prove one is even involved. At least Muhammad or Allah has proved nothing, except may be that Allah is a naïve god using signs without value, as everything just rests on words and nothing. And some “signs” and “proofs” even are wrong. And flattery of the reader added like so many other places.

050 16/13: “And the things on earth which He (Allah*) has multiplied - - - verily this is a Sign - - -”. Nothing is a real sign or proof as long as it just is claiming credit for what happens in the nature quite naturally - and even more so when the only proofs for the claims are lofty words built on nothing. These kinds of proofs are invalid unless it is first proved that Allah really has done what is claimed.

Oad 16/14: “It is He Who has made the sea subject - - -”. Also the sea Muhammad claims - without anything beside empty words any priest in any religion can use for a proof for his pantheon.

*0ae 16/48: “- - - Allah’s creations, (even) among (inanimate) things - - - their (very) shadows turn round, from the right to the left, prostrating themselves to Allah - - -”. Believe it or not: Even shadows pray to Allah - even though shadows just are lack of light = literally and utterly nothing.

051 16/66: “In cattle (too) will ye find an instructive Sign (because they produce milk*)”. The natural way for cattle to feed their babies is a sign for Allah, according to Muhammad. Well, is Allah involved, or is it a trick made by the nature? Of course it is easy to say it is from Allah - but a god who is unable to prove anything - anything at all - sooner or later loses credibility. It sounds more like bluffing. Was Muhammad just an intelligent cheater and deceiver who had found the same way to comfort and power like some other initiators of sects or religions? - there have been a number of them throughout the times, though most of them just with local success, f. ex. in USA and Africa.

**052 16/67: “Also from the fruit of the date-palm and the wine, ye get out wholesome (!!*) drink and food; behold, in this also is a Sign for those who are wise”. In addition to claiming credit for one more sector of nature - the making of alcohol - for Allah, it is somewhat funny to see that this (wine) here (written in 622 AD) is told to be a wholesome drink, whereas alcohol later is so bad that it is totally prohibited in Islam. What did Allah not know in 622

AD? All the same the Quran and Islam and Muslims claim there are nothing inconsequent in the Quran - nothing. Are we wise enough to see that this is inconsequent anyhow? - and that it is far from the only place in the Quran. Muhammad used a lot of flattery – it gived good result for cheap money. Who does not like to hear he is wise? – especially the naïve ones.

0af 16/68: “And thy Lord (Allah*) taught the Bee to build its cells in hills, on trees and in (man’s) habitations - - -”. The bee is at very least 50 million years, and most likely more than 200 million years old. It has had plenty of time to develop ways of living and dwelling itself, just by adapting to nature. It is so incredibly easy to claim anything you see and anything that comes to your mind - claim it for the honour and credit of your real or invented god, as long as you shy away from proving anything, and your audience accepts your fast words - something that may be possible when your listeners are uneducated and naïve, or if they are in a religious fervour and/or ditto brainwashed. Not to mention if all these conditions are present - the most intense religious fanatics in Islam (and other religions) you find among the lower intelligent or not too educated ones, and among educated ones with a one-tracked mind - one-tracked by nature or nurture.

0ag 16/72: “And Allah has made for you mates - - -”. To be a bit flippanant: Some men and many women think their mate is not from a god, but from a devil. But at least: Let us see Islam’s proof for this being true.

0ah 16/78: “- - - He (Allah) gave you hearing and sight and intelligence and affection - - -”. In that case Allah was an early riser - science has identified these traits hundreds of millions years ago - and a slow worker - as it took far more than 500 million years from early animals in the Ediacarian periode some 600 million (635 - 542 million) years ago in late Pre-Cambrium (or some 3,5 billion years if you recon from the very first traces of life) to produce human intelligence. But no one in Arabia in 630 AD had any chance to disclaim such a claim: Muhammad tells it - and a nice and reliable robber like him, of course spoke only the truth! (Sometimes Muslims tell you Muhammad was a man of his times - hard and brutal times - and no worse than other chieftains and war lords in Arabia. They may be right, but he definitely neither was any better - and he pretended (?) to represent a benevolent god. (It f. ex. is impossible to compare him and Jesus - they are many worlds apart in teachings, ethics and morality - and not to mention empathy)).

*053 16/79: “- - - look at the birds - - - Nothing holds them up (but the power of) Allah. Verily in this are Signs for those who believe.” Well, well, well. Muhammad is unlucky enough to use the expression “the power of Allah” instead of f. ex. the wish or the decision or the laws of Allah - the words “power of Allah” are more explicit and then more difficult to explain away. It is not the power of Allah but the power of the air - the laws of aerodynamics - that keeps them up there. What does a god do when he uses a stupid and wrong sign? - something Muhammad could not know, but that any omniscient god had known this from the very beginning.

0ai 16/81: “It is Allah Who made - - - things to give you shade - - -”. Well, well, well once more. Shade is just lack of (sun)light. With a few exceptions it is impossible for nature to bring forth anything without it has to make shade for anything behind it if there is light. But all the same Allah has made shades for you. Proofs? Proofs?

0aj 22/65: “He (Allah) withholds the sky (rain) from falling on the earth except by His leave.” Also this nature can manage extremely well without help. If there is not enough

moisture in the air relative to the temperature to approximately reach the so-called dew point, or if there are no - or not enough - dust/kernels for condensation of raindrops, there will be no rain. But this was something the congregation of Muhammad did not know, and in addition they were naïve and little educated and perhaps a little brainwashed and primitive - easy to “take for a ride” without any proofs, just accepting fast talk. Besides: Is it really rain and not pieces of the heaven the book talks about? - the word (rain) seems to be inserted by the translator.

Oak 23/79: “And He (Allah*) has multiplied you through the earth - - -”. To say the least of it: That is something the nature and we have managed ourselves absolutely without any help - and with pleasure. Proofs?

Oal 26/7: “Do they (non-Muslims*) not look at the earth - how many noble things of all kinds We (Allah*) have produced therein?” Yes, we see a lot of noble things - and also a lot of ignoble and terrible things, like Darfur, women’s and non-Muslims’ life in some Muslim areas, terrorists, catastrophes, etc - in the world. But we see no proof of any god - or at least not of a god that has proved he is Allah.

**Oam 27/61: “(Allah*) has made the earth firm to live in, made rivers in its midst; set thereon mountains immovable - - -”. All of this nature manages very well itself. The only things that are proved about Allah because of this or other natural phenomena are that the Quran likes to give Allah credit for everything, and that it is not able to prove anything.

Would an omniscient god establish all his credibility on loose words, invalid and even wrong signs, contradictions, and as invalid and wrong proofs? - things he had to know would backfire the day humans started to find out things?

054 27/86: “See they not that We (Allah*) have made the Night for them (non-Muslims/humans*) to rest in - - - Verily, in this are signs for any people that believe”. Muhammad got this 180 degrees wrong. Animals active in daytime have adapted to the periods of darkness by using it for inactivity (whereas animals active at night use the periods of light for inactivity) - it is not the other way round. Also if we had had permanent light through hundreds of millions of years, life had adapted to that and to the possibility of permanent ability to do things - making humans more efficient and saving them from the extra dangers found in the darkness. This also goes for bodily and mental processes now taking place at night.

And still we miss even a grain of proofs for that Allah is involved.

*Oan 29/20: “Travel through the earth and see how Allah did originate creation - - -”. We have travelled through a good part of the Earth. We have seen a lot of nature and culture - even seen and heard about some things worth a god (and some worth a devil - like war and terrorism). But we have seen or heard no proof of a god - and absolutely no proof of Allah, with the possible exception that such a gruesome and warlike god - in spite of all words about kindness and benevolence - may be the explanation of some horrific actions.

055 30/24: “(Allah*) gives life to the earth (by means of water*) after it is dead: verily, in that are Signs for those who are wise”. As soon as dry earth gets water and the other necessities, roots and seeds automatically start growing - god or no god. There is no proof in this for

anything but that nature is resilient. Anyone who is wise will demand proofs before believing anything else. And again some flattery of the reader at the end.

0ao 35/39: “He (Allah*) is it That has made you inheritors in the earth - - -”. Can anyone - Muslim or not - explain us where else earthlings without space travel could live, except in and on Earth?

*0ap 36/36. “Glory to Allah, Who created in pairs all things that the earth produces - - -”. All things that the Earth produces is produced by nature and not by Allah it seems. A proof for this is that nature knows that no one-celled and not all multi celled living entities are made in pairs. Whereas neither Muhammad, nor the Quran, nor Allah knows this according to this verse.

***0aq 37/6: “We (Allah*) have indeed decked the lower heaven with - - - stars - - -”. The nature has produced billions of stars - of which man with his naked eyes is able to see 6000-7000. But in no way he used them to deck a non-existing lower heaven (below the moon according to the astronomy of that time - - - and to the Quran as the moon is "between the heavens") - and neither do nature use the stars as weapons (shooting stars) to chase away evil spirits of jinns like in the Quran, next verse (37/7). Is this a proof for that nature knows what it is doing, whereas Muhammad was bluffing?

0ar 39/6: “- - - He (Allah) sent down for you eight heads of cattle in pairs (= 4 kinds of cattle*) - - -”. To tell the very truth: The sheep, goat, cow, and camel - the ones the Quran talk about - are not given from Allah, and in no way sent down from him. They have developed over some tens of million years - or some hundreds of million years if you include earlier animals they developed from (a fact many Muslims deny as vehemently as farmers in the Bible Belt in USA). Besides the number is wrong: There are more domesticated cattle (f. ex. reindeer, lama, alpaca, vikunja, guanaco (4 from S. America distantly related to the camel), Indian elephant, water buffalo, and yak - and pigs) BUT MUHAMMAD DID NOT KNOW ABOUT THEM - any god did. Then is it nature or Muhammad/Allah who is the “director” of the earth? - and who composed the Quran - an omniscient god or someone living in Arabia around 630 AD?

056 39/21: “- - - He (Allah) makes it (nature) dry up and crumble away. Truly, in this, is a Message of remembrance to men of understanding.” Allah does not have to do anything for this to happen - nature itself does it all alone just by sending the rain to other places for some time. But of course to be able to control the nature gives status and seemingly power as long as you do not have to prove anything at all, and as long as uneducated or naïve or religiously blind followers are satisfied with that “Allah and His Messenger know best”.

057 41/12: “So He (Allah*) created them (heaven(s)*) as seven firmaments (wrong - there only is one*) in two days - - - Such is the Decree of (Him) the Exalted in Might“. Just look up, and you see the firmament - it may be especially impressive at night. Nature at its most colossal, even though it looks petite from Earth. Did any god make it? Was that god in case Allah? There are few reasons to believe so, and definitely no proofs. And what is 100% sure: It was not made by Allah in two days.

Allah decreed this in the Quran - a most unlucky choice for a “proof.”

Sometimes our impression is that Muhammad/the Quran behaves like some second rate politicians: They steal arguments and claims, then run in front of people and say they are leading them - in this case to stolen riches, slaves and power. Muhammad/the Quran finds good phenomena and tells that Allah has made them. Only statements, only claims, and only words any "prophet" for any god can use just as cheaply - and sometimes 120% wrong.

058 45/3: "Verily, in the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth are signs for those who believe". Yes, there are lots of signs - but not one single sign indicating, not to mention proving Allah, unless it is proved that it really is Allah who is behind the "signs". Not one single. Yes, even the number of heavens (plural) in this "sign" is wrong.

**0as 45/5: "- - - and the fact that Allah sends down Sustenance (rain?*) - - - are Signs for those who are wise." The only sign this sentence is for those who are wise IS THAT SOMETHING IS SERIOUSLY WRONG, AS IT NOWHERE - NOWHERE - IN OR OUT OF THE QURAN IS ESTABLISHED AS A FACT THAT ALLAH SENDS DOWN ANY SUSTENANCE OR RAIN!!!! There are lots of loose statements and claims but not one single proof - even though the slogan "tell a lie often enough, and people will believe it" carries merit, it still does not make words taken from thin air a fact. On the contrary: Use of loose words, invalid statements, invalid "signs" or "proofs", not to mention false and made up "facts" all are hallmarks for cheats, swindlers and deceivers - an honest, omniscient god would never let his teachings rest on such arguments. And like so often: Some flattery of the reader.

Who made the Quran - an honest god or someone else? Do not forget the Devil in disguise - if there is a real and true religion somewhere that is not Islam, a teaching like this would be a sweet dream for a devil wanting to keep as many as possible away from that religion, and especially using such a bloody and brutal substitute. (The teachings of Muhammad after he landed in Medina, does not make this alternative impossible).

**059 55/14: "He (Allah*) created man from ringing clay like unto pottery - - -." A man and a book not knowing more than this about how man evolved - are the rest they tell any more reliable? The nature seems to know the correct story much better. As said before: It may be cheats and deceivers not knowing to choose true "proofs" for their story behind it all.

060 57/25: "- - - We (Allah) sent down Iron, in which there is awesome power - - - for Allah is Full of Strength, exalted in Might - - -". Actually iron is part of nature. Allah must have been up early, because if not it would not be possible to create the Earth, as the centre of the Earth is mainly iron - 4,6 billion years old. Also some mountains where you find the iron are tens and hundreds of million years old. Proof?

0at 64/3: "He (Allah*) has created heavens (plural and wrong*) and earth in just proportions". Or did he just say that what nature had made, were the just proportions?

**061 67/3: "He (Allah*) Who created the seven heavens one above another - - - in the Creation of Allah". This is one of the more morbid mistakes in the Quran - and remember that according to that book, the heavens had to be made from something material (if not the stars could not be fixed to the lowest heaven). Nature has got this much more correct - may be it was nature that created it, not Allah (or Muhammad?). For extra measure, read 67/14: "Should He not know - He that created? - - - and is well acquainted (with everything*)." To say the least of it: Unintended irony. And hardly possible to get more wrong.

0au 70/39: “For We (Allah) have created them (the Unbelievers*) out of the (base matter) they know!” This is method no. 13 Allah has used to create man - and this time only the Unbelievers - - - and all of them are wrong according to science. But may be this is the reason why some Muslims - included some Muslim clergy and leaders seriously recon non-Muslims to be subhumans somewhere between animals and Muslims (= humans). (But no doctor or scientist ever found a difference caused by Islam inside a Muslim compared to a similar non-Muslim - nature is just the same physically inside Muslims and non-Muslims).

0av 73/20: “Allah doth appoint night and day in due measures.” This is dictated by someone who knew nothing about the far south and the far north - facts and reality and nature is different from what would be due measures for most beings - included man - there at times. But Muhammad did not know that. Any god had known.

+062 78/6: “Have We (Allah*) not made the earth as a wide expanse.” The Quran has been saying the same before - Allah made the (flat) Earth. But here it is used as a proof - - - without ever having been proved - without ever having been more than statements built on loose words. Just taken from nature and that many times. The Quran tried to give Allah the credit, but only words - words any priest or “prophet” for any god could/can use just as cheaply and just as empty for value as a proof for their god or gods. To mention it ones more: Cheats, swindlers and deceivers often use tactics like this.

+063 78/7: “(Has Allah not made*) the mountains as pegs?” One more unproven statement used as invalid proof for Allah. Did really Allah make the mountains? See 78/6. Besides: Nobody knowing what the "roots" of mountains and mountain chains look like, woul talk about pegs.

+064 78/8: “And (have We (Allah*)) not created you in pairs?” Unproven statement used as invalid proof - it is never proved that Allah created man. See 78/6.

+065 78/9: “(And has not Allah*) made your sleep for rest - - -”. Unproven statement used as invalid proof. Did Allah really invent sleep? See 78/6.

+066 78/10: “(And has not Allah*) made the night as a covering - - -”. Did Allah invent the night? - unproven statement used as proof. See 78/6. And is lack of light - nothing - a covering?

+067 78/11: “(And has not Allah*) made the day as a means of subsistence?” Did Allah really invent the day - or is it a by-product of the sun’s shining and the Earth’s revolving? And was it really meant for subsistence, or was that, too, a by-product of the shining of the sun? Two statements used as proofs - both unproved and both suspect. And both invalid as proof as long as it is not proved that Allah made it. Also see 78/6.

+068 78/12: “And have We (Allah*) not built over you the seven firmaments - - -”. One suspect statement (Allah built) and one wrong statement (7 firmaments), used as proof. Totally invalid. Also see 78/6. No god uses an invalid proof - not mention wrong ones. Who composed the Quran?

+069 78/13: “And (has not Allah*) placed (therein) a Light (the sun*) of Splendour - - -”. One more loose and suspect statement (did Allah create the sun?) without proofs, used as a proof. Entirely without value - and a kind of proof no god would use. Also see 78/6.

+070 78/14: “And do We (Allah*) not send down from the clouds water in abundance - - -”. Invalid as a proof, as it is not proved that Allah has anything to do with the rain at all. See also 78/6.

071 78/15: “(And*) That We (Allah*) may produce therewith (rain) corn and vegetables - - -”. Also this proof is invalid because it is based on loose presumptions, not proven facts - it is nowhere proven that Allah has anything to do with the growing of anything. Also see 78/6.

+072 78/16: “And (do not Allah produce) gardens of luxurious growth?” See 78/15 and 78/6.

0aw 86/6+7: “He (man) is created from a drop emitted (semen*) - Proceeding from between the backbone and the ribs”. The minor thing is that it is not proven whether it is nature or Allah that creates babies. Worse: Man is not made from semen, but from 2 different cells. Much worse: The Quran does not know from where the semen originates. Muhammad may have believed it came from the upper part of the abdomen - wrong "knowledge" he got from old Greek science - but any - even half god - had known better. Is this a proof for that Allah is not involved, as he does not know what he is talking about? The danger of bluffing always is to be discovered. And that leaves no credibility. (It f. ex. is not possible to believe that an omniscient god has created a book with this many mistakes and invalid - even directly wrong - “signs” and “proofs”.)

0ax 95/4: “We (Allah*) have indeed created man in the best of moulds”. Well, it was the best nature could manage. But any omniscient and omnipotent god could do much better: Longer life before problems of age, stronger resistance against illnesses, a body stronger against wear and tear, better sight, better sight in darkness - less danger - better brains, and a lot of other things. Is our miserable body and brain a proof for that it is made by nature, not by a benevolent god?

0ay 96/2: “(Allah) Created man out of a (mere) clot of congealed blood - - -”. The Quran believes that semen is a kind of seed that can start growing when “planted” in a woman. It becomes a clot of blood, then a small something, then a foetus and then little by little a baby. For a man without a microscope like Muhammad, it may be the best of explanations. But nature knew better - and an omniscient god had known better. Is this a proof for that babies are made by nature and not by someone omniscient that does not know what he is talking about?

Besides who does this point to as the composer of the Quran?

000 114/3: “The God (or Judge) of Mankind - - -”. A statement that is in no way proved. In this chapter it is rather disproved - especially the use of invalid loose words, invalid loose claims and statements, invalid and wrong “signs” and “proofs” does not point towards an omniscient god.

But perhaps towards a man - - - or even towards a devil in disguise, not wanting humans to find the right religion - if such one exists.

123 cases of natural phenomena used as invalid "proofs". And you may find more. (Remember that "sign" in the Quran indicates proof).

POST SCRIPTURE FOR CHAPTER VII/5.

In chapters II/1/3 and IV/2 and a couple of others it was essential to show the enormity of the discussed problems. Then we included nearly all the relevant verses we found.

In this chapter it was more essential to show how wide the problem is - and we have omitted a lot of repetitions of the same statements. Because of this if you read the Quran you will find lots and lots of verses we have skipped. This mostly because in this case we do not see any reason to discuss the same argument again and again - though we have done so to a certain degree. The Quran has some weak spots reckoned as literature - a fact few Muslims and no member of the Muslim clergy will ever admit about the glorified book. One of them is that it is repeating and repeating and repeating itself - some of the stories in the book are repeated at least 10 - 20 times, and that is 100% literally meant, a fact that makes the book very boring to read at times - and even more so as most of the stories only have one of two ends: Either the “victim” is or becomes a Muslim and wins, or he does not become a Muslim and goes to Hell - as we said not interesting or high quality literature. And one of the results of all this repetition is that the same statements - always without proofs or documentation - is repeated and repeated. Because of this you will find lots of loose statements and as invalid “signs” not included in this list, especially in the latter half of the book

We believe, though, that we have found (nearly?) all the different statements. If we have overlooked any, please inform us.

To make a few conclusions from this chapter:

1. There is a lot of naturally occurring facts and things and occasions where the Quran claims that Allah makes or does or has initiated and consequently demands all the credit for him.
2. Not one single of these claims is proved. Not one. Without exception they all are claims or statements built on nothing, or built on other statements that are not proved. Entirely without value as argument, not to mention as “sign” or “proof” for Allah.
3. A number of the statements also are directly wrong. Newer science has proved the Quran’s statements wrong on some points, simply.
4. No omniscient god would ever use wrong facts or invalid signs or proofs - if for no other reason: He would know that once man discovered mistakes or false signs or proofs, it would destroy his credibility. (Besides it had been an easy way for a god to prove himself to mention things one did not know in Arabia at that time - later times then had found unmistakeable proofs. Actually some religions, included Islam, are more or less desperately trying to find such proofs, but as what they till now have found just are trumped up statements, only the naïve and the “want to believe” ones believe in those

statements. But you meet them time and again - with cherry picking of facts, a wishful twist on both the fact and the logic + a lot of wishful thinking they present you for “proofs” or “indications”. Believe them if you want. But ask them for real proofs and see what happens.)

5. None of the statements concern things that were not known in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad - f. ex. are none of the domesticated animals not known in Arabia mentioned. The same goes for the difference between day and night in the tropics and in Arctic - even though the changes of day and night are mentioned at least 20 times. This just to mention two easy proofs for a god. Another indication would be a clear mentioning of Muhammad in the Bible or another book - there is none.
6. None of the scientific facts or inventions discovered later than 632 AD is mentioned in the Quran - f. ex. that a ship or a wagon could be run by a machine, or medical facts that could help people of the old. Easy proofs for a god if he had told it in the Quran.
7. The mistakes are in accordance with what learned people believed in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad.
8. The use of loose claims and as loose statements, invalid or wrong or false (f. ex. some of the fast talk used to evade questions for proofs of Allah, is based on so obviously wrong psychology, that an as intelligent man - and a man understanding people so well - as Muhammad, had to know it was false) “signs”, and invalid or wrong or false “proofs” are the hallmarks of cheats, swindlers, deceivers and that kind of persons.
9. Muhammad was intelligent and understood people - he knew that some of things he told were not true. (F. ex. that wonders would not make more people believe).

The final conclusions:

1. A. It is not possible that the Quran is made by an omniscient god - no such god would make so many mistakes and contradictions or need so many abrogations, not to mention using statements, “signs” or “proofs” without value

or even wrong. The Quran simply proves this 100%. Allah may be a minor war god trying to usurp power. Or he may be a devil in disguise not wanting people to find another religion (the surahs after Muhammad's flight to Medina in 622 AD may indicate this, but all the same it is unlikely - even a devil would not make all those mistakes or use such a number of invalid arguments, not to mention invalid or wrong "proofs", etc.). Or it may be made by one or more humans.

2. B. Some of the points strongly indicates that the Quran was composed by some human living in the Middle East at the time of Muhammad.
3. C. It is too dangerous to make any conclusions from # 8. For Islam it is better to believe everything is fine, than to control if something is wrong. Better to the tune of murdering persons stating real facts and asking relevant questions. And Muhammad knew he was lying sometimes at least.
4. D. But what if Islam is wrong and another religion is right - and no Muslim is permitted to find out? Well, what then for Muslims if there is a next life?

11.4.09

PART VII, CHAPTER 5 (= VII-5-0-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

OTHER "SIGNS" AND "PROOFS" - NOT ONE OF THEM LOGICALLY VALID AS A PROOF FOR ALLAH, FOR THE TEACHINGS OF MUHAMMAD, OR FOR ISLAM

(A possible exception: Some proofs borrowed from the Bible, but they in case are for Yahweh.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

In chapter VIII/5 is quoted a number of - though far from all - places where the Quran/Muhammad has taken natural phenomena and used them as “proofs” for Allah - - - without one single time to prove that Allah was behind those phenomena. Words are very cheap, and any priest in any religion can say the same about his god or gods - yes, one has not even got to be a priest, as any man and woman can say the same. Words are that cheap and easy. Remember also that in the Quran “Sign” often is synonymous with “Proof”. Muhammad many times even was asked for proofs, but had only some fast talk and unproven statements + some boasting (?) about what Allah could do “if he willed”, to offer - not the slightest wisp of a proof.

In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

Muslims will say that the Quran proves itself, as it is sent down by an omniscient god. But an omniscient god does not send down lots of mistakes and invalid “signs” etc. The only thing the Quran proves is that it is not made by someone omniscient. An omniscient god simply does not make that kind of and that number of mistakes. Neither does he use invalid “signs” nor “proofs” - especially not as any omniscient god had to know man sooner or later would discover the cheating, and loose confidence in him and his book.

We also are unable to understand why an omniscient god had to change his religion so fast and so much - and brutal - in and after 622 AD. Was his earlier not bloody teachings that wrong?

And if there is just a small god - or a man - trying to bully himself to power, behind the Quran, and not really one who knew everything, proofs for omniscience and -potence are absolutely necessary. “Strong claims demands strong proofs.” But as there are no proofs for the claims, all those claims are invalid as proofs.

But there are more claims for “Signs” (mostly synonymous with “proof” in the Quran) and “Proofs” - ones not based on claims about nature. Actually so many that we just pick a few of them.

00a 2/39: “Those (non-Muslims*) who - - - belie Our (Allah's*) Signs - - -”. Reference to unspecified and unproven signs. Unproven signs according to all laws of logic, proves nothing. There are many like this in the Quran - we only quote some of them.

00b 2/41: “- - - sell My (Allah's*) Signs - - -”. See 2/39 just above.

00c* 2/87: “We (the god*) gave Jesus - - - clear (signs) - - -”. Yes, if the Bible speaks the truth, Jesus had clear signs. But the Jew Jesus was speaking about the Jewish (and Christian)

god Yahweh. Now Muslims like to tell that Allah and Yahweh is the same god, but that is not possible unless that god is mentally ill. There is so big difference between Yahweh, especially as we meet him in NT (the teachings on which the Christian religion is based), and the hard and bloody warrior god we meet especially in the some 22 surahs from Medina, that it is not possible it can be the same one - not unless he at least is deeply schizophrenic.

00d 2/118: “We (Allah*) have indeed made clear the Signs unto any people who hold firmly to the Faith - - -”. The “Signs” possible to find in the Quran, offers not one single proof for Allah - - - unless you are prepared to believe anything and any loose words. Here like so many other places in the Quran is just another not proved statement about unspecified, not proved signs. Normally such ways of arguing are hallmarks of cheats and deceivers - and we remind you of what kind of man Muhammad was - highwayman, rapist, murderer and warlord, believer in breaking his word if that gave better result (f. ex. "war is betrayal") etc., after first having been an Arab salesman (also salesmen have no reputation for being 100% honest - and ask any sailor about the Arab ones. Here Islam of course says he was honest - and it may or may not be true).

001 2/129: “Our Lord (Allah*)! Send amongst them (Muslims/Arabs) a Messenger (Muhammad*) of their own who shall rehearse Thy Signs - - -”. It is symptomatic that Muhammad never ever was able to produce one single real and undisputable “sign”, even though he was asked for it many times.

00e 2/151: “- - - rehearsing to you (Muslims*) our (Allah’s/Muhammad’s*) Signs - - -”: Quite likely Muhammad did - but if he rehearsed only the ones in the Quran, not one single of them was or is proved true, (with the possible exception of some signs from the Bible proving Yahweh).

00f 2/159: “Those who conceal the clear (Signs) We (Allah*) have sent down - - -”. No danger - not one single valid or clear sign/proof for Allah is sent down (unless it is omitted from the Quran). But it is VERY typical for the Quran to talk about “signs” as they were proven facts. (And at the same time often accept nothing about other gods without demanding proofs).

002 2/187: “Thus doeth Allah make clear His Signs to men - - -”. Not one single proved sign is referred to - not really an unproved one, either.

003 2/209: “If ye (Muslims*) backslide after the clear (Signs) have come to you - - -”. It is not possible to backslide after clear signs that never arrived - the only thing that ever arrived in Mecca or Medina or other places, were unproved words - and words which any man, woman or priest can produce.

00g* 2/211: “Ask the children of Israel how many clear (signs) We (the god) have sent them.” Yes, if the Bible speaks the truth - quite a number. But that in case proves the Jewish/Christian god Yahweh, not Allah - as said in 2/87 it is impossible that the two can be the same, even though Muslims like to pretend/say so - too fundamental differences. (A small curiosum: Yesterday a newspaper in Kuala Lumpur, capital of the Muslim country Malaysia, was forbidden to use the name Allah for the Christian God. (This has been done infrequently through history) “Allah is the name of the Muslim god, and cannot be used about the Christian one, (Yahweh or normally called God by Christians*)”. Q.E.D - Allah is not Yahweh according to this Islamic country.)

004 2/219: “They (Muslims*) ask thee (Muhammad*) how much they can spend (on gambling*); say: ‘What is beyond your needs.’ Thus doth Allah make clear to you His Signs - - -.” One thing is that this making clear is that the signs are far from clear to us. Worse: What signs? There are no proven signs about Allah anywhere in the Quran.

(Another curiosity: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. Actually that is part of a wider fact: It is not possible for humans to prove a god. This only a god can do (by doing something supernatural). And this is very clear: Neither Allah nor Muhammad produced a single proof for Allah, in spite of many requests. But Jesus did give proofs according to both the Quran and the Bible - if one of them speaks the truth).

00h 2/231 “Do not treat Allah’s Signs as a jest - - -”. Once more: These just are invalid or imaginary signs treated like solid proofs - they all are invalid by all and any law of logic. Anyone reading the Quran will find a large number of them - they are so easy to find, that we do not include many more of them. No omniscient god would use invalid “signs” or “proofs”.

005 2/241-242: “For divorced women (provision should be made) on a reasonable (scale). This is the duty of the righteous. Thus doth Allah make clear His Signs to you - - -” We must admit we do see neither a sign, nor how it is made clear. At least there is no proven sign.

006* 2/252: The Jews’ (or Israelis’) King Saul/Talut and David beat the Philistines in battle and felled Goliath - - - “ These are all Signs of Allah - - -”. If they were signs, they were signs of the Jewish god Yahweh. See 2/87.

00i 3/19: “But if any deny the Signs of Allah, Allah is swift in calling to account.” He can call no one to account as long as not a single proven sign exists to deny.

007* 3/49: “I (Jesus*) have come to you, with a Sign from your Lord (the god*), in that I make for you out of clay, as it were, the figure of a bird, and it becomes a bird by Allah’s leave”. (this tale is “borrowed” from one of the apocryphal (= made up religious fairy tales*) so-called “Child Gospels”, in this case the so-called Egyptian one, but also mentioned in the so called Arab one from Syria around 500 AD, and in the Gnostic (made up) Thomas’ Child Gospel - it is not from the Bible*), I heal the blind, and the lepers, and I quicken the dead (stories “borrowed” from the Bible*) - - -(some more not from the Bible*). Surely therein is a Sign for you if ye did believe.” If it had been proven, it had been a sign. Now only the ones “borrowed” from the Bible may perhaps be true - but in that case they are proofs for Yahweh.

All is totally invalid as a proof for Allah, as long as:

1. It is not proved that Allah really did this - and stories from fairy tales need solid proofs. Or:
2. It is not proved that Allah and Yahweh really is the same god - which only Muslims want to believe, and which they have never produced the slightest proof for - - - in addition to that the two gods (?) have so different teachings that it is impossible they can be the same god

(Muslims explain this with that the Bible is falsified, but any professor in history would deem the Bible far more likely to be true - and for solid reasons - than the Quran (though even the Bible may partly be made up. Science have proved that the Bible is not falsified - there may be mistakes, but no falsifications compared to the old texts. And Islam has proved it even more by not finding any proof for falsifications in 1400 years. If they had found one, they had SCREAMED about it - and there has been no such scream.)

The Gnostic and other stories, tales and “gospels” were made up by semi-Christian or sometimes semi-Jewish sects to fit their special points of view on how a god should be. The sects were quite widespread once upon a time. Muslims frequently use these and other made up tales to try to “prove” their statements. Not to mention how often one meets Muslim references to the so called Barnabas Gospel - - perhaps made up at the Caliphs Muslim court in Baghdad (in the 1400 century?)

AND IT IS A STRANGE (?) FACT THAT THE QURAN OFTEN AGREES WITH MADE UP STORIES FROM APOCRYPHAL BOOKS AND STORIES WELL KNOWN IN ARABIA AT THE TIME OF MUHAMMAD, INSTEAD OF THE MAY BE TRUE ONES IN THE BIBLE, AND NOT LESS PECULIAR THAT IT THEN EXPLAINS THAT THE REASON FOR THE DIVERGENCES IS THAT THE BIBLE IS FALSIFIED.

00j 3/86: “How shall Allah guide those who reject faith after they accepted it and bore witness that the Messenger (Muhammad*) was true and that Clear Signs had come unto them?” Well, on basis of this sentence it is not possible for Allah to guide anyone, because Muhammad/the Quran is proven (by science) full of mistakes, and not one single so called sign is proven true - with the possible exception of some “borrowed” from the Bible, and they in case prove Yahweh/God, not Allah.

008 3/106-108: Bad people will go to Hell, good Muslims will go to Paradise, the book states her - without any documentation - and continues: “These are the Signs of Allah”. Well, it is a statement hanging in thin air - typically for the Quran; not proven and impossible to prove. Believe it if you are primitive and naïve enough - especially thinking about the fact that all and everything is based only on the words of a somewhat “special” warlord, rapist and dictator who liked power - and ask questions if you are not entirely naïve.

00k 3/118: “We (Allah*) have made plain to you the Signs - - -”. Wrong. The only thing that is made plain about the so called signs is that at least the ones not “borrowed” from the Bible without exception are just loose statements and cheap words any priest and any believing man or woman can use about any god in any religion - real or imagined.

What does it tell about the Quran and about Muhammad that loose statements and as loose claims are pretended to be facts and proofs? After all that kind of argumentation is one of the hallmarks for cheaters, deceivers and swindlers. The same for fast talk - you find also that in the Quran.

001 4/56: “Those who reject Our (Allah’s) Signs, We shall soon cast into the Fire - - -”. As long as no reliable sign is sent, there is no excuse for casting anyone into Hell.

009 5/114: (Jesus said*): “O Allah our Lord! Send us from heaven a Table - - - for - - - a solemn festival and a Sign from Thee - - -”. This is NOT a story from the Bible. And if it had been true, you can be 100% sure it had been there, as it would be one more solid proof for Jesus' connections to something supernatural. Muslims explain away any discrepancy between the Quran and the Bible with the (as normal for Islam unproved) statement that the Bible is falsified. But if any Christian had wanted to falsify parts of the Bible, it would have been to strengthen Jesus, not to weaken him. A wonder like this had strengthen his esteem a lot, and it never had been omitted if it was true - falsification of the Bible or not. Science believes the tale is inspired by a twisted recollection (Muhammad did not know the Bible well, and especially not NT) of the last Easter meal of Jesus. This in addition to that Jesus had been killed years before if he had been preaching about the known foreign polytheistic god al-Lah (the name changed to Allah by Muhammad).

A “sign” from a made up - and definitely not proved - story really is a valuable and worthy sign.

010 6/19: ““What thing is the most weighty in evidence (of Allah*)?” Say: ‘Allah is witness between me (Mohammad*) and you (Muslims*); this Quran hath been revealed to by inspiration - -”.

1. It is nowhere proved that Allah had anything to do with the Quran - on the contrary: All the mistakes etc. in the book prove that no god was involved, at least no omniscient one.
2. Can a book with that many mistakes, loose statements and invalid “signs” and “proofs” really be evidence for anything at all? - except an evidence for that something is wrong? And this verse 6/19 tells it is the most weighty evidence for Allah!

011 6/97: “It is He (Allah*) Who maketh the stars (as beacons) for you, that ye may guide yourself, with their help, through the dark spaces of land and sea: We detail Our Signs for people who know”. Well, but where is the proof for that Allah had anything to do with the making of the stars at all? The Quran even flagrantly proves that Allah/Muhammad have not the faintest idea neither of what the stars are nor where they are (according to the Quran they are points of fire that even can be used for weapons against bad spirits, fastened to the nonexistent lowest of 7 material heavens - which means that according to the astronomy of that time they are situated somewhere between the Earth and our moon (as the moon was fastened to another heaven, that could not be the lowest one)). Some “sign” and some detailed explanation!

012 6/104: “Now have come to you (people/Muslims*), from your Lord (Allah*), proofs - - -”. But no proof is mentioned. The statement simply is hanging in the air. Another loose statement treating non-existing proofs as facts.

013 7/37: “Who is more unjust than one who invents a lie against Allah or reject His Signs?” What if someone has invented lies about Allah and made up the “signs”? There are lots and lots of words, but no proofs - not even when repeatedly asked for proofs, Muhammad was able to produce a single, small one - only fast talk and even some mistakes, signs and hallmarks of someone unreliable without a real case.

014 10/82: “And Allah by His Words doth prove and establish His Truth, however much the Sinners may hate it”. There only are five truths that are established by the presumed words of Allah - the Quran:

1. There are lots and lots of mistakes in the book.
2. There are lots and lots of invalid “signs” and “proofs” in the book.
3. There are lots and lots and lots of invalid statements in the book.
4. There are lots and lots of contradictions in the book.
5. Because of this it is proved 100% or more that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god.

015 18/9: “Or doest thou reflect that the Companions of the Cave - - - were wonders among Our (Allah’s*) Signs?” The religious fairy tale - legend - about the 7 sleepers in Ephesus fleeing from emperor Decius (252-254 AD) emerged around 400 AD, and was known in Arabia (some Muslims try to move it to the area and time of the Dead Sea Scrolls to make the fairy tale loan less obvious, but the story is too easy to recognize - besides; a fairy tale is a fairy tale even if it should happen to be a little older.) This made up religious fable or legend is used in the Quran as a fact and a real wonder and consequently as a sign/proof for Allah. But first the tale has to be proved - and a fairy tale needs a strong proof - to have any value as a proof for anything at all.

016 18/13: There is real irony in 18/13: “We (Allah*) relate to thee (the listeners*) their (the 7 sleepers*) story in truth - - -”. In truth? - a fairy tale?

017 18/17: “Thou wouldst have seen the sun, when it rose, declining to the right from their (the 7 sleepers*) Cave, and when it set, turning away from them to the left, while they lay in the open space in the midst of the Cave. Such are among the signs of Allah - - -”. Some sign; presumed sleeping men in a lightly revised fairy tale. (But the Quran have nearly no tale not known beforehand in Arabia - all are taken from older fairy tales, folk tales, fables, legends, the Bible, the Torah and a few from tales from countries further east, and then twisted a little to fit in the Quran. It was not strange that sceptics told Muhammad and Muslims that they just told old tales.)

018 20/128: “Is it not a warning to men (to call to mind) how many generations before them We (Allah*) destroyed, in whose haunts they (now) move? Verily, in this are signs for men endued with understanding”. This is a theme Muhammad/the Quran frequently return to: In the Middle East there were scattered ruins from towns and hamlets and houses. Muhammad told that they all were remnants from earlier “unbelievers” punished by Allah for not believing their supposed prophets. As usual without a single proof for all places put together.

(In Pakistan this year Muslims proposed to put placards with quotes like this on old ruins - proofs and warnings about the fate of “infidels”. This really tells something about those Muslims and about the level of education even today - in 2007 AD (This was weitten in the 2007 AD edition). What then about naïve, primitive and zero educated poor people 610-632 AD? - were they easily “impressed”?)

His explanation is even more difficult to believe, as any professor and even student of archaeology can give many other explanations for ruins in arid areas - and especially in arid areas where the inhabitants were all waging war against everybody else at times.

Absolutely not a valid sign without a real proof.

019* 21/91: “- - - and We (the god*) made her (Mary’s*) son (Jesus*) a Sign for all peoples.” Very correct - but a sign for Yahweh/God, not for Allah - not unless Islam proves Allah is the same god as Yahweh/God. And the two religions and the two gods - especially the war god Allah from the surahs from Medina compared to the benevolent God from NT - are too different for that to be possible - not unless the god is seriously ill mentally.

Definitely not a proof for Allah. May be a proof for Yahweh/God.

020 26/97-103: Non-Muslims end in Hell and nobody can help them. “Verily, in this is a Sign - - -”. Yes, but only if it is true, not just words made up to frighten naïve and/or primitive people to accept a certain religion. Islam presents not one single proof, only cheap words ANYONE can use. How can we learn if Allah really had something to do with it? Islam really has a job to do to prove it. This especially as they never bother to prove things - statements from nowhere are their profession.

021 26/197: “Is it not a Sign to them that the Learned of the Children of Israel knew it (as true)?”

1. This sentence is dishonest. It is not proved, but Islam claims that some learned Jews accepted Muhammad as a prophet. But only a few of the thousands of learned Jews in case. If the story is true, an honest sentence had said: “- - - a few of - - -” or at most “- - - some of - - -”. There is quite a sifference between “- - - the Learned of - - -” and “- - - a few of the Learned of - - -”. Dishonesty in a presumed holy book does not give a favourable impression. And why is dishonesty necessary? - and how many other points in the book stems from dishonesty?
2. As the great majority of the Jews - learned as not learned - denied that Muhammad could be a prophet even as they were robbed of their possessions, slaughtered in wars, and murdered “en masse” as helpless prisoners, it is absolutely sure that what the Jews - learned or not - meant about him, was no sign for

Muhammad or Allah. This even more so as to become Muslim was the only way to keep one's riches and later one's life, as Muhammad gained power in Medina, and still most Jews refused him. A few "renegade" swallows make no summer.

3. A true religion easily can live on - and tell - the truth or what one honestly believes is the truth after honest examination. If a religion or any other story needs to use lies or half-truths or even al-Taqiyyas (the lawful lie) or Kitman (the lawful half-truth), not to mention institutionalizes al-Taqiyya and Kitman as means to defend and forward the religion, one must ask why are lies necessary? - and the natural following up question: How much more of what they tell about their religion in reality are lies?

021 30/25: "And among His (Allah's*) Signs is this, that heaven and the earth stand by His Command - - -". A most easy statement, as long as Muhammad refused to prove anything at all. Invalid.

022 30/37: "See they not that Allah enlarges the provision and restricts it, to whomsoever He pleases? Verily, in that are Signs for those who believe". There are signs of Allah in the fact that some are rich, some are poor and some are directly in distress? Islam must prove that no other explanations for riches and poverty are possible. Without such proof these presumed signs are invalid as proofs.

023 32/26: "Does it not teach them (non-Muslims*) a lesson, how many generations We (Allah*) destroyed before them, in whose dwellings they now go to and fro? Verily, in that are Signs - - -". This had been signs if it was clear that it really was Allah who had destroyed them.

024 34/9: "We (Allah*) could cause - - - a piece of the sky to fall upon them (non-Muslims*). Verily this is a Sign for every devotee that turns to Allah - - -". The sky is an optical illusion made by the bending of light in the upper atmosphere - not a material heaven like Muhammad believed. It is not possible to make a piece of an optical illusion fall, and absolutely not upon someone. (the Arabs knew about meteorites - the Quran really speaks about a falling piece of the sky, not a meteorite).

Besides: This statement only is a claim, not a proven fact. If a child boasts: "My father is stronger than yours", it easily may be wrong".

A totally invalid statement. In this case also an untrue statement. Some sign of Allah.

00m 34/43: "When our Clear Signs are rehearsed to them - - -". One more place where the word "sign" - here even strengthened with the word "clear" - is used like if the so-called signs are manifested facts. But not one single of them is a proven fact - as said before; with the possible exception of some shanghaied from the Bible, but those in case prove Yahweh/God.

There are literally hundreds of places in the Quran where the word “Sign” is misused like this.

025 38/29: “Here is a Book (the Quran*) which We (Allah*) have sent down unto thee (Muhammad*), - - - that they (people*) may mediate on its Signs, and that men of understanding may receive admonition”. What follower would ever admit he was not a man of understanding? - especially if he had little knowledge. But in the Quran there is not one valid sign specifically proving Allah. Not one single. And what is then this “proof” worth for Islam? - negative and less than nothing, because one starts wondering what kind of god - or man - uses invalid proofs?

026 40/4: “None can dispute about the Signs of Allah but the Unbelievers”. This may be absolutely correct:

1. It is a reason for social extrication in Muslim societies to doubt any word in the Quran.
2. It is a reason for imprisonment in some Muslim countries to doubt too much or deny belief in the Quran. (Added March 2008: A woman in Malaysia, Kamariah Ali, was just (2007? AD) sentenced to 2 years of prison for being inconsistent with Islam. The judge, Mohammad Abdullah, told she had committed a grave offence, and that the sentence was in the public interest.)
3. It is a good reason in some countries and in some societies for being murdered by Muslims if you express too much doubt in the Quran, not to mention in Muhammad - the weak link in the religion (the only claimed witness and not a very holy character).
4. It still is a reason for death penalty - official or unofficial - in some Muslim countries to doubt too much in the Quran, not to mention to want to leave Islam.
5. Your marriage is automatically void and ended the moment a Muslim leaves Islam - and not everyone wants to loose his wife or her husband or the mother or father of their children (not to mention loose their children).
6. Even for non-Muslims it is dangerous to doubt the signs and the Quran - they may be murdered. Islam do not trust the power of the word - with a reason, thinking about all the mistakes and invalid statements, “signs” and “proofs”, etc. in the Quran - and resort to murder. It is better to believe in the never proved religion of the fathers, than to try to find out if it really is true. Like Islam says when “hunting” for proselytes: It is difficult to question your (heathen*) deepest beliefs

and what you were imprinted as a child".
They forget that this also goes for Muslims:
Better not to control if what you believe in is
right or wrong - and to run the risk of not
finding the right religion (if one exists) in
time, if Islam is wrong.

Yes, only non-Muslims can - or actually are permitted to - discuss or dispute the signs of Islam. And even for us it is so dangerous, that this book can not be printed unless the printer is very brave, in spite of the book being very down-to-earth and based entirely on the Quran + correct scientific knowledge.

027 45/19+20: "They (non-Muslims*) will be of no use to thee in the sight of Allah: it is only wrongdoers (that stand as) protectors, one to another; but Allah is the Protector of the Righteous. These are clear evidences to men - - -". Read these two sentences, and see if it is a proof - not to say a clear proof - of anything, as long as the statements are not proved. 100% invalid.

***028 46/8: "Say: ' Had I (Muhammad*) forged it (the Quran*), then can ye obtain no single (blessing) for me from Allah:" What an unbeatable proof!!!

029 Muhammad is said to prove that he was a prophet, by answering 3 cryptic questions brought by Uqbab. Abu Mu'ayt from Jewish rabbis in Medina - he got the answers from Allah via Gabriel. The first concerned the "seven sleepers". Any god had known the story is made up - a Christian legend - but Muhammad did not know this. The second concerned Alexander the Great (Dhu'l Qarnayn - an Arab name for him) - and Muhammad answer fairy tales any god had known were wrong. The third concerned the Holy Spirit - and Muhammad had no real answer. But the conclusion after the fiasco (it was not known then that it was a big fiasco) was clear: The story was indicated to be an unmistakable proof for that Muhammad was a prophet. Worse: EVEN TODAY WHEN WE EASILY SEE WHAT IS WRONG, MUSLIMS REFUSES TO ADMIT THE OBVIOUS AND TRY TO EXPLAIN THE ERRORS AWAY - AND RECKON THE WRONG INFORMATION AS SURE PROOF OF HIS BEING A REAL PROPHET, IN SPITE OF THAT NO GOD HAD GIVEN HIM ANSWERS SO FAR FROM THE REALITY. It should be a matter of doubt for any thinking person - but then Muslims are not thought how to think, but only to accept and obey. This really is an interesting "proof".

Besides: The criterias for really being a prophet, are:

1. Ability to make real prophesies. If not he is no real prophet.
2. The prophesies at least mostly come true. If not he is a false prophet.
3. To make so frequent and/or so essential prophesies that it is a reasonable part of his/her activity.

(Muhammad fulfilled none of these points. Well, he may comfort himself with that there are other, less strict definition of the word prophet, too - f. ex. a person that speaks a god's words and not his own - or something like that. According to this weaker definition he may have

been a kind of a prophet - if Allah exists, if Muhammad had real connections with him - - - and if Muhammad did not too often speak his own words, f. ex. to calm down angry wives or scolding personal enemies (not all Muslim scholars like f. ex. surah 111). But a "bona fide" real prophet according to the real criteria? - Nyet. Which is a good English word that means "No" with at least two lines under it.)

00n 62/5: "Evil is the similitude of people who falsify the Signs of Allah - - -". What does it mean that invalid signs are falsified? Especially in a book with so many mistakes?

POST SCRIPTUM TO CHAPTER VII/5.

This should be enough about invalid "signs" in the Quran, as these points are so very easy to find for anyone who reads the the book. Whenever you see the word "Sign" ask yourself: Is this meant to be a sign, or is it just another claim or reference to not proved "signs" pretending to be facts? If it is said to be a sign: Is this just another statement built on no proof and thin air? And if it is said to be a sign built on proofs: Check if the "proofs" it rests on, really are proofs, and not just other statements founded on cheap words.

We believe we have checked all places where the words "sign" or "proof" are used in the Quran. With the exception of some taken from the Bible, we have found none - NONE - that is valid according to any law of logic. They only are just claims or statements, or rest on other claims or statements not proved. A few even are directly wrong.

As for the ones taken from the Bible, some are wrong, some are proved by science to be correct, and quite a number are not proved neither right nor wrong. But at least the Bible to a large degree rests on many writers and many witnesses - though points can be wrong anyhow. It also is written much nearer what happened in time, a few years to some tens of years later for NT, compared to more than 600 years and mainly recited from words-of-mouth and legends for the Quran for the same stories. And told only by a warlord and worse: One aspiring for power and one believing in using lies and even broken oaths if this could forward his case.

It really is very sobering that the Quran is built only on the words of one single man - a man that today would be treated with much suspicion in a court, because of his life and behaviour when living as a self-proclaimed prophet, highway man and warlord. Highwaymen, murderers, rapists and warlords do not rate very high for trustworthiness. And self-proclaimed prophets? There have been numbers of them through history. They often like power, women and often a good life - and frequently are not too "difficult" on how to obtain it. Muhammad at least liked power and women.

It also is sobering to know that it is a fact that easy words, cheap, loose statements, and invalid "signs" and "proofs" all are hallmarks for parasites and deceivers, not to say swindlers. Like Goebbels: "Tell a lie often enough, and people start believing in it".

PART VII, CHAPTER 7 (= VII-7-0-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

MORE (INVALID) LOOSE CLAIMS AND STATEMENTS - NOT A SINGLE OF THEM PROVED OR LOGICALLY VALID AS A PROOF FOR ALLAH, THE QURAN, OR FOR MUHAMMAD'S CONNECTION TO A GOD.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Loose claims, loose statements. So unbelievably cheap to make if you do not have to prove anything. And so totally without value. And so easy for naïve or primitive or uneducated - or brainwashed - people, or people imprinted with some ideas and imprinted to believe without thinking, to fall for. Especially if they want to believe. And even more so if the spinner of the tales is a charismatic leader knowing how to manipulate people.

And then - sometimes tales that people tell are true. Even unbelievable things do happen. What are you to believe?

Science has a very good rule-of-the-thumb: The more unbelievable the story, the stronger proofs are needed.

And in religion proofs should be easy - any god worth his followers is able to do a few miracles or foretell things.

But in spite of many requests for proofs, Muslims never got anything but fast talk, and loose claims and statements, many mistaken facts and other mistakes, etc.

On the other hand they have lots and lots of such loose claims and statements and mistakes - literally at least a couple of thousands. But not one single valid proof.

It can be said that when somebody is telling a story, such a story has to be composed of statements, descriptions, etc. To a degree it is true. But only to a degree. You have to be naïve or primitive or both to believe, and even believe the unbelievable, without proofs - and all the same people believe - - - just think about all the money waiting you from Nigeria "if only you pay a certain amount first" or other bluffs people fall for.

Of course it is easier to believe what you have been told from you were a baby, and even more so if you in addition are thought and imprinted: The leader and the imam always are right, and it is heresy, stupid and dangerous to think differently.

Muslim countries have a serious problem: They can not teach their children and youths critical thinking - because then they start asking critical questions, and Islam have many

critical questions they do not want to hear. But on the other hand all progress is based on someone asking critical questions about this and that - is this true? - cannot that be different, or another thing done better? This may f. ex. be the simple explanation why the entire Muslim world registers fewer new patents a year than just the state of California. Ok. California is good - but there are top universities in the Muslim world, too. And the problem is unsolvable as long as one does not face the problems of the mistakes etc. in the Quran, and as long as the religious societies are strong enough to stop questions and certain kinds of education.

The Quran is built on calims and statements, “signs” and “proofs”, not one of them proved (as we said before: With the possible exception of some signs from the Bible that in case may be prove Yahweh). Plus a lot of psychology.

We will quote just some samples, as there are such an awful lot of them, and as they are so easy to see once you go looking for them and for the lack of proofs. We just quote some from here and some from there - there is an enormous choice.

001 1/2: “Praise be to Allah the - - - Sustainer of the Worlds”. A statement - but not proven.

002 2/2: “This is the Book; in it is guidance sure, without doubt - - -”. Two statements, both without proofs - and both doubtful.

003 2/16: “These are they who have bartered guidance for error - - -”. Proof is needed, especially as “guidance” refers to the Quran - - - a book with lots of mistakes.

004 2/22: “(Allah*) has made the earth your couch - - -”. May be Allah, may be another god, may be nature. Who can really know and not just believe without a proof?

005 2/25: “- - - (Muslims’*) portion is the Gardens - - -”. Well, it at least is stated like that. Where is the proof or at least the indicia?

006 2/29: “- - - He (Allah*) gave order and perfection to the seven firmaments (=heavens*) - - -”. Here one definitely needs good proofs, because this statement is very wrong - there exist no seven firmaments, only one, and even that one is an illusion, not a material heaven.

007 2/39: “But those (non-Muslims*) who reject Faith and belie Our (Allah’s*) Signs, they shall be Companions of the Fire - - -”. Just as well or as little documented as what was said about heaven in 2/25 above. Just words anyone can use - obey Baal or Zeus and go to Heaven, and disobey him and go to Hell. Anyone can say anything as long as he can evade demands for proofs.

08 2/46: “(Muslims*) bear in mind the certainty that they are to meet their Lord (Allah*), and that they are to return to Him”. All Muslims want to - and do - believe this. But they only have the words of an often brutal and at least sometimes unreliable robber baron and warlord for it. A statement without a proof - not valid, and of no value except for politicians and cheaters. No judge had accepted such a statement as a proof in a criminal case. And a possible next life is much more essential than f. ex. a petty burglary.

009 2/65-66: “We (Allah*) said to them (bad people*): ‘Be ye apes, despised and rejected. So We made it an example to their own time and to their posterity - - -’. Transforming humans to animals is frequently done in fairy tales - and the Quran takes some of its tales from fairy

tales. But to do the same in a presumed real story, takes some proofs. And here only is claim or a statement - nothing more. No proof.

010 2/87: “We (Allah*) gave Moses the Book - - -”. Also a loose statement built on cheap words, as Moses never had those books. He only had the Ten Commandments.(Well, the Bible tells he was told the law by Yahweh, and later wrote it down himself.) According to science that book (the Torah = first five “books” in the Bible) was written 500-800 years later. Strong proofs necessary.

011 2/98: “- - - Allah is an enemy to those who reject Faith (Islam*)”.

1. Muhammad was never even able to prove that Allah existed.
2. Muhammad was never able to prove anything about his religion. Never. Only unproved claims and as unproved statements.
3. Muhammad was never able to prove he had any connection to a god, not to mention to a particular god. Never. Only unproved claims and as unproved statements.

Of course it is possible to believe in it - even to believe it strongly in it. But it is just belief - right or wrong - not real knowledge. Like it or not.

012 2/107: “Knowest you not that to Allah belongeth the dominion of the heavens (plural and wrong) and the earth?” No. We do not. It may be true or it may not be true - We only have the words of a book We know contains many mistakes, handed down from a man we would not trust very much as a witness if we were judges in a court. Proofs are urgently needed.

013 2/109: “- - - Allah hath power over all things.” Perhaps - and perhaps not. At least he has never shown his power, not even when asked several times by his followers - and by sceptics - for a proof of his existence. Muhammad glossed it over with fast words - not all of them logical (f. ex. that a real proof of a god would make no-one believe anyhow - rubbish to be polite), and Muhammad was too intelligent and knew too much about people not to know it was untrue.

014 2/110: “- - - Allah sees well what all that ye do.” May be true. May be a smart trick by a cunning someone to keep you obeying at all times? It is not possible to know just on basis of a loose statement. To believe - yes. To believe strongly - yes. To know - no.

015 2/115: “For Allah is All-Pervading, All-Knowing”. Looking at the miserable state the facts and the “signs” in the Quran are in, strong proofs for this are urgently needed.

016 2/117: “To Him (Allah*) is due the primal origin of the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth - - -”. To Allah or to the nature? Science believes more in nature, and Allah never proved the opposite.

017 2/119: “Verily, We (Allah*) have sent thee (Muslims*) in truth a bearer of glad tidings (Muhammad*) - - -”. Muhammad never was able to prove his words came from a god. Without proofs Islam has had to degrade to terrorism to keep questions at bay. Muhammad is

the weak link for Islam, and no - NO - sceptical questions or remarks are accepted. Proofs most urgently needed.

018 2/127: “And remember Abraham and Ishmael raised the foundations of the House (Kabah in Mecca*) - -”. As it is extremely unlikely that Abraham ever visited Mecca, this unproven statement needs a real proof. Now it is like a certain sect stating that Jesus visited America during his last days on earth - just words.

019 2/137: “He (Allah*) is the All-Hearing, the All-Knowing”. See 2/115.

020 2/139: “Will ye dispute with us about Allah, seeing that He is our Lord and your Lord - -”. Islam likes to pretend or state that Allah and Yahweh/God is the same god. But both the gods and their teachings are very different - as said before especially if you compare the bloody god of war Allah was turned into in and after 622 AD after Muhammad came to need warriors for highwaymen and later for wars, with the far milder and benevolent God we meet in NT. The Quran makes a lot of statements, but not one single proof, even though it should be easy for Allah to prove something - at least his own existence. Besides: Read history - Muslim history like Ibn Ishaq if you want - and see what kind of a man Muhammad really was! I do not accept the word of a man with that kind of moral and ethical standards, without proofs - there have to be limits to being naïve.

021 2/140: “But Allah is not unmindful of what ye do”. Based on just a statement made up of words, that may be a hope. If it was proved, it had been a certainty. But Muhammad never was able to prove anything at all about Allah or the rest of his teachings. Not one thing.

POST SCRIPTURE TO CHAPTER VII/7.

As we said there were many, many such unproven statements - if somebody tells us there are some 2000 we will not be surprised. We have picked 21 just from the first half of the 2. surah, and we have just picked one here and one there from that half. There are 114 surahs (though no. 2 by far is the longest).

20-21 are more than enough to show you how it works - and it is easy for anyone to find most of the rest. Just ask yourself “is this sentence a statement or a fact” when you read the book. In any book - also facts book - there will be a lot of statements. But at least the main points in a story has to be more than just loose words and invalid statements, “signs” and “proofs”. That is not the case in the Quran - on the contrary: A lot of the “facts” it presents are even wrong. And not least: It is presented by a man of very dubious character striving for power.

Read the book yourself - but engage your brain and your knowledge when you do so.

We do not repeat the thoughts about hallmarks for cheats and deceivers from the Post Scripture of chapter IX. But we do repeat the name Joseph Goebbels.

PART VII, CHAPTER 8 (= VII-8-0-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

“IF ALLAH WILLED - - -“ OR - - BOASTING? IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS AND ISLAM

(ADOLESCENT-LIKE(?) FAST-TALK).

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

There are many places in the Quran where Allah/Muhammad is telling what Allah could do - - if he only willed. It sounds disquietly like childish boasting - “I could do that and that and that if I only wanted - or my father could”. Have you ever heard children bragging?

And who needs to boast and brag? Children and big-mouths and adolescents of all ages wanting to be in the top league or at least being socially respected, but knowing they are not able to prove themselves.

This fact strikes anyone reading the Quran for the first times - and it leaves questions why this behaviour and this slightly distasteful kind of argumentation is used in the Quran. For believing Muslims and for children/people told and imprinted that this is the actual truth and sure facts from they were babies, it of course sounds like stated facts - even if there like everything in Islam exists not one single proof for anything of real consequence. This even more so as they all their lives have been imprinted to accept what their religious authorities said for the full truth and nothing but the truth, and not to ask difficult questions.

Actually Islam - their learned religious leaders - already around 900 AD agreed on that now all the essential questions had been debated so much that everything of any consequence was clear and decided. For a time in Islam all new ideas even were prohibited, but later one found one had to accept some corrections to old customs and rules as long as they did not conflict with Islam. But as mentioned before: From around 1100 AD in east and central parts, and some 100 years later in the western parts of the Muslim area, there have come not one single new thought or idea bringing man forward in any aspect of life. The intellectual life of Islam and Muslims totally stagnated. The final nail in the box was the book "The Incoherence of the philosophers" by the "greatest Muslim after Muhammad", Al-Ghazali, in 1095 AD. Even today we many places see that any new idea is bad - and as most new ideas and ways of life and even products come from the western culture, it of course is even worse.

Only Muslim ways - and that mostly means the old ways - are good. And if only Allah would, he could do anything. Just like an outsider youth wanting to, but unable to impress his surroundings with reality - a little bragging may help (and in Islam it did and does help - it impresses believing Muslims and proselytes).

You will have to judge yourself why this technique of bragging is so much used in the Quran - and why bragging was and is necessary.

001 2/20a: "And if Allah willed, He could take away their (non-Muslims*) faculty of hearing and seeing; for Allah hath power over all things". But for 1400 years non-Muslims have had no reduction neither in seeing, nor in hearing.

002 2/220b: "And if Allah had wished, He could have put you into difficulties (if you do not behave yourself*)". Well, most people run into difficulties sometimes. Perhaps - - -?

003 2/253: "If Allah had so willed, succeeding generations would not have fought among each other - - -". Is it then f. ex. the will of Allah that Muslims have fought and killed and murdered and raped and suppressed each others for 1350 years? Not to mention what they have done to outsiders?

004 2/253: "If Allah had so willed, they had not fought each other, but Allah fulfilled His plan". See 2/253 just above. And: Has it been Allah's plan not to fulfil his plan (to conquer and suppress the rest of the world) for some 1400 years?

005 4/90: "If Allah had pleased, He could have given them (the non-Muslim enemies*) power over you - - -". Non-Muslims have had power many places at times. But it hardly was because it pleased or displeased Allah - Islam in case will have to prove such a claim.

006 4/133: "If it were His (Allah's*) Will, He could destroy you, O mankind, and create another race; for He hath power this to do". Well, neither he nor Islam has been able to finish off mankind yet - though Islam and Muslims have done enormous destructions through the times. If Muslims will be able to finish off mankind in the future, it hardly will be because of Allah's wish, but because of the sick and degenerated thoughts of fanatics being a lot more "Muslim" than Allah.

007 5/17: "- - - if His (Allah's*) Will were to destroy Christ - - - his mother (Mary*), and all - everyone that is on the earth? (- Allah could do it*)". Yes, and my father could beat up your father - have you ever heard angry or boasting children?

008 5/48: "If Allah had so willed, He would have made you (Muslims*) a single people - - -". But local chiefs wanting power has made that impossible for some 1350 years. Either one Ummah is not according to Allah's will, or the local chiefs or caliphs or whatever are stronger than Allah.

009 6/7: "If We (Allah*) had sent (a proof*) - - -". But no proof was ever sent. Besides this argument is psychologically very wrong (claims that miracles/proofs would convince nobody) - proofs had made many believe.

010 6/35: "If it were Allah's Will, He could gather them (non-Muslims) together unto true guidance - - -". But he hitherto never has been able to.

011 6/37: "Allah hath certainly power to send down a Sign - - -". But Muhammad never was able to produce anything but fast words and less than convincing "explanations". That is to say: Muslims are indoctrinated to believe it - and not to think for themselves, but only to obey and believe the imam. Because of this many of them honestly and without thinking things over, believe that invalid claims are proofs.

012 6/41: “- - - if it be His (Allah’s*) will, He would remove (the distress) - - -”. He or nature or chance or yourself or a helper?

013 6/106: “If it had not been Allah’s Plan, they (non-Muslims*) would not have taken false gods - - -” There is a certain class of people that find out where people wants to go, then walks in front of them, telling everyone that they are leading the people. You often find them in politics and in organizations. They make us think of this verse. If only Allah willed - - -!

014 6/133: “- - - if it were His Will, He could destroy you - - -”. Well, he has not done so - able or not.

015 6/137: “If Allah had willed (he could have “saved” the pagans*)”. But Muhammad was able to “save” (make them Muslims) only some of them - the rest either were killed or they had to flee. And there still are some billions pagans and other non-Muslims in the world.

016 6/148: “If Allah had wished, we (people*) should not have (had other gods*) - - -” See 6/106 above. And: How can Allah punish people for believing in other gods, when that belief is in accordance with his own wishes??!! - it is Allah who decides on beforehand anything after all, even your thoughts and acts according to the Quran.

017 7/155: “If it had been Thy Will Thou couldst have destroyed, long before, both them (the people*) and me”. But for some reason or other that has not happened.

018 7/176: “If it had been Our Will, We would have elevated him (a non-Muslim*) with our Signs.” Well, neither were there elevation, nor signs. Because it happened to be Allah’s will? - or because all this from no. 001 and down just was bragging and fast talk?

019 10/16: “If Allah had so willed, I (Muhammad*) should not have rehearsed it (the Quran*) to you - - -”. Small chances for that - Muhammad wanted followers.

020 10/99: “If it had been thy Lord’s (Allah’s*) Will, they would all have believed (in Islam*) - all who are on earth!” Far from all believe - not after 1400 years. That either means Allah does not want the majority to believe, but instead go to Hell - a good god? - or he is not able to make them believe in spite of the words via (?) Muhammad.

021 11/118: “If thy Lord (Allah*) had so willed, He could have made mankind one People - - -”. Either Allah really likes strife and war - really a benevolent god - or in reality he is unable to do this. Like someone bragging to impress acquaintances or girls.

022 12/31: “- - - had Allah (so) willed, He could have guided all mankind (to the Right!)”. Either Allah takes pleasure in letting generation after generation for 1400 years (and much more - all the way back to Adam) end in Hell, or Allah likes humans to fight and kill - - - or he is unable to prove his words. Bragging from Allah or from Muhammad in case?

023 14/19: “If He (Allah*) so will, He can remove you (man*) and put (in your place) a new Creation”. At least he never did - able to or not.

024 16/9: “- - -if Allah had willed, He could have guided all of you (man*).” See 10/99 above.

025 16/35: "If Allah had so willed, we (man*) should not have worshipped aught but Him - - -". See 10/99 above.

026 16/61: "If Allah were to punish men for their wrongdoings - - - - but He gives them respite for a stated (but unknown*) Term - - -". Which means nothing has to be proved. Good for Muhammad who never was able to prove anything at all.

027 16/93: "If Allah so willed, He could make you all one People - - -". See 11/118 above.

028 17/54: "- - - If He (Allah*) please, He granteth you mercy, or if He please, punishment - - -". No matter what outcome this sentence is sure to be right. Really a good sentence for f. ex. Muhammad - always right no matter what happens. But it proves nothing.

029 17/86: "If it were Our (Allah's*) Will, We could take away that which We have sent thee (Muhammad/Muslims*) by inspiration (= the Quran*) - - -". One more threat Allah never fulfilled. Not his will or not able to - or not existing?

030 23/24: "- - - if Allah had wished - - - He could have sent down angels (as prophets*) - - -" But for some reasons he either did not wish that, even if that had been far more efficient - or he was not able to (or some human was bluffing?). A NB: Why does the Quran some places tell that Allah cannot prove Islam or Muhammad by sending down angels because that would mean it was the Last Day? Here he tells he could send down if he wanted, and other places he sends them down in the thousands to do battle together with Muslims, or to note down good or bad deeds, or to help. One or a few more would mean nothing for him. Is something a bluff or fast-talk?

031 25/45: "If He (Allah*) willed, He could make it (the shadows) stationary!" A good thing he did not will that, because the only way to do that, is to stop the Earth from revolving. Will or ability?

032 25/51: "Had it been Our (Allah's*) Will, We could have sent a warner to every centre of population". If he had been able to do so, he had saved billions of humans from going to Hell - if Islam tells the truth? Benevolence? Malevolence? Or inability to produce anything but the words?

033 26/4: "If (such) were Our (Allah's*) Will, We could send down to them (non-Muslims*) from the sky a Sign, to which they would bend their necks in humility." Really a super boast? - especially since Muhammad never was able to show even a mini-proof that was valid as a proof? Or a true statement from a "benevolent" god wanting the majority of humans going to Hell? Or just some bragging from the maker of the Quran to consolidate his power?

034 29/53: "- - - had it not been for a term (of respite) appointed (by Allah*), the Punishment would certainly have come to them (non-Muslims*) - - -". Very well - then Muhammad had an explanation why "bad" people lived a good life, and he had to prove nothing - it just was Allah's unfathomable will which did it.

035 32/13: "If We (Allah*) had so willed, We could certainly have brought every soul its true guidance - - -". See 10/99.

036 33/24: “- - - Allah may reward the men of Truth (Muslims*) - - - and punish the Hypocrites if that be his Will - - -”. A sure way to always have an explanation: "If that be Allah's will". And the same can explain any injustice Allah or Muhammad could not or would not rectify.

037 34/9: “If We (Allah*) wished We could cause the earth to swallow them up - - -”. Easy to say as long as you do not have to prove anything.

038 35/16: “If He (Allah*) so pleased, He could blot you (man*) out and bring in a New Creation”. Words are cheap as long as you brag - and it may have been bragging, because even if similar things were said other places in the Quran, it never was anything but words.

039 36/43: “If it were Our (Allah's*) Will, we could drown them (mankind*) - - -”. See 35/16.

040 36/66: “If it had been Our (Allah's*) Will, We could have blotted out their eyes - - -”. A bad deed in case, and it was not done - because of benevolence or inability?

041 41/14: “If our Lord (Allah*) had so pleased, He would certainly have sent down angles (to preach).” See 23/24.

042 42/8: “If Allah had so willed, He could have made them a single people - - -”. See 11/118 above.

043 42/24: “- - - if Allah willed, He could seal up thy heart.” A threatening statement - but only a statement (and we do not think some Muslim warriors and terrorists have much of a heart to seal up.)

044 42/29: “- - - He (Allah*) has the power to gather them (the living creatures*) together when He wills.” But as far as science knows, this has never happened since the first living beings populated the Earth. May be Allah has never willed? Or is the reason something else?

045 42/33: “If it be His (Allah's) Will, He can still the Wind - - -”. That we can, too - - - as long as we do not have to prove it.

***046 43/20: “If it had been the will of (Allah) - - - we (pagans*) should not have worshipped such deities!” See 10/99 above.

047 43/60: “And if it were our (Allah's*) Will, We could make angels from amongst you (non-Muslims*) - - -”. Then why not? - it had been a much more efficient way of saving the souls of people - if Muhammad spoke the truth - than prophets, terror and war. But may be Muhammad bluffed?

048 47/4: “- - -if it had been Allah's Will, He could certainly have exacted retribution from them (non-Muslims*) (Himself); but (He lets you fight) in order to test you - - -”. One bluff and some fast-talk? Or reality? Besides: If Allah is omniscient, there is no reason for testing - he knows.

049 47/30: “Had We (Allah*) so willed, We could have shown them (the ones with a disease in their hearts = non-Muslims*) up to thee (Muhammad*) - - - “. But why make life easy for you?

050 56/65: “Were it Our (Allah’s*) Will, We could crumble it (the seed) to dry powder - - -”. Allah or the nature?

051 56/69: “Were it Our (Allah’s*) Will, We could make it (the rain) salt - - -”. And I can move a mountain if I don’t have to do it.

052 59/21: “Had We (Allah*) sent down this Quran on a mountain, verily, thou wouldst have seen it humble itself and cleave itself asunder for fear of Allah”. The Quran has been on many mountains, and never a mountain humbled itself or cleaved itself asunder because of that. A very obvious bluff.

POST SCRIPTUM TO CHAPTER VII/8.

You will find some more ones if you go looking, but this is a most fitting last one. Reading verses like this, gives you a most strong feeling of fast talk and bluffing.

Muhammad was for some reason - natural reason? - unable to produce any kind of proof for his teachings. Yahweh had - according to the Bible and according to the Quran - produced a lot of supernatural happenings that proved his existence (if the Bible and/or the Quran speaks the truth). And Jesus made quite a number of supernatural wonders - still if the Bible and/or the Quran speaks the truth. Also the Bible has some mistakes (but the Bible is written by humans, not sent down by a god - humans are not infallible, and the documented mistakes are not concerning the religion), but when it comes to NT, there were so many thousand witnesses all together, and much of it written so shortly after when things happened - witnesses gave the writers reliable information, and would protest if what they wrote was wrong - so there is a chance that at least some of it is true.

But Muhammad was unable to prove one single of his words. What do initiators of new sects and religions do in such cases (there have been a number)? - they use words and only words. And sometimes they bluff. This entire chapter may be a series of bluffs and fast-talk, as not a word is realised or in other ways proved (and the next chapter is not better).

Besides: Why do people seriously studying history or religious history NEVER use the Quran as a source for information about anything from before 610 AD? - a book from an omniscient god should be reliable? But nobody doing serious research uses it - nobody.

A PS: In the Hadiths you will find some wonders connected to Muhammad. But the Hadiths for one thing were not written down until 200-250 years after Muhammad’s death – plenty of time for the emergence of legends and fairy tales - and for another thing Hadiths and their presumed chain of narrators (their “isnads”) were made up literally by the hundred thousands for many reasons (often political) during those years. Because of that when the collectors finally started to collect them, it frequently was guesswork what was a true story and what not, and where praying to Allah not seldom was one of the methods for deciding which was true and which not. Sometimes the Hadith is true, but sometimes clearly it is not. The tales in the Hadiths about wonders connected to Muhammad clearly made up, as in the Quran – which was written just years after his death, and then much more reliable in such cases – not only

mentions no wonders, but goes to great lengths to explain away why there were no wonders made by or connected to Muhammad. The Quran also proves among other things that all the stories in the Hadiths about miracles connected to Muhammad, are made up ones - if not the Quran had retold some miracles instead of trying to explain away the total lack of such miracles.

19. April 09. (AD).

PART VII, CHAPTER 9 (= VII-9-0-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

MORE FAST-TALK IN THE QURAN - "EXPLAINING" AWAY DIFFICULT FACTS AND QUESTIONS

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

001 2/108: "Would ye question your Messenger (Muhammad*) as Moses was questioned of old? But whoever changeth from Faith (Islam*) to Unbelief (any other belief or not belief than Islam*), hath strayed without doubt from the even way". According to the Quran Moses was asked to prove Yahweh/God by letting Israel/the Jews see him – and according to the same book that was a very wrong demand. Consequently it is wrong to ask Muhammad and Islam for proofs – not to see Allah, but all kinds of proofs (that Muhammad and Islam were and are unable to produce). Yes, it is worse than wrong – it is an indication of unbelief. Logic? – none, but convenient when proofs are impossible.

002 3/183: "They (non-Muslims/Jews*) (also) said: 'Allah took our promise not to believe in a Messenger unless he showed us a sacrifice consumed by fire (from heaven)'. Say: 'There came to you messengers before me, with Clear Signs and even with what ye ask for: why then did ye slay them, if ye speak the truth?'"

1. The first sentence is far from in accordance with the Bible or other Jewish scriptures.
2. Only a very few prophets used fire from heaven as a proof or at all to have brought such fire. Few of them are known to have been slain. Besides the logic is wrong: That they were said to slew some, does not disapprove that it was a minimum requirement.

Muhammad was asked for a proof. He was as always unable to prove anything at all, and found a – in this case not very good – way of “explaining it all away” so as to evade the question or demand.

Actually: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.

003 6/7: “If We (Allah*) had sent unto thee (Muhammad*) a written (message) on parchment, so that they (Muslims and non-Muslims*) could touch it with their hands, the Unbelievers have been sure to say: ‘This is nothing but obvious magic!’” Muhammad never ever was able to prove anything about what he told his mostly naïve and uneducated audience. But he got questions about and demands for such proofs many times from followers and others – this f. ex. is mentioned repeatedly in the Quran. He had to evade those questions and demands, and an obvious way was to find ways of explaining them away. Here the technique he uses is “No matter what proofs I produce, they will not believe anyhow, so why produce proofs at all?” Also swindlers and cheats use such techniques. It is obvious for anyone able to think for himself or herself that the logic is twisted – but the ones wanting to believe or very naïve might believe in it. What is more serious is that Muhammad was an intelligent man and a man knowing a lot about how to treat and sway people. There is no way he did not know he used twisted logic and dishonest psychology and story, and no way that he did not know that if he produced real evidence that would strengthen his followers enormously and make huge numbers of unbelievers become believers. In a short sentence: There is no way an intelligent man did not know this excuse was a lie.

004 6/8: “They (people*) say: ‘Why is not an angel sent down to him?’ If We (Allah*) did send down an angel, the matter would be settled at once, and no respite would be granted them”. This question – a proof by means of an angel – arose frequently. Muhammad's often used “explanation” was this: Allah will not send down an angel until The Last Day (the Day of Doom). That means that if he sends down angels that day becomes the Last Day (“the matter will be settled at once”), and in that case the unbelievers would lose their chance to become believers (“- - - no respite would be granted them”). This “explanation” is nonsense even according to the Quran. That book tells that the angel Gabriel visited Muhammad often, it tells that angels come down to fetch the souls of the dead, it tells that angels come down to fetch your soul when you fall asleep and to return it when you wake up, it tells that angels surround you to note down your good and bad deeds – not to mention the thousands of angels Allah sends down to do battles together with Muslims time and again.

There was not one single reason why Allah could not use one of the myriads of angels he daily and frequently sends down as a proof for Muhammad.

A very obvious bluff and a piece of fast-talk.

005 6/9: “If We (Allah*) had made it (the proof*) an angel, We should have sent him as a man, and We (= our proof/angel*) should certainly have caused them confusion in a matter (religion*) which they have already covered with confusion.”

1. There is no reason for Allah to camouflage an angel like a man.
2. The arrival of an angel – no matter in what gestalt as long as it was clear it was an angel – might only confuse the most sceptical ones. All the other would become believers.

Any intelligent man understanding people would know all this. Not to mention that any god, however tiny, would be sure to know it. Muhammad knew this was fast-talk – by himself, by a helper (if the Quran was made by a helper like some rumours said), or by Allah (if the Quran – or this piece of it – really was made by Allah). Muhammad was too intelligent and knew too much about people – he knew this was a lie – both that an angel had to look like a man, and that no unbeliever would believe if they got real proofs.

006 6/35: “- - - yet if thou wert able to seek a tunnel in the ground or a ladder to the skies and bring them (people – because also Muslims asked for proofs*) a Sign – (what good?)” Yes, the rhetoric question would be: What good would a real proof do? And the answer as rhetoric – but wrong because of twisted logic and even more twisted psychology – would be: Nothing, because the unbelievers would not believe anyhow. Who but deceivers need to twist logical and psychological facts? Also see 6/7 – 9.

007 6/37: “Allah hath certainly the power to send down a Sign, but most of them (people*) understands not (so it is no use*)”. A variety of Muhammad’s “explanations” for not being able to produce a single proof – only words.

008 6/109: “Certainly (all) Signs are in the power of Allah: but what will make you (Muslims) realise that (even) if (special) Signs came down, they (non-Muslims*) will not believe”. One more evasion so logically and psychologically wrong, that Muhammad had to know it was not true – a real proof had made all but the most die-hard non-Muslims believers. And it had strengthened the believers’ faith by a factor of at least 10. And once more a point where Muhammad had to know he was lying.

009 6/111: “Even if We (Allah*) did send unto them (non-Muslims*) angels, and the dead did speak unto them, and We gathered together all things before their very eyes, they are not the ones to believe, unless it is Allah’s plan”. This may be understood in two ways – both quite fast talk:

1. Another and strengthen variety of no. 6/109 and others.
2. Some of Muhammad’s audience questioned the obviously wrong logic and psychology in that clear proofs would not impress anybody, and needed a reason why not – the all-encompassing and ultimate answer to all difficult or unanswerable questions: It is Allah’s will. Sometimes the fastest of all fast-talk.

010 8/32-33: “Remember how they (non-Muslims*) said: ‘O, Allah, if this is indeed the Truth from Thee, rain down on us a shower of stones from the sky, and send us a grievous Penalty.

But Allah was not going to send them a penalty whilst thou (Muslims*) wast among them; nor was He going to do it whilst they could ask for pardon.” Of course it was nice for Muslims that Allah would not risk hurting them when punishing the bad ones. But it would be no problem for a presumably omnipotent god to punish only the guilty ones – or to punish them after the group had split up and the Muslims were not mingled with the bad ones any more. For believers wanting to believe, and not used to – or trained in – critical thinking, it may have been a satisfying fast-talk/“explanation”.

That Allah only would punish the bad ones after it was too late for them to ask for pardon (= the Day of Doom) may have added to the glee.

011 10/96-97: “Those (non-Muslims*) against whom the Word of thy Lord (Allah’) hath been verified (the words of Allah = the Quran have never in any place been verified – there ONLY are the words of Muhammad. Even worse: Muhammad/the Quran use a lot mistaken facts and invalid statements, “signs” etc. No omniscient god would ever do that*) would not believe, Even if every Sign was brought unto them - - -”. May be right they would not believe those signs, if one talks about the signs in the Quran not borrowed from the Bible, because not one of them is logically valid – they are just unproven statements anyone can use about his/her god(s). But real proofs would have had an effect. And Muhammad was more than intelligent enough to know that, and knew this argument was a lie.

012 13/32: “Mocked were (many) Prophets before thee (Muhammad*): but I granted respite to the Unbelievers, and finally I punished them - - -”. Know, O Muslims, that Muhammad is mighty, even if he is mocked and opposed. The only reason why Allah does not punish them is that for some reason in his unfathomable plan he wants to wait for some time – but then they will be seriously punished!!

No further comments.

013 13/42: “Think (Muslims*) not that Allah doth not heed the deeds of those (non-Muslims) who do wrong (not become Muslims*). He but giveth them respite against a Day when the eyes will be fixed in horror (the Day of Doom*)”. The reason why bad people are not punished – many even living a good life – is that Allah in his unfathomable wisdom wants to wait – may be till the next life – but then they really will be punished (of course no proofs for these statements). Muhammad/the Quran use this kind of argument many times, especially to “explain” why many non-Muslims are rich and living a good life, whereas many Muslims are poor and live a miserable life (the last often is explained with that Allah just is testing you.) For believers an efficient fast-talk.

014 15/7+8: “Why bringest thou (Mohammad*) not angels to us if it be that thou hast (speak*) the truth?” We (Allah*) send not the angels down unless for just cause: if they came (to the ungodly), behold, no respite would they have (it would suddenly be the Day of Doom*).”

It is no just cause to prove to millions of non-Muslims that Islam is a true religion. See 6/8 above.

015 17/59: “And We (Allah*) refrain from sending the Signs (real proofs*) only because the men of former generations treated them as false: We sent the She-camel to the Thamud to

open their eyes - -". Thamud is the only example mentioned here – a tale “borrowed” from old Arab folk tales.

One thing is that we have never been able to understand how a camel could be a proof of Allah. (But actually the camel is part of an old Arab folk tale: It came out from a cliff and became a prophet for a god.) But just read the Bible – which the Quran “borrows” (and twists) many stories from – and you will see that real proof has effect (which is most natural). That it will have no effect just is fast-talk with somewhat bent logic and psychology – one of those any intelligent man knowing something about how people think and reacts was sure to know was untrue.

016 26/205-206: “Seest thou? If We (Allah*) do let them enjoy (this life) for a few years (anything is “few” compared to eternity*), Yet there comes to them at length the (punishment) which they were promised!” Even if you think it is unjust that non-Muslims do well and you not, calm down – it just is a result of Allah’s Plan and his endless wisdom, and rest assured; they will be punished in the next life and you come out on top.

017 32/29: “On the Day of Decision, no profit will be to the Unbelievers if they (then) believe!” Take it easy, the unbelievers will be punished in the next life – if not in this. Even if they try to save themselves in the end, there will be no pardon. Judgement will hit them. Of course it is not possible to prove this, but there are many words saying so. Many words only.

019 36/10: “The same is it to them whether thou (Muhammad*) admonish them or not admonish them: they (non-Muslims*) will not believe.” So why bother with f. ex. real proofs?

019 40/4: “None can dispute about the Signs of Allah but the Unbelievers”. The presumed signs (=indications or proofs) of Allah are indicated to be manifested realities, even though not a single one of them is proved – to dispute the “signs” means you are an unbeliever.

020 42/27: “If Allah were to enlarge the provision for His Servants (Muslims*), they would indeed transgress beyond all bounds through earth - -“, - a seemingly rational reasons why to become a Muslim did not automatically mean to become well off: It is the will of Allah, of course.

POST SCRIPTUM FOR CHAPTER VI/9.

If you read the Quran, you will find more fast-talk when Muhammad meets difficult questions. Not overwhelmingly much, but a god would not need any at all – and also much more than a man with clean sheets of papers would need.

Someone without clean papers would need fast-talk now and then, however.

Look yourself – and judge for yourself.

A PS: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah

and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam? Is it all just fast-talk?

14. Feb. 09.

PART VII, CHAPTER 10, SUBCHAPTER 1 (= VII-10-1-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

SOME ESSENTIALS FOR HOW THE QURAN IS TO BE READ AND UNDERSTOOD

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents in this chapter:

1. Some essentials for how the Quran is to be read and understood.(VII-10-1-0)
2. The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated.(VII-10-2-0)
3. 400+ points with unclear or difficult to understand or impossible to be sure what is the real and original meaning in the Quran. (VII-10-3-0)

Muslims and Islam for one thing claim that the clear and easy language is a proof for that it is made by a god, and for another that the perfect language is a proof for the existence of Allah (no such proofs exist, so they try to find proofs).

Both claims are very clearly wrong as you will see, as the language all too often is neither clear, nor distinct or specific, nor easy to understand.

And very far from least: Muslims have to use some last resort "explainings away" to explain away mistakes, etc. which are destroying for Islam, but impossible to give real explanations for. (One extra point here: Who with a little intelligence is able to believe that an omniscient god telling he is explaining his religion in "plain and easy to understand words" where only "the sick of heart" will go looking for hidden meanings, is so helpless in choosing the correct words, that mere humans time and again and again and again have to help him and "explain what he really means" - - - like what Islam and Muslims have to do literally hundreds of times in the Quran, to make the book fit the meanings they want it to express?

001 3/7: “He (Allah*) it is who has sent down to thee (Muhammad and/or Muslims*) the Book (the Quran*); **in it are verses basic or fundamental (of established meaning); they (all the verses that are to be understood literally*) are the foundation of the Book:** others are allegorical. But those in whose hearts are perversity follow the part thereof that is allegorical, seeking disorder, searching for hidden meanings, but no one knows the hidden meaning, except Allah.” But may be this one is allegorical, too, because Islam and many a Muslim are hunting for the hidden meanings behind what they claim is an allegory as soon as there are errors and mistakes they cannot otherwise “explain” away. Is this because of “perversity” in their heart? – or perhaps because they do not have the brain, the guts and the backbone needed to meet the question: What does it mean that there are lots of mistakes and other wrongs in the Quran? – or: If all the mistakes and other wrongs in the Quran means that Islam is a made up religion like so many others – what then whit all us Muslims if there all the same is a Day of Doom? - - - and especially if there somewhere is a real god that we have been prohibited from looking for?!

“- - in it are verses basic or fundamental (of established meaning); they (all the verses that are to be understood literally*) are the foundation of the Book”: **There is no doubt that the Quran is meant to be meant literally where nothing else is specified.** Also see 11/1 just below.

002 11/1: “(This (the Quran*) is a Book, with verses basic or fundamental (of established meaning) - - -.” In plain words: The verses are in plain language and are to be understood literally where otherwise is not clearly said – in “basic and fundamental” words. But all the same Muslims try explaining away anything that is wrong in the Quran and that they do not find “explanations” for, with that it is not to be understood literally – it must be allegories. It is one of their three “last – and often used - lines of defence” when errors cannot be explained. (The other two are: “You cannot deduce anything from one or a few verses that looks wrong – the Quran (or the surah) must be understood as a whole”. And the really “low prose” one: “You are lying or making up things because you are an Islam-hater or are listening to Islam-haters” – this no matter how correctly you are quoting the Quran or the Hadiths or whatever.) Also see 3/7 just above.

003 18/1-2: “Praise be to Allah, Who hath sent to his servant (Muhammad*) the Book (the Quran*), and hath allowed therein no Crookedness: (He hath made it) strait (and Clear) - - -.” In plain words: **The verses in the Quran are in straight and clear and not crooked words – to be understood literally** (where not something else is said strait and clear). See the comment to 3/7 and 11/1 just above.

004 26/2: “These are verses of the Book (the Quran*) that makes (things) clear.” But all the same Muslims as a last way out, explains – and in religious blindness often honestly believe – that errors are not errors, but are camouflaging hidden meanings or allegories.

005 27/1: “These are verses of the Quran – a book that makes (things) clear.” See 26/2 just above.

006 28/2: “These are the verses of the Book (the Quran*) that makes (things) clear”. See 26/2 above.

007 32/2: “(This is) the revelation of the Book (the Quran*) in which there is no doubt - - -.” And all the same Islam and Muslims doubt very much of it and tells this and this and this must be allegories - - - especially if it is the only way to explain away errors.

008 41/3: “A Book (the Quran*) whereof the verses are explained in detail - - -.” Oh, no! They are not explained in detail, according to Islam and Muslims – they are allegories with the meaning hidden, or with a very different meaning, so we must explain it - - - especially if that is the only way to “explain” away errors and mistakes. Also see 3/7 and 11/1 above.

009 43/2: “By the Book (the Quran*) that makes things clear - - -.” See 26/2 above.

010 44/2: “By the Book (the Quran*) that makes things clear - - -.” See 26/2 above.

011 54/17: “And We (Allah*) have indeed made the Quran easy to understand - - -.” It clearly is in plain words that are easy to understand – and the allegories mostly explained or easy to understand. But no! - according to Islam and to many Muslims – much of it is allegories with very hidden or different meanings - - - at least if that is the only way to “explain” away errors or mistakes. Also see 3/7 and 11/1 above.

012 54/22: Identical to 54/17 just above.

013 54/32: Identical to 54/17 above. **There is no doubt that Allah/Muhammad meant the Quran to be read straight forward and understood literally where not something else is indicated.**

014 54/40: Identical to 54/17 above. Also see 54/32 just above.

There is no doubt whatsoever about that the Quran itself means that the Quran is to be understood literally mainly. And that to look for hidden meanings are wrong. Remind any Muslim trying to “explain” away problems by pretending or claiming they are allegories, about this fact.

Some further documentation about this, with comments from “The Message of the Quran”:

015 24/1: “(This is*) A surah which We (Allah*) have sent down and which We have ordained: in it have We sent down clear Signs; in order that ye may receive admonition.”

"The Message of the Quran", comment to 24/1: I.e., “the injunctions whereof We (Allah*) have made self-evident by virtue of their wording”: thus Abd Allah ibn ‘Abbas explains the expression faradnaha in this context. - - - The same explanation, also on the authority of ibn ‘Abbas, is advanced by Tabari. It would seem that the special stress on Allah’s having laid down this surah “in plain terms” (the norm, but here stressed extra*) is connected with the gravity of the injunctions spelt out in this sequence: **in other words, it implies a solemn warning against any attempt are widening or re-defining those injunctions by means of deductions, interferences or any other considerations unconnected with the plain wording of the Quran”.**

016 26/225: “Seest thou not how they wander distracted in every valley?”

"The Message of the Quran", comment to 26/100 (26/98 in the Swedish edition – which seems slightly more honest and a little less "corrected" towards orthodoxy): "The idiomatic phrase "hama fi widyan" (lit., "he wandered (or "he roamed") through valleys") is used, as most of the commentators point out, to describe a confused aimlessness – and often self-contradictory – play with words and thoughts. In this context it is meant to stress the difference between the precision of the Quran, which is free from all inner contradictions - - - and the vagueness often inherent in poetry".

The point here is that the text is said to be precise – which it has to be if the claim that it is made by a perfect god shall have any meaning. And a precise language always means exactly what is said - - - which means that something written in such an unprecise language like in the Quran cannot come from a god.

But Islam has some stumbling stones:

017 7/75: "Know ye (believers*) that Salih (a claimed prophet for the tribe or people Thamud. He according to the Quran lived some time between Noah and Moses – Moses is said to speak about him*) is a prophet from his Lord?' They said: ' We do indeed believe in the revelation which has been sent through him.'"

Comment to 7/58 (60 in the 2008 English edition): "The contents of this message (lit., "that with which he has been sent") appeared to them justification enough to accept it on its merits, without the need of any esoteric "proof" of Salih's mission. In this subtle way this statement of faith has a meaning which goes far beyond the story of the Thamud. It is an invitation to the sceptic who is unable to believe in the divine origin of a religious message, to judge it on its intrinsic merits and not make his acceptance dependant on extraneous, and objectively impossible, proofs of its origin: for only through the contents can its truth and validity be established".

Well, proofs – or at least documentation – is not more "objectively impossible" than that the Christians have got documentation in NT, and partly confirmed in the Quran, for that something supernatural was involved (another question is whether one wants to believe in that documentation or not). It ALWAYS is possible for a god to prove his existence (but not for a human to prove a god). What to be aware of here is that Islam has not one single proof for anything concerning the religion – not one single bit; only the word of a man with a very special morality – or amorality. Therefore they have to argue for blind belief and for that proofs are unnecessary, yes, that demands for proofs are intellectual stupidity and lack of intelligence. Which they do. And which is wrong.

One problem, though, is that it is logically and intellectually impossible to know something that is not proved. One maximally can believe strongly – sometimes so strongly that one believes one knows. But not proven beliefs never are more than beliefs – strong or not. But even strong beliefs ever so often have been – and are – wrong. People "knew" the Earth was flat – and it was wrong. Then people "knew" Earth was the centre of the geocentric Universe – and it was wrong. Then people "knew" Sol or Helios (2 names for our sun) was the centre of heliocentric Universe – wrong. And then they "knew" our galaxy ("The Milky Way") was the entire Universe – wrong once more. And in all religions – f. ex. Islam - there are people that "know" they are right and that all others are wrong - - - and most of them have to be wrong (and Muslims with their somewhat special founder and everything built only on claims

and with lots and lots and lots of mistakes, etc. in their holy book, in reality are in a most weak position for being the ones – if any – who are right).

But because their total lack of proofs and even of real indicia, Islam claims and claims and strongly claims that the texts in a book with lots of mistakes and errors and wrongs prove that a god has made it, that lack of ability to see this is your stupidity, not that the book is not perfect - and that blind belief is the ideal. **Which is a main – if not the main – reason why Muslims and Islam cannot accept or see any mistake in the Quran, no matter how obvious.**

018 5/14: “For those, too, who call themselves Christians, We (Allah*) did take a Covenant, but they forgot (read; falsified*) a good part of the Message that was sent them - - -“.

Comment 5/27: “I. e. their going astray from the genuine teachings of Jesus - - -.”

Comment 5/28: “- - - it is obvious that what is alluded to in this context is the concealing of something from oneself; in other words, it is a reference to the gradual obscuring (read; falsification*), by the followers of the Bible, of its original verities which they are now unwilling to admit even to themselves.”

This claim that the Bible is falsified you find many places in the Quran. But we have written about this so many places, that here we only remind you of that this is the only possible “explanation” Islam has and Muhammad had to “explain” away all the many differences between the Bible and the Quran - - - and that science long since and quite clearly has proved this undocumented claim wrong – the Bible may be mistaken here and there, but not one sample of falsification has been found. On the contrary: All the many old manuscripts show that the Bible today is the same as in the old times. The best proof for this is Islam: If one single real proof for falsification had ever been found, the world had been informed about it each and every time there is a debate between Christians or Jews and Muslims. Not a single consonant or vowel has ever been aired about a real proof – only undocumented statements and claims.

019 6/21: “But Satans never inspire their friends (non-Muslims*) to contend with you; if ye were to obey them, ye would indeed be Pagans”.

This claim that you shall shy away from what all non-Muslims say – and from debating with them – you will find several places in the Quran. One obvious possible reason is the same one that all leaders of new and extreme sects are aware of: If your followers get only your information or propaganda, it is much more likely they stay your followers, than if they also get balanced and correct information – this no matter if they understand your words literally or figuratively.

020 6/108: “Thus have We (Allah*) made alluring to each people its own doings”.

Comment 6/92 (6/93 in the 2008 English edition): “Lit., ‘thus godly have we made....’, etc. implying that it is in the nature of man to regard the belief which have been implanted in him from childhood, and which he now shares with his social environment, as the only true and possible ones – whith the result that a polemic against those beliefs often tends to provoke a hostile psychological reaction.” This is said as an explanation why Islam sometimes meets a negative reaction. But the book skips the fact that **this also goes for Muslims: If they are**

strongly indoctrinated, they may react strongly to arguments and facts they do not like –
and without thinking over – or being mentally able to think over – even true facts.

021 7/101: “- - - they (non-Muslims*) would not believe what they had rejected before.”
(Literally: “- - -to which they had given the lie aforetime”).

Comment 7/80 (7/82 in the 2008 English edition): “- - - an allusion to the instinctive
unwillingness of most people to give up the notions – positive or negative – to which they are
accustomed.”

But the book also here skips the fact that this also goes for Muslims: If they are strongly
indoctrinated, they may react strongly to arguments and facts they do not like – and without
thinking over – or being mentally able to think over – even true facts.

022 23/24: “- - -(moreover) we (non-Muslims*) have never heard (anything like) this from
our forebears of old!” (Literally: “- - - in connection with (Arab “fi”*) our early forebears!”).

Comment 23/11: “- - - a Quranic allusion to the fact that people often reject a new ethical
proposition on no better grounds than that it conflicts with their “inherited” habits of thoughts
and ways of life. Indirectly, this allusion implies a condemnation of all blind “taqlid”, i. e. an
unthinking acceptance of religious doctrines or assertions which are not unequivocally
supported by divine revelations, the explicit teachings of a prophet, or the evidence of the
unprejudiced reason”.

1. But the book skips the fact that this also goes
for Muslims: If they are strongly
indoctrinated, they may react strongly to
arguments and facts they do not like – and
without thinking over – or being mentally
able to think over – even true facts.
2. The book here has tailor-made a definition to
fit Islam. It has forgotten (?) that for one thing
that reason is unable to find the right answer
without full and correct information – and the
Quran is full of mistakes, that claims about
divine revelations are 15 to the dozen in some
religious circles and invalid as proofs without
documentation (something Muhammad and
Allah were unable to produce) – and that the
same goes for any self proclaimed prophet,
and especially so if his teachings are very
much wanting and he himself a questionable
person (like the real Muhammad was). **But
definitions like this frequently are used in
Islam and many Muslims really believe this
is ok. logic - - - which make straight
thinking difficult for them.**

023 26/74: “They (non-Muslims*) said: ‘Nay, but we found our fathers doing thus (what we
do)’”.

Comment 26/38: “(Zamakshari): ‘ - - - ancient usage and precedence in time are no proof of (a concept’s) soundness’. Razi, for his part, states that (this*) verse represents ‘one of the strongest (Quranic) indications of the immorality (Arab “fasad”*) inherent in (the principle of) "taqlid", i. e. the blind, unquestioning adoption of religious concepts or practices on basis of one’s uncritical faith in no more than the “authority” of a scholar or a religious leader.’”

1. But the book skips the fact that this also goes for Muslims: If they are strongly indoctrinated, they may react strongly to arguments and facts they do not like – and without thinking over – or being mentally able to think over – even true facts.

1. **But there hardly is a major religion more authoritative and with a clearer demand for blind belief than Islam.**

024 37/69-70: “Truly they found their fathers on the wrong path; so they (too) were rushed down their footsteps”,

Comment 37/25 (37/27 in 2008 English edition): “I. e. blind imitation ("taqlid") of the – obviously absurd – beliefs, valuations and customs (f. ex. some of the Muslim “moral” codexes*) of one’s erring predecessors, and disregard of all evidence (!!!*) of the truth supplied by both reason and divine (?*) revelation, is here shown to be the principal cause of the suffering referred to - - -. (Zamakshari)”.

No comments necessary – this also fits Muslims 100%, not to say 120%.

025 43/23: “We (non-Muslims*) found our fathers following a certain religion, and we will certainly follow in their footsteps”.

Comment 43/23: “Rezi (one of the foremost Muslim scholars through the times*) says: ‘Had there been in the Quran nothing but these verses, they would have sufficed to show the falsity of the principle postulating (a Muslim’s) blind, unquestioning adoption of (another person’s) religious opinions (“ibtal al-qawl bi’t-raqlid”) - - -’”.

If he had indicated Islam and the fathers and/or the imams, it hardly would be possible to say this more accurate. Islam is to a large degree based on indoctrination, social pressure and glorification of blind faith.

026 28/63: Those whom we (non-Muslims*) caused to err so grievously, we but caused to err as we ourselves had been erring”.

Comment 28/66: “In its deepest sense, this passage – as so many similar throughout the Quran – **points to the moral inadmissibility of accepting an ethical or intellectual proposition as true on no other grounds than that it was held to be true for older generations.**”

For members of a religion based only – only – on a book with many mistakes and wrongs and with partly malevolent moral and ethical rules (but so integrated and accepted in and by their

religion and culture, that **they themselves are unable to see anything wrong or how bad it really is**), this should be a reason for some deep thinking, and especially for Muslims.

027 21/24: "But most of them (non-Muslims*) know not the Truth, and so turn away".

Comment 21/31 (21/32 in 2008 English edition): "In other words, most people's obstinate refusal to consider a reasonable proposition on its merits is often due to no more than the simple fact that it is not familiar to them."

What we know from our parents and culture must be right – and we do not know anything about clear mistakes (most Muslims honestly do not know about mistakes – they have too often and for too long been told that the Quran is perfect, and been told "explanations" for the mistakes).

And then there is the unbeatable argument for believing in spite of mistakes and anything: "Blind belief is the ideal presented by Muhammad."

028 6/149: **The Quran here speaks about the claim that Allah decides everything, and at the same time man has free will – a claim you meet many places in the Quran - - and an absolute impossibility** (it is a version of "the Time Travel Paradox, which is proved unsolvable).

Comment 6/141 (6/143 in 2008 English edition): "In other words, the real relationship between Allah's knowledge of the future (and, therefore, the ineluctability of what is to happen in the future) on one side, and man's free will, on the other – two propositions that on the face of it, seems to contradict one another – is beyond man's comprehension; but since they both are postulated by Allah (in the Quran*), both must be true". A very lame "explanation" or claim.

It is not difficult to understand that Muslims believe like they do, born and bred to believe such impossible and unbelievably illogical and naïve claims on blind faith.

PART VII, CHAPTER 10, SUBCHAPTER 2 (= VII-10-2-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

THE QURAN IS TO BE UNDERSTOOD LITERALLY IF NOTHING ELSE IS INDICATED

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents in this chapter:

1. Some essentials for how the Quran is to be read and understood.(VII-10-1-0)
2. The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated.(VII-10-2-0)
3. 450+ points with unclear or difficult to understand or impossible to be sure what is the real and original meaning in the Quran. (VII-10-3-0)

The Quran and Islam for one thing claim that the clear and easy language is a proof for that the book is made by a god, and for another that the perfect language is a proof for the existence of Allah (no such proofs exist, so they try to find proofs). And not least that the book and its perfect language is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated - that the language is "clear and easy" and that only those "in whose hearts are perversity" go looking for hidden meanings - hidden meanings "it only is for Allah to understand".

001 3/7: "He (Allah*) it is Who has sent down to thee (Muhammad/Muslims*) the Book (the Quran*), **in it are verses basic or fundamental (of established meaning); that are the foundation of the Book:** others are allegorical. **But those in whose hearts are perversity follow the part thereof that is allegorical,** seeking discord, and seeking for its hidden meanings, but no one knows its hidden meanings except Allah." **It is very clear here that the plain and obvious meaning in the texts mainly is the correct understanding.** When you remember that Muhammad's congregation mainly were uneducated and often naïve people, this is even easier to understand had to be the case - it had to be meant for literal and easy understanding.

Then there is the question about allegories. There are some scattered verses said to be allegories or similar, and which are explained the meanings of. As the meanings are explained, these must be understood as included in "verses basic or fundamental".

There are no clear allegories where the meaning is not obvious or explained.

But in any text – even in Donald Duck – it is possible to find – or make up - hidden meanings. But this is strongly advised against here: Only Allah is qualified to do that: "- no one knows its hidden meaning except Allah". And who is better to know just that than Allah? – the maker (?) of the book - and the one revering the presumed Mother Book in his "home"? But all the same a standard explanation used by Islam of any mistake or contradiction is that it must not be understood literally but allegorically. As soon as f. ex. science shows that something is wrong, that text switches from being "basic and fundamental – of established meaning", to becoming an allegory. This in spite of Allah and in spite of the clear words of the Quran. It is one of the three most frequently used last ditch ways Islam and Muslims use to try to "explain" away things that cannot be explained. (Number 2 is: "You cannot take the meaning from just one point or a few verse – you have to judge from the whole connection (or the whole Quran)." This in spite of that they themselves happily and with glee quotes and often even twists words far out of context to favour Islam (f. ex. "There is (wrongly quoted) no compulsion in religion" - correctly quoted: "Let there be no compulsion in religion". The first pretends to be a fact, the other in reality is a wish or something. And what makes it really twisted in addition to misquoted, is that it is abrogated by no less than at least some 30 later verses - a fact any educated Muslim knows, but never mention) or to discredit any other religion. And the 3. last ditch "explanation" is: "You are lying" of "- are a Muslim hater" or "-

a Jew lower", in any of which cases your meanings, arguments, and even your facts from the Quran are of no consequence or interest. Efficient ways do get out of or "de-railing" a difficult discussion.) But 3/7 proves that to make up hidden meanings behind the words – f. ex. changing its meaning to be allegorical where an allegory is not indicated – is wrong and strongly against Allah's wish and order – it is the work of "those in whose hearts is perversity".

Also see 44/58 and 54/17 below.

002 44/58: "Verily, We (Allah*) have made this (Quran) easy, in thy (Muhammad's) tongue in order that they (people*) may give heed." Also see 3/7 above and 54/17 below. There is no doubt that Muhammad/Allah meant the Quran to be understood literally. To search for hidden meanings "a la" not clearly indicated allegories, is wrong. All the same Muslims and Islam use such claims in east and west as a last resort to be able to "explain" that which is not explainable if one reads the Quran literally and in the way Allah clearly states that it is to be read and understood – except for by those "in whose hearts is perversity" (3/7).

003 54/17 = 54/20 = 54/32 = 54/40: "And We (Allah*) have indeed made the Quran easy to understand - - -." Anyone wanting to try to "explain" away difficult points like mistakes or invalid logic or contradictions by calling them allegories etc. should read this sentence. It even is written 4 times and thus a solidly cemented and nailed truth: The Quran is to be understood literally and search for hidden meanings is only for Allah, and such search only is for the ones "in whose hearts is perversity - - -." (3/7). Also see 3/7 and 44/58 above.

Further documentation about this, with comments from "The Message of the Quran" in chapter VII-10-1 "Some essentials for understanding the Quran".

PART VII, CHAPTER 10, SUBCHAPTER 3 (= VII-10-3-0)

FACTS VS. CLAIMS, STATEMENTS, (INVALID) "SIGNS", (INVALID) "PROOFS", ETC. IN THE QURAN: THE QURAN AND ALLAH ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

CLEAR AND EASILY UNDERSTOOD LANGUAGE IN THE QURAN? - 450+ CASES OF UNCLEAR LANGUAGE AND VERSES, OR DOUBLE OR MULTIPLE MEANINGS.

(In a book where the claimed clear language is claimed to be a proof for that it is made by a god.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents in this chapter:

1. Some essentials for how the Quran is to be read and understood.(VII-10-1-0)
2. The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated.(VII-10-2-0)
3. 450+ points with unclear or difficult to understand or impossible to be sure what is the real and original meaning of, in the Quran (this subchapter). (VII-10-3-0)

Some of the 450+ examples of vague language, difficult to understand language, or language with more than one meaning – up to at least 5 – and thus a guesswork what it really means, may not be the most interesting stuff if you are not looking for such things. We all the same have chosen to be thorough (to a degree) because one of the things Muslims really boast about is the clear and easily understood language in the Quran.

Muslims for one thing claim that the clear and easy language is a proof for that it is made by a god, and for another that the perfect language is a proof for the existence of Allah (no such proofs exist, so they try to find some).

Both claims are very clearly wrong as you will see, as the language all too often is neither clear, nor distinct or specific, nor easy to understand.

Double or more meanings possible – or meaning unclear.

Muslims and the Quran alike tells that the text in the Quran is easy to understand and not possible to misunderstand. If an omniscient god had made it, that should have been the case.

But in reality many things and many points are unclear. We have mentioned many points here and there in this book, and this just is a short summary:

1. The way the Quran came to be according to the claims, had many sources for mistakes. Muhammad had to remember everything exactly right. The ones he told it to had to remember everything exactly right when they told it to the ones who wrote it down - sometimes many years later after it was retold some times. The scribes had to write it down exactly as it was told. And not least: All the scraps of bone and banana leaves and skin, etc. the verses were written on originally - but ONLY they - had to be used when the presumed final Quran was composed in the 650s AD. And nothing was to be “corrected” by the “composers” or the caliph. And not to mention: The editors of the book should not have any wish to leave out or add verses for "political" or other reasons, which according

- to persistent rumours within Islam did happen!
2. Then all other Qurans that circulated, had to be destroyed to make the official the only one around 650 - 656 AD. They were not, and could influence later handwritten copies (of course all were handwritten). But there at least existed “parallel” copies into the 11th century - if a copyist had two different Qurans to make a copy from, which one did he copy which verse or surah from?
 3. Then there was the trouble with the alphabet: It was incomplete as it only had the consonants. When they finally got a complete alphabet many years later (around 900 AD), it was impossible to tell what the real meaning of many words was - two or more meanings often were possible. (As said: If you know that “h” and “s” represent an English word, it can in English mean house, hose, his or has if you do not know which vowels to insert - and that was their problem (in addition to the lack of the points Arab later got to signify some letters)). And this really made problems for how to understand the text.
 4. They took the easy way out and said that all varieties that gave logical meaning were correct - even if there often were big differences in what the possible words meant. The Quran now was very far from clear - everybody could choose the meaning and the variety of text he wanted. There literally were thousands of varieties. A complete mini-chaos, and very far from “clear and no misunderstanding”. To hide that it was a real melee of varieties, they called it “ways of reading the Quran”, not varieties. Honest?
 5. This melee slowly was reduced to 14 or more varieties, and little by little to just a few. The situation today with just two is partly a result of the printing press.
 6. But even today there is a problem with words Islam has not decided on the one and only meaning of. At least 2 meanings are possible in many cases - far from “clear and no misunderstanding possible”.
 7. Even worse in a way, is that the text itself and not only the orthography many places is not clear, but possible to understand in more than one way - a mistake not necessary for an

omniscient god. If you read a little about the Quran, you will find that the Muslim learned men - few, if any woman - still disagree about a lot of points. Plus it seems that they adjust some points of the stories a little sometimes, f. ex. to be able to tell that it is in accordance with modern science, where that is possible.

We mention some verses where Muhammad Asads “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo and printed by an Islamic academy, says the text or parts of the text are unclear or possible to understand in more than one way: 4/1, 4/3, 4/11, 4/16, 4/19, 4/25, 4/29, 4/31, 4/31, 4/34, 4/43, 4/51, 4/94, 4/102, 4/105, 4/117, 4/148, 4/153, 4/160, 4/171 (This just from one surah chosen by chance - there are 114 of different lengths).

If you meet a Muslim saying that the Quran is totally clear and not to be misunderstood at any point, he either does not know much about the Quran or he is lying to you to defend his religion. (Lying to “infidels” are under some circumstances permitted in Islam - even oaths are not absolute. They call it al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) or Kitman (the lawful half-truths) - expressions that only Islam of the large religions has.

Very clear.

Abraviations in this chapter: A= Muhammad Azad: “The Message of the Quran”. The following number (f. ex. A17) = the footnote number. YA = A. Yusuf Ali in his “The meaning of the Quran”.

001 1/7 (A4): “- - - those whose (portion) is not (Allah’s*) wrath - - -.” To whom does “those” refer to here? F. ex. Al- Ghazali and Muhammad Abduh mean it is those that have left Islam. Others have other definition. It is still debated after 1400 years. Clear speech? (An extra point: You meet claims that no-one leaves Islam. Here it is confirmed by Islam that it do happen - and especially Al-Ghazali was and is a real heavy-weight in Islam, “the greatest Muslim after Muhammad”.)

002 2/25 (A17): “- - - for they shall be given something that will recall that (past).” The exact translation: “something resembling it”. “Various interpretations, some of them quite esoteric and highly speculative, have been given to this passage. - - - (A likely meaning is*) ‘It is this that we (Muslims*) have been promised during our life on earth as a requital for faith and righteous deeds’. But a number of other interpretations are possible.

003 2/34 (A26): “- - - they (the angels*) all prostrated themselves, save Iblis (the future Devil*) - - - and thus he became one of those who deny the truth”. There is an added mystery here: It is indicated here that Iblis was an angel, but angels were created from light, whereas in many places in the Quran is said that Iblis was created from fire = Iblis was a Jinn. Also the fact that he was able to refuse Allah’s order makes Islamic scholars question his being an angel, because angels are totally obedient. That aside:

(It is) “absolutely clear that at the time of that command he (Iblis*) was indeed one of the heavenly host. Hence we must assume that his “rebellion” has a purely symbolic significance and is, in reality, the outcome of a specific function assigned to him by Allah.”

This is a touchy point for some Muslims: If Iblis was able to refuse to obey, Allah is not omnipotent. If on the other hand Hell is part of Allah's design, Allah far from is a pure and benevolent god. Muhammad Asad tends to believe it is part of Allah's design – the omnipotence is more essential than benevolence – but we have never seen a clear answer to the enigma. The real significance of this scene is unclear.

004 2/35a (A27): “O Adam, dwell thou and thy wife in this garden - - -.” Exact translation: “the garden”. “There is a considerable difference of opinion among the commentators as to what is the meaning here by ‘garden’: a garden in the earthly sense, or the paradise that awaits the righteous in the life to come, or some special garden in the heavenly regions?” The text is not specific, and the meaning unclear.

005 2/35b (YA49): “Not so Iblis (the later Devil*).” But the Arab text actually says: “They (the angles*) bowed down, except Iblis.” This in case means that Iblis was an angel, whereas the Quran several other places tells he was a jinn (made from fire, whereas angles were made from light). Clear text?

006 2/36 (A30): “- - - on earth you shall have your abode and your livelihood for a while!” We quote from “The Message of the Quran”: “With this sentence the address changes from the hitherto-observed dual form (a grammatical form for plural that exists in some languages, included Arab, which means the subjects are 2*) to the plural: A further indication that the moral of the story relates to the human race as a whole.” An indication, but not clear language. Another comment: Muhammad Asad has the same tendency like many Muslims explaining or promoting their religion: This sentence is an order to Adam and perhaps to his descendants that they will have to live on Earth for some time. If you want to include a moral aspect in Allah's words, this in case is an interpretation that may be right or wrong, but which is not part of the words.

+007 2/53a (A38): Does the Arab text here mean: “- and (thus) a standard (the 10 Commandments*) by which to discern the true from the false - - -”? Or perhaps: “- - - and (thus) human reason by which to discern the true from the false - - -”? And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

008 2//53b (YA68): “- - -the Scriptures and the Criterion - - -.” The scriptures must mean the 10 commandments (and the Law, that Islam says he got at the same time, but in the Bible it is said he was told the law and wrote it down himself later – not given as a scripture), but is the “Criterion” just another word for the Commandments or is it something else – miracles or some other signs or scriptures? Islam does not know. Clear texts?

+009 2/54 (A39): Moses said: “- - - turn, then, in repentance to your (the Jews') Maker and mortify yourselves - - -.” Or may be “- - - kill yourself - - -.” Or may be “- - - kill one another - - -.” The language is too unclear to tell what is the real meaning – at least 3 different ones. (M. Asad has preference for the first meaning, because of other texts in the Quran). And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

+010 2/61 (YA74): “Go ye (Jews*) to any town - - -.” But the Arab word “Misr” (town) here also may mean “the Egypt of Pharaoh” = in this case “any country fertile like Egypt.”

011 2/101 (YA102): “They (Jews*) threw away the Book of Allah - - -.” Does this in this special case indicate the Quran or the Bible (OT)? – it is not clear. Both meanings are possible.

+012 2/102 (A83): “- - - and such things as came down at Babylon to the angels Harut and Marut.” This meaning you get if you among the consonants in the Arab original text use the vowels a-a-a-y and get the word “malakayn” = the two angels. If you instead guess that the correct vowels are a-i-a-y and get the word “malikayn” = the two kings, you get this meaning: “- - - and such things as came down to the two kings Harut and Marut.” There is a difference between 2 angels and 2 kings. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning. Facts and problems like these Muslims strongly deny when they say the Quran is exact and correct “to the last comma” (the comma did not exist in Arab at the time of Muhammad).

+013 2/146: “The people of the Book (Jews, Christians*) know this as they know their own sons.” But “this” instead may mean “him” – the Arab word has double meaning. Then the meaning becomes: “- - - know him (Muhammad) as - - -.” A tiny wee bit different.

+014 2/148 (YA153): “- - - a goal to which Allah turns him (a person*) - - -.” Or – depending on how you understand the Arab pronoun “huwa” in the original – may be: “- - - To each is a goal to which he turns”. Clear and not to be misunderstood?

015 2/158 (A128): “Behold! Safa and Marwah (2 hillocks or rocks in Mecca*) are among the symbols of Allah. So if those who visit the House (Kabah*) in the Season or at other times should encompass them around, it is no sin in them”. These two hillocks (now inside the mosque complex) were religious symbols also in pagan times before Islam, and Hadiths (f. ex. Al-Bukhari) explains this verse with that, many Muslims thought that if they followed the old rituals and visited them, they paid tribute to the old gods, but that Muhammad then in this verse told them that it was no sin – on the contrary it is to pay respect to Allah. The ritual simply is to hasten between (not around) them 7 times symbolizing Hagar’s claimed search for water after Abraham had left her and their son Ishmael (Islam claims it was here it happened). But the question is: Is this ritual obligatory or a “supererogatory act of piety”? (Zamakhshari and Razi). The text on this point is unclear. Today it is reckoned to be an integrate part of the pilgrimage, but the text is unclear.

016 2/178 (A148): “But if any remission is made by the brother of the slain - - -.” But the literal text only says “and he to whom (something) is remitted by his brother”. Then the question is: Whom does the word “his” really refer to? – the brother of the victim or the brother of the murderer? – or for that case a “brother” in faith? Islam is still debating it – quite naturally, as the question and its answer can have really serious effects in a murder case. This one honestly should have been clearly stated, and it is most unlikely that a god would leave this as unclear as is the case here.

017 2/184: “But he that will give more, of his own free will – it is better for him.” But the Arab expression “alladhina yutiqunahu” may be “able to do it” or “can afford it”. In this translation it tends towards “ability to afford”. But if one thinks the other one is the correct, the meaning may be this: “Whoever does more good than he is bound to do do good unto himself thereby - - -.” To do good is a much wider word than to give.

+018 2/187 (YA196): “- - - the black thread - - -” – does it indicate “- - - all three things (eat, drink, sex*) must stop during fast - - -“? – or: “What is permitted is well enough, but seek the higher things ordained for you.” Just choose you’re the meaning.

019 2/194 (YA209): “The prohibited month - - -.” But Arabia had 4 prohibited months, when f. ex. warfare was prohibited – not one. Can here be meant the month of Hajj – the pilgrimage (“Dhu al Hijjah”) – or may be the following month (“Muharram”) that often was called “al Haram” = the prohibited or sacred? Islam does not know. Clear language?

020 2/196 (YA215): “This is for those whose household is not in (the precincts of) the Sacred Mosque (Kabah*) - - -.” To quote Yusuf Ali: “There is disagreement among jurists whether residents of Mecca are allowed to make ‘tamattu’ or not.” Very clear.

021 2/197(A180): “For Hajj are the months well known.” The three forbidden/holy months around the time of the pilgrimage? – or the month of Hajj each year? Nobody knows even after 1400 years of study.

022 2/200 (A185): “- - - celebrate the praise of Allah, as ye used to celebrate the praises of your fathers - - -.” Like the praise of your fathers/parents? – or like the praise of their forefathers like was the custom in pagan times there? Impossible to know.

023 2/204a (A187): “There is the type of man whose speech about this world’s life may dazzle you - - -.” Some person(s) contemporary with Muhammad? – a general picture? Islam does not know, but debates it?

024 2/204b (A189): “- - - yet he is the most contentious of enemies.” Here Islam simply is guessing wildly about who “he” is. The text is too unclear, and the possibilities are too many.

025 2/208 (A191): “O ye who believe! Enter into Islam wholeheartedly; and follow not in the footsteps of Satan - - -.” Is it the Muslims that shall follow the religion wholeheartedly? – or people who believed in the Bible that should enter Islam? (Zamakhshari, Razi). Muslim scholars are guessing.

026 2/221 (A208): “- - - a slave woman - - -.” Does it in this special case mean an ordinary slave woman? – or a slave woman of Allah = a Muslim woman? – or a slave woman that is Muslim? In this case the distinctions may count quit a lot - - - but the book is silent.

+027 2/233a (A219): “If they both decide on weaning - - -.” But the Arab word here – “fisal” – also may mean separation, a meaning “The Message of the Quran” uses here – separation of the child from its mother. Both meanings are “correct” in this very distinct language.

028 2/233b (A220): “- - - provided ye paid (the mother) what ye offered - - -.” Or does it mean “- - - provided you ensure, in a fair manner, the safety of the child - - -“? Or perhaps “- - - provided you, in a fair manner, surrendered the child - - -“? Just go on guessing – Islam is guessing, too.

029 2/238 (YA271): “- - - the Middle Prayer - - -.” Muslim authorities differ as to the correct meaning, as the Arab expression “al Salat al wusta” as well may mean “the best or most excellent prayer” and thus may indicate the Asr prayer in the middle of the afternoon.

030 2/243 (A232): “Didst thou not turn thy vision to those who abandoned their homes, though they were thousands (in number) for fear of death?” Where? Who? When? – a lot of questions and no answers, as this is the total of information the Quran gives, and there is no known connection – not even to a fairy tale. Clear information in the book?

031 2/255a (A247): “He (Allah*) knoweth what (appeareth to His creatures as) Before or After or Behind them.” That is how A. Yusuf Ali understands what literally means: “- - - that which is between their hands and that which is behind them”. Islam does not have the faintest idea about the real meaning, and the scholars makes guesswork in many – and contradicting and conflicting – ways. “- - - between the hands - - -” may f.ex. mean this world - - - or may be the next. And what “- - - is behind them” may mean this world because it is to be left - - - or may be it means the next live because it is an allusion to the hidden world. And a number of other unclear ideas. And it is heavyweights like Mujahid, ‘Ata, Ad-Aahhak, Al-Kalbi, Razi, Zamakhshari and others who are guessing. Clear language, easy to understand?

032 2/255b (A248): “His (Allah’s*) Throne dothe extend over the heavens and the earth - - -.” Literally: “His seat (of power) - - -.” But what is the intended meaning? – “His dominion” or “His sovereignty”? (Zamakhshari). – or “His knowledge”? (Muhammad ‘Abduh), - or “His majesty and glory”? (Razi), - or something else? Who knows?

033 2/258-260 (YA302): This is too much to quote, but we quote some of A. Yusuf Ali’s remark, that is very enlightening as to the Islamic claim that the language in the Quran is crystal clear: “The three verses 258 – 260 have been the subject to much controversy as to the exact meaning to be attached to the incidents and persons alluded to, whose names are not mentioned. In such matters, where the Quran has given no names and the Prophet has himself given no indication, it seems to me useless to speculate - - -.” Yes, the Quran always is crystal clear.

034 2/259 (YA304): “- - - by a hamlet, all in ruins - - -.” Does this refer to Ezekiel’s vision of dry bones (Bible: Ezekiel 37/1-10), to Nehemiah’s destroyed Jerusalem (Bible: Nehemiah 2/11-20), to one of the legends concerning Uzayr/Ezra, or to something else? Islam does not know. Clear texts?

035 2/260 (A257): “Take four birds; tame them to turn to thee (Abraham*); put a portion of them on every hill, and call to them - - -.” But the Arab expression “surhunna ilayka” simply means “make them incline towards thee”. And then the meaning really is: “- place them (separately) on every hill, and call to them - - -.” And that is a very different story. Clear (fairy) tale?

036 2/275 (YA324): “- - - those who devour usury”. That usury is prohibited is most clear. But what is the definition for usury? “When it comes to the definition of usury there is room for differences of opinion” – even when it comes to a topic that is so central in real life, the texts in the Quran is not clear “as the Prophet left this world before the details of the question were settled” – **and Islam has no real moral philosophy, only Muhammad’s (sometimes quite immoral) words. And here there are no such words.**

037 2/286 (A278): ”O our Sustainer! Lay not on us (Muslims*) a burden like that which Thou didst lay on those before us - - -.” Muslims like to tell this refers to heavy burdens placed on the Jews by the Law of Moses. But in reality the Quran neither says about whom it is speaking or what kind of burden (f. ex. forefathers’ burden of paganism and/or sins).

038 3/3: “It is He (Allah*) Who sent down to thee (Muhammad*) - - - the Book, confirming what went before it - - -.” Here is indicated that it confirms the Bible. But the Arab original is more cloudy: “- - - ma baynayadayhi - - -” – literally “- - - that which is between its hands - - -”. Is it correct to guess that it means “- - - what went before - - -”? Or is it more correct to guess it means “- - - what is left now - - -”? Muslim scholars dispute about it – most agree to the first alternative, but some to the other. And the literal meaning is yet another. Clear language?

00a 3/7 (YA347): “- - - in verses basic and fundamental (of established meaning); They are the foundation of the Book: others are not of well-established meaning - - -.” Comments necessary? **The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated. But some of it has established meaning and some not.**

039 3/49 (A37): “- - - I (Jesus*) make for you out of clay, as it were, the figure of a bird and breathe into it, and it becomes a bird by Allah’s leave - - -”. But is this a real story or an allegory? Muslim scholars debate it. (Actually the story is from one of the apocryphal (made up legend) “child gospels”.) Clear speech?

+040 3/118: “- - - they (non-Muslims*) will not fail to corrupt you.” But the exact text says (translated from Swedish): “- - - they love all that can distress you - - -.” And that is not the same meaning by far.

041 3/142: “Did you think ye would enter Heaven without Allah testing those who fought hard (in His Cause) and remained steadfast?” But the literal meaning of the original text is: “- - - while Allah has not yet taken cognizance of those of you who have striven . . . and those who are patient in adversity.” Islam explains that as Allah knows everything, this in reality means that nothing – no test – has taken place. But if Allah is omniscient, there does not exist one single reason for a test of anybody. And if Allah does not know how each and everybody will behave, he is not omniscient and clairvoyant. Islam’s explanation here is logically invalid. Do they have something better – not the (sorry) usual stuff where they “explain” one piece of a complex question, and then tell “this is why” – and silently skip the other parts of the complex, that shows that the claim(s) is/are wrong or invalid? – there is too much of that in Islam.

042 3/172 (A130): “Of those who answered the call of Allah and the Messenger (Muhammad*) even after being wounded - - -.” Who? Where? In what situation? Nobody knows – the book is silent, and Muslim scholars’ debate. After Uhud? To Badr as-Sughra? Or some other incident? Anyone is free to guess.

043 3/179 (A136): “Allah will not leave the believers in the state (f. ex. of being the losers*) in which ye are now - - -.” (After the in reality lost battle of Uhud – though Mecca did not follow up the victory in time). Is this the right meaning of Arab “ma antum alayhi” (exact meaning: “that upon which you are”)? Or does it mean “the (economic, etc.*) condition in which you are”? (Rezi and others). Text not clear.

044 4/1 (A1): “- - - created you from a single Person (”nafs”) - - -.” Is this the correct meaning? Or f.ex. “- - - from humankind - - -” (Muhammad ’Abdu)? Or “- - - from a soul - - -.” Or “- - - from a spirit - - -”? Or “- - - from a living entity - - -”? Or “- - - from a vital principle - - -”? Or “- - - from self - - -”? Etc. The word ”nafs” is very vague and has many meanings – far from the clear language Muslims claim. At least 7 possible meanings.

+045 4/3 (A3): “- - - marry women of your choice, two or three or four - - -.” Is this the correct meaning? (Exact literal meaning: “- - - such as are good for you - - -”). Or “- - - as are lawful for you - - -” (Muhammad Asad)? Or (“- - - you must apply the same consideration to the rights and interest of the woman you intend to marry (as to the orphan*) - - -.” (Sa’id ibn Jubair and others)? Or does it simply mean “- - - such as are good for you - - -”? Here either the Quran or the Muslim scholars have made at least 4 meanings. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

046 4/12 (YA520): “- - - Their share is a fourth - - -.” But the Arab word used here “kalalah” had no fixed meaning at the time of Muhammad (it is one of the 3 words Umar wished Muhammad had defined before his death – the other two being “khilafah” and “riba” = usury). Has Islam guessed correctly? Nobody knows.

047 4/19 (A17): “Ye are forbidden to inherit women against their will.” Can this mean you cannot inherit from what she owned after she died, if she protested before she died? Hardly – not with the Islamic laws for inheritances. Zamakhsharif proposes that it may mean it is prohibited to force an unwilling or not loved wife to stay with you, in the hope of inheriting her. Other authorities say it means that you are not permitted to inherit the woman as a person – for an extra wife or for your harem (it of course is permitted if she is a slave) against her will” (to inherit free women in this meaning, is prohibited also by other laws). This is about very essential points in human life – no omnipotent god had used so vague words in their law.

048 4/25 (A29): “If any of you have not the means - - -.” The Arab expression says: “lam yastadi’ tawlan” literally means: “cannot afford” – but do this in this case mean “have not money”, or does any hindrance count (Muhammad ‘Abduh)? The book gives no answer, even if this concerns central happenings in a human’s life.

049 4/29 (A38): “Eat not up your property among yourselves in vanity”. But is that the correct meaning? “The Message of the Quran” has: “Do not devour one another’s possessions wrongfully – not even by way of trade based on mutual agreement” – which roughly says the same (something like “do not cheat each other” or worse) in a few more words. But the Arab word “illa” in front means “except” or “unless it be”, which means that the literal meaning in reality is “do not eat up one another’s possessions wrongfully, unless it be (an act of) trade based on mutual agreement” - - - which means that wrongful profit is ok if the parts agree on it – f. ex. by a swindle where the buyer believes he/she gets a fair deal. This strongly contradicts other Islamic laws. It takes some highly advanced verbal gymnastics to explain it away. Every scholar agrees that the literal meaning must be wrong, but words have to be used in special meanings to make that meaning disappear. At very best very unusual use of the language – in spite of that the Quran itself boasts of that the language it to be understood literal, and that it is easy to understand. More likely it simply is a big mistake a la 6/151.

+050 4/31 (A40): “And admit you to a Gate of great honour” = admit you in an honourable way. This is among other Razi’s interpretation. But what is the real meaning of the Arab word “mudkhal”? It may as well mean “- - - enter an abode of glory” = a glorious place. Clear?

051 4/33 (YA543): “To (benefit) every one, We (Allah*) have appointed sharers and heirs - - -.” But the Arab word used here may mean: A. Closely related. B. Heir. C. Sharer or partner. D. Neighbour. E. Friend. F. Protector. G. Client. H. Lord or master. At least 8 different meanings. Clear?

052 4/34a (A43): “- - - the righteous woman - - - guard in (the husband’s) absence what Allah would have them guard.” But what? – is it a warning about unlawful sex? – has it to do with felicity in the wider sense? – to guide her husband’s property? Who knows – it is one of the unclear points for Muslims, even though it is a central point in life.

+053 4/34b (YA547): “- - - spank them (lightly) - - -.” But the Arab word used here – “daraba” – “is used in the Quran with about **17 different meanings** - - -.” Has Allah so limited a vocabulary that he has to use such a diffuse speech? **At least it is very clear that the texts in the Quran frequently are very unclear.**

054 4/51 (A69): “They believe in Sorcery and Evil - - -.” But the Arab (in reality unlikely to be Arab according to Lisan al-Arab) word “al-jibt” that A. Yusuf Ali says mean sorcery and evil, is an unclear word. This sentence in reality may mean: “They believe in baseless mysteries” (M. Asad). Or: “They believe in something in which there is no good” (Baydavi, Qamus). Or: “They believe in enchantment” (Umar ibn al-Khattab). Or: “They believe in anything that is worshipped instead of Allah” (Zamakhshari). And a number of other possibilities included “soothsaying”, “fanciful ideas”, “superstitious divinations” and more. Very unclear language to use for a god making a book that is “clear and easy to understand.”

055 4/57 (A74): “We shall admit them to shades, cool and deepening.” One thing is that here is one more of the innumerable cases of “only Arabian references” in a claimed world religion from a god for the entire world. More down to earth is: Is the sentence correctly understood? Today the Arab word “zill” mainly means “shade” and “zill zahil” something like “dense shadow” (no good thing for one from cold countries that dreams about good sunshine). But all languages changes – so also Arab. In old Arab it also meant “a covering” or “a shelter” or “protection” or even “a state of ease, pleasure and plenty” or “happiness” (Lane 1915) – and the “zill zahil” that is used here “abundant happiness” (Razi). There is a difference between “deep shade” and “abundant happiness” – except perhaps for home-grown dwellers of hot deserts.

056 4/88 (A105 – in 2008 edition 107): “Why should ye be divided into two parties about the Hypocrites?” Who were they? Muslim elite scholars like Ibn ‘Abbas and Tabari have speculated a lot, but the only result is “various conjectures”. **And when top Islamic thinkers through 1400 years ends up with “various conjectures – yes, then the language in the Quran is “clear and easy.”**

057 4/94 (A118 (A120 in the 2008 edition)): “Even thus were ye yourself before - - -.” Literal meaning: “thus have you (too) been aforetime”. Does this refer to the feeble start of Islam in Mecca? – or to you personally before you became a Muslim or Allah helped you in other ways? – or the same for a community? – or for a country? The context favours the first meaning, but it is far from clear. And if that is the meaning: Why does Allah make a purely Arab religion based on Arabia 600-650 AD + legends and contorted stories picked up in Arabia during the same period of time about neighbouring religions, etc., if he is omnipotent, omniscient and clairvoyant and wants to create a universal, timeless religion?

058 4/102 (A130 – in 2008 edition A132): “But there is no blame on you if ye put away your arms because of the inconvenience of rain - - -” – i.e. if your weapons could be damaged by rain according to “The Message of the Quran”. But the only weapons they had that could be damaged by rain, were things made from iron that could rust – mainly the sword (arrows were for use and perhaps only once, and a little rust did not matter much) and coats-of-mail – and

from skin that could grow soft (on shields + coats of leather – not as good a defence as coats-of-mail, but much cheaper). But they knew ever so well that use a little fat on iron, and it does not rust, and impregnate skin/leather with fat, and it repels water. Then why this sentence? Well, there also are other meanings of the Arab word “matar” (= rain), as it also means (translated from Swedish) “duress”, “dire need”, “accident”, “an affliction”. The real meaning may thus be very different - f. ex.: “But there is no blame on you if you put away your arms if you suffer from an affliction”. Not “a clear and easy to understand” language used by this god (?). At least - at least - two very different meanings. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

+059 4/103 (YA619): “When ye, pass (congregational) prayers - - -.” 2 meanings possible: “When ye have finished congregational prayers”, or “When (because of grave danger) ye have to pass over congregational prayers - - -.” Pick your choice.

060 4/105 (A131 – in the 2008 edition A133): “We (Allah*) have sent down to thee the Book (the Quran*) - - -.” The word thee/thou/ye/you often is used in ways that makes it difficult to decide who “you” is (f. ex. you have the same in 4/113). Here it is likely, but far from sure, that it means Muhammad. But it may also mean “you Muslims” or “you people”, etc. – and some places the guesswork is more difficult and the answer more unsure than here. Far from a “clear and easily understood” language” – any omniscient god should be able to do better than this.

061 4/117 (A138 – in the 2008 edition A140): “(The Pagans) leaving Him (Allah’), call but upon female deities - - -.” This may be correct, as Arab “inath” may mean “a female being”. But is also may mean “inanimate things” (Lance I, 112) or “lifeless symbols”. May be the real meaning is something like “- - - call but on your lifeless (wooden) idols - - -.”? Not a language “clear and easy to understand.”

062 4/127: “Concerning the children who are weak and oppressed - - -.” The original Arab text here says “orphans of women”. Does this mean orphans after widows that have died? – or female children? Islam does not know. A clear (?) language **“doctored” by the translator – there is too much of that in translations of the Quran** (though A. Yusuf Ali is not too bad.).

+063 4/142 (A157 – in 2008 edition A158): “The hypocrites – they think they are overreaching Allah, but He will overreach them (non-Muslims*) - - -“. Literal meaning: “He is their deceiver”. But f. ex. Rezi has: “He (Allah*) will requite them for their deception.” There is a clear distinction here: In the first case Allah deceits the non-Muslims so that may be the plans crumble before they give any Muslims problems. In the other case he avenges what they did. 2 different meanings. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

We also add that this sentence: “He (Allah*) is their deceiver” is one of the moral alibis Islam uses for its doctrines of “al-Taqiyya” (the lawful lie) and “Kitman” (the lawful half-truth) – a kind of permitted dishonesty included in Islam but in no other of the major religions. Al-Taqiyya and Kitman can be used without sinning in a number of cases – f. ex. to save your life, to get you out of serious problems, to save your money, to cheat women – and it shall be used if necessary to promote or defend the religion. (It only is guesswork how many proselytes who have been influenced by al-Taqiyya and/or Kitman when wondering if Islam is a true and good religion or not. Or how many non-Muslims who have been cheated to

believe that the Quran is not the basis for a teaching of suppression, inhumanities and blood, but a peaceful and benevolent book promoting peace.)

064 4/148 (A160 – in 2008 edition A161): “Allah loveth not that evil should be noised abroad in public speech - - -.” What does this mean? As it is said in a context where the book has been talking about sinners who repent and become Muslims and getting absolution (4/146-147), f. ex. Rezi says this concerns slander about former, but now repented and forgiven sins. Others say it means to talk about all things evil. (Do they also mean even if what said is true?) Unclear text.

065 4/150 (A161 – in 2008 edition 162): “Those who deny Allah and His Messengers, and (those who) wish to separate Allah from His Messengers - - -.” Or does it mean: “We believe in some and we deny the others” – we believe in Allah but not in his apostles (Zamakhshari)? Or: “- - - we believe in some of the apostles, but not in others - - -” (Tabari)? Unclear language.

+066 4/153 (A164 – in 2008 edition 165): “The people of the Book (in this case the local Jews*) ask thee (Muhammad*) to cause a book to descend to them from heaven - - -” – as a proof for his prophethood. Alternatively: “- - - that thou (Muhammad*) causes a revelation to be sent down to them (the Jews*) from heaven” (M. Asad) The result would be the same – a proof (but Muhammad never was able to prove even the famous comma) – but there would be quite a difference in the means used. Very indistinct language – two very different meanings of the sentence. And these variants like all the others also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

067 4/158 (YA664): “Nay, Allah raised him (Jesus*) up unto Himself - - -”. There is difference among Muslims what this exactly means: Was Jesus raised up to heaven bodily and never died? Or did he die later than the crucifixion Islam denies and spared the indignities the Jews intended for him? Muslims will give you different answers, because the text is not clear. (But none we have ever met has given a clear answer to where Jesus disappeared if he lived on on Earth – a person like Jesus would have left traces no matter where he fled – if he fled, which he absolutely did not do according to the Bible).

068 4/159 (YA665): “And there is none of the People of the Book but must believe in him before his death - - -.” Does “his death” refer to Jesus or to the individual “Person of the Book”? Who knows? – the text is too unclear.

069 4/160 (A174 – in 2008 edition 175): “- - - in that they (the Jews*) hindered many from Allah’s way - - -.” “The Message of the Quran:” - - - for their (the Jews’*) having so often turned away from the path of Allah - - -.” But the Arab verb “sadda” and the corresponding noun “sadd” both may be transitive or intransitive. If you guess that they are meant transitive, you get the meaning written above. But if you guess they are intransitive, the meaning becomes: “- - - for their having (so) often (themselves*) turned away from the path of Allah - - -.” Allah (?) does not exactly use a “clear language, easy to understand”.

070 5/1 (A2): “Lawful (as food*) for you (Muslims*) are all four-footed animals, with the exceptions named - - -”. But the literal meaning of Arab “bahimat al-an’am” is “four-footed cattle” or “beast of cattle”. But “cattle” is something very different to “all four-footed animals”. To add to the mystery Rezi and others say: “- - - all animals that resembles (domesticated) cattle insofar as it feeds on plants and is not a beast of prey.” (One essence of

this is that Muslims should not eat marine mammals – not 4-footed and most of them are beasts of prey. Also most fishes are "beasts of pray.) The main essence of this verse is that no Arab scholar really is sure how to understand exactly what it means, but that **they agree on that “four-footed cattle” is a tautology that must be wrong** – one more case where the majority agrees on that some text in the Quran is wrong (there are a few like this – see separate small chapter. And when not even the greatest Muslims scholars understand what the text really means, it is not “a clear and easily understood language”.

It must be added to the defence of the Muslims scholars who try to “adjust” the meaning of this verse, that the Quran clearly permits hunting, and mostly they did go hunting for food - - - and you do not go hunting for cattle. It thus is very clear that they are right when they say this tautology is wrong.

+071 5/8 (A19): “- - - and not let the hatred of others (non-Muslims*) to you (Muslims) make you swerve to wrong and depart from justice.” The alternative meaning is (translated from Swedish): “- - - the hatred against a people - - -.” And that is the directly opposite meaning: In the first case you are hated by one or more persons, in the second it is you who are hating, and not only a few persons, but “a people”. Clear and impossible to misunderstand language?

00b 5/20 (A32): “- - - (Allah) made you (the Jews) kings - - -.” This is the correct literal meaning of the Arab text. If it means that Moses told his people that the god had given them kings, it is historically wrong, as there was still some 200 years to wait for the first Jewish king, Saul. (Moses lived ca. 1400 to 1300 before Christ, Saul before/around 1100 BC). And if Moses meant the god had made each and every Jew (to behave like) a king, we do not even bother to comment that way of understanding this sentence - - - and almost anybody in the world will agree with us. To circumvent and hide the mistake you find this translation in “The Message of the Quran: “- - - and (Allah*) made you your own masters - - -.” Honesty in religion? – al-Taqiyya? - - - a language “clear and easy to understand”?

072 5/29 (YA732): “- - - my sin as well as thine - - -.” The obvious meaning is that the murderer also carries the sins of the victim – as the victim was robbed his possibility to regret his sin (this is one of the dogmas in Islam). But there also is another possible meaning: “my sin” may mean the sin against me who is murdered, and “thy sin” may then be the committing of the murder or “thy sin against yourself by committing such a grave deed and rob yourself for Paradise.”

073 5/38 (YA742): “- - - cut off his or her (the thief’s*) hands - - -.” One hand or both hands? Islam normally says one hand first time, but the text is not clear as it here is used plural. Besides: Does this go also for petty theft? – Muslims disagree, yes or no? - and the text is not clear. Would a benevolent god not be clear as daylight in a question as serious as this?

+074 5/41 (YA745): “- - - (some*) came to thee (Muhammad*) - - -“. But the Arab words can just as well mean “- - - came for thee - - -“ which sounds a lot more ominous. Clear?

075 5/42 (YA747): “- - - devouring anything forbidden.” Does this refer to food or to deeds – f. ex. taking usury (“devouring usury” is used several times in Islam)? No-one knows – perhaps both?

076 5/60 (A78 – in 2008 edition A77): “- - - those of whom (non-Muslims*) He (Allah*) transformed into apes and swine - - -.” A number of Islamic scholars say this is literally meant

– another number that it is just a figure of speech, referring to moral degeneration to the moral level of apes and swine (Mujahid). The real meaning is impossible to decide. A “clear and easy to understand” language?

077 5/62 (YA771): “- - - eating of things forbidden.” See 5/42 above.

078 5/66 (YA775): “- - - they (good Muslims*) would have enjoyed happiness from every side.” But the literal meaning of the Arab text is: “They would have eaten from above them and from below their feet”. It is clear that it means something positive, but the exact meaning is impossible for anyone to guess, and any interpretation just is guesswork. Clear as blueberry juice at least.

+079 5/107 (YA813): “- - - from among those who claim a lawful right - - -“. The Arab word “istahaqqa” (= deserved having something – good or evil – attributed to one) gives 2 meanings: (Deserved to be found guilty as he/she*) had committed or was guilty. Or: Had or claimed a lawful right (to property). Clear?

080 5/112 (A138 - in 2008 edition A137): “Can thy (Jesus’*) Lord (Yahweh*) send down to us a Table set (with viands) - - -.” This is based on the use of vowels etc. to give the Arab words “hal yastati rabbuka” (something like “is your Sustainer able to”). But if you use other letters, etc. – and Hadith tells that close co-workers of Muhammad read it like this (Ali, ibn Abbas, ibn Jabal, Aishah (wife of Muhammad*)) – you may get “hal tastati’u rabaka” (translated from Swedish): “Can you make your Lord send down - - -.” In the first case one questions the god’s ability to make such a miracle. In the second one questions Jesus’ influence with the god, and Jesus’ ability to make the god do such a thing. There is not a little difference here – and even after 1400 years the Islamic scholars do not agree as to what is the correct meaning.

+081 6/1 (YA834): “- - - hold others as equal (“adala”) with their Guardian-Lord (Allah*).” But the Arab word “adala” has many meanings: A: Hold as equal. B: Balance nicely. C: Deal justly. D: To give compensation or reparation. E: (Hold) something as equivalent to something else. F: To give a just bias or proportion. G: To turn the balance the wrong way. Etc. Twist the text a little and get nearly what meaning you want. The text here is nearly as crystal clear as texts come.

+082 6/2 (A2): “- - - and then (Allah*) decreed a stated term (for you). And there is in His Presence another determined term - - -.” But these stated terms – do they refer to the end of the world (Day of Doom)? Or to the time for your personal death? Or is the first the time of your death and the second the Day of Doom? Nobody knows and Muslim scholars disagree. A “clear and easily understood” language not possible to misunderstand? At least 3 possible meanings. And these variants as usual also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

+083 6/13 (YA845): “- - - all that dwelleth (or lurketh) in the Night and the Day.” But the Arab word “sakana” has many meanings: A: To dwell. B: To rest. C: To be still. D: To stop. E: To lurk. F: To quiescent. And may be more. Any comments needed about clarity?

084 6/20 (YA850): “Those to whom We (Allah*) have given the Book (Jews, Christians*) know this as they know their own sons.” But “this” instead here may mean “him” – the Arab

word has double meaning. Then the meaning becomes: “- - - know him (Muhammad) as - - -.” A tiny wee bit different.

+085 6/23 (YA851): “- - - no subterfuge (“fitnah”) for them - - -.” But the Arab word “fitnah” has many meanings: A: Trouble. B: Tumult. C: Oppression. D: Persecution. E: Discord. F: Subterfuge. And more. **To find an interpretation you like, just choose the meaning you like. Then all becomes crystal clear.**

086 6/36 (YA857): “Those who listen (in truth), be sure, will accept - - -.” Here is a double meaning: “If people listen to the truth sincerely and earnestly, they must believe.” Or: “The sincere will believe”. Clear speech like so many times before.

+087 6/70 (A58 – in 2008 edition A60): “Leave alone those who take their religion to be mere play and amusement - - -.” But the Arab words “attakhadu dinahum la’iban wa-lahwan” also can mean the exact opposite: “They have made play and fun their religion”. Which meaning is the correct one? – nobody knows, not even a top ayatollah. Clear speech that is impossible to misunderstand in the Quran? And these variants as usual also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

+088 6/91 (A71): “- - - but ye (the Jews*) make it (the Book of Moses*) into separate sheets for show - - -.” Alternative meaning according to “The Message of the Quran” (translated from Swedish): “- - - that you value/look at only like sheets of paper - - -.” A small, but significant difference in the meaning. In the first case you have the texts of the Book of Moses that you disuse, in the second you have something you reckon to be valueless paper. And these variants as usual also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

089 6/98 (A82 – in 2008 edition A83): “- - - here is a place of sojourn and a place of departure - - -.” But the word “mustaqarr”, literal meaning (translated from Swedish): “destination”, “decision”, is a source for wild disagreement among the Muslim scholars. It may be meant a place to live, it may be meant the womb of your mother (as a foetus), it may mean a point where things reach its end or fulfilment. Just pick your choice. Allah uses a clear and distinct language easy to understand and impossible to misunderstand?

090 6/114 (A99 – in 2008 edition 101): “They know full well, to whom We (Allah*) have sent the Book (in this case: The Bible or the Quran?), that it hath been sent down from thy Lord in truth.” Well, is it here the Bible or the Quran that is sent down in truth? Who knows?

091 6/128 (A110 – in 2009 edition 112): “O ye assembly of Jinns - - -”. Normally the word “al-jinn” refers simply and directly to these supernatural beings – and here to the bad ones among them. But here the full expression is “ma’shar al-jinn”, and that complicates the situation, as “ma’shar” means someone close to you or, good friends, something like that, or simply your family. It instead may address bad or misguided people: “You who have lived in close contact to the bad groups of Jinns”. You simply have to guess the real meaning.

092 6/135 (A116 – in 2008 edition 118): “- - - soon will ye (people*) know who it is whose end will be (best) in the Hereafter.” Literal meaning: “- - - to whom the (happy) end of the abode (“dar”) shall belong”. This is the meaning if you guess that the word “dar” refers to “dar al-akhirah” = “the abode in the life to come”. But it only is said “dar”, and then it can as well refer to “dar ad-dunya” = “the abode in this life” - - - and in that case the sentence from

the Quran indicates that you will have a rich and good future in this world. Pick your choice or guess. Allah is using clear and distinct words? At least 2 meanings. And these variants as usual also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

093 6/137 (A120 – in 2008 edition A122): “- - - their (the Pagans’*) partners made alluring - - -.” Literal translation (translated from Swedish): “- - - their (presumed) helpers/partners measured out for them - - -.” It is clear that for the early Muslims the “partners” according to f. ex. Razi meant bad beings or forces. But what does it mean today for people that do not any longer believe in black magic and the corresponding beings? Like the Quran really did not tell the Muslims of that time what it actually meant with “partners”, it is as silent today – nobody really knows – everybody have to guess.

094 6/149 (A141 – in 2008 edition 143): “With Allah is the argument that reaches home (= the decisive arguments = it is Allah that decides*): if it had been His Will He could indeed have guided you”.

“The Message of the Quran” has this comment:

“In other words, the real relationship between Allah’s knowledge of the future (and,therefore, the ineluctability of what is to happen in the future) on one side, and man’s free will, on the other – two propositions which, on the face of it, seem to contradict one another – is beyond man’s comprehension; but since both are postulated by Allah, both must be true.

Simply the ultimate pinnacle of clear speech. And the ultimate victory not for blind fait, but for blind faith based on naivety and intellectual unconsciousness.

Predestination contra man’s free will actually is a version of “the Time Travel Paradox”, which is long since proved unsolvable. **There is no way Allah can even be fully clairvoyant, nor to mention deside everything on beforehand, and man at the same time have free will.** (More in the chapter about predestination.)

The ultimate clear and easy to understand claim.

095 7/3 (A3): “Follow (O People!) the revelations given onto you from your Lord (Allah*), and follow not, as friends or protectors other than Him”. So far so well. But the unclear point is: How wide is this prohibition? Does the Quran here only mean in religious questions? Or are f. ex. earthly laws included? Many Islamic thinkers claim so – f. ex. Ibn Hasm and Ibn Tamiyyah. They say that laws not given in the Quran have no legal validity. But does this also go for laws supplementing laws given in the Quran? – f. ex. concerning inheritance where the rules in the Quran far from always add up to 100% of the inheritance? (In praxis real life has forced Islam to make such rules, but is that strictly in accordance with the religion”. And what about modern life and necessary laws – f. ex. concerning road traffic? – do such laws have real legal validity (it is a serious problem if not, for you cannot demand that people respect invalid laws – or accept to be punished for breaking them.) And what about facts mentioned in revelations, but all the same are wrong? – it just is some few years since clergy in Saudi Arabia told it was wrong to accept the belief that Earth is spherical, because according to the Quran it is flat).

These are points that are unclear because of unclear and not specific texts in the Quran.

+096 7/16 (A10 – in 2008 edition A11): “Because Thou (Allah*) has thrown me (Iblis – the Devil*) out of the Way - - -.” But is this really what Muhammad meant? Because the Arab word “aghwahu” that is used here, is an unclear word with many meanings. This sentence at least can have these meanings:

1. “Because Thou has thrown me out of the way - - -.”
2. ”Because Thou hast thwarted me - - -.”
3. ”Because Thou hast caused me to err - - -.”
4. ”Because Thou hast allowed me to err - - -.”
5. ”Because Thou hast caused me to be disappointed - - -.”
6. ”Because Thou hast caused me to fail my desire - - -.”

As said before: Also Arab language – like all other languages - has words with more than one meaning. And in such cases Arab is not one millimetre more exact than other languages, even if you find only one word (with multiple meanings) in the Quran, but have to use different words in another language to cover the different meanings. To claim that Arab in such cases is more clear and/or exact, is just hypocrisy or dishonesty - - - or al-Taqiyya.

+097 7/17 (A11): “Then will I (Iblis - the Devil*) assault them from before them and behind them - - -.” But according to “The Message of the Quran” the Arab sentence also may mean (translated from Swedish): “- - - I will assault them openly and lead them on hidden roads - - -.” At least 2 different variants of that sentence. And these variants also are in the Arab text, too, as the relevant word there like so often has more than one meaning.

098 7/34 (YA1017): “To every People (nation*) is a term appointed - - -.” But the Arab word here used for “people” – “ummah” - also may mean “generation”. In that case the meaning is: “To every generation is a term appointed - - -.” Not quite the same to use an understatement.

+099 7/38 (A28): “Saith the last about the first”. “The last” may mean “the ones who arrived last”, or “the followers (of leaders*)” whereas “the first” may mean “the first of them to arrive” or “the leaders”. 2 meanings. And these variants as normal also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

+100 7/39 (A30): “No advantage have ye (the latest arrivals in Hell*) over us (the earlier arrivals*) - - -.” But according to “The Message of the Quran” the Arab text also may mean: “You are not superior to us because you have learnt nothing from our mistakes.” At least 2 meanings. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

+101 7/40 (A32): “- - - nor will they (non-Muslims/sinners*) enter the Garden (Paradise*), until the camel can pass through the eye of the needle - - -”. But here is a wrong translation according to “The Message of the Quran” – wrong even if it is widely used. And the claim is so strongly stressed and under built (Zamakhshari, Rezi, and others), that it is likely to be true – this even more so as the book is certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy, General Department for Research, Writing and Translation prior to the 2008 edition (this academy is part of the Al-Azhar University in Cairo, one of the 2-3 foremost Islamic universities in the world). The Arab word “jamal” (jumal, jumal, jumal – variants) in

this case clearly means “a thick rope” or “a twisted cable” or “a thick, twisted rope” (Jawhari). This is even more clear as Muhammad’s co-workers clearly used this meaning, and Ibn Abbas also according to Zamakhshari very clearly stated that this was what was meant here. Ergo the real meaning is: “- - - nor will they enter the Garden until a twisted rope can pass through a needle’s eye”. Clearly unclear language – or use or (mis)understanding of the language.

But there is a small but in addition:

Abdullah Yusuf Ali was a learned man. He may have known little known facts. He also was a man who clearly placed his religion before his own intellectual integrity, before the absolute value of facts and before the value of the full truth – f. ex. his book “The Meaning of the Quran” shows this not infrequently, and one finds traces of the same in this his translation of the Quran. (Just sue us for the statement – it is easy to find enough examples to satisfy any free court. And the same goes Muhammad Asad and his “The Message of the Quran”). May be he and other learned scholars used the wrong translation on purpose:

One of the little known facts he may have known, is that the expression “needle’s eye” also have another meaning. The old walled cities had strong, heavy gates. In or beside the gate there often was a small door to make it possible for people to pass in and out even if the main gate was closed for the evening. Many places this small door was called the “needle’s eye”.

No adult camel could pass this needle’s eye - but a baby camel could. And any thick rope could.

102 7/46 (A37 – YA1025): “Between them (in Heaven*) and on the Heights (Arab “a’raf”) will be those who would know every one by his marks: - - - They will not have entered, but they will have an assurance (thereof).” But the primary meaning of “’urf” (plural “A’raf”) is not “high”, but “acknowledgement”, “discernment”, synonymous with “the faculty of discernment (between right and wrong*)”. Many Muslim scholars (Al-Hasan, Al-Basri, Az-Zajjaj, Rezi) therefore mean that the ones outside Heaven, but wanting to come in are the lukewarm that knew the difference between good and bad (- every one by his marks (marks of good and bad*))” but did not care very much this or that way – the ones not quite deserving Heaven, but neither deserving Hell. The Question here is: Do the word “a’raf” here mean “heights” or does it mean “the ones able to discern, (but not caring – the indifferent ones)”? In the last case the meaning simply will be something like: “- - - and the lukewarm (from this life*) who would know every one (good and bad*) by his marks - - -.” A minor, but significant difference. And these variants as always also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

+103 7/47 (YA1026): “- - - their eyes shall be turned towards the Companions of the Fire - - - .” But who are “they”? – the ones waiting for their “case” to be finished? – or the good Muslims in Heaven? Your guess is as good as anybody else’s.

104 7/59 (A45 – in 2008 edition A46): “- - - the Punishment of a dreadful Day”. Islam still does not know if this means the day of the big flood or the Day of Doom. 2 meanings – and a clear language used by Allah. And these variants as always also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there like so often has more than one meaning.

00c 7/64 (A46): Muslims – even scholars and Al-Azhar University - do not need difficult language to get troubles with the true meanings and the Truth and reality. 7/64 “do not support the theory of a world deluge” – gallantly omitting the fact that Islam and the Quran claim the ark stranded on a 2089 m high mountain in Syria (not Ararat in Turkey), which is impossible if the deluge was not universal – as the water then had streamed away to lower, not flooded places. And “The Message of the Quran” – also in the 2008 edition!! – as gallantly explain it with the filling up of the Mediterranean Basin “during the Ice Age” (ended some 10000 - 15000 years ago), this in spite of that this filling up happened 4-5 million years ago, and also for several other reasons – f. ex. wrong place and by far wrong way of filling up – cannot explain the deluge. A typical al-Taqiyya (lawful lie - here to explain away a clear mistake and thus defend Islam) as the time for the filling up of the Mediterranean Sea is a well known scientific fact. The filling up of the Black Sea could be an explanation with some extra explanations - - - but it cannot explain the stranding of the Ark on a high mountain in Syria, and neither the terrible weather and the enormous waves, as that too was a slow filling up (at least months of a slowly raising water level.) As said: Some Muslims – even elite scholars – do not need difficult language to get different meanings – at least different from well known facts.

+105 7/71 (YA1041): “Punishment and wrath have already come upon you (the people of Ad*) from your Lord (Allah*) - - -.” 3 different meanings possible: A. Reference to a terrible famine shortly before. B. The insolence and sin they had fallen into was a warning. C. Allah had already decided what was to come. Very unclear language.

106 7/79 (YA1048): “So Salih left them (the people of Thamud*) saying: ‘O my people - - -.’” But was his speech a last warning before the catastrophe? – or was it lamentation and sorrow for his lost people? Who knows? – the text does not divulge it.

+107 7/89 (A70 – in 2008 edition A72): “- - - Thou (Allah*) art the best to decide.” But the Arab word “fataha” also have another meaning, which makes this sentence sound like this: “- - - Thou art the best to lay open the truth!” Which is something entirely different. The Quran has a clear and distinct language easy to understand and not possible to misunderstand? And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

108 7/110 (A84 – comment omitted in 2008 edition): “His (Moses’) plan is to oust you (Pharaoh*) from your land: then what is your counsel?” But it is unclear in the Arab originals who really said the last part of the quotation. “The Message of the Quran” has: “(Said Pharaoh.) “What, then, do you (his advisers*) advice?” In the first case it is the advisers who asks the Pharaoh, in the second one it is exactly the opposite. 2 possible and very contradicting meanings – in this case from sheer unclear language. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word there has more than one meaning.

109 7/145 (YA 1109): “Soon I (Allah*) shall show you the home of the wicked - - -.” The question Muslims ask: The home where they lived or used to live? – Muhammad told the ruins scattered around in the Middle East all were from people punished by Allah for sins. Or the claimed terrible spiritual condition inside them? Or perhaps their future home Hell? At least a clear statement?

00d 7/155 (A120): “- - - when they were sized with violent quaking (“radjfah”) - - -.” The Arab word “radjfah” normally means earthquake. But here A. Yusuf Ali most likely is right when indicating that it was the men that started quaking – because they were afraid.

110 7/175 (YA1149): “Relate (Muhammad?*) to them (people*) the story of the man We (Allah*) sent Our Signs, but he passed them by - - -.” The problem no-one has a clue what man Allah (?) spoke about. No-one. A most clear text.

+111 7/187 (YA1160): “- - - if thou were eager in search of - - -.” But the Arab text also may mean something like “- - - if thou were well-acquainted with - - -.” Clear?

112 7/193 (A156 – in 2008 edition A158): “- - - if you call them to (Allah’s) guidance - - -.” This is the literal meaning and normal translation according to the 2006 Swedish edition of “The Message of the Quran.” But Zamakhshari, Razi, Ibn Kathir - - - and Muhammad Asad mean that “- - - if you pray to them for guidance - - -” more correctly brings across the real meaning. Well, it in case is one more case of clear Arab language in the Quran. A minor, but distinct difference. And these variants naturally also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has more than one meaning.

113 7/203 (A165): “If thou (Muhammad*) brings them (sceptics*) not a revelation, they say: ‘Why hast thou not got it (a revelation/miracle*) together (yourself*)?’”. But “The Message of the Quran” tells that the Arab words “law la ‘djabaytaha” makes troubles (this is omitted in the 2008 edition) as it has several meanings, and they instead say a more likely meaning is: “Why doest thou (Muhammad*) not seek to obtain it (from Allah*)?” Rather a different detail – and at least two different meanings. A clear language in the Quran? And these variants naturally also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has more than one meaning.

114 8/4 (A5): “Such in truth are the Believers: they have grades of dignity with their Lord (Allah*), and forgiveness, and generous sustenance - - -.” Yes, generous sustenance – but where? Many or most scholars say “in Paradise” – this has the extra benefits that the promises of luxury can be bigger, and one does not have to explain why pious people often are not very well off. But others – like Razi – claims it is in this world, with the good feelings one have when one believes strongly, as the main benefit. The text itself is silent about the mystery. And these variants also - as always - are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has more than one meaning.

115 8/6 (A6): “- - - (some of your followers are*) disputing with thee (Muhammad*) after it was manifest (that there would be a battle at Badr*), as if they were driven to death - - -.” But it is unclear what the Arab word “kama” (“just as” or “even as”) points to, and then there is at least one more possible meaning (Mujahid, Tabari): “Just as some of the believers were adverse to going forth from Medina to fight the Quraysh (Mecca*), so, too, they would argue with thee as to whether it was really willed by Allah.” Unclear language = more than one possible meaning. A clear and impossible to misunderstand text in the Quran? And these variants naturally also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has more than one meaning.

116 8/9 (YA1184): “I (Allah*) will assist you with a thousand of angels (f. ex. in battle)” But Islam itself questions if the numbers are exact or figurative. Another question: If Allah is omnipotent and just can say “be, and it is” to quote the Quran, why then does he have to send

angels? He just can decide the outcome of any battle, or how - ? And actually: Why did he need any battle at all? - he just could decide how he wanted the world.

+117 8/13 (A16): “This because they contended against Allah and his Messenger - - -.” But the Arab word “shaqqahu” in reality means “he separated (or cut) himself off from him”. Is the correct meaning: “This, because they have cut themselves off from Allah and his Appostle - - -”? The meaning wary and the texts in the Quran is as unclear here as so many other places.

118 8/19 (A22 – in 2008 edition A21): “(O Unbelievers!) if ye prayed for victory and judgement, now hath the judgement come to you: if ye desist (from wrong), it will be best for you: if you return (to the attack) so shall We (Allah*).” This seems to be a clear-cut warning to the enemy (the Quraysh/Mecca at Badr). But remember that what is written in () is put there by the translator to explain or to make things more clear – and if the translator has guessed wrong, the explanations are wrong. F. ex. Razi thinks the meaning is this: “If you have been praying for victory (O believers) – victory has now indeed come onto you. And if you abstain (from sinning), it will be for your own good; but if you revert to it, We (Allah*) shall revoke (Our promise of aid).” Unclear language opens for many ways of understanding verses. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

119 8/50 (A57): “If thou ((Muslims*)) couldst see, when the angels take the souls of the (unbelievers) at death - - -.” This is the meaning if you guess that the Arab word “yatawaffa” refers to the angels. But if you guess it refers to Allah, the sentence becomes like this: “- - - when He (Allah*) causes (them) to die - - -”. A detail in difference for the one who dies. But for a text that is “correct and identical to Muhammad’s and Allah’s words to the last comma”, even this is a significant proof for that this claim is wrong. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

+120 9/2 (YA1247): “- - - for four months - - -.” The 4 forbidden months? Or the 4 months following the declaration”? Nobody knows. Clear speech.

121 9/3 (A3): “- - - the day of the Great Pilgrimage - - -.” Islam does not know which day it here refers to. Most likely to the one in 631 AD or the one in 632 – but in case which one? A detail – but if the language in the Quran had been perfect like from a god, also the details had been taken care of, and especially details so significant that they get a name – and a proud name.

+122 9/10 (A16): “It is they (the non-Muslims*) who have transgressed all bounds”. But as so often also Arab words have more than one meaning. Another meaning of the word “al-mu’tadun” gives this meaning: “It is they who are the attackers”. Clearly and easily understood, and with no doubt about the exact meaning? And these variants naturally and like always also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

123 9/24 (A32): “- - - then wait (ye who are not good enough Muslims*) until Allah brings about His Decision - - -.” Islam still debates what Allah means here – some bad day or some decline for them here on Earth, or the Day of Doom? And when Muslim scholars have to debate a sentence, that means that the meaning is not “clear and easy to understand”.

124 9/27 (A36): “Again will Allah, after this, turn (in mercy) to whom He will - - -.” What does this refer to? – Razi believes to the Muslims who fought badly shortly before at Hunayn in 630 AD. Tabari and others believe it means “infidels” generally. Another small detail that had not been unclear if a god had made the book. And a detail much bigger after all than “the last comma.”

125 9/29 (YA1282): “Until they (non-Muslims) pay jizya with willing submission (“an yadin”). But the Arab word “an yadin” – literally “from the hand” - has more meanings and it “has been variously interpreted” in Islam.

126 9/34 (A50 – in the 2008 edition A51): “There are indeed many among the priests and anchorites, who in falsehood devour the substance of men - - -.” This is directed towards the rich parts of the Mosaic and the Christian religious establishment. But is it in reality addressed to everyone who makes much money without giving to “just causes”? Islam still debates this after 1400 years - - - because it is not clear in the text. And actually this is an at least semi-central point in a society and for religious people – so much so, that it should not have been left standing like an open question. Had an omniscient god really used this kind of unclear speech on this topic?

+127 9/49 (YA1312): “- - - draw me (a man*) not into trial (fitnah*) - - -”. But the Arab word “fitnah” has many meanings - see 6/23 above. Which gives many possible variants of the meaning. And these variants inevitably also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

+128 10/4 (A9): “- - - but those who reject Him (Allah*) will have draughts of boiling fluids - - -.” Or: “- - - draughts of burning despair - - -.” Both ways of understanding is possible from the Arab text – and both are used, because nobody knows which the correct one is. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

+129 10/40 (A64): “There are some who believe, and some who do not - - -.” But the Arab word “yu’minu” can be understood both in the present tense – and in that case A.Yusuf Ali is right in the line above – and in the future tense. In the last case the meaning will be: “There are those who will come to believe and those who will not.” A detail, but we still are far from “the last comma” - but Islam does not know the exact text all the same. And these variants naturally also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

+130 10/54 (YA1445): “They would declare (“asarru”*) (their) repentance”. But the Arab word “asarru” also may mean to “conceal” or “hide”. Which will give this meaning: “They would give anything to escape the penalty - - -.” Clear language in the Quran, yes.

131 10/83 (YA1466): “But none believed in Moses except some children of his People - - -.” Whom does the word “his” in this sentence refer to? Most Muslim scholars believe to Moses, but not a few to the Pharaoh. And a study of the text will not make you any wiser.

132 11/3 (A3): “(Say): ‘Verily I (Muhammad*) am (sent) unto you - - - .’ This word “Say” does not exist in the Arab text. “The Message of the Quran” uses the expression “Say, O Prophet and explains: “The interpolation, between brackets, of the words “Say, O Prophet” is necessitated by the first-person construction of this sentence”. **That means that either we**

here have one of the places where Muhammad himself is speaking (there are something like 8 places where that is the case in the Quran), or we have one more place in the Quran where Islam confirms in writing that the text in the Quran is not always clear and correct. Clear texts easy to understand – and perfect because it is made by a god?

133 11/18 (A33 – in the 2008 edition A35): “They (sinners at the Day of Doom*) will be turned back to the presence of their Lord (Allah*) and the witnesses will say - - -.” But who are the witnesses? Many or most Muslim scholars think it refers to the reporting angels that stay with each human and note down everything the person does. Others – like an authority like Ibn Abbas – said it meant the prophets that according to the Quran will be called forth to give witness on the Day of Doom. What is the right answer, nobody knows – the book does not make this clear.

But an extra reason for mentioning this detail: **Why do Allah arrange a “court” and why the witnesses, and why the writing down - in this case and in some other cases in the Quran? If Allah is omniscient, he knows everything and this all is just theatre – a farce. Or is he not omniscient?**

But it may be an efficient tale to tell followers on Earth if they are not trained in thinking. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

134 11/34 (A53 – in 2008 edition A56): “Of no profit will be my (Muhammad’s*) counsel to you, much as I desire to give you (good) counsel, if it be that Allah willeth to leave you astray - - -.” Literal translation of the Arab word “yughwiyakum”: “that He (Allah*) shall cause you to err.” This then should be Allah’s exact words. But even in Islam one tries to find out what Allah really means. Al- Hasan al-Basri tells it means: “- - - that He shall punish you for your sins.” Tabari: “- - - that He shall destroy you - - -.” Al-Jubba’i: “- - - that He shall deprive you of all good - - -.” What does it help if the text is the very words of Allah, if they are too unclear to enable even Arab-speaking top scholars agree on what exactly does it mean?

135 11/35 (A54 – in 2008 edition A57): In a new repetition of the story about Noah – it is repeated many times in the Quran – comes this: “Or do they (people*) say, ‘He (Muhammad*) has forged it?’ Say: ‘If I (Muhammad*) had forged it, on me were my sin! And I am free of the sins of which ye are guilty.’” Does this refer to the story of Noah? – that he had forged that story? Or does it refer to the entire Quran? – or both? The disposition of the texts in the book is so bad with bits and pieces strewn around in a mix and repetitions and repetitions of the same stories and arguments and conclusions, so anything is possible when it comes to the possibility to find loose bits of tale or argument at “funny” places. In this case Islam simply is at a loss to know what to believe. One tends to think the verse is a passage inserted in the story, and Muhammad is talking both the story of Noah and about the complete Quran (Ibn Kathir, Tabari). But it is impossible to know. Such “quoting in wrong context” or inserting of text where it does not belong is very usual in the Quran – so usual that Islam has a special name for it: “A parenthetic inclusion” or similar. It is pretty confusing sometimes, and absolutely does not result in “a clear language easy to understand” – f. ex. like here where it is impossible to be sure of exactly he is talking about.

Another story is that if Muhammad forged the Quran, the resulting sins were very far from being only on him.

136 11/38 (A57 – in 2008 edition A61): Noah said to the surrounding people: “If you ridicule us now (for building the ark*), we (in our turn) can look down on you with ridicule likewise”. But according to Islam Noah was a prophet, and it is impossible to think that a prophet may be human enough to ridicule or scoffing anyone. Therefore the text must be wrong and I reality mean something else – yet another place where Islamic scholars say the text in the Quran must be wrong, though a small wrong this time. Noah must mean “ignorant” – “If you think us ignorant now, we think you ignorant who do not accept our story” to make a longer quotation short (Zamakhshari, Baghawi). Honestly it may be enough confusing to understand unclear text, but one also has to “mend” clear text Islam does not like.

137 11/40a (A58 – in 2008 edition A62): “- - - and the fountains of the earth gushed forth - - -” Literal meaning (also see 11/40b just below) in the Arab text: “- - - the face of the earth boiled over - - -” To quote “The Message of the Quran”: “This phrase has been subject to several conflicting interpretations.” And it really is a confusing sentence, among other reasons because only liquids can boil. And to make the confusion complete, modern Islam even in 2008 once more resort to the filling up of the Mediterranean basin, which happened 4-5 million years ago – nearly at the time of the first traces of the first possible forefathers of Homo Sapiens 5 – 6 million years ago, and LONG before Homo Sapiens (modern man) ever existed, not to mention some million years before a possible Noah a few thousand years ago. To be impolite: What the in Islam respected “The Message of the Quran” writes about this, is goblydegogh and as wrong and meaningless as that word. **But when texts in the Quran are so confusing that even the top Islamic thinkers often are at a loss understanding and agreeing on what the texts really mean, and other times only agree that it cannot mean what it says – how then can Muslims repeat and repeat and repeat that everything in the Quran is clear and easy to understand, and demand to be believed?

And how can top learned men in Islam use so wrong facts – to seduce naïve and uneducated people? – that are so well known and so easy to check and even not too infrequently mentioned in media, and then demand to be believed when they claim to have written it in good faith? Especially so as it just is not the first – and neither the last – time they “bend” scientific and other facts to fit their wishes.

+138 11/40b (YA1533): “- - - and the fountains of the earth gushed forth - - -” But the Arab expression “far al tannur” has two literal meanings (see also 11/40a just above): The one already mentioned and “- - - the oven (of Allah’s Wrath) boiled over - - -” Which one do you like best? And is the language as clear as Islam claims?

139 11/46 (A 65 – in 2008 edition A68): (Allah said): “O Noah! He (your son*) is not of thy family: for his conduct is unrighteous.” This is if the Arab words “innahu ‘amal ghayr Salih” is meant to relate to the unnamed son of Noah (according to the Bible he only had 3 – Shem, Ham and Japheth) (Zamakhshari). But Noah had just made a prayer to his god – Allah according to the Quran – for his son, and if the mentioned words are meant to relate to that prayer, the meaning changes to something like: “Verily, this prayer is unrighteous conduct of you” (Razi, Tabari). Clear and easy and not to misunderstand? And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

140 11/52 (YA1547): “He (Allah*) will send you the skies, pouring abundant rain, and add strength to strength - - -” The question Muslim scholars ask, is if this refer to added (political/military) strength because of the rich land? – or because a growing population from plenty of food? – or something else? Your guess is as good as ours.

141 11/67 (A97 – in the 2008 edition A98): “The (mighty) blast took the wrongdoers (the Thamud people*) - - -.” But here Islam has to solve a problem: In 7/78 it is told that Thamud were killed when an “- - - earthquake took them unawares - - -.” How to explain this in a book that itself states that what it tells is to be understood literally and that what it says is in a clear and easily understood language? We quote from “The Message of the Quran” (2008): “- - - it is possible that the ”vehement sound” (the blast*) mentioned here and in several other places describes the subterranean rumbling which often precedes and accompanies an earthquake and/or the thunder like noise of a volcanic eruption. - - - However, in view of the repeated use of this expression in varying contexts, we may assume that it has the more general meaning of ‘the blast of punishment’ or - - - of ‘final blast’”. No doubt: “The Quran uses a clear language that is easy to understand”. Or - - - ?

But would a god use such difficult or not understandable or unclear language?

142 11/71 (A102): “And his (Abraham’s*) wife was standing (there), and she laughed - - -.” Why did she laugh? Nobody knows for sure, and Islam still is debating the problem: Zamakhshari means the reason was that she understood the visitors were angels, and thus not dangerous. Ibn Kathir and others say the reason was that she was glad because the people of Sodom (and Gomorrah) would be destroyed. A minor detail – but one of the many details that contradicts the claimed clear and easily understood language in the Quran. Any god could have made much better. Any god.

+143 11/78 (A108 – in 2008 edition A109 + YA1575): (Lot said): “Here are my daughters - - -.” But was it in reality: “- - - these young girls from your town - - -”? Impossibly to say for sure. The first one is used in most written translations, the second one is nearer the real meaning, according to “most of the commentators” (“The Message of the Quran”). And these variants naturally also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

144 11/80: (Lot said): “Would that I had power to suppress you (the men of Sodom and Gomorrah*) or that I could betake myself to some powerful support.” Was he longing for support from his tribe that was far back in the distant Ur in Chaldea in what is now is south Iraq? Or was he longing for help from a strong god? – but according to the Quran, Lot was a prophet, so why did he not rely on his god? “The Book that explains everything” has this kind of unclear tales and language – Muslim scholars (f.ex. Tabari) have not been able to find a definite answer even after 1400 years of debating it. And as said before these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

145 11/82 (A114): “- - - We (Allah*) turned (the cities) upside down, and rained down on them brimstones hard as baked clay - - -.” Literally: “hidjarah min sidjdjil (or sijjil)” = “stones of sidjdjil (or sijjil)”. The problem is that nobody understands what “sidjadjil/sijjil” means. It may derive from Persian “sang-i-gil” = “stone of clay” or “petrified clay” (Camus, Taj al-‘Arus) which (“The Message of the Quran, 2008) “would be more or less synonymous with ‘brimstones’ (an archaic name for sulphur), which in turn would point to a volcanic eruption” - - - which is a severe reason why you should not read Islamic literature unless you have enough knowledge to look through at least some of the dishonest use of facts – there honestly is a bit too much of it. In this case: There is only one way to get clay, which is the material for stones of clay, and that is by means of water. Clay is a sedimentary product, and sedimentation only happens in water. Not one single place in the world and not one single time in history or pre-history will you find clay or clay stone that is the result of a volcanic

eruption. And what is worse: This is such a well known fact that no person with brain enough to complete university – no matter what subject – do not know this (sedimentation belongs in secondary school in most countries, and not later than tertiary/”university-preparing” school). One may suspect that this is written as an (untrue) “explanation” for the raining of stones – meant for naïve or uneducated persons.

Besides: Clay is not "more or less synonymus with" sulphur. Normally you do not even find sulphur in clay - clay is extremely fine grains of stone, whereas sulphur is a chemical matter. And sulphur do not even remind you of the main properties of clay.

And a question: Why use the archaic word "brimstone"? - because more readers would see something is wrong if one uses the correct word "sulphur"?

But there is at least one more possible meaning of the Arab text: “The word “sidjdjil” or “sijjil” may derive from Arab “sijill” = “a writing” or “something that has been decreed”. In this case the Arab expression may mean: “- - - stones of all the chastisement laid down in Allah’s decree”. (Zamakhshari, Razi). But how does a metaphor destroy 2 towns?

Clear language. And easy to understand everything.

And these variants naturally also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

146 11/83 (YA1581): “- - - nor are they far from those who do wrong!” Who or what are “they”? – the revenging brimstones? – or the destroyed cities, meaning punishment is not far off for sinners? The book does not give an answer.

147 11/107a: “They (the sinners*) will dwell therein (Hell) for all time that the heavens and the earth endure - - -.” More or less identical to 11/108a below.

148 11/107b (A133 – in 2008 edition A134): (Sinner shall stay in Hell forever), ”except as thy Lord (Allah*) willeth.” This sentence is one of the big enigmas in the Quran and in Islam – you meet the same curious and cryptic message in 6/128: All the Quran tells that sinners are to stay in Hell forever, but this mean there all the same may be a way out – at lest for some? It has been debated for days and months and years and centuries in Islam – no sure answer is found. Yes, the Quran uses a clear and distinct language – everything is easy to understand, just like Muslims claim.

We may add that some Muslims scholars speculate on that one possible meaning is that the Muslim sinners will be let out from Hell after enough aeons - but quite likely only the Muslim ones.

149 11/108a (A133 – in 2008 edition A134): “They (the good Muslims*) will dwell therein (heaven) for all the time that the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth endure”. What does this mean? The heaven is forever and the Earth until the Day of Doom according to many verses in the Quran. Will they dwell there only as long as the Earth exists, or - - -? In old Arab – so old that f. ex. Tabari had to explain – (translated from Swedish): “- - - as long as heaven and Earth exists - - -“ means forever. And that is good and well - - - except for that Islam then has to explain if this means that also Earth will exist forever, and in case how the Earth can exist forever, when the Quran tells that Earth as we know it, will be finished ad the

Last Day. The Quran has a most complicated way of using such “a plain and easily understood language that no-one can misunderstand it.” And, yes, these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

150 11/108b (A134 – in 2008 edition A135): (Good Muslims will stay in heaven forever), “except as thy Lord (Allah*) willeth - - -.” Exactly nobody knows or understands what this means. Can it come to an end? Can it change? Can it become ever better? Or what? Islamic scholars are bound by duty and indoctrination – and by lack of training in critical thinking - to be optimists, and promises that nothing will happen “unless Allah wills to bestow on them a yet greater reward (which will not take much – the Muslim heaven only is materialism and sex, and for women only materialism mostly)” (Razi). But not one single soul has ever been able to do anything but guessing and hoping when it comes to this sentence. And as said before these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning – or here: No clear meaning.

151 11/118-119 (151 – in 2008 edition 152): “If thy (peoples*) Lord (Allah*) had so willed, He could have made mankind one People: but they will not cease to dispute, except those on whom thy Lord hath bestowed His Mercy, and for this (“li-dhalika”) did He create them - - -.” But to what do the Arab word “li-dhalika” (= “for this”, “to this end”) refer? Hardly to “they will not cease to dispute”. The sentence simply hangs in the air, without pointing to anything or being connected to anything. Some (like Mujahid and ‘Ikrimah) believes it refers to Allah’s grace upon man. Some (like Al-Hasan and ‘Ata) tell it refers to man’s ability to have meanings different from others’ (this has some relevance to the previous sentence). Others (like Zamakhshari) mean it refers to man’s freedom to make moral choices. Just pick your guess – every Muslim scholar also is just guessing here. As said before: A clear and unmistakeably easy to understand language. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning – or here: No clear meaning.

+152 12/1 (A2) “These are the Symbols (or Verses (already 2 meanings in the text*)) of the Perspicuous (Arab: “mubin”) Book.” But the word “mubin” may refer either to the noun’s quality (then “mubin” literally means something that is clear, obvious, manifest, etc.) or to its function (then “mubin” literally means something that is making something clear or obvious or -“. Most Islamic scholars mean it refers to Joseph’s ability to give interpretations of dreams. “The book makes the story it tells clear”. There is no small distinction between those two meanings. Muslims will tell you both meanings are included. But the language is unclear (and no less than 2 places).

+153 12/3 (A3 – in 2008 edition A5): “We (Allah*) do relate unto thee (Muslims*) the most beautiful of stories - - -.” But with a linguistic-technical reasoning that simply is too complicated for lay persons, so we do not quote it here, according to f. ex. Zamakhshari a more correct meaning is something like “- - - a story with the best explanations - - -.” There is a wide river between these two meanings. But to repeat it: The language in the Quran is clear and easy to understand – and impossible to misunderstand. And these variants like always also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

154 12/6 (A10): “Thus will thy (Joseph’s*) Lord (Allah according to the Quran*) teach thee the interpretations of stories (“ahadit”*) - - -.” The literal meaning of “ahadit” (singular “hadith”) is “sayings” or “tidings” – “things you are told -“. Most Islamic scholars mean it refers to Joseph’s ability to give interpretations of dreams. But with just a slightly different pronunciation of “hadith” you get the meaning “a happening”, “an event” – and this in case

gives the sentence the meaning that Joseph was thought how to understand the real meaning of or behind what was really said and done in real life according to M. Azad, based on Razi. Asad also thinks this is the more correct meaning. Two very different skills, in case. And these variants naturally also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

155 12/19 (YA1654): “Ah there! Good news (“bushra”*)”. But the Arab word “bushra” also may be a proper name. Then in case the exclamation was: “Ah there, Bushra!” A detail – but does an omnipotent and omniscient god make even the details unclear?

156 12/30 (A27 – in 2008 edition A28): “- - - she (the wife of the Aziz (in reality a title = “the mighty one”, not a name – the name in the Bible is Potiphar*)) sent for them (the slandering ladies*) and prepared a banquet for them - - -.” What the Arab texts really tells she did, was “to prepare a place where one reclines – (“muttaka”))” – or “a cushioned couch”. But this is not clear enough language – hence the “explaining translations”; “banquet”, “sumptuous repast”, or similar used by translators. Clear language?

157 12/49 (A44 – in 2008 edition A48): “Then will come after that (period) a year in which the people will have abundant water - - -.” Here the language in the Quran is so unclear – read “directly wrong” - that A.Yusuf Ali’s religion has subdued his moral and intellectual integrity. The Arab verb that is used – “yughath” – derives either from the noun “ghayth” = “rain”, or from another noun “ghawth” = “deliverance from distress”. There is no way that it can mean “water”. The “clear language” offers 2 choices – one wrong, one not a good description, so the pious Muslims used a 3. and dishonest one as Egypt did not get rain but flooding of the Nile (or actually a “kitman” – a lawful half-truth – as the rain much further south in Africa causes the flood - - - but that is not what the Quran is talking about.) Well, to be polite and forget the dishonesty – al-Taqiyya (which kitman is a version of) – the lawful lie - after all is lawful in Islam, and even a duty to use if necessary to defend or promote Islam (and curiously enough also permitted to use to cheat women – something for women to remember sometimes - - - f. ex. that a marriage is a possible way for a Muslim man to get residence permit in a rich country – it happens now and then - and that it is permitted to use al-Taqiyya to cheat women). And these variants inevitably also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

158 12/52 (A47 – in the 2008 edition A51): “This (say I), in order that he (the Aziz*) may know that I have never been false to him in his absence, and that Allah will never guide the snare of the false ones. Nor do I absolve my own self - - -.” All this is well and good. But who says this? Is it the wife, like f. ex. Ibn Kathir and Rashid Rida guess? – or is it Joseph, like among others Tabari, Baghawi, and Zamakhshari believe? The clear (?) language in the Quran does not give one single reliable clue – it is anybody’s guess. Clear and un mistakeable texts?

159 12/75 (A70 – in 2008 edition A74): “They said: ‘The penalty should be - - -.’” But who said this? If it was the Egyptians, that was the law one had to follow. If it was Joseph’s brothers, it was an offer to the Egyptians, but not a consequence of a law one had to obey. The Quran does not give a clue – and some Muslim scholars guess this, others that. Would an omniscient god use a language that so often is unclear, has double or even multiple meanings, and that so frequently demands guesswork? – at least anyone who use this language as a proof for a god, is far out in the wilderness.

160 12/99 (A 92 – in 2008 edition A96): “(Joseph*) provided a home for his parents - - -.” What does this mean? The Bible tells and the Quran does not object to that his mother Rachel died in childbirth when Benjamin was born, and he only had his father Jacob. Muslims “may, therefore, assume that the “mother” implied in the term “parents” was another of Jacob’s wives - - -.” It is not uncommon to call a foster mother for “mother”. In some cultures it even is common to use the words “mother” and “father” as a respectful title when speaking with old people. But a pet name, a respectful name/title, does not make someone your parent. The very least that should have been done by Allah if he claimed to use a language “clear and easy to understand” was to say “his father and step-mother”. (The likely explanation is that Muhammad when he told this, forgot that Joseph’s mother was dead).

161 12/100 (A95 – in 2008 edition A98, A99): “- - - and they Jacob and his family*) fell down in prostration, (all) before him (Joseph*) - - -.” Here is a big conundrum inside a riddle surrounded by a puzzle for Islam. A pious prophet like Jacob impossibly could prostrate himself before a human. And an as pious prophet like Joseph impossibly could have accepted it. Something has to be wrong in the text. This even though the Arab text “wa-kharru lahu sudjdjah” literally means “- - - and they fell down before him in (alternatively “like in”) prostration (or “praying to him” according to the Swedish copy)”. Islam has no good explanations that we have found. According to ‘Abd Allah ibn ‘Abbas the “him” in “before him” must refer to Allah – which it most clearly does not do. Razi explains that Joseph’s dream was not fully fulfilled, etc. Actually here the text is very clear – and the only thing Muslim scholars agree on, is that the literal meaning must be wrong, and this without having a good alternative meaning.

162 13/1 (A2): “These Verses are the Signs (or Verses (or messages of revelation*)) of the Book (the Quran*) - - -.” Multiple meanings already here. But the main problem for Islam: What do “These Verses” refer to? Many scholars mean just the verses in this surah. Others like Ibn Abbas/Baghawi emphasise that it must mean all the verses in all the Quran. The Quran itself is unclear on also this small point – but big enough to cause debate for 1400 years.

+163 13/2a (YA1800): “Allah is He who raised the heavens without any pillars that ye can see - - -.” Or does it mean that it is the heavens you cannot see? – pick your choice (though it is the first one we most often meet). A. Yusuf Ali here explains the pillars with forces – interesting as it is an explanation we have not met before. But it is meaningless all the same: For one thing no force field has ever been registered (shall we guess that Muslims will explain this with a loose and convenient claim about forces only Allah can register?) But the main thing is that there are no 7 heavens that are “raised” and kept in their places – not by pillars, nor by anything else.

164 13/2b (A5): “Each one (sun and moon*) runs (its cause) for a term appointed”. According to Islam “a term appointed” may refer to The Day of Doom - - - or to their passing through the zodiac “mansions” (‘Abd Allah ibn ‘Abbas/Baghawi/Razi). Quite some differences in the meanings for a clear language. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

+165 13/7 (A17 – in 2008 edition A17): “But thou (Muhammad*) art truly a warner, and to every people a guide.” But Islam itself tells that there are more meanings possible from the Arabic text: “Thou art only a warner; and every people have had a guide like thee - - -” Two significant nuances in the meaning. Or: “Thou art only a warner – but (at the same time) also

a guide unto all people” – at least one clear difference in nuances compared to each of the 2 above.” Or: “Thou art only a warner bound to do no more than deliver the message entrusted to thee, while it is Allah alone who can truly guide men’s hearts towards faith.” Far more than nuances in the meanings. A clear language? – not possible to misunderstand?

166 13/17-18 (A39): “Thus doth Allah set forth parables.” Clear and easy language: Here Allah has explained how he sets forth parables. But it is not that clear – because it is unclear if this belongs to the same context. In that case one gets another meaning: “Thus Allah set forth parables for those who respond to their Lord - - -.” Or to quote M. Asad: “For those who have responded to their Sustainer (Allah*) there is the ultimate good (al-husna) (in store) - - -.” Not quite the same. Clear text?

167 13/22 (A43 – in 2008 edition A44): “- - - and turn off Evil with good - - -.” What does this mean? F. ex. Ibn Kaysan/Zamakhshari: “if they have committed a sin, they repel it (= its effect on themselves*) by repenting”. Or Razi: You have to say and/or do things to set evil situations right. Or others, f. ex. Tabari: “You repay evil with good.” Just pick your choice, as the Arab text can mean any of these. Muslims claim the texts and the language in the Quran are so clear, that it is part of the proof for that the book must be sent down from Allah. We agree to that it proves something – but not an omniscient god. Definitely not. And these variants also inevitably are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

+168 13/26 (YA1841): “- - - the life on this earth is but little comfort in the Hereafter” = it means little in the next life. Or: “- - - it is a stepping stone (or something*) for the life to come.” Both meanings are possible from the crystal clear Arab text.

+169 13/38 (YA1863): “For each period is a Book (revealed.)” But two other correct translations will be: “For each period is a Law (revealed)”, and “For each period is a Decree established”. Just pick and choose.

170 13/41 (A79 – in 2008 edition A80): “See they (“infidels”) not that We (Allah*) gradually reduce the land (in their control) from their outlying borders?” (= we conquer more and more bits and pieces from them.) But the Arab word that here is translated with “sides” or “borders” – “atraf” – has many meanings. The sentence also may mean: “We take their best men”, or: “- - - the best part of (the Earths) people and fruits”. What choice do you prefer? And these variants naturally also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

171 13/43 (YA1868): “Enough for a witness between me (Muhammad*) and you “non-Muslims*) is Allah, and such as have knowledge of the Book (the Quran*)” = the Quran is a witness for that I am a prophet, and the ones who study it, sees that and bear witness. Or: “- - - as all knowledge in the Book comes from Allah, the Quran also bears witness to me” = the Quran is a direct witness. What is your choice?

+172 14/4 (A4): “Now Allah leaves straying those whom He pleases.” Or may be “- - - Allah lets go astray him that wills - - -”? The first one is the literal meaning, though both are possible from the Arab text. But it paints a truly unsympathetic picture of the benevolent god Allah, so Muslim scholars to a large degree agrees on that something like the second meaning must be the true one. If it is clear, but do not fit the picture one likes, then explain that it is

unclear and in reality means something else. And as said many times before; these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

173 14/5 (A5): “Bring out thy (Moses’*) people (the Jews*) from the depth of darkness into light, and teach them to remember the Days of Allah”. What is meant by the Days of Allah? – the Exodus from Egypt? – or the Day of Doom? – or for that case some other day? Nobody knows. But when a god gives an order like this, it is far from unimportant that his followers get a chance to understand what he is talking about. Would a god forget that information?

174 14/22 (A32): “I (the Devil*) cannot listen to your cries (for help*), nor can ye (sinners in Hell*) listen to mine”. Or does it really mean: “I cannot respond to your call for help, just as you should not have, in your lifetime, responded to my call”? Islam simply does not know. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

175 14/48 (A64): “And thou (Muslims*) will see the sinners that day (of Doom*) bound together in fetters - - -.” In real fetters? Or is it a metaphor for their evil deeds (Razi)? Or perhaps a picture of the chain reaction their bad deeds caused in this life (Asad)? Your guess is as good as ours and theirs, and neither the Quran nor Islam can answer – but would a real god leave so much of his holy book to sheer guesswork? Any topic in the Quran Muslims still after all these centuries are unable to understand or agree on are proved insufficiently or not clearly enough explained – and any omniscient and clairvoyant god had long before he sent down (?) the book, known which points needed better or clearer explanation.

176 14/51 (YA1930): “And verily Allah is swift to call into account.” Does this mean: Even if the “infidels” do well in life, it only means that Allah is waiting, and will react swiftly when his time comes? Or: Allah will work swiftly with the “infidels” at the Day of Doom? No Muslim can tell you the answer for sure.

177 15/4 (A4): “Never did We (Allah*) destroy a people that had not a term decreed and assigned beforehand”. Here Mr. Yusuf Ali once more seems to have translated a bit freely – may be because he knew the real meaning impossibly could be correct. According to Muhammad Asad, “The Message of the Quran”, the literal meaning is: “Never did We destroy a people unless it (the community) had known a divine writ - - -.” Perhaps Mr. Yusuf Ali knew the rules for “al-Taqiyya” (the lawful lie – a duty when it comes to defending Islam). Homo Sapiens is something like 200000 – 160000 years old. Writing has existed just some thousands of years. But thousands and thousands of tribes and peoples disappeared before that. Can thousands of peoples – not persons, but peoples – have died out without Allah’s knowing it, before writing was invented? Here something is wrong to say the least of it.

178 15/24 (YA1965): “- - - those of you who hasten forward, and those who lag behind”. Another cryptic sentence Muslims are not sure what means. The first to accept Islam, and the later ones? Those of the earlier times and those who will come later? The first in war and the reluctant ones? Who knows?

+179 15/70 (YA1993): “They (the men from Sodom and Gomorrah*) said 'Did we not forbid thee (Lot*) (to speak) for all and sundry?’” – or may be the meaning is “- - - to entertain any strangers.” – the Arab text is open to both meanings. As mentioned before: Clear language in the Quran.

180 15/78 (YA2000): “- - - the Companions of the Wood - - -“. Who were they? Another name for the people of Madyan?. A group within the Madyanites? Neighbours of the Madyanites? Or some other tribe or group? Unclear in the Quran.

181 15/91-92: (YA2013): “(So also such) as have made the Quran into shreds (as they please). Therefore, by thy (Muhammad’s*) Lord (Allah*), We (Allah*) will, of surety call them to account.” This seems to be about the same culprits – but may a Muslim scholar think the first part is about the Jews and the Christians (that Islam claims uses bits and pieces of their holy book), and the last part they think is about the pagans in Mecca. The answer is unclear.

182 16/4 (A5): “He (Allah*) has created man from a sperm-drop; and behold this same (man) becomes an open disputer.” This may be figurative – the development of man from the very start till adult and ability to dispute for himself. Or it may mean that man has a tendency to oppose against the wishes of Allah. Not a clear point exactly, but definitely a distinction. And these variants - once more - also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

183 16/41 (A42 – in 2008 edition 43): “To those who leave their homes in the cause of Allah - - We (Allah*) will surely give a goodly home in this world; but truly the reward in the hereafter will be greater. If they only realises (this)!” This concerns the strained situation before Muhammad fled Mecca. Some followers fled to Africa, some preferred to risk staying in Mecca – flight was too dangerous for them. And then there were the non-Muslims. The last sentence in this verse concerns one of the two latter parts – but which? Baghawi, Zamakhshari, Razi and others mean the Quran here talks about the non-Muslims. Ibn Kathir and others mean it here is meant the Muslims that preferred to stay on in Mecca. Just pick your choice, because nobody knows what is right. A clear text not possible to misunderstand?

+184 16/69 (YA2099): “(The bees*) find with skill the spacious paths (“dhululan”) of its Lord (Allah*) - - -.” But as the Arab word has at least two meanings, the correct may be a reference to “the idea of humility and obedience in them”. Which do you prefer?

185 16/77 (A88 in 2008 edition A90): “To Allah belongeth the Mystery of the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth, And the Disition of the Hour (of Judgement) - - -.” But is this what the Arab word “ghayb” really means? The literal meaning is “hidden reality”. Does it simply mean: “And Allah’s (alone) is the knowledge of the hidden reality of the heavens and the earth”? – or does it refer to Allah himself as a hidden reality? – or what? Nobody knows.

186 16/80 (A91- in 2008 edition A93): “- - - and (Allah*) made for you, out of the skins of animals, (tents for) dwellings for you.” But Arab tent are not made of skins – they are woven. How come? (Actually this is a strange one, because here Caliph Utman knew very well that the tents were woven – is the meaning something different? – or is it the wool that is described in this very clear and obvious way – this even though wool is mentioned later in the verse, and thus should prohibit this interpretation? An enigma.

187 16/81 (A95 – in 2008 edition A98): “He (Allah*) made you - - - coats of mail to protect you from your (mutual) violence.” But the Arab word “sarabil” simply means “clothes” or in a wider meaning “coverings”. It simply may mean any kind of clothes or coverings protecting you from something. Any god had used the (Arab) word for coats of mail if that was what he meant and used a clear language.

188 16/110a (YA2147): “To those who leave their homes after trials and persecutions – and thereafter strive and fight for the Faith and patiently persevere - - -.” Well, who are the “those” in this? Pagans that became Muslims? Or whom? Muslims guess, as the text is not clear. (Also see 16/110 just below).

189 16/110 (YA2147): “To those who leave their homes after trials and persecutions – and thereafter strive and fight for the Faith and patiently persevere - - -.” (Also see 16/110a just above). But may be there are used wrong vowels in the central word (remember; in the old Arab alphabet one has to guess the vowels) and it is not the Arab word “futuṭu” that is meant, but “fatānu”. Then the meaning changes to: “- - - after inflicting trials and persecutions (on Muslims)” – more or less 180 degrees the opposite. It is not the only place this is the case in the Quran – a nice and clear and impossible to misunderstand language.

190 17/52 (YA2236): Is this verse part of the answer to the sceptic in the previous verse? Or is it a separate, proud statement to Muslims. Who knows?

00e 17/59 (A70): “And We (Allah*) refrains from sending the Signs, only because the men of former generations treated them as false - - -.” **This is one of the places in the Quran where Muhammad knew he was lying – miracles do have effect** (see f. ex. Pharaoh’s magicians). But there is an interesting remark in Asad’s note and explanation: **“His (Muhammad’s*) only miracle was and is the Quran itself”. This is an accepted fact among Muslim scholars and Islamic religious leaders. AND ALL THE SAME THEY CONTINUE AND CONTINUE TO TELL THEIR CONGREGATIONS ABOUT THE (MADE UP) MIRACLES CONNECTED TO MUHAMMAD THAT THE HADITHS TELL ABOUT – AND NOWHERE IN THE HADITH COLLECTIONS DO YOU FIND A WARNING THAT “THE QURAN PROVES THAT THESE MIRACLES ARE MADE UP LEGENDS.** An honest religion? Honest “priests” Honest professors? (These are among the reasons why it is impossible to rely on Islamic literature – you all the time know you have to check the “facts” before you can use the information (or disinformation or wishful thinking)).

191 17/60 (YA2249): “We (Allah*) granted thee (Muhammad*) the Vision which We showed thee - - -.” A conundrum for Islam: Which vision? The big one to heaven? Or one of the many other claims? Not possible to know. A. Yusuf Ali has an interesting comment to this point: That “such visions are miracles, and become a stumbling block to unbelievers”. Has he forgotten that the Quran time and again and again tries to explain away the total lack of miracles connected to Muhammad, with that miracles would have no effect anyhow? But he is right – miracles had had a large effect if there had been as much as a single one. That explaining away of the lack of miracles, is one of the places where an intelligent man like Muhammad knew he was lying each and every time he said it. (But then al-Taḥīyya is ok).

+192 17/71 (YA2266): “One day We (Allah) shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams - - -.” Once more an Arab word – “imam” - without a definite meaning, as it has many meanings. In this case it at least can mean: “- - - each People or Group will appear with its Leader.” Or: “- - - the Imam = their revelation = the Quran”. Or: “- - - the Imam is the book of record of deeds (made by the angels*).” Simply more guesswork.

193 17/80 (A98): “Say: ‘O my Lord (Allah*)! Let me enter by the Gate of Truth and Honour, and likewise my exit by the Gate of Truth and Honour; and grant me from Thy Presence an authority to aid (me).” This is a cryptic one. Islam seems not really to understand its meaning. M. Asad from 2002 (translated from Swedish): “Lord (Allah)! Let me go down into my grave

as a true and honest believer and let me get up from it as a true and honest believer, and give me from Your own power strength to be victorious.” And that book says that the literal meaning (translated from Swedish) is: “Let my entrance be in the sign of the truth and my exit be in the sign of the truth - - -.” M. Asad in English (2008): “(O my Sustainer (Allah*))! Cause me to enter (upon whatever I may do) in a manner true and sincere, and cause me to leave (it) in a manner true and sincere, and grant me out of Thy grace, sustaining strength.” This new edition simply has dropped all comments concerning this verse that was in the older editions. Too cryptic for the new edition? What at least is sure is that it is not 100% sure that the claims about how clear and easy to understand the text in the Quran are correct.

194 17/104 (YA2314): “- - - the second of the warnings (for the Jews*) - - -.” The Jews have had such a chequered history, that nobody has a clue to what is meant. One guesses – but it only is educated guesses. Even the Day of Doom is proposed as one of the warnings, this even though the sentence is in the past tense. Clear language?

195 18/4-5 (A2): “Further, that He (Allah*) may warn those (also) who say, ‘Allah hath begotten a son’: No knowledge have they of such a thing, nor had their fathers.” But the pronoun “hi” in “bihi” – does it point to “Allah hath begotten a son” or to “Allah”? In the last case the meaning here according to “The Message of the Quran” (that even stresses that this must be the right one, even though the one above is the usual one) is: “‘Allah has taken unto Himself a son’. No knowledge whatever have they of Him (Allah*).” 2 choices – chose which one you think is best. And; these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

+196 18/9 (YA2336): “- - - and the inscription (“raqim”) - - -.” Arab “raqim” means inscription. But it also may be the name of the dog, according to some Muslim scholars. The book does not specify.

+197 18/21 (A31 – in 2008 edition A30): “Let us (people*) surely build a place of worship over them (“the 7 sleepers”*)”. Or is the more usual translation correct? – that they should build a wall to close the cave. Who knows?

198 18/50 (A53 – omitted in 2008): “Behold, We (Allah*) said to the angels, ‘Bow down to Adam’: they bowed down except Iblis. He was one of the Jinns - - -.” But here is a clear mistake – or more likely; A. Yusuf Ali’s religion and al-Taqiyya may have suppressed his honesty: The original Arab text here do not say he was a jinn: It says something like (translated from Swedish): “He (Iblis*) belonged to the multitude of invisible beings”. The text here honestly and clearly indicates that he was an angel before he became the Devil. On the other hand the Quran other places tells he was made from fire, which in case means he according to this book in reality was a jinn. This is one more place where the Muslim scholars agree that the text in the Quran is wrong (though they never say this in clear words) as it here most clearly indicates that Iblis was an angel.

+199 18/55 (A61): “- - - or the Wrath be brought to them face to face?” But the Arab word “qubulan” has 2 meanings: “face to face” and “in the future”. The alternative meaning then is something like: “- - - or the (ultimate) suffering should befall them (sinners*) in the hereafter.” To say the least of it: There is a certain distinction between meeting something face to face – which on top of all has the underlying meaning fast – and to meet it sometimes in the future or in the next life. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

200 18/58 (YA2402): “But they (non-Muslims*) have their appointed Time, beyond which they will find no refuge.” But the meaning of the Arab “min duni hi” may not point to the time, but to “your Lord” at the beginning of the verse. Then you get the meaning: “- - and except with Allah, they will find no refuge.” Same Arab words – different meaning. Clear language?

00f 18/84 (A84 – in 2008 edition A85): “Until, when he (Dhu'l Quarnayn/Alexander the Great*) reached the setting of the sun, he found it set in a spring of murky water”. In this case A.Yusuf Ali – like mostly – has a correct translation. The Arab word “ayn” normally means a spring. Muslims has a tendency to claim that “many philologists” also tell it can mean “abundance of water”, “a large body of water” or similar. This even though if he had seen even a murky Pasific Ocean, it only had been a tea-spoon compared to the real size of the sun - far, far too smaal. Not to mention the real sun far, far too hot close up. Then they - “forgetting” the word “murky” (there exist no murky ocean and hardly any murky really big lake) - happily go on to explain that he must have seen the reflexion of the sun in the sea or ocean, a sight Alexander had seen hundreds of times before and never had mistaken for what he was looking for according to the Quran. When religious people have to choose between truth or reality and religious “Truth”, both truth and reality can walk west singing a song. Here the text is clear – **but Muslims make up other meanings to flee from an obvious mistake in the Quran.** It is not the only place in the book.

201 19/9 (YA2462): “He said - - -.” Who said? – the angel or Zachariah? The book is unclear on that point.

00g 19/30-33 (A24) – in 2008 edition A23): A similar case as 18/84 above: As baby Jesus impossibly could be a prophet, this even according to Islam. There has to be other explanations, according to the Muslim scholars.

202 19/71a (A56 – in 2008 edition A55): “Not one of you but will pass over it (Hell*) - - -.” Whom do the word “you” refer to? Islam does not know. Either all sinners or all humans most likely, but the text is unclear about which. Like too often before.

+203 19/71b (YA2518): “Not one of you but will pass over it (Hell*) - - -.” 3 possible interpretations: A: Every soul (person? – they are resurrected in flesh according to Islam) must pass over/through/by the fire. Or B: Possibly it only refers to the wicked ones if the word “you” is only directed to them. Or C: May be it refers to the bridge Sirat (not mentioned by name in the Quran) over Hell and the march across that one. The book is this unclear.

+204 20/15 (YA2546): “My (Allah’s*) design is to keep it (the Day of Doom*) hidden - - -.” But the Arab word “ukhfi” may mean “hidden” or “manifest”. Then you get the alternative meaning: “My design is to make it manifest”. Choose what you like.

205 20/69 (YA2591): “And the magician thrives not, (no matter) where he goes”. An unclear sentence with at least 2 possible meanings according to Islamic scholars: A: Falsehood and trickery may win sometimes, but often not. Or B: Trickery and magic must come to an evil end.

206 20/96 (A82): “He (the Samari*) replied: ‘I saw what they saw not: so I took a handful (of dust) from the footprint of the Messenger, and threw it (into the calf) - - -.’ But is this literal translation correct? Muslim scholars tend to understand it more figuratively. F. ex. “The

Message of the Quran” (Swedish 2006): “- - - (I), therefore, took a handful of what the Messenger had left behind and threw it away - - -.” And the 2008 English edition (that seems to have made small “corrections” in dogmatic direction and are slightly less honest than Muhammad Asad himself, even though he, too, sometimes is more dogmatic than honest): “- - - so I took a handful of the Apostle’s teachings and cast it away.” What is the true interpretation? It seems that one has to learn Arab to be sure – honesty is not always part of a religion. Especially the differences in the text between the 2006 and the 2008 edition of “The Message of the Quran” may be telling something about Muslims and about Islam.

00h 21/4 (A5): Variation in explanations: In the 2006 Swedish edition “The Message of the Quran” tells that the Arab word is spelled “gul” in the “way of reading” = variety of the Quranic texts - after Warsh, used in much of North Africa, whereas in the variety after Hafs, used in the rest of the Muslim area, it is spelled “qala” (in the original old manuscripts it was written just q-l , so both are possible). The first means imperative of “say”, the other “he (Allah) said.” 2 varieties. But the main thing here is not this minor variety. **The main thing it that “The Message of the Quran” here confirms that there are varieties of the book - something any Muslim scholar know, but all the same the lay people are told there never is or was more than one variety.** Only 2 today remain in daily use – the 2 mentioned – but once there were 14 accepted varieties (and before that many more). Well, there is one more – and ominous – as interesting fact: This little known, but most essential, fact has “disappeared” from the 2008 edition. The fiction that there only is and always was only one Quran, is more essential than honesty in Islam it seems. But if a religion is true, it should not be necessary to lie about it - remember the IT-slogan RIRO: Rubbish In = Rubbish Out.

207 21/10 (A13): “We (Allah*) have revealed for you (O men!) a book in which is a Message for you”. But the Arab word “dhikr” has a number of meanings. F. ex. in ”The Message of the Quran”: ”(O men!) We (Allah*) have now bestowed upon you from on high a divine writ containing all that you ought to bear in mind”. The statement that the Quran contains all the knowledge you need gives a large and interesting difference to the meaning. And there are more possible meanings. Yes, a book with very clear texts, easy to understand and not possible to misunderstand. The information that all knowledge you need is to be found in the Quran, also is interesting in itself. An extra ominous meaning when you remember Islam's suppression of all "non-Islamic thoughts" through history.

208 21/19 (A22 – in 2008 edition A23): “- - - even those who are in His (very) Presence are not too proud to serve him - - -“. Does this only mean the angels, or also devoted believers? Islam does not know. But the text in the Quran is clear, they say.

209 21/51 (A55 – in 2008 edition A59, but some is omitted): “We (Allah*) bestowed aforetime on Abraham his rectitude of conduct - - -.” But the Arab expression “min qabl” that here is translated to “aforetime”, also may mean “already in young years”. “The Message of the Quran”, 2006 has (translated from Swedish): “Already in his youth We (Allah*) gave Abraham - - -.” Interestingly the ones who have revised the 2008 edition in his name (Muhammad Asad is as far as we know dead – the book is at from at least not later than 1980), has omitted some of his information and changed the text to this: “And, indeed, long before (the time of Moses) We vouchsafed unto Abraham - - -.” Also the information about alternative meanings is omitted in the 2008 edition. To make the text more clear? – or out of honesty?

210 21/64 (A58 – in 2008 edition A62): “Surely we (Abraham’s contemporaries*) are in the wrong”. But because they did wrong not guarding their god pictures or because they suspected Abraham? Islam does not know (this point is left out in 2008).

211 21/69 (A61 – in 2008 edition A64): “We (Allah*) said, ‘O fire! Be thou cool, and (a means of) safety for Abraham”. But there is nowhere in the Quran actually said that Abraham was thrown into the fire, so even today Islam is at a loss what to believe – some say yes and some no. Clear language?

212 21/105 (A100 – in 2008 edition A101): “Before this We (Allah*) wrote in the Psalms, after the Message (given to Moses) - - -.” But the Arab word “zabur” just means “scripture” or “book”. Hence f. ex. understandings like this: “And, indeed, after having exhorted (man), We (Allah*) laid down in all the books of divine wisdom - - -.” Quite different – from the same easy to understand Arab text. And these variants as always also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

+213 22/15 (A15, YA2786): “If any think that Allah will not help him (his Messenger) in this world and the hereafter, let him stretch out a rope to the ceiling and cut (himself) off (hang himself*) - - -.” But the word used for “ceiling” also can mean “the heaven”, and then the Arab “fal-yamdud bi-salabin ils ‘s-sama thumma l’yaqta” may be translated: “- - - let him reach out unto heaven by any (other) means (a rope?*) and (thus try to) make headway - - -.” Quite a different meaning – from the clear language in the Quran. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

214 22/16 (A17): “- - - Allah doth guide whom He will.” Or is the meaning: “- - - for (thus it is) that Allah guides him who wills (to be guided)”. Quite a difference – in the first case Allah decides, in the second case it is the person who decides (if free will exists). Both in the same clear text that is easy to understand. And these variants as mentioned also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

215 22/27 (A37): “And proclaim the Pilgrimage among men - - -.” As Allah was speaking about – not to, but about – Abraham in the previous verse, many Muslim scholars think this was told said to Abraham. But there is no reason at all to believe Abraham institutionalized pilgrimage to Mecca to Abraham – neither in the Bible nor in the Quran there is any hint about that. So many Muslims – f. ex. Al-Hasan al-Basri – believe the words were meant for Muhammad. Believe what you like – the text is clear enough for both meanings.

216 22/29 (A42): “Then let them (the pilgrims*) complete the rites prescribed for them, perform their vows, and (again) circumambulate the Ancient House (Kabah*).” This is what A. Yusuf Ali believes the Arab “thumma l-yaqdu tafathahum” means – to complete the rites. Others think like this: “- - - let them fulfil the vows which they (may) have made - - -.” Not even so central a subject for Muslims as what to do during the central part of the pilgrimage, does the clear text in the Quran make clear. Had a god been so sloppy in his speech on essential themes?

+217 22/65 (A80 YA2847): Two translations of the same Arab words:

1. A. Yusuf Ali: “He (Allah*) withholds the sky (rain) from falling - - -.”

2. M. Asad, “corrected” 2008: “- - - and (that it is He who) holds the celestial bodies (in their orbits), so that they may not fall upon earth - - -.” One of these sounds much better than the other – and honesty in religion does not matter very much. At least not in all religions.

This Arab word “sama” may mean: A: “something high”. B: “a roof, a ceiling”. C: “the sky, the canopy of heaven” (not “the universe”*). D: “cloud or rain”. The ones who have revised the 2008 edition really has done a good work. But is it an honest work? Clear at least?

+218 23/1 (YA2865): “The Believers must (eventually) win through - - -.” Or perhaps “- - - obtain salvation from sorrow and all evil.” The Arab word “aflaha” can give at least 2 meanings. Clear language?

219 23/17 (YA2876): We simply quote: “Thara’iq, tracts, roads, orbits, or paths in the visible heaven. These seven are clearly marked to our eyes (??*), in the immense space that we see around us. We must go to astronomy to form any plausible theories to these motions. But their simplest observation gives us a sublime view of beauty, order, and grandeur in the universe. The assurance given in the next clause, that Allah cares for us and all His Creation, calls out attention to Allah’s goodness, which is further illustrated in the subsequent verses.” **A lot of words to avoid explaining anything about the 7 material heavens with the stars fastened to the lowest, that the Quran in reality is speaking about. Here things are clear, but is made unclear and wrapped up in verbal wool to tuck away what the Quran clearly states many places.**

220 23/32-41 (A17): “And We (Allah*) sent them a messenger from among themselves - - -.” Who are “they” and who is the messenger? Islam does not know. Some guess it may be Hud – sometimes mentioned in the Quran. It may perhaps be Muhammad. Or it may be a vague general description. Clear words?

+221 23/55-56 (A32): “Do they (people*) think that because We have granted them abundance in wealth and sons, We would hasten them on in every good?” Another possible translation of the Arab text: “- - - We (but want to) make them vie with one another in doing (what they consider) good works?” 2 very different meanings from one and the same clear (?) Arab text. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

+222 23//77 (A47): “Until We (Allah*) open on them a gate leading to a severe Punishment: then lo, they will be plunged in despair therein.” An alternative understanding of just the same clear Arab language: “- - - they will despair all hope.” As you see in the Quran the texts are so clear and obvious, that they have to be made by a god – if not, things had been possible to misunderstand or there would be double and multiple meanings here and there.

+223 23/112 (YA2948): “He (Allah*) will say - - -“. Here is an interesting small – or big – detail when you think about all the claims about how exactly like Muhammad’s words the Quran is: A. Yusuf Ali refers directly to the difference between 2 “ways of reading”. One is the Hafs version after Kufah, the other is after Basrah – Islam uses the expression “ways of reading” (“qira’ah”) and pretends that that is something different from versions, which it is not. Islam just use another word to conjure away the fact that there exist and existed different

versions of the book – there once were 14 “canonized” ones + a lot of others. We quote: “The Hafs reading is “Qala”, “He will say”. This follows the Kufah Qira’ah. The Basrah Qira’ah reads “Qul”, “Say” (in the imperative).” In itself this is a minor detail – though far more than “correct to the last comma” like Muslims often claim – **but it documents that the different versions of the Quran still exist and are used.** (Actually the two that are in daily use today, are Warsh in parts of Africa, especially in North Africa, and Hafs in the rest of the world.)

224 24/35 (A54): “Allah doth guide whom He will to his Light”. But others (inkl. Zamakhshari) means the same clear and not mistakable text says: “Allah leads unto His light him that wills (to be guided)”. 180 degrees different meaning (in the first Allah decides, in the other the person) – both “correct”, as the text can be understood both ways. Would a god use a language so careless and difficult to understand clearly? And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

225 24/46 (A64): Like 24/35 just above.

226 24/60 (A84): “Such elderly women as are past the prospect of marriage - - -.” A nuance different from the exact meaning: “- - - who do not desire (or hope for) sexual intercourse - - -.” 2 rather different meanings at least formally?

+227 24/63 (YA3048): “Deem not the summons of the Messenger (Muhammad*) among yourself like the summons of one of you to another: Allah doth know those of you who slip away under shelter of some excuse - - -.” But the old consonant alphabet also gives 2 more possible variants: “Do not think that the prayer of the Prophet of Allah is like your ordinary requests to another: the Prophet’s prayers will be about serious matters and will be accepted by Allah.” And: “Do not address the Prophet familiarly as thou would address one another: use proper terms of respect for him.” These meanings vary wildly – but all are correct according to the unfinished Arab alphabet of ca. 650 AD. Clear and distinct language in the Quran?

+228 25/1 (YA:3054): “Blessed is He (Allah*) Who has sent down the Criterion to His servant - - -.” The pronoun in “yaquna” may refer to Furqan (= The Criterion = the name of this surah) or to the “Abd” (“the Holy Prophet” (Muhammad*)). In the last case it will mean: “- - - sent down the Holy Prophet - - -”.

229 25/30 (A23-24): “Truly my (Muhammad’s) people took this Quran for just foolish nonsense.” Or (translated from Swedish): “My people looks at this Quran as something outdated (that may be put away).” There is a distinction between foolish nonsense and something that may have been ok, but now is outdated (most of Muhammad’s stories in the Quran, were “borrowed” and “adjusted” from older stories.)

230 25/34 (YA3094): “- - - the Companions of the Rass - - -.” “The Rass may mean “an old well” or “a shallow water-pit” or be connected to “the burial of the dead” or it may be the name of a town or a place. Islam is at a loss what people here is meant – the Quran simply is too unclear.

231 25/59: (A46 – in 2008 edition A47, but alternative “disappeared”): “- - - ask thou (man*), then, about Him (Allah*) of any acquainted (with such things)”. Is this “any” Allah? – or Muhammad? – or the Quran? Many Muslims guess it is Allah, but it only is guessing – the others are quite possible. Clear text?

232 26/20 (YA3149): “Moses said: ‘I did it then, when I was in error.’ 3 possible implications: “I was wrong in doing it in a temper and in being hasty,” or “I was wrong in taking the law into my own hands, but repented and asked Allah’s pardon,” or “That was a time when I was under your influence, but since then I am a changed man, as Allah has called me.” The exact meaning unclear.

233 26/57-58 (A31 – the alternative explanation left out in the 2008 edition): “So We (Allah*) expelled them from gardens, springs, treasures and every kind of honourable positions - - -.” Is it here the Egyptians that over time have robbed the Israelis? – or is it Allah that punishes the Egyptians afterwards? Islam is still debating this unclear point.

+234 26/58-59 (YA3169): “Treasures, and every kind of honourable position; Thus it was, but We (Allah*) made the Children of Israel inheritors of such things”. But the alternative meaning of these two verses is: Verse 58 “We (Pharaoh*) have dispossessed the Israelis from everything good in the land, and made them our slaves”. Verse 59 (Allah comments): “Poor ignorant man (Pharaoh*)! You may oppress those who are helpless, but We (Allah) have declared that they shall inherit these things”. As one understands the language in the original Quran is clear, distinct and impossible to misunderstand (!). 2 very different meanings.

+235 26/129 (A57): “And do you get for yourselves fine buildings in the hope of living therein (for ever)?” – or: “- - - that you might gain immortal renown for having built them?” It is anybody’s guess what the Quran really means here, too. Clear speech? And these variants - as always - also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

236 26/137 (A59 – in 2008 edition A60 but the alternative explanation omitted): “This is no other than a customary service of the ancients”. The old pagans defend their religion with that it is the reliable religion of our forefathers (Ibn Abbas, ‘Ikrimah, Qatadah). Or may be it was the other way around? – that they accused the Muslims (in this case the presumed prophet Hud) for telling just old nonsense? – “This that you tell us just (is*) repetition of old and outdated ideas.”

237 26/176 (YA3214): “- - - the Companions of the Wood - - -”. Who were they? Another name for the people of Madyan? A group within the Madyanites? Neighbours of the Madyanites? Or some other tribe or group? Unclear in the Quran.

238 26/189 (A77 – in 2008 edition A78): “Then the punishment of overshadowing gloom sized them (the people of Madyan, and that was the Penalty of a Great Day.” Does this refer to physical darkness? – but Madyan was destroyed by an earthquake according to the Quran (7/91), and earthquakes are not accompanied by darkness. Or does it refer to spiritual darkness caused by regret – but there was nobody left to feel regret if everybody was dead (a fact that is not mentioned)? Islam does not know the answer – the text does not make it clear.

Another thing: “The Message of the Quran” here comments the catastrophe that killed the Madyan people, and the connected darkness told about in the Quran, in this way: “This may refer either to the physical darkness which often accompanies volcanic eruptions and earthquakes (which as shown in 7/91, overtook the people of Madyan) - - -”. This is not – repeat: NOT – true connected to earthquakes. It is not unusual if a volcano blows out a lot of ash that it becomes dark, but unusual if it only or mainly emits lava, and it is totally untrue for earthquakes. Dishonesty or al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie - here in case to defend the religion).

If we check 7/91, the footnote number 73 says: “Like the harrah once inhabited by the Thamud tribe, the adjoining region of Madyan shows ample evidence of volcanic eruptions and earthquakes”. This is everything that is said about volcanic activity. The main volcanism stopped some 400000 years ago, but there has been a little activity later.

Then if you go to 7/91 itself, it says: “Thereupon an earthquake overtook them: and they lay lifeless, in their very homes, on the ground.” Not one single word about volcanism.

They started with earthquake. But as earthquakes never kills 100% (normally max 10% and hardly ever more than 30% except combined with low quality high-rise buildings), the footnote added a hint of the possibility of a volcanic eruption. Then in footnote A78 to 26/189 this has evolved to “- - - volcanic eruptions and earthquakes (which, as shown in 7/91, overtook the people of Madyan.)”.

This is a kind of dishonesty and a kind of intellectual corruption that one meets far too often in Islamic religious literature, included in literature pretending to be on a scientific level.

The next life – if it exists – is a far too serious topic to cheat people about. And if you have to cheat or “al-Taqiyya” or lie – or use pressure or threats - to attract people to your religion, or to make its members stay inside, it is height time to stop up and start thinking why you have to lie or cheat or use pressure. In such cases the chances are high for that something is seriously wrong and the religion not true. A true religion can afford to be honest, so if you have to cheat or make lies or use pressure, that indicates that something is wrong to use an understatement.

If your religion cannot afford total honesty, it is a clear indication for that it is not a true religion. And this alone is nearly a proof for that you are heading a wrong way – if there is a next life. (If not, the way you are heading does not matter – except as a cheap way to a good earthly life for your religious leaders.)

And there is a final, nearly as serious fact: If the persons writing – or like “The Message of the Quran” 2008, revising it – such literature are intelligent ones (and they clearly are), there is no way they do not themselves see that they are manipulating the truth. They are doing this in a cold and psychologically well planned way – efficient to lure and to cheat the naïve and the little educated and the ones who on beforehand wants to believe, but a method easily recognizable for persons trained in critical thinking. Why do they have to use such methods?

239 26/193 -196 (A85 – in 2008 edition A83): “With it (the Quran) came down the Spirit and the Truth – to thy heart and mind, that thou mayst admonish in the perspicuous Arabic tongue. Without doubt it is (announced) in the revealed Books of former peoples.” But is it the Quran that is announced, like it is indicated here, and that is the most widely held view in Islam (even though the Quran definitely is not mentioned, not to say announced, in the Bible)? – or is it the contents that has been sent before (but claimed by Islam to be falsified), like f. ex. Zamakhshari and Baydawi thinks? Impossible to know – the text is not clear on this point.

+240 26/218: “- - - Who seeth thee (Muslims*) standing forth (in prayer).” Or may be (Mujahid/Tabari): “- - - Who seeth you wherever thou mayst be.” Both meanings are possible from the Arab text. And these variants - like before - also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning.

241 27/8 (A7): “But when he (Moses*) came to the (fire) - - -.” But according to early commentators, referred by Tabari, the Arab word “nar” (fire) in this context is synonymous with another Arab word “nur” (light) as the vowel is to be guessed. Then you get: “- - - came to the light - - -.” A third alternative is that the original text here – “man fi ‘n-nar wa-man hawlaha” is referring to Allah’s own light. All these three meanings are possible from the Arab text. And these variants - like before - also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

+242 27/37 (A29): “Go back to them, and be sure we shall come to them (Sabah*) with such a host (army*) as they will never be able to meet: we shall expel them from there in disgrace, and they will feel humbled (indeed).” It is very clear from both the previous and the following verse that here it is Solomon speaking. But this is no logical answer to a peaceful embassy. The Quran also formally says that a war of attack is never permissible (though Muslims have found many ways around that formality.) So Muslim scholars have found it has another meaning: This is Allah warning the Sabeans to become Muslims – Solomon of course was a devout Muslim according to Islam. In this case the second meaning clearly is made up – but it is real for Islam. And linguistically it is possible.

243 27/38 (A31): “Ye Chiefs! Which of you can bring me her throne before they come to me in surrender.” There is no logical meaning for surrender at this stage of the story – and especially not for such a distant country. May be therefore – or may be to keep up the pretension that good Muslims like Solomon (!) never starts a war – Islam has found another meaning: It means surrender to Islam. Well, the explanation is not quite as impossible as in 27/37 just above. But it is not what the book says. “Surrender” is a political and military word with a clear meaning. It also is used in other connection, but then it is made clear what connection – like “surrender to Allah”, or “she will surrender to me” which implicates further details, articulated or not, to the word “surrender”. That is not the case here.

244 27/40 (A32): “Said one who had knowledge of the Book - - -.” Who was this? Islam is unable to agree on it. Razi says it is Solomon himself – but the context clearly shows that this person speaks to Solomon. Clear text?

+245 27/42-43: “- - - and we (the Queen of Sabah – in Arab tradition called Bilqis*) have submitted to Allah (in Islam). And he (Solomon*) diverted her from the worship of others besides Allah - - -.” The alternative possible meaning of the book that is clear and not possible to misunderstand: “And we (Solomon*) had knowledge of Allah’s Message and accepted it before her - - - and the worship of others besides Allah diverted her (from the true Religion).” Clear or confusing? – 2 very different meanings.

246 27/48 (A47): “There were in the city nine men in a family that had made mischief - - -.” But the words “in the family” seems to be added by someone, and the Arab word “raht” may here as well mean clan. There is a lot of difference between 9 men doing mischief in a city, and 9 clans doing the same. Besides may be it was not really a city, but a whole region – Al-Hirj in northern Hijaz (“county” in Arabia). Several meanings possible – from a clear (?) text.

00i 27/82: “And when the Word is fulfilled against them (the unjust), We shall produce from the earth a Beast to (face) them - - -.” What is this beast? Nobody knows – but A. Yusuf Ali did propose it was a symbol for materialism (YA3313). Muslims f. ex. proved to be very materialistic in all their wars and raids for stealing/robbing. Who knows?

+247 28/38 (A37 – YA3371): “(Pharaoh said*) “O Haman (minister for Xerxes several hundred years later and hundreds of km further east – in Persia - and not an Egyptian name either*)! - - - build me a lofty place, that I may mount up to the god of Moses - - -.” Muslims like to tell this does not refer to something like the tower of Babylon (built from bricks), but to a pyramid - - - and without mentioning a single word about well known facts like it took some 20-30 years to build a big pyramid (and Ramses II at the time science believe this happened if it happened, was not young), or that the pyramids in Egypt was built from natural stones, not from brick, so a kiln has no connection to them.

But the real question here is, is this the correct meaning of the Arab word “ittali’u”. Or did Pharaoh wish that “- - - haply I may have a look at the god of Moses - - -”? Or (translated from Swedish): “- - - that I may get some information about the god of Moses - - -.” Not too big variations in the meanings, bit far from “exact to the last comma” like Muslims like to boast of.

Another open question: Is this sarcasm or a seriously meant order? – the Quran does not tell.

248 28/68 (A74): “Thy Lord (Allah*) does create and choose as He pleases: no choice have they (in the matter) - - -.” A clear message and in full compliance to Allah’s power of predestination. But predestination collides with man’s presumed (partly?) free will (predestination and free will is mutually excluding each other. The combination of the two is even theoretically impossible, and Muslims goes to a lot of pain to reduce both (“not real predestination” and “partly free will”) to make space for a little of both, but no matter: It still is even theoretically impossible to combine them - - - except in religious wishful thinking. Can that be the reason why this explanation is preferred by many Muslims (Zamakhshari, etc.): “(Allah) chooses (for mankind) whatever is best for them.” Then the problem of predestination is omitted – and both are possible from the Arab text “ma kana lahum al-khiyarah”. (Al-khiyarah or khirah (depending on what vowels you place there) = “choice” or “freedom to choose”). Just choose.

249 28/85 (YA3416): “- - - the Place of Return.” Does this mean Mecca or Paradise? Nobody knows.

250 29/5 (YA3427): “- - - for the Term (appointed) by Allah is surely coming - - -“. Does this refer to the time appointed for death and thus the end of this life, or to the time left of this life and thus the possibility to prepare for the next life?

251 29/29 (YA3450): “Do ye (the men of Sodom and Gomorrah*) indeed approach men, and cut off the highway - - -.” Does the “- - - cut off the highway - - -“ refer to attacking and raping homosexually the travellers, or does it refer to robberies? Islamic scholars disagree and the Quran does not make it clear.

252 29/45-46 (A40): “Recite what is sent of the Book by inspiration to thee - - -.” But who is or are “thee” in this case? Muhammad? – or Muslims generally? In the last case the meaning of Arab “ma uhiya ilayka mina ‘l-kitab” may be “- - - whatever of the divine writ has revealed itself to thy understanding”. Islam has to guess what is really meant here – you do the same. Yes, the Quran is a very clear scripture.

253 30/9 (A7): “- - - they (earlier pagans*) tilled the soil and populated it in greater number than these (the peoples at the time of Muhammad*) have done - - -.” But the Arab word

“akthar” that here has been translated to “greater (numbers)” in reality only means “more”, and the phrase also can be translated like this according to Islam: “Greater were they (the pagans of older times*) in power than they (the people contemporaries to Muhammad*) are, and they left a stronger impact on the earth, and built it up even better - - -.” In the first case they were more people (and thus more powerful), in the other case they richer and because of that more powerful. Like so often before: Pick your choice because the very easy to understand Arab scripture permits both meanings. And these varieties also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

+254 31/10 (YA3587): “He (Allah*) created the heavens without any pillars that ye can see - - -.” Or does it mean that it is the heavens you cannot see? – pick your choice (though it is the first one we most often meet). A. Yusuf Ali here explains the pillars with forces – interesting as it is an explanation we have not met before. But it is meaningless all the same: For one thing no force field has ever been registered (shall we guess that Muslims will explain this with a loose and convenient claim about forces only Allah can register?) But the main thing is that there are no 7 heavens that are “raised” and kept in their places – not by pillars, nor by anything else.

+255 32/23 (YA3657): “We (Allah*) did indeed aforetime give the Book to Moses: be not then in doubt of its reaching (thee) - - -.” But the Arab pronoun “hi” can be translated either “its” or “his”. In the last case the meaning here is: “- - - be not then in doubt of his (Moses*) reaching (thee) (it must in case mean Moses’ thoughts or example or deeds*) - - -”.

An interesting extra piece: In connection with mentioning the Book of Moses, A. Yusuf Ali refers to “- - - his (Moses’) Injil or Gospel - - -.” (YA3656). The Gospel (or really Gospels – there are 4) are the history of Jesus’ life, death and resurrection, and consequently could not be written before after Jesus’ death (he died 33 years old). Science tells the Exodus – if it happened – took place ca. 1235 BC and both the Bible and the Quran say he got the Commandments (and the Quran adds the book, whereas the Bible tells he was just told the law – and only that – and that he himself wrote it down later). If Moses got the Gospels together with the 10 Commandments, he got them some 1268 years before they could be written. Also no-one outside Islam, included science, has ever run across even the weakest trace of Moses’ Gospels.

256 33/35 (A36 – in 2008 edition A38): “- - - the men and women who fast (and deny themselves) - - -.” But is it only denying themselves what the fast demands? The Arab word “sa’im” really means “one who denies himself from or abstains from anything”. Then the meaning changes to: “- - - all the self-denying men and self-denying women - - -.” In a way the same, but the meaning is much wider. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

257 33/52 (A64 – in 2008 edition A65): “It is not for thee (Muhammad*) (to marry more) women after this - - -.” Does this relate to no more than the 4 categories women that he in verse 52 was told were lawful for him? Or does it refer to all women – except slaves? Islam tends to believe the last, but f. ex. Tabari said the first. And no-one will ever know. Clear language? (This verse is from 629 AD or later according to Islam. Muhammad then was nearly 60. May be he felt the pressure from having to satisfy a dozen wives and concubines?)

258 33/55 (A71 – in 2008 edition A72): “- - - or their (believing women*) women - - -“. To what women does this relate? – as slaves are mentioned separately later in the sentence, it cannot be slave women. Close relatives? Other close or not very close? Or all women? Islam tends to believe the last, but the verse is open for all those meanings. And these variants as normal also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

259 33/72 (A86 – in 2008 edition A87): “We (Allah*) did indeed offer the Trust to the Heavens (plural and wrong) and the Mountains - - -.” But what is the meaning of the Arab word “amanah” which here is called “trust”? **The plain reality is that nobody knows.** And the as plain truth is that no matter how grandiose a translation you will ever meet (“the trust of reason and volition” f. ex.) it is nothing but guesswork. But Muslims have the gall of using the claimed “clear and easily understood language in the Quran” as a proof for that the book is not made by humans. And worse: Many a Muslim with little education really believes it himself.

260 34/4 (A3): “That He (Allah*) may reward those who believe and work deeds of righteousness - - -.” Yes – but where? Many or most scholars say “in Paradise” – this has the extra benefits that the promises of luxury can be bigger, and one does not have to explain why pious people often are not very well off.. But others – like Razi – claims it is in this world, with the good feelings one have when one believes strongly, as the main benefit. The text itself is silent about the mystery. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has more than one meaning.

261 34/11 (A13): “Make thou (David*) coats of mail, balancing well the rings of the chain armour, and work ye righteousness, for be sure I (Allah*) see (clearly) all that ye do.” This is a quite traditional translation. But Muhammad Asad strongly argues for another interpretation, and it runs like this in the 2008 edition: “Do good deeds lavishly, without stint, and give deep thoughts to their steady flow. And (thus should you all, O believers,) do righteous deeds: for, verily, I see all that you do!” **We can well understand if you refuse to believe that written word can be so unclear that one and the same verse can give so different interpretation. But the quotes are exact,** and both books are freely available in English (Abdullah Yusuf Ali: “The Holy Quran” and Muhammad Asad: “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy, Cairo). But remember the problem of the translation of the Quran from old Arab scripture with only some of the letters written, and the rest you have to guess. As we have said before: When you meet Muslims claiming that the clear language in the Quran is a proof for that it is sent down from a god, or similar claims – or that it is an exact copy of the words of Allah or Muhammad – then do not laugh, as it is impolite - - - and if they have little education in the religious field, they may even believe what they say. We repeat: And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

262 34/16 (YA3813): “- - - the flood (released) from the Dams - - -.” But the Arab word “arim” – is it a name, or does it mean dams or embankments? Nobody knows.

263 34/51-54 (YA3867): We simply quote A. Yusuf Ali in “The Meaning of the Holy Quran”: “- - - the verses 51 – 54 may be understood in many meanings: (1) The description applies to the position in final Hereafter, as compared with the position in this life. (2) It applies to the triumphant Islam in Madinah (=Medina*) and later, as compared with the

position of persecuted Islam in its early days in Makkah (= Mecca*). (3) It applies to the reversal of the position of right and wrong at various phases of the world's history, or (4) individual history." Very clear and distinct text.

00k 35/14 (A14 – in 2008 edition A13): "If you invoke them (your "home made" gods*) they do not hear your call; and even if they could hear, they would not (be able to) respond to you. And (withal,) on the Day of Resurrection they will utterly disown your having associated them with Allah." This is a contradiction one finds several places in the Quran: The gods made from wood or stones (and saints) naturally cannot hear or react to or answer you. But all the same they talk at the Day of Doom. For the saints to talk, is one thing, for wood and stone and metal it is quite another. Muslims must feel that themselves, because the most common "explanation" is not claims of miracles or something supernatural, but that it is meant symbolically - one of the famous last ditch defences against the unexplainable in Islam.

264 35/30 (A22 – comment omitted in 2008): "- - - He (Allah*) will grant them their just rewards, and give them (even) more out of His Bounty; for He is Oft-forgiving, Most ready to appreciate (service)." "The Message of the Quran" (2008) has it like this: "- - - for, verily. He is much-forgiving, ever-responding to gratitude." What the literal meaning is according to the less "revised" 2006 edition, is (translated from Swedish): "He (Allah*) forgives much and He shows great gratitude." May the different ways of "understanding" the text derive from the fact that it cannot be correct that the great god Allah can show gratitude towards mere humans?

265 36/1 (YA3943): "Ya Sin". Many of the surahs start with some letters where it is impossible to understand any meaning. They sometimes are called the "abbreviated letters" and have been an enigma for Islam and Muslims for some 1400 years. In this chapter about not clear language in the Quran – in spite of Islam's using the clear language in the book as an indication for that the Quran is made by Allah – we have mostly chosen not to quote these, but in this surah it is just possible that the letters can have a meaning - - - real or just wishful thinking. And real meaning or dreamt up meaning – nobody knows. In this case it is possible that it means "O man!" – if it is not just a coincidence. And Islam claims it in case is directed not to men generally, but to the special man: Muhammad.

But once more: Nobody knows.

266 36/28 (A17 – comment omitted in 2008): "And We (Allah*) sent not down against his People, after him (a man that came running in 36/20*) - - -." The literal meaning of the Arab "hi i min ba'dihi" is "after him" (like said here) or after "this". But does it refer to "after what he did in this situation" or – as it is told he went to heaven in 36/26 (2 verses earlier) – does it refer to "after his death". Islam does not know and in 2008 the "revised" "The Message of the Quran" does not even want to inform its readers about the uncertainty any more.

267 36/32 (YA3976): "Not to them will they return - - -." What does this mean? Nobody knows, but many are guessing. Who are "them"? And who are "they"? 1300 – 1400 years of guessing among Muslim scholars have brought no answer. This indicates that the Quran is blessed with a divinely language, divinely clear and divinely easy to understand?

268 36/38a (A21 – in 2008 edition A19): "And the sun runs his course for a period determined for him - - -." But the Arab expression "li-mustakarrin laha" is unclear. The normal translation of this expression when the Quran is explained, is "And the sun runs to its

place of rest - - -“ which is something very different (and which in surah 18 is a pond of murky water). Muslims frequently tells that here is meant the place of sundown, but the sun does not rest there. To mention a 3. translation in the 2008 edition of “The Message of the Quran” this passage is changed from the above mentioned normal translation, to “- - - it (the sun*) runs in an orbit (!!*) of its own - - -.” Except for the fact that the sun does not orbit Earth, they have managed to adjust the text to nearly modern astronomy – honesty or no honesty concerning the real meaning in the text. And to make the enigma of this clear and unmistakeable text crystal clear: If you change a few letters and read the above quoted Arab text like this: ”la mustaqarra laha” the meaning changes to “- - - it runs without having any rest - - -.” (One of the old authorities, ‘Abd Allah ibn Mas’ud is reported to have quoted it like that – an essential fact it seems like, because that is less wrong than the text in the Quran. In the mentioned 2008 edition this is used as an excuse for making the Quran look more correct than the normal translation does. Honesty and intellectual integrity?) At least: Some clear text! And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language. Also see A. Yusuf Ali’s comment in 36/38b just below.

269 36/38a (YA3983): “And the sun runs its course for a periode determined (“mustaquarr”) for it - - -.” According to this comment, it is possible to read at least 5 meanings:

1. “- - - a limit of time - - -.”
2. “- - - a periode determined - - -.”
3. “- - - a place of rest - - -.”
4. “- - - a place of quiescence - - -.”
5. “- - - a dwelling place - - -.”

All these meanings are correct according to the original Arab Quran – and its unfinished alphabet.

Clear? Or just very clear?

+270 36/46 (A27 – in 2008 edition A25): “No Sign comes to them (non-believers*) from among the Signs of their Lord (Allah*) - - -.” But another translation: “- - - no message of their Sustainer’s (Allah’s) messages - - -.” Message is a wider word than sign. A sign can be a message, but a message can mean a lot more information than a sign. Which one did Allah (?) mean? And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

271 36/75: “They (different gods*) have not the power to help them (man?): but they (whom?*) will be brought up (before Our (Allah’s) judgement Seat) as a troop (to be condemned.” To quote A. Yusuf Ali: “There is some difference of opinion among Commentators as to the exact meaning to be attached to this clause.” To be blunter: Islam just is guessing at what it can mean. Unclear language simply.

+272 36/77 (A47 – in 2008 edition A45): “Yet behold, he (man*) (stands forth) as an open adversary (to Allah?*)” But then we are back to the Arab words. Razi and Zamakhshari sets “khasim” = “natiq” and then you get this meaning: “- - - he (man’) shows himself endowed with the power to think and to argue.” Choose what you like.

273 37/1 (A1 and YA4031): “By (= Allah (!) is swearing by*) those who range themselves in ranks - - -.” But does these mean by the angles or by the Muslims? Islam does not know the answer – the language is not clear on this point. The same goes for: Are it the same ones who are mentioned in verses 2 and 3?

274 37/130 (A48 and YA4114A): “Peace and salutation to such as Elias!” But the name used in the Arab text is Il-Yasin, which can mean (the Jewish prophet) “Elias” (also written Elia or Elijah), or “Elias and the ones that followed after him” (according to Tabari and Zamakhshari). A detail – but a god also makes the details clear, and here it is unclear. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

275 38/1a (YA4146): “Sad”. Many of the surahs start with some letters where it is impossible to understand any meaning. They sometimes are called the “abbreviated letters” and have been an enigma for Islam and Muslims for some 1400 years. In this chapter about not clear language in the Quran – in spite of Islam’s using the clear language in the book as an indication for that the Quran is made by Allah – we have mostly chosen not to quote these, but in this surah it is just possible that the letters can have a meaning - - - real or just wishful thinking. And real meaning or dreamt up meaning – nobody knows. In this case it is possible that it is short for “Qisas” = stories – if it is not just a coincidence.

But once more: Nobody knows.

+276 38/1b (A3): “- - - by (= swearing*) the Quran, full of Admonition - - -.” But once again the presumed and claimed clear text uses an Arab word with multiple meanings: “dhikr” may mean “reminder”, “remembrance”, “that which is remembered”, (or the reason why one remembers), “renown”, “fame”, “eminence”, “eminent traits”, etc. That means it has many meanings – f. ex. “- - - wherein is found all that you ought to bear in mind.” A wonderfully clear and distinct language, yes. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

277 38/7 (A9 – in 2008 edition A10): “We (non-Muslims*) never heard (the like) of this among the people of the latter days”. The literal meaning of the Arab text is (translated from Swedish): “- - - among (the followers of) the last (or latest*) belief - - -.” The Arab words “al-millati ‘l-akhiriah” – does it refer to the Christians or to any religion? – Islam does not know, as the Quran is not specific. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

278 38/13 (YA4161): “- - - the Companions of the Wood - - -”. Who were they? Another name for the people of Madyan?. A group within the Madyanites? Neighbours of the Madyanites? Or some other tribe or group? Unclear in the Quran.

+279 38/32 (A29): “Truly do I (Solomon*) love the love of good, with a view to the glory of my Lord (Allah according to the Quran*) - - -.” But the small Arab word “an” is joking with any translator here, as it gives the expression “an dhikri ‘llah” more possible meanings, f. ex. “- - - the thought about my Lord has installed in me great love to - - -.” Clearly a clear language that is used in this book. And these variants as usual also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

280 38/31 (YA4183): A. Yusuf Ali here tells straight out: “The passages about David and Solomon have been variously interpreted by the Commentators”. The language is so vague that various interpretations are possible. Is a god that vague when making his holy book – and the “mother book” to be revered in his own “home”? If not: Who made the Quran?

+281 38/32 (YA4185): “Truly do I (King David*) love the love of good, with a view to the glory of my Lord - - -“. But it is as linguistically correct to follow other Muslim scholars who say that is means: “Truly did I prefer the good things (of this world) to the remembrance of your Lord”.

In the case of the last interpretation A. Yusuf Ali indicates that the reason for David’s remorse may be that he had forgotten his Asr prayer (one of the 5 Muslims at least should pray each day). But how is that possible? – according to Hadiths it was Muhammad that made Allah decide on 5 prayers a day (Allah originally wanted 50). The rule of 5 prayers thus could not exist some 1600 years earlier (David was king 1007 – 971 BC, give or take maximum 10 years).

282 38/34 (A32): “And We (Allah*) did try Solomon: We placed on his throne a body (without life) - - -.” This story all Islam has hitherto been unable to explain in a good way. Most “explanations” are so far out that even Muslims skip them. And even the ones that remain are far out in the shadowy realms of speculations, claims of allegories, etc. No doubt: Allah uses a language impossible to misunderstand, and one that is crystal clear, in the Quran.

+283 39/7 (A11): “If ye (people*) reject Allah - - -.” Or: “- - - if you are ungrateful - - -“. Not exactly the same meaning?

284 39/18 (A22): “Those who listen to the Word, and follow the best in it - - -.” Does this mean those who follow the best in the Quran? – or those who listen to every religious proposition and chooses what his brain tells him must be the best (Razi)? It is not said any clearer.

285 39/23 (A27 – in 2008 edition A29): “He (Allah*) guides therewith (the Quran*) whom He pleases - - -.” But if you read the Arab text this interpretation is exactly as correct: “He guides - - - him that wills (to be guided)”. Exactly the 100% opposite meaning. Clear language?

286 39/65 (A63 – in 2008 the text is “corrected”): “But it has already been revealed to thee - - -.” Who is “thee” here? From the text it seems to be Muhammad. But Islam says the contents impossible can be meant for him – he would never join gods with Allah, like it is said in the next line. Is it then said to the Muslims or to others? Just pick your choice.

287 40/15 (All – in 2008 edition A12): “Raised high above ranks (or degrees), (He (Allah*) is) the Lord - - -.” Literal meaning: “He of the throne of the almightiness - - -.” Alternative meaning according to A. Yusuf Ali “The Glorious Quran”, note 4376 (Translated from Swedish): “He that raises his created beings to high esteem (in the realm of the spirits).” If you look through all the Quran, you find lots and lots of varieties made from unclear language.

288 40/55 (YA4429): “- - - Praises thy Lord in the evening and in the morning.” Quoting from “The Meaning of the Holy Quran”: “But the phrase ‘evening and morning’ also mean ‘at all times’”. Clear.

289 40/70 (YA4447): “- - - the Book - - -.” But does the sentence here refer to the Quran or to the so-called “Mother Book” in Allah’s heaven? Unclear.

+290 41/10 (YA4473): “- - - those who seek (sustenance)”. But even if the Arab word “sa’ilin” may mean “those who seek”, it also may mean “those who ask or enquires” - - - and then the meaning changes – like so often in the Quran.

291 41/25 (A): “And We (Allah*) have destined for them intimate companions (of like nature), who made alluring to them (negative things*) - - -.” But the Arab word “quarana/quarin” is better translated with: “We assigned to them (their own evil impulses as their) other self - - -.” There are a lot of “to the last commas” between the two meanings.

292 41/1-2 (YA4527): “Ha Mim” – “Ain Sin Qaf”. This surah has double set so-called “Abbreviated Letters”. Nobody understands why – or what they mean. A clear book – easy to understand.

293 42/8 (A7): “- - - He (Allah*) admits whom He will to His Mercy - - -.” Or “- - - He guides whom He will to His Mercy - - -.” The Arab text “Allahu yahdi man yasha’ wa-yudillu man yasha” it open for both ways of understanding it – and the possibility is around 50-50 for each to be correct. A clear language in the Quran? – and the distinct clarity of a god’s speech?

294 42/40 (A41 – in 2008 edition A40): “The recompense for an injury is an injury equal thereto (in degree) - - -.” The literal meaning of the Arab words are “- - - is (or ‘may be’) an evil like it”. And the “modernized” 2008 edition of “The Message of the Quran” has exactly the same Arab words interpreted like this for the West and others: “But (remember that an attempt at) requiting evil may, too, become an evil - - -.” No comments.

295 43/12 (A9 – in 2008 edition A10): “(Allah*) has created pairs in all things - - -.” Or does it mean “- - - (He*) has created all things - - -”? (Baghawi, Zamakhshari, Baydawi, Ibn Kathir). Or that “- - - everything is created in opposites - - -”? (Razi). In the Quran one seems to have plenty of choice. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

296 43/38 (YA4641): “Would that the distance between you and me were the distance of East and West!” Well, actually the distance between east and west is zero – on one side of me is east and on the other is west. It is clear, though, that the Quran here means “a great distance” – and in a way it is using an expression that really is wrong. But the main thing here, is that the real meaning of the Arab text is: “- - - the distance of the two Easts”. One believes that this means the distance between the summer and the winter solstices – at least one believes so. A clear language in this book?

+297 43/44 (YA4647): “The (Quran) is indeed the Message (“dhikr”*) for thee (Muhammad*) and thy people - - -.” But the Arab word “dhikr” has many meanings, which results in at least 2 different meanings of this sentence. 1) “The Quran gives a Message of Truth and Guidance to the Messenger and his people”. 2) “The revelation of the Quran raises the rank of the messenger, and the people among whom, and in whose language, it was

promulgated, making them worthy of remembrance in the world's history for all time". – in case a kind of confirming the old Arab Muslims' claims of being better than others (for one thing Muslims are better than non-Muslims, but for another Arab Muslims are (or at least were) better than other Muslims – this haughty point of view made much trouble through the centuries).

+298 43/61 (A48): And (Jesus) shall be a Sign (for the coming of) the Hour (of Judgement) - - -." Or does it mean "And, behold, this (divine writ (the Quran*)) is indeed a means that the Last Hour is bound to come - - -" (Qatadah, Al-Hasan al Basri, Sa'id ibn Jubayr)? The text itself makes both possible. Clear and distinct text? And these variants - yes, they also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

+299 44/3 (YA4690): "During a blessed night - - -." This may refer to a night in (the last third of) the month of Ramadan. Or it may not refer to any specified night at all. Clear speech.

300 44/10a (YA4695): "Then watch thou (Muhammad or Muslims) for the Day - - -." But which day? Normally one would believe it referred to the Day of Doom, but Muslim scholars tell that the following verses – especially 44/12 – tells that this cannot be the case here (time was to pass after this day, they say). Unclear – nobody knows.

301 44/10b (YA4696): "- - - the Day that the sky will bring forth a kind of smoke (or mist) plainly visible." Which day? – this is anybody's guess. There was a period of hunger in Mecca ca. 618 AD (this surah is believed to be from 614 – 618 AD) and another ca. 630 AD – both of which lasted for years, though, not for days. They – and especially the last one – at times were so severe that it could influence the sight of people. On the other hand the Quran clearly speaks about something concrete, not fictions. Nobody knows the real meaning.

+302 44/18 (A11 – in 2008 edition A10): "Restore to me (Moses*) the Servants of Allah - - - ." Or "Give in unto to me, O Allah's' bondmen - - -." The first means that Pharaoh should set the Jews free, the second the Egyptians – also they Allah's bondmen - should accept Allah and become good Muslims. Pretty big difference in the meanings. Clear language?

+303 45/21 (YA4759): "What! do those who seek after evil ways think that We (Allah*) shall hold them equal with those who believe and do righteous deeds - - -?" Here 3 different meanings are possible: 1) The evil ones are not in Allah's sight like the righteous ones. 2) The evil ones and the good ones are not the same in this life and in the next life – the evil ones may flourish in this life, but the good ones in the next. 3) The real life of the righteous is not like the one of the evil ones – their spiritual life is different.

+304 46/9a (A10): "I (Muhammad*) am no bringer of newfangled doctrine - - -." = I bring no new religion – the religion I bring is an old one. Or: "I am not the first of (Allah's) apostles - - -" = there have been apostles before me. Or "I am no innovator among the apostles - - -" = not unlike the first alternative, but with added stress on the meaning that he does not change the old religion. Or: "I am but a human being like all of Allah's message-bearers who preceded me" = quite different meaning from the other 3. Clear language in the old Arab scriptures? And these variants as so often before also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

305 46/9b (A11): “- - - nor do I (Muhammad*) know what will be done with me or with you (people*).” Ok – but in this world (Tabari, Al-Hasan al-Basri), or in both this and the next (Baydawi)? To guess is free. And also to guess is child’s game when the language is vague and there is no-one who knows the answer.

+306 48/4 (YA4824): “But those who are slain - - -“. But as the original Arab alphabet was incomplete, this word in Arab is possible to read in 2 ways: “qatalu” = “those who fight”, and “qatilu” = “those who are slain”. For us there is a difference between to be fighting and to be dead.

307 48/5 (YA4825): “Soon will He (Allah*) guide them and improve their condition - - -.” But who does “them” refer to? If it is the dead ones (see 48/4 just above), it here means a good life in the hereafter. If it is the ones fighting, it simply may refer to riches and money and loot and slaves and raping women. Pick your choice.

308 48/15 (A14): “- - - when ye (Muslims*) (are free to) march and take plunder (in war) - - -.” Or: “As soon as you (O Muslims!) are about to set forth on a war that promises booty - - -.” For some strange (?) reasons in none of our different translations are used the literal meaning of the Arab text (translated from Swedish): “- - - leaves on a raid to take plunder - - -.” **May be sometimes the varieties in the understanding of the Quranic texts are because one does not want what really is said in the book. According to Islamic information or disinformation all Muhammad’s raids (we have the names of some 60 of the raids) were in self defence, and then it may as well be wise for “the Religion of Peace” to “mend” the text a little and make it more tasteful and correct? - instead of translating the Quran correctly and have to admit that Muhammad made "raids to take plunder" - not very holy jihads.**

309 48/20 (A23 – in 2008 edition A22): “Allah has promised you many gains that ye shall acquire - - -.” Booty. A good and cheap way to get warriors. But does it here only talk about “gold and slaves and a few rapes” in this life (may be Khaybar in this case), or also in the next life like among others Ibn Abbas thought?

310 48/21 (A26 – in 2008 edition A25, but most omitted): “And other gains (there are) which are not within your power, but which Allah has compassed - - -.” Is this about the then future conquest of Mecca like many Muslim scholars believe? – or about some other future conquests? – or about the next life? Who knows? – but at least promises about a nice next life was (and is) cheap money to attract warriors and terrorists. May be the unclear language is convenient for Islamic leaders?

311 50/21 (YA4957): “- - - with each will be an (angel (?*)) to drive, and an (angel (?*)) to bear witness.” Several interpretations are possible: 1) It may refer to the 2 angels Islam claims are at your right and left side to note down good and bad deeds. 2) It may not be angels, but your evil deeds that “drive you like a task-master. 3) “His misused limbs and faculties will drive him to his doom, where his well-used limbs and faculties will witness for him” – to quote “The Meaning of the Holy Quran” exactly.

312 50/23: “And his Companion (a person at the Day of Doom*) will say - - -.” And once more a difficult Arab word: “qarinuhu” – literal meaning “his intimate companion”, or something like that. Yusuf Ali writes. But the part of it “qarin” may be read as “(one’s) other

self” – and then this meaning emerges: “And one part of him will say - - -.” Literally another meaning.

313 51/1-4 (A1): “By the (Winds (?*)) that scatter broadcast; And those that lift and bear heavy weights; And those that slow with east and gentleness; And those that distribute and apportion by Command - - -.” Anyone – anyone – that can give real and reliable information about what is meant here, will be famous all over the Islamic world, at least among the religious scholars. Nobody knows or understands anything – except that perhaps wind is included – but many guess. An exemplary clear language used by Allah (?) here.

314 51/2 (YA4988): “- - - and those who lift and bear away heavy weights - - -”. What does it mean? – rain? – clouds? – or whatever? Nobody knows.

315 51/3 (YA4989): “- - - and those that flow with ease and gentleness - - -.” Meaning ??? It may be the wind – it is just guessing, but a reasonable guess. “Clear like ink and darkness.”

316 51/4 (YA4990): “- - - and those that distribute and apportion by command - - -”. See 51/3 just above – and 51/1-4 and 51/2 above.

317 51/35-36 (A23 – omitted in 2008 edition): Who is talking in these two verses? – is it the angels who continues from 51/34, or is it Allah that has that has started speaking? Islam guesses.

318 52/2 (YA5038): “- - - by a Decree Inscribed - - -”. Is this figurative or mysticism? That is anybody’s guess.

319 52/4 (YA5039): “- - - by a much-frequented Fane - - -”??? One guesses that this may mean the Kabah, or any mosque, or the Tabernacle, or the temple in Jerusalem - - - one guesses.

320 52/6 (A4): “And by the Ocean filled with Swell - - -.” But the Arab original “al-bahri ‘l-masdjur” means: “By the flaming sea”. If there is something you can never say about water, it is that is aflame – ok, at sundown and sunrise a bit of it may look slightly like aflame, but as Islam after 1400 years – with good reason as it just is a mirage or reflection – has not embraced that “answer”, it clearly is meant something else. But what? Again: Clear speech?

321 52/28 (A15 – in 2008 edition A16): “Truly, we (Muslims in Paradise*) did call unto Him (Allah*) from of old: truly it is He, the Beneficent, the merciful.” But here we run into the troubles with the old Arab consonant alphabet: Have we guessed the correct vowels? There are two Arab words: “annahu” (“that he is”), favoured by f. ex. the Medina school, and “innahu” (“he is” or “verily, he is”), favoured by f. ex. Kuhfa and Basrah. One gives the above quoted meaning, the other: “Verily we did invoke Him (alone) ere this: (and now He has shown us) that He alone is truly benign - - -.” The first case; it is he, the second; that he (alone) is benign. A small detail, but significant enough to make debates. Would not a god be clear also on details?

322 52/35 (YA5069): “Were they created of (“min”*) nothing - - -”. But the Arab preposition “min” has more meanings: “of”, “by”, “with”, “for”. Which give at least these extra interpretations: 2) “Were they created by nothing - - -”. 3) “Were they created for nothing (for no purpose) - - -”. Would a god use so indistinct a language?

+323 52/49 (YA5082): “- - - while thou (Muhammad or Muslims*) standest forth (in prayer*) - - -.” But the Arab word “taqumu” normally means “rising up from sleep” – a meaning Muslim commentators often interpretate here. Not a clear language.

324 53/1a (YA5085): “By the star - - -”. The Arab word “najm” has many meanings – it f. ex. may mean a star (any star) or it may mean the Pleiades (the “7-stars”). Also see 53/1b just below.

325 53/1b (A1): “By the star as it goes down - - -.” But the Arab word “najm” may also mean “unfolding” – something that appears gradually. And then another meaning appears: “Consider this unfolding (of Allah’s message (the Quran*)), as it comes down from the high!” The “down-to-earth” scholars mostly go for the first one, some others for the second one – wishful thinking may sometimes have strong influence.

326 53/1c (YA5085): “By the star as it goes down - - -.” But even if the Arab word “hawa” may mean “go down” or “set”, it also may mean “rise”. A pretty confusing language used by Allah – or by someone.

327 53/28 (A21): “But they (humans*) have no knowledge therein (the gender of angels, etc.*).” But the Arab pronoun “hi” perhaps do not point to the angels. Perhaps it point to Allah (M. Asad). In that case the sentence means: “But they have no knowledge whatever about Allah.” Clear speech?

328 54/1 (YA5128): “- - - the moon is cleft asunder.” This is not explained. It may refer to 1) A tale about once the moon appeared to be cleft asunder at the time of Muhammad (mentioned in the Hadiths). 2) A future sign of the approaching Day of Doom (the likely meaning). 3) Just a metaphorical statement. Rather unclear speech from a god?

+329 54/2 (YA5129): “This is (but) transient magic”. But the Arab word “mustamirr” may also mean “powerful” = “- - - powerful magic”. 2 meanings.

330 55/13 (A4): “Then which of the favours of your Lord (Allah*) do you deny?” Part of the question here does not appear in English, as “you” is the same in singular and plural. But Arab has both singular and plural and in addition dualis – when speaking to two. And in this case it is used dualis, so it is clear the question is asked to two. But which two? – after all it is a very essential question, and one should know who are the addressees. Islam guesses for the two groups, jinns and men, or may be men and women. But it only is guesswork as the text as so often in the Quran is far from clear.

331 55/16: Similar to 55/13 just above.

332 55/17: “(He (Allah*) is) Lord of the two Easts and Lord of the two Wests”. Most cryptic to most Muslims - you even will meet Muslims saying this is a proof for that the Quran knew Earth is sperical!! Learned Muslims believe - believe - it indicates the eqvinoxes. Clear?

334 55/18: Similar to 55/13 above.

335 55/21: Similar to 55/13 above.

336 55/23: Similar to 55/13 above.

337 55/25: Similar to 55/13 above.
338 55/28: Similar to 55/13 above.
339 55/30: Similar to 55/13 above.
340 55/32: Similar to 55/13 above.
341 55/34: Similar to 55/13 above.
342 55/34: Similar to 55/13 above.
343 55/36: Similar to 55/13 above.
344 55/38: Similar to 55/13 above.
345 55/40: Similar to 55/13 above.
346 55/42: Similar to 55/13 above.
347 55/45: Similar to 55/13 above.
348 55/47: Similar to 55/13 above.
349 55/49: Similar to 55/13 above.
350 55/51: Similar to 55/13 above.
351 55/53: Similar to 55/13 above.
352 55/55: Similar to 55/13 above.
353 55/57: Similar to 55/13 above.
354 55/59: Similar to 55/13 above.
355 55/61: Similar to 55/13 above.
356 55/63: Similar to 55/13 above.
357 55/65: Similar to 55/13 above.
358 55/69: Similar to 55/13 above.
359 55/71: Similar to 55/13 above.
360 55/73: Similar to 55/13 above.
361 55/75: Similar to 55/13 above.

362 55/77: Similar to 55/13 above.

363 55/31 (A14): “O both ye worlds”. Men and jinns? Men and women? Or something else? Who knows? See 55/13 above. And these variants - as usual - also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

+364 55/37 (A18): “When the sky is rent asunder (at the Last Day*), and it becomes re like ointment”. But the Arab word “dihan” has more meanings (Tabari), and the sentence may end “- - - like (burning) oil”, or “- - - like freshly tanned leather”, or “- - - like red leather” (Zamakhshari), or “like the dregs of olive oil” (Raghib). A detail – even a small detail. But would a god leave even small details to guesswork? At least it is far from “clear language”.

365 55/44 (A21): “In the midst of boiling hot water will they wander round!” But once more: Arab words may have more than one meaning. “Boiling water” – “hamim” – also may mean f. ex. “burning despair” or “biting cold”. Allah’s (?) correct meaning may be f. ex. “- - - in the midst of burning despair will they wander to and fro”. Anyone can pick the meaning they prefer. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

366 55/46 (A22): “- - - there will be two Gardens (two Paradises*) - - -.” This is one of the mysterious mysteries in the clear-spoken Quran, and Islam has for 1400 years been guessing at what it means. F. ex. “a Paradise for their (good Muslims’*) doing good deeds, and another for their avoiding of sins” (Zamakhshari), or “a Paradise comprising both spiritual and physical joys, as if it were two Paradises” (Razi), or a wandering between the two gardens the Paradise is said to contain. Yes, it is a very clear and concise language you find in the Quran.

+367 55/48 (A23): “- - - Containing all kinds (of trees and delights) - - -.” But we are back to the concise and distinct Arab language: The word “fann” (plural “afnan”), has many meanings: “kind”, “mode”, “manner”, “colour”, “hue”, “a wonderful thing” and more, and the plural form “afnan” may also mean “branch of a tree”, etc. which gives associations to a rich nature, cool shade, lots of different fruits, etc. Just go on guessing – this sentence has a number of possible meanings, so just guess for what kind of paradise you prefer. But remember that is only is guesswork. And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

368 55/62 (A28): “And besides these two, there will be two other Gardens - - -.” Another conundrum for Islam: What for? There also should have been a “Why”, but that we have not run across. So “What for?” May be for the lower quality good Muslims – the ones who have obtained less merit? – or may be a symbol for “many gardens” – but the Quran only mentions these 2 + 2, and do not even hint about more ones. Or - - -? Your guess is as good as anybody’s because nobody knows. As indicated before: The Quran leads of clear and concise language giving only exact information.

+369 55/76 (YA5220): “- - - reclining on green Cushions - - -”. But the Arab word “rafrāf” may also mean “meadows” = “- - - on green meadows”.

370 56/10 (A3 – omitted in 2008 edition): “And those Foremost (in Faith (this inclusion is added by A. Yusuf Ali and not part of the original text*)), will be the foremost (in the

Hereafter (also added*))". But the Arab word "as-sabiqun" – here translated by "the foremost" - has more meanings, which has lead to different interpretations of this sentence, and those interpretations (translated from Swedish): "all are god and can be accepted" (Ibn Kathir). It has to be admitted that also here the clear and concise language in the Quran is not concise - and unclear. And these variants also - as we have said many times - are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

371 56/28 (YA5238): "- - - among lote trees - - -." This is in case a kind of trees, that flowers profusely. But the Arab word "talh" – may it instead mean banana tree (even though the normal Arab word for banana tree is "mawz"), like some Muslims understands this?

+372 56/37 (A15): "- - - equal in age - - -". Your wives (and concubines and slave women?) will be resurrected as forever young virgins (it is nowhere said for how long) women equal of age to you, who also are resurrected as a young adult. But the Arab word that here is translated to "forever young", "atrab" – plural "tirb" – also may mean "well-matched". Also good – perhaps even better – but not the same meaning. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

+273 56/75a (A26): "Furthermore I call to witness the setting of the stars". The Arab word "mawqi" – plural "mawaqi" – literally means "the place (or time) where (when) something falls down". And the Arab word "nudjum" – here "stars" – also may mean something like "chapters". "Ergo" and "voila": A more pious translation: "I call to witness the coming-down in parts (of this Quran)". Do not omit the possibility that wishful or "correct" thinking may influence interpretation. Here at least are two meanings – though the first one is the most accepted one.

374 56/75b (YA5258): "- - - the setting of the stars - - -." Here are a number of possible mystic meanings in addition to the literal varieties (see 56/75a just above). Here are 3 of the possibilities: 1) A symbol for humility. 2) Figurative speech for the Day of Doom. 3) The bright things we like may disappear. "Clear speech", yes.

375 57/8 (YA5283): "- - - your Covenant - - -". Does this refer to the covenant that is the result of accepting Islam? – or to one of the covenants mentioned in the Bible or indicated other places in the Quran?

376 57/18 (A26): "For those who give in Charity - - -." This is the standard interpretation if you use the version of the Quran named after "Asim from Kufa after Hafs" – or for short only "the way of reading after Hafs". (There once were 14 accepted versions of the Quran – and more in reality. Over the centuries most of them has fallen into disuse, and today only 2 are in daily use: This one (which is the dominant) and "Nafi from Medina after Warsh" (used in large parts of Africa) – or just "the way of reading after Warsh". Islam never uses the word "versions" for these 14 and more varieties, and mostly pretends they do not exist – they call them "ways of reading"; a hypocrite's way of hiding the true reality – call it a lie, call it al-Taqiyya, call it a flight from a dangerous truth.) But depending on so miniscule details as the vocalization of the consonants that are transcribed to Latin letters like "sad" and "dal", the meaning is transformed to: "Verily, as for the men and women who accept the truth as true" (Zamakhshari, Asad). A clear and concise language in the Quran? Any god had been much more exact to make sure that everybody could understand – and that everybody agreed to the

meanings and did not split up in cults and sects - - - like has happened to Islam all through its history (it is said that around 3000 sects exist or have existed in Islam).

377 58/4 (YA5337): “- - - he should feed sixty indigent ones - - -“. We quote from “The Meaning of the Holy Quran”: “There is (still after 1400 years*) a great deal of learned argument among the jurists as to the precise requirements of Cannon (Sharia*) Law under the term “feeding” the indigent.” Would a god use so unclear speech that even experts never are able to understand what he really means even about such presumably easy to explain problems like this?

378 58/11 (A18): “When ye are told to make room in the assemblies, (spread out and) make room - - -.” In throngs around Muhammad? – in the mosques? – in life? Muslims tend to believe it refers to alternative 2 – but it is only a guess. Clear speech?

+379 59/21: “Had We (Allah*) sent down this Quran on a mountain - - -“ (= to the mountain). But the Arab text permits you to change one of the words – and get another meaning: “Had We sent down this Quran from on high upon a mountain - - -.” Here it means sent to someone – man? – from a place high on a mountain. Just make a choice.

001 59/23 (YA5402): Here we just want to quote “The Meaning of the Holy Quran”: “How can a translator reproduce the sublimity and the comprehensiveness of the magnificent Arabic words, which means so much in a single symbol?” **But this is just another and glorified way of saying: “How can one translate from a language where the words are so little precise and so vague in meaning, that it is difficult to know what is really meant”.**

380 61/2 (A1): “O ye who believe! Why say ye that which ye do not?” Is this to Muslims who left Muhammad before the battle of Uhud? – or to hypocrites? – or to others? Your guess is as good as anybody else’s. Clear language.

381 61/6 (A7 – omitted and the text changed in 2008 edition): “But when he came to them with Clear Signs - - -.” Who is this “he”? In verse 61/6 the book tells about Jesus, and the natural interpretation is “he” = Jesus, but it is not clearly said. An alternative according to Islam, is “he” = Muhammad (as they claim he had clear signs). Both options are possible (but typical for the less honest 2008 edition of “The Message of the Quran”, they only mention the Muhammad alternative – “good” arguments are more essential than moral integrity and honesty also in religion.

382 61/13 (A13): “- - - help from Allah and a speedy victory.” Is this pep-talk? (No Muslim will agree). Is it hope for capturing Mecca? – this was said 4 – 5 years earlier. Is it referring to one or more of the raids the Muslims had started to make a few years before? – or raids to come? Or a general hope for the future? Make your guess – nobody knows unless they judge from wishful thinking or “correct” meanings, as the book says nothing. Very clear speech.

+283 62/4 (A3): “Such is the bounty of Allah, which He bestows on whom He will - - -.” Or: “He grants it to anyone who is willing (to receive it).” These two interpretations are equivalent compared to the Arab text. Clear speech? – the meanings so definitely are different. And these variants naturally also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

+384 64/11 (A9): “- - - if anyone believes in Allah, (Allah) guides his heart (aright) - - -.” Or something like “- - - the belief in the heart of the believer, steers him towards Allah - - -.” Both are possible from the Arab clear text.

385 66/12 (A26): “- - - We (Allah*) breathed into (her (Mary’s*) body) Our spirit - - -.” Does this refer to how Jesus was created? – or does it refer to the normal transfer of spirit that according to Islam makes a foetus to a human, and which according to Islam happens 5 months before the baby is born? Nobody knows – and this honestly spiritually is an essential question in just this case. But the text is not clearer than this.

386 67/5 (A5 – omitted in 2008 edition): “We (Allah*) have, (from of old), adorned the lowest heaven (out of the 7 the Quran frequently tells about, and which Muhammad even visited*) with lamps (stars*), and We have made such (lamps) (as) missiles to drive away the Evil Ones - - -.” But the disagreement concerns: Is it the stones in the shooting stars that chase jinns, etc. away? – the Arab word “rudjum” – plural of “radjm” means “throwing of stones” or “stoning” (one name for the Devil f. ex. is “ar-radjim” = “the stoned one”). Or is it the fire? – the Quran really mention the fire. Once more: Start guessing. (The 2008 edition of “The Message of the Quran” even has got a new one – at least new to us: The Quran is not talking about stars used like shooting stars, but used as objects for divination by the bad astrologers. They need a lot of easy words to reach that conclusion, but so what? – as we have indicated before: Sometimes the religion is more essential than honesty and intellectual integrity. Though we have touched this theme before, we ask again: **What does it indicate that a religion has to resort to cheating and dishonesty?** Normally such methods mean that one does not have real arguments. **In religion there also is the serious fact: If there is a next life, and if a religion needs to base its life on lies – even only partly – that is a strong indication for that that religion is not based on the truth. And in that case its believers will not wake up in any Paradise.)**

387 68/1 (YA5592): “Nun”. This is one of the so-called “abbreviated letters” that you find at the top of 29 of the surahs – and which no-one understands anything about. They are a total enigma. In a few cases they can be interpreted as words – by design or by coincidence also nobody knows. This is one of those cases as the Arab letter that is pronounced “nun” also may mean a fish or an ink holder. Nobody knows what this in case means, but you may find a lot of speculation. As said many times in this chapter: Allah or someone else really has used a language that is clear and easy to understand in this book.

388 68/13 (A8): “Violent (and cruel) – with all that, base-born (“zanim”) - - -.” The trouble is that nobody knows what the Arab word “zanim” means – it seems to be constructed by the maker of the Quran. There have been many guesses. Zamakhshari, Razi, and others argues for that it may mean “born out of wedlock”. Clear language?

389 68/14 (YA5602): “- - -because he possesses wealth and (numerous) sons”. But whom do this “because he” point to? – the violent and cruel one in 68/14 (see just above) or the despicable liar in 68/10? Unclear.

390 68/41: “Or have they (“infidels”*) some ‘partners’ (in Godhead)?” Zamakhshari and Razi thinks “partners” refer to wise humans (“uqala”) of the same belief. Ibn Kathir and others that it refers “lower” deities. The Quran is unclear on this point.

391 69/17 (A11): “And the angels will be on its sides, and eight will, the Day (of Doom*) bear the throne of thy lord (Allah*) above them.” But if Allah is something diffuse that is everywhere, how can he use a throne? – and how can just 8 angles carry it if he is that huge? Islam still does not know. On the other hand: You can be absolutely sure the Muslims to whom this was told originally – naïve and uneducated and wanting to believe – believed this was an exact picture. Clear language?

392 69/36 (A20): “Nor hath he (the sinner in Hell*) any food except the corruption from the washing of wounds (‘ghislin’).” The problem is that once more there is an Arab word nobody understands, or have ever understood; “ghislin”. What meaning an interpreter puts into that word, is just guesswork about something detestable. Very clear language!

+393 70/28 (YA5693): “For their Lord’s displeasure is the opposite of Peace and Tranquillity”. But this Arab text may also instead mean “And their Lord’s displeasure is one against which there is no security”. Once more different meanings in the glorified language – or alphabet – of old Arabia.

394 71/7 (YA5710): “- - - (non-Muslims*) cover themselves up with their garments - - -.” But is it literally or figuratively meant here? – vanities, evil habits, customs, traditions, and their ephemeral interests and standards? It is anybody’s guess.

395 71/13 (YA5713A): “- - - place - - - your hope for kindness and long-suffering in Allah.” But another meaning of the same original Arab text is: “- - - that ye fear Allah’s message”. Clear?

396 72/8 (A6): “And we pried into the secrets of heaven: but found it filled with stern guards and flaming fires (shooting stars*)” The majority of Muslims thinks this refers to jinns trying to spy on Heaven. But then there are the other possible explanations according to Muslims: That it refers to the Jews and their “haughty” belief in security and special treatments because the belief that they were “Yahweh’s chosen people” (why do the Quran – and Muslims – never mention that the real reason for this belief was the fact that they believed they had a covenant with Yahweh? – a covenant mistreated and broken, but never ended.) Or to the Jews’ special interest for astrology – a special interest not known to anyone but Muslims. This is one more place where multiple meanings may have come into existence more from wishful slander-like thinking, than from real linguistic problems - - - but they are as real as long as Muslims believe in them.

397 73/1 (YA5754): “O thou (Muhammad?*) folded in garments!” But the Arab word “muzzammil” may also give the meaning: “- - - properly dressed for prayer”, and “- - - folded in a sheet”. There also is possible to find mysticism here - - - and finally “Muzzammil” was a name used for Muhammad (= “O thou, Muhammad!”). Chose what you think is the most likely meaning Allah intended, but seems to have been unable to express clearly.

398 74/1 (A1): “O Thou wrapped up (in a mantle)!” But the Arab expression “muddaththir” does not mean “wrapped up in a mantle”. It simply means “wrapped up” (in something). So again there are speculations because of unclear language. The main competing interpretation is: “O Thou (in solitude – or loneliness) enfolded!” Any of them can be correct. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

399 74/4 (A2): “And thy (Muhammad*) garments keep free from stain!” (literally correct: “- - thy garments purify!”) But is this meant literally or not? Razi, Zamakhshari and many others think it is figuratively meant – some thing like “- - purify thy heart from all that is blameworthy”. Your choice is free.

+400 74/11 (A5): “Leave Me (Allah*) alone, (to deal) with the (creature) whom I created (bare) and alone!” Or: “- - - whom I alone created.” Both meanings are correct, depending only on whether you think the word alone – “wahid” in Arab – refers to Allah or to what he did create (man). And these variants naturally also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

401 74/30 (A15): “Over it are Nineteen.” Nineteen what? Nobody knows!! One guesses for angels or powers or guards or whatever. But nobody knows. A clear language in the Quran? – impossible not to understand? Impossible to misunderstand?

402 76/5 (A7): “As the Righteous, they shall drink a Cup (of Wine (wine in Paradise is not intoxicating, according to the Quran*)) mixed with kafur - - -.” And then what is “kafur”? Nobody knows simply. If you open a lexicon you will find different answers – mostly sweet parts of plants, but also camphor – but nothing is really sure, not to mention which of the proposals is the correct one in the Quran. Clearly unclear language.

+403 76/8 (A11 – in 2008 edition A10): “And they feed, for the love of Allah, the indigent, the orphan, and the captive - - -.” Or: “- - - and who give food – however great be their own want for it – unto the needy, the orphan, and the captive - - -.” The Arab expression “ala hubbihi” may mean both. Clear and unmistakeable?

404 76/21 (A20): “- - - their (Muslims in Paradise*) Lord (Allah*) will give them to drink of a Wine Pure and Holy”. But some Muslims mean that this part of the sentence which has been about material things, here has changed to spiritual, and it means “purifying their inner selves - - -.” It is possible to defend such an interpretation – which once more underlines how clear and distinct language Allah (?) has used in the book.

405 77/1a (A1): “By the (Winds) sent forth one after another - - -.” Or is the meaning in reality: “Consider (or “by”*) these (messages) sent forth in waves - - -”? Islam is guessing amid such clear words. Also see 77/1a just below.

+406 77/1b (YA5864): “By the (Winds) sent forth one after another - - -.” See 77/1a just above. But it may also perhaps instead mean: “By the angels sent forth - - -” or: “By the Messengers sent forth.”

+407 77/33 (A12): “- - - As if there were (a string of) yellow camels (marching swiftly).” But it is quite unclear what the Arab word “djimalat” really means, though most interpretators believe it means camels. The trouble is that it also can mean a twisted rope or a thick rope, and you get interpretations like this: “- - - like giant fiery ropes.” – or (translated from Swedish): “- - - like it was yellow twisted ropes.” - or even (also translated from Swedish): “- - - in a continuous stream) like a rope twisted from fire.” A most wonderful clear and distinct language in this book, yes. And these variants also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

408 78/19 (A10): “And the heavens (plural and wrong*) shall be opened as if they were doors.” But is this meant literally or figuratively? – in the last case you get: “The heavens’ mysteries will be opened to man’s understanding.” There is quite a difference between these two. The language in the Quran sometimes seems “clear as ink”.

409 78/23 (A12): “They (sinners*) will dwell therein (Hell*) for ages.” This is a not too well known, but deep enigma in Islam: The Quran is unclear about if you are to stay in Hell forever, or only for a limited time – a kind of Purgatory simply. Many places the book says clearly and unmistakably that it is forever. Other places it uses words that indicate a limited time – perhaps a long time, but a limited time, or that Allah can do something at least for Muslims in Hell (see also f. ex. 6/128). In this case the Arab word that is used, “huqb” or “hiqbah” (remember that some of the letters have to be guessed) have vague meanings as for how long time, but definitely not “for ever”. Also some Hadiths clearly indicate that Hell may be is not for ever. Clear?

+410 79/1-5a (A1-3 – YA5916-5919): This is one of the really (un)clear ones in the Quran: “By (= swearing by*) the (angles) who tear out (the souls of the wicked) with violence; by those who gently draw out (the souls of the blessed); and by those who glide along (on errands of mercy), then press forward as in a race, then arrange to do (the command of their Lord (Allah*)) - - -.” Then compare it to this – a translation of exactly the same Arab original text: “Consider those (stars) that rise only to set, and move (in their orbits (!!)) with steady motion, and float (through space) with floating serene, and yet overtake (one another) with swift overtaking, and thus they fulfil the (Creator’s (Allah’s*)) behest!” Is it from the same book? Or something from the aborigines in Australia? Also note all that is added in () to arrive at the meanings one wishes – that is permitted in Islam (NB: Such inclusions far from always are “leading one by the nose” – it often is correct additional explanations). But anyone who believe in the claim about the very clear language used in the Quran, either has no – zero – knowledge about it, or is very naïve, or goes by wishful thinking only, is lead by his nose - - - or needs a “head shrink”. Sorry, but that is how it is.

411 79/1-5b (YA5917) (continued from 79/1-5a): “There is much difference of opinion among the commentators as to the five things or beings mentioned in these verses.” Clear language?

412 79/14 (A6 – omitted in 2008): “- - - they (the dead ones*) will be in the (full) awakening (to Judgement).” This is the most usual meaning of the Arab expression “bi-‘s-sahirah”. Many of the first generations of Muslims explained it like this: “- - - they will be on the surface of the earth (= raised from the graves*)” (Ibn Kathir). Clear to you?

413 79/20 (YA5931): “Then did (Moses) show him (Pharaoh*) the Great Sign”. But nobody knows what the book refers to. The Quran (17/101) refers to 9 signs (the Bible 11 – the staff + 10 plagues), but none of them are indicated to be the Great Sign – they are on not too different level (in the Bible it would have been the last, terrible plague, but that one is not mentioned in the Quran). More guesswork among Muslim scholars.

414 80/17 (A5): “Woe to man! What hath made him reject Allah?” But this is not a good translation, as the verb used here – “qutila” – means “to kill”. Even if one reads that verb figuratively, the translation is not good. Another is: “(But all too often) man destroys himself: how stubbornly does he deny the truth!” Yet another (translated from Swedish): “(But) by his (man’s*) thorough denial of the truth, man call down (Allah’s) doom and excludes himself

from His goodness.” And even yet another (also translated from Swedish): “Would that man will be doomed (by Allah) for his denial!” A clear language in the Quran? Clearly no.

+415 82/1 (YA5997): “When the sky is cleft asunder - - -.” A literal reference to the Day of Doom? – or metaphorically to your death? – or as metaphorically to “the awakening of the Inner Soul”. Once more: Nobody knows.

416 83/18 (YA6019): “- - - Illiyin - - -.” Literally this word means “the High Place”, but what does it mean here? One guess is “The Place where is kept the Register of the Righteous”. But it only is an “educated guess”. (And why does an omniscient god need a register? - at least he has a PC? - - - if his brain is not good enough.)

417 83/25-26 (A8): “Their (the Muslims in Paradise*) thirst will be slacked with Pure Wine sealed; the seal thereof will be musk - - -.” But once again: An Arab word - “kithamuhu” - with more than one meaning. Which clearly means that there may be more meanings to the original Arab text. F. ex.: “They will be given a drink whereon the seal (of Allah) will have been set.” Then: Is Allah’s or Muhammad’s real and exact meaning clear to you? And these variants of course also are in the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language.

418 85/3 (YA6054): “By the one who witness - - -”. Nobody understands exactly who – Muhammad and the prophets (3/81), Allah (3/81 and 10/61), the recording angels (50/21), the sinners own misused limbs (24/24), the sinner’s record of deeds (17/14), or the sinner himself (17/14)? There is quite a choice in this exact language.

419 86/1 (A1): “By (indicating an oath*) the sky and the Night-Visitant (therein) - - -.” But the Arab word “at-tariq” (= “that which comes in the night”), derived from the verb “taraqa”, is a most vague one. Muslim scholars have interpreted it also like “the morning star”, “the stars”, “heavenly solace”, “the sudden, intuitive enlightenment”. If you search, you may find more. Yes, a clear and distinct language.

420 86/3 (YA6068): “- - - the Star of piercing brightness - - -”. Here Islam is guessing again. The Morning Star? Saturn? Sirius? The Pleiades? Shooting stars? It is not possible to know what the Quran means here.

+421 88/17 (A5): “Do they (the non-Muslims*) not look at the Camels, how they are made?” But the Arab word for camel – “ibil” – also means “clouds”. Then you get the meaning from exactly the same text and an absolutely correct interpretation: “Do, then, they (who deny resurrection) never gaze at the clouds pregnant with water, (and observe) how they are created?” Well, sometimes to study the Quran is funny.

422 89/1 (A1): “By (indicating an oath*) the break of Day”. But the Arab word “fajr” – does it only mean the literal daybreak? Hardly. And thus Muslims have found at least one more meaning: It “apparently symbolizes man’s spiritual awakening - - -.” Pick you choice.

423 89/2 (A1): “By (indicating an oath*) the Nights twice five (= 10*)”. Does this refer to the ten last days of the lunar month Ramadan when Muhammad is said to have had his first revelation? – or the ten first days of the lunar month “dhu ‘l-hidjejah” when the hajj rituals take place? Or perhaps something else? Islam does not know. But they state very strongly that

the language of the Quran is so distinct and clear, that the very clarity is a proof for that it is made by a god. In that case: What do all the hundreds of unclear points in the book prove?

424 89/3 (A2): “By (indicating an oath*) the Even and the odd (contrasted) - - -”: Or another translation: “Consider the multiple and the One!” – already some difference. Literal meaning: “The even and the odd” or “the one” – both are possible. But the main thing is that Muslims and Islam do not know what it is talking about. They guess for this or that, but it is just educated guesswork – sometimes rather “elevated”. Is that “clear talk”?

425 89/4 (A3): “And by the Night when it passeth away - - -.” Is this talk about the literal night or the enlightenment from spiritual “darkness”? Islam does not know – but prefer the last interpretation as an educated guess. But does all this guesswork indicate a clear and distinct language in the Quran? There is only one possible answer: No. What is worse: All Muslim scholars have to know this, as it is very easy to see for any intelligent person with some knowledge about the Quran, that many, many points are unclear (and absolutely all Muslim scholars know that the real meaning of many, many points in the book are debated) And all the same they tell their “flocks” and the world that the language in the Quran is clear, exact, easy to understand and impossible to misunderstand. Honesty in religion? – and what if all these irregularities add up to that Islam is a made up religion (all the mistakes, etc. makes it 100% clear that it at least is not made by any omniscient god) and all the Muslims have been cheated or threatened and thus prevented from looking for if there exist a real religion and one or more real god(s)? The misled followers in case are in for a rude waking up in the possible next life. And the misleaders? Well, at least many of them have had a good life here on Earth.

+426 89/7 (YA6114): “- - - Iram - - -”. Is this the name of a city? – the capital of Ad in case? Or is it the name of a man – an old hero (from Ad?)? There is a difference between a city and a man.

427 90/1-2 (A1): “I (Allah?*) do call to witness this City (Mecca?*) – and thou (Muhammad?*) art a freeman of this City - - -.” This is the most common interpretation according to Muslims. But it also is possible to read it like this: “I (Allah or Muhammad*) call to witness this land (may be all the Earth*) in which thou (Muslims or man) art free to dwell - - -”. A clear and distinct language in the Quran?

428 91/1-2 (A1): “By (indicating an oath*) the Sun and his (glorious) splendour; By the Moon as she follows him - - -.” This looks ok, as the literal meaning of the Arab expression is “- - - as it follows it - - -.” But then we are back to “interpretations”. “The Message of the Quran”, 2008, has it like this: “- - - and the moon as it reflects the sun!” Scientifically correct!! And a proof for Allah as Muhammad could not know this!!! But is it permitted to ask questions about honesty in religion, personal integrity, wishful thinking, and a few other impolite questions? **At least it is a good demonstration of the methods top Muslim scholars use – and it is certified by the top Muslim Al-Azhar University in Cairo. (The Arab word normally used to tell light is reflected, is nowhere used in the Quran.)** Also see 89/4 above.

429 91/15 (A10): “And for Him (Allah*) is no fear for its consequences.” But does this verse really talk about Allah? Another interpretation goes like this: “- - - for none (of the ones that slaughtered the camel) had any fear of what might befall them.” Not exactly the same meaning from the same clear (?) original Arab text. And these variants of course also are in

the Arab text, as the relevant word(s) there has/have more than one meaning. Allah (?) really uses a clear language. A. Yusuf Ali (YA6158) means that “him” may refer to Allah, or to the claimed prophet Salih, or to the man who slaughtered the camel mentioned in 91/12. Who knows what is the correct meaning of the Quran here?

+420 92/11 (A6): “Nor will his (a sinner’s*) wealth profit him when he falls head along (into the Pit).” A statement. Or: “- - - what will his wealth avail him when he goes down (to his grave)?” A question - one of the minor consequences – but does a god make even small things unclear?

431 93/6 (A3): “Did He (Allah*) not find thee an orphan and give thee shelter (and care)?” Who is “thee” in this sentence? – Muhammad, who lost his parents early? – or man who found Islam? – or - - -? Impossible to say. Clear speech?

432 95/7 (YA6201): “Then what can after this, contradict thee - - -”. This is one of the not few places in the Quran where it is unclear whether “thee” or similar words refer to Muhammad or to Muslims generally. This point a number of places is unclear in the Quran.

433 96/17 (A9): “Then, let him call (for help) to his council (of comrades) - - -.” Does this refer to a special council? – f. ex. the “dar an-nadwah” (the council of the elders in Mecca)? Or is this a better interpretation: “- - - let him summon (to his aid) the counsels of his own (spurious) wisdom.”? It is no use asking Islam – one does not know, as the text is not clear on this point either.

434 97/1 (YA6217): “- - - the Night of Power”. This is something mystic in Islam. It may be one of the nights late in the month Ramadan (23., 25. or 27. are often mentioned), or it may be another night – or it may be pure mysticism.

435 98/1-2 (A2): “Those who rejected the (Truth), among the People of the Book (Jews and Christians - as Muhammad did not know also the Zoroastrians in a way had one god and a book*) and among the Polytheists, where not going to depart (from their ways) until there should come to them Clear Evidence – a messenger from Allah - - -.” But this collided with facts. Then finally Ibn Taymiyyah found another way of understanding it: “- - - they were not abandoned (by Allah’) until - - -.” Would an omniscient god use such an unclear language?

436 100/2: “By the (Steeds) that runs (into the midst of the foe*) - - -.” The Arab word “al-‘adiyat” no doubt means a war-horse or charger. But is the meaning literal? Or is the charges symbol for the good Muslim fighting for Muhammad/Allah? Or is it symbol “beyond any doubt” for “the erring human soul or self”? Muslim scholars find the text unclear, and are still debating – after 1400 years.

+437 103/1 (YA6262): “By (the token of) time (through the Ages) - - -.” But then we are back to the unclear old Arab alphabet – this Arab word “al ‘Asr” instead may mean “- - - through the afternoon”. A bit of a reduction of the time aspect.

+438 107/1 (YA6281): “Seest thou (Muhammad or Muslims*) one who denies the Judgement (“din”*) (to come)?” But the Arab word “din” may also give this meaning: “Seest thou one who denies faith (or religion*)?”

+439 113/1 (YA6302): “- - - the Lord of the Dawn - - -“. At least 3 meanings according to Islamic scholars: 1) The daybreak. 2) The (possible) escape from religious ignorance. 3) Non-existence contra existence.

In addition there are the 29 enigmatic and totally not understandable “abbreviated letters”. We have commented 3 – 4 of them, which means there at least are 25 more unclear points in the Quran = **at least 464 points where Muslim scholars disagree what things mean, or simply do not understand – in a book where the elegance and clearness of the language is the only “real” proof for that it is made by a god.**

In reality there are many more. If you go to the scholars – and some lawyers – that debate the fine points in the book, you will find that there are many, many more points that are unclear in the Quran.

Can it really be made by a god?

PART VIII, CHAPTER 1, (= VIII-1-0-0)

THE NEXT LIFE ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

PARADISE AS DESCRIBED IN THE QURAN

Also read the poste scriptum to this chapter

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

The Muslim Paradise as described in the Quran is very down to earth, to say it like that. It all starts with the Day of Doom. Then every human is resurrected – not as souls, but as recreated humans in bones and muscles and blood and skin, just like they were in their first life on Earth, but like young adults.

This was difficult for the old Arab to accept – resurrection most religions have, but resurrection in body, was a bit far out for them. What f. ex. if a man was eaten by animals, not to mention by canibals?

Everybody will have to decide for themselves if this scenario was and is something a god would settle for – after all a scenario with souls instead of bodies in many ways is a good idea. And a real god can have things as he likes best – at least if he is omniscient and omnipotent.

The next step is to split the good ones from the bad ones. How this is done is not clearly explained in the Quran, but according to other Muslim sources, Muhammad on his “night journey” saw it done by Adam in the first and lowest of the 7 heavens the Quran wrongly tells about (as the Quran describes them as material heavens possible to fasten the stars to, it is

easy to know that this is wrong). There a man (Adam) sat sorting them – and at least some of the ones destined for Hell had grotesque shapes according to the Hadiths (if they kept those shapes in Hell is not said). But there are in the Quran descriptions of them simply being guided right and left and the best ranged specially (= 3 groups) – with the best and the lucky ones guided right destined for Paradise, whereas the ones guided left end in Hell.

There are 7 heavens in the Muslim paradise – most of them just for extra special humans, or more correct prophets. Jesus f. ex. is only qualified for the 2. heaven (Jesus is the main competitor to Muhammad, and had to be ranged low to make Muhammad the best of the two), whereas a higher valid person like Joseph - the young man meeting Pharaoh – (also he a prophet according to the Quran) was rated for 3. heaven, and really big lights like Moses in the 6.th one. And at the top was Abraham – the perfect Muslim – nearest to Allah who lives above the 7. heaven. It should be unnecessary to tell that Muhammad belongs in the same heaven as Abraham – nearest Allah (But NB: This paragraph takes its contents from other Muslim sources, mainly Ibn Ishaq, and Hadiths, not from the Quran). Just to mention it: Muhammad's night travel in Islam is quite similar to f. ex. the Gnostic (made up) tale "Enoch's travel to Heaven", from a few hundred years before Muhammad. One can mention it is typical for Muhammad that hardly any of his or the Quran's tales are originals – they are "borrowed" from many sources, included religious folk tales and fairy tales. Also this night travel – which Muslims are unable to agree on if it was real or a dream – might have been such a "loan".

What is sure from the Quran, is that some parts of the heaven meant for ordinary Muslims and perhaps a few Christians and Jews religious enough to qualify (it is not said what happened to Pagan who never got the chance to hear about the one god, but there are indications in the Quran that Allah was reluctant to condemn them) also had parts better and more attractive than others – reserved for Muslims deserving extra standard or being a little closer to Allah. It is not very clear what the differences consisted of exactly except that warriors score high.

But what is very clear is that life in paradise was/is very similar to life on Earth in this present life – but life like the life of the rich and the very rich in this life – a life like what poor, uneducated and naïve underclass people of that time in the desert of the often hot Arabia could dream that royal life and Paradise would be like.

Paradise for normal, good Muslims was/is a garden (or some gardens – perhaps 4) with running brooks and rivers – a dream for desert people. In the garden were all kinds of fruits the Arabs knew from this life and plenty of other good food. There was shade from the hot sun – sounds like the situation in a hot desert: Paradise is too hot and therefore shade is something nice. There is said nothing about pleasant temperatures where shade is not necessary – or about sun for people from colder places liking the sun, this even though Allah is omniscient and knows that the most pleasant paradise is one where the temperature is nice and the sun is a little hot (though not too hot).

And there were excellent places - mansions - for living.

A Paradise exactly like the poor mans picture of the life of kings and other rich noblemen in and around Arabia at the time of Muhammad, with the added plus that there was and is plenty of water. Add plenty women, and the dreams of rough and simpleminded warriors were perfect. A small curiosum: Even if Paradise has plenty of good food and drinks, according to Hadith one never needs a toilet.

And women there were – in plenty. For one thing you brought your wives and slave women – and here is a small question: One place the Quran says that the women – included the houries – are of suitable age, ages that of necessity varies according to your own age. Other places it tells that all humans are resurrected young – another small, but not irrelevant glitch in the Quran. And in addition to your women from this life – if they had not disqualified themselves for Paradise – there are the mentioned houries. There is no mentioning about from where the houries come, but they are beautiful and pleasant women entirely for your pleasure – up to 70 for a really brave warrior according to other sources. Something to dream about during starry nights on long marches to war for young and not so young men. There is said nothing in the Quran directly about sex with them, but for what else do primitive, and often brutalized from war, men need beautiful women? – not for polite conversation at least.

There is said nothing about how the houries like life in this Paradise – some of the warriors after all were uneducated, rough and brutal fellows. But then what women like and think counts little in Islam – it is a man's and a warrior's religion, and sympathy or empathy with the underdogs hardly exists, except that you shall help children - at least the ones who have lost their parents - and the poor, and not treat your slaves too badly. (As for empathy - and especially with non-Muslims - you do not find it at all in the Quran or in Islam).

Of course in such a Paradise there are servants – just like in the rich families in this life. As said: The Muslim Paradise is like life for the rich in and around Arabia at the time of Muhammad + more water and women, as seen through the eyes of primitive poor underclass people – correction; men.

There also is not a word about from where these handsome servants – forever young – come (some Muslim scholars believed may be they were humans who had died as children). Neither a sentence about how Paradise is for them. In the Quran only the central person – man – has feelings and is a human, and he is the centre in his universe. The feelings and happiness and life of others, not to mention of non-Muslims or women or slaves, are of little or no interest or consequence – “praise be to Allah”. (This may be one of the reasons why sympathy and empathy with others runs so much deeper in Christian and some other societies – even among non-religious persons. F. ex. most help and humanitarian organisations etc. originated in the West and also often still work from the West.)

Islam has a tendency to think only about the central persons in a story and how life is for them – in this case the warrior class, and of course mainly the men.

001 2/25: “- - - their (Muslims'*) portion is Gardens, beneath which rivers flow. Every time they are fed with fruits therefrom, they say: ‘Why, this is what we were fed before’, for they are given things in similitude - - -”. The fruits and food in the Muslim heaven are the same as in this life – just plenty and only the best. As said: An Earth-like paradise. Plus plenty of water and women – heavenly for people - men - from a desert. But what about people from other parts of the world with other kinds of fruits? - many fruits used by the Arabs would be unknown to them, and the other way around.

002 4/122: Rather similar to 2/25 just above.

003 5/85: Rather similar to 2/25 above.

004 5/119: Rather similar to 2/25 above.

005 7/42-43: Rather similar to 2/25 above.

006 9/72: “Allah hath promised to Believers (Muslims*) – men and women – Gardens under which rivers flow, to dwell therein, and beautiful mansions in Gardens of everlasting bliss - - -”. A paradise like the life of the rich men in this world – plus more water. And of course plus the presumed, but never proved Allah.

007 9/89: Rather similar to 9/72 just above.

008 9/100: Rather similar to 9/72 above – Muhammad did not have inventive fantasy, only the smart kind.

009 9/111: “Allah hath purchased of the Believers (Muslims*) their persons and their goods; for theirs (in return) is the Garden (of Paradise); they fight and slay and be slain - - -”. A typical war and warriors’ religion. (The religion was changed from rather peaceful to a war religion in and shortly after 622 AD – the omniscient Allah changed his mind or Muhammad needed warriors.) Well, Paradise in the possible next world for a life of war in this world, may be a good deal if Muhammad spoke the truth and nothing but the truth. If it was all a hoax, Muhammad and his successors got themselves very cheap warriors and riches and much power for nothing. And there are many of the hallmarks of a cheater, deceiver and swindler in the Quran – at least some of which an intelligent man understanding people, is sure to have recognized. (F. ex. that wonders delivered by Allah had made no-one believe.)

010 10/9: “Those who believe (Muslims*), and work righteousness (included fighting in wars, stealing, raping, and killing*) – their Lord (Allah*) will guide them because of their Faith: beneath them will flow rivers in Gardens of bliss.” The paradise of a primitive warrior from the hot desert. A curiosum: According to Hadiths 2 of "our" rivers - the Nile and the Euphrates - start in Heaven/Paradise.

011 11/108: “They (Muslims*) will dwell therein for all the time that the heavens (plural and wrong*) and earth endure, except as thy Lord (Allah*) willeth - - -”. The last part of the sentence is explained nowhere in the Quran. The same words are used about Hell in 9/107, and some Muslim thinkers say it may mean that perhaps Hell will not last forever – at least not for all its inmates. If we do not use the same logic for Paradise, this verse means that Paradise will last forever for all real Muslims (and may be a few Jews and Christians deserving Paradise). But actually this verse may indicate that even Paradise is not absolutely for ever - though most the Quran many places says it is. Some Muslims meet this uncertainty with the claim that the saved ones in case will end in an even better paradise.

012 13/23 – 24: “Gardens of perpetual bliss: they (Muslims*) shall enter there, as well as the righteous among their fathers, their spouses, and their offspring - - - how excellent is the final Home.” Primitive people may think in 3-4 generations like here, but nowhere in the Quran is told how family life as on Earth is to be arranged for 40 or a hundred generations. Neither is there anywhere said anything about how the ones who died as babies or children will fare in Paradise – will they stay babies or children for ever to your pleasure (the Quran has a tendency to see things only from the points of view of the main persons) or will they grow up or will they be resurrected as young adults or how? - and what about their families?

No matter – these are problems possible to solve for a god. But the Muslim Paradise still is just a copy of life for rich people in this world as seen through the eyes of poor and primitive male desert dwellers and polygamists.

013 13/35: “The parable of the Garden which the righteous are promised! – beneath it flow rivers: perpetual is the enjoyment thereof and the shade therein - -”. As said: Paradise is like the life of the rich + more water and women, as seen through the eyes of primitive and poor male dwellers of a hot desert. But Allah should be the god of the entire world and all people. And why such a primitive paradise? – because as paradises come, this is a primitive one.

014 14/23: Rather like 13/35 just above, with the addition: “Their greeting therein will be: ‘Peace’” This word is about the only one referred to from permitted talk in Paradise, in all the Quran. The intellectual level is not indicated to be high.

015 15/45: “The righteous (will be) amid Gardens and fountains (of clear-flowing water. (Their greeting will be): ‘Enter ye here in Peace and security’. And We (Allah*) shall remove from their hearts any lurking sense of injury: (they will be) brothers (joyfully) facing each other on thrones (of dignity).” An existence one could envy them - - - if it was not for all that is wrong in the Quran, that results in a lurking feeling of “what if”. – what if all this also is wrong? – what if it is fairy tales to attract people – and warriors - to build a platform of power? – and even worse for the ones believing in religion: What if there exists a real religion somewhere, one that Islam blocks the road to? (What is totally sure at least, is that the Quran neither is made by an omniscient nor by a benevolent god).

016 16/30: “To those (Muslims*) who do good, there is good in this world (sometimes*), and the Home of the Hereafter (Paradise*) is even better; and excellent indeed is the Home of the righteous – Gardens of Eternity which they will enter: beneath them flow (pleasant) rivers - - -”. The promise is: For eternity.

017 18/31: “For them (Muslims*) will be Gardens of Eternity; beneath them rivers will flow; they will be adorned therein with bracelets of gold, and they will wear green garment of fine silk and heavy brocade: they will recline therein on raised thrones.” Not the dream about a normal rich man’s life – this is royal style.

018 18/108: “Wherein (Paradise*) they (Muslims*) shall dwell (for aye).” The Quran mostly says that Paradise is forever, but there are 2 – 3 verses that make the time aspect a little unsure. Muslim scholars pooh-pooh that, and say that that in case means that Allah will bring something even better instead.

019 19/96: “On those who believe and work deeds of righteousness (remember that an essential part of this is to make war for Muhammad - and his successors *), will (Allah) Most Gracious bestow Love”. This is one of the rather few places where the word “love” is mentioned in the Quran, except for in connection with your family – and even there it is not used frequently. Very different from NT where love is one of the main pillars – between Heaven and humans and among humans.

020 22/14: Rather similar to 9/72 above.

021 22/23: “Allah will admit those who believe and work righteous deeds, to gardens beneath which rivers flow: they shall be adorned therein with bracelets of gold and pearls; and their

garments will be of silk". As said before: A Paradise like the life of the very rich and kings in Arabia at that time as seen through the eyes of the poor and the primitive.

022 25/24: "The Companions of the Garden (Muslims*) will be well, that Day (of Doom*), in their abode, and have the fairest places for repose". A good future – if true.

023 28/84: "If any does good, the reward to him is better than his deed; but if any does evil, the doers of evil are only punished (to the extent) of their deeds." It is not too difficult to reach Paradise for Muslims: Merits for good deeds are multiplied, and by a factor of up to 10 according to the Quran, whereas bad deeds only are valued once. And if you anyhow are on minus you can always do some good deeds like lying, stealing or murdering for the religion and get lots of merit from the benevolent Allah

024 29/58: "- - - to them (Muslims*) shall We (Allah*) give a Home in Heaven, lofty Mansions beneath which flow rivers, to dwell therein for aye - - -". Life of the super rich plus plenty of water.

025 35/34: "(In Paradise Muslims will say*) Praise be to Allah, who has removed from us (all) sorrow - - -". At least something in addition to material plenty, which really is all that the other verses have been talking about.

026 35/33: "Gardens of Eternity will they (Muslims*) enter: therein will they be adorned with bracelets of gold and pearls: and their garments will be of silk." Earthly luxury life.

027 35/35: "- - - no toil nor sense of weariness shall touch us (Muslims*) therein (in Paradise*)." Like the rich and mighty: They did not have to toil and become weary.

028 36/56: "They (Muslims*) and their associates will be in groves of (cool) shade reclining on Thrones (of dignity)". We still are in the paradise taken from the royal dreams of poor and primitive people living in a too hot country – but is that all an omnipotent god are able to offer?

029 36/57: "(Every) fruit (enjoyment) will be there for them; they shall have whatever they call for - - -". A very material paradise.

030 37/41-44: "For them (Muslims*) is a Sustenance determined (in Paradise*), Fruits (delights); and they (shall enjoin) honour and dignity, in Gardens of Felicity, facing each other on Thrones (of dignity)." Mostly when it is talk about food in Paradise, it is talk about fruits. Also they have to be rich and dignified, as they all sit on thrones. There must be very long rows of thrones - very long and many such.

031 37/45-47: "Round will be passed to them (Muslims*) a Cup from a clear-flowing fountain, Crystal-white, of a taste delicious to those who drink (thereof), Free from headiness, nor will they suffer intoxication therefrom". Not only good food, but also good drinks – not intoxicating.

032 37/48: "And beside them (Muslims*) will be chaste women, restraining their glances, with big eyes (of wonder and beauty)". The famed houries – for free use. And what use did primitive – and for that case some other – men think of? Hardly of polite and intellectual conversation.

There is no place in the Quran mentioned one single thought about how Paradise is for the houries. The Quran seldom cares about the life and feelings of others than the main persons – the male Muslim, preferably a warrior. Houries, slave woman, slaves, servants, and others: Just things for the brave warrior to use and to serve him. Things.

033 38/50-51: Good food and drink in Paradise. Earthly luxury.

034 38/52: “And beside them will be chaste women, restraining their glances, (companions) of equal age.” Once more the houries. There is said nothing about how many for each man in the Quran, but in the Hadith it is mentioned 70 for a brave warrior. There also is said nothing about how the warrior’s wife or wives felt having to share the husband with many “chaste” women, very likely more beautiful and attractive than themselves. But then: The Quran only bothers about the main person/man in the story – often the warrior.

Secondary persons are to be things for the prime male in the story to use – and who cares about their lives or feelings or happiness?

A primitive and inhuman teaching at this point – but excellent for primitive warriors – even today.

035 39/20: “But it is for those who fear their Lord (Allah*) that lofty mansions, one above another, have been built: beneath them flow rivers (of delight).” Like a luxury condominium – if true.

036 39/75: “And thou will see the angles surrounding the Throne (divine) on all sides, singing Glory and Praise to their Lord (Allah*)”. As Allah lives above the 7. heaven and mere normal Muslims live far down even in Paradise, this sight will take a little explaining.

037 40/8: “And grant our Lord (Allah*), that they (Muslims*) enter the Gardens of Eternity, which Thou hast promised to them, and to the righteous among their fathers, their wives, and their posterity (descendants*)!” Good and well, but here the Quran runs into a mathematical and practical impossibility. Your father and wives are ok. But your children and their children (because your sons have the same promise), and their sons, and their sons, and their sons - - -. It will be quite a melee after 1000 generations.

038 43/70-71: “Enter ye the Garden, ye and your wives, in (beauty and) rejoicing. To them will be passed round, dishes and goblets of gold: there will be all that the souls could desire, all that the eyes could delight in - - -”. Like the richest ones in Arabia – and with plenty of fruits to eat according to 43/73.

039 44/51-55: Rather like 7/92 above + houries.

040 45/30: “- - - those who believed and did righteous deeds, their Lord (Allah*) will admit them to His Mercy - - -”. Plain and simple. (And of course the best deed was and is to go to war.)

041 47/12: Rather like 9/72 above.

042 47/15: “(Here is) a parable of the Garden which the righteous are promised: in it are rivers of water incorruptible; rivers of milk of which the taste never changes, rivers of wine

(this surah is from 622 AD – around the flight to Medina) a joy to those who drink; and rivers of honey pure and clear. In it there are for them all kinds of fruits - - -.” Yes, like in Utopia or another earthly paradise dreamed up by the poor people in an arid land – or by someone else making dreams for them. A materialistic paradise, but here at least with added grace from Allah. And in Paradise Muslims seems to be permitted to drink wine – “a joy”.

043 52/19-20: “(To them (Muslims in Paradise*) will be said) ‘Eat and drink ye, with profit and health, because of your (good) deeds.’ They will recline (with ease) on Thrones (of dignity) arranged in ranks; and We shall join them to Companions (the famous houries*), with beautiful big and lustrous eyes.” What more can a poor, virile young – or old – uneducated and uncultivated and uncultured man from the desert dream about?

044 52/21-22: See 40/8 above, and add good food and fruits.

045 52/23: “They shall there exchange, one with another, a (lowing) cup free of frivolity, free of all taint of ill.” A good cup of wine in Paradise - - - but perhaps without alcohol (?)

046 52/24: “Round about them (Muslims and their houries*) will serve (devoted) to them, youths (handsome) as pearls well guarded”. These are the servants in Paradise – forever young, handsome men. There is said nothing about from where they come, and as normal in the Quran it is said not a word about how secondary persons in a story feel or like life, or how Paradise is for them. The central persons are rich and on top, and that is what counts – others are of little interest. Empathy with underdogs does not exist in the Quran.

047 55/46: “But for such as fears the time when they (Muslims*) will stand before (the Judgement Seat of) their Lord (Allah*), there will be two Gardens - - -”. See 55/62 below.

048 55/48-60: Something like 47/15 + the houries.

049 55/62: “And besides these two, there are two other Gardens - - -”. Paradise for normal humans is split in at least 4 gardens, and it seems that there may be a difference according to how good a Muslim and how fierce a warrior you have been in this life – the more according to Muhammad’s ideals you have lived and fought, the better garden you will end up in. But all the 4 are paradises with two springs each, plenty of fruits, rich carpets for resting on and plenty of women/houries “- - -(Maidens), chaste, restraining their glances, whom no man or Jinn before them (the Muslims*) has touched (= virgins*) - - -”. Royal earthly standard of living and virgins for free use at your convenience.

The difference in the “geographical” description seems to be that the best gardens have even more plentiful water, and they are dark green from the abundance of water – really a paradise for poor and primitive desert dwellers. It does not take much to make a perfect paradise for naïve and primitive people.

It still is said little about how Paradise is for children and women, included the houries.

(We may add that according to Hadiths the Nile and the Euphrates starts in the Gardens of Paradise. Unbelievable? Yes, but it is a fact that Hadiths say so).

050 55/64-76: Similar to 47/15 + 55/48/60 but even a degree better.

051 56/7+10: “(At the Day of Doom*) ye shall be sorted out in three classes. - - -. And those (the class*) Foremost (in Faith) will be the foremost (in the Hereafter)”. There are classes also in Paradise (and according to other verses and to Hadith, they are split in sub-classes). A reality if it is a reality. A good way of motivating followers and warriors – strive and fight harder and advance in the next life – for the ruler(s) and spoils of war only, if the religion is made up.

052 56/7 + 10: “(At the Day of Doom) ye shall be sorted out in three classes - - - And those Foremost (in Faith) will be the Foremost (in the Hereafter).” The best Muslims – and that of course include the fiercest fighters – will go to the best places in Heaven, and also nearest to Allah. Of the rest the ones sorted to the right will go to the lower quality parts of Heaven, whereas the ones sorted to the left will go to Hell. (A small curiosity here: In the old Arabia right was reckoned to be the “good” side and left the “bad” side. Is it a coincidence that the omniscient god for the entire world sorted the dead ones according to customs and rules in after all tiny Arabia on Earth?)

053 56/15-16: “(They (the pious Muslims*) will be) on Thrones encrusted (with gold and precious stones), reclining on them, facing each others”. This means long double rows of thrones – millions or perhaps a few billions of thrones in double rows facing each other. More, if also the women and children and all the houries shall recline on thrones. Also there has to be some space between the rows, as there have to be places for resting on carpets as mentioned in other verses, and for the fruit trees and the water.

Though endless double rows of thrones does not sound like our idea of a perfect paradise, no matter how many houries for free use and good food and drinks as the nearly only pastime – some polite and not intellectually demanding conversation is to be the only alternative mentioned. See also quote 56/25-26 below.

054 56/17: “Round about them will (serve) youths of perpetual freshness - - -.” Again these mysterious servants. The Quran is totally disinterested in them and tells nothing about them – the only person of interest is the Muslim adult man – strangely the same one who can be warriors for Muhammad and his successors. Others do not count much.

What are they - the servants and the houries? Where do they come from? How is Paradise for them? (Some Muslim scholars speculate about the possibility that they may be humans who died as babies or children - but their parents are promised to have their children as part of Paradise, and then they cannot be servants or sex doll for others.

055 56/18-20: “(Servants) with goblets, (shining) beakers, and cups (filled) out of clear-flowing fountains (of wine*): No after-ache will they receive therefrom, nor will they be suffering intoxication: (and good food – meat and fruits*) - - -.” This surah is from ca. 615 AD – before Allah found out that alcohol was not good. But at least in Paradise even in 615 AD you did not grow ill or get a head-ache from plenty of wine.

056 56/25-26: “Not frivolity will they hear therein, nor any taint of ill – Only the saying, ‘Peace, Peace!’” It is to be hoped that even if one is resurrected with exactly the old body from this life, the brain will be much changed – eternity with only these pastimes will be boring to the extreme for intelligent and intellectually active persons”.

057 56/27-34: “The companions of the right hand (the good, but not top pious and/or warriors*) - - - (will be) among the Lote-trees without thorns - - - trees with flowers (or fruits) piled one above another - - - in shade - - - by water flowing constantly, and fruits in abundance - - - and on Thrones (of Dignity) - - -(and houries in the next verses – their wives are not mentioned*) - - -“. Not quite like the top class, but at least “on par” with kings. But each and every thing fit to satisfy basic and base earthly dreams of men and potential warriors of the primitive kind and from primitive circumstances. Not a thing beyond that.

058 56/35-37: “We (Allah*) have created (their (Muslims*) Companions) of special creation. And made them (houries*) virgin-pure (and undefiled) – Beloved (by nature), equal in age - - -“. The wives and slave girls from this world are not even mentioned. But the strange sentence “equal in age” reappears – why, if all are resurrected like young men/people?

059 57/12: Rather similare to 9/72 above.

060 69/22-24: “In the Garden on high, the Fruits whereof (will hang in bundles) low and near. ‘Eat ye (Muslims in Paradise*) and drink ye, with full satisfaction, because of the (good) deeds that ye sent before you, in the days that are gone.’” It is the deeds that count – though even in Islam there is some correction of the merit you earn from Allah, if the deeds are done for wrong reasons.

061 74/40: “(They (good Muslims*) will be) in Gardens (of Delight): they will question each other, and (ask) of the Sinners: ‘What led you into Hell-Fire?’” There is not a longer distance between Paradise and Hell - communication is possible. This is not the only case of such communication in the Quran.

062 76/13: “Reclining in the (Garden) on raised thrones, they will see neither the sun’s (excessive heat) nor (the moon’s) excessive cold.” Part of the dream of a man from a desert – off all places in the world, only in the hot deserts like in Arabia the temperature shifts so much in so short time, that the days are excessively hot and the nights excessively cold.

063 76/14-21: More about the same details of the Earth-like royal paradise, but with the addition of a stuff called “zanjabil” (76/17) to mix in wine (the age of this surah is not known, and consequently we do not know if Allah had yet changed his view of alcohol), and a fountain “salsabil” (76/18). The first word may mean ginger, the second one nobody knows, though there is guessing.

064 77/41: Like 76/14-21 just above, but without the two extra words.

065 78/31-36: Like 76/14-21 above, but without the two extra words.

066 83/18-21: “Nay, verily, the Record of the Righteous is (preserved) in ‘illiyin. And what will explain the thee what ‘illiyin” is? (There is a Register (fully) inscribed, to which bear witness those nearest (to Allah).” The omniscient god Allah needs witnesses – and he is not more advanced and omniscient than that everything has to be written down in a good, old-fashioned book.

067 85/11: Rather similar to 9/72 above.

068 88/10-16: “In the Garden of high, where they (Muslims in Paradise*) shall hear no (word) of vanity: Therein will be a bubbling spring: Therein will be Thrones (of dignity), raised high, goblets (for wine*) placed (ready), and cushions set in rows, and rich carpets (all) spread out.” A nice picture – except when you think of hundreds of millions of people, each bound to his own clan as the son of a son of a son - - - etc., placed like that. Endless rows only. Some paradise.

069 98/8: Rather similar to 9/72 above.

POST SCRIPTURE TO CHAPTER VIII/1.

We have chosen to give a detailed description of the Muslim Paradise, partly because eternity is a long time for staying in one place, and partly to give a full picture. It mainly is like Earth at the time and area of Muhammad in and around Arabia, but with more water and willing and obedient beautiful women, and a life like the high nobility as seen through the eyes and dreams of young and not so young, but virile primitive, uneducated and naïve warriors – male warriors of course.

Is this really the best paradise an omniscient, omnipotent god can make? Or is it someone playing on primitive, uneducated and naïve virile men’s secret dreams about riches and women? “Tell a lie often enough, and people start believing” – Joseph Goebbels.

To make a summary:

1. Paradise is in the heavens above us and our flat Earth, and seems to be situated in the first heaven, as the higher heavens are for more deserving persons than normal Muslims.
2. This in case is the same heaven as all the stars are fastened to, and from where some of the stars are used for shooting stars to chase away Jinns/bad spirits wanting to spy on heaven. Though how to spy on Allah and his angels above 7. heaven, when jinn and other spies were below even the 1. heavem?
3. There are possibilities for communication between humans in Paradise and in Hell – perhaps along the rim of the hemispheres making the heavens? – as Hell seems to be lying under the flat 7 Earths, that is a possibility.
4. At the Day of Doom the humans are split in 3 groups (some Hadiths only mention 2), and the deserving 2 best groups ends one in an excellent part Paradise - and the best in an extra excellent part.
5. These parts of the Paradise are subdivided with standards according to how good and eager a Muslim you have been. (This is not much mentioned in the Quran, but is clear form the Hadiths – f. ex. Al-Bukhari).

6. Mainly Muslims end in Paradise, but a few Jews and Christians with strong belief and an excellent moral life, have a chance, according to at least some verses in the Quran. There also may be an opening for others that never had heard about Allah. Because Allah is reluctant to punish people who did not know they acted wrong.
7. In Paradise you live in huge mansions.
8. And you preside on imposing thrones – endless rows of them – or relax on pillows lying on carpets.
9. Paradise seems to be constructed for people from a hot, dry country, with much emphasize on shade and water. According to Hadith 2 rivers on Earth – the Nile and the Euphrates – starts in Paradise, believe it or not.
10. There are plenty of trees – hence some of the shade – included rich fruit trees.
11. People are resurrected for Paradise (and for Hell) in body, not only in soul.
12. 12. It is likely, but not absolutely sure, that all are resurrected like young adults.
13. 13. Clothes are very rich in Paradise – the same are personal ornaments. Something like a king's regal outfit on Earth.
14. 14. Also the food is excellent. Especially plenty of fruits are often mentioned, but also plenty of meat. It is made clear that the food is more or less the same as on Earth, but in plenty and in high quality. Also in this way life is like on Earth – but like a king on Earth.
15. 15. Also what you drink is the same – only better – like on Earth. This includes wine (but a not intoxicating variety – or in the early surahs perhaps only not giving you a bad “day after”).
16. 16. Everybody is served and served on by an army of young attractive boys or men. The Quran gives no name and also no information on where they come from or how they like their position in Paradise.
17. 17. Everybody – at least the men - have their parents, wives and children around them if these qualified for Paradise – which makes it clear that the Paradise must be inhabited by enormous clans, as the sons of the sons of the sons in hundreds of generations slowly adds up, and all are promised to have their parents and wives and children around them (as for

children, it mainly must go for sons, as the daughters mostly end up as the wife of someone in another clan, or another part of the same clan.

18. 18. Then there are the famous houries – in reality sex slaves for virile men. Hadits tells that a really good (warrior) Muslim can have up to 70 of them. Nothing is said about how the men’s wives find this competition. But then Islam is a religion for the adult man, and women and what they like counts little. Nothing is said about what the houries are, where they come from or how they like this Paradise – not to mention how they like to serve rough, uneducated and sometimes worse warriors. Is it really a Paradise for them? The question seems to be of no interest neither to the Quran, nor to Islam, nor to Muslim men or scholars.
19. 19. As mentioned there are 7 heavens – material ones (if not it was not possible to fix the stars to the lowermost of them). The higher heavens are for prophets and other extra people. The better quality Muslim you were, the higher heaven did you end in. Jesus f. ex. is in 2. heaven – he in reality is the main competitor to Muhammad, and had to be reduced by giving him place in a low heaven to reduce him as a prophet. On the other end of the scale is the veneered Abraham in 7. heaven – a heaven he will have to share with Muhammad after the Day of Doom. It is said nothing about how the heavens were populated at the time of Muhammad's trip to the heavens - the Quran teaches that the dead has to wait till the Day of Doom for resurrection, but all the same both Hell and the heavens were populated when Muhammad visited the heavens and when he was shown the Hell. A clear contradiction.
20. 20. A big and not answered question is - as mentioned in point 19 just above - how Abraham and the others already can have got their place in Paradise, as the Day of Doom has not yet appeared.
21. 21. Allah has his residence “over the 7. heaven” according to Hadiths.

A PS: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such

subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, there also is no Paradise like the one Muhammad told about.

PART VIII, CHAPTER 2, SUBCHAPTER 1 (= VIII-1-0-0)

THE NEXT LIFE AS DESCRIBED IN THE QURAN

HELL AS DESCRIBED IN ISLAM.

1. PUNISHMENT IN THE GRAVE

– a kind of Purgatory that does not purge?

(NB: This you only find in Hadiths, not in the Quran.)

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Islam has a small and for non-Muslims little known Purgatory: Punishment in the grave. This is a contradiction of what is said in the Quran about the time lapse for the dead from he dies, till he wakes up to the day of doom which is told to be zero, and you will find nothing about punishment in the grave in the Quran.

But in Hadiths there are some stories – f. ex. dead ones that are pained in the grave because dear ones are weeping for them (in a war religion weeping and sorrow over the dead are not good – and may be demoralizing for living warriors) and for other reasons.

As this is not in the Quran – the theme for this book - we do not go more into it now, but may add more about it later some time.

We mention, though, that as this is not mentioned in the Quran, it is not unlikely the punishment in the grave is made up after Muhammad's death, but accepted into Hadiths all the same - like the stories about miracles connected to Muhammad.

PART VIII, CHAPTER 2, SUBCHAPTER 2 (= VIII-2-2-0)

THE NEXT LIFE AS DESCRIBED IN THE QURAN

HELL AS DESCRIBED IN THE QURAN.

HELL, THE REAL ONE

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Hell is not described to the same details as Paradise in the Quran, but there is no doubt that it is a no-good place, run by a real sadist. Muhammad and the Arabs knew very well that the worst pain you normally get are from burns, and adopted the hell from older religions where Hell is a place for maximum physical pain from fire, with disgusting and painful food and drinks plus some psychological distress added.

As you see from the chapter of Paradise, the ones placed at the left side during the Day of Doom, ends in Hell. It is not specified IN THE qURAN where Hell is, but it is clear that it is down somewhere – most likely below the 7 (!) flat Earths. (According to Hadiths, this is where you find Hell).

Also in Hell there are differences. For one thing there are 7 gates leading to 7 different places, one worse than the other, and for another thing the treatment each place might be even worse if there is a reason for that.

But there are (limited) possibilities to call from Hell to Paradise or the other way- just to make the spirit of the inmates of Hell even lower.

Why there is a Hell, really is not clear. Iblis - a Jinn most likely - was ousted from Heaven by Allah, and got permission from Allah to start Hell. Some Muslims think Hell in reality is part of Allah's unfathomable plans. In that case Allah is far from only benevolent. Whereas some sceptics think Hell just was necessary for Muhammad to make a functional religion.

No matter: It is a bad place filled with physical pain - pains a la Earth mostly.

001 4/56: "Those who reject Our Signs, We (Allah*) shall soon cast into the Fire: as often as their skin is roasted through, We shall change them for fresh skins, that they may taste Penalty - - -." A good and benevolent god – punishing in accordance with the graveness of the sin, and never unfairly hard punishment, at least according to the Quran. Though you have to be a really, really bad sinner to really deserve this sadistic punishment.

002 7/41: "For them (sinners*) there is hell, as a couch (below) and folds and folds of covering above". As said: Clearly somewhere down.

003 7/46: "Between them (those going to Paradise and those going to Hell) shall be a veil - -" - and the first ones telling the last ones that Islam was right.

004 7/50: "The Companions of the Fire will call to the Companions of the Garden: 'Pour down to us water or anything that Allah doth provide for your sustenance.' They will say: 'Both these things hath Allah forbidden to those who rejected him'. If you are in pain, it becomes even worse if you know release exists, but you cannot reach it. Muhammad or Allah knew how to impress followers.

005 10/4: "- - - those who reject Him (Allah*) will have draughts of boiling fluid (for drinking*), and a Penalty grievous - - -." Hell is no Paradise – and Allah a strict god.

006 11/106-107: “- - - there will be for them (sinners*) therein (nothing but) the heaving of sighs and sobs. They will dwell therein for all the time that the heavens (plural and wrong*) and the earth endure, except as thy Lord (Allah*) willeth”. No hope for all the eternity - except perhaps in the last part of that last sentence. Muslims are unable to agree on if that may mean that Hell once in the very far future may come to an end, at least for some inmates.

007 11/108: “They (Muslims*) will dwell therein for all the time that the heavens (plural and wrong*) and earth endure, except as thy Lord (Allah*) willeth - - -”. The last part of the sentence is explained nowhere in the Quran. The same words are used about Hell in 9/107, and some Muslim thinkers say it may mean that perhaps Hell will not last forever – at least not for all its inmates. If we do not use the same logic for Paradise, this verse means that Paradise will last forever for all real Muslims (and perhaps for a few Jews and Christians deserving Paradise).

008 14/49-50: “And thou (Muhammad/Muslims*) wilt see the Sinners that day (Day of Doom*) bound together in fetters - their garments of liquid, and their faces cowered with Fire”. A bad place and distress similar to the most painful possible on Earth.

009 15/33-39: “(Iblis (the future Devil*) said): ‘I am not one to prostrate myself to man, whom Thou didst create from sounding clay, from mud moulded into shape’. (Allah) said: ‘Then get thee out from here, for thou art rejected, accursed. And the Curse shall be on thee till the Day of Judgement.’ (Iblis) said: ‘O my Lord! Give me respite till the Day the (dead) are raised.’ (Allah) said: ‘Respite is granted thee – till the Day of Time Appointed.’ (Iblis) said: ‘O my Lord! Because Thou hast put me in the wrong, I will make (wrong) fair-seeming to them on earth, and I will put them all in the wrong - - -’” This is how Hell came to. The story is not very logical, and many Muslim scholars speculate about if Iblis in reality is working for Allah in accordance with Allah’s secret plans. Nobody on Earth will ever know.

010 15/44: “To it (Hell*) are seven gates: for each of those Gates is a (special) class (of sinners) assigned.” The worse non-Muslim - or even Muslim sinner - the worse part of Hell.

011 21/98: “Verily ye (unbelievers) and the (false) gods ye worship besides Allah, are (but) fuel for Hell”. One Question: Jesus is a prophet to Muslims. But according to Muslims he also is a false god to Christians (Muhammad did not understand trinity - he believed it to be 3 gods with Mary as no. 3). As a false (?) god, is he then but fuel for Hell?

012 22/19-22: “- - - for them (non-Muslims*) will be cut out a garment of Fire: over their heads will be poured boiling water. With it will be scalded what is within their bodies, as well as (their) skins. In addition there will be maces of iron (to punish) them. Every time they wish to get away therefrom, from anguish, they will be forced back therein - - -”. A strict regime.

013 25/13-14: “And when they are cast, bound together, into a constricted place therein (Hell*), they will plead for destruction there and then! This day plead not for a single destruction: plead for a destruction oft-repeated”. Hell means to be burnt to death constantly without the possibility to get release from the pain by being destructed.

014 32/13: “If We (Allah*) so willed, We could certainly have brought every soul its true guidance: but the Word from Me will come true, ‘I will fill Hell with Jinns and men all together’”. One thought: May be it is true Allah has arranged also Hell. Another thought: No matter if he has or not – as long as he wants to fill Hell with living beings, he is no benevolent

god. (Jinns are beings borrowed from Arab folklore and the earlier pagan religion – according to Islam they are created by Allah from smokeless fire, whereas angels are created from light.)

015 35/37: “Therein (Hell) will they (non-Muslims/sinners*) cry aloud (for assistance): ‘Our Lord (Allah*)! Bring us out: we shall work righteousness, not the (deeds) we used to do!’”
The inmates of Hell will plead for mercy, but to no avail.

016 37/64-68: “For it (the zaqqum tree) is a tree that springs out of the bottom of Hellfire: The shoots of its fruit-stalks are like the heads of devils: Truly they (sinners in Hell*) will eat thereof and fill their bellies therewith. Then on top of that they will be given a mixture of boiling water”. Marquis de Sade - giving name to “sadism” - was an amateur in comparison to the Quran.

017 38/75-82: The same story as in 15/33-39 above (Muhammad had a tendency to repeat himself – not god for literature quality), but with the addition of why Iblis did not want to prostrate himself for Adam: “I am better than he: Thou createdst me from fire, and him Thou createdst from clay.” Iblis was haughty or - if he was in cohorts with Allah in a game for having a reason for creating Hell – he played haughty.

018 40/49-50: “Those (sinners*) in the Fire will say to the Keepers of Hell: ‘Pray to your Lord (Allah or the Devil?*) to lighten us the Penalty for a Day at least.’ They will say: ‘Did there not come to you messengers with Clear Signals?’ They will reply, ‘Yes’. They (the keepers*) will reply, ‘Then pray (as you like)! (to no avail*)’”. In Hell there is no pardon.

019 40/71-72: “When the yokes (shall be) round their (sinners in Hell*) necks, and the chains; they shall be dragged along – in the boiling fluid; then in the Fire they shall be burned.” Not a refined treatment, but efficient – and easy also for primitive men to understand. Daily life in Hell according to Muhammad or Allah (?)

020 40/85: “But their (sinners*) professing the faith when they (actually) saw Our (Allah’s) Punishment was not going to profit them.” To avoid Hell you have to regret - and preferably make amends for – your sins in time, and in good time before you die.

021 44/43: “Verily the tree of zaqqum (a tree that only grows in Hell*) will be the food of the Sinful – like molten brass; it will boil in their insides. Like the boiling of scalding water.”
Also Hell is earth-like in that the sinners need food and drink – which also become parts of their sadistic torture. What they get to drink mostly is boiling or more hot, but a few times may be colder than ice. And the food is from the zaqqum tree, the thorny dari bush or “corruption from wounds”.

022 55/44: “In the midst of boiling hot water will they (sinners in Hell*) wander around”.
Another variety for a change.

023 56/52-54: “Ye will surely taste of the Tree of zaqqum. Then will ye fill your insides therewith, and drink Boiling Water on top of it.” Pure sadism and torture.

024 56/92-93: “For him (the sinner*) is Entertainment with Boiling Water and burning in Hell-Fire.” Now boiling water is much colder than normal fire, but all the same far too hot for the human body.

025 69/30-33: “Size ye him (the sinner*), and bind ye him, and burn ye him in the Blazing Fire. Further, make him march in a chain, the length of which is seventy cubits! (= 35 m?*)”. A long and heavy chain makes the situation if possible even worse.

026 69/36: “Nor hath they (the sinners*) any food except corruption from the washing of wounds - - -.” Disgusting food - - - but also one of the contradictions in the Quran, as they also had food from the zaqqum tree – see 44/43 and 56/52-54 above – and the dari bush (also said only to grow in Hell), see 88/6 below.

027 77/30-33: “Depart ye (sinners*) to a Shadow (of smoke ascending) in three columns, (which yields) no shade of coolness, and is of no use against the fierce blaze. Indeed it throws sparks (huge) as Forts - - -.” This is about the trip from the place of doom to Hell.

028 77/35-38: “That (the Day of Doom*) will be a Day when they (the sinners*) shall not be able to speak. Nor will it be open to them to put forth pleas. Ah woe, that Day, to the Rejecters of Truth! That will be a Day of Sorting out! We (Allah*) shall gather you together and those before (you)!” If Islam is a made up religion to manipulate people to gain a platform of power for Muhammad, and to gain blindly believing followers, Muhammad used exactly the right psychology – and the psychology used by many a starter of extreme sects or religions: Isolate the prospects as much as possible to hinder too much correcting information and questions, flood them with claimed truths, and use a mixture of honey and the stick – here Heaven and Hell. The old and well tested method for such leaders.

029 88/6: “No food will there be for them (sinners in Hell*) but the bitter dari (a bush with thorns and a bitter taste*)”. Not quite in accordance with what is said other places in the Quran.

030 101/9: “(The sinner*) Will have his home (Hell*) in a bottomless Pit.” Hell must be deep down somewhere.

Hell also is mentioned by name or in reality at least these places:

10/8 – 10/54 – 11/17 – 13/25 – 13/35 – 14/21 – 14/22 – 15/43 – 16/27 – 16/29 – 18/49 – 18/102 – 18/106 – 19/70 – 19/72 – 19/86 – 23/103 – 23/106-110 – 25/11 – 25/34 – 26/91 – 28/84 – 29/55 – 32/20 – 34/20-21 – 35/36 – 37/19-20 – 37/22-23 – 37/31-34 – 37/38-39 – 37/55 – 38/55 – 38/61 – 38/85 – 39/70-72 – 41/28 – 43/74-77 – 44/33-34 – 47/12 – 48/23 – 56/42-44 – 68/43 – 84/10-12.

POST SCRIPTUM TO CHAPTER VIII/2.

Hell in the Quran may be borrowed from the Bible, with some added details. There is nothing more to be said about it, than that it is a hellish place and well designed to frighten people - especially naïve, uneducated and primitive ones.

It may be added, though, that if Allah is the real “proprietor” of Hell, it tells something about him, that may explain the inhuman aspects of Islam – may be it was not the Devil who dressed up like Gabriel and gave Muhammad the Quran anyhow (one of the theoretically possible explanations for the creation of that book.)

PART IX, CHAPTER 1 (= IX-1-0-0)

**SOME SPECIAL - VERY SPECIAL - ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN
THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM,
AND ALLAH**

**PREDESTINATION (EVERYTHING IS
DECIDED BY ALLAH BEFORE IT CAN
HAPPEN) IN ISLAM AS TOLD BY
MUHAMMAD OR ALLAH IN THE
QURAN.**

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Predestination is a heavy point in Islam - and also a problem for the religion.

Even though it will not show clearly in this book, there was a clear evolution in the religion over the 23 years of Muhammad's preaching, towards putting much more weight on predestination. Whether the reason was that Allah changed his teachings, whether Muhammad really came to believe more and more in predestination, or whether Muhammad found a way it was to get more - and fiercer - warriors, is not easy to say. (There is no reason for not going to war, and no reason for not being in front of an attack, for you do not die until Allah so will anyhow - but at that time you die no matter where you are, in battle or in bed. Even at that time it was a counter-intuitive statement, but religion sometimes is stronger than your brain and your intelligence. But today it is even worse for Islam, because today it is possible to prove it is wrong by means of statistics.

But predestination always was a problem for Muslim thinkers - they existed from around 700 AD to about 1200 AD (non-religious thinking mainly from around 800-900 AD to ca. 1100 AD, with an extra some 100 years in western Maghreb and Spain).

1. If really Allah decided everything, how then explain that man had free will?
2. If really Allah decided everything, how could he then punish man, not to mention send him to Hell?
3. And today it is easy by means of statistics, to prove that at least some aspects of predestination are wrong. For example, it is very clear that it is a lie that it is not more risk for dying in a fierce battle, than working in your fields or sleeping in your bed.
4. It is impossible to unify free will and Allah's deciding everything. There are a lot of words used for "explaining" that it of course is

possible, and that free will of course is fundamental in Islam - and that free will, not Allah, is the reason for many bad things, but the logic behind those words is twisted. Because of this you today find that Muslims often stresses that man has a free will, and that all the bad things that happens to him, is because of using his free will to think differently from Allah.

5. This without being able to explain how man really can have a free will when he is punished each time he thinks or acts differently from Allah.
6. This without being able to explain how man really can have a free will when it is very clear in the Quran that nothing happens unless Allah wills so.
7. This without being able to explain how man really can have a free will when it is very clear in the Quran that Allah f. ex. often blocks a sinner's way back to belief?
8. This without being able to explain how man really can have a free will to influence his future and his destination, when it is very clear from the Quran that the reason for f. ex. why some - even non-Muslims - have a good life with riches and power, whereas you live in poverty and distress, is that Allah wills it so?
9. This without being able to explain how man really can have a free will to influence his future and his destination, when the Quran many places makes it 110% clear that Allah even before you were born (5 months before), has decided the time of your death no matter what you do or how you live, or that "every matter has its appointed time" - a statement that by the way today is most easy to prove untrue by means of statistics (which by the way may be an extra reason why Islam today is back-pedalling so strongly when it comes to predestination, in spite of the fact that Muhammad put more stress on predestination in Medina than in Mecca, and thus made it more central in his teachings).
10. An easy way to see that predestination by Allah and free will for man is impossible to combine, is that a man with free will always can change his mind once more, and then everything Allah "knew" and predestined

before, become wrong. Also predestination by Allah combined with claimed free will for man is a version of "the Time Travel Paradox" - a paradox which is proved unsolveable.

11. Also Islam admits it is impossible to explain how these two claims - predestination by Allah and free will (even "limited free will" which some Muslims talk about) for man - are possible to combine. The only and very lame explanation they have is: "But all the same it must be true, because Allah tells so in the Quran". The untimate surrender of your brain and your intelligence to blind belief in the not proven words of a morally very doubtful man.

Islam is not doing a very good job at explaining these problems away - partly because much of it simply is not possible to explain this away to a thinking person. To believe the "explanations" you have to be pretty naïve and/or have very little knowledge and understanding - or you have to believe strongly in 33/36: "It is not fitting for a Believer, man or woman, when a matter has been decided by Allah or His Messenger (or Muhammad's successors*) to have any option about their decision - - -".

We only quote some of the statements about predestination. This chapter, therefore, will not prove Muhammad's - or Allah's - evolution at this point. But it is a well known fact within relevant reliable science that Muhammad "evolved" towards more predestination late in his life (it is a pity that much of what Islam call Islamic science, is not honest, reliable science, but only trying to find ways to make the Quran and Islam fit known and scientific facts - or visa versa - included explaining away even obvious mistakes, just like some dark fundamentalists and fanatics do in many religions. It is better to cling to what you want to believe, than to find out if it is true or not - - - even though if you do not find out that you are wrong until in a possible next life, you will end up in the hell of the true religion (if such one exists)).

Year 622 AD Medina:

001 2/7: "Allah hath set seal on their (non-Muslims*) hearts and on their hearing, and on their eyes a veil; great is the penalty they (incur)." Do they really incur it when it is according to Allah's plan (see 6/107 above)? - And when Allah destroys their possibilities for seeing that they are wrong (if they are wrong)? What kind of god is this?

002 2/26: "- - - He (Allah*) causes many to stray - - -." The main point here is that it is Allah who causes it.

Year 625 AD:

003 3/26: "Thou (Allah*) givest Power to whom Thou pleasest, and Thou strippest off Power whom Thou pleases: Thou enduest with honour whom Thou pleases, and thou bringest low whom Thou pleases: in thy hand is all Good. Verily over all things Thou hast power." Accept

your leaders and your position, because it is all decided by Allah - Allah has the power and makes all decisions.

004 3/54: ”- - - and Allah too plotted and planned, and the best of planners is Allah.” It in reality is Allah that decides everything – “the best of planners”. (This verse in addition is one of the verses the Muslim phenomenon al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) is based on – when Allah can “plot and plan” (indicating using dishonest means) of course his followers can do the same as long as it is not forbidden – which it is not).

005 3/145: “Nor can a soul die except by Allah’s leave, the term being fixed as by writing”. Wage war - you die when your term comes and not before, no matter what you are doing. There is an interesting short statement more here: “- as by writing”:

In the old times when most people did not know how to read and write, written text was looked upon with respect - that something was written for many naïve and uneducated poor souls meant it was a proof for that it was true. Just think about a priest starting to read from the Bible in a church; in many countries he starts with something like “It is written” - which to his audience in the old times simply meant: It is sure to be the truth. This may be an explanation why Muhammad and the Quran so often stress that his teaching is from a book or that it is written (today we know it means nothing whether something is written or not - there are as many fairy tales and as many lies in written as in spoken words).

006 3/154: “Even if you (man able to take part in battle*) had remained in your homes, those for whom death was decreed would certainly have gone forth to their death”. To do battle is not more dangerous than to stay at home - believe it whoever who is naïve or stupid enough to believe it. It is easy today to prove it wrong by means of statistics - if you need any other proofs than your intelligence.

Year 626 AD:

007 4/78: “Wherever ye are, death will find you out, even if ye are in towers built up strong and high”. Whenever you meet a Muslim saying the Quran do not really speak about predestination, you are speaking to a dishonest Muslim - or a naïve one not knowing what he is speaking about.

008 4/88: “For those whom Allah hath thrown out of the way, never shalt thou find the way.” When Allah has decided – and he decides – there is no way to have things your own way.

Year 621 AD:

009 6/2: “- - - and then (Allah*) decreed a stated term (for you).” From other places (Hadiths) we know that your “stated term” (= how long you are to live) is decided by Allah when the foetus is 4 months old = 5 months before you are born. Which means you can as well go to war for Muhammad and perhaps become rich by looting, because you will die at a predestined day no matter.

010 6/107: “If it was not Allah’s Plan, they (non-Muslims*) would not have taken false gods - - “. Then how - HOW - H O W!! - can an omniscient and omnipotent god presumed to be a good and benevolent god, damn humans to Hell for taking other gods, when they did so because of his own plans???

011 6/111: “- - - they (non-Muslims*) are not the ones to believe, unless it is Allah’s Plan”. This is even worse than 6/107 just above - Allah simply has made it impossible for non-Muslims to become Muslims, if it does not fit him. But still he condemns them to Hell!!!

No comment is even possible to explain our point of view or distaste.

012 6/128: “- - - we (humans*) reached our term (predestined day of death*), which Thou (Allah) didst appoint for us.” See 6/2 above.

013 6/134: “All that hath been promised unto you (for better or worse*) will come to pass: nor can you frustrate it (in the least bit)”: Everything Allah has predestined for you, will happen.

Year 621 AD:

014 7/34: “To every people is a term appointed; when their term is reached, not an hour can they cause delay, nor (an hour) can they advance (it in anticipation).” Allah has decided. But it is difficult to understand terrorists: According to this, all those thousands of innocent people had died in the Twin Towers in New York because their time was out, even if the terrorists had stayed at home. Or what? Is something more wrong in the Quran?

015 7/89: “- - - nor could we (humans*) by any manner or means return thereto (the right way*), unless it be as in the will and plan of Allah - - -” You cannot find back to the road to heaven, unless it is predestined by Allah. But Allah punishes you for not doing so!!

Year 631 AD:

016 9/51: “Nothing can happen to us except what Allah has decreed for us - - -”. Well, Islam tells it is the free will of man that brings on bad incidents and life. This verse contradicts that, to say the least of it. So just go safely to war.

Year 631 AD:

019 9/77: “- - -He (Allah*) hath put as a consequence hypocrisy into their hearts, (to last) till the Day (of Doom*)”. As you see also the hypocrites have no choice - they actually are made hypocrites by Allah. But the good god is going to punish them severely.

Year 621 AD:

020 10/49: “To every people is a term (a date of ending*) appointed: when their term is reached, not an hour can they cause delay, nor (an hour) can they advance (it in anticipation)”. No matter what humans do, Allah is it who decides.

021 10/64: “- - - in the life of the Present and in the Hereafter; no change can there be in the words of Allah”. What Allah decides is final. And many things he decides early – f. ex. the day of your death and whether you will end in hell or heaven is (according to other places in the Quran) decided 5 months before you are born.

Year unknown:

022 13/42: “- - - but in all things the master planning is Allah’s.” Allah is the one that decides in reality.

Year 621 AD:

023 15/4: “Never did We (Allah*) destroy a population that had not a term decreed and assigned beforehand”. Allah has everything predestined.

024 15/5: “Neither can a people anticipate its term (date when its luck or life runs out*), nor delay it”. No matter what people do, they cannot influence their destiny.

Year 622 AD:

025 16/71: “Allah has bestowed His gift of sustenance more freely on some of you than on others - - -“. Whether you are rich or poor depends on Allah’s decision.

Year 622 AD:

026 18/58: “- - - but they have their appointed time, beyond which they will find no refuge.” Allah has decided when their end will come.

027 18/59: “- - - We (Allah*) fixed an appointed time for their destruction”. Humans may do this or that, but Allah decides.

Year 614-616 AD:

028 19/84: “So make no haste against them (non-Muslims*), for We (Allah*) but count out to them a (limited) number (of days).” Allah has counted the days also of the non-Muslims - and at the same time Allah (?) here gives a seemingly logical “explanation” for the unfair and immoral fact that non-Muslim often live a better life than Muslims: Allah in his unfathomable wisdom has decided it like that, but do not envy them or feel anger - they will be punished (the punishment is never proven, though).

029 19/84: “- - - We (Allah*) but count out to them a (limited) number (of days)”. What Allah has predestined is what counts.

Year 614-615 AD:

030 20/129: “Had it not been for a Word that went forth before from thy (Muslims‘*) Lord (Allah*), (their punishment) must necessarily have come; but there is a Term appointed (for respite)”. Allah has decided the time, and will not change it - may be to give the culprits a chance to become Muslims, but other places the Quran tells Allah makes it hard or impossible for some non-Muslims to find the way to Allah.

Year 616 AD approximately:

031 22/76: “- - - to Allah go back all questions (for decision).” It is Allah who decides everything - how can he then judge non-Muslims and bad Muslims to Hell for having to do what they believed they decided themselves, but which in reality were Allah’s decisions?

Year ca. 621 – 622 AD:

032 23/43: "No people can hasten their term (time of ending*) nor can they delay it". No matter what they do and how much free will they use – they can do nothing to change Allah's predestination. And is it then free will?

Year not earlier than 621 AD:

033 28/68: "Thy (Muslims'*) Lord (Allah*) does create and choose as he pleases: no choice have they (non-Muslims*) (in the matter)". No matter what you do, you can do nothing to change the destination Allah has predestined.

Year ca. 621 – 624 AD:

034 29/5: "- - -for the Term (appointed) by Allah is surely coming - - -." Allah decides.

035 29/21: "Not on earth nor in heaven will ye be able (fleeing) to frustrate (His Plan) - - -". No-one can change what Allah has destined.

036 29/53: "- - - had it not been for a term (of respite), the Punishment would certainly have come to them - - -." The predestination decides everything.

Year 614 – 615 AD:

037 31/29: "- - - each (the sun and the moon*) running its course for a term appointed - - -". Even the sun and the moon have their "term appointed" by Allah.

Year 625-629 AD (095):

038 33/16: "Running away will not profit you (Muslim warriors*), if ye are running away from death or slaughter, and even if (ye do escape), no more than a brief (respite) will ye be allowed to enjoy". Well, this proves two things: It is not possible to escape predestination - no matter what Islam tries to tell you today - and that in spite of the Quran, you at least can change your destination with "a brief respite". A respite that at least has to be for some hours or days - if not there had been newly-dead frightened warriors laying around the nearest tens and more kilometres from some battlefields - dead for no obvious reasons after fleeing from battle.

This in addition to that modern statistical science long since has proved this verse nonsense.

But Muhammad got many and terrific, but naïve warriors.

039 33/16: "Running away will not profit you (humans/Muslims*), if ye are running away from death and slaughter; and even if (ye do escape), no more than a brief (respite) will ye be allowed to enjoy." This is one of the really clear declarations: Go to war for Muhammad (and his successors), because you will not die until your time is up anyhow – and when it is up, you will die no matter.

Year 620 AD?:

038 34/30: "The appointment to you is for a Day, which ye cannot put back for an hour nor put forward". Whatever you do you cannot change the hour of your death, that Allah has decided. Not even by leaving Mecca at Hajj if you are a sheep. The same for a man in a battle.

Year 614-615 AD:

039 35/11: "Nor is a man long-lived granted length of days, nor is a part cut off from his life, but is in a Decree (ordained)." Allah has decided how long you are to live.

Year 614 – 615 AD:

040 35/45: "- - - when their term expires - - -". Allah has set terms of time.

Year 615 -617 AD:

041 39/42: "- - - those on whom He (Allah*) has passed the decree of death - - -." Allah decides.

Year 616-618 AD:

042 40/67: "(Allah*) lets you (living beings*) reach a Term appointed - - -". Allah lets any living being reach the time for dying he has decided. Though if you are a sheep and are brought to Mecca before Hajj, it is easy to prove by means of statistics that you had had a much better chance to be alive after Hajj if you had stayed at home. And the same for a man in a battle.

Year 616-618 AD:

043 42/30: "Whatever misfortune happens to you, is because of things your hands have wrought - - -". Please compare this to some of the other verses quoted in this chapter and tell me: Is there honesty in that kind of Muslim argumentation? Because the Quran clearly tells some places that Allah decides everything, and that you f. ex. cannot change your destiny by staying away from battle.

Or is the main thing to cling to what they want to believe? - and rather not find out if they are wrong until in the possible next world, when it may be too late not to end up in some real religion's Hell?

Year ca. 620 AD:

044 46/3: "We (Allah*) created not the heavens and the earth and all between them but for just ends, and for a term appointed - - -." The "terms appointed" are predestined by Allah.

Year 614? AD:

043 54/3: "- - - every matter has its appointed time." Allah has appointed a time for each and every thing to happen.

Year 630-632 AD:

044 57/22: “No misfortune can happen on earth or in your souls but is recorded in a decree before We (Allah*) bring it into existence: that is truly easy for Allah:” See 4/78 just above, Plus: How do Islam explain why Allah makes natural disasters - like the tsunami of 2004? And how do they explain why non-Muslims are condemned to Hell, when “no misfortune in your souls” f. ex. not becoming Muslims, can happen except by the will of Allah? A benevolent god?

Year unknown:

044 64/11: “No kind of calamity can occur, except by leave of Allah - -”. See 57/22 above.

POST SCRIPTURE TO CHAPTER IX/1.

When it comes to verses about human destiny, you will see that they start coming in earnest in 621 - 622 AD, at the same time Muhammad started to have really serious troubles in Mecca and needed to pep up his followers, and if possible frighten the opposition a little. You also will see that the relevant verses grow darker and more directly aiming at recruiting and pepping up warriors.

And to say that not at least parts of what the Quran tell about predestination, is not really real predestination, is not even rubbish - it is downright dishonesty.

Also there is another book that is relevant to mention in this connection: Muhammad Ibn Ishaq's “The Life of Muhammad” (also called “Life of the Prophet” or “Life of the Prophet Muhammad”) from ca. 750 AD, and the most central Islamic biography about Muhammad from the old times. This book among other points clearly shows that Muhammad very often got (?) verses when he was in a position where he needed back-up to solve problems or to get the moral upper hand (seldom before the situations did arise). This obviously shows that the need arises at unpredictable times as changes in life makes it necessary, if man has free will and are able to make different decisions, something that makes the future unpredictable and distant future totally unpredictable. How is it then possible that these verses are written - or not written - aeons ago like Islam says? That ONLY is possible if predestination is absolute. (Islam has not been able to explain away this fact - though Muslims try.)

Predestination is a fact in Islam - even if it tells a lot of dark things about Allah or Muhammad. And even if it today is easy to prove statistically that it is plainly wrong, to use polite words.

But how is it possible to combine the "fact" that Allah desides everything and knows all the futur with the claimed free will for man?

It simply is not possible:

1. If Allah desides everything - like the Quran frequently tells - then per definition man has no free will.
2. Even if Allah should deside not to deside everything, but only in his omniscience is fully clairvoyant and knows all the future - like Islam and the Quran claims he does - that

means that man has no free will from the moment Allah says: Now I know that piece of future. If man has free will, that means he can change his mind - - - and then what Allah knows is wrong, which is not possible as Allah knows everything and always is right. And Allah makes desitions pretty early: Your death was desided when you were a 4 month old foetus - and Muhammad's quarrels with his wives were desided millions of years age - - if not they could not appear in the Mother Book in Heaven and hence in the Quran.

3. If on the other hand man has free will, Allah cannot know the future, simply because man can change his mind - and he always can change his mind once more.
4. Free will for man versus Allah knows all the future simply is a version of the time travel paradox, which is proved unsolveable. (The king was shot. A space ship near Sirius was informed via FTL radio (FTL = faster than light = moving backwards in time). They at once sent a FTL warning to the king - and as FTL moves backwards in time, the king got the warning before he was shot, and evaded the assassin. And as the king was not shot, no FTL message was sent to the space ship - and the ship did not warn the king, who was shot, and a FTL message was sent to the ship - - -.)

Islam has given in to try to explain this impossible paradox except to their more naive or uneducated followers. To their more intelligent questioners they only have this answer - freely after "The Message of the Quran": "It is not possible to understand how both claims can be true, but as Allah has told it in the Quran, it must be true all the same".

No comments. And no comments should be necessary. The ultimate suppression of intelligence by blind belief and by demands for being blind in your belief.

But all the same predestination was and is an essential part of Islam.

PART IX, CHAPTER 2 (= IX-2-0-0)

SOME SPECIAL - VERY SPECIAL - ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

IS THERE FREE WILL OR NOT FOR MAN IN ISLAM ACCORDING TO THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD AND ALLAH?

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Free will for man is an axiom in the Quran. It is a necessity for to be able to justify any punishment for bad deeds and "disobedience" against the god. It is very unfair to punish someone for what others have forced her or him to do – not to mention how unfair it is for a god first to force someone to do something bad, and then give strict punishments for it afterwards. It in case is a far from completely free will, as the book many places makes it very clear that in reality Allah decides everything, and that nothing happens that is not according to Allah's plan. Many within Islam – f. ex. A.Yusuf Ali in "The Meaning of the Holy Quran" – admit that it only is a limited free will. And actually even that is impossible if "everything happens according to Allah's plans".

But all the same it collides with two basic "facts" in the Quran:

1. The already mentioned fact that according to the Quran Allah decides absolutely everything – a statement that is repeated many times and in many ways in the book (see the chapter about predestination - chapter IX/5). But: That Allah punishes for sins he himself has forced someone to commit, is so unfair that it cannot be accepted that a claimed benevolent god does such things, and consequently the Quran states again and again that the punishments are because of sins the person himself or herself has decided – though without ever explaining how it is possible to combine the "fact" that Allah decides everything and that everything happens according to Allah's plan, with the claim that humans can make decisions themselves. It only is stated that it is like that – and the believers accept the statement on face value. Mysticism often is part of the reality for religious people. And also the wish to believe often makes one drop questions about the illogical and the unexplainable - and even the impossible. Also see the comment to 6/141 in "the Message of the Quran - there one admits that the combination is impossible, but adds there as Allah has said

so in the Quran, it all the same has got to be true. (This is not even blind faith - it is unbelievable blind naivety). The comment is quoted further down.

2. The other fact is predestination.

Predestination is a difficult question for modern Muslims – partly for the same reasons as in point 1 – how to justify praise and punishment for deeds that in reality are Allah who has decided? – and partly because today it is easy to prove that at least some aspects of predestination simply are not true. (To mention the most well-known side of Islamic predestination: “You may as well go to war and do battle, because Allah has long since decided the time of your death, and you will die at that time either you are in a battle or in your bed.” It today is ever so easy by means of statistical analyzes to prove that this is not true – not to use stronger expressions.)

Because of these two problems you today meet Muslims saying that predestination in the Quran is not real predestination – but we have never met anyone able to explain what it then is (normally they do not even try to explain what it “really” is). And both the Quran and Hadiths are at places most clear on the point: Allah not only decides everything, but much he decides long time before it happens. F. ex. the Quran (or actually the Mother Book in Heaven which it is a copy of) according to the book was made aeons ago, and all the same all the details, included quarrels with his wives, Aishah’s outing with a young man, warriors disagreements with his way of sharing the booty, etc., all are exactly like it later happened to Muhammad - - - which in case means that Muhammad did have no free will, because if he changed his mind ever so little, the book was not right any more.

And here we are at the main problem for the predestination and for the free will for man in Islam. Both are essential parts of the religion, but it is impossible to combine these two claims. They simply are mutually exclusive. Either man has free will, or Allah predestines – both are not possible.

Either Allah is omniscient included clairvoyant, and at a certain point of time says: “Now I know the future of this person” – and after that the person is without free will, because if he decides anything new, Allah’s knowledge about the future is wrong.

Or man has free will and can make decisions. But then clairvoyance is impossible for Allah, as the person always can change his mind once more.

As mentioned another place in this book predestination contra free will in the Quran actually is a variety of the time travel paradox = a situation where an act in the future influences the past in a way that influences its own initiation or existence – a paradox that is long since proved insolvable.

(The time travel paradox actually runs like this: The king is killed. Someone uses a tachyon radio to tell the crew of a space ship near Sirius about it. Tachyons are (theoretical, never found) particles with negative mass (do not mix it with anti matter), and thus they travel faster than light (FTL) and consequently backwards in time (as said, tachyon is a particle that is never found (but is theoretically possible according to Einstein's equations)) . The captain hurries to warn the king via the ship's own tachyon radio – and as tachyons as mention go backwards in time, the message reaches the king before he is killed. Therefore he evades the plot and is not killed - - - and no message is sent to the ship, and the king is not warned and is killed – and a message is sent to the ship - - -. And so on ad infinitum.)

Even Islam admits that the combination of predestination and free will for man, is impossible to understand or explain. We quote “The Message of the Quran”, comment 141 to chapter 6 in the Swedish edition (143 in the 2008 English one): “In other words, the relationship between Allah’s knowledge of the future (and, therefore, the ineluctability of what is to happen in the future) on the one side, and man’s free will on the other – two propositions which, on the face of it, seems to contradict one another – is beyond man’s comprehension; but since both are postulated by Allah (in the Quran only*), both must be true.” Simply the ultimate defeat for Islamic logic, and the ultimate victory for mysticism and for the demand for blindness in belief.

And the quote continues: “The very concept of “Allah” presupposes His omniscience; and the very concept of morality and moral responsibility presupposes free will on man’s part.” This is one reason why it is impossible for Islam to leave this contradiction - without free will for man, it is immoral to punish him for misdeeds, but on the other hand: What is a monotheistic god unless he is omniscient and omnipotent? What then does it tell about the religion that at least one of these claims is wrong?

Because of the destroying answer to this question, the two claims all the same must be combined to save the moral of the god and the religion, according to Islam.

But how is it possible to combine the "fact" that Allah desides everything and knows all the futur with the claimed free will for man?

It simply is not possible:

1. If Allah desides everything - like the Quran frequently tells - then per definition man has no free will.
2. Even if Allah should deside not to deside everything, but only in his omniscience is fully clairvoyant and knows all the future - like Islam and the Quran claims he does - that means that man has no free will from the moment Allah says: Now I know that piece of future. If man has free will, that means he can change his mind - - - and then what Allah knows is wrong, which is not possible as Allah knows everything and always is right. And Allah makes desitions pretty early: Your death and your going to Hell or Heaven were

desided when you were a 4 month old foetus - and Muhammad's quarrels with his wives were desided millions of years age - - if not they could not appear in the Mother Book in Heaven and hence in the Quran.

3. If on the other hand man has free will, Allah cannot know the future, simply because man can change his mind - and he always can change his mind once more.
4. Free will for man versus Allah knows all the future simply is a version of the time travel paradox, which as told above is proved unsolveable.

Islam has given in to try to explain this impossible paradox except to their more naive or uneducated followers, who simply are told that Allah all the same always are able to see what you plan to do. To their more intelligent questioners they only have the above mentioned dogmatic answer - freely after "The Message of the Quran": "It is not possible to understand how both claims can be true, but as Allah has told it in the Quran, it must be true all the same".

No more comments. And no more comments should be necessary. It is the ultimate suppression of intelligence by blind belief and by demands for being blind in your belief.

But predestination was and is an essential part of Islam.

PART IX, CHAPTER 3 (= IX-3-0-0)

SOME SPECIAL - VERY SPECIAL - ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

ETHICS AND MORAL IN THE QURAN AND ISLAM AS TOLD BY MUHAMMAD OR ALLAH.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Islam has no philosophy about ethics or about moral. They only have the not always ethical or very moral words and deeds of Muhammad - cfr. the woman that is severely punished for imorality because she is raped, but is judged guilty because she is unable to produce 4 male witnesses to the very rape.

(Not ready yet – this chapter will be finished not later than 2010).

**SOME SPECIAL - VERY SPECIAL - ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN
THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM,
AND ALLAH**

**“AL-TAQIYYA” (THE LAWFUL LIE)
AND “KITMAN” (THE LAWFUL HALF-
TRUTH) IN THE QURAN – MORAL AND
ETHICAL RULES YOU ONLY - ONLY -
FIND IN ISLAM. AS TOLD BY
MUHAMMAD OR ALLAH.**

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) and Kitman (the lawful half-truth) is something that is special for Islam. You find this kind of accepted dishonesty in no other of the major religions – and in few of the minor ones.

Actually al-Taqiyya and Kitman are not explicitly introduced in the Quran. It is based on conclusions Islamic scholars have made from things said and done about (dishonest) planning or cheating, honesty, breaking of oaths, etc. in the book and in Hadiths. See the verses below.

The Quran and Islam tell that in principle you should be honest. But in many cases where dishonesty will give a better result, you are permitted to lie and even to make false oaths or to break oaths you have made. In some cases Allah will say it is ok, and in other cases he will say; “ok – if you pay me some money or give me a gift for expiation afterwards”. And then in some cases it is not only permitted, but obligatory to use it if necessary: To defend or promote Islam.

Al-Taqiyya and Kitman can be used at least in these cases:

1. To save yourself or others from danger.
2. To get out of a tight spot.
3. To make peace in a family.
4. When it will give a better result than honesty or honouring one's oath.
5. To cheat women (should be remembered by girls with Muslim boyfriends wanting sex - or wanting a marriage to get residence permit in a rich country.)
6. To deceive opponents/enemies.

7. To betray enemies.
8. To secure one's money (very clear from Hadiths).
9. To defend Islam. (Compulsary if necessary to succeed.)
10. To promote Islam. (Compulsary if necessary to succeed.)

But al-Taqiyya is a double-edged sword: In the short run you may cheat and deceive some ones – actually also in the long run if the opposite part does not know about this side of Muslims and of Islam, or if he/she is naïve.

But the serious side effect is that people quickly learn that one can never know for sure when to believe a Muslim in serious questions: Is he honest or is he using al-Taqiyya?

And there is another side effect: Muslims have difficulties being believed even when they are telling the full truth – for very good reasons the opposite parts are reluctant to fully believe them, as may be they tell the truth or may be they just are practising al-Taqiyya or Kitman.

And both these side effects – that really over time may be the main effects – are made worse because Muslims have no way of strengthening their words by swearing, as oaths from Muslims are without any value, because they are permitted false oaths and to break ones oaths – it is no sin to do so if you have a reason, and especially not if you give Allah a gift for expiation afterwards.

001 2/225: “Allah will not call you to account for thoughtlessness in your oaths, but for the intention in your heart”. If you swear an oath without thinking it over - or not enough over – you are not bound by it. But how are other people to know if the oath you have made is binding for you or not - or if you will break it?

002 4/142: “The hypocrites – they think they are overreaching Allah, but he will overreach them - - -.” This is one of Islam's alibis for Al-Taqiyya and Kitman: When Allah could cheat, then of course his followers can do the same.

003 5/89: “Allah will not call you to account for where is futile in your oaths, but He will call you to account for your deliberate oaths: (if you break such one*) for expiation, feed 10 indigent persons, on a scale of the average for the food of your families: or clothe them, or give a slave his freedom. If that is beyond your means, fast for three days. That is the expiation for the (breaking of*) oaths ye have sworn. But keep to your oaths.” In principle: Keep your oaths. But if you break them, not much is lost, as it is just to pay expiation, and everything is ok. And if the oath was made without thinking things over, you are not even bound to it or bound to pay expiation.

004 8/30: “They (non-Muslims*) plot and plan, and Allah too plans; but the best of planners is Allah”. When Allah can make devious and cheating plans, of course his followers also can. This is may be the main alibi for the institution of al-Taqiyya and its brother Kitman.

005 10/21: “- - - they (people*) take to plotting against our (Allah's*) Signs! Say: ‘Swifter to plan is Allah!’” This is another of the main alibis for al-Taqiyya and Kitman: When Allah can use devious means like indicated here, of course any Muslim can.

006 27/50: “They (non-Muslims*) plotted and planned, but We (Allah*) too planned - - -.” As said above: When Allah can deceive, any good Muslim of course can do the same.

007 38/44: “And take in thy hand a little grass and strike therewith, and break no thy oath.” Job had sworn to give his wife 100 whiplashes because she did not believe strongly enough (“no compulsion in religion”?). But then he regretted his oath, and instead struck her lightly once with 100 straws of grass – then he had kept his oath! To circumvent an oath or a promise or to only pretend keeping them, is ok - a small example of Kitman.

Al-Taqiyya and Kitman make a problem for every non-Muslim: Is it possible any time at all to know when a Muslim speaks the truth in a serious question? - when he/she is using Al-Taqiyya or Kitman to cheat you?

But it also is a problem for Muslims: How to make people believe you even when you are telling the full truth, when they know about the lawful lie and the lawful half-truth (al-Taqiyya and Kitman)? And how to strengthen your word when even oaths are not reliable?

A PS: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. Is all an al-Taqiyya?

PART IX, CHAPTER 5 (= IX-5-0-0)

SOME SPECIAL - VERY SPECIAL - ASPECTS OF ISLAM AS TOLD IN THE QURAN - THE HOLY BOOK OF MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

PERSEVERE - AN ESSENTIAL WORD NON-MUSLIMS SHOULD NEVER FORGET.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

(Persevere. Not ready yet – will be added not later than 2010).

PART X, CHAPTER 1 (= X-1-0-0)

DEBATING ISLAM - TOO OFTEN INSINCERE OR UNTRUE ARGUMENTS, AS MUSLIMS GO FOR WINNING THE DEBATE, NOT

FOR FINDING THE TRUTH - - - AND AL-TAQIYYA IS PERMITTED. A TRUE RELIGION CAN LIVE ON TRUE FACTS AND INFORMATION, ISLAM CANNOT - MUHAMMAD AND ALLAH, MUSLIMS AND ISLAM "STAY ALIVE" AND ISLAM EXPANDS PARTLY ON DISHONEST AND INSINCERE ARGUMENTS AND CLAIMS, PLUS VIOLENCE

INSINCERE AND/OR DISHONEST DEBATE IN THE QURAN. – MORAL AND ETHICAL RULES YOU ONLY - ONLY - FIND IN ISLAM. AS TOLD BY MUHAMMAD OR ALLAH.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

We already have pointed to some insincere ways of arguing in the Quran - f. wx. Al-Taqiyya and Kitman (see Chapter IX-4). This covers much of the dishonesty you find in the Quran - like all the claims and "signs" and "proofs" built on nothing, or all the claims and statements built on invalid or even wrong information or "facts". But there is at least one more:

Politicians and others have a technique of debating that they enjoy to use and disuse: To tell you what the opponents mean or think or wants or fears - without checking if what they say are true, only that it fits what the esteemed orator wants to say further and what he wants you to believe about the not esteemed opponent.

The Quran use this technique quite a lot. We will return to these subjects, but in the meantime it is easy to find in the book: What the “infidels” think and plot and plan and want, and how afraid and dishonest and cowardly they are and think and feel.

Sometimes it may be right, sometimes it definitely is wrong.

But always it “happens” to paint a bad picture of the “infidels” and a nice picture of Muslims. Good psychology for a leader of a group. But it is dishonesty.

If we remember correctly from our textbooks about “the art of speaking”, this technique - to tell that the opponent has low brained or bad thoughts he has not aired or expressed - is named “Insincerity or dishonesty of the 3. degree”. This chapter will be finished not later 2010 - if things go according to plan.

PART X, CHAPTER 2 (= X-2-0-0)

**DEBATING ISLAM - TOO OFTEN INSINCERE OR UNTRUE
ARGUMENTS, AS MUSLIMS GO FOR WINNING THE DEBATE, NOT
FOR FINDING THE TRUTH - - - AND AL-TAQIYYA IS PERMITTED**

INSINCERE AND/OR DISHONEST DEBATE IN CENTRAL ISLAMIC LITERATURE.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases

PART X, CHAPTER 3 (= X-3-0-0)

**DEBATING ISLAM - TOO OFTEN INSINCERE OR UNTRUE
ARGUMENTS, AS MUSLIMS GO FOR WINNING THE DEBATE, NOT
FOR FINDING THE TRUTH - - - AND AL-TAQIYYA IS PERMITTED. A
TRUE RELIGION CAN LIVE ON TRUE FACTS AND INFORMATION,
ISLAM CANNOT - MUHAMMAD AND ALLAH, MUSLIMS AND ISLAM
"STAY ALIVE" AND ISLAM EXPANDS PARTLY ON DISHONEST AND
INSINCERE ARGUMENTS AND CLAIMS**

INSINCERE MUSLIMS IN DEBATES ABOUT THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Not ready yet – more will be added not later than 2010.

Muslims are not always bent on fair play in debates - the main thing is to win the discussion, not how they wins it or what is right or wrong. We have a private small theory saying that may be it is the tradition for al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie) and Kitman (the lawful half-truth) at work - and not only tradition; when it comes to defending or forwarding Islam, it is a duty to use it if it is necessary.

Even if we do not have this chapter ready for Internet yet, we will mention one recent exemple:

This happened to one of our out contacts on Internet (and we checked that it really was true) - on the pages for debate on the Internet edition of one of the two largest newspapers in Norway, Aftenposten. (www.aftenposten.no).

A rather active person in the debates about Islam and about Muslims was an eager Muslim calling himself Kartal.

Now Kartal was not of the brightest and he was not one of the best educated ones. His arguments mostly did not meet success.

But he was clever with computers - and he found a way: He simply falsified "letters" pretending to be sent in by opponents that were too clever for him. If you are clever with computers, you can do a number of things on Internet.

In the "letters" - addressed to Kartal - the "opponents" admitted this and that, and sometimes really bad things like sex with small boys, etc. - - - and Kartal got good points against them.

One example: Alleged "letter" from the strong opponent XXX: "I admit that we Christians love to have sex with small boys". And the good and benevolent Kartal answered: "That is good XXX. Admittance is the first step".

.

One thing is that no sentient being admits things like this at a place where the police easily can trace you - and that tendencies to pedophilia are more or less equal for all the world - give or take a little for cultural, religious, etc. influence (remember all the child slaves that were sent to Muslim areas - and few to the Americas). But in the short sentence he claimed XXX had sent, he - who was an immigrant - made no less than 4 grammatical errors (whereas XXX always wrote more or less perfect). And in addition search at the debate page among other things showed that XXX never in all his hundreds of letters to that debate forum had used the word "smaagutter" (= small boys).

As said: Kartal had ideas, but not the necessary knowledge and intelligence.

But naturally people react to this kind of dishonesty. (But he was defending Islam, and then this kind of lies are laudable for Muslims - see the rules for al-Taqiyya).

But as mentioned Kartal was neither of the sharpest, nor well educated. He had ideas, but not the intelligence and knowledge to make the "letters" believable. He was quickly seen through.

All the same it is a small, but good everyday example of to what extremes and to what low levels some - we stress some - Muslims go to "kill" the ones that oppose against Islam.

And: KARTAL LIVED UP TO THE IDEALS AND THE ORDERS OF AL-TAQIYYA.

A final small point: In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.

THIS CHAPTER WILL BE FINISHED NOT LATER THAN 2010.

PART X, CHAPTER 3 (= X-3-0-0)

DEBATING ISLAM - TOO OFTEN INSINCERE OR UNTRUE ARGUMENTS, AS MUSLIMS GO FOR WINNING THE DEBATE, NOT FOR FINDING THE TRUTH - - - AND AL-TAQIYYA IS PERMITTED. A TRUE RELIGION CAN LIVE ON TRUE FACTS AND INFORMATION, ISLAM CANNOT - MUHAMMAD AND ALLAH, MUSLIMS AND ISLAM "STAY ALIVE" AND ISLAM EXPANDS PARTLY ON DISHONEST AND INSINCERE ARGUMENTS AND CLAIMS

SOME WRONG ARGUMENTS FROM MUSLIMS ABOUT THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, ISLAM, AND ALLAH - AND THE ANSWERS

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

(Not finished yet - will be added not later than 2010 AD.)

In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

PART XI, CHAPTER 1 (= XI-1-0-0)

LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT

CA 570 - 610 AD: BEFORE MUHAMMAD BECAME A MUSLIM AND STARTED PREACHING ABOUT THE QURAN, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

This chapter is not ready yet - will be added not later than 2010.

In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

PART XI, CHAPTER 2 (= XI-2-0-0)

LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT

WHAT IS "TLE" (TEMPORAL LOBE EPILEPSY)? - PERHAPS MUHAMMAD'S ILLNESS ACCORDING TO BBC

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

According to BBC Two (17. April 2003) this illness explains religious experiences like what Muhammad is said to have had.

We quote:

"Controversial new (2003) research suggests that whether we believe in a god may not just be a matter of free will. Scientists now believe there may be physical differences in the brains of ardent believers.

Inspiration for this work has come from a group of patients who have a brain disorder called temporal lobe epilepsy (for short: TLE*). In a minority of patients, this condition induces bizarre religious hallucinations - - -."

Professor VS Ramachandran, of the University of California in San Diego, believed that the temporal lobes of the brain were key in religious experience.

What professor Ramachandran discovered to his surprise was that when the temporal lobe patients were shown any type of religious imagery, their bodies produced a dramatic change in their (electric*) skin resistance. - - - they get a huge galvanic skin response.

This was the very first piece of clinical evidence that the body's response to religious symbols was definitely linked to the temporal lobes in the brain.

What we suggest is that there are certain circuits within the temporal lobes which have been selectively activated in these patients and somehow the activity of these specific neural circuits makes them prone to religious belief.

You may be born with Temporal Lobe Epilepsy - TLE - or it may develop later, or it may be the result of an accident like a blow to your head.

They (the scientists*) believe what happens inside the minds of temporal lobe epilepsy patients may just be an extreme case of what goes on inside all our minds."

As for the first and may be the second alternative for how you get TLE, science (according to f. ex. New Scientist) later (2007 or 2008) have found that tendency to being religious often is connected to one or a few specific genes in the persons.

Science further have a theory that religious belief has a value for survival, and hence for the development and spreading of the relevant genes, simply by the fact that a common religion makes the members of a group more strongly connect, and this makes the group as a group stronger.

This is where science stands today.

But an extra theory that is relevant here, is that according to the same science, it is possible or likely that "famous religious persons in the past could also have suffered from the condition (TLE*)".

Muhammad is such a "famous religious person".

As for Muhammad, also the fits he often had in connection to some of his "sights" of "messengers", "make every medical professional think about epilepsy."

TLE plus Muhammad's wishes for power can have been the whole start of Islam.

PART XI, CHAPTER 3 (= XI-3-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

THE YEARS 610 - 622 AD: MUHAMMAD - THE PEACEFUL PREACHER FOR A PEACEFUL RELIGION

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Islam started as a peaceful religion. During all the 12 year – and nearly 90 surahs - in Mecca, there hardly was a word about hate, suppression of others, rape, stealing, or war.

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010 AD.

In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

PART XI, CHAPTER 4 (= XI-4-0-0)

LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT

THE YEARS 622 – 632 AD: THE INHUMAN PROPHET FOR BLOODY AND INHUMAN ISLAM AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Islam changed fast and very much – from peaceful to a war religion – in and shortly after 622 AD, after the flight from Mecca to Medina, and after Muhammad and his followers started to live from highway robbery and raids against villages, etc. This big and fast change and why Muhammad and/or Allah changed this eternal religion, Islam never talks about.

But one pertinent question already now: Was he really a prophet?

A prophet is a person who:

1. Has the gift of and/or divine connection to make him able to make prophesies.
2. His/her prophesies are at least mostly correct - if not it is a false peophet.
3. Makes so many and/or essential prophesies, that it clearly is part of his or her (normally his) mission.

Muhammad are outside all these 3 criteria - and as for making prophesies, he never even pretended or claimed to have that gift. Islam confirms this by saying that the only miracle that was connected to Muhammad, was the making of the Quran (prophesies are miracles - to see or know what has not happened).

Muhammad simply was no real prophet - he only "borrowed" that imposing title.

(Oh, we know there are other definitions of the word "prophet". Often it is used for any charismatic leader of a sect - which Islam was in the start. Or it is used for persons believed a god speaks through, but not necessarily prophesies. According to these weaker definitions Muhammad may have been a prophet - if Allah existed and if Muhammad really had connections to him. But according to the strict, 24 carat definition simply no: No he was no real prophet.)

To quote the large (29 volumes) American encyclopedia "Funk & Wangell's New Encyclopedia", volume 14, p.263. They start their article about Islam this way; "ISLAM, a major world religion, founded in Arabia, on the teachings of Muhammad, who is called the Prophet."

Muhammad "is called" prophet.

If Muhammad and Islam claims he was a prophet for the same god as the old Israeli prophets, there are at least two more criterias he does not fulfill. One of them is that the omniscient god of course tells the same facts and the same stories about the future all the time and through all his prophets - if not something has changed and he cannot be omniscient if he did not know the correct history from the start.

1. That means that if Muhammad was a prophet or messenger of Yahweh (the same god as Allah according to Islam), he had to represent the same religion as the earlier messengers or prophets like f. ex. Jesus, the religion of Yahweh - which he clearly does not do. There are oceans between the fundamentals in Jesus' teachings and the ones of Muhammad.
2. And it means that his words and behaviour had to be in accordance with the old prophets included Jesus and with their prophesies, which he very clearly does not do. In addition there is the old question:
3. How can he claim to be a prophet for Yahweh - or for Allah - when he in reality is no prophet? - he does not have the central minimum qualification to be a real prophet: The ability to make real prophesies. He not even claimed to or pretended to have that gift.

As for points 1 and 2: Sorry, Muslims, but science have shown so clearly that the Bible is not falsified, that this your favorite way out is not valid any more. Your never documented claim about falsification simply is not true. You have to find better explanations for those two points

than easy and never proved claims about falsification of the Bible. Educated people simply do not believe that fairy tale any more.

Finally: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam? And Muhammad then is no holy warrior - only the bloody and thieving robber baron and warlord seeking power, women and money - at least for bribes.

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010 AD.

PART XI, CHAPTER 5 (= XI-5-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

MUHAMMAD LYING IN THE QURAN, THE HOLY BOOK OF MUSLIMS, ISLAM AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Muhammad introduced al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie), Kitman (the lawful half-truth), and permission to break even oaths into his religion. Also one of his slogans was: War is betrayal.

There also are some places in the Quran where an intelligent man like Muhammad clearly knew he was lying - f. ex. when he tells his followers and others that the reason why Allah will not perform - or let Muhammad perform - any miracles, is that it would make nobody believe in Islam anyhow.

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010.

Actually: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no ptoof for Allah and impossible to ptove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah.

PART XI, CHAPTER 6 (= XI-6-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

**THE PAEDOPHILIC MUHAMMAD -
SELF-PROCLAIMED PROPHET FOR
ALLAH AND ISLAM**

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

The star argument here is Aishah, whom he married in 623 AD when she was 6 and he 53 (or perhaps 54 – some say he really was born in 569, not 570 AD). Sex with her started when she was 9 – this is very clear from what Islam says are reliable sources (f. ex. Al-Bukhari, Imam Muslim, Muhammad Ibn Ishaq). She was his favourite wife through all her childhood till he died in 632 AD when she was 15 years old. (You will meet Muslims claiming that sex with her did not start until she was 17 – which is rubbish, as by then Muhammad had been dead for 2 years - if the years are correc (and if not, they are not much weong)).

But there is a clear and reliable indication for that even though Muhammad liked his wives young – except for the first one they all were at least 20 years younger than him – and even though he clearly enjoyed the child Aishah, he was not really and passionately paedophilic. (The truth is the truth).

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010 AD.

PART XI, CHAPTER 7 (= XI-7-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

**THE WOMANIZER AND RAPIST
MUHAMMAD - SELF-PROCLAIMED
PROPHET OF THE QURAN, MUSLIMS,
ISLAM AND ALLAH**

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Muhammad personally raped at least 2 women – Raihana bint Amr in 627 AD and Safiyya bint Huayay in 628 AD. In both cases after he had had their families made slaves or murdered - included husbands murdered - (Safiyya was just 17 and newly-wed – Muhammad at nearly 60 quite likely was a nice experience for her). They both were prisoners of war and made slaves by Muhammad. It is not known if he raped more captive women or slaves, but the casual way his men reacted to what he did, may indicate something. Also hadiths may indicate things - like "we enjoyed to practise coitus interruptus" with female prisoners (= rape them, but "get out" before the semen "got in"), which tells miles about the Islamic moral of that time (and forever) - and the fact that Muhammad told them not to interrupt the rape, because it was for Allah to decide if there was going to be a child or not (from Al-Bukhari) - tells even more, also about Muhammad.

It is well known that he had something like a dozen wives (11 for sure). Less well known are his 2 concubines, his 16 short-time wives and the 7 that may or may not have been formally married to him. 36 all together that we know by names (beware that the names may be a bit differently spelled from one source to another – it often is like that when one translate (or transcribe) from one alphabet to another).

This list is partly from Dr. Homa Darabi Foundation (Dr. Roushangar).

<http://www.homa.org/Details.asp?ContentID=2137352816&TOCID=2083225414>).

Beware that different sources do not always agree exactly on when marriages took place. (When there are differences we follow Dr. Homa Darabi's information).

Muhammad's "long-time" wives:

1. Khadijah. Married in 595 AD. She was 40 (though it is possible she was younger – some Muslims claim so, and the fact that there were 5 or 6 children in the marriage, makes it possible that they here have a point, as having 5 or 6 children after 40 is a bit unusual). Muhammad was 25 (or may be 26 if he was born in 569 AD and not in 570 AD). It is said to have been a happy marriage, though there have been raised questions, based on at least 2 facts:
 1. Khadijah had 6 children during the marriage: 2 sons - Qasim (died as an infant, but from the name of his firstborn son Muhammad got the name - his kunja - abu Qasim = father of Qasim), Abdallah (= the same name as Muhammad's father - died as an infant, and we seldom meet him in Islamic literature), and 4 daughters - Fatimah (the only one of his children who survived him, and only by half a year- married to Ali, later the 4. caliph, and had 2 sons Hasan - died 670 AD - and Husayn - died 680 AD), Zaynab (died 629 AD), Ruqayyah (died 624 AD), and Umm Kulthum (died 630 AD). (Muhammad himself died 8. June 632 AD) But none of all his other women except one single one (the slave Marieh) had children with him, even if he was said to be very active sexually. One easy explanation that circulates, is that it could have been a marriage of convenience so that Khadijah had an alibi when "tending" another "connection" she for some reason had to keep secret – such things has happened many times through history, and often what happened was just this; that a rich woman married a poor man to get this alibi. If then Muhammad was sterile, this easily explains his later lack of children. The son Marieh bore, Ibrahim, may be a

result of Muhammad not being 100% sterile – or of one or more secret visits Marieh may have made somewhere.

2. Khadijah died in December 619 AD). Already 2-3 months later (February 620 AD) Muhammad remarried – rather quickly for a sorrow-stricken man. (You never find these two facts mentioned in glossy “official” papers about Muhammad, but brains are speculating and tongues are wagging).
2. Soodeh. As mentioned they married in 620 AD. She was 20 years younger than him. (He 50, she 30).
3. Aishah. His famous and infamous child bride. She was the daughter of his good friend and co-worker Abu Bakr (later the first caliph). The connection was established in 623 AD, when she was just 6 years old – and he 53 (or 54) – 47 (or 48) years older than her, though married life – sex – did not start until 3 years later, when she was 9. Many react against his marrying a child and having sex with a 9-year-old (the reason why many Muslims accept child marriage and sex from the girl is 9). But the really black spot is not that he had sex with a 9 year old girl. The really black spot is that he had a child as his favourite wife all through her childhood – she was just 15 when he died. (Some Muslims claim sex did not start until she was 17 – but by then Muhammad had been dead for 2 years if the times are correct).
4. Hafez. Married in 625 AD. She was 18, he 55 – 37 years her senior.
5. Omeh Salmeh in 626. She was 29, he 56 - age difference 27 years.
6. Zainab – also in 626 AD. She was 30 – age difference 26 years.
7. Jorieh – taken as war booty, and married him to free her tribe in 627 AD. She was 20, he 57 – 37 years difference.
8. Zainab – another one with that name. Married in 628 AD – she 38 years, he 58 = 20 years difference. She was married to his adopted son Zaid, but divorced Zaid to marry Muhammad. Even though Muslims do their best to smooth over the moral questions here, this is a black spot almost as bad as the paedophilic story with Aishah, partly because in Arabia it was reconed to be incest. Also the “explanation” that was given – that Muhammad married her to show that a man could marry the divorced wife of an adopted son - was very lame and little intelligent (a “revealed” verse had done just the same – and how urgent was a demonstration? – after all it is not often a man and his daughter-in-law wants to marry.)
9. . Ramleh – also in 628 AD, and also she 38 years old and 20 years his junior.
10. Safijja – once more in 628 AD. She had married just days before, but Muhammad attacked their home (Khaybar). Her family was killed or enslaved and husband Kinana tortured to death to force him to tell about money Muhammad believed they had, which Muhammad wanted to steal, and the same night he took her to his tent and raped her – the story does not say how many times, and while one of his men kept guard outside in order to help Muhammad if she resisted so much that it might become dangerous for him. He married her shortly after. Safijja was just 17, Muhammad as said nearly 60 – yes, most likely a nice experience for her - - - and a serious question mark to the Islamic statement that Muhammad was free from sin.
11. Meimoonah – the last one in 628 AD. She was 27 years – 31 years younger than him.

With the exception of the first time - the rich widow - he liked much younger wives – from 20 to 47 years younger than himself.

Muhammad’s concubines:

1. Marieh. An Egyptian black slave given as a gift to Muhammad from the king (?) of Egypt. She got the child Ibrahim, but he died about 1 year old. Marieh was Coptic Christian, and it is said the reason why she refused to marry him which he is said to have wanted, was that she refused to leave her religion and become Muslim.

2. Rayhana bint Amr. Like Safijja she was taken into slavery and raped in a similar way as Safijja (one year earlier than Safijja) – after her family was killed or enslaved as said above. (“bint” = “daughter of”). But unlike Safijja Raihana refused to marry him afterwards.

Muhammad’s short-time wives:

1. Asma bint Neman.
2. Ghotileh bint Ghaice
3. Malaeké bint Kaab.
4. Bent Jandeb bint Damareh.
5. Fatima bint Sahahk.
6. Omreh bint Yazid.
7. Ayleh bint Zobyan.
8. Saba bint Sofyan.
9. Nesha bint Rafieh.
10. Ghazieh bint Jaber.
11. Fatima bint Shoreh.
12. Sanaa bint Salim.
13. Alshanba bint Omar.
14. Kholeh bint Alhavhil.
15. Shargh bint Khalifeh.
16. Kolleh bint Hakim.

Women that may be or may be not were (formally) married to Muhammad:

1. Habibeh bint Sahl.
2. Laili bint Khatem.
3. Omeh bint Abi Taleb.
4. Dobeh bint Amir.
5. Safieh bint Beshameh.
6. Emareh or Emameh bint Hafzeh.
7. Omeh Habib bint Alabas.

These are the ones one knows by name. As said it is not known whether or not he raped more slaves or war prisoners or in other ways had other women.

Muhammad had special licence from Allah to have many women – something that is not unusual for prophets - or “prophets” - of new sects or religions. Some “prophets” do like sex and women.

There is another side with Muhammad's sex life that Muslims never discuss: Why did a man so fixated on sex - like too many self proclaimed “prophets” - have so few children?"

One theory is that he in reality was sterile.

Well, he had some children with his first wife. There are 2 possible explanations for this:

1. He for some reason became sterile later.
2. Or his marriage to Khadijah was a formality. There are lots of cases through history where rich women bought herself a husband as an alibi to be able to have “connections” with another interesting, but prohibited man. And a poor man was cheaper to buy than a rich

one - Muhammad at that time was a poor man. It also here should be remembered that the sexual moral was very much looser in Arabia before Islam - sex and alcohol were the "two delightful things". The idea of an arranged marriage to be able to have another, secret lover would be very far from impossible at that time.

He also had a son with his coloured slave Marieh - a son that died early. Also here two explanations are possible:

1. He was not 100% sterile, and once under very lucky conditions he succeeded.
 2. Or Marieh visited someone one or more dark night(s).
-

PART XI, CHAPTER 8 (= XI-8-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

THE THIEF/ROBBER, EXTORTER, ENSLAVER, AND SLAVE TRADER MUHAMMAD - SELF-PROCLAIMED PROPHET OF THE QURAN, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Muhammad and his followers lived from stealing/robbing, extortion, slave taking, etc. from shortly after they arrived in Medina in 622 AD.

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010 AD.

PART XI, CHAPTER 9 (= XI-9-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

THE INHUMAN MUHAMMAD - SELF- PROCLAIMED PROPHET OF THE

QURAN, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Muhammad showed many inhuman aspects with himself after he gained power after 622 AD - raping, enslaver, torturist, murdered, mass murderer, suppresser, hate monger, a great war monger, prohibiting followers to grieve husbands and others killed in raids for booty, or for revenge of power for Muhammad and Allah, etc. Muslims tend to explain that he was no worse than other highway robber chiefs, robber barons, and warlords in Arabia at that time. That may be true, but he definitely was no better either - - - and he pretended (?) to represent a benevolent god.

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010 AD.

In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

PART XI, CHAPTER 10 (= XI-10-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

MUHAMMAD; HIS LUST FOR POWER, AND HIS PLATFORM OF POWER: THE QURAN, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Muhammad showed many inhuman aspects with himself after he gained power after 622 AD - raping, enslaver, torturist, murdered, mass murderer, suppresser, hate monger, a great war monger, prohibiting followers to grieve husbands and others killed in raids for booty, or for revenge of power for Muhammad and Allah, etc. Muslims tend to explain that he was no

worse than other highway robber chiefs, robber barons, and warlords in Arabia at that time. That may be true, but he definitely was no better either - - - and he pretended (?) to represent a benevolent god.

Muhammad's lust for power is easy to see both from the Quran and from the Hadiths. And a religion – new or old – have been the platform of power for many a man and many a prophet or "prophet".

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010 AD.

PART XI, CHAPTER 11 (= XI-11-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

THE MURDERED MUHAMMAD - SELF-PROCLAIMED PROPHET OF THE QURAN, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND OF THE BENEVOLENT GOD ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Relevant literature tells that Muhammad had 26 opponents murdered. Ibn Ishaq names 10 of them. In addition there were all the other murders.

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010 AD.

PART XI, CHAPTER 12 (= XI-12-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

MUHAMMAD AND HIS RAIDS MAINLY FOR WEALTH WHILE PROPHET FOR THE QURAN, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND

ALLAH - - - AND FOR "THE RELIGION OF PEACE"!!

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Muhammad and his followers lived mainly from stealing/robbing and extortion from ca. 622 AD. Ibn Ishaq names 43 raids - but there are more (you will meet the number 63 raids, battles, or wars, and you will meet the number 82 "episodes") - mainly for robbing, but also some for killing opponents. Muhammad himself led some of the raids.

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010 AD, but here is a list of known such raids. (Beware that also raids for murdering opponants + his wars are included in this list).

NB: Beware that the succession of the happenings may not be 100% correct always. This partly because sometimes there are contradicting information about when things happened, and partly because sometimes we only know the year, but not when in the year things happened, and then have to guess what happened first and what happened last. But if there are mistakes, they are not big.

Year (AD)	Place or person(s) attacked.	Lead by.	Purpose.
623	Waddan	Muhammad	Robbing.
623	Lower Thaniyatu'l-Mara	Ubaida b.al-Harith	Robbing.
623	Al-'Is on coast	Hamza b Abdul- Muttalib	Robbing.
623	Buwat	Muhammad	Robbeing.
623	Ushayra	Muhammad	Robbing.
623	Al-Kharrar	Sa'd b. Abu Waqqas	Robbing.
623	Safwan (1. raid on Badr)	Muhammad	Revenge, recapture goods.Pursuit Kurz b. Jabir
623	Dul-'Ashir	?	?
623?	Nakhla (Bahran)	Abdullah b. Jahsh b.Riab al-Asadi	Spying, Robbing.

624,Jan.	Battle of Badr	Muhammad	Intended robbing, met army.
624	Ka'b b. al-Ashraf	Muhammad b. Malama	Murder.
624?	Al-Qarada	Zayd b. Haritha	Robbing.
624	Bani Salim	Muhammad	Robbing
624	"Eid/Zakat-ul-Fitr"		
624, Feb.	Bani Quainuga	Muhammad	Kill or expel Jews and rob them.
624	Sawiq	Abu Safyan b.Harb	Robbing
624	Gahtafan (Dhu Amarr)	Muhammad	Robbing.
624	Bahran	Muhammad	Robbing
625	Uhud	Muhammad	Battle of defence in a war of aggression
625	Humra-ul-Asad		
625, June	Banu Nadir	Muhammad	Kill, expel, rob Jews
625	Dhatul-Riqa of Nakhl	Muhammad	Robbing.
626	Badr – 3. raid	Muhammad	Meet Abu Sufyan
626	Badru-Ukhra		
626	Dumatul-Jandal	Muhammad	Robbing
627, Feb.	The Trench (Medina)	Muhammad	Defence in war of aggression
627	Ahzab		
627, March	Bani Quraiza	Muhammad	Rob Jews.
627	Bani Layhan	Muhammad	Avenge and robbing.
627	Ghaiba		
627?(628?)	Banu Mustalaq Nikah	Muhammad	Kill, expel, rob Jews.

627, Aug.	Khaybar	Muhammad	Kill, enslave, rob Jews.
628	Al-Hudaibiya	Muhammad	War not intended but perhaps provocation.
629	Khaybar	Muhammad	Kill, rob, enslave Jews.
630, Jan.	Mecca	Muhammad	Conquest.
630, Feb.	Hunain (Hunsin?)	Muhammad	
630	Auras		
630	Taif	Muhammad	Conquest.
630, Sept.	Tabuk	Muhammad	Pre-emptive attack.

All together 40.

In addition there are these where we do not have the year:

Led and participated by Muhammad:

?	Al-Khandaq	Muhammad	Robbing.
---	------------	----------	----------

Ibn Ishaq tells (p.659) that Muhammad personally took part in 26 battles and raids (most raids). A good idol for “the religion of peace”.

Led by other Muslims:

?	Bi'r Ma'una	al-Mundhir b. Amr	Robbing.
?	Dhu'l-Qassa	Abu 'Ubayda b. al-Jarrah	Robbing.
?	Turba	Umar b. al-Khattab	Robbing.
?	Yaman	Ali b. Abu Talib	Robbing.
?	Al-Kadid	Ghalib b. Abdullah al-Kalbi	Robbery.
?	Judham	Zayd b. Haritha	Robbing.
?	Wadi'l-Qura – b.Fazara	Zayd b. Haritha	Robbing.
?	Khaybar (Al-Usayr b.Rizam)	Abdullah b. Rawaha	Murder.

?	Khaybar – another raid	Abdullah b. Rawaha	Robbing.
?	Khalid b. Sufyan b. Nubayh	Abdullah b. Unays	Murder.
?	B. Al-‘Anbar	Uyayna b. Hisn	Robbing.
?	B. Murra	Ghalib b. Abdullah	Robbing.
?	Dhatu’l-Saladil	Amr b. al-‘As	Get allies for war in Syria.
?	Valley of Idam	Ibn Abu Hadrad	Robbing.
?	Rifa’a b. Qays al-Jushami	Ibn Abu Hadrad	Murder.
?	Dumaru’l-Jandal	‘Abdu’l-Raman b. ‘Auf	Robbery.
?	Abu ‘Afak	Salim b. ‘Umayr	Murder.
?	‘Asma’ d. Marwan	‘Umayr b. ‘Asiy	Murder.
?	Thumama b. Athal al-Hanafi	Muslim cavalry	Not planned.
?	?	Alqama b. Mujazziz	Revenge.
?	Bajilis	Kurz b. Jabir	Revenge.
?	Yaman, 2. raid	Ali b. Abu Talib	Robbing.
?	Balqa’ + al-Darum, Palestine	Usama b. Zayd	Robbing.

All together 23.

These 63 are the battles and raids – mainly raids - we have been able to find named. But 63 in some 9 years – 7 a year – more than one every second month – is not too bad. (Even worse: Litreature talks about 82 all together = 9 a year in case). Especially not so for “the religion of peace”.

And there may be others we have not found, at least when it comes to raids for robbing.

And: Practically all of them were result of aggression from Muhammad (there were just a few exceptions), and hardly any of them really defence. Even Badr, Uhud and The Trench/Medina were battles of defence in a war of aggression started and kept alive by Muhammad’s raids for money, slaves and valuables.

(Main source: Muhammad ibn Ishaq "The life of Muhammad". But also www.islamist.dk/forum/showthread.php?t=588 and <http://terror->

[watch.net/modules.php?name=Forums&file=viewtopic&p=4908&sid=dff](http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/history/chronology/) and <http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/history/chronology/>).

A PS: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, "jihad" does not exist - only banditry and wars.

PART XI, CHAPTER 13 (= XI-13-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

JIHAD. THE WARS OF MUHAMMAD - PROPHET OF THE QURAN, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Muhammad led some wars. They all (even his raids for booty and slaves) were called jihad – holy wars – in spite of in reality being wars of aggression, not of real self defence. (Even the battles at Badr, Uhud and Medina/the Trench in reality were defence battles in a war of aggression started and kept alive by Muhammad's raids, robbing and extorting).

The real wars were the wars - or really war - with Mecca provoked and kept alive by Muhammad's robbing of caravans to and from Mecca, etc. The highlights were the battles of Badr, Uhud, and Medina (also called the Battle of the Trench). Then there were the Battle of Hunayn that may be called a real war. The march towards Tabuk turned out to be a war which never started.

The rest were just raids - mostly for stealin, robbing, extortion, and enslaving.

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010 AD.

In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to

prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

PART XI, CHAPTER 14 (= XI-14-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

MUHAMMAD - THE SELF- PROCLAIMED PROPHET OF THE QURAN, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH - HIS MORAL, ETHICS, AND EMPATHY DESTROYED BY SUCCESS, POWER AND EGO?

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

This is what some scientists believe - - - if he was not always like this, but hidden until he became powerful enough.

This chapter will be finished not later than 2010 AD.

AS SO MUCH IS WRITTEN ABOUT THESE ASPECTS WITH MUHAMMAD, OUR CHAPTERS ABOUT HIM ONLY WILL BE SHORT ONES - - - BUT WITH CORRECT INFORMATION.

PART XI, CHAPTER 15 (= XI-15-0-0)

**LIFE OF MUHAMMAD - AND HIS QURAN, HIS ISLAM, AND HIS
MUSLIMS: THE HISTORICAL MUHAMMAD - SOMEWHAT
DIFFERENT FROM THE GLOSSY PICTURE MUSLIMS LIKE TO PAINT**

DATES AND YEARS IN THE LIFE OF MUHAMMAD, SELF-PROCLAIMED PROPHET OF THE QURAN, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

(The years for only some of his raids, etc. are included here. For the rest of these see separate list under “Muhammad; his raids mainly for wealth - -”.)

NB: Beware that the succession of the happenings may not be 100% correct always. This partly because sometimes there are contradicting information about when things happened, and partly because sometimes we only know the year, but not when in the year things happened, and then have to guess what happened first and what happened last. But if there are mistakes, they are not big. We especially mention that for Muhammad's marriages we follow Dr. Homa Darabi Foundation's list - other sources may give somewhat different information, but not essentially so.

570 AD, summer	Muhammad's father Abdullah dies.
570 AD, August	Muhammad is born some weeks after his father's death. (Some – mostly Muslim – sources say he was born in 569, and a few say 571). It is likely he got the name Amin (after his mother Amina d. Wahb), and that the name Muhammad came later.
570 AD	He is taken care of by the Bedouin woman Halima. (Quite normal for children of “better” families as one believed life in the desert was better for children than in the town).
576 AD	He is returned to his mother, Amina.
576 AD (or 577?)	His mother dies. His grandfather ‘Abdu’l-Muttalib took care of 6 year the old child. According to Muslim history he did a good and loving job.
578 AD	‘Abdu’l-Muttalib dies. (Some sources say 580). In 578 AD Muhammad was 8 years. Muhammad's uncle Abu Talib took over the care of the boy. Also Abu Talib seems to have been a good caretaker.
583 AD	His first trip with a trading caravan to Syria – 13 years old.
586 AD	Muhammad took part in the war of Fijar – reputedly with little honour.
591 AD	Muhammad is said to become active member of “Hilful Fudul” – a group for the relief of the distressed. If this is true, it is in accordance with his preaching the 12 years in Mecca (which started 19 years later).
594 AD	Muhammad works for the widow Khadijah – some sources say as a manger, some place him further down in the hierarchy.
595 AD	Muhammad marries his employer, Khadijah. He was 25, she 40. (There is a possibility that she was somewhat younger).
595 AD	His second trip to Syria.
598 AD	His son, Qasim, is born, but died early (some sources say he had 2 sons with Khadijah, but that both died early).
600 AD	His daughter, Zainab, is born.
603 AD	His daughter, Um-e-Kalthum, is born.
604 AD	His daughter, Ryqayya, is born.
605 AD	His daughter, Fatima, is born. She was the only of his children that survived him and only by a short time.

605 AD	First time Muhammad makes himself remarked: He solves a serious dispute during the restoring of the temple Kabah, according to Muslim history – later the main mosque for Islam.
610 AD	Muhammad claims he has his first meeting with the arch-angel Gabriel (Arab: Jibril) - in a cave on Mt. Hira some distance outside Mecca.
613 AD	Muhammad's first public preaching of Islam. He gets few followers.
614 AD	Muhammad invites the Hashimites to become Muslims.
615 AD	The opposition against Muhammad's new religion becomes so strong that some of his followers flee to Abyssinia in East Africa. (This is called the first Hijrah).
616 AD	A second group leaves for Abyssinia.
617 AD	Social boycott of Muhammad and his followers by the Quraysh – the leading tribe in Mecca.
619 AD	The boycott is lifted.
619 AD	Muhammad's uncle Abu Talib dies. This was extra serious because Abu Talib was the guaranty for Muhammad's safety. (In old Arabia it worked like this: You belonged to a group or tribe, and the group and its leader guaranteed to take revenge if someone hurt you. But the new leader after Abu Talib cared very little for Muhammad.)
619 AD ,	Dec. Khadijah dies.
620 AD ,	Feb. Muhammad marries Sauda (NB: When using Latin letters, Arab names can be spelled somewhat differently from one translation (or transcription) to another). (There are sources saying that this marriage was in 619, but if Khadijah died in Dec. 619, that is impossible - it took place after Khadijah's death). As Islam claims Muhammad's marriage with Khadijah was a very happy one, it may be worth mentioning that he remarried already 2-3 months after her death – this even though a marriage always takes some time to arrange. He must have started the preparations very soon after Khadijah's death - or before. He may or may not have grieved his first and older wife - there only are Muslim sources, and they claim the marriage to Khadijah was a happy one.
620 AD	Muhammad's real or dreamt – Islam does not know which - “Night Journey” to Heaven. Hadiths after Aishah states that it was a dream.
620 AD	5 prayers a day is institutionalized.
621 AD, April	Muhammad meets 12 pilgrims from Yathrib (later renamed Medina). This is the start of the connection that later leads to his fleeing to Yathrib when he had to leave Mecca in 622 AD.
621 AD	1. pledge at Aqaba.
622 AD	2. pledge at Aqaba.
622 AD, June	Muhammad and his only companion, Abu Bakr, arrive in Yathrib.
623 AD	Battle of Waddan (NB: “Battles” sometimes in reality only were small things – skirmishes or raids).
623 AD	Battle of Safwan.
623 AD	Battle of Dul-‘Ashir.

623 AD	Muhammad marries Aishah, the 6 years old daughter of his friend and co-worker – and later the first caliph – Abu Bakr. It is told in Hadiths (f. ex. Al-Bukhari) that sex "only" started 3 years later - when she was 9. (This is the reason why many Muslim religious leaders find marriage and related sex ok from the girl is 9). Many react negatively to his having sex with a 9 years old child. But what is more reason to react negatively to, is that he continued to have sex with her throughout her childhood, and that a child was his favourite wife all those years till he died in 632 AD - which Aishah was. (We know from Hadith that she as said was 6 years old when she married. If this was in 623, that means she was only 15 when Muhammad died (and could not remarry later)).
623 AD, Nov.	The direction for praying is changed from Jerusalem to Kabah in Mecca.
624 AD	Muhammad begins raids of robbery and extortion to finance the movement (some sporadic raids took place earlier as mentioned above).
624 AD, Jan.?	Battle of Badr (the first of the 3 main battles against Mecca and its leading tribe, the Quraysh.)
624 AD, Jan.	The female poet Asma bint Marwan is assassinated – she opposed Muhammad.
624, Feb.	The poet Abu Afak is assassinated – he opposed Muhammad (it is said that Muhammad had at least 26 opponents murdered – Ibn Ishaq names 10 of them).
624, Feb.	Battle of Qainuqa. (Expulsion of the Jewish tribe Bani Qainuqa from Medina).
624, July	The poet Ka'b Ibn al-Ashraf is assassinated.
624, July	Muhammad advises his followers to kill Jews.
624	Zakat – the poor-tax – becomes mandatory. The zakat – 0% to 10%, but mostly 2.5% - was paid to Muhammad. It was and is reckoned not from your income, but from your total possessions. The name "poor-tax" is misleading, as the money could – and still can – be used for 5 different things, included to forward the religion and to wage war.
624	Battle of Bani Salim.
624	Battle of "Eid-ul-Fitr" (name of a religious ceremony) and "Zakat-ul-Fitr" (name of a special tax connected to Eid-ul-Fitr).
624	Battle of Sawiq.
624	Battle of Ghatfan.
624	Battle of Bahran.
625, Jan.	Battle of Uhud. The second main battle with Mecca and the Quraysh. Muhammad lost this battle, but Mecca did not follow up in time.
625, Apr.	Khalid ibn Sufyan murdered on Muhammad's orders.
625	Muhammad marries Hafzeh, daughter of another of Muhammad's closest friends and co-workers, Umar (or Omar) Ibn Khatab (later the second caliph).
625	70 Muslims killed at Bir Mauna.
625	Battle of Humra-al-Asad.

625	Battle of Banu Nadir – a Jewish tribe that was driven out of Medina.
625	Battle of Dhatur-Riqa.
626	Battle of Badru-Ukhra.
626	Battle of Damatul-Jandal.
626	Battle of Banu Mustalaq Nikah.
626	Muhammad marries Omeh Salmeh.
626	Muhammad marries Zainab bint Khazimeh (she dies a few months later).
627, Feb.	Battle of the Trench (Medina). The last big battle with Mecca before the conquest of Mecca in 630 AD. A big trench around Medina saved the Muslims.
627	Battle of Ahzab.
627, March	Battle of Quraiza. Muhammad captures and rapes Rayhana bint Amr after having murdered the male part of her family and made the women and children slaves.
627	Battle of Bani Lahyan.
627	Battle of Ghaiba.
627	Muhammad marries Jorieh bint Hares.
627	Muhammad marries another Zainab. She was the wife of his adopted son, Zaid, but Muhammad asked him divorce her, so that he - Muhammad - could marry her. Islam has a lot of "good" explanations for why this was not amoral, but no matter how one tries to explain it - and Islam' "explanation" is lame and not intelligent - it was a betrayal against Zaid - and incest according to old Arab rules.
627, Dec.	The woman Umm Qirfa is on Muhammad's order murdered by being bound between 2 camels – when they were driven in opposite directions, she was “split” into two pieces. As everybody knows, Muhammad was the representative of a good and benevolent god.
627, Dec.	The Jew Sallam is assassinated.
628, Jan. The Jew Al-Yusayr is assassinated.	
628	Muhammad signs the treaty at Hudaibiya with Mecca and the Quraish agreeing on 10 years of peace, and admittance for Muslims 3 days at Hajj – pilgrimage - each year to the mosque Kabah in Mecca.
628, Aug.	Battle of Khaibar (or Khaybar) – a Jewish area north of Medina. Afterwards all male prisoners – somewhere between 600 and 900 (most likely ca. 700) – were murdered, and all children and women (some 2000?) were made slaves - - - and all their possessions stolen, with 20% - included the new slaves - to Muhammad (officially to Allah, the benevolent god).
628, Aug.	Muhammad has Kinana tortured to death (i. e. he beheads him when he is nearly dead). Then the same evening he rapes Kinana's 17 year old widow, Safijja bint Huayay. Muhammad is nearly 60. (He marries her later).

628	Muhammad sends letters to various heads of states inviting them to become Muslims – but with little success.
628	Muhammad marries Ramieh (callad Omeh Habibe).
628	Muhammad as mentioned marries 17 year old and newly-wed Safijja bint Huayay shortly after he has murdered her husband, and the male parts of her family plus made the rest of her family (and herself) slaves. He raped her the same evening he had had her husband, Kinana, tortured to death, and then married her some weeks later. As everybody knows: Muhammad was perfect and without sins.
628	Muhammad marries Meimoonah - his last longtime marriage. (We do not have the years for his short-time marriages - women he married, but divorced shortly afterwards).
628	The refugees return from Abyssinia.
629	Muhammad and many followers make pilgrimage – hajj – to Mecca.
629	The leader of Mecca's cavalry, Khalid ibn al-Walid, deserts Mecca and becomes a Muslim.
630, Jan.	Conquest of Mecca – rather peacefully, but Muhammad had "a few" personal enemies killed/murdered. According to "Kitab al-Tabaqat al Kabir" by Ibn Sa'd, Vol.2, p.168, they were these 6 men and 4 women: 1. Ikrima Ibn Abi Jahl. 2. Habbar Ibn al-Aswad. 3. Abd Allah Ibn Sa'd Ibn Abi Sarh. 4. Miqyas Ibn Sababah al-Laythi. 5. al-Huwayrith Ibn Nuqaydh. 6. Abd Abbah Ibn Hilal Ibn Khatel al-Adrami ("Ibn Khatel"). 7. Hind Bint utbah. 8. Sarah (enfranchised girl of Amr Ibu Hashim). 9. Fartana. 10. Qariba. Ibn Khatel was murdered even though he was standing in the holy Kabah holding on to a holy drapery there - this on Muhammad's special order. Muhammad did not respect the holy things (or times) unless it suited him.
630	Battle of Hunsin (or Hunayn).
630, April	Muhammad's son Ibrahim is born by his dark slave Marieh. (Ibrahim dies about a year later, in June 631 AD.)
631	Maslama ibn Habib claims to be a prophet, but is killed.
631	Expedition to Tabuk.
631	Year of deputations.
632, March	Muhammad makes the “farewell pilgrimage” to Mecca.
632, June	Muhammad dies in Medina. (There exist speculations about poisoning, but we have seen no real indications for that).

(Sources: The same ones as for “Muhammad's raids for wealth”)

one extra point: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is on Allah and/or if there was no connection between Muhammad and a god NONE of these were jihads (holy wars) nor Ghazwas(holy battles).

PART XII, CHAPTER 1 (= XII-1-0-0)

SHORT ON DIFFERENT TOPICS CONCERNING THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

SHORT ABOUT SOME RELEVANT TOPICS.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents of this chapter:

1. Is the quran identical to Muhammad's or Allah's words?
2. Inconsequences in the texts.
3. Abrogations - invalid verses.
4. Sharia - Muslim law.
5. Leaving Islam.

I. IS THE QURAN IDENTICAL TO MOHAMMAD'S OR ALLAH'S WORDS?

Real scientists say flatly NO. There are too many sources for mistakes:

1. Muhammad had to dictate it all from his mind.
2. The texts were then memorised and remembered and told by words-of-mouth for up to 50-55 years (from 610 AD for the oldest surahs, to perhaps as late as 656 AD).
3. The texts were little by little jotted down on any kind of surface; bones, wood, palm leaves, skin. These scraps were stored for years here and there before many of them were collected by Zaid ibn Thabit - Mohammad's secretary - who later gave them to caliph Umar (2. caliph) who gave them to the wife Hafs, where Caliph Utman later

- found them. Did Zaid find all scraps and were everything really written down and did all of it finally end in caliph Uthman's position? And was all writing exactly word-by-word correct? Was nothing omitted or added (old Islamic traditions say that some 100 verses were omitted and a few added)?
4. Caliph Uthman (3. caliph) had to make an official edition of the collections of surahs. He gathered and sorted the written and verbal material he could find that was deemed true into the 114 surahs making up his Quran. But did he use all verses and were no verses added - by intention or accident? Even today in Islam there is said to be rumours about some 100 verses omitted and some added for political reasons - there were strife about the job as caliph (of the 11 first caliphs, only one - Abu Bakr, the first one - died a natural death). And did they in each and every case do the correct choice when deciding which verses were true and which not?
 5. Having done this he sent copies to his main contacts, ordering everybody to destroy all other copies. But it is clear that this was not done. For one thing many liked the old text better, and for another: Books were expensive - they were written by hand, and it took a scribe many weeks to write a book, not to mention that scribes were well paid specialists. Transferred to 2007-values may be a Quran cost 10000 Euro - and one is reluctant to throw away 10000 Euro. It is clear that at least until well into the 1100s AD there existed old versions that may have influenced new copies when new were made.
 6. A very fundamental additional problem: In 656 AD there did not exist a complete Arab alphabet. The Quran of Caliph Uthman was written without vowels and without the points used when defining some of the letters modern Arab uses when writing. The result was that it often is difficult, and sometimes impossible to guess what word that really was intended - sometimes you could guess from the context and sometimes not. To repeat our example: If you have the letters "h" and "s" and are told they represents an English word minus the vowels, that word at least may be "house", "hose", "his", and "has". Which is

the correct one? Islam solved the problem by deciding that all ways of understanding words that looked right and gave logical meaning, were right, even if a word could be understood in 2-3 different ways.

This resulted in hundreds of variants of the book - depending on some varieties here and there.

To camouflage that there were varieties of the Quran, it was called “different ways of reading” instead of “different varieties”. A polite and diplomatic way of lying. The Quran expert Ibn Mohair (d. 935 AD) and a comity finally canonized 7, and as each existed in 2 varieties, that meant 14 varieties (but there were more also after this). See the Preface for more on this.

Today only 2 versions are used (the Egyptian (Hafs) from the 1920s and there is another (Warsh) used some places in Africa). How big is the chance for that just this has exactly the same words as Muhammad pronounced? Exceedingly small. And both cannot be 100% correct.

7. Through 250 years the Arab written language was developed and the language in the Quran polished by educated and gifted men (from here we have the elegance in the language used in the book). This work was more or less finished around 900 AD. What is the chance for that “not a word, not a letter, not a comma” was changed during this process? Politely said zero. To develop an alphabet and then polish the language of a whole book without changing even a word or a comma is mathematically, physically and all other sciences impossible (the comma did not even exist then).

The chances for that the Quran of today is exactly a copy of Muhammad’s words, are infinitesimally small to the tenth power.

II. INCONSEQUENCE IN THE TEXTS.

The Quran and Muslims proudly declare that the lack of inconsequent points in the book, is a proof for its being sent down by Allah.

But for one thing: Even if there had been no inconsequent points in the book, that only had meant the maker had done a good job - no proof of a deity.

But the main thing is that there are inconsequent points in the Quran. I have pointed to a couple, but there are many more. How many depends on how strictly you judge. I have seen numbers from 100 to 200 depending on how strictly one judges.

III: ABROGATIONS - INVALID VERSES.

Abrogations are points that are changed by the Quran itself or by some Hadith. An abrogation means that one or more verse(s) is/are made invalid, as there come a newer verse saying something different about the same thing. The rule is simple: If two or more verses (or Hadiths) “collide”, the youngest verse (or the saying or deed the Hadith tells about) normally is the valid one, and the others are from that time made invalid (but they are to remain in the Quran all the same). Really also an abrogation is an inconsequence - an omniscient god having to correct himself! Here we have seen numbers from 5 to 500, but scientists tends to talk about numbers around 200 and up to 225 - most of them peaceful verses from Mecca, exchanged for harder verses from Medina, and often far more bloody ones. Peaceful living and benevolence abrogated for hate and blood and terror after 622 AD. F. ex. no more peace with non-Muslims: Kill them. (See also separate chapter on abrogation). One bad fact is that most of the peaceful verses are from the Mecca period (610 - 622 AD), whereas the verses of hate and rape and robbing, of murder and war are from the later Medina period (622 - 632 AD). That means that many of the peaceful verses, even many which Muslims refer to in debates, are invalid. Those abrogations changed Islam from a peaceful religion, to a war religion glorifying hate and suppression and blood and inhumanity.

IV. SHARIA (MUSLIM LAW).

So much is said about Sharia today, that we will not go deeply into it. We only want to add that the complete Sharia is not a part of the Quran. Some is taken from there, some from Hadith (short stories - may be true, may be not true - about what Muhammad and some of his men and successors said and did, some is decided by consensus (ijma) among the orthodox learned leaders (not in a meeting, but by over time agreeing on that this and that must be right), and finally by analogies (quays) - “this case is somewhat similar to what happened in that Hadith, and then the fair and just solution must be like that”. (But see also the chapter on abrogation - unclear abrogations makes problems for the law.)

There are 4 different ways - 4 schools - of understanding and practising the Sharia. Strangely enough all four are generally accepted to be correct, even though they are different, and can even be used at the same time - but that should actually no be a surprise in a religion where there at one time were 14 and more varieties of the Quran, all said to be correct. All these 4 schools were started in the late 700s or early 800s AD. The first time much happened as they worked to adjust it all to real life. But around 900 AD they found that now all was in order and that there were no more to discuss. After that the Sharia has been more or less unabridged. A fact that made the students able to use the same text books for hundreds of years, and f. ex. has resulted in students nearly up till today studying slave law, marriage and divorce between jinns and humans, etc. A law that today has obvious shortcomings for modern life - a problem an omniscient god should have foreseen.

And a law where nothing has happened for 1100 years.

V. LEAVING ISLAM:

In spite of propaganda: People have left Islam. But to do so officially takes a brave person, especially if you do not emigrate to a non-Muslim area.

The laws will be against you. The society will be against you. Your family will be against you. And not least: It is a bad Muslim that does not kill you.

Even the world does not help you: In the United Nation's declaration of Human Rights, # 18 was adjusted in November 1981, and the Muslims forced UN to omit the right to change religion. (It obviously is a human right - but Islam does not accept that. They even have made official Muslim Human Rights for Muslims following the Quran and Muhammad.)

Worse: Now the Muslims try to make UN prohibit "insulting of other religion's prophets". There is only one single prophet on this earth who needs such a law - and with a reason - and that is Muhammad. And just you guess how that law will be used!! Do whatever you can to stop it.

Latest news: Islam succeeded in UN.

(On the other hand there is so much wrong and damning in the Quran, in Hadiths, and with Muhammad, that may be that success is not very serious. Just tell the truth about them and about Muhammad and you have a gun more heavy than any insult.)

PART XII, CHAPTER 2 (= XII-2-0-0)

SHORT ON DIFFERENT TOPICS CONCERNING THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

SOME RÉSUMÉS AND THOUGHTS.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Contents of this chapter:

1. The person Muhammad.
2. The Quran.
3. Islam.
4. Muslims.
5. Empathy, Moral, and Ethics in Islam.
6. What kind of religion is Islam? (- not the "Religion of Peace".)
7. PS about Ramses II.

I. THE PERSON MUHAMMAD.

Short history.

1. Born at an unspecified time around 570 AD. Most sources say 570 AD, some - mostly Islamic sources - say 569 AD, and a few 571 AD. We may add that some scientists believe Muhammad never existed, but is a fiction made up later by Arabs needing a personification of new religious ideas - like King Arthur was made up king or a minor king that later was much enlarged - but most likely he was a real person.
2. He became a salesman. Arab salesmen have no good reputation for honesty, but Islam insists he was very honest. Impossible to say yes or no - no neutral information exists, and Islamic sources have much to gain by telling he was very honest.
3. As a young man of 25 he married a 15 years older rich widow. Normally such marriages takes place for special reasons, but no neutral sources exists. Muslim sources insist it was a very happy marriage - but then again: Muslim sources have very much to gain by saying that.
4. In the lunar month of Ramadan 610 AD he started to tell he got messages from Allah (named al Lah at that time), and later started his teachings. The god al-Lah st that time was the leading pagan god in Arabia.
5. The rest of his life he told about such messages - some verses at a time, and not infrequently they happened to solve problems for him - even personal ones - and always to his gain. A disturbing question is why an omniscient god did not send the messages before things happened, so Muhammad could evade the problems or know what was the right thing to do when things happened. Was he just lucky to do right each time, or were the verses made to measure afterwards? - (real scientists tend to believe the last).
6. Another disturbing question is that self-proclaimed prophets frequently are favoured and helped by their god - we have seen it in many cases and many sects. Allah really took a personal interest in Muhammad - but always tidying up things afterwards, not helping him not to run into f. ex. problems with women. In sects it also is not uncommon that the self-proclaimed prophet has many women.

7. The messages arrived in meetings with the archangel Gabriel, in dreams or like sounds he understood. There never were any witnesses to a single word, but he was seen to have fits sometimes - modern doctors connect them to a certain illness (TLE).
8. For 12 years his teaching was about a relatively benevolent and peaceful god - al-Lah/Allah, the main Arab god at that time. But he told all the other gods were fakes, and that Allah was identical to the Jewish and Christian Yahweh. His teaching met with little to moderate success - mainly among the poor and uneducated ones.
9. He was not liked among the rulers in Mecca, and in 622 AD he had to flee to Yathrib, later renamed Medina. In Yathrib he had to find a way of living for himself and his flock. Of all things he settled on robbery - robbing caravans, mainly to and from Mecca, and later also hamlets and villages.
10. He lived as the leader of highwaymen for some years - he even led a number of the "expeditions" himself. This robbery was the reason for the war with Mecca later.
11. Something happened to his religion around 622 AD and the flight to Yathrib. It changed from rather human, good and benevolent, to a religion of war and hate. Still benevolent after a fashion inside the group of Muslims, but hate, blood, rape, robbery and suppression for everybody outside the group. If one reads the some 86 surahs from Mecca first, and then the some 22 from Medina (there are some surahs one do not know the age of), the difference is easy to see and striking. It became a religion for primitive and greedy warriors - and still is (+ for fanatics). In this form the religion started to expand - often not because of belief, but because of permission to steal and rob and rape and take slaves. And not to forget: Because of "become Muslim or die" - most of the rest of Arabia became Muslims by force and compulsion in addition to from greed for spoils of war.
12. Also Muhammad seemed to change - to something inhuman, hard and bloody (when we say "seemed" it is because these sides of him at least had not showed before - but his turning to robbery, killing and extortion of

caravans and drivers may point to something that was hidden before). Real scientists speculate that power and lust for more power destroyed his moral - power often has destroyed a man.

13. One effect was that he started having opponents murdered. Among these were:
 1. Al-Nader - a personal enemy from Mecca.
 2. Ocba. Both this murders were ok-ed by Allah in surah 8/67 - - - afterwards. Both were just after the battle of Badr.
 3. Asma bint Marwan - a female poet who had gone against him. Murdered in her bed when giving suck to her baby.
 4. Abu Afak - a non-Muslim Arab poet, more than 100 years old, who had gone against him. Abu Afak was murdered sleeping.
 5. Kab ibn al-Ashraf - one more poet that had gone against Muhammad - murdered and beheaded by Muslims pretending to be his friends.
 6. Ibn Sunaya - Jewish businessman murdered after Muhammad had told his followers to murder what Jews they could.
 7. Abu Uzi - a prisoner from the battle of Badr.
 8. Othman bin Moghira.
 9. Abi l'Huqayaq - Jewish chief, murdered in his bed.
 10. Kinana b. al-Rabi (the husband of his rape victim Safijja - also written Safiyya). Tortured to death.

These are the separate murders where we have found the names of the victims - there were a number more (at least 26 according to some sources).

14. **Then there were the mass murders. The three most well known are:**
15. The betrayal and butchering of Usayr b. Zarim and his 28 men as mentioned before (+ 1 who escaped). As Muhammad said: "War is betrayal".
16. The razing in March 627 AD of the ones from Banu Nadir that had fled from Medina to

Khaybar in 625 AD - no one knows how many were killed, but many.

17. The mass murder of all the some 700 men of the Jewish tribe in Khaybar in August 628 AD - also mentioned before.

18. **Muhammad the rapist.**

He at least raped two women where the name exists (+ other female slaves?).

19. Raihana bint Amr - taken captive from the Quraysh and raped after he had murdered all her male family.
20. Safijja - 17 years old and just made widow by Muhammad's murdering her husband Kinana b. al-Rabi - not only murdered, but tortured to death. This in spite of that Muhammad knew a woman could feel much sorrow after losing a husband - f. ex. he according to the Hadith (f. ex. Al-Bukhari 1280, 1281, and 1282) permitted a widow to mourn her husband 4 months and 10 days, compared to that one could mourn a brother or father only 3 days (for a highwayman and a warlord mourning women are bad for morality).
21. More rapes by Muhammad are not specified in Islamic history, but the very casual way his men reacted to these rapes, and also the fact that raping female victims/prisoners was standard - and "lawful and good" - for Islam's warriors, make some guessing permitted.
22. **Betrayals:**
23. We already have mentioned the betrayal of Usayr b. Zarim.
24. Zaynab - she was the wife of his adopted son Zaid. Muhammad wanted her, but the Arabs reckoned an adopted son to be a son, and according to the laws he could not marry the wife of his son. But Allah really helped him - Muhammad told he got a surah (33/2-8) declaring that an adopted was not a real son, and that adoptions was not according to Allah's wishes (Muslims can not formally adopt even today because of this story). Zaynab divorced Zaid - and Muhammad got another wife.

Islam sings nice tales about how interested Zaynab was in Muhammad. But no matter - he betrayed Zaid.

25. The Satanic Verses. Betrayed his own religion to gain more acceptances from the rulers and people of Mecca (he accepted that Allah had 3 daughters - the 3 goddesses al-Lat, al-Uzi and Manta). But he later retracted his words - and betrayed the rulers of Mecca. (Muslims have "good" explanations for this story, but scientists do not really accept their version).
26. The peace of Hudaibiya - he broke it.
27. And do not forget his slogan: "War is betrayal".
28. Then there is his institutionalizing of al-Taqiyya (the lawful lie), Kitman (the lawful half-truth), and his view on oaths - they could be broken if that gave a better result, though sometimes you have to give Allah a gift to be forgiven.
29. If you look, we think you will find more. But the main point is that betrayal and deceit was part of Muhammad's policy: "War is betrayal" or "war is deceit" to quote him once more. Did he betray in his religion? - or his followers (he did if Islam is a made up religion).
30. **Torturist.**
31. Torture was not infrequently used by Muhammad.
32. **Women.**
33. He had 11 wives for long time, 2 concubines, 16 short time wives, and 7 we do not know whether were married to him or not. These 36 are the ones Islam knows by name.
34. **Slaves.**
35. . Muhammad took literally thousands of slaves. Muslims say that was normal for that time - but Muhammad pretended to represent a benevolent god. Muslims also say slaves were taken only in wars of defence, called jihad or holy war (not true) - but even in wars of defence Muhammad pretended to represent a benevolent god. Besides: Everything was named Jihad, so this claim is just hypocrisy.
36. **Warlord.**
37. Warlord. At the end of his life Muhammad became really a warlord - and a clever one. But also a ruthless, inhuman and murderous one. Muslims - and some others - say it was a hard time and that he was no worse than other warlords of that time. Perhaps - but he

definitely also was no better, in spite of the fact that he told the world that he represented a good and benevolent god.

38. There is no escaping from the facts that Muhammad's word was not reliable, that he was a womaniser, that he was a thief and robber and murderer from his highwayman period and later, that he was a rapist, that he practised extortion, that he made good money from being a boss for highwaymen and later as a warlord, that he was an enslaver and slave dealer, that he liked power and women, preferably young women, included at least one child - and money for bribes. This is very clear from Muslim sources - no Muslim can protest for it, even though he very much will like to explain it away.

If you were a judge in court and had this man as a witness in front of you - how far would you trust his witness in f. ex. a case of murder?

Muslims build all their religion on only this man's word - and a religion is much more serious than a murder case.

II. THE QURAN:

1. As literature it is not good in spite of all the verbal flowers from Muslims. F. ex. far too much repetition, the stories far too similar, the stories have far too obvious endings. Also the style is far from perfect - and pretty boring.
2. More or less all the contents are "borrowed" from other sources - a copycat to be polite.
3. Full of not documented statements - some of them even directly wrong.
4. Full of not documented "signs" (synonymous with "proof" or at least "indication" in Quran-speak) - some of them even directly wrong.
5. Not one of the so-called "proofs" is valid as a proof.
6. The book is full of mistaken facts.
7. Contains a lot of fast-talk and boasting.
8. Full of hallmarks typical for swindlers, cheats and deceivers.

This book full of bad hallmarks, dictated by a man most honest judges would be reluctant to believe very much, is the one and only real - or not real - basis for all Islam.

No wonder their leaders need protection for Muhammad, by means of an international law permitting only panegyric words about him in praxis.

III. ISLAM.

The religion is expanding fast. It may be the dominant religion in some centuries - much earlier if they get the means to do it by military or terrorist ways.

It pretends to be a peaceful religion - and in a way it is towards its own. But it “forgets” to mention that the peaceful verses from Mecca are abrogated - nullified - by the bloody and harsh ones from Medina.

They “forget” to mention that in and after 622 AD Muhammad changed his religion from rather benevolent, to a religion of suppression, robbing, hate, rape and murder - a war religion. Muslims complain about the bad West, but after we started to read about Muslim history, we have felt positively sick at some times. One thing is war - even ruthless war and even wars of conquest. Another thing is murder and slaughter and massacres by the millions and millions - and slavery and rape “en masse” and sadism. Djingis Khan was bad. Pol Pot was bad. Mao was bad. Stalin was bad. Hitler was bad. But they were just second rate compared with the slaughtering and sadism, destruction and stealing, rape and suppression and enslavement from some Muslims in the praise of Allah - second rate also because Muslims have done their “work” for so much longer time.

And “No compulsion in religion”? - it is not even a joke - it is irony.

IV. MUSLIMS.

Muslims are not different from us because they are a different kind of humans. But very many are different because they have grown up in a very different culture. A culture where they have been imprinted some age-old ideas they firmly believe is the solemn truth whether it is true or sick inhuman tales. There is no escape from this fact, as there is only one world to share.

But we should try to show them how inhuman and how - yes - sick some of their ideas are. Because it just are some of their ideas that are sick - like the religion's view on enslavement, suppression and murder of non-Muslim opponents - not their value as humans. **And we should never forget that when sick idealists promise to kill you and conquer you, you had better believe it** - just ask the victims of Hitler - - - who foretold just what he wanted to do, but most people did not believe him until it was too late. What happened during these last years shows that Islam still is a religion of hate and suppression and war.

And all the same: Remember that 70% of all Muslims want nothing to do with war and tumults. And that most of the rest at least do not want to take active parts in such things.

V. EMPATHY, MORAL, AND ETHICS IN ISLAM.

1. Empathy - the ability to feel what others feel and how life or a situation is for them - is totally absent in the Quran and in Islam. The only person, who counts, is the strong main person: You - preferably a warrior. And of course Muhammad and his successors. It is your - the strong warrior's - interests and wishes that counts. You shall be good to

- children, women, old people, etc., but because it is a good deed, not because of empathy. And there never is a trace of empathy with slaves, non-Muslims, houries, or the young men forced to be servants in Heaven forever, not to mention captives or conquered nations/people.
2. Moral. Islam and Muslims often uses this word. But there hardly is any moral codex in Islam, and there definitely have never been any philosophy studying moral problems. There are some orders and hints in the Quran and in the Hadiths about what to do and what not to do, and that is it. No codex like “behave towards others like you want others to behave towards you”, etc. Moral problems hardly exist - obey the Quran and the Prophet, and that solves everything - included permission to rob and rape and suppress and hate and kill.
 3. There are just as much thinking about and just as free from ethical codexs, as it is about moral in the Quran and in the Hadiths. More or less nothing.

VI. WHAT KIND OF IS ISLAM? (- definitely not any "Religion of Peace" according to the Quran

This only needs a very short answer: In spite of Islam's and Muslims' strong claims - which must be set forth in spite of better knowledge from most Muslims and all learned ones, as they well know that the peaceful verses are abrogated and made invalid - Islam is a war religion, and a typical war religion. War is the most central and heavy theme - perhaps after Allah and Muhammad. War is the highest service to the god and to "his Messenger". War is religious romance. War is glorified. War is the most valuable good deed and the most sure ticket to Paradise - and to the best parts of Paradise. War is a clear and religious duty. Wars against non-Muslims according to all the 4 "law schools" always were Jihads - "Holy Wars" - no matter what kind of war it was (officially Jihad only can be declared in self defence - but then absolutely everything is self defence "in the widest meaning of the word" for Islam). If you read modern official Muslim literature meant for Muslims today, you find just the same point of official religious view: Fight against non-Muslims is a holy duty and a back-bone of Islam.

During the last decades of Muhammad's life it also was a one-man religion - Muhammad was the one and only center and leader and boss. That Muhammad liked power, is indirectly, but very clearly seen in the Quran. (And also in Hadiths.)

VII. PS ABOUT RAMSES II:

Wikipedia tells that he was born ca. 1303 BC, became pharaoh some 20 years old, and to have ruled for 67 years till around 1213 OR 1212 BC. That in case means he did rule some years longer than said in our other sources - f. ex. an encyclopaedia of good reputation – which says till ca. 1230 BC. But for this case it does not matter, as in both cases Ramses II ruled around

1235 BC when the exodus took place according to science - - - if it took place. Islam tries to tell that it is of no consequence which pharaoh it was, and that we do not know anything about when exodus may have happened, and they mention some other possible pharaohs - included Tut-ank-amon (then it is easier not to explain why the Quran may not be wrong concerning the drowning of the pharaoh, though also Tut-ank-amon did not drown). BUT WHAT IS OF CONSEQUENCE IS THAT SCIENCE REALLY BELIEVE THE YEAR WAS AROUND 1235 BC - - - AND EVEN MORE: IF ANY PHARAOH AT A REASONABLE TIME DURING THE HISTORY HAD DROWNED, WE HAD HAD 3 RELIGIONS SCREAMING ABOUT THE FACT – which they do not do. Which means that the pharaoh obviously did not drown.

Beware that some Muslim sources argues that it took place under some more obscure pharaohs (often one from 1500 - 1600 BC). These mainly are persons or institutions that have a reason for wanting it to have happened at other times, f. ex. because we know Ramses II did not drown, and want it to have happened under a pharaoh that may have drowned (to make the Quran or Bible be true). Normally science is more neutral and correct than wishful thinkers, but should the Exodus all the same have happened (if it happened) under some other pharaoh than Ramses II, what we say about what happened in case must be adjusted for that. But as said: Science mainly agree on that if the Exodus happened, it happened ca. 1235 bC.

PART XII, CHAPTER 3 (= XII-3-0-0)

SHORT ON DIFFERENT TOPICS CONCERNING THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT AND STAGNATION IN THE MUSLIM AREA SINCE 632 AD.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

Talking about culture one often thinks about arts included architecture, about literature, about ways of learning and thinking, about freedom for thinking and speaking, and about ways of life.

In the old Arabia there was nothing really worth to be called culture, with one exception: Literature. Very little of it was written, but there was a rich tradition for telling stories, histories and tales, and also for poetry.

But for that there was little of art, little or nothing worth calling architecture, little knowledge, little if any original thinking able to bring humanity forward, even little real religious interest and thinking. And the daily life in such a primitive tribe and warrior country? - a hard strife for the daily life and for survival - which is often said to be local culture, because we have no other word for it.

This coloured the conquests - and the destruction and the havoc wrought by the primitive and fanatic warriors that suddenly pored out from Medina and then from Arabia. They were bent on gold and riches and slaves and power - and tax. Other kinds of values they simply had no possibility to understand - and destruction was free and to the honour of Allah. The results were human and cultural disasters the like of which the now Muslim area as far as India have never seen neither before nor after, with the possible exceptions of Timur Lenk and his Mongols, and later the Turks when they came from the north-east. The fabled and infamous Christian crusaders at their worst, may have been as bad, but after all just in a small area and a limited time - facts Arabs and other Muslims “forget” when they complain about that time.

But over some generations the lands were rebuilt, and the now rich from plunder and suppression Arabs had their children study - even non-religious topics. And over enough time the situation changed.

We got Muslim/Arab architecture - originally inspired by a mixture of Byzantine, other Christian and Persian architecture. Painting was a respected art - both locally inspired and Byzantine and Persian inspired. Sharia (Muslim law) found its form in the 8. century AD - also that clearly inspired from Roman/Byzantine (now Istanbul), Jewish, and Persian laws, in addition to old Arab laws and the Quran.

And they came across the ancient science and philosophy from Greece and Persia and India - the first via the then East Roman or Byzantine Empire and the last via Persia. But not until around 820 AD - some 200 years after Muhammad, and under the not very religious Abbasid (named after the first of their caliphs, Abu Muslim) caliphs - there was much new translation from Greek and Persian. And only then starts the Muslim slow climb towards their golden age - and mind you: It was only some sort of intellectual golden age - and partly in spite of and in opposition to Islam, not because of Islam. The fabled political golden age when people and religions existed peacefully and friendly side by side? - it simply never really existed. Muslims always had the upper heavy hand - they just did not use it too heavily at all times.

Over the years Muslim philosophers learnt what the old Greek and Persian masters could teach them - and some went on into the unknown. There are names like Al-Kindi, Al-Sarakhsi, Al-Farabi, Ibn Sina (known in Europe as Avicenna) - and the famous and infamous Al-Ghazali, "the greatest Muslim after Muhammad". Philosophy of different kinds, astronomy, mathematics, geometry, medicine, etc.

But many soon ran into problems with the religion: Many “new ideas” conflicted with Islam. Islam split knowledge in two: "Islamic" sciences that could help Islam or help understanding Islam, and “foreign” sciences that meant little or nothing for the religion - these were reckoned to be of little or negative value. The first ones were subjects like religion, language (Arabic) and related subjects, law, history after Muhammad started his teachings, geography, astronomy (to find the right dates for religious celebrations, etc.), rhetoric, and (Muslim) literature. The “foreign” - and thus unnecessary or even negative - sciences included the Greek and Persian/Indian sciences like physics, metaphysics, philosophy, all branches of mathematics and geometry, natural sciences, alchemy, medicine (!!!), and music.

When the Quran talked about acquiring knowledge, Islam understood acquiring "Islamic" knowledge, not “foreign” knowledge, and the negative value of “foreign” knowledge became more and more strongly stressed as time went by. This resulted in opposition against, and

even imprisonment and worse of men doing such sciences (al-Sarakhsi f. ex. was executed for his science, and he was not the only one).

The definite end for “foreign” sciences, included philosophy - the science of thinking new thoughts - came with a book against philosophy and science in 1095 AD: "The Incoherence of the Philosophers", by al-Ghazali. After 1095 THERE HAS NOT COME ONE SINGLE NEW THOUGHT OR IDEA THAT COULD HELP OR BRING FORWARD HUMANITY FROM ALL THE MUSLIM COUNTRIES (EXCEPT OF IN THE FAR WEST WHERE THINKING LASTED FOR ABOUT ANOTHER 100 YEARS) - NOT ONE SINGLE IDEA OR NEW THOUGHT FOR SOME 900 YEARS!!! There have been a few small exceptions the last some 100 years, but mostly they have been choked by the religion.

In the far west as mentioned the possibility of thinking - not freedom of thinking, but at least the possibility - lasted about a century longer, with men like Ibn Bajja (Avempace in Europe), Ibn Tufayl, and Ibn Rushd (Averroes in Europe). Then it was the end there, too.

Let us quote Ibn Tufayl: “Religious truths are for the not-thinking masses, who should limit their activities to obeying the religious commandments and follow the traditions”. The “not-thinking masses” - lead by the learned Muslims - won. Around 1200 AD thinking came to an end also in Spain. Arbitrarily one can say the end came with the death of Ibn Rushd in 1198 AD. To quote “Why I am not a Muslim” by Ibn Warraq: “The thought of knowledge for the sake of knowledge was without meaning in Muslim connections”.

And finally to quote Renan (scientist studying Islam and Muslims) (translated to English): “To give Islam the honour for Averroes and so many other excellent thinkers, WHO LIVED HALF THEIR LIVES IN PRISON, IN HIDING, IN DISHONOUR, WHO GOT THEIR BOOKS BURNT AND NEARLY EXTINGUISHED BY THE THEOLOGICAL ESTABLISHMENTS, IS LIKE GIVING THE INQUISITION THE HONOUR FOR GALILEO’S DISCOVERIES AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF WHOLE SCIENCES THEY WERE UNABLE TO STOP”.

HOW MANY NEW THOUGHTS OR IDEAS WITH ANY MEANING FOR HUMANITY HAVE COME FROM F. EX. ALGERIA, EGYPT, SYRIA OR PERSIA AFTER THE YEAR 1100 AD? OR FROM ARABIA? Exactly zero. (But the word “assassin” and many conspiracy theories came from there).

With a few sporadic and partly suppressed exceptions the last 100 years ALL THE MUSLIM COUNTRIES HAVE BEEN BRAIN DEAD FOR SOME 900 YEARS - TOTALLY SUPPRESSED BY ISLAM.

This to the extent that even new ideas of practical things are difficult - the state of California register more new patents a year, than all the Islamic world put together.

Muslims simply are trained to follow the leaders and the age-old ideas and authorities, not to think critically or think themselves.

And what for? In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if

there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

So much for the Golden Age of science under Islam - it existed after a fashion and for some time, and it rescued much of the old Greek knowledge and many of the old Greek ideas (though it is forgotten that much old knowledge also came to the west via the East Roman Empire -Constantinople/Bysanz), but to a large extent in spite of Islam, and to a large degree suppressed by Islam, not because of Islam.

And what about the fabled Golden Age of humanism co-habitation of people and religions? It is just that - a fable. See next chapter.

20.4.09.

PART XII, CHAPTER 4 (= XII-4-0-0)

SHORT ON DIFFERENT TOPICS CONCERNING THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

SOME FINAL CONCLUSIONS ABOUT THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH.

Comments in this book numbered by 3 numbers (included 00 or 0) a few places followed by one small letter = clear cases. Comments numbered by 00 or 0 followed by 1, 2 or 3 letters (big or small) = likely cases.

There are many thoughts and conclusions one can make after studying the Quran - and at least these are absolutely sure:

1. The Quran is not made by any omniscient god - no omniscient god would use so many mistaken facts and contradictions, not to mention invalid logic and as invalid and even wrong signs and proofs. F. ex. only one mistake from a presumed omniscient god is enough to prove that something is wrong, AND THERE ARE MANY - HUNDREDS AND EVEN MORE.
2. The Quran is either made by a minor god trying to bully his way to power, by a devil or some other dark forces, or by a human or humans. But some of the mistakes, etc., are so obvious that neither a minor god nor a devil would use them - they knew it would be found out sooner or later and the credibility of the book destroyed.
3. This plus all the mistakes that correspond with what one believed in in Arabia around the time of Muhammad most strongly indicate that the Quran was made - or made up - by one or more humans at that time. (Too many such mistakes to be a coincidence - far too many.)
4. There is not one single fact from the future of that time, which Muhammad claimed to be real prophesy (and in Hadiths, Al-Bukhari, you will find at least one statement from his wife Aishah(?) stating that anyone saying that Muhammad could tell the future were lying). An omniscient god had not been limited that way. And not one single trace anywhere outside the unproven claims in the Quran/Hadiths of a single Muslim or of Islam before 610 AD, in

spite of all the words in the Quran and Islam about the opposite. Demand proofs - words and statements and claims are so cheap that they are without value in such cases.

5. A normal way for Muslims to debate, is to say things - no proofs, just statements or claims - and then demand proofs for what you say if you disagree. Such proofs are often not easy just there and then, and then he/she seemingly "wins" the point - - and even if you prove it, he/she just launches new unproven statements for you to disprove. Do not accept this cheap technique - demand proofs for what they say. And remember: There does not exist one single proof or reliable foretelling of any kind for Muhammad or Islam or the Quran neither in nor before historical times. None of them are ever mentioned anywhere until after 610 AD (and this includes sayings from Jesus and his disciples, all persons in the OT and all historical persons like Ramses II (the pharaoh of Moses) and Alexander the Great (Duh'l Quarnayn in the Quran) in spite of all the Muslim fairy tales about the opposite - not one single. There does not exist one single proof or reliable foretelling for Muhammad in the Bible, in spite of all Muslim wishful thinking and "explanations". There does not exist one single proof for Allah, in spite of all the "signs" in the Quran (even Islam admits this, though not towards their uneducated followers) - not one single of them is logically valid as a proof (with the possible exception of some taken from the Bible - but they in case prove Yahweh (the Jewish and Christian god) not Allah), as the statements are all built on nothing, or on other invalid statements any priest in any religion can use free of charge about his own god(s). There does not exist one single proof for the teachings of Muhammad - not one single. Only the words of Muhammad - a man that was very far from being a saint or from being unable to spin tales or to betrayal according to MUSLIM history books like the in Islam much respected Ibn Ishaq: "The life of Mohammad" or the Hadiths after f. ex. Al-Bukhari or Muslim - f. ex. remember Muhammad's words: "War is betrayal". Yes, there even is no real proof even for Muhammad (though it is highly likely he was a historical person).
6. There is not one single proof for Islam's statement that Allah is the same god as Yahweh. Actually it is impossible that they are, because even if there seemingly are superficial similarities, the fundamentals of their teachings are worlds apart - so different that it proves 100% that Allah and Yahweh cannot be the same god unless he is heavily schizophrenic. (Yes, actually Allah also has to be schizophrenic also if he thought the peaceful Islam of Mecca 610-622 AD, and then the hate and war Islam of Medina 622-632 AD - the changes in the religion around 622 AD are that big. Explanation?)
7. Islam tells its missionaries that it is hard to change the "wrong" belief and "information" people have accepted as truths - especially things they have learnt as children and have accepted as their truths. That also goes for false or wrong "facts" Muslims have accepted as true - and for Muslims' religious beliefs.
8. "Tell a lie often enough, and people start believing it is the truth". Joseph Goebbels - infamous Nazi Minister of Propaganda. There is not one single real proof for Allah or Islam in the Quran - it only is claimed and claimed and claimed and claimed.
9. You often believe things not because it is true, but because someone directs one-sided information and education towards you - and that is the situation in most Muslim countries and societies.
10. If Muhammad made up Islam, it is easy to understand why he so strongly stressed that you should not discuss with non-Muslims "unless you had stronger means" than words, and why he even more and very often told in many ways that you were an "infidel" if you debated his invalid "signs", etc., and why it was not fitting to have opinions after "Allah and his Messenger" had decided.
11. If Muhammad made up the Quran, a lot more of things in the book are easy to understand - f. ex. the lack of proofs, the invalid statements, "signs" and "proofs", the wrong "signs" and "proofs", the lack of foretelling, not to mention all the mistakes and mistaken facts and contradictions, the bounding of himself to Allah (very clearly seen) and the glorifying of blind belief.

12. If Muhammad made up the Quran, he is the man who caused most misery for the human race, in this whole world, not on this side of Adam, but on this side of Homo erectus long before modern man existed.
13. If Muhammad made up the Quran, the correct name for his followers really is not Muslims but should be something like Mohammedans or "the ones denied the right to look for Heaven" (if a Heaven does exist).
14. In addition: Never forget that when a sick idealist promises to suppress you or kill you, you had better believe him.
15. And never forget the Muslim slogan "Perseverance".

21.4.09.

NB and Ps.: But all the same: Never forget that most Muslims have a relaxed view on the hard verses in the Quran. Some because they really do believe Islam is peaceful, some because they dislike war and strife, and some for different reasons. Never judge a Muslim bad only because he is a Muslim. The majority is no better and no worse than other people.

Islam before 622 AD was a peaceful religion. But it changed dramatically in and after that year. Perhaps because Muhammad needed highwaymen and then warriors - like said before. Science also say that Muhammad's morality changed or was destroyed at that time - or that his true self surfaced when he grew powerful. Whatever is the truth about that, it is sure he and his teachings in Medina were very different from the years 610-622 AD in Mecca.

The some 22 surahs from Medina show a brutal and unforgiving man and religion bent on power and women and riches (at least for bribing followers and potential followers)- a morality and goal that attracted many of the primitive and warlike tribes in Arabia, and made the religion start its real growth in power and riches. The main attraction for many was not primarily the religion, but the wish for riches and slaves and power "good and lawful".

But today many Muslims are not interested in war, do not want war, and are no different from others as people. Many even honestly think Islam is a peaceful and good religion, and base their believes on this point on the peaceful surahs from Mecca - may be not even knowing which surahs came first and last, which are valid and which not. Honestly peaceful humans wanting to live a peaceful life with good relationship to their neighbours, Muslims or not. It is impossible to guess how big this part of the Muslims is, but numbers we have seen tends to be around 70% worldwide, though varying quite a lot from one place to another. There is all reason not automatically to judge all Muslims like terrorists or bad people.

But then there is the group that definitely will not take part in anything themselves, but who are sympathetic to the ideas of Muslim power - included using war and terror to reach it, and included a feeling that Islam and Muslims are superior with the right to suppress all others. This group worldwide may count around 30% or a bit less of all Muslims. Sympathy, money and active help from this group, makes it possible for the extremists to work. Also these are mainly peaceful people, but they make the terror and other excesses possible for the "active" ones. (And 30% of all Muslims after all makes more than 300 million).

Then there are the "active" ones, included extremists and terrorists. They are relatively few - but even a low percentage of some 1.2 billion Muslims all the same makes up a number of "activists"

And it does not take many “activists” to kill you - and our problem is that it is impossible to know who is peaceful, who is helping in the “war” and who are or will become terrorists, etc.

WHEN WE WITH OUR LIMITED KNOWLEDGE FIND SO MUCH THAT IS WRONG IN THE QURAN, HOW MUCH MORE WILL THEN A REAL SCHOLAR BE ABLE TO FIND!

And an afterthought: In the book “The Message of the Quran”, certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there are no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to Allah. If there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Islam?

NB: If you find any mistakes anywhere, please inform us. If it is a real mistake, it will be corrected.

NB, NB, NB:

1. Read first the 2 small chapters "Some Essentials for how the Quran is to be read and understood" (VII-10-1) and "The Quran is to be understood literally if nothing else is indicated" (VII-10-2).

2. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked by many Muslim authorities. To debate with persons in such areas, cut and paste what you want from the pages and send it under titles different from <http://www.1000mistakes.com>.

3. <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is one of 9 pages which Muslim organisations warned especially against in 2008 and 2009 - it could make especially procelytes lose their belief in Islam; correct and "down-to-the-earth" information works. In this connection it is worth noting that in the "warning" <http://www.1000mistakes.com> was one of 3 which neither was accused of bringing wrong facts, nor of being a hate page.

4. Comment 141 (to verse 6/149) in “The Message of the Quran” (see point 5) explains (translated from Swedish) about Allah's claimed omniscience vs. man's claimed free will:

“With other words: The real connection between Allah’s knowledge about the future (and consequently about the unavoidable in what is to happen in the future*) on one side and man’s relatively (!!*) free will on the other – two statements that seems to contradict each other – lies outside what is possible for humans to understand, but as both statements are made from Allah (in the Quran*) both must be true”. Unbelievable. Blind belief is the only correct and intelligent way of life, even in the face of the utterly impossible!!

5. And an afterthought: In the book "The Message of the Quran", certified by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif Islamic Research Academy in Cairo (one of the 2-3 top universities in the Muslim world on such subjects) in a letter dated 27. Dec. 1998, it is admitted rather reluctantly that there are no proofs for Allah, and that it is not possible to prove him. An additional point here is that if there is no proof for Allah and impossible to prove him, automatically there also is no proof for, and impossible to prove Muhammad's claimed connection to a god. And if there is no Allah and/or no connection between Muhammad and a god, what then is Muhammad? - and what then is Islam? - a made up, invalid religion?

6. Further: All the mistakes, contradictions, etc. in that book prove 100% that the Quran is not made by an omniscient god - no god makes such and so many mistakes, etc. If then Islam is a made up religion, what then about all the Muslims who have been prohibited from looking for a real religion (if such one exists)? And where will they in case wake up after living and practising such an inhuman war religion like Islam is according to the Quran (and to Hadiths), if there is a second life somewhere? - Hell or Paradise?

7. NB and PS: No matter how sure you are about something, if it is not proved, it is not knowledge, only belief or strong belief, and can be wrong. Only what is proved or possible to prove is knowledge.

(As <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is blocked in many Muslim areas - which shows they are afraid of it and lack arguments (if they had real arguments for <http://www.1000mistakes.com> is wrong, blocking was unnecessary) - "cut and paste" whatever you want from it and send if you want to inform or to debate there. Remember to omit the name <http://www.1000mistakes.com>).

Dear Sir/Madame.

In an age of Muslim terrorism it might be an idea to inform people about facts like these - true information is damaging for conservative Islam. It may anger some Muslims - and quite a lot. But anger against whom if it is posted anonymously and from Internet cafes in Muslim or other countries? Or in other ways distributed anonymously? F. ex. by sending copies to persons that may be interested.

It may make some young Muslims or Muslim proselytes start thinking. Over some years it may work - perhaps reduce the number of terrorists 1-2% if it reaches enough readers. (NEWS PR. 2009: MUSLIM ORGANISATIONS WARN AGAINST SOME INTERNET PAGES AS THEY MAY BE DESTRUCTIVE FOR MUSLIM MISSIONEERING. ONE OF THE 9 MENTIONED BY NAME IS <http://www.1000mistakes.com> - and one of only 3 not called "hate page" or claimed to give wrong facts.

And it is a very cheap way of fighting terrorists and terrorist recruitment.

Printing would in many cases be more efficient, but be very careful. May be in some years when the text is well enough known from Internet? - if the servers are not blocked or forced to delete it - - - power is power and terror is terror. But go ahead if you want. Books often goes further than Internet. But this manuscript is large (3.75 Mb pr. Oct.2009) - it may pay to print f. ex. only the mistakes arranged according to subjects + the 5 Mega Mistakes + the mistakes

accepted by Muslim scholars and Islam + the contradictions + a few more. But if you aim for students studying Islam, the complete lists should be included.

One thing one should not do - even if especially some Americans would be tempted - is to make a project like this pro-Christian or pro-anything-else. Then most Muslims will dismiss it as propaganda. Besides the plain truth is enough - it is impossible for an educated, intelligent person trained in thinking to believe that the Quran is made by a god. There are far too many mistaken facts and other mistakes, and far too many invalid claims, "signs" and "proofs", etc. The last ones actually are the hallmarks of swindlers, cheats, deceivers - and politicians (Muhammad was a clever politician).

There is much on Internet about mistakes in the Quran, but we have found nothing like this page.

Translate it if you can to any language you wish - for the ones not speaking English. We do not have the capacity or linguistic knowledge ourselves to translate it to f. ex. Muslim languages. Or to your own language for printing - then in case use a Quran in that language for the translation of the quotes from that book - but beware that Yusuf Ali's translations sometimes may be more correct than some others.

If you cannot or will not use this yourself, forward this address to someone who can.

PART XII, CHAPTER 4 (= XII-4-0-0)

SHORT ON DIFFERENT TOPICS CONCERNING THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, AND ALLAH

SOME RELEVANT ADDRESSES ON INFO ABOUT THE QURAN, MUHAMMAD, MUSLIMS, ISLAM, ALLAH, ETC.

1.	http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/2865009.stm	TLE - Muhammas' d illness?
2.	http://www.islamist.dk/forum/ahowthread.php?t=121	A good list of adresses.
2b .	http://www.islamist.dk (Danish and some English)	Negative to Islam.
3.	http://face-of-muhammad.blogspot.com	The Muhammad

		cartoons - have a look and tell us: Is this something to run amock for? - Islam and Muslim papers have had MUCH worse cartoons about or involving other religions symbols.
4.	http://www.thereligion of peace.com/Pages/Links.htm	A good list og addresses.
4b .	http://www.thereligionofpeace.com	Non- political, non- religious, but negative to Islam. Good information.
5.	http://listislam.freeweb-hosting.org/	Large adress list, but many of the addresses not active.
6.	http://answereing-islam.org/	Good information.
7.	batyeor@club-soft.ch	About Jews and Christians under Muslim rule

		through history.
8.	http://www.faithfreedom.info	May be the best for daily information about Islam.
9.	watch.islam@gmail.com	A good one.
10.	http://answering-islam.org/	A debate site.
11.	http://debatt.aftenposten.no/item.php?GroupID=57&Group=&ThreadID=168060	A debate about Islam with 900+ comments, partly in English, partly in Scandinavian.

More will be added.